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\section*{CHAPTER-I}

\section*{EDUCATION FOR GIRLS - A MAJOR POLICY CONCERN}

The elimination of all forms of discrimination against women, especially in the light of the provisions of constitutional requirements, social legislations and universalisation of primary education, is still to be achieved.

It is in this context, that education of girls and women has become a major policy concern' - for asserting human rights for education, equality in access, retention in the system, and for ensured success in achieving the levels of education for supportive and developmental activities in life.

It is with a view to study the status and processes of educational programmes relating to girl's education, and to provide 'inputs' in plan formulation, with a view to promoting girls' education and women's empowerment, a concerted effort is being taken now, by.the Government of India, Government of Tamil. Nadu, the NCERT, New Delhi, the DTERT, Tamil Nadu and the supporting departments in Women's Welfare and other NGO's in Tamil Nadu.

The All-India Scenario :

The en rolment ratio of airls at the elementarv staje bv states / UTs and ranked by female literacy rate percent in 1991 is given below, to understand the rankings and placement of different states / UT's in the country.

TABLE—I
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Rank} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
State/U.T. with \\
literacy rate more than 50\%
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Literacy} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Index of Gender Equality} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Enrolment ratio 1991-92} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Rate 1991} & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Primary}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Upper Primary}} \\
\hline & & Male & Female & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 1. & Kerala & 95 & 87 & 96 & 100 & 98 & 105 & 104 \\
\hline 2. & Mizoram & 84 & 78 & 93 & 139 & 133 & 76 & 73 \\
\hline 3. & Chandigarh & 83 & 74 & 94 & 61 & 59 & 57 & 57 \\
\hline 4. & Lakshadweep & 87 & 71 & 93 & 157 & 135 & 118 & 97 \\
\hline 5. & Goa & 86 & 68 & 89 & 106 & 97 & 112 & 96 \\
\hline 6. & Delhi & 83 & 68 & 89 & 87 & 88 & 83 & 81 \\
\hline 7. & A \& N. Is lands & 80 & 66 & 89 & 100 & 85 & 88 & 76 \\
\hline 8. & Pondicherry & 84 & 66 & 88 & 148 & 136 & 135 & 117 \\
\hline 9. & Daman \& Diu & 86 & 62 & 83 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. & Nagaland & 66 & 56 & 96 & 114 & 104 & 70 & 68 \\
\hline 11. & Himachal Pradesh & 75 & 56 & 83 & 125 & 109 & 125 & 96 \\
\hline 12. & Tamil Nadu & 75 & 52 & 82 & 142 & 128 & 109 & 86 \\
\hline 13. & Maharastra & 75 & 51 & 80 & 132 & 119 & 92 & 67 \\
\hline 14. & Tripura & 70 & 50 & 83 & 144 & 122 & 90 & 71 \\
\hline 15. & Punjab & 64 & 50 & 87 & 102 & 75 & 79 & 66 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Girls and Women's education in India-Country Paper, Usha Nayar NCERT, 1993.

Females lag behind males in the literacy rates, especially in Lakshadweep, Goa, Delhi, A \& N. Islands, Pondicherry, Daman \& Diu, Himachal Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Maharastira, Tripura and Punjab.

This is a matter of great concern and calls for scrutiny and concerted efforts to step-up the literacy efforts, enrolment and effective translation of the literacy effects into better social life. The Tamil Nadu Scenario

The district wise comparitive literacy rate as per census 1991 is as follows:
\[
\text { TABLE } \mathrm{E} \text { — } 2
\]


\footnotetext{
Source : Government of Tamil Nadu, Department of Elementary Education The District Primary Education Programmes, State Level Interventions.
}

\section*{MAP OF TAMIL NADU}


In terms of the literacy levels, the lowest achievement has been in respect of Dharmapuri, Tiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar and South Arcot District, in all aspects (i.e.) literacy levels of males and females taken to-gether.

TABLE - 3 DEMOGRAPGYY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Indicators} & Country & State \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1. Population in Millions}} & Male & 437.60 & 28.29 \\
\hline & & Female & 406.33 & 27.55 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2. \% of SC Population to Total (1991)} & 16.33 & 19.18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{3. \% of ST Population to Total (1991)} & 8.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{4. \% of Rural Population (1991)} & 73.87 & 65.85 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{5. Sex ratio 1991 females per 1000 males} & 927 & 974 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{6. Sex ratio 1991 (0-6 Years)} & 945 & 948 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & Literacy Rate(1991) Total & Persons & 52.21 & 62.66 \\
\hline & & Male & 64.13 & 73.75 \\
\hline & & Female & 39.29 & 51.33 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{8. Workers participation rate
(1991)}} & Persons & 37.46 & 43.31 \\
\hline & & Male & 51.55 & 56.39 \\
\hline & & Female & 22.25 & 29.89 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{} & Literacy Rate & Total & 52.21 & 62.66 \\
\hline & & Rural & 64.13 & 73.75 \\
\hline & & Urban & 39.29 & 51.33 \\
\hline & Males & Rural & 57.87 & 67.18 \\
\hline & & Urban & 81.09 & 86.06 \\
\hline & Females & Rural & 30.62 & 41.84 \\
\hline & & Urban & 64.05 & 69.61 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : Census of India, (Provisional), 1991.
\[
-5-
\]
 as \(35.8 \%\) for Dharmapuri, followed by South Arcot 38.8 and


On the other side of the parameter, are some striking better performances, as in the cases of Kanyakumari \(80.3 \%\), Madras 78.1\%, followed by a steep fall in the percentage ranges (65.2 to 43.9) in the case of other districts.

Therefore there are three district segments of literacy rates, as,
A. Kanyakumari and Madras - \(80.3 \%\) and \(78.1 \%\)
B. 16 other districts other than in

A \& C - \(65.2 \%\) to \(43.9 \%\)
C. And 3 districts, Dharmapuri,

South Arcot and Tiruvannamalai
Sambuvarayar Districts at the
lowest level - \(39.2 \%\) to \(35.8 \%\)
To start with, therefore, the three districts in \(C\), Dharmapuri, South Arcot and Tiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar need our foremost attention.

\section*{TABLE-4}

\section*{ENROLMENT IN PRIMARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS BY DISTRICT 1990-91}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{D I S TRICT} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{I - VIII STD} \\
\hline & BOYS & GIRLS & T O T A L \\
\hline 1. South Arcot & 403784 & 346414 & 750168 \\
\hline 2. Tiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar & 203023 & 168742 & 371765 \\
\hline 3. Dharmapuri & 195903 & 152172 & 348075 \\
\hline Total & 4642833 & 3903642 & 8546475 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : Statistical hand book of School Education Department 1990-91.
\[
\text { TABLE } \mathbf{T} \text {-5 }
\]

ENROLMENT STANDARDWISE (1990-91) SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Class & N U M B E R S \\
& BOYS & GIRLS \\
I & 59529 & 57589 \\
II & 56904 & 49888 \\
III & 52019 & 46542 \\
IV & 51611 & 46390 \\
V & 51601 & 45353
\end{tabular}

Source : DPEP Report - Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.
\[
=\text { IA } B, L, E,-6,
\]

The drop outrate in the age group 6-14 for five years from 1986-37 to

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{PERCENTAGE} \\
\hline S.No. D I S TRICT & BOYS & GIRLS & TOTA \\
\hline 1. Madras & 44.52 & 49.42 & 47.52 \\
\hline 2. Chengai Anna & 45.14 & 53.24 & 48.74 \\
\hline 3. North Arcot Ambetkar & 50.15 & 59.87 & 54.83 \\
\hline 4. Dharmapuri & 54.61 & 62.87 & 58.42 \\
\hline 5. Thiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar & 51.74 & 61.62 & 56.23 \\
\hline 6. South Arcot & 48.17 & 58.17 . & 50.97 \\
\hline 7. Salem & 48.12 & 57.49 & 52.35 \\
\hline 8. Periyar & 48.39 & 58.06 & 52.75 \\
\hline 9. Nilgiris & 49.39 & 59.19 & 54.13 \\
\hline 10. Coimbatore & 49.30 & 58.95 & 53.93 \\
\hline 11. Dindugul Quid-E-Millath & 48.38 & 58.86 & 53.80 \\
\hline 12. Tiruchirappalli & 47.27 & 54.80 & 49.32 \\
\hline 13. Thanjavur & 47.92 & 59.04 & 53.23 \\
\hline 14. Pudukottai & 48.03 & 59.57 & 52.89 \\
\hline 15. Pasumpon Thevar & 49.66 & 59.43 & 53.97 \\
\hline 16. Madurai & 49.22 & 58.49 & 53.39 \\
\hline 17. Kamarajar & 49.26 & 59.35 & 53.89 \\
\hline 18. Ramanathapuram & 49.53 & 59.59 & 54.27 \\
\hline 19. Chidambaranar & 48.66 & 54.76 & 51.37 \\
\hline 20. Tirunelveli Kattabomman & 48.47 & 56.18 & 51.59 \\
\hline 21. Kanyakumari & 45.43 & 49.77 & 47.60 \\
\hline Total & 47.68 & 59.82 & 52.38 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : Statistical Handbook of School Education Department 1990-91.


