REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON FEE HIKE AND OTHER CHARGES IN RECOGNISED UNAIDED PRIVATE SCHOOLS IN DELHI (1999)



NUEPA DC

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI

stice S. Duggal (Reta.) Chairperson



Committee on Fee Hike and
Other Charges in
Recognized Unaided
Private Schools in Delhi
Plot No. 5, Near Naaz Cinema,
Thandewalan, New Delhi - 110 005
Tel.: 3522653

Dated the 3 1 St July, 1999

Dear Lt. Governor,

The Committee constituted by the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, vide Notification No. 323 dated 7th December, 1998 pursuant to the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, dated 30th October, 1998, in C.W. No. 3723 of 1997, to determine claims of Recognised Unaided Private Schools regarding hike in fee and other related charges, has after in-depth study, on the basis of available material and resources completed its work and prepared its Report.

I, on my behalf and on behalf of the Members of the Committee, present herewith the Report of the Committee.

Yours sincerely,

(SANTOSH DUGGAL)

Shri Vijay Kapur, Lt. Governor, National Capital Territory of Delhi, DELHI

CONTENTS

l.	INTRODUCTION	. 1-9
11.	ROAD BLOCKS	10-21
Ш.	THE COMMITTEE AT WORK	22-30
IV.	METHODOLOGY	31-38
V.	THE LEVIES	39-55
VI.	THE ACCOUNTING INFIRMITIES	56-74
VII.	TERMS OF REFERENCE	75-92
VIII.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	93-106
IX.	ANNEXURES	107-141

LIST OF ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE	SUBJECT	
I	Director of Education order Dated 10.9.97	
1:	Gazette Notification No. 323 Dated 7 12.98	
111	Public Notices issued to get the information/material from the school published on 10.1/12.1.99	
IV	Chairperson's letter Dated 5.2.99 to Chief Secretary, Govt. of NCT of Delhi.	
V	Chairperson's letter Dated 22.3.99 to Chief Secretary, Govt. of NCT of Delhi	
VI	Chairperson's Report Dated 28 4 99 to the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi	
VII	Chairperson's Report Dated 18.5.99 to the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.	
VIII	Check list of the Information/material received from the schools.	
IX *	Memorandum Dated 11.2.99 to schools regarding information sought by the Committee	
X	Memorandum Dated 22.3.99 for additional information required by the Committee.	
ΧI	Proformae devised by the Committee for compiling the data received from the schools.	
XII	Profoma devised by the Committee for compiling the data received from the schools.	

Chapter 1

Introduction

- It seems that the undercurrent of resentment which parents of the school going children might have been silently nurturing burst into an ourrage in the year 1997 when suddenly they found themselves faced with enormous fee hike effected by the un-aided recognised private schools in the National Capital Territory of Delhi. The raison d'etre advanced by the said schools was primarily the anticipated or projected increase in the pay-scales of the teaching and non-teaching staff of such schools consequent upon implementation of the Fifth Pay Commission by the Government. This was controverted by the Parents' Bodies as sheer camouflage and sham pretext on the part of the schools on the contention that the schools were flush with such accumulated and surplus funds which could adequately offset the perceived enhancement of the financial burden on the schools.
 - Thus, in a land with an ancient heritage that regarded imparting of education to be a religious and pious duty and where any sight on monetary gains was considered as going counter to the cultural ethos of our society; a situation arose when parents of school going children by themselves or through representative bodies became locked in adversarial proceedings with the school managements. The result was a bunch of Writ Petitions, the

most prominent being the one filed by the Delhi Abhibhavak Mahasangh, claiming to be a federation to whom various Parent Associations all over the country were affiliated (Civil Writ Petition No.-3723/97). Separate Writ Petitions by Parents' Associations of some of the individual schools as also by the representative body of the recognised un-aided public schools designated as the Action Committee of Un-aided Private Schools were also filed. Besides, five other recognised un-aided private schools filed separate Writ Petitions.

The schools had come up assailing the legality and validity of an office order issued by the Director of Education on 10-9-1997, reacting to the complaints raised and grievances voiced by parents of school children in respect to the fee hike effected by the schools. The Order is extracted in the judgment and is [Annexure-I] herewith. The salient features of the said Order were: restricting specified charges such as Registration fee, Admission fee and Caution/Security money to Rs. 25/-, Rs. 200/- and Rs. 500/- respectively, directing further that separate Computer fee or Science fee be not charged upto the Secondary level, and that the fee structure in the schools be reviewed in duly constituted meetings; having, inter-alia, representatives of the parents and a nominee of the Director and that this be done keeping in view the actual financial requirements of the Schools.

ε.

1.4 All these petitions were disposed of by the High Court by judgment dated October 30, 1998, laying down that

"There has to be an element of public benefit or philanthropy in the running of the schools. The schools are to be run for public good and not for private gain. The object has to be service to the society and not to earn profit. The public benefit and not private or benefit to a favoured section of the Society has to be the aim. Keeping these aims and objects in view the schools are required to also follow and comply the provisions of the Delhi School Education Act (for short 'the Act) and the Rules framed thereunder (for short 'the Rules') as also the affiliation Bye laws framed by Central Board of Secondary Education ('the Board' for short). The schools are also required to comply the conditions upon which the land may be allotted to it by a public authority on concessional rates for setting up of a school building and its playground etc."

1.5 The Court also laid down, no uncertain terms, that commercialisation of education and exploitation of parents was not After taking note of various contentions canvassed and on permissible. consideration of the ground realities apparent, inter-alia, from a study/scrutiny of the 16 inspection reports as a result of special inspections conducted by the Directorate of Education sometime in the month of May, 1997, the Court came to the conclusion that ways and means had but to be found to Sure that the schools levied fee and other charges only to the exter lund essential for the specified purposes and in the manner as recognise ' the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and the Rules framed thereund The Court further held that commercialisation or exploitation could not be wed to be perpetrated under any guise but at the same time a balance had to be maintained and legitimate requirements of the schools kept in view vis-a-vis the standard of education being imparted by such schools and the facilities provided by a given school and thus there could be variation in fees and other charges levied. This, in view of the Hon'ble High Court could not be examined or analysed in the proceedings and in their opinion it was apposite that an independent Committee be appointed to examine the question, which after a case to case study of each school, determine the appropriate amount of fee and charges that could be levied by a school during the relevant period when the question of the payment of salaries and arrears as a result of implementation of Fifth Pay Commission recommendations came up. namely the financial year beginning 1997 and ending with the start of the academic year 1999.

1.6 It was in this context and background that the present Committee came to be in existence. We extract below the operative portion of the judgment in this respect:

"Having bestowed our thoughtful consideration submission of counsel for the parties and aforenoticed detail and circumstances, we are of the view that an independent Committee deserves to be appointed for the period covered by impugned order dated 10th September 1997 upto start of academic session in the year 1999, to look into the cases of the individual schools and determine, on examination of record and accounts etc. whether increase of tuition fee and other charges, on facts would be justified or not. Eliminating the element of commercialisation and in light of this decision the Committee would determine fee and other charges payable by students of individual schools. We do not think that it would be desirable at present to permit any further increase than what has already been permitted by order dated 11th December, 1997, till decision of cases of individual schools by Committee appointed by this judgment.

We, accordingly, appoint a Committee comprising of Ms. Justice Santosh Duggal, a retired Judge of this Court as Chairperson with power to nominate two persons - one with the knowledge of Accounts and second from field of education in consultation with Chief Secretary of NCT of Delhi to decide matters of fee and other charges leviable by individual schools in terms of this decision. We request the Committee to decide

the claims of individual schools as expeditiously as possible after granting an opportunity to the schools, Director of Education and a representative of the Parent Teachers Association and such other person as the Chairperson may deem fit. The terms and conditions including fees/honorarium payable and other facilities to be provided by the State Government to the Chairperson and other Members of the Committee would be discussed by the Chief Secretary with the Chairperson and finalised within 10 days."

1.7 Accordingly, the Chairperson designate namely (Mrs.) Justice Santosh Duggal (Retd.) and Chief Secretary of NCT of Delhi met on 10th of November, 1998 in compliance of the directions given in the judgment when the Chairperson, in consultation with the Chief Secretary nominated the following two members to the Committee:

Sh. Gopal Narayan Tandon, Formerly of Indian Civil Accounts Service.

Prof. H.S. Srivastava, Formerly Dean of N.C.E.R.T., New Delhi.

1.8 Certain other decisions were also taken in the said meeting in terms of the directions of the High Court to which we shall advert in the appropriate context. The Committee was notified by means of Gazette Notification No. 323 dated 7.12.98 [Annexure II].

1.9 The terms of reference of the Committee as specified in the aforesaid notification, are as under :-

TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE COMMITTEE

- To decide the claims regarding hike in fee by the (a) individual schools for the period covered by the order number DE.15/Act/Spl. Insp/150/97/1293-2093 dated 10th September, 1997 issued by the Director of Education. Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi and upto the start of the academic session in the year 1999 and other charges leviable by individual schools in terms of decision of High Court in C.W.P. NO. 3723/1997 as expeditiously as possible after granting an opportunity to the schools. Director of Education and a Representative of the Parent-Teachers' Association and such other person as the Chairperson may deem fit with a view to prevent commercialisation and exploitation in private un-aided schools including schools run by minorities:
 - (b) To decide any other charges levied/ leviable by individual school which has not been covered in order number DE. 15/Act/Spl.Insp./150/97/1293-2093 dated the 10th September. 1997 issued by the Director of Education, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi and the judgment of the

Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated the 30th Oct., 1998 in the case of Delhi Abhibhavak Mahasangh Vs. Union of India and others (Civil Writ Petition No. 3723 of 1997)

- functioning in all earnestness. Whatever it was able to do or not able to do, can be adjudged from the pages that follow. As to why the Committee could not achieve the optimum or desired results, the reasons are all spelt out in the ensuing chapter. We have not penned it down out of any ill will but to give vent to our sense of anguish and remorse that with all the will and intent to carry out the mandate of the Hon'ble High Court, we were rendered ineffectual in more ways than one lack of requisite trained staff, equipment and infrastructure. Despite all that we have delved deep into the subject and have tried to do our best to give results whatever could be possible from the available material and facilities.
 - 1.11 For reasons stated in detail in Chapter II, it is the considered view of the Committee that with the persisting lack of interaction, it would be both futile as well as frustrating for us to continue. This explains the Committee's decision to finalise the report on whatever could be done, on the basis of the existing resources and it did not deem it worthwhile to suggest any further extension to the term that expired on June 30, 1999 except that of a fortnight for preparing the report, and a few days more as the report could not be

finalised because of malfunctioning of The Computers, due to frequent power failure. The Committee however reiterates that it has attempted to give some tangible results inspite of the limitations hedging it.

Chapter 2

Road Blocks

2.1 The task before this Committee was verily daunting and of gigantic proportions. We say so, for the reason that the Committee was enjoined by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide judgment dated 30.10.98 in the case of Delhi Abhibhavak Mahasangh Versus UOI and others; Civil Writ Petition No. 3723 of 1997:

"To look into the case of the individual schools and determine, on examination of records and accounts etc. whether increase of tuition fee and other charges on facts would be justified or not. Eliminating the element of commercialisation and in the light of this decision the Committee would determine fee & other charges payable by students of individual schools".

2.2 In the opinion of the Hon'ble Court, this was to be determined on fact to fact study of each school. This, the Committee was to do by examining various factual and financial aspects in the light of guidelines laid and the principles enunciated, having a bearing on the core issues of commercialisation of school education and corresponding exploitation of parents. The Court further observed: "Neither this Court is fully equipped nor it is possible for this Court on the facts of the present case, to even otherwise

undertake this exercise in respect of each individual school. Such an exercise has to be undertaken by authorities or by an independent committee which this Court may appoint." The Court further observed that with the large number of private un-aided recognised schools in Delin, such all exercise by itself may be a time consuming process.

- In the earlier portion of the judgment, while taking note of the plea put forward on behalf of the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, the Court had recorded, in the context of the fact that only special inspection of 16 schools had been done in exercise of power under section 24(2) of the Delhi School Education Act & Rules 1973, although the possibility of such irregularities by other un-aided recognised schools could not be ruled out, that the "Directorate of Education does not have sufficient infrastructure to carry out special inspection of about 800 schools, the general directions in public interest were decided to be issued." (emphasis supplied).
 - 2.4 Before that, J. Veeraraghavan Committee appointed by the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, Directorate of Education had observed in the Report submitted on August 4, 1997, in the context of data in respect of 117 schools having been received and the 16 inspection reports of the special inspections conducted by the Directorate of Education.

"The Committee does not have full information on the results of the inspections carried out by the Directorate of Education nor has the Committee received details of fees from all the schools. Even in respect of the schools from which information has been received, there are many clarifications that would be necessary before the information is fully understood. But the Committee did not feel it necessary to wait for all this information..."

2.5

It had also earlier recorded that:

"Section 18 (4) (b) stipulates as charges and payments realised and all other contributions shall be utilised only for the purpose for which they were realised or received, it would take inordinately long time to examine this aspect in respect of each school". (emphasis supplied).

We flave adverted to these observations with the object of putting into focus the enormity as well as complexity of the assignment entrusted to this Committee and in this context, to point out that whereas the Committee deserved all the cooperation, assistance and goodwill, what it got instead was complete apathy and indifference. We would also like to put on record that as per the list of recognised un-aided schools, supplied to us by Directorate of Education, the total number of such schools is 929 and not 800 assimight have been projected by the department before the Hon'ble High

Court during the hearing. In addition, there is also another list of 377 unaided schools recognised by Municipal Corporation of Delhi and New Delhi Municipal Council.

- 2.7 This is also to put in proper perspective the odds this. Committee had to contend with. Even the J. Veeraraghavan Committee that was constituted under the aegis of the Directorate and was functioning as a part of the said set up, records that the results of the inspections carried out by the Directorate or details of fees were not received by them, and they went ahead with whatever they had, while functioning in the midst of the Department. It can thus, be imagined as to what handicaps and hurdles this Committee would have experienced, housed in an isolated building far removed from the Headquarters of the Directorate and bogged down with an unresponsive governmental machinery.
 - It is further interesting to note that the Directorate of Education has an elaborate administrative set up at their disposal with a vast network of 10 educational Districts, each headed by a Deputy Director, Zonal officers assisted by Education officers and Deputy Education Officers in each District, a contingent of officers at the Headquarters, a separate Internal Audit Branch, an Accounts Branch headed by Deputy Controller of Accounts with Accounts officers, and Junior Accounts Officers, a full fledged Finance.

 Department headed by a Joint Director (Finance), another section with the

nomenclature 'Grants-in-aid' Branch, decentralised to the extent of having Junior Accounts Officers and Assistant Accounts Officers and even more than one Accounts officer in some Districts; an independent Statistical Branch with duly appointed Statistical Assistants as well as Financial Assistants, Planning & Programme Evaluation Division, with a separate Research Office, a Section to analyse and compile the data received from un-aided schools regarding expenditure. This monolith, surprisingly, expressed helplessness before the High Court, when the question of carrying out its statutory function of special inspection and re-auditing of the school accounts arose, pleading the number '800' to be too unmanageable to be monitored, which plea the High Court entertained. The plight of this Committee of three, comprising of Chairperson and two Members depending for all assistance in the matter of manpower, equipment and infrastructure on the very same Department, that on its own could not either provide full material to the J. Veeraraghavan Committee constituted by them nor marshal the vast resources at their disposal to discharge their statutory duties, can well be imagined!

2.9 The Committee nevertheless plunged into the task with all earnestness, even while there was no office, and concomitant set up at its disposal. The Chairperson, as soon as the Notification was out, went ahead, seing alive to the urgency of the task, to convene meetings from her tesidence. The Committee deliberated in its very first meeting as to what

data, it should have before it so as to be able to come to a finding on justifiable fee and charges for each school. We came to the conclusion that to start with, the Committee should have the balance sheets of each school, as also of their respective Management Society or Trust managing such school, dating back to financial year 1993-94 and ending with the financial year 1998-99. In addition, detailed information on several other aspects having a bearing on the issues to be determined by us was sought as is evident from the information and material requisitioned by us from all the schools covered by the judgment, by means of Public Notice issued in early January, 1999 [Annexure-III], that was prepared prior to the office becoming available.

- 2.10 The Notice also notified ear-marking of two hours on Three days a week at Committee's Office for anyone who had any submissions to make before the Committee.
- 2.11 In addition, the Committee requisitioned from the Department the following as being essential background material, both for familiarising with the subject as also to identify the issues the Committee was to decide
 - (1) Judgment of Hon'ble High Court dated 30th October, 1998.
 - (2) Delhi School Education Act and Rules 1973.
 - (3) Affiliation Rules/Bye Laws of the C.B.S.E. and C.I.S.C.E. and

 National Policy on Education.

- (4) List of recognised Un-aided Private Schools in NCT of Delhi, duly authenticated by the Directorate.
- (5) Copy of Report of J. Veera Raghavan Committee.
- (6) Copies of Directorate's orders dated 9 d 1997 and10.9.1997.
- The Committee, without wasting any time, being aware of the strict time frame under which it was expected to operate because of the nature of the task and the results to be achieved, came to the conclusion that besides a full time Secretary and other supporting secretarial staff, essential requirements of the Committee was, Computers with necessary complement of operators and programmers, typewriters, Financial and Statistical Analysts for the analysis of the data received.
 - 2.13 It was the intensity of its involvement, awareness of the enormity of the task to be accomplished and the tight time frame, that soon after the office of the Committee became functional on 21st January 1999, the Chairperson lost no time to bring the urgent needs to the notice of the Chief Secretary Delhi through a letter dated February 5,1999 [Annexure-IV]. It is a matter of record that the letter met with total indifference and was not even acknowledged.

The Committee continued, in the meantime, grappling on its own with 2 14 the material and information being received from the schools but felt highly frustrated, and functionally stymied for want of necessary wherewithals, so as to make headway in its work. It was impressed upon the part-time Secretary, who remained mostly at the Headquarters on his substantive post, to atleast pursuade the Secretary: Education as well as Director of Education to come for a personal discussion so that the difficulties being faced by the Committee could be brought to their notice, and ways and means found for remedial measures so that the Committee could get into the work at the speed and efficiency it wanted to acquire. Eventually, the Director of Education came on 5th of March, 1999. In the aforesaid meeting, it was impressed upon the Director that amongst other things the first step should be to appoint a full time Secretary, who could take care of the administrative problems, as well as procurement and installation of essential equipment, such as Computers, Calculators and also to arrange for other Secretarial staff and to ensure that those who were posted actually joined. In addition, the most important thing required by us was a team of Statistical and Financial Analysts, familiar with data processing and scrutiny of balance sheets. Though, he held out all types of assurances and even volunteered to come a second time to oversee the action as a sequel to his meeting and came again on 17th March but nothing had happened in the intervening period, which fact was brought to his notice. He left with fresh promises but to our utter dismay no ostensible results followed. Consequently, the Chairperson was constrained to write another letter to the Chief Secretary on 22nd March, 1999, [Annexure-V], highlighting the difficulties and pin-pointing the deficiencies and handicaps, emphasizing that immediate steps be taken to provide essential staff and equipment. This is the same rate as the earlier offer there was no visible indication of any action having been taken on the points made in the said letter, nor was it acknowledged.

- Surprisingly, Secretary (Education) has not till date deemed it fit to have a meeting with the Committee as Head of the Department to appraise Committee's requirements, essential for its working or to otherwise establish a channel of communication, even though the Committee was working on an issue, which vitally concerned his Department.
- 2.16 The Committee nevertheless slogged on so much so that the Chairperson as also the two Members had to do the original work which was expected to be done by the staff. The Chairperson, in the absence of a Secretary and even an Office Superintendent, had to look after the caretaking functions, train the clerical staff, drawn from different schools in the filling, pagination and other attendant work, as they were not conversant with or trained in office procedures.
- 17. It is also a matter of record that otherwise too the Committee remained sans all facilities, so much so, that even when the mercury was soaring high

.

beyond 42 degree, no Air Conditioners or Coolers for the staff were provided and for lack of voltage, even fans would come to a stand still, with the result that to be in office was a harrowing experience. The conditions came to such a pass that the Chairperson felt impelled to send a Report to the Hon'ble High Court on April 28, 1999 [Annexure-VI] which was a self-contained account of what the Committee was going through, and under the circumstances sought permission of the Court, to resign.

- 2.18 The Chairperson was constrained to submit yet another note to the High Court, on May 18, 1999 by way of factual account with reference to a News Report appearing in the Hindustan Times of May 17, 1999, as the same, on the face of it, appeared to have been deliberately leaked—as a prejudicial act. Faced with such an agnostic approach from the Government rather than an attempt to mend matters the Committee reiterated its request for resignation. The communication to the High Court is self speaking.

 [Annexure VII]
 - 2.19 Some sporadic activity by way of staff posting, however, took place as the following would illustrate:-
 - 1. A full time Secretary joined on 3.5.99.
 - 2. The Superintendent joined on 22.3.99.
 - 3. A full time Junior Accounts Officer was placed at the disposal of the Committee w.e.f. 1.4.99 (effective date 5.4.99). Before that, two

officials conversant with the accounts and competent to carry out the data analysis were deputed from about (1) middle of February and (2) towards end of February, 1999 for twice a week only.

- 4. Two regular Statistical analysts joined on 22.4.99, additional part-time Computers Operators joined on 12.5.99. As per their terms of employment they are required to work for only two hours per day i.e. 4 school periods of 30 minutes each.
- 5. The Air-conditioners became operative on or about 7th May,1999, and coolers even later.
- Calculators requisitioned as early as on April 6,1999 were supplied on May 14,1999.
- 7. Requisite software for computers became available some time in the middle of May, 1999. Computers were sent from the schools which obviously were being used for training the school children and being otherwise old remained generally non-functional.
- 2.20 And the Committee's life was to expire on June 30,1999!
- 2.21 We have recounted in detail as to what transpired from the time of the inception of the Committee, with a view to demonstrating as to how the Committee, entrusted with a monumental task involving; even with 187 schools, scrutiny analysis and evaluation of over 1500 balance sheets with

their income and expenditure statements and other related documents, felt sandwitched between an unresponsive administrative set up, on whom it depended entirely, on the one hand and non cooperating schools with calculated indifference on the other, and in respect to mice. The bad no power of extracting requisite data or information.

٠..

Chapter 3

The Committee At work

In manifestation of the grouse, in respect to fee hike, a number of Parents' Bodies as well as individual parents, filed complaints/made representations before the Committee. The Delhi Abhibhavak Mahasangh also forwarded complaints against certain schools. The exact position is as under:

No. of Complaints

1.	Delhi Abhibhavak Mahasangh	80
2.	Parents' Teachers Associations	06
3.	Parents' Associations	11
4.	Individuals	15
5.	Staff & Teachers	05

3.2 The common refrain of all these complaints was that the unaided private schools were indulging in malpractices, resorting to commercialisation of education, by adopting different subterfuges and manipulation of the accounts. There were also complaints that the fee hike, in many cases, had been effected even without implementing the recommendations of Fifth Pay Commission and that there was a wide-spread non-observance of the statutory provisions of the Act & Rules, profiteering in the garb of transport

charges, and funds being diverted to unauthorised uses. Nothing however,

was likely to come out of these complaints, because there was no supporting material was sent by them to substantiate the allegations.

Apart from the finances and accounting aspect, one general complaint from the parents was that the Palanto Tucheral Associations (PTAs) which the schools officially own, are not truly representative of the parents genuinely aggrieved from the acts of omission and commission of the schools and that in reality some parents who are close to or partial towards the school management, were nominated and thus the real object of making a provision in the Education Act regarding the PTAs for each school gets defeated.