Source : Census of India, 1981 - Occasional Papers, No. of 5 of l988. Child mortality estimates in India. The infant mortality rates for all areas is 104 (total), 114 for male children and 93 for female children. The IMR is greater for rural areas than urban areas. Similarly the child mortality rate is also greater for rural areas than for urban areas.

Child mortality estimates by the educational level of the mother - tam i l nadu


Total population, SC and ST population in 6-11 age group enrolment and percentage 1993-94
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Boys & Girls & Total \\
\hline General population 6-11 & 152130 & 141882 & 294012 \\
\hline General enrolment 6-11 & 142399 & 132652 & 275051 \\
\hline \% of enrolment & 97.6 & 96.1 & 96.85 \\
\hline SC / ST population & 38346 & 34605 & 72951 \\
\hline SC / ST enrolment & 34289 & 30073 & 64362 \\
\hline \% of SC / ST enrolment & 89.42 & 86.90 & 88.16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It is noted that the literacy level of females (total and rural) is much less than the percentage of literacy at the state and the national levels. This variation is widely felt, in the category of rural / women as it is just 30.57\%. /

The enrolment rate of pupils belonging to \(\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST}\) communities is far below than the general enrolment rate and it is wider in the case of enrolment of girls.

It is clear that -
(1) Tamil Nadu ranks 12 th in the literacy rates (both male and female)
(2) Dharmapuri, Tiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar and South Arcot districts have the lowest levels of literacy rates,
(3) The number and percentage of drop-outs are move for girls; and \(52.74 \%\) of girls belonging to SC/ST communities drop-out, before they reach standard VIII.

The corrosponding figure of drop out of girls, belonging to all communities is \(36.35 \%\).

\section*{THE FOCUS}

It is with this view, that the focus on girl's education is now towards these three districts and the DPE. Programme is now formulating strategies to increase the enrolment and retention of girls in schools, raise the literacy levels of women and activitate the society through fuller participation of women in all spheres of social life.

\section*{CHAPTER-II}

\section*{A PROFILE OF SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT}
l. = Geographic Location - Geographically, South Arcot District is a vast district with an area of 10899 Sq.Kms.

It is bounded on the North by Chengai - MGR District and Thiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar District, on the West, by Salem, Dharmapuri and Thiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar Districts, on the East, by the Bay of Bengal and on the South by Tiruchirappalli District and Thanjavur Districts.

The district is 130 metres above the M.S.L. and is mostly covered by plains, with mountains and forests in the North and West. The total area is \(10,89,842\) hectares, out of which, \(1,71,590\) hectares are wet lands; the remaining are dry.
\[
T A B L E-10
\]
2.

\section*{Number of development Blocks/Taluks/Villages}

3. Population by Sex, Rural/Urban, SC/ST dichotomic study
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Population} & Rural & \% of \(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{TP}\) & Urban & SC & \[
\begin{gathered}
\% \text { of } \\
\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{TP}
\end{gathered}
\] & ST & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \text { of } \\
\text { ST/TP }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(1)} & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{M} & 2,542,018 & 2,082,602 & 81.9 & 459,416 & 863715 & 33.9 & 35572 & 13.9 \\
\hline & @ & & & @ & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{F} & 2,455,347 & 2,020,442 & 82.25 & 434,905 & 604632 & 24.6 & 26171 & 10.5 \\
\hline & @ & & & @ & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

R - Rural
TP - Total Population
@ - Includes population in Neyveli Urban agglomeration

Religion: People have migrated from different places and hence, there are Hindus, Muslims, Christians and Jains.

Language Spoken: The main spoken language is Tamil.
4. Climate, land form, rivers, temperature, rainfall etc.

Climate - Moderate climate
Land form - Most of the area of the district is covered with plains, with scattered mountains and forests, in the north and the west.

Rivers - The Pennaiyar, the Gadilam, the Vellar and the Manimuthar.

Temperature - \(18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) to \(35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) (Winter through summer)
Rainfall - 100 to \(200 \mathrm{~cm} / a n n u m\).

Hills are Kalrayan hills, Gingee hills and Kallakurichi hills. There is a mangrove forest at the mouth of river Coleroon known as Pitchavaram. Most of the areas in the north and west are coverec by mountains and forests.
5. Flora and fauna / forest cover
i) Mangrove Forest (Pitchavaram)
ii) Shrubs and thorny forests
iii) Plains

Animals: Jackals, Reptiles.
6. Agriculture and animal husbandry

Food crops - Rice, millets, maize
Cash Crops - Sugarcane, groundnut
Live Stock - Cattle, sheep, poultry, fishing

\section*{7. Mineral Resources}

Limestone, Salt (sodium chloride),
Lignite, Natural gas.
8. Industries
i) Neyveli Thermal Power
ii) Sugar Industry
9. Historical and other distinctive features

Famoús Temples at - Chidambaram, Mailam, Tirukoilur, Vridachalam.

The district, originally was a portion of the Mughal Subha of Arcot. It was indicated as the Southern Division of Arcot. Hence it was called South Arcot. The famous Annamalai University is a seat of higher learning. Portonovo has one of the best Marine Biology Research Station.

South Arcot is one of the districts of Tamil Nadu lying on the east coast of Soutn lndia.
\[
\text { TABLE } \mathrm{C} \text { — } 12
\]

\section*{TOTAL POPULATION}

The total population of South. Arcot District is
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Males & Females & Total \\
\hline 24,75,544 & 23,95,327 & 48,70,871 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE-13

SCHOOL AGE POPULATION
The population of children in South Arcot District as per 1991 Census is :-
```

Age Group
Population 1991
\% of children 1991

```
\(0-4 \quad 609318\)
12.69

5-9
618221
12.15

10-14
591961
12.15
\(0-14\)
1819500
37.35

The male, female ratio (1991) is 968 and the male, female ratio of children (1991) is 971.

Sóurce : Census of India, 1991, Tamil Nadu (Provisional population total).

\section*{TABLEE-14}

\section*{IMR AND CMR}

IMR, CMR (Estimates) - 1981


The IMR and CMR of South Arcot are very high in rural areas and rural plus urban areas put together, when compared to the state rates, and there is no marked difference between male and female IMR and CMR.

Source: Census of India 1981, Occasional pages No. 5 of 1988 . Child Mortality estimates in India.

The child mortality estimates by the educational level of the mother indicates a downward trend from the 'illitexate' mother to the mother with primary, middle, secondary and collegiate educational levols of attainment.

EDUCATIONAL LEVEL AND IMR / CMR
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Tamil Nadu / Educational Level & IMR & CMR \\
Illiterate Population & 115 & 153 \\
Literate but below middle school level & 91 & 108 \\
Middle, but below matric level & 71 & 78 \\
Matric but below graduate level & 43 & 53 \\
About graduate level
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE—17}

\section*{OCCUPATIONAL LEVEL AND IMR / CMR}

Child mortality estimates by the occupation of main workers-Tamil Nadu
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Occupation & Population & IMR & CMR \\
Main workers & Total & 130 & 159 \\
Cultivators & Rural & 110 & 142 \\
Agricultural Labourers & Rural & 138 & 174 \\
Manual Workers & urban & Rural & 134 \\
Non-Manual Workers & Rural & 138 & 180 \\
& Urban & Total & 93
\end{tabular}

Source : Census of India, 1981, Occassional papers No. 5 of 1988 , Child mortality estimates of India.

\section*{TABLE - 18}

The distribution of main workers, marginal workers by sex and rural - urban areas -
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline South Arcot District & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Total } \\
\text { Workers }
\end{gathered}
\] & Main Workers & Marginal Workers \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Total} & Persons & 2,137,472 & 1,957,718 & 179,754 \\
\hline & Males & 1,362,401 & 1,340,938 & 21,463 \\
\hline & Females & 775,071 & 616,780 & 158,291 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Rural} & Persons & 1,898,054 & 1,740,697 & 157,357 \\
\hline & Males & 1,167,910 & 1,153,452 & 14,458 \\
\hline & Females & 730,144 & 587,245 & 142,899 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Urban} & Persons & 239,418 & 217,021 & 22,397 \\
\hline & Males & 194,491 & 187,486 & 7,005 \\
\hline & Females & 44,927 & 29,535 & 15,392 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : Census of India, 1991 - Tamil Nadu - series - 23 - Supplement to paper I of 1991.

The employment status of women affects the school going girl, both positively and negatively. It acts positively by increasing money flow, food availability, nutritional and health status of the child; but reduces mother's time for the child care and thereby acts negatively, by compelling the school going girls to stay at home and attend to domestic work, care of sibling and other household work relating to cattle grazing, subsidiary occupation etc.

\section*{TABLE - 19}

\section*{LITERACY, STATUS - 1981 and 1991}

The literacy particulars for the years 1981 and 1991 are -

Total Population 1981 Literates

S.T. Population 1981

Male
Female
32674
10617
21101
6962
\begin{tabular}{rr}
\(---0--9\) & 17579
\end{tabular}

Total Population 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Male & 2475544 & 1408126 \\
\hline Female & 2395327 & 820596 \\
\hline & 4870871 & 2228722 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
S.C. Population 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Male & 863715 & 383309 \\
\hline Female & 604632 & 206765 \\
\hline & 1468347 & 590074 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
S.T. Population 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Male & 35572 & 13142 \\
\hline Female & 26171 & 7183 \\
\hline & 62143 & 20325 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The literacy \(\%\) of males (all communities) is 56.9 and literacy \(\%\) of females is 34.3

The literacy \(\%\) of S.C. Population males is 44.4 and females is 34.2.

The literacy of of S.T. Population males is 36.9 and females is 27.4.

Source : District Primary Education Programme Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.

\section*{ACCESS TO EDUCATION - EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES}

In South Arcot District there are 2738 primary schools, 483 middle schools, 220 high schools and 112 higher secondary schools.

However there are still 119 habitations (with a population of 300 and above) which do not have a school in that area. (DPEP Repot, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu).

In Kalrayan Hills, 31 Government tribal residential primary schools and 5 middle schools are functioning.

Among the primary schools 106 were not having pucca class rooms and 405 were having only one single class room.

Drinking water facilities were needed for 2257 schools.
There was no electricity facility in all the primary schools. 1062 schools were not having toilet facilities for girls.

The number of single teacher schools was 768 and there were 2715 balwadies and 523 ICDS Centres.

The number of schools under each management since 1989-90 through 1992-93 are given in Table- .

\section*{4 A H H \& \(=20\)}

\section*{SCHOOLS "PATTERNS'}

Types of schoolsm management-wise in the district, from l989-90 through 1992-93, are as given in the table below -
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Government & Municipal & Panchayat Union & Aided & Un-Aided & Total. \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1989-90} \\
\hline Primary & 185 & 63 & 2064 & 406 & - & 2718 \\
\hline Middle & 4 & 18 & 305 & 156 & - & 483 \\
\hline High School & 174 & 2 & - & - 21 & 1 & \(19: 8\) \\
\hline Hr. Sec. School & 76 & 2 & - & 22 & - & 100 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1990-91} \\
\hline Primary & 185 & 63 & 2070 & 406 & - & 2724 \\
\hline Middle & 4 & 18 & 300 & 156 & - & 478 \\
\hline High School & 194 & 2 & - & 21 & 1 & 212 \\
\hline Hr. Sec. School & - 79 & 2 & - & 28 & 1 & 110 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1991-92} \\
\hline Primary & 185 & 63 & 2076 & 406 & - & 2730 \\
\hline Middle & 4 & 18 & 305 & 156 & - & 483 \\
\hline High School & 193 & 2 & - & 24 & 1 & 220 \\
\hline Hr. Sec. School & 180 & 2 & - & 30 & - & 112 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1992-93} \\
\hline Primary & 185 & 63 & 2084 & 406 & - & 2738 \\
\hline Middle & 2 & 18 & 307 & 156 & - & 48.3 \\
\hline High School & 193 & 2 & - & 24 & 1 & 220 \\
\hline Hr. Sec. School & 180 & 2 & - & 30 & - & 112 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu. From 1989-90 to 1992-93, there has been a marginal increase in the number of primary, middle, high and higher secondary schools as indicated.
```

Primary - 20 . Middle - Nil
High School - 22 . Hr. Sec. School - 12

```

\section*{'IABLE - 21}

\section*{ENROLMENT DISTRIBUTION}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Year / Std.} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Over all} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{S.C.} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{S.T.} \\
\hline & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline 1987-88 & & & & . & & \\
\hline I & 57282 & 46197 & 15748 & 11087 & 249 & 206 \\
\hline II & 57378 & 47823 & 12623 & 10521 & 212 & 131 \\
\hline III & 54275 & 45212 & 10855 & 9042 & 201 & 107 \\
\hline IV & 48146 & 44357 & 10148 & 8429 & 168 & 105 \\
\hline V & 48758 & 38524 & 11786 & 6935 & 124 & 97 \\
\hline Total & 265839 & 223113 & 61160 & 46014 & 954 & 646 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1988-89
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline I & 62363 & 52748 & 16714 & 13187 & 388 & 273 \\
\hline II & 58319 & 52417 & 15662 & 12604 & 364 & 269 \\
\hline III & 55130 & 46901 & 14339 & 11725 & 347 & 241 \\
\hline IV & 51302 & 42584 & 12825 & 10213 & 329 & 218 \\
\hline V & 44119 & 31154 & 12929 & 8196 & 274 & 175 \\
\hline Total & 271233 & 228804 & 72469 & 55925 & 1702 & 1176 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1989-90
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline I & 62620 & 53886 & 15508 & 14549 & 329 & 278 \\
\hline II & 57917 & 53090 & -13779 & 12813 & 324 & 264 \\
\hline I II & 55518 & 46688 & 13330 & 10219 & 305 & 247 \\
\hline IV & 51703 & 43044 & 14409 & 9137 & 288 & 219 \\
\hline V & 43617 & 34224 & 11799 & 8400 & 251 & 188 \\
\hline Total & 271375 & 230932 & 66925 & 55118 & 1497 & 1196 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1990-91
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline I & 59529 & 57589 & 15138 & 12696 & 239 & 201 \\
\hline II & 56904 & 49888 & 12314 & 10463 & 243 & 211 \\
\hline III & 52019 & 46542 & 11310 & 2139 & 215 & 184 \\
\hline IV & 51611 & 46390 & 10078 & 8954 & 198 & 134 \\
\hline V & 51601 & 45353 & 9535 & 7892 & 187 & 116 \\
\hline Total & 271664 & 245762 & 58375 & 49144 & 1082 & 846 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : DPRP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu. -23-
 1987-88 to 1990-91 has been \(5825+22649\).

The increase in enrolment in \(I\) to \(V\) for the same period, for \(S C\) Community and the \(S T\) Community has been disappointing as there is an increase during 1988-89, followed by a steep decrease during 1989-90 and 1990-91. This is a matter of serious concern.

During 1991-91, the 6-11 school age population was \(6,84,397\) (Boys-3,32,290 and Girls \(3,52,107\) ).

The total enrolment of this age group was \(5,61,782\) (Boys \(2,86,434\) and Girls 2,75,348).

The total of enrolment was \(82.1 \%\) (Boys \(86.2 \%\) and Girls 78.2\%).

The total of \(\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST}\) Community under enrolment was 61.95.\%.