- The Committee thought it fit to give hearings to various representative bodies; primarily the Delhi Abhibhavak Maha Sangh and the Action Committee of unaided private schools, besides certain other groups and individual parents, who had been venting out grievances to the Committee by calling at the office, as also a few schools, where information and material sent to the Committee was found to be more or less complete.
 - The office bearers of the Delhi Abhibhavak MahaSangh primarily laid emphasis on the fact, that virtually all the unaided private schools in Delhi were indulging in malpractices by charging exorbitant amounts by way of 'tuition fee and other charges'. They mentioned that in the name of building and development funds, substantial amounts of money were being extorted and diverted to the Management Societies, thus

converting education into a business for making profits. Besides this, varying amounts were also being levied under a variety of innovative Heads. They claimed to have discovered innumerable instance of alleged exploitation by the schools in the garb of imparting 'quality' education. They sought and were given more time to present the information they stated to have selfected and compiled. They then came back with Charts prepared on the basis of the balance sheets which presumably had been filed by the Schools with the Directorate of Education. They also mentioned that they had already forwarded to the Committee a host of complaints about different schools. It was, however, pointed out to them that the complaints were not substantiated by necessary supporting documents, which they promised to supply. They, however, have not been able to do so except for the general charges already referred to earlier.

- On behalf of the Action Committee of the Unaided Private Schools, the Chairman, Shri T.R. Gupta came accompanied by Shri S. K. Bhattacharya, Secretary, Shri S. L. Jain Coordinator, and Mrs. Rajni Kumar and Shri Suraj Prakash as Members. The last four also happen to be Director or Principals of schools. They presented their views and also expressed reservation about certain aspects of the judgment, adding that they had gone in appeal before the Supreme Court. They were, however, reminded that as at present the Committee was bound by the Judgment of the Horrble High Court.
 - indulging in profit making or commercialisation and that income from the schools was being used only for promoting educational causes. They accepted in principle that there should not be any commercialisation or

á

exploitation in the name of education. They were informed that a large number of schools affiliated to their Body had not responded to either our Public Notice or the subsequent communications. They explained that this was so, because most of them were under the impression, that only those schools which had problems had to approach the Committee and that those which were satisfied with the existing position need not do so. They were apprised of the correct position in the light of the High Court Order and of the Public Notice which had clearly stipulated that all schools had to send the information asked for. They assured that in view of the clarification, they shall see to it that their Member schools sent the requisite information. No school represented by the Action Committee, however, sent any information to the Committee, until a week before expiry of its terms of office. In view of the experience of the Committee about the poor response of the schools in respect to our Public Notice, Reminders and Requisitions, it is recommended that the Directorate should ensure that whatever action is taken regarding supply of information from the schools by any designated authority; there is a corresponding power in the said authority to enforce compliance by each and every school.

3.8 The stand of the Action Committee that the hike in fees was justified as, these schools were providing 'quality' education was negatived by the Committee in the sense that nothing could be asserted in absolute terms and that everything was relative and that Committee was alive to the fact that one school could have a distinct edge as against the others, but nevertheless this could not flaunting with arrogance, in the face of a general clamour by parents, feeling the pinch of fee hike. The Committee further impressed upon them that, in any case, the provisions of Delhi School Education Act 1973

and Rules as also the conditions of recognition/ affiliation had to be respected by all schools, besides those of land allotment.

3.9 During the discussion, a telling observation was made by one of the Members of the Action Committee, to the effect that this issue of grievances of parents on the fee hike was assuming dangerous proportions, when highly agitated parents come reviling the Principal. He pointed out that this was bound to vitiate the atmosphere as also jeopardise the time honoured tradition of cordiality, courtesy and mutual respect, between parents and school authorities. He pleaded that the matter should not be allowed to go out of hand, so as to spoil parent-teacher relationship and also to undermine the authority of the school Principal.



Similarly, the Parent's Forum of Guru Harkrishan Public Schools 3.10 also brought complaints of commercialisation on the part of 10 schools being run in Delhi by the Sikh Gurudwara Parbandhak Committee but again except, for speaking in generalities, they did not furnish any substantive material. On being asked to do so, they subsequently forwarded some documents, including photocopies of fee memos of the current fees, to show enhancement of fee and other charges on the part of the schools, but since the fee slips of preceding years were not available, no comparative study could be made as to what percentage of hike had been effected by this group of schools. Four of these ten schools who had responded to the Public Notice had not sent any information regarding variations in the fee structure despite 'Committee having specifically requisitioned the same. The Committee, however, had to rest at that, as it had no power to compel the schools The Parbandhak into pass on the information asked for from them.

Committee, however, while forwarding data in respect to four schools had contended that it was a purely philanthropic body, supporting multifarious Charitable Causes including the spread of education and that the question of any attempt at commercialisation on its part did not arise.

The Committee had also invited a memorandum from the 3 11 Directorate of Education to elicit their views on the issue of fee hike and commercialisation. The requisition for the same was made in early January by means of the Public Notice, as also by separate letters, but it was only in the last week of March (24/26.3.99) that a response was The Directorate, however, did not make any significant received. only repeated the stand taken earlier by the observations but Government, as contained in the judgment. By way of material, only copies of the 16 inspection reports that had already been noticed in the High Court judgment, were forwarded but without the replies sent by the schools in respect of them. On being reminded of this situation and asked to state expressly their view point specifically on pertinent issues. the Directorate submitted replies to the queries pointedly raised by the Committee in letter dated 8th June, 1999, vide their reply received on 22.06.99. This, inter alia, stated that after the judgment of the High Court, the Directorate of Education had issued an office Circular for containing commercialisation of education, in accordance with relevant provisions of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973, and the rules thereunder, such as Sections 17(3), 18(5), 19, 24(1) & (2) and Rules 150(4), 177 & 180(1). It also mentioned that the un-aided private schools were required, as per conditions of recognition from the Directorate, to submit audited accounts and other returns/documents annually in the prescribed proformae. It was further stated that the concerned Deputy Directors of Education were monitoring the compliance of the conditions of recognition, but at the same time confessed that the Directorate had, "no mechanism to execute the task of comprehensive annual inspections of schools", and the 're-auditing of the accounts of the schools' for checking commercialisation, "unless there was a complaint", and further added that "On our own, we do not make a conscious attempt to ensure that it is complying with the conditions of recognition". It was further stated that "annual inspections were unfortunately not an annual affair", and that for want of requisite infrastructure and manpower they have been disabled from performing this statutory function. Appalling revelations indeed!

3.12 The Director was asked to come for a meeting with the Committee in terms of the High Court judgment requiring an opportunity of hearing to be given. He came accompanied by Additional Director (Schools) and the Consultant in the Department. The Director reiterated the submissions contained in the written communications, and conceded that the Department had not been generally carrying out statutory duties of inspection of schools or re-auditing of the accounts, though he did add that the Education officials do try to keep a check. He was advised to have a comparative study of the inspection reports sent by the Zonal Officers for the period coinciding with the 16 special inspection reports, to examine whether the irregularities noticed at the time of special inspections had also been pointed out by the Education Officers and if so, whether any remedial measures had been taken. He was asked to inform this Committee also in this respect. But until the time of finalising this report, no further information has been received. The Director candidly conceded that if the Government owned schools were run efficiently, there would have been no need for un-aided private schools; and in any case they would not have proliferated in such great numbers and be in a position to indulge in large scale unchecked commercialisation. He pointed out that lack of resources and infrastructure were the basic causes of the prevailing malady.

- The Director lalso made some submissions seeking guidelines or 3.13 observations from the Committee, on certain matters though strictly not falling within the scope of its terms of reference. Firstly, that the teachers were not being reportedly paid actually what was purported to be their salary packages. Secondly, there are a number of other malpractices perpetrated in the name of bookshops, uniform shops in the school premises as also transport. Lastly, he made a request for Committee's consideration if some ways and means could be devised so that the Government machinery could be supplemented by private sources for auditing of school accounts etc. such as enpanelment of Chartered Accountants. The Committee was also informed that owing to problems of scarcity of manpower and resources, the Department in not being able to discharge the statutory functions. This had resulted in the present situation with wide ranging allegations of commercialisation and exploitation by private un-aided schools.
 - The Committee was further informed that in view of the above, the Government had a proposal to bring about an amendment to the Delhi School Education Act to provide, inter-alia, autonomy to the unaided private schools so that they could generate some self-regulatory measures in this respect. The Committee found this revelation to be really alarming because whereas the outcry was for more stringent regulatory measures on the part of the Government to check and contain commercialisation and a host of irregularities here was a thinking process

that contemplated shedding of control, which in Committee's perception would be a retrograde step and tantamount to abdication of duties and statutory functions on the part of the Government. The Director appreciated this but had no further comments except for expressing his helplessness for want of necessary infrastructure, at the same time agreeing with the Committee that there was a need for effective steps to be taken to bring the system back on the rails, for ensuring that education remains a philanthropic activity for public good and does not degenerate into being a business or industry imbued with commercialisation.

3.15 The Director, however, finds himself under constraint to act, as at present, for the reason that the only penal action provided against recalcitrant schools is withdrawal of recognition (Rule 56 of Delhi School Education Rules), which according to him was likely to entail drastic consequences for the students, such as closure of a school. The Committee recommends that since the Government is contemplating amendments to the Delhi School Education Act, 1973, the changes to be introduced ought not to be as now proposed, but the other way round, by bringing in more stringent penal provisions in the Act, besides the existing one of withdrawal of recognition and also plugging the loopholes in the existing provisions. This should be brought about, in Committee's view, in such a manner that while the delinquent schools are brought around, the interests of the students are in no way adversely effected.

Chapter 4

Methodology

- 4.1 The methodology evolved by the Committee was aimed at answering the issues arising out of its terms of reference. Operationally it implied:
 - a) examining the extent and justification, if any, for the hike in fee and other charges by the schools for the period 1997-98 and 1998-99.
 - determining whether these schools were levying the fee and other charges, in excess of justifiable requirements and/or resorting to commercialisation/exploitation; and if so, suggesting ways and means for preventing commercialisation and exploitation; and
 - c) assessing the appropriateness of levies not covered by the Directorate's order dated 10th Sept., 1997 as also the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court.
 - As has already been stated, the Committee had, even before the office accommodation became available, issued a Public Notice which was published in local dailies on the January 10/12, 1999 seeking, inter-alia, the following information within a weeks' time.
 - a) duly audited itemized Income and Expenditure statements

 (both of Revenue and Capital Accounts), and Balance Sheets,

 duly supported by relevant schedules of the schools and their

respective Managing Committees/ Trusts/ Societies for the financial year 1993-94 to 1997-98 as also the income and expenditure statements for the period from 01/04/1998 to 31/12/1998.

- other charges, covering the aforesaid period alongwith the copies of the fee booklets for the years under reference, used by them;
- other funds as required to be maintained under Section 18(3) of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 covering the aforesaid period;
- (d) Copy of the Constitution of Parent Teacher(s) Association of the school; and
- (e) the statement of the conditions under which affiliation/
 recognition was granted together with condition, if any, and for
 the allotment of land to the school.
- slowly. Out of 929 schools, only 187 schools responded, in most cases, well beyond the stipulated period, but none of them furnished complete details.

 Besides, issuing reminders to individual schools, pointing out the

deficiencies in the information supplied by them, identified with reference to a Check List specially designed for this purpose [Copy at Annexure-VIII], a reminder was issued on 11. 2. 99 to all the schools calling upon them to furnish the entire information covered by public notice issued on January 10/12,1999 [Annexure-IX], within ten days, failing which the Committee.

"will be constrained to draw an inference that you have nothing to say and shall take a decision in respect to the issue of Fee Hike and other related charges, on whatever material / information becomes otherwise available to the Committee."

4.4 On further deliberations and scrutiny of the information received from schools, the Committee came to the conclusion that some more details would be necessary to come to a finding as to the justifiable level of fee and charges leviable by each school. A letter was sent to all the schools bearing the date 22.3.99. [Annexure-X] , calling upon them to furnish additional information including, inter-alia, the impact of The Fifth Pay Commission.

This time only 80 schools responded, but once again the information received was found to be wanting in most of the cases. This could also be indicative of the reluctance on the part of the schools in parting with

sensitive information about the impact of The Fifth Pay Commission on their finances.

- 4.5 The following chart gives the position with regard to the receipt of information from the schools upto 25.6.99.
 - I Information, Complaints, Suggestions invited vide Public Notice dated January 10, 1999 & January 12, 1999. The information was required to be submitted within a week's time.

 Number of schools furnishing information by 19.1.99 Number of schools furnishing information during 20.1.99 to 11.2.99. 	05 16
II The date of issue of Memorandum/Reminder	11.2.99
 3. Number of schools furnishing information during 11.2.99 to 22.3.99 4. Number of schools furnishing information during 22.3.99 to 25.6.99 	100 66
III Total number of schools furnishing information in response to the Public Notice	187
IV Date of seeking additional information	22.3.99
Total number of schools furnshing information in pursuance to this letter and the additional information.	80
•	00
 Of this, number of schools which furnished complete information. 	08

- 4.6 Further analysis of 80 cases revealed that the missing information could be broadly classified into the following categories:
 - i. Number of cases where the Receipts & Payment Accountsof schools/societies were not received.

- ii. Number of cases where the information regarding SocietiesAccount was not received.
- iii. Number of cases where the full details of fees structutre for the years 1993-94 to 1998-99 was not available.
- Another feature noticed was that the response of the schools to our Public Notice varied from District to District. Only one school out of 163 schools in North-East District responded to the Public Notice, as against more than 50 % of the schools in the Central and New Delhi Districts. The detailed position is given below:

Table No I: Districtwise Response of Schools To The Public Notice issued on 10/12.1.99

		•
Name of the District	Total number of schools	Number of schools which responded to the Public Notice
CENTRAL	33	17
NEW DELHI	12	07
NORTH	24	08
NORTH WEST	171	36
NORTH EAST	163	01
EAST	116	25
WEST	187	38
SOUTH	89	23
SOUTH WEST	134	32
	929	187

4.8 The Committee also attempted to collect information from some selected State Governments about the prescribed ceilings of different levies and pattern of the maintenance of accounts in their schools as also the steps

taken by them to contain commercialisation in schools. However, none of them responded.

- The Committee had sought, through the Public Notice, audited accounts of the Schools and their Societies for a period of five years from 1993-94 to 1997-98 with the specific objective of ascertaining, with the help of their Balance Sheets, the capacity of the individual schools to pay the arrears out of the funds already available with them without resorting to any additional levy; and the manner in which these were accumulated over the years, as also to get an insight into the accounting practices adopted by the individual schools.
- 4.10 With regard to the ability of the schools to discharge the enhanced annual recurring liabilities resulting from the implementation of Pay Commission's recommendation, although the Income and Expenditure Account for the year 1996-97 & 1997-98 were the main schedules, the data related to the earlier years was, nevertheless, found necessary for analysing the schools' past trends of income and expenditure, the manner of treatment of other key variables in the accounts, the mode of determining the surplus/ deficit and the identification of extraordinary features, if any, for a comprehensive evaluation, Accordingly, two elaborate proformae were

deviced to compile the data, in a manner, which would facilitate its scrutiny.

The copies of the proformae are appended as [Annexure-XI, XII].

- The Committee was, however, handicapped in transforming the data received, even from 187 schools, in the aforesaid proformae, primarily because of the non-availability of the details relating to the impact of the Pay Commission, more particularly with regard to the date of implementation of the Pay Commission Report, the schedule of payment of arrears, the number of months for which salary was paid at the enhanced revised scales during 1997-98, besides items mentioned in Para 4.6**
 - The problem got further compounded by the lack of uniformity and internal consistency in the presentation of the Final Accounts by the Schools and their respective Societies (the latter where available). As a result, the data could only be compiled in the requisite proformae for less than 50 schools.
 - 4.13 The Committee found that the information furnished by the schools was not adequate to answer the specific terms of reference. Still the Committee has attempted to examine the Fee, as also the Registration Fee.

 Admission Fee and Caution Money levied by the schools with a view to

measuring deviations in them from the directive of the Court. The Committee further evolved a formula for assessing the appropriate quantum of increase in fee for 1997-98 necessary for absorbing the total impact of the Fifth Pay Commission recommendations. The succedding chapters deal with these aspects.

Chapter 5

The Levies

- A reference has earlier been made about the 30.10.1998 Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in the Writ Petition (CW 3723/97) filed by Delhi Abhibhavak Mahasangh, in terms whereof the maximum permissible limit for raising the fee was fixed upto 40 percent, whereas the levies on account of Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money were to be retained at the same level as on 31.3.1997 till this Committee gave its report.
 - As a first step, therefore, the Committee decided to examine the existing position with regard to different levies by the schools under the head "Fee" including Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money.
 - Some interpret it to mean only the "Tuition Fee". Others carry the impression that anything collected by the schools in the name of fee is fee be it Registration Fee, Admission Fee, Games Fee or anything else, just with the suffix "Fee". The third interpretation of the term includes everything paid by a student.

- The Committee deliberated on this issue at great length and came to the conclusion that what should be taken as 'fee' is the total amount collected by the schools, which in fact reflects the total burden on the parents.

 Thus, Fee would mean the total of tuition fee and all other charges paid to the school by a student.
- One of the basic reasons for adopting this definition was the fact that other charges', being collected under different names and captions, which often did not represent their true contents, were more prone to commercialisation and also provided a relatively easier route to exploitation and as such should not be left unfettered by not including them as part of fee.
- Strictly speaking, for determining the quantum of fees leviable, in terms of the order of the Hon'ble High Court, the fee structure of each school should ideally have been studied and compared for the relevant years namely 1996-97 and 1997-98. However, as it would have involved a comparison of the fee structure for each class or group of classes separately under various heads of fee and other charges (varying between 10 to 15) of collections for over 130 schools for which the fee structure was available. This was, however, not found feasible with the resources made available to the Committee, and the time bound nature of the assignment.

5.7 The Committee . therefore, opted for the next best alternative of comparing the total collection of fee of each school separately, under the heads 'Tuition Fee and Other Charges' for the aforesaid period of two years. This enabled the Committee to identify schools where the collection of 'fee and other charges' were in excess of the stipulated limit of 40 %. The underlying assumption being, that by and large the total collection of fee would exceed 40% only if, the classwise total fee was enhanced by more than 40%, and further that number of students during the two years, did not change materially. The Committee, however, is conscious of the limitations of these assumptions.



5.8 The data relating to the total collection, in respect of tuition fee and other charges and of the total levies for the years 1996-97 and 1997-98 was culled out from the Income and Expenditure Statements of 187 schools; and the percentage hike in respect of all the three items was worked out separately. The relevant data in this regard is given in the table below.

Table II: Comparative Statement of Collections Under Tuition Fee, Other Charges And Total Fee During 1996-97 And 1997-98

ís.	7	Total Co	Hection (1096-97	المنتسح	Total C	effection(1	97-961		4.3400	N Increas	
No		Tution				Tution		Total	Other Chrs. as	Tutlen	Other	Total
1	:	Fee j	Chargae		A % of T.Fee	Fee	Charges		a % of T.Fee	Fee	Charges	
	1 A.S.N. Sr. Sec. Public School, Mayur Vihar, Phese 1.	5251	2827	8678	63.64	7182	3103	10286	43.21	34.77	9.76	27,32
1	2 Adarsh Bei Vidyalya, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi	784		784	9.00	1073		1073	0.00	36.86	#DIV/01	36,84
1 -	3 Adarsh Jain Oharmic Shiksha Sadan Najal garh, New Dehi	1451		1461	0.00	1710	29	1739	1.70	17,85	#O(V/0!	19,86
Ī	4 Ahlcon Public School Mayur Vither, Phase 1 Defri	12114	1600	13714	13.21	17101	19/1	19072	11,63	41.17	23,19	39.07
	5 Air Force Ball Bhartl School, Lochil Roed, New Delhi 3	22708	317		1 37	26710	249	26959	0 93	17,62	-20.19	17,11
1	6 Air Force Bal Niketan School, Vayusena Bad , New Dehl-62	2221	54		2.97	2735	_54	2799	2.34	23 14	-3.03	<u> </u>
	7 Air Force Golden Jubilee Institute Subroto Park, New Belliu	6917	1404		20 30	7411	1056	9067	22.36	7,14	17.96	8.97
	Blancjets Public Sr. Sec. School , Vishwas hagar Shahdara	?550		2650	0.00	2907		2907	0.00	14 00		14.00
	9 Apoe Jay School, Pitam Puris	4760	4050	8810	36 0€	11808	3441	15249	29,14	144 07	-16 04	73.01
L	10 Apex Public School Sant Nagar Buren Delhi	4613	10464	15077	226.84	5122	4748	9370	82.64	11.03	-59.40	*
	11 Army Public School, Dhaula Kuan New Cells	11770	5474	17244	44 61	16903	16142	27046	40.00	43 61	86,28	
L	12 Arvind Gupte, D.A.V. Centenery Public School Mukherjee Nager	3992 7632	641	44 33	16.06	5590	893	6442	16.77	40.26	37.76	+
	13 Bai Branti Public School, Sector 14 Rohini Dehi	170	617	16-49	7,78	11446	758	12204	6.42	44.30		
- 1	14 BM Vikas Modern School East Azad Nagar Dehi	1783	1307	+	64,71	147	97	254	66.99	-13.63		 :
	15 Bansthall Public School, Vikas Purt, New Dehl	476	1307	3000	73.30	$\overline{}$	1480	3238	84 19	-1,40	+	
	16 Bhai Permenand Vidys Mendir Surys Niketan, Defti-92 17 Bharthys Vidhys Bhawsin Mehts Vidysleys Kasturbs Gandhi Marg	11089	2264	13383	20.69		2839	17498	6.00 - 19.37	0.63 32.19	23,76	30.76
	18 Bhatnagar International School, Vasant Kun	7057	23	-	0.33	8823	619	6832	0,10	26.02	-60.07	24.76
	19 Sheven's Sewan Public School, Shetti Mines Road	3247	┼	3247	4.00		<u> </u>	4747	0.10			46.24
	20 Bits Vidys Miteten, Pushp Wher IV	14639	4604		31.46		6255		29,44	44.12		 -
	21 Bloom Public School, Vasant Kunj. N. Dehi	5910	+	6910	0.00	6132	- 0733	9112		64.62		64.62
	22 Blue Bells School, Kallesh, New Dehi-110048	9615	5073	+	62.76		7023	23690	42.39			+
	23 Basco Sr. Sec. School, Sunder Vitier, ND	2707	224	2931	8.27	3487	874	4341	26,06	28.81	290,18	
	24 C. L. Bhata, Dayanand Model School, Jhandawalan Karol Bagh	1948	337	2285	17,30	2678	574	3262	21.43		70.33	 -
	25 Cambridge Foundation Sr., Sec., School, Rejourt Garden, ND	18(433	t · · —	16943	0 00	22594	t	23694	9.00			24.A2
	26 Central Public School, School Block, Shakur Pur Dehi	805	414	1320	46.70	1291	152	1453	12.66		4	<u> </u>
-	27 Chowgule Public School, Fatz Road , Karol Bagh	3909	184	4093	471	4728	2.0	6018	6,13	20.96	67.61	22.64
-	26 Cresart School Daryagani	2720	1150	3670	42.28	4837	1149		38.21	77.83	60.70	72.74
į.	29 D. A. V. Public School Maissim Viher, Deth-51	2154	2431	4686	112.86	3761	3418	7119	92.36	71.02	40,60	66.27
	30 D. A. V. Public School, Shreshta Vihar , Delh-92	8376		15334	83.03	12298	will6	21964	78.76	44,71	39.26	43,37
	31 D. A. V. Public School, Vesare Kurij, New Oats	5203			17,37		1106	9541	14,64	44.97	22.34	41.62
Π.	12 D.A.V. Centenery Public School Ram Begh	1440				* - · - '-	.		10.00			
١.	33 D.A.V. Contenary Public School, Tilek Neger, Delh	526	+	_				12119	64,12	44.23	17,14	
	34 D.A.V. Public School , Yusuf Sarai Dehi	143		+	+			4312	19.44	60.87	18,92	
'	15 D.A.V. Public School, Chunder Nager, Jenek Purl, ND	355		+				6223	3.86	41,34	-31,93	• -
1	36 D.A.V. Public School, Deyenand Viter, Delhi 37 D.A.V. Public School, Pasctim Viter, Delhi	215					1639	19776	9.64	41.13	18.42	•—
lŀ	38 Dalsy Dates School, East of Kalash, New Date	213	**	2100		3333	1967	2616	216	22,84	18.76	+
i }	39 Darbert Lal D.A.V. Model School, BN Bloeck, Shafmer Begh, Delhi	1829	985					6300 26399	69.62	#O(V/01 37,10	#D(V/01	90N/01 36,94
1	40 Derbert Lei D.A.V. Model School, NO Block, Ptem pure, Dehi	2068	+	-				30168	6,23	37,64	-1,23	
<u> </u>	41 Dashmish Public School "C" Block , Week Viter	110	+				+	3271	158.78	14.29		
	42 DAV Public School Asholt Wher, Ph - fV, Dehl	144			+	·	+	 -	10.07	66,23	4	
	43 Deyenand AdarshVideyelye, Arya Smar, NO 10"	109			-			1443	0.62	32.11		+
	44 Dayanend Model School, Patel Nager, ND	226	+	7272	+	+	+		0.18	45.37	+	
•	45 Deep Public Sr. Sec. School, Vasent Karg	382	· • — - —	3926	+	4		6414	0 00	43.00		43.00
	46 Deepayon Vidya Niketon, Harsh Vitier, Pitampura, Detri	131	163	2961	4		+	3431	6.00		-100.00	
	47 Dehl International Happy School, Jengoura, H. Deh-14.	(46)	7 26	123	27,36	1190	370	1640	31.09		40.16	•
	nuture Public School, Mathura Road, New Delhi	1 4154			+	1 19490	4065	67646	7.60	22.84	3.43	41.49
	49 Delhi Public School, R. K. Puram	4278		+		56080	12667	66747	22.69	31.07	97,61	39,74
	SO Delhi Police School, Selderjung Encleve, Dehi	325		_		6306	3882	10191	61.63	87.64	34.31	13.64
	51 Dev Sernej Modern School, Hehru Neger	375			+					44.41		
	57 DMne Happy School, Peschim Viner, ND	90		-1	1	+	1005	2644			+	
	\$3 East Point School, Prent Viter, Debi	162					<u> </u>	1 9	INVIOR		100.64	
[-	\$4 Fath Academy Presed Nager	1170										
-	SSIG C Budio School New Author Moser, Date	1480				•—						
-	56 G.C. Public School, New Asholi Nager , Deht	62		_				-	•			
1	\$7 Golden Velley Public Sec. School, Nejefgarh, New Deht \$8 Green Field Public School Dished Gerden, Shehdere	1363			+	+				+		
b	SQ Green Reid School Selderlung Enclave	923	-			2155	+	13467				
1	60 Guru Govind Singh Public School, Tlink Ninger MD	73				1384		17137		+		
	51 Guru Hertishen Public School, India Gete	81/1		3 1662		+		19549		+		
	Carlo and Carlo Ca	954		1 1686				19060	•			
176	Selecte transport value: School, Vetari Vitar	. • .		-•			4 (2.5%)					