TABLE-22
STANDARDWISE STRENGTH PARTICULARS (BLOCK WISE)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sl. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Name of the Block & I & II & III & IV & V \\
\hline 1. & Cuddalore & 7271 & 7044 & 6035 & 5153 & 4301 \\
\hline 2. & Annagramam & 4283 & 3773 & 3516 & 3267 & 2885 \\
\hline 3. & Panruti & 4426 & 3932 & 3746 & 3279 & 2951 \\
\hline 4. & Kurinjipadi & 4752 & 4014 & 3994 & 3685 & 3249 \\
\hline 5. & Parangipettai & 3995 & 3338 & 3253 & 3111 & 2509 \\
\hline 6. & Bhuvangiri & 3661 & 2917 & 2998 & 2458 & 2593 \\
\hline 7. & Keerapalayam & 3883 & 3433 & 3353 & 3665 & 3135 \\
\hline 8. & Kumaratchi & 3501 & 2369 & 2283 & 2233 & 1974 \\
\hline 9. & Kattumannarkoil & 2854 & 2695 & 2549 & 2382 & 2089 \\
\hline 10. & Neyveli & 1360 & 1322 & 1317 & 1385 & 1343 \\
\hline 11. & Olakkur & 2764 & 3006 & 2961 & 2651 & 2052 \\
\hline 12. & Milam & 2494 & 2687 & 2541 & 2465 & 2173 \\
\hline 13. & Vanur & 3440 & 3319 & 3120 & 2784 & 2274 \\
\hline 14. & Merakanam & 3715 & 2952 & 2842 & 2638 & 2156 \\
\hline 15. & Vallam & 2831 & 2791 & 2628 & 2686 & 2141 \\
\hline 16. & Gingee & 3867 & 3731 & 3657 & 3531 & 2967 \\
\hline 17. & Melmalayanur & 3299 & 3273 & 3114 & 3070 & 2687 \\
\hline 18. & Vridhachalam & 3231 & 3027 & 3079 & 2725 & 2540 \\
\hline 19. & Kammapuram & 3439 & 2964 & 2646 & 2313 & 2048 \\
\hline 20. & Nallur & 2990 & 2814 & 2631 & 2269 & 2092 \\
\hline 21. & Mangalore & 3396 & 3440 & 3333 & 2963 & 2388 \\
\hline 22. & Chinnasalem & 2935 & 2352 & 2207 & 2053 & 2212 \\
\hline 23. & Kallakurichi & 3389 & 2940 & 2837 & 2469 & 1925 \\
\hline 24. & Thiyagadurugam & 1884 & 1852 & 1764 & 1396 & 1229 \\
\hline 25. & Sankarapuram & 3648 & 3504 & 3243 & 2700 & 2359 \\
\hline 26. & Rishivandiyam & 2847 & 2725 & 2682 & 1989 & 1582 \\
\hline 27. & Kanai & 3333 & 3216 & 3194 & 2649 & 2087 \\
\hline 28. & Mugaiyur & 3994 & 3905 & 3631 & 3286 & 2658 \\
\hline 29. & Koliyanur & 2574 & 2496 & 2243 & 1587 & 1656 \\
\hline 30. & Vikravandi & 2794 & 2838 & 2531 & 2452 & 1984 \\
\hline 31. & Kandamangalam & 2465 & 2577 & 2408 & 2298 & 2258 \\
\hline 32. & Ulundurpettai & 3403 & 3081 & 2776 & 2190 & 1754 \\
\hline 33. & Tirukoilur & 3052 & 2993 & 2781 & 2195 & 1963 \\
\hline 34. & Tiruvennainallur & 3567 & 3226 & 2885 & 2121 & 1750 \\
\hline 35. & Tirunavalur & 3453 & 3305 & 3041 & 2382 & 1890 \\
\hline 36. & Villupuram (Municipal) & 871 & 787 & 740 & 683 & 737 \\
\hline & Total & 119666 & 110638 & 104537 & 93168 & 80787 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Sour.ce : DPEP Report, Department of \(-25-\) Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.

This table is of importance to assess the gross wastage that takes place in the schooling process from Standards I to \(V\).

There is a uniform decrease in strenght at the \(V\) Standard level due to 'wastage' and stagnation in all the blocks.

The total wastage from Standard I through \(V\) is 38879 (32.5\%).

\section*{GROSS ENROLMENT OF SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT}

\section*{Gross Enrolment District Level (1991-92)}

The gross enrolment at the district level is 1050847 boys and girls (belonging to all communities).

TABLB - 23
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& Boys & Girls & Total \\
All & 587557 & 463290 & 1050847 \\
S.C. & 132087 & 90934 & 223021 \\
S.T. & 1839 & 1192 & 3031
\end{tabular}

The gross enrolment of SC Pupils is 223021 (boys and girls) and that of ST pupils is 3031 (boys and girls).
S.C. \& S.T. Students at all levels (1991-92)

The pupils belonging to \(S C\) and \(S T\) Communities in Std. I to \(V\) during l991-92 were as follows:-
\[
T A B L E-24
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Standard & I & II & III & IV & V & Total \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{S.C.} & Boys & 16697 & 14964 & 14392 & 12834 & 11885 & 70772 \\
\hline & Girls & 14026 & 13682 & 12299 & 11322 & 9589 & 60918 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{S.T.} & Boys & 180 & 186 & 181 & 150 & 130 & 827 \\
\hline & Girls & 162 & 153 & 147 & 132 & 133 & 727 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The drop-out rates in \(I\) to \(V\) standards were \(20.71 \%\) for boys, \(24.62 \%\) for girls and \(22.67 \%\) for boys and girls.

Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.

\section*{TABLE - 25}

DROP-OUT PATTERN

General drop out rate in the age group 6-11 Yearwise 1988-1989 to 1992-1993
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Number of Pupils} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Percentage} \\
\hline & Boys & Girls & Total & Boys & Girls & Total \\
\hline 1988-89 & 17672 & 19203 & 36875 & 22.29 & 26.04 & 23.52 \\
\hline 1989-90 & 17616 & 19004 & 36614 & 21.03 & 25.49 & 23.13 \\
\hline 1990-91 & 17086 & 18585 & 35671 & 20.71 & 24.62 & 22.27 \\
\hline 1991-92 & 16944 & 17977 & 34921 & 20.39 & 23.81 & 22.10 \\
\hline 1992-93 & 16662 & 17610 & 34272 & 20.05 & 23.02 & 21.54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The \(\%\) of drop out for girls in more than that of the boys for the corrosponding year, although, the decrease in the percentage is only 3.02\% for girls from 1988-89 to 1992-93.

TABLE-26
SC / ST Drop out rate in the age group 6-11 Yearwise
1988-89 to 1992-93
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\(1988-89\) & 18010 & 36877 & 30.32 & 37.81 & 34.06 \\
\(1989-90\) & 21272 & 42896 & 31.01 & 38.41 & 34.75 \\
\(1990-91\) & 23104 & 49353 & 32.00 & 39.12 & 35.96 \\
\(1991-92\) & 25228 & 53711 & 32.71 & 39.92 & 36.32 \\
\(1992-93\) & 26408 & 56325 & 33.26 & 40.76 & 37.01
\end{tabular}

Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.
The drop out rate for \(S C / S T\) is alarming as it tends to me from \(30.32 \%\) to \(33.26 \%\) for boys and from \(37.81 \%\) to \(40.76 \%\) for girls (during 1988-89 to 1992-93).

\section*{BLOCKWISE DROPOUT RATE OF SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT}


The lowest percentage of drop outs is in respect of Cuddalore Range (Urban) is \(20 \%\) and the highest is in respect of parangipettai Range \(26.43 \%\) for girls. The drop out rate for Kolianur (the study area) is \(21.92 \%\) for girls.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& Total & : & \(22.27 \%\) \\
& Boys & : & \(20.71 \%\) \\
SC & Girls & Total & \(24.62 \%\) \\
& Male & : & \(24.5 \%\) \\
& Female & : & \(22.0 \%\) \\
ST & Total & : & \(30.0 \%\) \\
& Male & : & \(31.0 \%\) \\
& Female & \(:\) & \(29.0 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Repetition and Drop out Rates : 1991-92}

The repetition and drop rates are higher for girls at the overall level and for \(S C\) Community. It is slightly lesser in the case of the ST Community.

Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.