		15.1.7.2	edection (17-4-2		447 441		1200	Huga.	1 1
\$	Name of the School	Tutten			Other Chrs as	Tution	attaction(1	Total	Other Chris as	Tutton	% Increas	a Tetal
No	PLANNE OF UNE SCHOOL	Fee	Charges		a % of T.Fee	Fee	Charges			Fee	Cherges	
-53	Guru Nanek Convent School, Shyem Hor Ert , NO	374	<u></u>	374	0,80			444	0.00	·	#Orvir	29.41
	Guru Americas Public School, Tilak Nagari, Dethi	1878	C		4 00	2332	0	2332	4 00		#OIV/M	24 17
_	Gyen Bharti School, Saket	7100		11942	49.42		4530	14766	40 36	40.34	14.40	31 03
	Harmfard Pubec School, Tellmebed, Segem Vities, Limits	3641	1516	6:47	41,64	5417	3301	6718	60 94	44 78	117 74	69.06
	Hens Rej Model School, Purpoel Bagh, Debt	18958	2544	21607	13.42	26732	7979	29211	11 36	30.37	17,16	36 86
	Heppy Model School, Jenak Puri, New Oets	7263	2056	9372	29,36	9413	1727	11140	18 36	29,00	-14 12	16 44
69	Happy School, Darys Gard, New Delh	8441	3380	11821	40.04	10593	3811	14544	36 64	26,64	12.76	22,70
70	Honra Public School , Samalidas, New Duth 37	1479	•	1429	0 00	1753		1783	0.00	·	₩OtV/0•	22.67
	Hall Grave Public School, Saldarjung Enchive	2442	•	•	64.00	3901	1698	6600	43 66	,	26 61	47.96
	Hory Gress Sr. Sec. School, Najalgerh, New Onte-43	7443	•		49,61	3799	1841	5640	44 44	46 61	61.60	64 31
	Holy Heart Secandary School, F Block, Materix Endave	<u> </u>	.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11.83	541	 	641			-100 84	46 36
	I B M. Public School Nestr Pur, New Derti	843		•	24.79	·	263	1324		•	26 84	26.86
	J. K. Happy School. Choortwelen	1066		·	34 00	1308		1131	13 10	·	-1 60	20 16
	J. R. Public School, Shiv Purl West Sagar Fur J.N. Modren Public School, Ranil Bagh, Dehi	283	133	604	16.22	1000	131	616	34 87	-1.67	14 88	2.38
	Jagmander Dass Arya Vedic Sec.Sch Gali Arya Samaj Naye B3	365	159	+ .	35,06	- 377 459		666	43 36		26 16	16 13
	Jaspel Kaur Pubic School, '8' Pacture Shellman Bag, Defin	13190			23,10		3441	27606	11 04	+	12 83	34.64
	Jhebben Lei D.A.V. Public School, J-Block, Peschim Viter	4222		4447	9.17	6161		8411	173	ļ	66 94	46 48
1	Kathuria Public School, Vasani Kunj	47993		•	73,31	7367	1355	3742	44 77	<u> </u>		9.91
	Krishami Model Sec. School: Nejirigerh, New Dehl -	1580	1	1680	4.90	2288		2244	0.00		+	44.81
	Kutacte Herszei Model School Ashok Vinar, Phase-III, Dulte	29226	3209	22436	16.96		1	0		-100.00	.	-100.04
	Lencer Convert Sr., Sec. School, Preshert Wher Dehi	14767	10753	25620	72,62	19123	14268	33891	72 71	32.68	32,49	32.64
85	Lexman Public School, Hug Khes Endere, N. Deltri	11508	3749	16747	31.26	16836	5544	22340	37.03	44.32	47.48	42.12
84	Lillaweri Vidya Mandir, Shekti Negar, Dehi	6806	3906	10716	67.40	10027	3871	13510	30 61	47.26	4 96	29.61
	Llons Public School I Blocks, Ashok Wher, Dehi	1106	·	·	26.21	4	+	1004	12 73	+		30 41
	LETIE FREY PLAC School, Kewal Park, Middle Section, Deta	1157	•	1162	0.00	·	4	1372	• 00	4 ~~~~	 -	19 10
	Little Ferry Public School Ashak Wher, Della	33/		337	6 00	4	4	724	000	1		114 84
	Lummi Fulfic School, Karkardoonie	7,201	•	. — —	47.36	·			#DIV/01	-100.00		-100 00
	M M. Fhéilic Schout, Vassindra Enchive, Fllennina a Dutte	1736	• -	• •	66 41	• .	•	• -)	\		27 32
	Mahampi Aggirsan Adamh Public Schill Farni Hagh SMaharapi Agrisan Model Schnol (CD Mileci Pharii Pura (Gurii	140	4	•	40 10	•	1 1050	3020 11311	}	•	25 16 30 261	• .
1	Manarish (Myanand Public School, Ishwar Culiny Rawana Livin	491	4 ·	4	4	356	179	736	32.19	13.24	-1 66	9.21
	Mehashai Chumital Sereswatt Bel Mande, L Block, Hart Ny, NO	6247	4	6778	40.62		2900	10048	36.00	29.01	14.66	24.66
	Mahawi Sr Model School, Sengern Fark Est G T K Road Dety	6851	4	4	26.96		2540	12217	28.26		36,73	30,92
_	7 Maney Sthell School, R-Block, New Reinder Nov. N. Detu	16312		10312	6.00			21963	8.00		COLAGE	34.64
3	6 Mate Jar Kaur Public School Ashoh Viter, Date	18101	5810	16911	67.62	15058	6688	21744	44.41	49.07	16.11	36.67
9	6 Male Shv Devi Public School, Keshev Puram, Dehi	1520	237	1767	16.89	1663	258	1922	16.67	8.81	6.26	9.34
10	G Mater Del School, Tiet Lane	9000		8440	6,90	13427		13427	6,60	47,71	#OrV##:	42.71
-	1 Mire Model Sr. Sec. School, B-Bloch, Jerest Puri, NO	1335	2334	16488	17,60	17263	2636	19011	14,27	29.28	12,64	24.64
_	2 Modern Child Public School, Purjebl Besti, Neglol, NO	3461		3143	0.00	3212	963	4076	28.67	-10.76	NOI/VOI	3.04
_	3 Modren Schoot, Bereichernbe Roed, Delhi	16700					8758		29,63	86.64	24.24	63.64
	Modren School, Vesaré Viter	13920	+		+		8235		34.30		42.47	63.41
_	5 Monthort School, Ashok When, Deht	13120	+	+			300		2.34		-16.96	24.94
	6 Mount Abu Sr. Sec. School, BJ West Shelmer Begh, Dehi 7 Mount Cermel School, Anend Niketen	130	+	+	63.66	1626		2484	02.77	•	22.67	23.77
<u> </u>	6 N C. Jindel Public School, West Purjeti Begn	964	+	+	21.12		3877 5356	26126 10841	17.43	<u> </u>	22.43	43.34
_	9 N.K. Bagrodie Public School, Sector 9, Robin Deby	530	+	+	41.17				30,72	+	28.84 36.26	34.64 66.01
_	O Nelande Modern Public School Burari	330	2001	0107	+	462	+	442	0,00	+	#D(V/0!	60.63 606//61
	1 Netronal Public School Daryageny	90	30		4	•	+	1244	2.74	36 61	13 33	34.94
	2 Nettonal Public School, Bela Road	193	+				+	•—	11.98		-4,00	23.64
_	3 Nevjervan Model School, Vishnu Gerden N D	104	+	+					36.19			
11	4 New Era Public School, Mayer Purl	2551	1	26617				34390	6.00			34.77
11	S New Hortzon School, Nizamuddin East.	215		2670	1				34,61		134.83	44,61
	6 New Jein Heppy School, South Americal Extension	48:	8					-		•	44.71	18,64
	7 New Sereswell Public School, Neglot, NO	63		+	+	870	172	1061	19.47	37.46	82.96	43,X
	6 New State Academy Sr. Sec. School, Ptem Pure	_ 305	+		+	4		•		•		44.34
	9 Notre Deme School, B. T. P.S. Staff Colony, Bederput	365	+	-	+	•		•—	18.21	•	64, 97	70 AL
	O Oxford Public School, Hehru Heger, N. Debi-85	90		•	+	•—	•		8.64	·		71.61
	Plato Public School L. P., Ent. Patper Gerij Delti	170		-		•	•	-				9.61
	2 Prothu Cyul Public School, Studener Begn	1070				•				•		
	3 Pringress Public School, Lechi Estate, New Dehi Puneat Public School, Vishwes Negar Shahdara	410	+			•	•					31.04
	Rahee Girls Public School, Oesthjan Street Betrruren	116		4-	4			4	4		362	
	A The same of t	755	셜	7643	0 00	3343	H	13343	i[0 00	7 34 64	MOLANO.	30 **

				.		. ::::	2 1	_		برج	إحاصمانات	
\$ No.	Name of the School	Tuffen	offection (Other Chrs as	Tutten	ofection(1	Total	Other Chrs. se	Tullen	% Increas	
		Fo4	Charges		a % of I Fee	Fee	Charges		e % of I fee	Fee	Charges	Tetu
126	Rak®iars Modern School, Sant Nager	545		446	4 00		•	762	0.00			37.H
	Rejornani Public School, Villus Puri, N. Delini	87	1	82	0 00	215	0	216	1 10	147.20		162.20
	Remies Nursery Primery School Derye Genj	1110	425	1636	38,29	1449	502	1961	34,64	36 64	10.12	27, 19
129	Remies Public School, Anend Partiel, N. DelN (Gey Binarding)	2827	754	3481	29 47	4476	1666	6142	37.22	64.33	120,66	71.62
130	Rarries School Puse Road, New Delhi	5770	1427	7147	24.96	6554	2342	8814	84.73	14 68	44.12	34.47
131	Remins School, Anund Pervet, Derhi	5360	2503	7443	44.70	8117	2536	10663	31.24	61,44	1 32	36.44
132	Remies School, R.K. Puram	5470	2075	8646	34.76	8001	2097	10008	26.21	34.62	1,66	24.62
133	Ratten Chied Arys Public School, Sarghs Nager, New Cells 93	623		1222	30 14	1307	267	1674	20.43	39 19	-4 66	28.61
,	Red Roses Public School: Sakel	2006	·	3724	39 49	7991	1177	4168	36 36	12.19	11 26	11.02
	Rockfield Public School, Sector III, Rohm Delhi	7.0	<u> </u>	311	21,48	430	+	646	24.16	71 40	62,73	74 24
	Rulument Devid Jaipune Public School: Ref Fur Road	6368	·		44 16	8757	1182	1939	13 40	37 09	-58.09	7 84
	Rulumini Dwhi Public School Pitam Pura	8563	·	·	34.13	12286	•	16679	20.10	43 14	12 43	× 11
,	S. M. Anyai Public School, Pargabil Baigh N()	6066	<u>+</u>	·	48 90	8571	•		34 19	40 03	-0 10	27.39
	S M Public School, East Krishen Hinger Dulhi	450	+		17 11	472	+	744	(1 86	4.11	279.22	44 97
	S.K.R. Public School, Inderpurt	1256	+		48 60	1/80	48?	2262	27 00	40 60	-21 60	20.32
	S.S. Mote Singh Public Model School, Gury Herlyshen Nigr, NO	9043	2604	11647	·	6560	2402	6660	8.00	44 36	#Orv/ol	40 38
	S.S. Mate Singh Public School, Jenes Pun, NO Sachdeve Public School, Rohini, Sector-13	8050			28 60	11749	+	14236	21.17	26.92	-4.40	22.23
	Sanoday Sr Sec School Selderling	5515	+	6616	8.00	6140	·	6140	43.67	71 47	26 24	44
145		11652	+		19 86	14956	2377	17333	18.89	26.16	#DrV/01	22,01
146		570		1794	196 12	1080	+	2260	199,26	86.47	1,16	32,84
1	Seraswell Bel Mandir, Rajourl Gerden, NO	2121	+		24.42	2438	768	3404	29.11	24.38	6,21	19,47
$\overline{}$	Saraswell Vidyatrya, Daryagant	1614	735	2340	44	7747	702	3038	36.26	39.22	7,74	29 37
	Sarder Patel Vidyeleye, Lodi Estate, New Dern	11116	6778		78.87	10985	9297	20282	64.03	-1.16	6.01	1,96
	Serol Mortessory School Week Wher Phase II N. Delhi-65	339	1	334	8.00	351	200	341	0.40	3.64		3 64
	Sh. Duelet Rem Public Sr. Sec. School, Kumer Din Nager,	7750	1148	3396	61.02	3566	دري	4498	20,14	66,49	-10.02	32,37
15	Shrv Veril Model Sr. Sec. School, Palem Road. New Delhi	8155		8166	0 00	8459		8668	6.60	0,18	#D(V/01	6 18
15	Scientel Public School, Hersh Dev Park Booth Wher Ph. 11 Deth	375	285	640	74 00	448	107	766	66.63	19.47	7.72	14 39
15	Samerville School , Derye Gent, Duth	34(2)	3!4	3762	10 36	451/	404	6021	6.76	36 40	14,12	33 47
(15	Samnivilla School Vasinairin Enclave Dehi	65.44	i tara	1 4447	71 09	8213	7436	10763	29.39	38.63	67.60	23.44
	Spring Balus Putate Sr. See. School Blagat Sarah Road Codta	į Lyr	•	1216	0 00	<u> </u>		1431	0 00	17 76	#O(V/O	17 74)
	7 Spring Days Model School Ashok Weer Delhi	See	4 - / / /	• · • · · ·	•	1575	478	2503	60 02	66 03	76	- = .::
	d Springstales School Dhaulii Keini, N. Delhi	1151	+- · · · · ~	11917	•	4	4 - (17246	0.00	44.00	#DIV/01	44 61
	SI Springstons School Pusa Road	14.41	·	+·	•	• 	 (22910	17 66	37,34	60 84	40 12
	A St. Anthony's Sr. Sec. School, Hauz Klus, New (Hith) 16	605	+		69.29	+		14216	44.73	46 61	26.61	47 86
	1 St. Cecilla Public School, F-Block, Vikas Purt, ND	435	+					9476	43.64	61.61	48,39	60 43
	2 St. Francis De Sales Sr. Sec. School, Janek Puri Delta	13670		13678		_	+	19343	9.00	41 42	#DIV/el	41.42
	3 St. FROEBEL School, Paschen Vihar, Delhi 4 St. Joseph's Academy Jewale Neger, Shahdera	757	_	7620	24.72		304	1261	32.10 4 00	76.02 39.96	120,67 #OFV/9!	33 14
	S. St. Lawrence Audic School. Dished Colony, Deh-So(North-East)	34	+	+			182	684	41.13	21.61	-13,74	6.24
_	M St. Mary's School, Salderlung Enclave	648				+	-		47,83	34.82	113,86	64.03
_	7 St. Mary's Sr. Sec. School, Ambice Wher, Peschim Wher, NO	72		+		—		1829	62,29	64,64	26,26	42.00
_	18 St. Michel's Sr. Sec. School, Puse Road N. Delhi	539		6300	0 00			6614	6.00	20.00		20.84
_	10 St. Paul's Diocesem School, Jengoure —	102			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2007	63,09	27.78	12.00	21.94
_	TO St. Paul's School, Safdarjung Development Area	548						_	31.42	39.60	16.94	12.34
	11 St. Rosetr Public School (Middle) Shallmar Bag, Dehil	81	+	+	+	+		1073	8.40	10,96	-1.06	17.78
	2 St. Thomes Girts Sr. Sec. School Mendr Merg	1070	5 531:	16010	49.63	11060	5440	16620	49,16	1,60	2.39	3,13
	12 St. Xavier School, Rejivins Marg Delff	1335	1 5331	18642	30.03	18561	9160	26821	43,73	39,77	63.07	43 67
	74 St. Xavier's School, Shebad Deuletour, Tiefe	567	101	6664	17 00	9017	1378	10396	16 26	69 00		66 62
	Summer Fleids School, Karlesh Colony	1872	·	10224	+			24646	0 00	44 24	≠OrV/01	46.26
	16 Sural Bhan D. A. V. School, Vesant Wher	522	+					6713	14.64	44.64	19,16	39 92
	17 Swall Modern Public School, Muncha, Dehl	34		+				636	26,17	26.67	44 05	29.64
	78 T. N. Public School Krishan Viher, Dehi 110041	11		4			+	847	16,40	8,64	39,11	0.24
	No Tagora School, Story Oct. train Date -	535	+		·						E-WAL.	
1:	10 The Air Force School, Subrata Perk, Derk Cents E1 The MOther International School, Sri Aurobado Marg, N Debt	1300	+	$\overline{}$			+					
H	EZ Tru Public School, Sergere Vher	1068	6 484	16620			+	20409	31.02	39,66		31,43
	E3 Universal Public School, A Block, Prest Vinar			 	40rv/e1	419	+	6340	23,16		#OrV/01 544 00	26 6E
1	B4 Vesent Velley School Vesent Kunj	1193		1304	+	+	•		5.40 12.94	26.25 34,40		31.69
H	85 VOLC Remins Hursery Primary School Bellimeren	50					4	841	36.21	11.07	33.84	14.14
- 13	86 Ved Vyes D.A.V. Public School, Villas Purt New Debt	1151				16500			7.31			41.00
T	87 Visital Bhartl Public School, A-Block, Peschim When HO	180				-	+	6123				77 61
		<u> </u>		'دنتسه	±	•===						

The Committee discovered that notwithstanding the directive of the High Court, as many as 57 schools (out of 187 schools) had levied the total fee in excess of the stipulated ceiling of 40%, in some cases the hike being more than 70%, and that once raised the schools do not seem to have brought it down to 40% except in one or two cases. The table below sets the data about the number of schools falling in each range of fee hike separately for the tuition fee, other charges as also the total fee.

Table III: Number Of Schools Falling In Different Ranges Of Hike In Collections Of Tuition Fee, Other Charges And Total Fee

No. of Schools

The Range Of Hike	Collections in respect of Tuition Fee	Collection in respect of Other Charges	Total Collection
30% to 40%	36	14	42
40% to 50%	39	09	30
50% to 60%	13	07	10
60% to 70%	04	04	07
70% & above	17	17	10

5.9 Further this table also provides some other interesting information pertaining to additional collections in 1997-98, such as .

Table No IV: The Table Showing the Broad Pattern of Collections of Fee by the Schools.

Number of Schools

		30110015
1.	Number of schools with additional total collections as also	
	additional collection of Tuition Fee in excess of 40 percent.	38
2.	Number of schools with additional total collection as also	
	additional collection under Tuition Fee below 40%.	80
3.	Number of schools with total additional collection in excess of	
	40% and additional collection under Tuition Fee below 40%.	09
4.	Number of schools with total additional collection below 40%	
	but collection under Tuition Fee in excess of 40%.	23

The Table also revealed that there is no set relationship in regard to the levies made by the different schools under the heads 'tuition fee' and 'other charges'. The percentage of 'other charges' to 'tuition fee', varies very widely from school to school (so do the detailed heads under 'other charges' under which levies are being collected by different schools). The data, therefore, falls to provide any basis to lay down guidelines for determining a prudent ratio of 'tuition fee' and 'other charges'. For example out of 143 schools for which the comparable data was available while in 42 schools

'other charges' as a percentage of 'tution Fee' registered an increase, the remaining 101 schools' showed a decline.

- charging a consolidated amount as fee, which is a combined total of tuition fee, other charges and all other levies charged by the school. This provided them with a greater flexibility in incurring expenditure; as also for warding off any possible criticism about not spending the money for the purpose for which it had been collected. This also forestalls any itemised scrutiny of receipts under different heads of collections of any specific levy to evaluate their justification or otherwise. In view of the wider implications of this practice the Committee is of the view that this recent trend should be curbed forthwith and the schools should be required to describe the specific heads under which the fee and other charges were being levied.
 - The Committee notes with concern, that of the 73 schools where collection under the head of tuition fee was more than 40% in 1997-98, compared to the preceeding year, as many as 22 schools hiked their tuition fee again in 1998-99. The data with regard to total collections for 1998-99 was not available to the committee for reasons already explained. The list of these schools is given below:

List of Schools Which Hiked Fee, In 1997-98 And 1998-99.

S. No.	Name of the school
1	Army Public School, Ridge Road, Dhaula Kuan, New Delhi-10
2	Crescent School, Daryaganj, New Delhi
3	D.A.V. Centenary Public School, Shakur Basti, Rani Bagh, Delhi-34
4	D.A.V. Public School, Sreshtha Vihar, Delhi
5	Dayanand Model Sec. School, Patel Nagar, New Delhi-8
6	Deepayan Vidya Niketan, Harsh Vihar, Pitampura, Delhi-34
7	Delhi Police School , Safdarjang Enclave Delhi
8	Faith Academy Christian Minority Sr. Sec. School, Prasad Nagar, N. Delhi-5
9	Golden Valley Public Sec. School, Najafgarh, New Delhi-43
10	Gyan Bharti School, Saket, New Delhi
11	Lions Public School, Ashok Vihar, Phase-I, New Delhi
12	Mata Jai Kaur Public School, Ashok Vihar, Phas- III, Delhi-52
13	Modren School, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi
14	Modren School, Vasant Vihar, N. Delhi
15	MT. Carmel School, Anand Niketan, New Delhi-21
16	N.K. Bagrodia Public School, Ahinsa Marg, Rohini, Delhi -85
17	Ramjas Public School, Anand Parbat, (Day Boarding) New Delhi-5
18	Rock field Public School, Sector 3 Rohini Delhi
19	S.M. Arya Public Sr. Sec. School, Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi
20	Sant Nirankari Public School, Sant Nirankari Colony, Delhi-9
21	Spring Days Model School, Ashok Vihar-I, Delhi-52
22	ST. Xavier's School, Shahbad Daulatpur, Delhi-42

With regard to the levies relating to Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money, the Committee observed that out of the total 187 schools, from whom information was received in response to the Foliation Notice of Jan. 10/12.1997, as many 55 schools have either not furnished any information or furnished only partial information, about their respective fee structures. (A list of such schools is given below)

List of Schools which have not submitted Complete fee structure

- 1 Adarsh Bal Vidyalya, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi
- 2. Adarsh Jain Dharmic Shiksha Sadan , Najafgarh
- 3. Arvind Gupta, D.A.V. Centenary Public School, Mukherjee Nagar.
- 4.Bal Vikas Modern School, East Azad Nagar Delhi.
- 5.Bhatnagar International School, Vasant Kunj
- 6.Bosco Sr. Sec. School, Sunder Vihar, ND
- 7.D.A.V. Public School, Yusaf Sarai Delhi
- 8.D.A.V. Public School, Chander Nagar, Janak Puri, ND
- 9.D.A.V. Public School, Ashok Vihar, Phase IV, Delhi
- 10. Daisy Dales School, East of Kailash, New Delhi
- 11. Darbari Lal D.A.V. Model School, BN Bloack, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi
- 12. Dashmesh Public School 'C' Block , Vivek Vihar.
- 13. Dayanand Adarsh Vidayalya, Arya Smaj, ND
- 14. Deep Public Sr. Sec. School, Vasant Kuni
- 15. Delhi International Happy School, Jangpura, N. Delhi-14.
- 16 Dev Samaj Modern School, Nehru Nagar
 - 17. Frank Anthony Public School, Lajpat Nagar, New Delhi
 - 18.G.C. Public School, New Ashok Nagar, Delhi
 - 19. Hans Raj Model School, Punjbai Bagh, Delhi
 - 20. Hill Grove Public School, Safdarjung Enclave
 - 21. Holy Gross Sr. Sec. School, Najafgarh, New Delhi-43
 - 22. Holy Heart Secondary School, F Block, Mahavir Enclave.
 - 23. Krishana Model Sec. School, Najafgarh, New Delhi
 - 24. Kulachi Hansraj Model School, Ashok Vihar Phase-III
 - 25. Lilawati Vidya Mandir, Shakti Nagar, Delhi
 - 26. Lions Public School, I Blocks, Ashok Vihar, Delhi
 - 27.M.M. Public School, Vasundra Enclave, Pitampura, Delhi
 - 28. Maharaja Aggarsain Adarsh Public School, Hardhian Singh Road
 - 29. Maharishi Dayanand Public School, Ishwar Colony Bawana Delhi
 - 30. Manav Sthalf-School, R-Block, New Rajinder Ngr. N. Delhi
 - 31. Mata Shiv Devi Public School, Keshav Puram, Delhi
 - 32. Montfort School, Ashok Vihar, Delhi
 - 33. Nalanda Modern Public School, Buran
 - 34. Navieevan Public School, Vishnu Garden N.D.
 - 35. New Horizon School, Nizamuddin East.
 - 36.New Jain Happy School, South Anarkali Extension.
 - 37. New State Academy Sr. Sec. School, Pitampura.
 - 38. Notre Dame School, B. T. P.S. Staff Colony, Badarpur P. O.
 - 39. Rabea Girls Public School, Qasimjan Street Ballimaran
 - 40.Rajdhani Modern School, Sant Nagar

- 41.Rattan Chand Arya Public School, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-93
- 42.Red Cross Public School, Saket
- 43. Rukmani Devi Jaipuria Public School, Raj Pur Road
- 44.St. Anthony's Sr. Sec. School, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-16
- 45.St. Joseph's Academy Jawala Nagar, Shahdara
- 46.St. Mary's School, Safdarjung Enclave
- 47.St. Michel's Sr. Sec. School, Pusa Road N. Delhi
- 48.St. Paul's Diocesam School, Jangpura
- 49.St. Paul's School, Safdarjung Development Area
- 50.St. Roseir Public School, (Middle) Shalimar Bagh, Delhi
- 51.Summer Fields School, Kailash Colony
- 52. Swati Modern Public School, Mundka, Delhi
- 53.T. N. Public School, Krishan Vihar, Delhi-110041
- 54. The Mother International School, Sri Aurbindo Marg, N.Delhi
- 55. Vasant Valley School, Vasant Kunj

The relevant data about the remaining 132 schools in respect of these levies, has been scrutinized for Comparing the permissible ceilings as per the Court order with the actual levies in the year 1997-98 and 1998-99. The necessary details are contained in the table given below. The failure to comply with the Hon'ble High Court Order has been highlighted in bold print.

Table V: Comparsion of Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money Levied by Different Schools with the Permissible Ceiling as per the High Court Order.

- t			gisti stion f	ee / the Deptil)		emission fo		Re 604/Pm	ecribed by	the Deat
-		Au en 31,3 87			As on 31.187		1990-99	Ac on 31.3.97		1006-01
		Parmissible			Permissible			Parmis sible		
Me	Name of the School	as per High			as per High			se per High		
_		Court Order	L		Court Order			Court Order		
	Ahlcen Public School, Meyur Vihar, Ph-1, Delhi				2000	6000		2000	3060	
	Air Force Ball Bhare School, Lodhi Roed, N. Delhi	750	250	0		1500	1500	4000	4000	400
	Ar Force Golden Jubies Institute Defit Carit	5/1	sn	50	200		290 400		400	•
	Angel's Public Sr. Sec. School, Vishwas Neger Shahadra Apagyay School Pelam Pura				3300	3200	3290		5000	50
	Apex Public School, Sant Nager Delhi-84				1000	1000	1000		~~~	
	Army Public School, Ohaula Kuen	75	100	100		300	3+0		1000	10
	ASH Sr. Sec. School, Mayur Whar	100		100		1000	1500		1600	15
	Bal Share Public School Sec-14, Rohin, Dehi	25	25	25		2000	2000	2000	2000	20
10	Banastinii Public School, Vikas Puri, N.Dotri		İ		400	600		500	900	
11	Bhal Permanend Vidye Mandir, Surye Niketan Dethi-82	05	60	60	500	500	500			
	Bharathya Vidya Bhavan's Mahta Vidyalaya, New Detri	100		100	1500	1500	1500		1500	15
	Bheven Sewen Public School N. Delhi	1001	1000	50			200		5000	
	Birta Vidya Hikatan, Pushpa Viner 4, N. Delhi-17		.		100		100		1500	1:
	Bloom Public School , Vasant Kurg , N. Delts.	 _	 	 -	2500				5000	50
	Blue Belle School, Kalloch Colony, New Delta-48 Combales Foundation 518, Released Colon Faths	 	 	 	1000		2590 2500		3000 4000	ж Х
	Cambrige Foundation \$38, Rejourt Gdn. Estn.	ł	 		2500				4044	
	C.L. Bhalle Daymand Model School, Jhandewatrn Central Public School, School Block, Shvikar Pur	 		 	75	4	-]	<u> </u>	
	Chougate Public School, Karol Bagh, Detv	150	150	···	500		 	7000	2000	
21	Crescent School, Zeenstul Masafd, Darysgani N. Delhi	1	1	} .	300				1900	11
	D. A. V. Public School, Dayanand Viter Delhi 42	ļ ·	 	†··· ·	500		500			7
	D. A. V. Public School, Verent tury	t		1	700		A			
	DAY Public School, R.B. Enclava, Punchim Vihar, H.D.	1		1	1000	1600	1	1000	1600	
	D.A.V. Cortonary Public School, Mukhorfi Hgr, Dolhi	1	-	1	1200	1600	160	1200	2000	2
	D.A.V. Cortonary Public School, Rolland Road, N.Delv	1	1	1	1000	1000	150	1002		2
	D.A.V. Colonary Public echool, Raril Bagh, Defri	7) S		1000	1000	<u> </u>	1009		
	D.A.V. Public School Sreehtha Vihar, Detvi-12	I			200				2000	
	DAY, School, Mousen Viher	I		1	800					.
	DAV. School, Vesnet Viner	 -	1	!	150					1
	Oarbert Lat, D.A.V. Model School, Pliam Pure, Oets	↓	₩		150				2000	2
	Deynand Adersh Vidveleva, Sec School Tink Hear				1 30			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000	L
	Deyarand Model Sec. School, Patel Hagar	ļ		-	750			1500	1500	
	Debi Poolice Public School, Settlerjung Enclave	 	 	 	2000		4	1509	4000	
	S Defit Public School R. K. Purani S Defit Public School, Mateura Roed, N. Oets	- -	 	 	2000				4000	; 40
	Despayen Vidye Miketars, Pitam Pure	1	 	+	150				4000	4
	Devine Happy School, Purchim Whar, New Defti	+	+	+	50				500	
	East Point School Prest Viner #	+	+	+	150				2500	. 2
	O Fath Academy, Presed Nor H. Deft	+	 	 	1	"	1 .30	7000	2000	7
4	I G.G.S. Public School, Tlink Nager, New Dolhi	-	1	 	200	260	200	N		
_	Golden Verly Public Sec. School, Newlgerh	1		1	30	200	290			
4	3 Green Fields Public School Dilshed Garden				50				1640	1
_	Green Fleide Schoel, Salderjung Enclave	10	0 10	el	200		·	3000	3000	
	Curu Americae Public School, Tilak Neger, N.Delhi	1		1	25				<u> </u>	
	Curu Har Kriehen Public School, Nanak Plau, Dehl	20							1000	1
	Guny Hartrishan Public School, India Gate, N. Delhi	20			100			1000	1000	
_	B Gutu Hartrishin Public School, Vissari Visar B Gyen Bharall School, Salut, N. D17		vi							2
-;	Differentiard Public School, Sangers Wher New Delta	7								2
	Happy Medel School, Janakpuri	1	N 10	10	50					
	Hoppy School Darys Gard, New Detail	+	+		1 40				1	'
-	D Hears Public School Samakha, Detri 37		<u>s</u>	<u> </u>	30				1	· · · · · ·
	Michael Public School, Lapat Nager 1, N. Delh				30					
	K_LBSL Public School, Nestrour	1	1		30			0 102		
5	K.J.K. Nepsy School, Cheertusian Debi	1	1	-1	10				1000	
•	PUN Modern Public School Reni Broth Defi				X					ļ
_	PAUR Public School, Seon Pur New Deh				20				2640	 ;
	So Jeapel Kear Public ochool, shalmer Bagh, Delhi			-1	200					1 -
	D Anthorid DAV. Public School, Paschin Whar, N. Datt	4			100				7044	1
	is Jugarend's Dess Anya Vedic Sec School Ninya Baris, Delta	·					5 3		2000	1 2
					40	10 64				<u> </u>
٠,	Diffestrate Public School, Veneral Kury Diffestrate Connect Prospert Viter School Duby	- -		i		1	nt	1011	1090	1
	Distantion Public School, Venerit Kung Distancer's Convent, Preshant What, Actual, Dutte Left Learners Public School, House Khoe Enclave, K. Dutte	 		.	30			101	4.——	

j			no De de la			imission fo			n Moneyree	
ļ					Rs 2004-Fr				1997.04	
Į		As en 31.3.87	1997.94	1998-89	As on 31,3 97	1997-86	1904-04	18 C 15 ne BA	1997-84	1988-98
- 1		Parmissible	1	ļ	Permissible			Permissible	1	
٠.	Name of the School	as per High	l	i	as per High	1		as per High	l	
		Court Order	j	ì	Court Order			Court Order		
	M.C. Public School Nengloi Datri	1	}	1 -	1000	700	200			
	M C S Bel Mandr, Herr Neger, Driefs	 	 	÷	50	100		·		
		 		,						
	Meharaja Agarsah Public School, Pitam Pura, Delhi				300	600	500	4	1000	10
	Mehavir Senier Model School, G.T.Karnal Road, De Pil	100	1(4)	4	1000	2000		1000	2000	
0	Mala Jai Kaur Public School, Ashak Vitter Delhi	L	i	i	1500	2000	2000	2000	3040	30
٦,	Mater Del School, Tilak Lane, New Dethi	1	T	Ī	200	500	500	700	200	~~~
	Mice Model Sr. Sec. School, B. Block, Janek Phili	1	1	•	1500	15(0)	1500		-	
	Modern School, Saraktsemba Road, New Delhi	†	†·-	†	7500	7500	7500	7509	6040	60
	Modern School, Vasant Vinar, New Defts	 	 	†	7500	2500	7500			
	Mount Abu Sr Sec School, Shelemer Begli, Onthi		.	•	500			7500	2500	75
	Mount Carmel School Anand Miketen	+	+ ·	÷	1500			+- ··		
		 	 			2600	26.00		2500	<u></u>
	H.C. Jindel Public School, Punjabi Bagh		J	i	sno	760	500		10-00	5
	N K Bingrodie Public School, Rohim, Dints	4	1	i .	1500	1500	1500	2500	2500	75
	Nebonal Public School, Bells Road	<u> </u>	1		300	200	200	300	300	3
	Netional Public School Daryagers, New Onlts 2	1	1	i	300	200		302	300	
61	New Era Public School , Mays Purl	1	1	1	3000	6000	6090		5000	50
	New Saraewal Public School, Nanglei Extr. Detri	T	T	• ~~	100		200			
	Orford Public School, Nohru Neger, H. Delhi	200	300	306			2504		700	7
	Pinto Public Sr Sec School I P Ext Dehi	- 30			1	 ''•	494			
						 -		500	500	بند
	ProbhuDayal Public School Shallmar Bagh, Debi	50					500		2000	10
	Progress Public School, Lodhi Estato, New Dehi	51	¥¥	<u></u>			500			
	Pureet Public School, Vielwas Nager Delhi-32	J	<u> </u>	1			200			
8	Rejultural Public School, Hestsel, New Delty	I	L	I	i – – –	200	9 4 € €			
×	Ramine Hursery Frimary School, Daryageri, N. Delhi	50) 5	7	500	500	770	500	1000	
	Remies Public School (D.B), Anenci Perbit, New Deta	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3		250	250	200	1000	1000	
	Ramped School, Amend Parties, New Detri	†- ·		j 7	750		2700		940	
	Remes School Puse Road, New Date	1 <u>-</u>			900				1000	
								1000		
	Ranges School R K Purern	~)	ષં 📑	250		200		500	
	Romal Public St Sec School Sec 3 Robins	i	. }	1 -	100		100		L	L
7	Reckfield Public School, Sec. J. Rahini Deltr	1	1	1 .	500)i 800	104	0] 300	400	
¥	Rutmani Devi Public School, Pitam Pura, Delhi	1	i	i]		100	0	Ţ	10
3	S. K. R. Public School, Inderpuri, New Delhi	1 -	1	Ī	100	51	20	0 100	100	
	A S M AND Public School Puryate Rays N Links 16	1		- 1	1500	1500	1500	X		
	6 8. M. Public School, East Krishan Hager Dehi 41	1		-†	54		200			
	C S S. Mata Singh Model School, G H K. Hager Defe	, †			- 50c				1000	10
					4			1		
	IN S.S. Mater Sergia S.S.M., School, Jenek Pier N. Doti	4	-}		- 50x				1000	
	2 Selvan Public School Reinder Negra N. Dehi		- -		400			2009	2000	
10	3 Sert Hirenton Public School, Niverkan Cokiny, Delh			_L	200		200			
10	M Seresula Sr Sec. Vidyalya, Darya Gary, N Delv	1	_i		30	300	290	7		
11	Series Patel Vidgalore, Locks Estate			T	500	5000	5000	1000	1000	10
11	06 Serei Montessory School, West What 41 Deth 95		1		40	0400	400	N K		
	Seth ShaguarDass S.S. School, MauPur, Dehi			 	100					
	12 Stor Vari Model St. Sec. School, Palem				100				500	
		+	 							-
	De Stri David-Rom Public Sr. Sec. School, Hangiel, Delhi		 -	→	75				ļ	<u> </u>
	to Solanté Public School, Buch Wher				20					
	11 Spring Bales Public Sr. Sec. School, Hew Gobind Pure	X	20 2	20 :	0 20			0 200		
	12 Spring Dates School , Pusa Road		I		100	0 1000	100	0 1500	1500	13
1	13 Spring Dales School, Dhanda Kuan #	1	T	1	100	0 1000			1500	1
	14 Spring Days Model School, Ashot Wher, Deliv	1		1	50					<u> </u>
	15 Srisswab Bei Mande, Regoun Gon Extr. N. Delly			 -		0 3		300	400	
	16 St. Cecifin's Public School Villas Puri, H.Delte			·	100				+00	
	17 St. Francie De Sales Sr. Sec. School, Janek Puri	+	→	 -						
					35			1,300		1
1	4 St. Freebel School, Paechim Viner, Defri				90					
_	19 St. Lewrence Public School, Offehad Garden, Detri	. !		점	<u>ප</u>	350			700	
	30 St. Merry's Sr. Sec. School, Paschim Vitar, How Deline				Sc				::::::	
_1	21 St. Thomas Sr. Sec. School, Mandr Mang N. Dehi	3	001 3	<u> </u>	00 100	0 100	100		I ,	1
1	22 St Xavier's School Sheltbed Deulet Pur Delte				100				500	
_	23 St. Kevier's School Rajnheau Marg. Delti-64				100					
3	M Sumorville School (Daryngary), Arreat Road, N. Debil		-+		700					
-	25 Sumerville School, Vacundhers Enciare			_						
_!	AND THE TAXABLE TRANSPORT PACING		—		300					
1					100					
1	X Tagera School Maye Part, Delhi			50	50 20				400	1
1	X Tagare School, Maye Part, Dethi 77 The Air Fence School, Sebada Part, Cata Card		50							
	IX Tagera School, Maye Part, Doth! 177 The Pir Ferre School, Subjects Part, Doth Care 131 Fee Public School, Sucrem Week N. Doth.	·				20				
	25 Tagara Richard, Mayor Parl, Dothi 27 The Air Facu School, Schoole Parl, Doth Carl 28 Ten Photo School, Sanguin War N. Doth 29 Universal Public School, A Black, Proof What	·			50 100					
	25 Tagere School, Mayo Parl, Doth! 27 The AF Foot School, Subrate Park, Doth Card 22 Tow Public School, Subrate Park, Doth Card 23 Tow Public School, Subrate What N Doth 23 Universel Public School, A Black, Proof What 30 V.D.U.C. Ranges Nursery Privary School, Bullmaren		50 1	50		160	160	6 500		
	IX Tagera School, Maye Part, Doth! 177 The Pir Ferre School, Subjects Part, Doth Care 131 Fee Public School, Sucrem Week N. Doth.			50	50 100 24 15	10 164 10 15	6 164 0 24	6 500 6 550	944	

Table V: Comparsion of Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money Levied by Different Schools with the Permissible Ceiling as per the High Court Order.

		Re	gistration F	••	[Ā	imission fo	i ci	Wen Money Se	curty	-
j		Re. 26 /- (***			Re 2001-Pr	escribed by	the Deptl)	Re 600/ (Pre	ecribed by	the Dept
- 1		As an 31.3 87		1130 99	As on 31.3.87			As on 31.3 97		1986-81
	ł	Parmiesible			Permissible			Parmiesible	1	Į
No	Name of the School	as per High	i		as per High			se per High		
		Court Order		ĺ	Court Order			Court Order	1	ı
	Ahlcen Public School, Mayur Vihar, Ph-1, Delhi			-	7000	6000		2000	3060	
	Air Force Bal Briefs School Lochi Road, N. Derv	250	250	25.0	1500	1500	1590		4000	40
	As Force Golden Jubise Institute Deffy Carri	50				700	700		400	4
	Angel's Public Sr. Sec. School, Vishwas Heger Shahadra					400	-120			`
			j			3200	3200		5000	
	Aperiory School Perm Purs			 -	3200	1200	1090		2773	
	Apex Public School, Sart Negar Delhi-84			ļ	1000				1000	·
	Army Public School, Dhaula Kuan	75	100	·		300	300	·		10
	ASH Sr. Sec. School, Nayur Whar	100	100	100		1000	15#0		1644	1:
	Bal Shart Public School Sec-14, Rohini, Dehi	25	3	25	2000	2000	7000	2000	2000	
10	Benesthal Public School, Vikas Puri, H.Delhi			<u> </u>	400	600		500	900	<u> </u>
11	Bhei Parmenand Vidys Mandir, Surys Hiketan Dethi-42	70	60	60	500	500	500	ı I		
12	Bharathya Vidya Bhavan's Mohta Vidyalaya, Hew Dehi	100	200	100	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1
	Bheven Seven Public School N. Defts	1000	1000	50			700		5000	5
	Birts Vidya Nitutan, Pushpa Viher 4, N. Delhi-17				100		100		1500	
	Bloom Public School , Vasant Kury , N. Delhi.				7500		4600		5000	
				 			2500		3044	
	Shar Belle School, Kallach Celerry, New De N-48			·	1000	<u> </u>				
	Combrige Foundation \$38, Rejouri Gdn. Extr.		-	·	2500		2500	3000	4000)
	C.L. Bhalla Daymand Model School, Jhandevelin	· ——	L	!	1000		****	·		
	Central Public School School Block, Shekar Pur	·		1	75		ļ	<u> </u>		ــــــ
χ	Changule Public School, Karol Begh, Oets	150	150	·L	500	500	1	7000	2000	
21	Crescent School, Zeenstul Messifd, Derysganj H. Delhi	[1	1	300	640	1	1000	1999	1
	O. A. V. Public School, Doyanand Vitar Delhi #2			1	500	500	500	1000	2040	1
	O. A. V. Public School, Vesent lary	l	t		700			3		1
	DAY Public School, R.B. Enclave, Puechim Vitar, H.D.		+	···	1000		<u> </u>	1000	1600	
			·\$	d	1200				2000	
	DAY. Cordenary Public School, Multhers Ngr, Delhi	ļ		 						
	D.A.V. Cortonary Public School, Rottak Rood, N.Delhi	l			1000				2000	
	DAV. Celenary Public acheol, Rari Begh Detri	, y) 50	ol	100			1009		
21	DAY, Public School Sreshtha Vihar, Detri-12	I	i	. I	200	300	200	0 609	2040	1
7	DAY, School, Mausers Wher		1	1	80	1600	160	0	i	
X	DAV, School, Vision Vision	T	1	1	150	1500	150	0 1500	1500	<u> </u>
	Ourbari Lai, D.A.V. Model School, Pitam Pure, Debi	 	1	1	150	204	204	2000	2000	i
	Dayanand Adarsh Vidyalava, Sec School Tank Hagar	 	 	·	30					1
	3 Organish Medel Sec. School, Patel Hegar			i	750				1000	1
		 	 	+ 				1509	1500	
	M Oobi Poolice Public School, Salderung Enclave				100				4000	
	S Dotal Public School R. K. Purera	↓		. 	2000			1500		
	S Doint Public School, Mathers Rood, K. Dothi	1		_i	200				400	
;	D Doopsyan Welye Miketan, Pitam Pura	i	1	i	1500	1500	1500		4046	
7	M Devine Happy School, Puschim Whar, New Delhi		7		50	1000	100	500	500	l
	In East Point School, Preet Viner 45	1	1	1	150	1500	1500	2500	2500	7
	to Felth Academy, Presad Nor N. Defts	†	1	·	 	 	†	2009	2000	
	ti G.G.S. Public School, Tilek Hager, New Delhi	1	1	 	20	260	200	D 1		
	12 Golden Vally Public Sec School Newform	 	 		30					
	Green Fields Public School Dilished Garden	 	+	 -	50				1640	,
	Green Fields School, Safderjung Enclave	100	0110	al	200		1	3000	3000	
	COMPANIES SCHOOL SECURITY	+	7	~ -						-
	G Curu Americae Public School, Tlick Hoger, N.Delhi	 		<u></u>	1 25				4000	
	K Guru Har Krishan Public School, Hanak Plau, Detri	20							1000	
	Guru Hartershan Public School, India Gate, N. Derv	20			100			1000	1000	
	4 Guru Hertrishen Public School, Vosert Vine	20							:000	
	45 Gyan Sharati School, Saket, N. D17	7							2544	
	50 Hamdard Public School, Sangara Viver, New Dehi	10	0 10	10					2000	
	51 Happy Model School, Janetpuri				50	0 160	0 100		1000	
	52 Happy School Darys Gonf, New Deta	1			40	0 60	0 70	0 1009	2040	4
_	53 Hears Public School, Sameliche, Octo 37	2	5	101	.0 30	0 40	0 29	બ		i
_	St Hamman Public School, Legast Nager-1, N. Delh			50 5	0 30	0 30	0 39	0 500	500	
_	St. J.B.M. Public School/Hestryur	1	1		30				200	1
	SLLIK, Mappy School, Choorington Debi	 			10			<u> </u>		
_	SPJIN Modern Public School Rere Brigh Detre		+		70				1	1
	SI J.R. Public School, Segar Pur, New Debi	 	+		- 			3	-	1
-	So Jacquel Kaur Public acheel, shakmar Begh, Delhi	 -	+		700				2640	,
-	an Buddenfall D. B. V. Gudde G. Sand Burney Bollin, Urini	 	+							
-	at Shabbariel D.A.V. Public School, Poschin Whar, H. Delhi	+		-	100					+
_	41 Agreends Dess Arya Vedic Sec School Ninya Baris, Deta	.				5 2		3	2000	
	4) Matheria Public School, Venera Kung	_	-1	1	40				4	
	CO Lancar's Convert, Preshart What, Renay Duty	4	L	. 1	×					
•		1	I	1	200					
برد	Cr Lannan Public School, House Khae Enclave, N Delhi									of .
;; ;;	Lines Public School, Karkedoens, Debi-92	1 -	†	1	H	100	10 191	100	1000	·