\section*{TABLE-28}

\section*{RETENTION RATE AND COHORT RATIO}
"Rèterritioh * Rate' *
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Y e a} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & I & II & III IV & V \\
\hline 89-90 & 116506 & 111007 & 10220694747 & 77841 \\
\hline 88-89 & 115111 & 110736 & 10203193886 & 75273 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{88-89 - 89-90} \\
\hline I & II Standard & & 1,11,007/1,15,111 & 0.964 \\
\hline II & III Standard & & 1,02,206/1,10,736 & 0.923 \\
\hline III & IV standard & & 94,747/1,02,031 & 0.929 \\
\hline IV & \(V\) Standard & & 77,841/93,886 & 0.829 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Therefore Rate of Retention \(=0.964 \times 0.923 \times 0.929 \times 0.829=0.685\)

> 85-86 Enrolment in Standard \(I=1,11,114\)
> 89-90 Enrolment in Standard \(V=77,841\)

Therefore cohort ratio \(=77,841 / 1,11,114=0.700\)

The Retention Rate is only 0.685 and the cohort ratio is 0.7
TABLE-29

\section*{TEACHERS}

\section*{No. of Schools and Teachers Employed}

From 1985-86 to 1992-93
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Primary Middle School} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{No. of Schools} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total No. of Teachers} \\
\hline & & Panchayat Union & Aided & Male & Female \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{PRIMARY} & 85-86 & 2297 & 406 & 7097 & 2894 \\
\hline & 86-87 & 2307 & 406 & 7139 & 2921 \\
\hline & 87-88 & 2307 & 406 & 7163 & 2972 \\
\hline & 88-89 & 2309 & 406 & 7195 & 2994 \\
\hline & 89-90 & 2312 & 406 & 7217 & 3040 \\
\hline & 90-91 & 2318 & 406 & 7236 & 3052 \\
\hline & 91-92 & 2324 & 406 & 7276 & 3176 \\
\hline & 92-93 & 2332 & 406 & 8468 & 4448 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{MIDDLE} & 85-86 & 330 & 156 & 3043 & 1369 \\
\hline & 86-87 & 330 & 156 & 3057 & 1372 \\
\hline & 87-88 & 330 & 156 & 3061 & 1384 \\
\hline & 88-89 & 330 & 156 & 3084 & 1397 \\
\hline & 89-90 & 327 & 156 & 3092 & 1400 \\
\hline & 90-91 & 322 & 156 & 3101 & 1422 \\
\hline & 91-92 & 327 & 156 & 3207 & 1436 \\
\hline & 92-93 & 329 & 156 & 3214 & 1446 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tami Nadu. The number of teachers employed increased at the primary level from 2894 to 4448 and marginally at the middle school level from 1369 to 1446 (during 1985-86 to 1992-93).


FINANCING EDUCATION - DISTRICT PRIMARY SCHOOL EXPENDITURE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Actual Expenditure for Elementary Schools in the District (in Rupees)} & E & \(\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{O}\) & L , M & E N T & & \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Primary } \\
\text { I-II }
\end{gathered}
\] & Middle or Upper Primary VI-VIII & \begin{tabular}{l}
High Schools \\
VI-VIII
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Higher \\
Sec. School \\
VI-VIII
\end{tabular} & Total & Expenditu pet studc in Rupees \\
\hline 1980-81 & 100143615 & 567845 & 64374 & 35204 & 32297 & 699720 & 143 \\
\hline 1981-82 & 121321006 & 578664 & 65725 & 37033 & 33529 & 714951 & 169 \\
\hline 1982-83 & 142763715 & 588680 & 66862 & 38987 & 34861 & 729390 & 195 \\
\hline 1983-84 & 161742913 & 598666 & 66298 & 40871 & 36193 & 744028 & 217 \\
\hline 1984-85 & 212513426 & 608552 & 76652 & 42690 & 37574 & 765468 & 277 \\
\hline 1985-86 & 230712104 & 619683 & 81006 & 44510 & 38957 & 78415\% & 294 \\
\hline 1986-87 & 240817628 & 630159 & 82439 & 49046 & 43970 & 805614 & 299 \\
\hline 1987-88 & 264976722 & 640598 & 83784 & 56172 & 51583 & 832137 & 318 \\
\hline 1988-89 & 288782333 & 650406 & 85232 & 63385 & 56096 & 855119 & 337 \\
\hline 1989-90 & 338215412 & 660830 & 86724 & 68688 & 63183 & 879425 & 384 \\
\hline 1990-91 & 464778176 & 662003 & 88165 & 73842 & 69019 & 893029 & 520 \\
\hline 1991-92 & 529263121 & 686083 & 90257 & 80938 & 78435 & 933713 & 567 \\
\hline 1992-93 & 576329078 & 693919 & 92541 & 84435 & 80789 & 951684 & 606 \\
\hline 1993-94 & - 207460593 & 701621 & 95084 & 87656 & 85125 & 969486 & \\
\hline ( 4 Months) & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tamil Nadu.
With the increase in enrolment from 1980-81 to 1993-94 the total expenditure per student h increased 4.2 times (for classes 1 to 8 ) and the actual expenditure for elementary schopls has doubl. since 1980-81 to 1993-94.


Source : DPEP Report, Department of Elementary Education, Tami Nadu.
The expenditure on incentive schemes have uniformly increased for all items viz. uniforms, free supply of text books, midday meals, (nearly 1446 times, since 1980-81 free supply of slates (nearly doubled) since 1980-81.


\title{
CHAPTER-III \\ GENDER STUDIES - METHODOLOGY / APPROACH \\ Ther \(\quad S t u d y\)
}

Educationists, both at the national level and at the state level, are worried at the alarming rate of disparity in the educational levels, enrolment, retention and life styles - among boys and girls.

It is stated in the NPE that "as women form \(61 \%\) of the illiterates and girls account for \(82 \%\) of the additional children to be enrolled, and majority of the primary dropouts, the EFA strategies have to have a strong gender focus.

The nation has given education the mandate to work for women's equality and empowerment".

It is against this backdrop, different states in India, have taken up the District Primary Education Programme (DPEP) with special accent on gender studies.

In Tamil Nadu, the programme is monitored by the DTERT, Tamil Nadu, with the blessings of the Government of India, Government of Tamil Nadu an the NCERT, New Delhi.

(i) to study the status of girls' education at the Primary and Upper Primary levels,
(ii) to provide inputs into plan formulation, for providing access, enrolment, retention and achievement levels and ensure conditions for success,
(iii) to study the Socio-Economic correlates that affect girls' education and women's empowerment.

The Objectives : The objectives of this study are :
(i) mapping out gender disparities in access, enrolment retention and achievement, (under base line studies),
(ii) identifying causes for non-enrolment and drop out of girls and propose effective districts / local specific strategies, for improved enrolmentrretention and achievement among girls,
(iii) assessing the situation of women in each district with regard to some social and demographic indicators and women's equality amd empowerment,
(iv) collecting information on gender bias in (a) Text Books, (b) Teacher Training (c) Teacher's Attitude (d) Curriculum Transaction and (e) Administrators Attitudes,
(v) identifying supportive community structures such as women"s group, panchayats, P.T.A.'s, Teacher organisations, youth clubs for developing effective strategies of UPE among girls,
(vi) identifying and facilitating convergence of services of different. departments for UPE among girls (ECCE, health and support. services),

(vii) Studying the availability of educational and other incentives, (viii)assessing participation of women in teaching, administration and other decision making bodies, and
(ix) developing block / district / state level monitoring and evaluation frame work.

\section*{METHODOLOGY}

The Methodology of research would comprise, both qualitative and quantitative techniques, through survey schedules, for primary sources of information and information through secondary sources - about
(i) social and demographic indicators,
(ii) literacy,
(iii) educational incentives,
(iv) enrolment and drop-out,
(v) teacher-status, formative, training experiences, teacher properties (skills, motivation, attitudes) and
(vi) supportive structures - relating to girls' education and womens' empowerment.

\section*{THE SAMPLE SIZE AND POPULATION}

District - South Arcot

Block - Kolianur
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Names of Villages - & (i) Madnirimangalam & (vi) Pidagam \\
& (ii) Nannadu & (vii) Pillur \\
& (iii) Nannattanpalayam & (viii) Sundaripalayam \\
& (iv) Poyapakkam & (ix) Thamaraikulam (Slum-I) \\
& \((v)\) Panankuppam & (x) Kolianur Voikal \\
(Slum-II)
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE-32}


\section*{Sample - Criteria for selecting the villages.}

The villages in the block were selected, from data obtained from secondary sources, by purposive sampling, based on the following criteria -
(a) village having no school,
(b) village having a primary school,
(c) village having a middle school,
(d) village having a secondary or a higher secondary school,
(e) village(s) having multilingual populations, migratory in character with special needs.

This type of purposive sampling is done, as the availability of schooling facilities is a major variant in girls' schooling process.
(i) Purposive sampling of \(30-50\) households in each village / slum area,
(a) having girls of under school-age/school-age/over school-age
(b) having drop out girls, and
(c) having never enrolled girls.

\section*{(i,i) Representative Groups}
A. (a) nearly thirty primary school teachers in the area
(b) nearly 6-8 institutional heads in the area,
(c) about 8-10 community leaders in the area and,
(d) about 4 educational administrators in the area.
B. Focussed Group Discussion Members

Consisting of and representing the following interests in the community :
(i) gender,
(ii) caste,
(iii) socio-economic occupational groupings,
(iv) parents,
(v) institutional heads and teachers,
(vi) community leaders and administrators and
(vii) youth groups.

\section*{Tools'for the: study \(-A:-\) Pximary data \(r\) suryey schedules}
1. District Schedule 2. Village Schedule.

Gender Studies 3.1 House-holds 'Schedule
seeks information on -
Sex, caste, religion, mother tongue, school facilities, profile of household members, their aspirations, reasons for drop out // non-enrolment etc.

Gender Studies 3.2 Drop out Girl Schedule
seeking information on the profile of the drop out girl.

Gender Studies 3.3 Never enrolled Girl Schedule
seeking information on the profile of the never-enrolled girl.

\section*{Gender Studies 4 Teachers Schedule}
- seeking information on the teacher-qualities, and teacher's perception for drop-out/non-enrolment,

\section*{Gender Studies 5 Institutional Schedule}
- seeking information on the profile of the head-teacher, pupil's particulars, teacher's particulars, physical facilities in the school, reasons for drop-out/non-enrolment of girls, perceptions on gende:r equality,

\section*{Gender Studies 6 Community Leader Schedule}
- seeking information on the profile of the Community Leader, his perception on girls education and gender equality,

\section*{Gender Studies 7 Educational Administrators Schedule}
- seeking information on the profile of the administrator, his perceptions on gender equality and utility, and Group discussios of different interest groups, Case studies of selected drop out and non-enrolled girl- problems of non-enrolment and drop outs, in depth.

All these provide primary information on the problem of drop-outs and non-enrolment of girls and perception about utility of girls education and gender equality.

\section*{Tools - B - From Secondary Sources:}

The information from secondary sources are based on data provided in the -
(a) Census of India report,District hand books,
(b) Government documents,
(c) National sample surveys and
(d) Other valid and reliable records.

Coding - The questions in G.S. 3.1 to 7 are of two types -
(a) structured and closed questions and precoded on the nominal scale,
(b) Open questions.

In the case of the focussed group discussions, the 'Open questions' are recorded "as's'uch" and" điscus'sions" offer: scope fors greater inter-personal relationships, thereby, providing greate:r insight into the problem. (c) field diaries of "iñ

\section*{Data collection and Data Analysis}

The data collected through G.S. 3.1 to 7 are tabulated "suitably, to provide clear perceptives of the profile of the girl child as a 'drop-out' and as a 'non-enrolled girl'.

The 'tables' and discussion on these data, are furnished in Chapter IV and is central to the study, we have undertaken, as it i:s expected to furnish -
(i) the reasons for 'drop-out' and 'non-enrolment' and,
(ii) perceptions of different sections of the society to tackle this problem and ,
(iii) perceptions about utility of girls education and gender equality'.

The data collected are tabulated in Chapter IV in two parts.
Part I - Data relating to the district and the Panchayat Union, giving the demography of the area, social and demographic indicators.

Part II- Systematic analysis of data, relating to the 'survey' of households, giving the profile of the house holds, the pupils, the drop-out girls, the never enrolled girl and the ethos of the school, the profiles of the teachers, the community leaders, their perceptions on girls' education and career etc.

In Chapter \(V\), the findings are summaraised and suggestions for formulation of action plan are discussed.

To organise and conduct the study in the Department of women Studies (DWS), NCERT has permitted Dr. Tmt. Usha Nayar to be National level co-ordinator and Dr. Tmt. Rajrani, DWS, NCERT, New Delhi to be the National core group member.

The statel level organisation for the study was centred in the DTERT, Tamil Nadu.
Director
State Level Co-ordinator
Assistant Prof. incharge of DPEP
Programme at DTERT

Programme at DTERT
Professional Assistants

Chief Educational Officers of
- Thiru. R. KANNAN, Director, DTERT, Maaras-6.
- Dr.(Tmt.) N.LALITHA, Prof. \& Head, Division III DTERT, Madras-6.
- Thiru. S. GOPALAKRISHNAN.
- 1. Thiru. V. RAJASEKARAN. 2. Tmt. V.R. REVATHI. 3. Thiru. S. JAYABALAN. 4. Thiru. R. SIVANANTHAM. 5. Thiru. R. KANTHASAMY. 6. Thiru. S. RAJENDIRAN.
1. Dharmapuri,
2. South Arcot,
3. Thiruvannamalai Sambuvarayar Districts.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline District Educational-urricers or & \begin{tabular}{l}
1. \({ }^{2}\) Kirshnagixj \\
2. Dharmapuri \\
3. Villupuram \\
4. Cuddalore \\
5: Vriđachalan \\
6. Tindivanam \\
7. Tiruvannamalai
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Assistant Educational Officers of & \begin{tabular}{l}
1. Thalli Block \\
2. Koliyanur Block \\
3. Thanrampattu Block
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Report writing by & Thiru. \(\dot{R}\). Srinivasan, (Retd.) Deputy Director of Government Examinations, Madras - 600006 . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
CHAPTER-IV \\ SOCIO ECONOMIC SURVEY ANALYSIS AND
} INTERPRETATION OF DATA ANALYSIS

The household scenario and perceptions of the drop-out girl, never enrolled girl, teachers, heads, community leaders and administrators.

The socio-economic and cultural aspects of the household standard of liv.ng, parental educational background, their attitudes towards girl's education, powerfully facilitate or hinder, girls schooling and education.

Pcverty, domestic work, occupational compulsions, act negatively and hinder girl's education.

Tre salience of the household context is the major, crucial variable, in promoting girl's education and women's empowerment.

Ir. :his chapter, the economic profile of the sample households, the profile of the drop-out girl, profile of the never enrolled girl, percepticns of the parents, teachers, community leaders, heads of institut:ons and administrators are analysed to find out the basic causes for the poor enrolment and retention of the girl child in schools.

In South Arcot District, 360 households were studied and"village wise distribution of households is givenninntable . No. 33).

TABLE-33
Distribution of sampled households in selected villages of South Arcot District

Name of the Village No. of households
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Madhiri Mangalam & 16 \\
Nannadu & 44 \\
Nannattam Palayam & 40 \\
Poyyapakkam & 41 \\
Panankuppam & 43 \\
Pidagam & 47 \\
Pillur & 45 \\
Sundari Palayam & 36 \\
Thamarai Kulam (Sjum-I) & 23 \\
Kolianur Voikal (Slum-II) & 25 \\
& Total
\end{tabular}

Out of the 360 households 338 were male headed and only 22 were female headed (Table 34). It shows the dominance of males in the family.

Sex wise distribution of the head of the households


124 households (34.4\%) were of scheduled Tribe ( \(0.3 \%\). of scieduled caste, \(36(10 . \theta \%)^{\circ}\) of most backward classes and 199 (55.3\%). of other communities. (Table No.35)

Distribution of the households. according to 'Caste'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Village & SC & ST & MBC & Others & Total \\
\hline Madhiri Mangalam & 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 16 \\
\hline Nannadu & 1 & 23 & - 2 & 18 & 44 \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam & 0 & 14 & 3 & 23 & 40 \\
\hline Poyyapakkam & 0 & 16 & 5 & 20 & 41 \\
\hline Panankuppam & 0 & 9 & 0. & 34 & 43 \\
\hline Pidagam & 0 & 2 & 11 & 34 & 47 \\
\hline Pillur & 0 & 19 & 11 & 15 & 45 \\
\hline Sundari Palayam & 0 & 9 & 1 & 26 & 36 \\
\hline Thamarai Kulam & 0 & 10 & 3 & 10 & 23 \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal & 0 & 22 & 0 & 3 & 25 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0 \cdots 3
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
124 \\
(34.4
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
36 \\
)(10.0
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
199 \\
)(55.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
360^{\circ} \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

351 (97.5\%) sampled households were Hindus, ' 1 ( \(0.3 \%\) ) were Muslims and 5 (1.4\%)were Jains (Table No. 38 ).