Contd

-			glebation f			Imission fo			n MoneyrSe	
1		Ro. 26 J. PT							escribed by	
- 1		As en 31,3.87	1997-94	1998-99	As on 31,187	1947-88	1904-85	As on 31.3.97	1997-84	1908-99
- 1		Permissible			Permissible			Permisable		
٠.	Name of the School	as per High			as per High	1		as per High		
-		Court Order		_	Court Order			Court Order		
	M.C. Public School, Nington Debi	↓			1000	200	200			
	M.C.S. Bell Mandr, HerrNager, Drille				50	100				
	Maharaja Agarsash Public School, Fitam Pura, Delhi		L		300	660	500		1000	100
	Mahavir Senier Model School, G.T.Karnal Road, Delhi	100	1(#)		1000	2000		1009	2044	
	Mala Jal Kaur Public School, Ashok Viner, De Pri	ļ	∤	i	1500	2000	2000	•	3044	>>>
	Mater Del School, Titak Lane, New Delhi	-			200	600	500	700	200	K
	Mice Model Sr. Serc. School, B Block, Janeir Fhiri Modern School, Sarekhennba Road, New Delhi				1500	15(1)	15/3/			
	Modern School Vissari Vihar New Delta	+			2500 2500	2500 2500	2590		6040	. 60
	Mount Abu St Sec School, Shelimer Begti, Delm	 			500	500	2590	2500	2500	75
	Mount Carmel Siches Anand Niketen	† - · -			1500	2600	2590	:509	2500	75
	H.C. Jindai Public School, Punjabi Bagh	1			500	760	500	A	1000	- 3
	N.K. Begrode Public School, Rohm, Dints	1	† 		1500	1500	1590	.	2500	75
	Netional Public School Bala Road	1	t	•	300	200	200		300	3
o	Netional Public School Daryagers, New Delle 2	1	1		700	200		300	300	— · — ·
11	New Era Public School , Maya Purt	I	I	•	3000	6000	6000		5000	50
	New Sarsewal Public School, Nanglel Extr. Detri	1		I	100	200	. 200			I .
	Oxford Public Siches, Hehru Nager, N. Delhi	200	300	300	100	1700	2500	600	790	7
	Pinto Public Sr. Seic. School, I. P. Ext. Detri	50		7				500	500	
	ProbhsDayal Public School Shallmar Bagh, Defri	50		50		1000	500		2000	10
	Progress Public School, Lodhy Estate, New Dehi	50	50	50			50X			
	Pursed Public School, Vielwas Mager Delhi-32	1	ļ	l	300		200			- 5
	Regitheri Public Sichool, Hestsel, New Defin	 	 		ļ	200	- PO			
	Ramine Mureary Primary School, Durysgary, H. Dolls	50			500		770		1000	5
	Remas Public School (D 8), Arrend Parbit, New Delta	9					500		1000	
	Ramies School, Anand Parties, New DelN	5/				<u> </u>	2700		944	5
	Remas School Page Road New Defu	<u>9</u>	•	٠	600		.	1000	1000	
	Remes School R K Purem	_~			750		290		500	
	Remai Public St Sec School Sec 3 Rohan	4		}	100r		100		401	
	Recitived Public School, Sec. 3, Rahiri Delhi Rukmani Devi Public School, PEarn Pura, Delhi			ļ -		·			400	
	S. K. R. Fublic School, Inderpuri, New Delhi	-	1	Į.	190	d	100		100	10
	S M Aye Public School, Puryabi Reyn N Defr. 16	· 	- ' }	1	1500				10.	<u></u>
	S. M. Public School, East Krishen Hager Dehl-41		- 	· + ·	50					
	S.S. Mota Singh Model School, G.H.K. Hager Defe	, 		- 	- 500				1000	10
	SS Mota Seigh SSM School, Jenek Pve N Doh			-	- 50				1000	5
	Selven Public School , Reginder Neger, H. Dehi	1		 -	400			7000		
	Sert Hirarian Public School, Nirentran Colony, Dehi			1	79				1000	†
	Seresures Sr Sec. Vidyelye, Darye Gary N Doto		1	T	30				·	
	6 Serder Petel Vidjelinje, Locile Estate		1	1	500	5000			1000	10
	6 Seroj Montessory School, Wwett Wher It Delhs 95				40	400	400	Di Company		
16	Seth BhagnarDass S.S. School, Mauphir, Dehi				100					
10	s Shiv Yari Model St. Sec., School, Palem			1 - 2	5 190		100	500	500	
	s Shri Deades-Rom Public Sr. Sec. School, Hanglel, Dolle				75			P		
	o Solarté Public School, Buch Viter	_1			30					
	1 Spring Bales Fublic Sr. Sec. School, New Gobind Fure	30	20	0 :	0 20					
	2 Spring Dates School, Puse Road		1	1	100					
	3 Spring Dates School, Dhaute Kuen pr				100					
	Spring Days Model School, Ashek Whar Delh			J	50					
	S Sreswab Bal Mandr, Rejouri Gdn Exin, N. Delli		J	J				300	400	1
	6 St. Cecilin's Public School Vilus Puri, H.Delts		<u> </u>	J	100				ļ	
11	17 St. Francie De Sales Br., Sec. School, Janek Puri		 _		35			1300		
	St. Freebel School, Paschim Vihar, Defti				60					
	St Lewrence Public School, Dilehad Garden, Detri	- +		<u> </u>	B	35			200	
	St Marry's Sr. Sec. School Paechim Viter, item Deline		<u></u>		<u> </u>				4 (55)	
	21 St. Flories Sr. Sec. School Mendr Merg. N. Deth.		<u> </u>	<u> 5</u>	100	-+			 	
	27 St. XAMBA'S School Shahbed Deutst Pur Dethi 29 St. Keyler's School Rajnshvan Marg, Dethi 64				100					
-	Sumerville School (Daryngary), Arrest Road, H. Delhi	_+			700					
•	25 Sumorville School, Vacundhera Enciave	 			300					
1	X Tegere School Mays Part Delhi		-}		100					
1	77 The Air Force School, Subrato Park, Date Card		50	50	50 30					
٠,	3011 PM PMDRC School: Sanction What N. Diebe	+		7	~ ·	7 20			1	1
•	73 Wilversel Public School, A Block, Freet Wher	-	50 15	vo 10x	50 100				100	•
•	XIV.D.U.C. Remies Hursory Primary School, Bellimeren				19					•
•	11 Vode Vyest DAV Public School Vitus Part H Delte			-1	750					0 1
<u> </u>	Webs Bhart Public School Proction Viter New Oats									

A look at this statement shows that out of 132 schools only 57 schools have complied in full with the High Court's directives. The remaining schools have violated from the prescribed norms in respect of one or more of these items. Three of these schools have deviated in respect of all the 3 items, 25 schools in respect of 2 items and 47 in respect of one item only. The itemised position of deviations is given in the table below:-

Table No VI: The Table Giving Nature of Violations of Order of the High Court Order

Violation in regard to celling of :	No of Schools
Registration Fee	08
Admission Fee	61
Caution Money	38
Registration Fee & Admission Fee	05
Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money	03
Admission Fee and Caution Money	22

5.15 The Committee, in its meeting with the officials of the Directorate of Education, inquired about the action taken by the latter for enforcing and monitoring the implementation of the Order of the Hon'ble High Court about the extent of the fee hike for these items. It was informed that the Department had forwarded the relevant extracts of the judgment of the

Hon'ble High Court, to the schools for compliance. No action was, however, taken by the Directorate of Education for monitoring the Implementation of the Court Order on the ground that the matter "was subjudice". The Committee fails to appreciate this contention and considers, that the matter was important enough for the Department to monitor the extent of enforcement of the judgment of the Court and for taking remedial measures as and where necessary. This was all the more necessary in view of the following observations of the Court:

"It is the obligation of the Administrator and/or Director of Education to prevent commercialisation and exploitation in private unaided schools including schools run by minorities".

- Although the Committee was subsequently informed that the officers of the Directorate of Education nominated on the Managing Committees of the schools, were also directed to ensure the implementation of the directions of the High Court, while finalising the fee-structure for the academic year 1999-2000; and further to ensure their presence and active participation in the meetings, so as to prevent 'commercialisation' and 'exploitation' in the Schools within their respective jurisdictions, no mechanism was however, evolved to monitor the follow up action by the Directorate.
- 5.17 The Committee takes a very serious view of the passive role of the Directorate in respect of lapses on the part of the erring schools and

recommends that the Department of Education, should forthwith initiate such action as is necessary for rectification of the wrongs on the part of schools such as insistance for the refund/adjustment of the amounts collected in excess by the schools; as also for preventing the recurrence of such lapses in future.

So far as the levies regarding Registration Fees, Admission Fees and Caution Money are concerned, the cumulative effect of the Interim Order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 11.12.1997 and the Final Judgment dated 31.10.98 was that whereas the powers of the Director to issue the order dated 10.09.1997 were upheld, the rates of these levies were left as prevailing on 31.3.1997 till the report of this Committee. The Committee accordingly deliberated upon this and came to the conclusion that since the period covered was only two years (1997-98 and 1998-99) which was also over; the balance of convenience lay in not disturbing the rates for these items for the aforesaid period at this stage, subject, of course, to what has been stated in paras 5.17. For the future, however, in view of the power vested in the Director under the Delhi School Education Act 1973, as upheld by the Hon'ble High Court, he may determine the quantum for the aforesaid three specified levies afresh.

CHAPTER - 6

THE ACCOUNTING INFIRMITIES

- The main contention of the schools for resorting to the fee hike during 1997-98 was that the fee structure upto and during 1996-97 did not leave them with the necessary cushion to absorb the impact of the Fifth Pay Commission. The Committee accordingly decided to satisfy itself about the tenability of this, as on the face of it, the contention appeared to be very specious. The inescapable inference that commends itself, from the analysis of the data received, was that a large number of schools were levying fees in excess of what was warranted for absorbing the full impact of the Fifth Pay Commission.
 - At the very first glance, the data received throws up a discernible tendency on the part of the schools to generally understate the surplus and/or overstate the deficit. This tendency was more pronounced in the year 1996-97 (the accounts for which were finalised near about the time of the announcement of the Pay Commission's recommendations): and also continued in 1997-98. This was sought to be achieved by the schools by resorting to over-provisioning under certain Heads of Expenditure e.g., gratuity and other terminal benefits, property tax, etc., as also by diverting,

receipts, to various funds, sometimes created with the intention of temporarily parking the money in them, with the tacit purpose of incurring capital expenditure, as and when required, at a future date. Not infrequently, this was done by deviating from the standard accounting principles and without adequate justification. The more common examples of the letting union of new funds were Activity Fund, Development Fund, Equipment Fund, Building Fund, etc.

- Sometimes expenditure was also been incurred by the schools for purposes not strictly falling within the ambit of the Delhi School Education Rules(Rule 177), like expenditure on the maintenance of cars for the use of the Society/Management Committee out of transport fee collected from students, payment of rent, license fee, hire charges, interest etc. to the Society and contribution to 'Province' (sometime even outside Delhi).
 - The Committee also came across many instances of a sudden spurt more particularly in 1997-98, in certain items of expenditure such as payment of professional fees, 'maintenance' [For example, a particular school which had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 14.62 Lakhs in 1996-97 on 'maintenance' showed an expenditure of over Rs. 43.64 Lakhs in 1997-98. In another school it showed an increase from Rs. 4.93 Lakhs to Rs. 22.23 Lakhs in the same period]; and other overhead charges etc. Though these

items differ from school to school, but the objective and the end result in all cases was the same, namely that of showing reduced surplus or increased deficit.

The Committee, however, took a deliberate decision not to name such 65 schools for three reasons. One, that the exercise could not be completed in respect of all the schools in view of what has already been stated in earlier chapters. Two, the various irregularities noticed by the Committee could not be discussed with the schools for reasons beyond the control of the Committee. Because of the circumstances stated earlier, namely lack of resources - more particularly the non availability of the full complement of the requisite staff, the Committee became effectively functional, though not fully. only around middle of May. By the time the data received from the schools could be scrutinised and 'deviations' identified for discussions, the schools had already closed for the summer vacations. The result was that even the schools which were sent notices by way of providing them an opportunity, sought alternative dates in July, i.e., after the re-opening of the schools. excepting two schools. As the term of the Committee was coming to an end on June 30,1999 these requests could not be acceded to. Three, as is already mentioned (refer: Table in Para 4.5), only 187 schools out of a total of 929 had responded to the Public Notice of the Committee; and of them only 8 schools had given complete information. The Committee was,

1.3

therefore, of the considered view that naming of the specific schools only from amongst those who at least had complied with the Committee's requisitions and leaving out others untouched who had not even shown the minimum courtesy of responding to the public notice would tantamount to an inequitable discrimination.

6.6 However, an illustrative list of infirmities noticed in the accounts is given below.

Illustration 1

6.7 With a view to understating the surplus, more particularly in the year 1996-97 and 1997-98 a school made provisions on account of gratuity fund in excess of its requirement as shown in the table below:

Table No VII: The Yearwise Details of Provision and Payment of Gratuity by the School

[Rs in Lakhs]

Year	Balance in Gratuity fund	Provision made	Actual payment of Gratuity
1993-94	41.09	17.28	2.28
1994-95	N.A.	02.28	2.28
1995-96	N.A.	03.82	3.82
1996-97	81.32	45.68	5.46
1997-98	170.86	87.14	
		156.20	13.84

The same school made excessive provision in respect of Property Tax as per the following details:

Table No VIII: The Yearwise Details of Provision and Payment of Property Tax By The School

[Rs. in Lakhs]

Year	Accumulated Provision	Provision made	Property Tax paid
1993-94	23.73		15.00
1994-95	23.73		
1995-96	63.73	36.00	
1996-97	93.14	80.00	50.60
1997-98	87.70	1.45	6.88
		117.45	72.48

had made a provision of Rs. 274 lakhs against the actual payment of Rs. 86 lakhs only i.e. an excess provisioning by Rs. 188 Lakhs. Of this, the excess provisioning during 1996-97 and 1997-98 aggregated to Rs. 151 lakhs with a consequential aggregate deficit in these years of Rs 33 lakhs. If the provisioning was restricted to actual payment made by the school it could have shown an aggregate surplus of Rs. 118 lakhs in its Income & Expenditure Account for these two years. The over provisioning provided the school with an apparent justification for effecting a fee hike in 1997-98,

which enabled it to collect an additional amount of Rs. 195.50 lakhs, whereas the school could have done with only a marginal increase in fee in 1997-98 absorbed the total incidence of the Pay Commission.

Illustration 2

In another case, a school and its parent society were maintaining a common set of books of accounts in violation of rule 172 and 173 of D.S.E.R., 1973. Interestingly, the school created a new fund called "Reserve For Project Development" in 1995-96 which seems to have been used primarily as a temporary destination for a major part of the annual surplus generated by the school. Simultaneously, however, the school had raised its fee each year in succession, resulting in substantial additional collection on this accounts. The relevant data is given below:

Table No IX: The Yearwise Appropriation Of Surplus Generated By The School

[Rs. in Lakhs]

	Year	Annual Surplus	Transfer to Capital reserve fund	Transfer to project development fund	Additional collection due to fee hike
	1993-94	7.06	7.06	fund not in existence	12.67
į	1994-95	7.33	7.33	do	21.43
	1995-96	33.89	8.89	25.00	36.41
	1996-97	64.55	14.55	50.00	48.12
	1997-98	75.00	25.00	50 00	69.56
g (\$18)					

- Commission, the school would have ended up the years 1996-97 and 1997-98, with a surplus, as the money transferred to the Reserve Fund/Project Development Fund was found to be sufficient to absorb the full impact of Pay Commission; and still leaving a surplus.
- worse still, the school enhanced the fee again in 1998-99.

Illustration 3

- 3.13 While an unaided school could be supposed to be self-sufficient, raising of resources disproportionate to its needs by charging high fees and occasionally raising loans unnecessarily could surely be said to be indulging in unhealthy practices.
- 6.14 In this context, the Committee came across a unique instance where a school raised enough resources for :
 - (a) Constructing the building for another school at a different site.
 - (b) Construction of a new boys hostel.
 - (c) Purchasing a plot of land.
- (d) Advancing loans to other schools also managed by the parent society of the school.
- 6.15 The yearwise details of loans outstanding [d above] with other schools managed by the same society were :

Table No X: The Yearwise Details Of Loans Outstanding With The Other Schools

[Rs. in Lakhs]

Year	Loan outstanding
1993-94	181.52
1994-95	187.42
1995-96	196.92
1996-97	196.92
1997-98	232.87

The same school had the following deposits with the Public Sector Undertakings in contravention of rule 173 of D.S.E.R., 1973, which stipulates that school funds can be deposited only in a nationalised bank, a scheduled bank or a post office.

Table No XI: The Yearwise Details Of Deposits Of The School With Public Sector Undertakings

[Rs in Lakhs]

Deposit
151.87
230.61
318.82
419.32
354.04

Strangely the school had simultaneously taken loans from the same institutions (as also from some Parents). The amount of such loans outstanding as on 31/03/97 was Rs. 85.65 lakhs, and on 31/03/98 Rs. 70.87 lakhs. Apparently there was no justification for the school for borrowings the money, either from the parents or the PSUs' in view of their large deposits with the latter.

Illustration 4

6.18 In one school, the Management Society had advanced a loan to the school it managed and had in turn collected Rs. 120 lakhs by way of interest from the latter between 1993-98, as per the details given below:

Table No XII: The Yearwise Details Of Outstanding Loans And Interest Paid By The School To The Parent Society

[Rs. in Lakhs]

Year	Outstanding Loan	Interest paid
1993-94	81.17	3.94
1994-95	88.87	15.99
1995-96	122.00	18.99
1996-97	144.00	23.83
1997-98	214.00	31.09
1998-99	N.A.	26.77
		120.50

A loan by the parent Society to one of its own schools is neither a common occurrence nor a healthy feature, as it implies that the Society was using the school for deployment of its surplus funds to suit its convenience and earning interest thereon. In the instant case this was also in violation of the 'objects clause' of the Memorandum of Association of the parent Society. It was clear that, if the school had not being paying interest to the society, it could have easily absorbed the impact of the Fifth Pay Commission stated to be Rs. 30.84 lakhs per year without effecting any fee hike.

Illustration 5

1996-97, not so much because of the impact of the Pay Commission, but due to the appropriation of the surplus of Rs. 5.86 lakhs, Rs. 36.83 lakhs and Rs. 26.75 lakhs in 1993-94 and in the two succeeding years ended up the year 1996-97 with a deficit of Rs. 27.31 lakhs and the year 1997-98 with another deficit of Rs. 23.98 lakhs, after transferring Rs. 45.50 lakhs and Rs. 43.0 lakhs respectively in these two years to the newly created Activity Block Fund by the School. Had the school not taken recourse to appropriation of the surplus, it would have shown a surplus of Rs. 18.19 lakhs in 1996-97

and Rs. 19.02 lakhs in 1997-98 (in the latter year, after absorbing the total impact of the Pay Commission).

Illustration 6

In another some what similar case, the financial results of a school 6.21 were distorted due to non-capitalisation of the expenditure of capital nature and instead charging it to the Income and Expenditure Account (1995-96 : Rs. 39.88 lakhs 1996-97 : Rs. 45.36 lakhs; and 1997-98 : Rs. 65.05 lakhs). The expenditure was incurred on construction of building and purchase of furniture and vechicles by the school. During these years the school had shown a deficit of Rs. 49.42 lakhs, Rs. 10.86 lakhs and Rs. 15.76 lakhs respectively. Had the school not charged the expenditure of capital nature to the Income and Expenditure account but capitalised the above expenditure there would have been a surplus of Rs. 15.63 lakhs, Rs. 34.30 lakhs and Rs. 24.12 lakhs respectively in these years, which was sufficient for it to meet a part of its additional recurring liability on account of the Pay major Commission, rather than resorting to a more than 40 % hike in fee in 1997-98 by the school.

Illustration 7

f.22 Instances were also not lacking, where schools with a relatively high fee structure yielding large surpluses in total disregard to the provision of rule 50 (iv) of D.S.E.R., 1973, enhanced the fee in 1997-98 on the convenient

plea of implementing of the Fifth Pay Commission Report, whereas they were in a position to absorb the full impact of the Fifth Pay Commission (including the entire amount of arrears in some cases) without resorting to any such hike.

6.23 For example, a school had the following surplus as per its annual accounts:

Table XIII: The Yearwise Details Of Surplus Of The School

Year	Rs. / Lakhs
1993-94	Rs. 56.95
1994-95	RS. 70.26
1995-96	RS. 66.75
1996-97	RS. 59.29
1997-98****	Rs. 57.74

***** After the implementation of the Fifth Pay Commission.

from 01/04/97 resulting in an additional income of Rs. 38 lakes during the year 1997-98. The Committee is constrained to observe that even without the fee hike and after absorbing the total impact of Pay Commission

(including payment of full arrears) the school would have still ended up the year 1997-98 with a surplus as shown below :

	1997-98	Rs. / Lakhs
1.	Reported surplus by the School after absorbing the incidence of Pay Commission's recommendations w.e.f. October 1997 and nearly 50% arrears [Rs.11.45 lakhs]	Rs.57.75
2.	(Less) additional income from fee hike	Rs. 38.00
3.	Surplus had there been no fee hike (1-2)	Rs. 19.75
4.	(Less) balance of unpaid arrears	Rs. 12.62
5.	Surplus after absorbing the full impact of the Pay Commission including arrears (3-4)	Rs. 7.13

6.25 Incidentally, the school purchased a piece of land in 1995-96 for Rs. 67.32 lakhs (an amount equivalent to the surplus shown by the School in that year).