Distribution of households according to religion
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Village & Hindus & Muslims & Sikhs & Jains \({ }^{\text {- }}\) \\
\hline Madhiri Mangalam & 16 & 0 & 0 . & 0 \\
\hline Nannadu & 42 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Poyyapakkam & 38 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
\hline Pahankuppam & 43 & 0. & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Pidagam & 47 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Pillur & 44 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Sundari Palayam & 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Thamarai Kulam & 20 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal & 25 & 0 & 0 & 0 . \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
351 \\
(97.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3 \\
(0.8)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\stackrel{5}{(1.4)}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{99.2\% of sampled household were Tamil speaking, \(0.3 \%\) were Telugu speaking and \(0.3 \%\) were Urdu speaking.}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline (Table 37). & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Distribution of households according to mother tongue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Village & Tamil & Telugu & Kanna & Urdu & Total \\
\hline Madhiri Mangalam & 16 & \(1{ }^{\text { }}\) & - & 1 & 16 \\
\hline Nannadu & 42 & 1 & - & 1 & 44 \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam & 40 & 0 & - & 0 & 40 \\
\hline Poyyapakkam & 41 & 0 & - & 0 & 41 \\
\hline Panankuppam & 43 & 0 & - & 0 & 43 \\
\hline Pidagam & 47 & 0 & - & 0 & 47 \\
\hline Pillur \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 45 & 0 & - & 0 & 45 \\
\hline Sundari Palayam & 36 & 0 & - & 0 & 36 \\
\hline Thamarai Kulam & 22 & 0 & - & 0 & 23 \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal & 25 & 0 & - & 0 & 25 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
357 \\
(99.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & - & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
360 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(55.3 \%\) sampled household were using other source for getting dinking water \(42.2 \%\) reported using well, \(0.3 \%\), were using tap water, 2.2\%reported using river water for drinking purpose.

Only one stated having main source of drinking water close to his household: \(70 \%\) reported having main source of drinking water with in 1 Km. from their households and \(29.7 \%\) stated that they have the source of drinking water within 2 Km . (Table 38).

\section*{TABLE- \(\mathbf{T} 8\)}

\section*{Distribution of households according to basic amenities : source of water and its distance}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Name of the Village} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Source} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Distance from Household} \\
\hline & Tap & Well & River & Other Source & Close by & 1 Km . & 2 Km 。 \\
\hline Madhiri Mangalam & 0 & 0 & 0 - & 16 & 0 & 6 & 10 \\
\hline Nannadu & 1 & 8 & 5 & 33 & 1 & 27 & 16 \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam & 0 & 39 & 1. & 0 & 0 & 23 & 17 \\
\hline Poyqapakkam & 0 & 0 & 0 & 41 & - 0 & 28 & 13 \\
\hline Paramkuppam & 0 & 35 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 32 & 11 \\
\hline Pidagam & 0 & 17 & 2 & 28 & 0 & 41 & 6 \\
\hline Pillur & 0 & 20 & 0 & 25 & 0 & 36 & 9 \\
\hline Sundari Palayam & 0 & 15 & 0 & 21 & 0 & 23 & 13 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Thamarai Kulam } \\
& (\text { Slum-I) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0 & 16 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 17 & 6 \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal (Slum-II) & 0 & 2 & 0 & 23 & 0 & 19 & 6 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
152 \\
42.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \\
(2.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
199 \\
(55.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
252 \\
(70 \div 0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 107 \\
& 29.7)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(99.4 \%\) of the households were using wood as main source of fuel, \(0.3 \%\) of the households were using kerosine and gas as main source of fuel. (5able No. 39 ).

TABLE-3 9

Distribution of households according to basic amenities : type ot tuel used
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Name of the Village} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Type of fuel used} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Wood & Kerosine & Cowdung & \\
\hline Madhiri Mangalam & 16 & 0 & 0 & 16 \\
\hline Nannadu. & 43 & 1 & 0 & 44 \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam & 40 & 0 & 0 & 40 \\
\hline Poyyapakkam & 40 & 0 & 1 & 41 \\
\hline Panankuppam & 43 & 0 & 0 & 43 \\
\hline Pidagam & 47 & 0 & 0 & 47. \\
\hline Pillur & 45 & 0 & 0 & 45 \\
\hline Sundari Palayam & 36 & 0 & 0 & 36 \\
\hline Thamarai Kulam & 23 & 0 & 0 & 23 \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal & 25 & 0 & 0 & 25 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
358 \\
(99.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
(0.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
360 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(64 \%\) of the sampled households were electrified while \(35 \%\) had no electricity. (Table No. 40)
\[
T A B L E-40
\]

Distribution of householdsaccording to availability of electricity
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Village & Yes & No & Total \\
\hline Madhiri Mangalam & 14 & 2 & 16 \\
\hline Nannadu & 29 & 15 & 44 \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam & 23 & 17 & 40 \\
\hline Poyyapakkam & 17 & 24 & 41 \\
\hline Panankuppam & 35 & 8 & 43 \\
\hline Pidagam & 39 & 8 & 47 \\
\hline Pillur & 39 & 6 & 45 \\
\hline Sundari Palayam & 17 & 19 & 36 \\
\hline Thamarai Kulam & 10 & 13 & 23 \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal & 8 & 17 & 25 \\
\hline Total & 231 & 129 & 360 \\
\hline & (64.2) & ( 35.8 ) & (100.0) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(98.4 \%\) of the households stated having poor drainage (Table No. 41 facilities.

\section*{TABLE -41}

\section*{Distribution of the householdsaccording to availability of drainage facilities}
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline Name of the Village & Good & Poor & Total \\
Madhiri Mangalam & 0 & 16 & 16 \\
Nannadu & 1 & 43 & 44 \\
Nannattam Palayam & 0 & 40 & 40 \\
Poyyapakkam & 1 & 40 & 41 \\
Panankuppam & 0 & 43 & 43 \\
Pidagam & 0 & 47 & 47 \\
Pillur & 4 & 41 & 45 \\
Sundari Palayam & 0 & 36 & 36 \\
Thamarai Kulam & 0 & 23 & 23 \\
Kolianur Voikal & 0 & 25 & 25 \\
& Total & 6 & 354 \\
& \((1.7)\) & \((98.4)\) & \((100.0)\)
\end{tabular}
\(97.5 \%\) of the sampled households were using open toilets and only one household 2.5\% using private toilets (Table No. 42)

\section*{TABLE C L 42}

Distribution of the householdsaccording to availability of toilet
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Village & & Private Toilet & Open Toilet & Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Madhiri Mangalam 0} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Nannadu} \\
\hline Nannattam Palayam
Poyyapakkam & & 1
0 & 39
41 & 40
41 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Panankuppam } & 0 & 43\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Pidagam & & 0 & . 47 & 47 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Pillur} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Sundari Palayam} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Thamarai Kulam 0323} \\
\hline Kolianur Voikal & & 0 & 25 & 25 \\
\hline & Total & \[
\stackrel{9}{(2.5)}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
351 \\
(.97 .5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
360 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Total population of the households:

The total population of the sampled household was 1806 out of which 822 were male and 984 . were female.

Age wise distributioin of the population was as follows :
\[
\text { TABLE }-43
\]

Distribution of household population according to age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Age} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Group} & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Male} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Female} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & & & Number & 8 & Number & 8 & \\
\hline 0 & 5 & & 132 & 80.0 & 33 & 20.0 & 165 \\
\hline 6 & & & 182 & 29.2 & 442 & 70.8 & 624 \\
\hline 15 & & & 150 & 51.0 & 144 & 49.0 & 294 \\
\hline 22 & & & 161 & 34.8 & 302 & 65.2 & 463 \\
\hline 40 & & & 191 & 75.5 & 62 & 24.5 & 253 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{60} & & & 6 & 85.7 & 1 & 14.3 & 7 \\
\hline & & Total & 822 & 45.5 & 984 & 54.5 & 1806 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table No. 43 shows that -
in the age group \(0-5\) years were \(80.0 \%\) male and \(20.0 \%\) were female children. In 6-14 age group \(29.2 \%\) were boys and \(70.8 \%\) were girls.