Other common Irregularities of General Nature

The Committee observes that next to transferring a part of its revenue income, to various funds/reserves, even prior to determining Surplus/Deficit, charging of depreciation provided the most convenient

j.

and widely used tool for the schools to covertly under-state the surplus. Of the 142 schools studied, over a 100 schools have resorted to charging depreciation as an item of expenditure, without simultaneously setting up any Depreciation Reserve Fund for replacing the depreciated assets at the appropriate time. It tantamounts to creating 'Secret Reserves' by the schools - a purely commercial practice. The Committee, however, takes note of the fact that in some of these cases the reserves had been utilised to create other Assets.

observation of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Safdarjung Enclave Education Society Vs. M.C.D. as reported in (1992) 03 Supreme Court cases 390 in Civil Appeal No. 228/90 is very pertinent.

"Depreciation is not an expenditure, but is only a deduction @ certain percentage of the capital assets for arriving profit and gains of the business".

Instances also came to the notice of the Committee where assets not owned by the schools too had been depreciated and an equivalent amount transferred to the parent Society. In an extreme case, a school paid licence fee for use of building to the Society and also contributed to the Society towards the building fund and charged depreciation which in turn was remitted to the society.

- Even otherwise, transfers from schools to societies on some pretext or the other were not very uncommon. In one case a school made contributions of Rs. 6 lakhs and Rs. 8 lakhs in 1993-94 and 1994-95 respectively to its 'Province', besides paying Rs. 12 lakhs as lease rent in 1995-96 and another Rs 12 lakhs under the head 'Education Development Expenditure'-presumably the new nomenclature for the lease rent. In yet another instance a school had paid out of the School Fund a contribution of Rs 5.51 lakhs as maintainance grant to "religious staff". This school was also regularly contributing towards non-formal education, about which no other details were forthcoming, in total disregard of the spirit of Rule 172 of D S E R 1973. In another case a school was incurring the recurring expenditure on the maintenance of the office of the parent Society.
 - The Committee has also come across cases of mis-match in the 630 booking of income and expenditure by some schools; and the Income & school incorporating the Expenditure Account of the not total realisations under the Head 'fee and other charges'. In many cases the receipts collected under some specified heads were not credited in the "Recognised Unaided Schools Fund", but the expenditure incurred on the (specific) related activities were being charged to the Income and Expenditure Account of the school in violation of Section 18(3) of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973. The rule, inter-alia, stipulates that any charges

and 'payments' which may be realised by the school for other specific purpose', should be credited in the foresaid fund.

- In many cases schools, having included the expenditure incurred in running the nursery classes in its Expenditure Statement had not incorporated the Receipts from these classes in its Income Statements resulting in under statement of 'surplus'.
- 6.32 In another case, a school having allowed the use of its swimming pool to outsiders on payment basis, did not include the receipts therefrom in its Income Statement, whereas the maintenance and other running expenses connected therewith (the swimming pool) were being met out of the 'Games & Sports Fees' collected from all the students even though the swimming facility was being used only by very few students.
- Likewise, instances were also noticed where the schools were not accounting in their books of accounts, income received from sub-letting the schools' premises to banks for running their extension counters or leasing it out for holding Management / C.A. and other classes or use as examination centres or other purposes. In yet another case a school had shown in its Receipts and Payment Account, "drawings of Rs. 10.62 lakhs" in 1996-97, practice in vogue only in the case of business concerns.

In its letter of March 22, 1999 the Committee had asked the schools to supply, inter-alia, specific information regarding the additional burden-both recurring and arrears-as a result of the implementation of the Fifth Pay Commission recommendations; and the additional realisation as a result of fee hike. Only 80 schools responded to this letter. However, the information about pay/fee hike amenable for further examination was available only in respect of 60 schools. A scrutiny of this information showed that, the additional realisation of fee, as reported by many of the schools, in response to this letter, was not in conformity with the figures as derived from their audited Income and Expenditure Statements received earlier, generally, the former being less than the latter. The results are tabulated below.

Table No XIV: Comparative Data Of Additional Realisation Of Fee
As Per Annual Accounts Of The School And Information
Furnished In Responsed To The Committee's Letter
Dated March 22, 1999.

(Rs. ,000)

SI.No.	Name of the School	Additional	Yearly additional	Excess (+)
		realisation in	realisation due to	Shortfall(-)
		1997-98 as result	fee hike as reported	of (4) over(3)
		of		
		fee hike as per	by the school in	
		the		
		income and	response to	!
		expenditure	the letter	
		statements of	dated 22.3.99	
,		the schools		
1	2	3	4	5
1	Air Force Bal Bharti School, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-3	3939	7656	3717
2	Apee Jay School, Pitam Pura	6439	3371	-3068
3	Bal Bharti Public School, Rohini N/D	3655	0	-3555
4	Bloom Public School, Vasant Kunj New Delhi	3222	1128	-209-
g. (ar. 2)	Blue Bells School, Kailash, New Delhi-110048	8902	6118	-2784
经验	Cambridge Foundation Sr. Sec. School, Rajouri Garden, ND	4631	4296	-335

7	Central Public School Shakar Pur	133	0	-133
8	Chowgule Public School, Faiz Road , Karol Bagh	925	1143	218
	Cresant School Daryagani	2815	775	-2040
10	D. A. V. Public School Mausum Vihar, Dethi-51	2534	3900	1366
	D. A. V. Public School, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi	2542	1580	-962
	D.A.V. Public School Paschim Vihar	500	929	429
13	D.A.V. Public School, Chander Nagar, Janak Puri, ND	1380	1420	40
14	D.A.V. Public School, Dayanand Vihar, Delhi	5540	5542	2
15	D.A.V. Public School, Saraswati Vihar	6650	6344	-306
16	Darban Lai D.A.V. Model School, BN Bloack Shalimar Bagh Delhi	7115	7715	600
	Dayanand Model School, Patel Nagar,ND	1027	726	-301
	Deepayan Vidya Niketan, Harsh Vihar, Pitampura, Delhi	480	125	-355
	Delhi Public School, R.K Puram	19550	27212	7662
20	Divine Happy School, Paschim Vihar, ND	926	850	-76
	Faith Acadamy Prasad Nagar	5108	3355	-1753
	G. C. Public School New Ashok Nagar	53	0	-53
	Guru Govind Singh Public School, Tilak Nagar	90	0	-90
	Gyan Bharti School, Saket	3804	4779	975
	Happy Model School, Janak Puri, Delhi	1818	2393	575
	Jaspal Kaur Public School, 'B' Pachmi Shalimar Bag, Delhi	6268	5763	-505
	Jhabban Lal D.A.V.Public School, J-Block, Paschim Vihar.	2132	1802	
	Kathuria Public School, Vasant Kunj	340	575	-330
	Laricer Convent Sr. Sec. School, Parsant Vihar Delhi	8371		235
	Laxman Public School	6633	5480	-2891
	<u> </u>		4912	-1721
	Lilawati Vidya Mandir, Shakti Nagar, Delhi M.M. Public School , Vasundha Endave, Pitampura, Delhi	3182	3219	37
34	Mahashai Chunnilal Saraswati Bal Mandir, L Block, Hari Ngr, ND	884	741	-143
	Mahavir Sr. Model School, Sangam Park Ext. G.T.K. Road Delhi	2181	, 1890	-291
		3423	3119	-304
	Mata Jai Kaur Public School Ashok Vihar, Delhi	5886	4957	-878
	6 Mira Model S. S. S. Janak Puri	4211	0	-4211
	7 MOunt Fort School, Ashok Vihar	3395	2941	.454
	B N.C. Jindal Public School, West Punjabi Bagh	5044	4690	-354
	9 Natinal Public School Bela Rd. Delhi	790	0	-790
<u> </u>	0 New Era Public School	8873	8800	.73
	1 New Saraswati Public School, Nangloi Ext.	318	0	-318
	2 Ramjas Nursery Primary School Daryaganj N. D.	416	3 39	-77
	3 Ramjas Public School, Anand Parvat	2800	2765	-35
4	4 Ramjas Public School, Anand Parvat (DayBoarding)	2561	1613	-948
	S Ramjas School, R.K. Puram	2053	2332	279
4	6 Rukmini Devi Public School, Pitam Pura	4067	11524	7457
	17 S, M. Arya Public School, ND	2482	2486	4
	48 S.S. Mota Singh Public Model School, Guru Harkishan Ngr, ND	1887	1887	0
	49 S.S. Mota Singh Public School, Janak Puri, ND	2589	3158	569
	50 Salwan Public School, Rajinder Nagar New Delhi	3127	6941	3814
	51 Sardar Patel Vidyalaya Lodi Estate New Delhi	388	0	-388
	52 Spring Days Model School, Ashok Vihar, Deihi	983	720	-263
<u> </u>	53 Springdales Dhaula Kuan	5348	6223	875
	54 Springdales Pusa Road N. Delhi	6560	6982	422
	55 St. Francis De Sales SSS Janak Pun	5665	2001	-3664
	56 St. Joseph's Academy Jawala Nagar, Shahdara	3005	3385	380
	57 St. Mary's School, Safdarjung Endave	4569	2401	-2168
-	58 Suraj Bhan D. A. V. School, Vasant Vihar	2486		-486
	TO DO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	,		
-	59 The MOther International School, Sri Aurbindo Marg, N Delhi	4881	4000	-881

- As many as thirty nine of the sixty schools have understated 'additional realisation' when compared with the 'actual' realisation as per their Annual Accounts. While the Committee is aware that this comparison is based on approximations, the discrepancies were, nevertheless, large enough to suggest that this could even be an attempt on the part of the schools to impress upon the Committee that the quantum of fee hike resorted to by them, was justified for absorbing the full additional burden of the Pay Commission.
 - cited above are only illustrative and not exhaustive. For, the detailed scrutiny of the accounts and other information sent by the schools revealed that nearly all the schools have, in one form or the other, attempted to circumvent the provisions of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973, the Rules thereunder, the accounting procedure/practices and even the Court Orders.

Chapter - 7

The Terms Of Reference

- In its attempt to answer the terms of reference, the Committee, bearing in mind the anamolies in the information received from the schools as pointed out in the earlier chapter, considered it necessary to first arrive at the correct financial profile of the schools. This called for re-working of the surplus/deficit as reported by the schools by carrying out necessary adjustments in their results for all inadmissible items such as those which did not involve any real cash outflow or reflected the movement of the schools revenue to inappropriate destinations.
 - Accordingly, the Committee reassessed the surplus/deficit for 142 schools for which the necessary data was available, by "adding back" to their reported figures, the non-cash items of depreciation and transfers to various reserves and other funds as also expenditure not falling within the scope of existing rules and regulations. Adjustments have also been made, wherever possible, for extraordinary items of expenditure, to the extent necessary. The results are set out in the table below:

Table XV: The Comparative Data Of Surplus/ Deficit As Per the Annual Data Of The School And As Reassessed by The Committee.

ame of the school	Surplus/ Deficit	Adjusted Surplus**	Surplus/ ·	Adjusted/ Surplus**	Surplus/	Adjusted	Aggregate			Surplus	(Ra.,000) Surplus	Surplus
	1996-96				1997-98	Surplus** 1997-98	Surptus/ Deficit	Adjusted Surplus		adjusted	edjusted	adjusted
A.S.N. Sr. Sec. Public School, Mayor Visar Phase - I	1	451	2	231	2	2	5	684	+	1996-97 229	1997-98	Total
Adersh Bal Vidyalaya, Laxmi haqur	-40	-25	16	24		1 . 2	-17	36	+	+	30	67
Shiron Public School, Mayur VIIII'	587	1618	1005	1057	•	39,4	•		2306	+	2221	467
Ale Force Bal Bharti school, Lodfe Road	259 259	+ ·	8516 8516	9453 9509	•	1943	••				1244	299
As Force Bal Bharti School, Lodhi Road	598	4			.	1995	+	12673 1796			1298	320
Alt Force Bal Niketan School, Vavinene Bad Alt Force Goldon Jublee Institute, Subroto Park	-624	<u> </u>	·	2249		-154	4	2362		928	146	40
Argels Public Sr. Sec. School , Vishwash Nagar	469	. 572	518	640	196	398	1,183	1610		122	1197	300
Ange Jay School, Plam Pura	183			2484	4	554	+	6464	2233	2178	4269	857
Army Public School, Dhaula Kuan	1341	1559		·		1058	•	2344		1727	731	328
Bal Bhartt Public School, Robert		1338	:23	19	3851	4 <u>5%</u>	•	9664	÷	175	616	100
PBBI Vikus Modern School , East Acad Fergar Benesthak Public School, Vikus Puri	445	501	789			631		1976		19	0	
Bhartys Vidva Bhawan Metra Vidvalya Ik G Marg	1485	+			1022	-111	•	983		736	62 911	16 316
Rhatnager International School, Vasant Kung						1113		2999	1002	1032	1778	316
B Bhavan's Sawan Public School, Bhatti Mines	398					15:20	+	-1868		611	562	148
7 Bloom Public School, Vesant Kun				990		2446	3/93	- 902		1181	1021	289
8 Blue Beits School, Kallash, N Dolh 9 Bosco Sr. Sec School, Sunder Vitair	- 10		+	† — 	50	136	* ·	302	2730	2168	0	491
nic t. Rhala, Dayanand Model School, Furol Bagh	365		4	372	176	176	914	914	106	- O	86	24
1 Cambridge Foundation S.S.S. Rajouri Gon Extri	-211		4			+	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3516	1148	1362	1381	388
2 Cambridge Foundation Sr. Sec. School, Rajouri Garden	211		80	+ -	- 68	•	· - ·	3617	1149	1362	1382	384
3 Central Public School , Shekarpur	56		221	362	1/1	171	579	824	104	141	0	24
M DAY Public School, Puschm Vihal 5 DAY, Centenery Public School, Rottak Road	199				341 2086	408 2635		7690	- 0	94	67	161
IS D.A.V. Model School, Yusuf Sarai	- 7		4			2933	952	1209	466	533 83	549	1644
17 D.A.V. Public School, Ashok Vihar, Phase IV	36				519	826		1650	682	489	307	- 267
18 D.A.V. Public School, Dirysmand Vinar	98					1307	6068	6064	0	0		1478
9 D.A.V. Public School, Mausam Viter		623		1.418		2.604	3,435	4046	230	341	39	
0 D.A.V. Public School, Shreshthe Vitar, Duthi 92.		5 38	5,939	5.939	-1097 3 1164	8.055	4,842	13994	0	•	9162	9162
11 D.A.V. Public School, Vasant Kunj 12 D.A.V. Public Sr.Sec.School, Janak Pun	94					1407	1997	2422 3363	436	0		436
33 Datsy Dales School, East Of Kallash	38	3 76		1	-145	114	238	882	386	- 0	9	0
A Darbari Lai D.A.V Model School, Shalimar Bagti	376					5223	16171	15476	141	163	269	644 304
35 Darbert Lail D.A.V.Model School, Pleam Fura	618	551	1] 839	7] 839	/393	1443	71979	22351	372	0	50	372
36 Deshmesh Public School, Vivak Vihar	41			662		974	1586	2089	42	123	344	609
7 Devenand Adarsh Vidyalya, Arya Sznui , Tilak fiagar	-13			3		17			0	. 0	0	0
B Deyenand Model School, Patel Nagar 9 Delhi International Happy School, Jangpura	-13		+	+		744	614	680	16	21	30	- 66
10 Delhi Police Public School, Saldarung Ericlave	- 55					1830		275 2636	12 783	15 669	16	1539
11 Delhi Public School, Mathura Road	109					-911	725	9016	2487	3671	2233	15J9 8291
12 Dev Semaj Modern School, Nehru Nager	81				31	437	1138	58	318	366	406	1000
13 DMne Happy School, Paschim Vrhar	20			+				364	61	62	43	144
44 Olvine Happy School, Paschim Vira: 45 East Point School, Preet Vihar						43	218	364	- 51	62	43	145
16 G.C. Public School, New Ashok Narjat		4	5 64		+	22	30	70	40	0		40
Golden Valley Public Sec. School Namigarti		-†-	+ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	+·		†-	•	6	- 0		61 161	
48 Greon Field School, Saldarjung Enclave	108						•	1476	257	361	448	1066
19 Greenfield Public School, Dished Garden	-157		+		- -		7604	\$151	4082	4819	4854	15755
50 Guru Amerdess Public School, Tilak Nager 51 Guru Gobind Singh Public School, Tilak Nager	+	6 8				+		433	0	0	•	
Land Hartishan Public School, Vasant Vihar		6 23						520	183	166	145	483
53 Guru Nanak Convent School, Shyam Ninger Extra		6 5		+				757 129	16	16	22	
54 Gyen Bharti School, Saket	.38					*	•	3498	1138	994	1282	3414
55 Herndard Public School, Sangarn Vinar	191				· +	+			1564	1968	1968	5470
50 Hens Rej Model School, Purjetti Bagh, N Dulin 57 Heppy Medel School, Janek Puri, N.D.	121	_+			-		•	6474	0	0	0	0
58 Heppy School Darya Gari		19 8					-917	1664	786	720	876	2481
50 Heers Public School, Samstide		1 6						267 148	36 24	36 23	34 24	108 71
10 Hill Grove Public School, Saldarjung Enclave	145					+		5067	191	174	226	590
11 J.B.M. Public School Nestr Pur		0 11	5 7:				+ ·	416	66	69	64	179
J.H.MOdern Public School, Rani Begh 33 J.R. Public School, Shiv Puri, West Sagar Pur		2 2		8 21	+		11	n	26	20	16	61
84 Jespel Keer Public School, Shelmer Berji		11 11						426	82	96	84	. 262
85 Shabban Lei D.A.V School, Paschen Vhar, N.D.	18						- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18476	7278	3688	3440	9406
96 Normal Convert School; Charalge Puri, N.D.		9 3.427				2012 3,360		6774 9310	3300	2496	2072	8766
17 Historia Public School, Vesant Kan	3	03 65						2661	364	360	366	1967
to California Model School Askok What Ph. III California Scient School, Preshert What		16 229	0 547	7 749	7		6413		2074	2020	- 4	4004
Property of the Party of the Pa	39	07 661	8 409	7 375	-12	4034	-202		2711	7861	4946	14600
PO Levenna Public School Planz (Chrs.		33 246				1578	·		** ***		1678	

State Add Service Communication of the Communicatio

- 1.	the action	se of the school Surplus/ Adjusted Surplus/ Adjusted/ Surplus/ Adjusted Appregate Appregate Surplus/ Surplus/		Surplue	(Re ,000) Surptue	Surplus							
10	tame of the school	Deficit*	Surplus."	Defic it	Surplue	Deficit*	Surplus"	Surplue	Adjusted	adjusted	adjusted	adjusted	adjusted.
		1996-96	1996.96	1994-97	1996-97 233	1997-98	1997-06	Deficit 10	Surplus 151	1995-96 51	1995-97	1997-68	Total
71 1	Retre Fucilic Uctions - Farker (Housis Brownto McDya Marich, Saiahti Nagar	133 701	701	554	231 5's1	:41	1 /91	1 46-6	3046	•	110	1660	151
121	RITE FARY PURIC School, Ashok Virini	, i		34	34	70	70	, '	64	٥	0	0	1300
	M. Pubec School, Pitam Pura	84	283	48	248	588	931	8 20	1462	199	200	243	
, X	Asherste Church Let Sereswell Bei Mande 5 5 5 ben Negar	599	+·	409	1518	83	7370	+	4895	_ 454	1927	2403	
76	dehershi Dayanand Public School Bawane	- 17	+	- 27.	3259	22	4269	*	10639	17 2966	3269	4269	48
77	Mahavir Sr., Model School, G.T.Kamal Road Mata Shv Devi Public School, Keshav Furam	- · <u>45</u>	+	- · - 77	108	107	137	-	178	16	·	30	10454
/8 /9	Mate Del School, Diek Lane, New Delh	475	+	85	676	1385	2051	+	2252	0	761	644	1427
6 0	Mrs Model Sr Sec School, James Purt	6573	7443	5929	6818	5775	5615	18277	20876	870	681	840	2699
81	Modern Child Public School: Purjeth Besti, Nervyke	1472				124	420	 -	2104	212		294	601
82	Modern School, Barakhamba Road	1854	1162	3/24	3069	-189	924 1594	4767	-3377 -4423	697	1226	1113 2048	2440
	Modern School, Vasant Viher	2465 1,866	-1845 3.577	-4377 2,587	-3146 4.981	5.416	6,291	-7283 10,169	14849	1711	2094	876	2660 4680
84	Montfort School, Ashok Vihar Mount Abu Sr. Suc. School, Shalkrier Bagh	240	+	358	479	1042	1083	1650	1848	44	111	41	194
85	Mount Cormel School, Anand Nasean	889	+	145h	7923	7499	9343	4144	22070	3916	6467	6844	17226
87	N.C. Jindal Public School, Purpote Begin	-618	5	459	132	2 963	3 487	1,886	3624	624	591	624	1739
HH	Y.K. Backocka Pircac School ROnei	308	1117	508	3141	937	54,18	•	5875	\$09	2233	1681	<u>47</u> 21
89	Valende Modern Public School Burari			.==	:72	105	130	105	130	} • <u>•</u>		25	
	National Public School , Bets Road	68	十一 告	186	190	39	63 93	30%	326 321	\$	4	<u> </u>	3:
	Netional Public School, Belle Road Netional Public School, Denya Garij, N.D.	173	182	15/	133	1,356	1,381	1,661	1697		3	25	
92	Netional Public School, Deliye Serg 1810 Nev Jeevan Model School, Vishnu Gerden	-25	+	† -	21	5	30	+ . —	37	15	20	25	6
94	New Horizon School, Nicemuddin East	26	91	34	85	30	739		915	63	61	769	11
85	New Saraswati Public School, Hanglol Extn	27		/40/	15702	57	82	-7323	16436	24	23109	26	2316
95	New state Academy Sr. Sec.School, Pitem Pira	- 72		431	1582	697	1780	1200	4249	616	1161	1063	3041
	Oxford Public School, Nehru Negar	-13	+	- 77	107	584	258 584	972	1201	106	179	168	
96	Pleto Public School, Patper Gent	- 319	1441	605	605	197	192	13,90	444	764			764
99	Prebhu Deyel Public School, Shelmer Begh Puneet Public School, Shehedere	†	1 1331	- 30	89	.87	126	-117	216	9	119	213	33:
50	Ramins Public School Arend ParbitteDay Bordings	491	787	608	73	5	555	~4112	1269	296	636	660	138
õz	Ramers School R K Purem	1	1	189	633	64	521	263	1164	0	444	467	90
03	Rock Fund Public School, Sec 3, Roture	7	<u> </u>	186	201	28	49	1 127	256	5	16	21	1.
	Ruhmers David Public School, Flam Flam			.		l ?18	1536		4466	1301	1867	17541	12,
h	S.K.R. Public School, Index Puri		<u> </u>	2,053	71 2434	185 4 144	4.503	213 6.448	-86 7639	11 351	381	359	109
06	S.M. Arys Public School, Purpeto Bagh. S.M. Public School, Krishan Negar.	251	- 007	36	60	8	9,503	44	68	اه	24	٥	24
107	S S Mota Sengh Model Sch, Guru Harteshen Nor	87	1274	421	964	1893	2427	3186	4446	402	ĸ	634	1479
00	S S Mote Singh Sr. Sec School, Jenek Pun	158:		-133	479	3933	5074	6385	7500	462	612	1141	2216
110		-196			1030	-105	989	437	2790	970	1163	1094	3227
111	Sant Niranitari Public School, S Niranitan Coloney Saraswali Ball Mendir, Rajouri Garden	110		-24 105	117 249	20 198	38 369	46 413	362 858	130	144	171	446
112		3	·	•	647	32	430	119	1637	426	694	396	1418
H		43	6 1089	5863	6631	-1126	170	6173	7660	663	768	964	2377
	Seroj Montesson School, Vivat Viher	2		-3	1	0	5	-1	12	- 4	4	6	
110	Shiv Vani Model Sr. Sec. School, Palem Road	29			1131	317	1070	163	3349	304	830 366	763	246t
127	Shri Deulet Rem Public Sr. Sec. School, Kemmuddin Neger Solenie Public School, Hersh Co. Park, Buth Vilher	63	2 936	308	663	739 -87	739 -76	1679 152	2338	11	12	11	34
	Solente Public School, Herse Unit Park, Buch Vitter Somerhill School, Derye Genj.	367	506	•	287	829	1 010	1,281	1803	139	202	181	627
	St. Ceclie's Public School, Vikes Pun	1	1 269	1150	1936	10	2716	1161	4921	268	796	2706	3764
21	St. Francis D Sales Sr. Sec. School, Janet Pun	1,511			2,357	-313	1,839	3,047	6244	637	608	2152	319
22	St. Froebei School , Paschim Vihar	1 -1	 -		41	174	209	120	174 2968	19	0	36	5 .
13	St Joseph's Academy, Jwela Nager, Shetwiere St Merry Sr. Sec. School, Ambito Wher, Peschen viter	674	674	1,977	1,977	317 165	317 207	2,968 177	252				
	St. Merry's School, Sefderjung	82	8 1481	_	<u> </u>		2339	3768	6372	663	478	483	161
	St. Michael's Sr. Sec. School, Puse Road .	-29				1,159	2,259	716	6323	1166	2362	1100	460
	St. Roseir Public School, Shelimer beigh	-3				25	34	-20	3	7	7	3486	621
	St. Xaver's School, Shehted, Dealet Per					104	3570	254 6692	6469 7423	1664 224	1065 210	297	73
	St.Anthony Sr. Sec. School, Hauz Khas Sumerhill school, Vasundhera Enclave, New Dehr	114		4		3374 579	3671 1,425	-6092 -678	1941	288	347	2004	263
	Surai Bhan D.A.V. Public School, Vasant Viter	131		+			980	3960	3960	•		0	
13	Swell Modern Public School, Mundon	3	0 44	36	57		127	175	232	18	19		 ,
3:	T.M. Public School, Krishen Viher		0 5				29	72	140	22	79 643	26 2377	366
	Tagore School Maya Puri	49				-1757	620	-1616	2140	636	207	163	37
	The Air Force School, Subroto Park, Dehr Cantl The Franke Anthony Public School, Leipet Nager	123					88 3492	-973 2727	6403	1163	1163	1360	367
l s	The Mother's International School, Ausabrado Marg	32					462	1056	1629	131	213	229	67
130	Universal Public School, Preet Vihar	81			1,891	566	1,429	2,616	4476	346	662	963	186
	VV. DAV Public School, Vibras Puri	464		· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1764	.12696	12640	1464	10272	3686	1642
Ę	Vesent Vely, Vesent Kung	-04	471	·		+	2785	-10643	4878	- 1454	3	3	
	Vidya Jyoli Memorial School, Rari Bagh Vidual Bharii Public School, Pasclum Vihe	-27	7 -14	-12			1456		2097	133	900	3107	404
	Edicar Irrama Fro. & Alt of the School		<u></u>	<u> </u>									****

Table XV: The Comparative Data Of Surplus/ Deficit As Per the Annual Data Of The School And As Reassessed by The Committee.

ame of the school		Adjusted			Surplus	Adjusted	Aggregate			Surplus	(Re.,000) Surplus	Surplue
	Defick* 1996-96	Surplus ***		Surplus** 1996-97		Surplus** 1997-98	Surplus/	Adjusted		adjusted	edjusted	adjuste
A.S.N. Sr. Sec. Public Scholol, Mayor Virar Phane 1	1990-90	451	2	231	2	1991-98	Dence	Surplus 684	1996-96	1996-97	1997-08	Total
Adersh Bal Vidyalaya, Laumi Nagar	-40	+ · ·	16	24	· -	5	-17	•	+- · =:			_6
Atlicon Public School, Mayur Vita	587	1618	1005		843	1994		+ · · —	+		30	+
All Force Ball Bharti school, Lodie Road	259	1073	8516	9453	697	1943	9472		÷ · · ·	4		4
Air Force Ball Bharti School, Lodhi Road	259		8516	4 · - · ·	697	1995	9472	12673			1298	1
Ak Force Bal Niketan School, Vayusena Bad	598		<u> </u>	1282	-339		+		108	146	146	
Air Force Golden Jubilee Institute, Subroto Park	-624		1321	2249		-154	+	+	881	928	1197	300
Angels Public Sr. Sec. School , Vishwash Nagar	169	<u>. 572</u>	518 305	640 2484	196 -3705	398	1,143	1610	+	122	202	
Apoe Jay School, Ptam Pura	10	.			•	<u>554</u> 1068	+	+ ====	+	2179	4269	867
Bai Bharti Public School, Dheula Kulan	1341			4		4557	7	4	B26	1727	731	328
2 Bal Vikas Modern School , East Auad heigar		1	1	19	16	150		29	218	- 176	616	
1 Renesthal Public School, Vikas Puri	445	501	789	544	579	631			66	19	62	1
4 Bhartys Vidya Bhawan Metea Vidyalya k.G. Marg	1485	+			<u> </u>	-111	-2176		1612	736	911	16 316
& Bhatnagar International School, Vasant Kung	76					1113		4	1002	1032	1778	381
6 Bhavan's Sawan Public School, Bhatti Mines	135		+			- 1520	+		424	611	662	148
7 Bloom Public School, Vasans Kuns	- 122	+ · · · · · ·				2446	+	+	689	1181	1021	289
8 Blue Balls School, Kallash, N Dolh	1 10			390	50	136	1597	·	2730	2188	0	491
9 Bosco Sr. Sec School, Sunder Vitier O C.L. Bhalla, Daysnand Model School, Kurol Bagh	366		372	372	176	176	914		166	0	86	24
O C.L. Shalin, Daysmand shoots School, Fall of Bags. M Cambridge Foundation S.S.S. Rajouri Gon Extr.	-218		4			$-\frac{170}{1314}$	t	914 3516	1148	- 0	0	
2 Carribridge Foundation Sr., Sec. School, Rajouri Gardon	-218	93)	-80			4	·	·	1148	1362	1381	388
13 Centinel Public School , Shakarpur	187		221	362	171	171	579	824	104	141	1382	388
MID A V Public School, Puschim Vihar	568				341	408			0	94	67	24 16
SDAV. Certenary Public School, Rontak Road	1997			+	+	2635	6142	7690	466	533	649	164
6 D.A.V. Model School, Yusuf Sarai			+		+	1107	4	1209	84	83	90	267
77 D.A.V. Public School, Ashok Whar, Phase IV	-362			4	519	826	+	1650	692	489	307	1476
18 D.A.V. Public School, Daysmand Vihar	393			+		1307		6068	- 9	0	0	
PS D.A.V. Public School, Meusam Vher 50 D.A.V. Public School, Shreshthe Viter, Duth 92.		· 023	5,939		1.965 -1097	8.655	3,435 4,842	4046	230	341	39	610
51 D.A.V. Public School, Vasant Kung		5 38				1164		13994	0		9162	9162
32 D.A.V. Public Sr. Sec. School, Janak Pun	94					1407			436		- 0	436
33 Daisy Dales School, East Of Kallash	38	3 76	8	1	-145		+		386		269	0
M Darbari Lai D.A.V Model School Shalimar Bagti	376				5223	5223	16171	15476	141	163	209	- 544
35 Derbert Let D.A.V.Model School, Pitam Fura	618	651	1 839	7] 8397	/393	7443	71979	22351	322	- 0	50	304
36 Dashmesh Public School, Vivok Viriar	411	453	539	662	630	974	1586	2089	42	123	344	609
17 Dayenand Adarsh Vidyalya, Arya Saniai . Tilak fiagur	-49			3	17	17		-25	0	0	0	0
8 Dayanand Model School, Patel Nagar	-137					744	614	680	16	21	30	66
39 Defri International Happy School, Jangoura						206		275	12	16	16	43
40 Delhi Police Public School, Saldarjung Enclave 41 Delhi Public School, Mathura Road	-55:					1830		2638	783	669	197	1539
12 Dev Samei Modern School, Nahru Nagar	109-					911	725	9016	2497	3671	2233	8291
43 DMne Happy School, Paschim Vinar	20:				31	437	1138	-58	318	366	406	1060
44 Ohine Happy School, Paschim Vita:	20			+		43	+	364 364	61 51	62	43	141
45 East Point Schoot, Prest Vihar	-3	-				 	30		40	0	- 43	146
46 G.C. Public School, New Ashok Narjar		T	-17			22		- 6		1	6	
Golden Valley Public Sec. School hapitgarts	I L	Γ	1 -1:	3		•		6		6	16	22
48 Greon Field School, Saldarjung Enclave	108			+	1315		•	1476	257	361	4.18	1066
49 Greenfield Public School, Dishad Garden	-157						t	8151	4082	4819	4854	15756
50 Guru Amerdess Public School, Tääk Nagar St. Guru Gobind Singh Public School, Tilak Nagar					 -	+ <u></u> -	•	433	0	0	•	
Buru Harkishan Public School, Vasart Vitar	- 5				+		.	520	183	166	145	483
33 Guru Nanak Convent School, Shyam Negar Extri		6 5					+	757	0			
54 Gyen Bherti School, Saket	38					←	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		16	16 994	22	64
55 Hamdard Public School, Sangam Vivar	191					*			1138 1564	1968	1282	3414 5470
56 Hens Raj Model School, Punjabi Bagh, N Dulni	121	- +			· •	+		+	1364	1300	1904	34/0
57 Heppy Medel School, Janek Puri, N.D.	-6	1 724	51				+		786	720	976	2481
58 Heppy School, Denys Genj 59 Heers Public School, Semsakha		9 8				90	150		36	36	34	108
80 Hill Grove Public School, Safdarjung Enclave		1 6							24	23	24	71
81 J.B.M. Public School, Nestr Pur	145					4	+ · 		191	174	226	590
R J.N.MOdern Public School, Rani Begh		2 11							- 66	68	- 64	179
83 J.R. Public School, Shir Puri, West Sagar Pur		<u>2</u> 2		7 26		17	-		26	20	16	- 61
84 Jaspat Kaur Public School, Shalmar Bags	31								82	96	84	. 262
85 Another Let D.A.V School, Pascher Vital, N.D.	181				+				2278	3688	3440	9406
86 Named Convent School; Chanalge Puri, N.D.	3					3,360			2300	2486	2672	6764
B7 Matheda Patric School: Vesteri Kuri		23 65	4						364	368	366	1007
66 Shinch Manual Medal School Ashok Wher Ph. III	21	16 229					6693	9787	2974	2020		4004
	21	16 229	8 -409	7 375	-12	4034 1578	6693 -202		2074 2711	2020 7861	4046	14600

Fig., Translate reserve Munds, Payment to Society and Eutre Ordinary Expenditure.

Bank unknown that is not evuilable.

The state of the

Contd.

Name of the school	Surplus/	Adjusted	Surplus	Adjusted	Surplus	Adjusted	Apgregate	Aggregate	Surptue	Surpare	Re (000)	Surplue
	Deficit*	Surplus"	Deficit.	Surplus"	4	Surplus"	Surplus	Adjusted	1 '	betauthe		adust e
	1996-96	1996-96	1994-97	1996-97	1997-98	1997-96	Deficit	Surptus	1995-96	1996-97	1997-00	Total
LANTIN FUCINC SCHOOL HAZING THOUSES	133	97	123	233	0		10	1	į -	· · · · · ·	† · · -	1
I drivelli Victya Marchi Shekti Nagai	701	701	554	5'-1	.41	1 /91	1,464	3046		•	+	+ .
Little Fairy Public School, Ashok Viries		-0,	34	34 248	588	•	+ -	1	196	200	243	+
M M. Public School, Plam Pura	599	1057	409	+ 	83	 	+	•	·	+	+	+
Maharish Chunni Let Saraswell file Manch 5 1 1 trari Negar	17		+	+	22	36	+	+	- 37	17	+	·
Mahemm Dayenand Public School Bewane Mahewi Sr, Model School G T Karnel Road	45	.	i	17.0		4269	+	•	·	+	+	
Minhardr Sr, Model School, G. I. Ramel Road Mata Shiv Devi Public School, Kashav Furam	17		77	108	107	137				· •		+
Mater Der School, Diek Lane New Defts	-475	↓	, . —	1	1385	2051	+	•	 	761	+ 	+
+	6573		+		5175	6615		20876	870	889	840	
Mini Model Sr Sec. School, James Puri Modern Child Public School, Punjabi Besti, Nengice	1472	1664		1	124	420	1596	2104	212	•	294	6
Modern School, Barakhamba Road	1854	1162	-3724	3089	189	924	-4767	-3327	697	636	1113	24
Modern School, Vasant Vihar	2465	1845	43/7	-3146	-446	1594	-7243	-4423	620	1226	2048	26
Montfort School, Ashok Vihar	1,866	3,577	2.887	4,981	5,416	6,291	10,169	14849	1711	+		44
Mount Abu Sr. Sec School Shallmer Bagh	240		358	•	1047	1083	1550	+	+	 		1
Mount Cormot School: Anenid Neterin	889	+ ·	1456	• —	2499	9343	-	÷	+	+	1844	172
N.C. Jindal Public School, Pargeti Begn	619		459	1	2 963	3 487	1,886	3624	•		624	17
N.K. Backoda Pidde School RChen	308	1117	908	3141	937	2618	, -	1	•	2233	·	47
Nelanda Modern Public School: Burari			ļ _	·	105	130	105	130	+ · · · -	49	25	
Nutional Public School , Beta Road	68	결	186	190	39	63	293	326	 		24	
Nettional Public School, Belle Road	173	182	+	155	1,356	1,381	1,661	1697	ļ - · · · - <u></u>	3	25	
National Public School, Denya Garg N D	- 1/3		-32	21	1. 3.365	1 <u>84.</u> 1	+	+	15	4	+	
Nev Jeevan Model School, Vishnu Gerorin	28		34	85	-30	739		+	63	+ —		
New Horizon School, Nizamuddin East	27				57	82		+	24	4	26	
New Sareswell Public School, Nerglol Extn. New State Academy Sr. Sec. School, Pitam Pira	72			+	697	1780			816	1161	1063	
Oxford Public School, Nehru Negar	- 13		+		60	258		4	65	179	168	
Photo Public School, Patper Gen	319	424	49	193	584	584	972	1201	106	124	0	
Prebhu Deyel Public School. Shefmar Begh	-2195	· · · —	605	605	192	192	-13.00	444	764	•	0	7
Punest Public School, Shehadera	I	I -	- 30	89	-87	126	-117	216		119	213	3
Rampis Public School Anand Parbet(Day Rockey)	491	787	606	73	5	555	~412	1260	296	636	460	13
Ramers School R K Purem			189	633	64	521	263	•	۰	444	467	. 9
Rock Field Public School, Sec 3, Robins	1 -1	6	•		28	49	•	256	5	16		
Ruhmers David Public School ("Marti Frain	1 21		*				·		, T			
SISIK R Public School Inder Puri	1	-	·	4	185	1/1	213	-86	11	102	14	1
6 S.M. Arya Public School, Purjeto Bagh	<u>ומ</u>	602	2,053	2434	4,144	4 503	6,448	7639	351	301	359 0	101
7 S.M. Public School. Krishen Neger	ļ <u></u> -	ļ	36	<u> </u>	1893	2427	3186	4646	402	24 643	634	- 14
8 S.S.Mota Singh Model Sch. Guru Harleshen Nor	1585		421 -133		3933	5074	6386	7600	462	612	1141	22
9 S S Mota Singh Sr. Sec School, Jenek Pun N Sechdeve Public School, sec-13, Rohm	-196		133		-105	989	437	2790	970	1163	1094	32
0 Sechdeve Public School, sec-13, Rohm 1 Sant Niraniam Public School, S Niraniam Coloney	- 21		-24		70	38	46	362	218	141	54	4
2 Seraswell Bal Mandr, Rajoun Gerden	110				198	369	413	858	130	144	171	4
3 Seresweb Vidyelye, Derye Gery	34	460	53	647	32	430	119	1637	426	694	396	14
4 Serder Patel Vidyelye, New Delhi	430	1089	5861	6631	-1126	-170	6173	7660	663	768	964	23
5 Seroj Montesson School, Vivolt Vihar	2	6	_1		0	5	-1	12	4	4	6	
6 Shiv Vani Model Sr. Sec. School, Palem Road	29				317	1070	103	3349	874	830	763	24
7 Shri Deulet Ram Public Sr. Sec. School, Kammuddin Neger	637	2 936	1		739	739	1679	2338	304	366	•	
8 Solente Public School, Hersh Cov Pert, Buth Viter	1 -	4	-61		-87	-76	-152	118	11	12 202	11	- 6
9 Somertiil School, Derye Gery	367	506		287	829 10	1,010	1,281	1 803 4921	139	786	2706	37
0 St. Ceciliu's Public School, Vilass Puri 1 St. Francis D Sales Sr. Sec. School, Janes Puri	1,511			2,357	313	2716 1,839	3,047	6244	637	606		31
	1,511		41		174	709	120	174	19			
2 St. Froeber School - Paschim Vinar 3 St. Joseph's Academy Jwela Nager, Shehwilera	674				317	317	2,968	2968		0		
4 St. Marry Sr. Sec. School, Ambida Vitrar, Peschim vitrer	†- 	1	17			207	177	252	0	a	42	
5 St. Many's School, Saldarjung	82	B 1481			1856	2339	3768	6372	643	478	483	16
S. St. Michael's Sr. Sec. School, Pusa Road	-29		-146	2,204	1,159	2,259	716	6323	1166	2362		464
St. Roseir Public School, Shelmer begh	-3	8 -31			25	34	.20	3	7	7	9	
8 St. Xener's School, Shehbed, Deulet Per	6	1 172			104	3570	254	6469	1664	1006	3466	62
9 St.Anthony Sr. Sec. School, Heuz Khes	114				3374	3671	6692	7423	224	210	297 2004	7 26
O Sumertial school, Vasunchera Enclave, New Detri	.3				579	1,425	478	1961	288	347		
1 Suraj Bhan D.A.V. Public School, Vasani Viner	131	 				980	3960 175	3960	18	19		
2 Swell Modern Public School, Mundin	3				107	127	175	232		79	26	
3 T.N. Public School, Krishen Viher 4 Tegore School, Maye Pun	497				-1757	620	-1616	2140		443		36
15 The Air Force School, Subroto Park, Delh Cantl	- 53				75	88	-1616	403		207	163	3
16 The France Anthony Public School, Lagor Nager	123				2142	3492	7/2/	6403	1163	1143	1360	36
7 The Mother's International School, Aurobisto Marg	32					462	1056	1629		213	229	6
8 Universal Public School, Prest Vitar	811				566	1,429	2,616	4476	346	662	963	184
19 VV DAV Petric School, Vitas Puri	464		626	6268	1764	1764	.12696	12640		0		
10 Vesent Velly, Vesent Kung	-90	4 470				2285	-10643	4878	1444	10272	3686	164
	1	1	-17	ه اد	-3	٥	.15	.9		L3		
11 Vidya Jyoti Memorial School, Rani Bagh 12 Vishal Bhati Public School, Paschim Viha	-27	7 -14			-1651	1456		2097	133	800	3107	444

Takes per laconne Exp. 8. Alt of the School

Alter adding Back, Dep. Transferto reserve Aunds, Payment to Society and Extre Ordnery Expenditure

Columns are fell blank where date is not evaluate.

- 7.3 This table compares the surplus/deficit for 142 schools as in their Annual Accounts with that reassessed by the Committee for the three years beginning 1995-96.
- The one quantitative result of the reassessment was an upward revision in the surplus/downward revision in the deficit in the case of all the 142 schools for each of the three years under reference. Importantly, it also brought about a qualitative change, in as much as, some of the schools which had shown a deficit in their annual accounts were, after reworking by the Committee found to be showing a surplus. The number of schools where the position had under-gone such a change in shown in table below:

Table No XVI: The Table Showing Qualitative Change As A Result Of Reassessment Of Surplus

Year	No. of schools showing deficit in the annual accounts	No. of Schools showing deficit after reassessment by the Committee
1995-96	41	16
1996-97	37	11
1997-98	35	09

This Table, in a way, was an outcome of lifting of the veil by the Committee and as such helped in determining the quantum and nature of adjustments carried out during the process of reassessing the surplus/deficit.

While the details are available in the last 4 columns of the Table in Para 7.2, the summarized results about number of schools falling in different ranges of quantum of adjustments carried out by the Committee are tabulated below:

Table No XVII: Table Showing The Yearwise Range Of Adjustments In The Reported Surplus/
Deficit Of The School

Number of schools

Year	Upto Rs. 1 Lakh	Rs. 1 Lakhs to Rs. 5 Lakhs	Rs. 5 Lakhs to Rs.10 Lakhs	Above- Rs. 10 Lakhs
1995-96	59	38	21	24
1996-97	58	31	24	29
1997-98	65	24	15	38

7.6 The table confirms Committee's assessment that the schools not only have the tendency of over-stating the deficit/understating the surplus, but also that such a tendency had become more pronounced, for understabable reasons, from 1996-97 onwards. For example, in 1995-96 adjustments to the tune of over Rs. 10 lakhs were found necessary in a total of 24 schools, the number of such schools however, steadily increased to 29 in 1996-97; and further climbed to 38 in 1997-98.

- amongst 142, the schools which continued to be in deficit even after carrying out the necessary adjustments. The Committee observed that there were only Nine such schools in 1997-98, where, there would appear to be a primafacie justification for an upward revision in fee, subject to their satisfying yet another condition viz. that the school continued to show a deficit even after excluding the expenditure on account of payment or provision for arrears.
 - On this criteria the Committee has come to conclude that only two out of the Nine schools referred to in Para 7.7, were justified in hiking their fee in 1997-98, as the remaining seven schools ceased to exhibit any deficit during 1997-98 after the amount of 'arrears' was excluded from their establishment expenditure.
 - With regard to the remaining 133 schools listed in Table....., apparently the fee hike was either not justified at all or was justified, only partially, depending upon whether the surplus as reassessed by the Committee (adjusted towards arrears of salary for these schools) was in excess or otherwise, of the additional realisation of 'fee' in 1997-98. In case the former is found to be in excess, there would be no justification for any fee hike by these schools. In other cases, the fee hike can be justified, but only to the

extent by which the 'additional fee realisation' fell short of the reassessed adjusted surplus.

- The Committee, however, could not undertake this vital exercise, as Income and Expenditure Statements, received from the schools accompanying their balance sheets, did not contain the necessary information about the payments under the head 'establishment' bifurcated between the 'regular salary' and 'arrears'; as also the period for which the salary was paid in the revised scales during 1997-98.
- 7.11 The Committee has, nevertheless, endevoured to suggest a format which could be made use of by the Competent Authority to determine, subject to the availability of data which the Committee could not access to, the appropriateness or otherwise of the quantum of increase in fee during 1997-98 resorted to by individual schools and simultaneously also arrive at the conclusion required in the first term of reference of the Committee.

Specimen Format for Determining the Quantum of Justified Hike in the Fees During 1997-98 for Absorbing the Impact of the Pay Commission

	Name	of the School		!
	S.N0 A	Surplus/deficit for 1997-98 as reported by the school:		Amount
	В	Add Back		
	D	i) Depreciation (Non-cash expenditure)ii) Other non-revenue expenditure (such		
		as creation of Development / Building / Equipment / Reserve Fund) iii) Payment to Society on account of		
		rent, licence fee, service charges interest etc.		
		iv) Extraordinary provisions not in conformity with past trends. v) (a) Provision/payment of arrears on		
		account of the Pay Commission (b) Additional expenditure on Establishment.		
		cost charged in I & E A/c after the Vth Pay Commission implementation.		;
-		mp.o.nomation.		************
	B)	Total 'Add Back'		
İ	C)	Reassessed surplus for 1997-98(A+B)		
		before implementation of the Fifth Pay		
		Commission but after fee hike	<u>.</u>	
	D)	Less : Additional collection of fee from		
		Students in 1997-98 (i.e. difference		
		between total collection for 1997-98 over		
	E١	1996-97).		********
	E)	Adjusted surplus before fee hike and		
į	F)	implementation of Pay Commission (C-D) Additional annual recurring expenditures		
	' '	due to Pay Commission.		
	G)	Excess(+) / Shortfall(-)		•••••
		(E-F)		

- The Fee hike during 1997-98 would be justified only in those cases, where the additional annual recurring expenditure due to the Pay Commission fell short of the adjusted surplus before the fee hike and before implementation of Pay Commission; and only to the extent of this short fall. Conversaly, where the adjusted surplus was in excess of additional recurring expenditure, no hike in fee would be warranted.
- The underlying assumption in the foregiving analysis is that the schools would have found it feasible to pay the arrears of salaries out of their accumulated funds. There may, however, be cases where it may not be possible for a particular school to do so. In such cases, the provision for the arrears would also have to be taken into consideration. The appropriate quantum of fee hike, would then have to be determined by factoring into the calculations, the amount by which the accumulated funds with the schools fell short of the amount of 'arrears' payable.
 - The same format can be used for determining the appropriate level of fees for 1998-99. As the audited accounts for 1998-99 were not expected to be ready before June/July 1999, the Committee, in its Public Notice, had not asked for them. The Income and Expenditure Accounts for the period April to December, 1998 received from a few schools in response to the Public Notice did not provide adequate data for determining the fees for 1998-99.

The appropriate level of fee for 1998-99 can be determined only after the data for the full year is available (in terms of rule 180 (1) of D.S.E.R., 1973 these accounts are due for submission to the Directorate by July 31st).

- 7.15 Interestingly, the committee found that in one case where the requisite details about payment of arrears were available (Illustration No. 7; para 6.24), the school would have still had a surplus of over Rs. 7 lakhs, after absorbing the total impact of the Fifth Pay Commission, including arrears without resorting to any hike in fee.
 - 7.16 Thus, the first term of reference of this Committee stands answered substantially and to the extent possible, and in the manner outlined above.
 - other charges' levied / leviable by individual schools, which had not been covered by the Govt. Order of September 10, 1997; as also by the Judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of October 30th 1998. The former, as is known, covered Registration Fee, Admission Fee, Caution Money, Computer Fee and Science Fee. The latter, inter-alia dealt with 'enhancement of fee' as also the manner of treatement of the items covered in the aforesaid Government Order. A comprehensive examination of the problem, therefore, required an integrated view of the total fee structure of the schools.

- The Committee observed that in addition to The tuition fee, schools were also charging fees under various other heads as well. The Report of the J.Veeraraghvan Committee On 'Fee Structure of the Delhi Private Schools' (1997), has listed as many as 50 heads under which the fee was being collected in the schools in Delhi (Page 43). Furthermore, there is also no uniformity, among schools in regard to the nomenclature used for different types of levies under 'other charges'. In addition to this, items charged under the same head also differ from school to school. This has resulted in avoidable ambiguities and distortions in the fee structure which could become a vehicle for exploitation where the schools were so inclined.
 - 7.19 With a view to overcoming these anomalies, as also for curbing the potential for any likely 'misuse' by the schools including those run by minorities, the Committee recommends that the levies charged should be classified under four broad categories as given below.
 - The first category should comprise of the Registration Fee and all "one time charges" levied at the time of the admission of the student such as Admission Fee and Caution Money. While the Admission Fee should not be charged more than once during the entire stay of the student as stipulated under section 16 of D.S.E. Act,1973 read with Rules 135, 137 and 138 thereunder; it should be made mandatory for the schools to refund

the Caution Money with interest thereon at the time of a student leaving the school without the same being claimed by the student/parents.

- should be so fixed, as to cover the standard cost of establishment including provision for D.A., bonus and all terminal benefits as mentioned under section 10 (1) of the Delhi School Education Act 1973; as also the all expenditure of revenue nature for the improvement of curricular facilities like Library, Laboratories, Science Fee and Computer Fee upto class X and examination expenses. The more important parameters for determining standard cost of establishment, inter-alia, could be the pupil-teacher ratio and the ratio of teaching and non-teaching staff in each school.
- area in need of maximum discipline. These charges should be so determined so as to be sufficient to cover all expenditure of revenue nature not included in (ii) above, 'over-heads', and expenses on play grounds, sports equipment, gymnasium, cultural and other cocurricular activities as distinct from curricular activity of the school.
- iv) The fourth category should consist of all 'earmarked levies' for services rendered by the schools, to be recovered only from the 'user' students, in respect of only those facilities availed of by them, such as Transport Fee, Swimming Pool Charges, Horse riding, Tennis, Mid-day meals etc. The income from the earmarked levies, should be spent only for the

purpose for which these are collected, with the role of the school, being confined to that of a catalyst or a facilitator for managing the services on a 'no profit no loss' basis.

- 7.20 All transactions relating to the 'earmarked' activities should form an integral part of the school accounts. Further, to ensure that the Accounts for such services are self-balancing over a period, separate accounts should also be maintained by the school for each of the activity/ services.
- equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts, schools could also levy, in addition to the above four categories, a Development fee annually, as a capital receipt not exceeding 10% of the total annual Tuition Fee for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furnitures, fixtures and equipment. At present, these are widely neglected items, notwithstanding the fact that a large number of schools were levying charges under the head 'Development Fund'.
 - 7.22 Being capital receipts, these should form a part of the Capital Account of the school. The collection under this head along with any income generated from the investment made out of this Fund should however, be

kept in a separate 'Development Fund Account'; with the balance in the Fund carried forward from year to year.

- 7.23 In suggesting rationalisation of the Fee Structure with the above components, the Committee has been guided by the twin objectives of ensuring that while on the one hand the schools do not get starved of funds for meeting their legitimate needs, on the other, that there is no undue or avoidable burden on the parents as a result of schools indulging in any commercialisation.
- 7.24 Simultaneously, it is also to be ensured that the schools, do not discharge any of the functions, which rightly fall in the domain of the Society out of the fee and other charges collected from the students; or where the parents are made to bear, even in part, the financial burden for the creation of facilities including building, on a land which had been given to the society at concessional rates for carrying out a "philantropic" activity. One only wonders what than is the contribution of the society that professes to run The School!
 - 7.25 The Committee, however is, not in a position to quantify the levies, as enjoined in its 'Second term of reference', under the broad categories detailed above, as the factors which go into determining them vary from

school to school and the Committee had no means to access the requisite information; as also for various other reasons mentioned earlier. The Committee has, however, laid down ample guidelines to workout the quantum of such levies for each school individually as and when the requisite 'inputs' are avialable.

- The present state of accounts of the schools did not leave the Committee in any doubt that a large number of them were taking recourse to accounting practices, which had not infrequently resulted in the accounts, reflecting more, the predetermined objectives of their managements rather than the true form and content of their transactions.
 - 7.27 Not often have the schools presented the data in a systematised manner, for enabling the identification, measurement and communication of the financial parameters, for an effortless interpretation and reference by the user of the accounts. By and large neither the existing pattern of the accounts, nor the manner in which the aggregate of receipts and expenditure are grouped, within it facilitate comprehension and comparison of the variety of transactions from one financial year to another. This leaves a high probability of many of the distortions remaining undetected.

- The situation needs to be remedied forthwith by evolving urgently a standard accounting structure, uniform and internally consistent, where adequacy, effectiveness and transparency of the accounting practices, determine the contents, character, and quality of the accounts. There should also exist complete coordination between the details of the accounting structure and the nature of transactions in schools. The format evolved for the purpose should, inter alia, provide for full disclosure of movement of funds from the schools to the society and vice-versa, as also from one school to another school; and standard accounting practices for capitalisation of expenditure.
- The necessary authority for prescribing the format for the maintenance and presentation of accounts already vests the Director of Education under section 18 (5) of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 read with rule 180 of D.S.E.R., 1973. The matter is, therefore, best left for him to decide.
- Before parting, the Committe would like to place on record that the issues involved being of far reaching consequences to the Society at large, effecting virtually every family in Delhi drawn from all strata; it is imperative for those on whom the responsibility to administer rests, to make up to the perils of apathy, indifference and defeatism and to become alive to the dimensions of the problem. For, there can be no gainsaying the fact that the

stakes in terms of social well-being are very high, and it is the bounden duty of the Powers that be- Political as well as Administrative - to manifest the necessary Will and Commitment to the cause of school education, for an enduring solution. Necessary initiatives shall have to be taken to rectify past failures, resulting from lack of understanding of social cost of disinterest in such a vital area, on which the edifice of future of the democracy stands. The Committee would also like to lay emphasis on the fact that there are abundant powers and ample provisions in the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and the Rules framed thereunder. All that is wanting is streamlining of the administrative set up, rationalisation of the procedures and bringing the sense of earnestness to bear upon all concerned, and most importantly the requisite political will.

Our study of the state of Accounts, maintained by the Un-aided Private Recognised Schools has been revealing in the sense that no ways and means are left untapped by them to turn the philanthropic activity like school education, into a profitable venture in one form or the other. The strict compliance of the statutory provisions of the Act as to inspection and audting by the officers of the Directorate is the only answer. We see no reason for the Directorate to have recourse to private channels such as a panel of Chartered Accountants, for there is an extensive set up within the Directorate itself as detailed in para 2.8. In addition, there is the Audit Department of the

Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi and if need be the Director of Education can requisition the services of the officers of the Accountant General's Department and even from the office of the Comptroller General of Accounts. No effort ought to be spared to ensure that school education falls within everybody's reach and the 'boast' of certain un-aided private shools about 'quality' education coming necessarily at a cost, needs to be suitably countered by twin steps of rationalising and strengthening the administrative set up of the Government- run and Government- aided schools as also by continuous monitoring the accounting procedures of the Unaided Private Schools.

7.32 It needs hardly to be stressed that the sine qua non of good governance is to bring good education within everyone's reach. Conversely, good governance postulates good education.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The term 'fee' has been interpreted by the Committee to mean the total amount paid to the school by a student which comprises of the total of tuition fee and all other charges which in fact represent the total burden on the parents whether paid monthly, quarterly or annually. [Para 5.8]
- A large number of schools were found to be levying fees in excess of what was warranted for absorbing the full impact of the Pay Commission's recommendations. [Para 6.1]
- 3. Nearly all the schools examined from whom information was received by the Committee, seemed to have attempted to circumvent the provisions of Delhi Schools Education Act, 1973 and the Rules thereunder, the established accounting procedure/ practices and even the Court Orders. [Para 6.36]
- 4. There is a pronounced tendency since 1996-97, on the part of the schools, to generally under-state surplus/over-state the deficit. This was often sought to be achieved by resorting to over-provisioning under certain heads of expenditure such as gratuity, property tax etc.; diverting (even prior to determining the surplus) a part of the school revenue receipts to various funds usually created with the specific intention of temporarily parking the money in them; charging of depreciation without simultaneously

setting up a Depreciation Reserve Fund for replacing the assets; depreciating assets not owned by the school and simultaneously transferring equivalent amounts to the parent society; not including the income accrued from certain activities under the head 'fee' in the Income and Expenditure Account and simultaneously not crediting these receipts to the 'Recognised Unaided School Fund', but concurrently charging the expenditure incurred on the related activities, to the Income and Expenditure Account; non capitalisation of expenditure of capital nature and instead charging it to the Income and Expenditure Account; incurring expenditure on items and for purposes not strictly falling within the scope of Delhi School Education Act and Rules, 1973 (Rule 177); transferring the money to the parent society under various pretexts such as payment of lease rent, contribution to Education Development Expenditure, incurring recurring expenditure on the maintenance of the office of the parent society and maintenance of cars for the use of the Society etc.

There was also a visible spurt in expenditure more particularly in 1997-98 on certain items such as professional fees, maintenance and other overhead charges of the school. [Paras 6.2,6.3 and 6.4]

5. There is no set relationship in regard to the levies made by the different schools under the heads 'tuition fee' and 'other charges'. The percentage of 'other charges' to 'tuition fee', varies very widely from school

to school. The data, however, fails to provide a sound basis for laying down any guidelines for determining a prudent ratio of 'tuition fee' and 'other charges'. [Para 5.10]

- 6. With a view to forestalling any itemised scrutiny of receipts under different heads of collection, to evaluate their justification or otherwise, some schools are changing over to the practice of levying a consolidated amount as fee. This tendency needs to be curbed forthwith. [Para 5.11]
- 7. The Hon'ble High Court fixed as, an interim measure, the maximum permissible limit for raising the fee by 40% and retained the levies on account of Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money at the same level as on 31/03/97 till the submission of the Report by this Committee.

This notwithstanding, as many as 57 Schools (out of the 187 schools for which the data was available) levied total fee in excess of the 40% ceiling during 1997-98, the hike being more than 70% in some cases and continued to charge at enhanced rates thereafter. Further, 22 of these 57 schools enhanced the tuition fee (as distinct from total fee again in 1998-99). [Paras 5.1,5.8 and 5.12]

8. In respect of the Registration Fee, the Admission Fee and the Caution Money, the relevant data was available only for 132 schools.

However, out of these only 57 schools have complied in full with the High Court's directives. The remaining 75 schools have violated the prescribed ceiling in respect of one or more items. [Para 5.14]

No mechanism was evolved by the Directorate, except issuing a general circular, to monitor the follow-up action on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court. The Committee recommends that the Department of Education should forthwith initiate such action, as is necessary, for the refund/ adjustment of the amount collected in excess of the permissible' ceiling' by the schools in respect of the levies referred to in conclusion no 7. [Paras 5.15, 5.16 and 5.17]

- and Caution Money had been levied by the different schools during 1997-98 and 1998-99, the Committee concluded that the balance of convenience lay in not disturbing, the rates of levies, at this stage, as the aforesaid period was already over (except in the manner as stated at conclusion 9). For the future, the Director of Education, who has the requisite powers in this regard, may determine the quantum for these levies. [Para 5.18]
 - 11. In view of the anomalies and deficiencies in the information received from the schools, the financial profile of the schools had to be reconstructed by carrying out necessary adjustments in the data received from them in

However, out of these only 57 schools have complied in full with the High Court's directives. The remaining 75 schools have violated the prescribed ceiling in respect of one or more items. [Para 5.14]

- 9. No mechanism was evolved by the Directorate, except issuing a general circular, to monitor the follow-up action on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court. The Committee recommends that the Department of Education should forthwith initiate such action, as is necessary, for the refund/ adjustment of the amount collected in excess of the permissible' ceiling' by the schools in respect of the levies referred to in conclusion no 7. [Paras 5.15, 5.16 and 5.17]
- In regard to the rates at which the Registration Fee, Admission Fee and Caution Money had been levied by the different schools during 1997-98 and 1998-99, the Committee concluded that the balance of convenience lay in not disturbing, the rates of levies, at this stage, as the aforesaid period was already over (except in the manner as stated at conclusion 9). For the future, the Director of Education, who has the requisite powers in this regard, may determine the quantum for these levies. [Para 5.18]
 - 11. In view of the anomalies and deficiencies in the information received from the schools, the financial profile of the schools had to be reconstructed by carrying out necessary adjustments in the data received from them in

respect of all inadmissible items, such as those which did not involve a real cash out flow or reflected the movement of the revenue of the schools to incorrect or inappropriate destinations. This involved reassessment of surplus/deficit for 142 schools (for which the requisite data was available) by "adding - back" from the figures reported by the schools for the non-cash items like depreciation and transfer to various reserves and other funds, as also the expenditure not falling within the scope of rules and regulations, and other extraordinary items. This resulted in an upward revision of the surplus/downward revision in the deficit, in the case of all the 142 schools for each of the three years beginning 1995-96. [Para 7.1]

- The lifting of the veil by reassessing the surplus/deficit of the schools, confirmed the tendency on the part of the schools of understating surplus/overstating the deficit. [Para 7.5]
- The Committee observed that after the necessary adjustments there were only 9 schools, where there appeared to be prima-facie justification for an unpward revision in the fee in 1997-98. This number however, came down to only two schools after the expenditure on account of the 'arrears' was excluded, on the ground that the arrears should have been paid out of the accumulated reserves available with the schools. [Para 7.7]

<u>;</u>

- The Committee could not recommend a fee structure for the individual schools, as the Income and Expenditure Statements accompanying the Balance Sheets, recevied from the schools, did not contain the necessary information about the payments under the heads 'establishment' bifurcated between the 'regular salary' and 'arrears'; as also the period for which the salary was paid in the revised scales during 1997-98, and the related dates. [Para 7.10]
- The Committee has, nevertheless, endeavoured to devise a format, which could be made use of, by the competent Authority to determine, subject to the availability of data, which the Committee could not access to, the quantum of justified hike in fee during 1997-98 for absorbing the impact of the implementation of the Fifth Pay Commission recommedations. [Para 7.11]
 - The first term of reference of the Committee, thus stands answered substantially and to the extent possible, in the manner outlined in the conclusion 15 above. [Para 7.16]
 - 17. With regard to its second term of reference; the Committee notes that there was not only lack of uniformity but also avoidable ambiguities and distortions in the existing fee structure of the schools, more particularly

under the heading "other charges", which could become a vehicle of exploitation where the schools were so inclined. The Committee, however, proposes that the levies charged by the schools should be classified under the following four broad categories:

The first category should comprise of the Registration Fee and all one time charges, levied at the time of the admission of the student such as 'Admission Fee' and 'Caution Money'. It should, however, be made mandatory for the schools to refund the Caution money, with interest thereon, at the time of the student leaving the school, without the same being claimed by the student/parents.

The second category should comprise of 'Tuition fee'. This should be so fixed, as to cover the standard cost of establishment including provisions for D.A., bonus and all terminal benefits; as also all expenditure of revenue nature concerning the curricular activities. The pupil- teacher ratio and the ratio between the teaching and the non-teaching staff should be the main determinants while arriving at the standard cost.

The third category should be that of 'Annual Charges' - an area, in need of maximum discipline. These charges should be so determined, as to be sufficient to cover all expenditure of a revenue nature not included in the second category, besids 'over-heads' and expenses on playgrounds, sports equipments, gymnasium, cultural and other co-curricular activities as distinct from curricular activities of the schools.

The fourth category should consist of all 'earmarked' levies for the services rendered by the schools, to be recovered only from the 'user' students, in respect of the facilities availed of by the latter. The income from the earmarked levies, should be spent only for the purpose, for which these are collected, with the role of the schools, being confined to that of a catalyst or a facilitator, for managing the services on a 'no profit no loss' basis. All transactions relating to the 'earmarked' activities should form an integral part of the school accounts. [Paras 7.19 and 7.20]

- Development Fee, as a capital receipt, annually not exceeding 10% of the total annual Tuition Fee, for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment, provided the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue account. While these receipts should form part of the Capital Account of the school, the collection under this head along with any income generated from the investment made out of this fund. should however, be kept in a separate Development Fund Account. [Para 7.21]
 - 19. The Committee is not in a position to quantify the levies, as enjoined in its 'Second term of reference', under the broad categories detailed

above, as the factors which go into determining them vary from school to school and it had no means to access the requisite information; as also for various other reasons mentioned in the report. The Committee has, however, laid down ample guidelines for working out the quantum of such levies for each school individually once the requisite 'inputs' are available. [Para 7.25]

- 20. The schools, should be prohibited from discharging any of the functions, which rightly fall in the domain of the parent society, out of the fee and other charges, collected from the students; or where the parents are made to bear, even in part, the financial burden for the creation of facilities including building, on a land which had been given to the society at concessional rates for carrying out a "philanthropic" activity. One only wonders what then is the contribution of the society that professes to run The School! [Para 7.24]
 - Committee has been guided by the twin objectives of ensuring that while on the one hand the schools do not get starved of funds for meeting their legitimate needs and on the other, there is no undue or avoidable burden on the parents as a result of schools indulging in any form of commercialisation whatsoever.[Para 7.23]

- 22. The present state of maintenance of accounts of the schools show, that a large number of them were reflecting more, the predetermined objectives of their management, rather than the true form and contents of the school related transactions. By and large, neither the existing pattern of the accounts, nor the manner in which the aggregate of receipts and expenditure are grouped within it, facilitate a comprehension and comparison of the variety of transactions from one financial year to another. The solution needs to be remedied forthwith by evolving urgently a standard accounting structure, uniform and internally consistent, where adequacy, effectiveness and transparency determines the contents character and quality of the accounts.
 - The stand of the Action Committee of Unaided Private Schools that the hike in fees was justified as these schools were providing 'quality' education was negatived by the Committee in the sense that nothing could be asserted in absolute terms and that everything was relative and that the Committee was alive to the fact that one school could have distinct edge as against the others, but nevertheless this could not be a ground for flouting with arrogance, in the face of general clamour by parents, feeling the pinch of fee hike. [Para 3.7]
 - 24. No effort ought to be spared to ensure that school education falls within everybody's reach and the boast of certain unaided private schools

about 'quality' education coming necessarily at a cost, needs to be suitably countered by twin steps of rationalising and strengthening the administrative set up of the government run/Government aided schools, as also by monitoring the accounting procedures/practices of the Unaided Private Schools. [Para 7.31]

- 25. In view of the experience of the Committee about the poor response of the schools in respect to our Public Notice, Reminders and Requisitions only 187 schools out of a total of 929, responded to the Public Notice issued by the Committee and only 80 schools replied to Committee's letter of 22.03.99, it is recommended that the Directorate should ensure that whatever action is taken regarding supply of information from the schools by any designated authority; there is a corresponding power in the said authority to enforce compliance by each and every school. [Para 3.7]
 - 26. The issue of grievances of parents on the fee hike was assuming dangerous proportions. This was vitiating the atmosphere as also jeopardising the time honoured tradition of cordiality, courtesy and mutual respect between parents and school authorities. The matter should not be

allowed to go out of hand, so as to spoil parent-teacher relationship and also to undermine the authority of the Head of the institutions.[Para 3.8]

The Committee was informed by the Director of Education during the meeting, that the Government had a proposal for bringing about an amendment to the Delhi School Education Act to provide, inter-alia, autonomy to the un-aided private schools so that they could generate some self regulatory measures in this respect.

The Committee found this revelation to be really alarming because whereas the outcry was for more stringent regulatory neasures on the part of the Government to check and contain commercialisation and a host of irregularities, here was a thinking process that contemplated the shedding of control, which in Committee's perception would be a retrograde step and tantamount to abdication of duties and statutory functions on the part of the Government. The Committee emphasizes that there is a need for effective steps to be taken to bring the system back on the rails for ensuring that education remains a philanthropic activity for public good and does not degenerate into being a business or industry imbued with commercialisation. [Para 3.13]

- 28. The Committee recommends that since the Government is contemplating amendments to the Delhi School Education Act, 1973, the changes that should be introduced ought not to be as now proposed, but the other way round, by bringing in more stringent penal provisions in the Act, besides the existing one of withdrawal of recognition and also plugging the loopholes in the existing provisions. This should be brought about, in committee's view, in such a manner that while the delinquent schools are brought around, the interest of the students are in no way adversely effected. [Para 3.15]
 - 29. The Committee does not subscribe to the suggestion of the Director of Education, that the Government machinery should be supplemented by private sources such as the empanelment of Chartered Accountants for auditing of school accounts, as they already have an elaborate machinery and infra-structure within the Directorate itself for doing the job.[Paras 3.12 and 7.31]
 - 30. The issues involved being of far-reaching consequences to the.

 Society at large, effecting virtually every family in Delhi drawn from all strata;

 it is imperative for those on whom the responsibility to administer rests, to

wake up to the perils of apathy, indifference and defeatism and become alive to the dimensions of the problem. [Para 7.30]

31. Abundant powers and ample provisions already exist in the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and the Rules framed thereunder. All that is wanting is streamlining of the administrative set-up, rationalisation of the procedures and bringing about a sense of responsibility to bear upon all concerned and most importantly the requisite Political Will. [Para 7.30]



(Mrs.) Justice Santosh Duggal (Retd.)

CHAIRPERSON

(Prof. H. S. Srivastava)

MEMBER (EDUCATION)

(Gopal Narayan Tandon)

MEMBER (ACCOUNTS)

Date: July 31, 1999