\section*{Distribution of sampled population by educational level}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & , N. & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Illiterate} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{```
Literate
    but
below V
```} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Primary} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Middle} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{econdary} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Higher Secondary} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Graduate} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Post Graduate} \\
\hline & No. & 8 & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & \\
\hline Male & 113 & 13.7 & 89 & 10.8 & 139 & 16.9 & 105 & 12.8 & 195 & 23.7 & 129 & 15.7 & 38 & 4.6 & 3 & 0.4 & 11 & 1.3 & 822 \\
\hline Female & 20 & 2.0 & 211 & 21.4 & 255 & 25.9 & 145 & 14.7 & 254 & 25.8 & 85 & 8.6 & 11 & 1.1 & 1 & 0.1 & 2 & 0.2 & 984 \\
\hline Total & 133 & 7.4 & 300 & 16.6 & 394 & 21.8 & 250 & 13.8 & 449 & 24.9 & 214 & 11.8 & 49 & 2.7 & 4 & 0.2 & 13 & 0.7 & 1806 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 44 shows that \(10.8 \%\) males were illiterate where as \(21.4 \%\) females were illiterate.
TABLE-45

Distribution of household population by occupation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Occupation} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Male} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Female} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total} \\
\hline & No. & \% & No. & \% & - & \(\%\) \\
\hline Non workers & 436 & 53.0 & 795 & 80.8 & 1231 & 68.2 \\
\hline Cultivators & 73 & 8.9 & 6 & 0.6 & 79 & 4.4 \\
\hline Agricultural Labourers & 194 & 23.6 & 160 & 16.3 & 354 & 19.7 \\
\hline Agri. Allied Activity & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Service & 0 & 0.0 & 1 & 0.1 & - 1 & 0.1 \\
\hline Petty traders & 14 & 1.7 & 1 & 0.1 & 15 & 0.8 \\
\hline Others & 105 & 12.8 & 20 & 2.0 & 125 & 6.9 \\
\hline Total & 822 & 100.0 & 984 & 100.0 & 1806 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4\%. shows that there were more female non-workers (80.8\%) as compared to male non-workers (53.0\%). (8.9\%) male were cultivators whereas only ( \(0.6 \%\) Eemale were reported as cultivators which shows the hold of males on agricultural land. Maximum number of women (16.3\%). were working as agricultural labourers.


Table 46 shows that \(81 \%\) of the females did not have any income as compared to \(53.4 \%\) of males. The table also confirms that the male population were having more income as compared to female population.

Distribution of household according to income per year from all main sources (is. in thousands)


\section*{School going children (6-14 Years).}

There were 750 school going children (6-14 Years) in the sampled households out of which 234 were boys and 516 were girls.

> TABEEE-48-
> Distribution of school going children (6-14 Years) according to type of school
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Govt. & School & Private & School & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Semi Govt./Aided} & tal \\
\hline Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline No. \% & No. \% & NO. \% & No. \% & No. \% & No. \% & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
225. \(96.2504 \quad 97.7 \quad 9 \quad 3.8 \quad 12 \quad 2.3 \quad 0 \quad 0.0 \quad 0 \quad 0.0 \quad 234 \quad 516\)
\(96.2 \%\) boys were going to Govt. Schools, whereas \(97.7 \%\) girls were in Govt. Schools. \(3.8 \%\) boys were going to private school where as only 2. \(3 \%\) girls were going to private schools.
\[
\text { TABLE }-49
\]

Educational expenditure on fees for the school going children
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No Expenditure} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 50} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 100} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Up} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Upto 500500 \& above} & \\
\hline & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No & \(\%\) & No. & 9 & \\
\hline Boys & 163 & 69.7 & 59 & 25.2 & 6 & 2.6 & 1 & 0.4 & 4 & 1.7 & 1 & 0.4 & 234 \\
\hline Girls & 366 & 70.9 & 131 & 25.4 & 12 & 2.3 & 0 & 0.0 & 7 & 1. 4 & 0 & 0.0 & 516 \\
\hline Total & 529 & 70.5 & 190 & 25.3 & 18 & 2.4 & 1 & 0.1 & 11 & 1.5 & 1 & 0.1 & 750 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 49 shows that parents were incurring no expenditure towards fees, for their sons and daughters to a greater extent \(70.5 \%\) But whatever they were spending it was more for boys than for girls.

\section*{Educational expenditure on students funds for the school going children}


Table No. 50 shows that \(99.2 \%\) of the parents were not spending anything on 'student funds'.
\[
\text { TABLE }-51
\]

Educational expenditure on books for school going children
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Sex} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No Expenditur} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 50} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 100} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 200} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 500} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{500 \& above} & \multirow{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & No. & \% & No & \% & No. & 8 & No. & \% & N & \% & No. & 8 & \\
\hline Male & 170 & 72.6 & 3 & 1.3 & 36 & 15.4 & 20 & 8.5 & 5 & 2.1 & 0 & 0.0 & 234 \\
\hline Female & 383 & 74.2 & 0 & 0.0 & & 12.0 & 69 & 13.4 & 2 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.0 & 516 \\
\hline Total & 553 & 73.7 & 3 & 0.4 & 98 & 13.1 & 89 & 11.9 & 7 & 0.9 & 0 & 0.0 & 750 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table No. 51 indicates that parents were not incurving any expenditure towards purchase of books. It also indicates that parents were spending more money on books for their sons.

Educational expenditure on stationery of school going children
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No Expenditur} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 50} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 100} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 200} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Upto 500} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{500 \& above} & \multirow{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & No. & \% & No. & 8 & No. & \% & No. & \(\%\) & No. & \% & No. & \% & \\
\hline Boys & 12 & 5.1 & 116 & 49.6 & 90 & 38.5 & 12 & 5.1 & 4 & 1.7 & 0 & 0.0 & 234 \\
\hline Girls & 30 & 5.8 & 227 & 44.0 & 234 & 45.3 & 24 & 4.7 & 1 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.0 & 516 \\
\hline Total & 42 & 5.6 & 343 & 45.7 & 324 & 43.2 & 36 & 4.8 & 5 & 0.7 & 0 & 0.0 & 750 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For \(5.8 \%\) of girls and \(5.1 \%\) of boys parents were not spending anything for buying stationery.

TABLE-53

Total educational expenditure of the school going children


Table 53 shows that parents were spending more or less the same amount (total amount) on boys and girls of the school going cohort.

Distribution of school going children according to the incentives received
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{3} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{4} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{5} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{6} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{7} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{8} & \multirow{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline Sex & No. & 8 & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & \% & No. & 8 & No. & \% & No. & \% & \\
\hline Boys & 177 & 75.6 & 2 & 0.9 & 226 & 96.6 & 3 & 1.3 & 6 & 2.6 & 189 & 80.8 & 216 & 92.3 & 173 & 73.9. & 234 \\
\hline Girls & 396 & 76.4 & 6 & 1.2 & 502 & 97.3 & 6 & 1.2 & 16 & 3.1 & 438 & 849 & 492 & 95.3 & 392 & 76.0 & 516 \\
\hline Total & 573 & 76.4 & 8 & 1.1 & 728 & 97.1 & 9 & ¥. 2 & 22 & 2.9 & 627 & 83.6 & 708 & 94.4 & 565 & 75.3 & 750 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Code :- (1) Free Uniform, (2) Scholarship, (3) Free education upto Higher Secondary, (4) Free writing materials, (5) Free stationery, (6) Free books/book bank, (7) Free noon meal, (8) Free chappals.

Table 54 shows that main incentives received by the school going children as stated by the parentr are-
- Free Education upto Higher Secondary: For \(97.3 \%\) of the girls education was free and \(96.6 \%\) boys were getting free education.
- Free Noon meal: Parents stated that \(95.3 \%\) school going girls are getting free noon meal'whereas \(92.3 \%\) boys are getting the same.
- Free Books: Parents stated that \(84.9 \%\) girls are getting free books and \(80.8 \%\) boys are getting frac books.
- Free Chappals: \(76.0 \%\) girls were getting free chappals and \(73.9 \%\) boys were getting free chappals..
- Free Uniform: \(76.7 \%\}\) girls and \(75.6 \%\) boys were getting free uniforms.
\[
T A B L E-55
\]

Educational aspiration of parents for their children
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Educational Level} & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Boys} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Girls} \\
\hline & & No. & \% & No. & \% \\
\hline Primary & & 3 & 1.0 & 25 & 4.2 \\
\hline Middle & & 70 & 22.3 & 43 & 7.2 \\
\hline Secondary & & 18 & 5.7 & 139 & 23.1 \\
\hline Higher Secondary & & 57 & 18.2 & 191 & 31.8 \\
\hline Graduate (General) & & 101 & 32.2 & 90 & 15.0 \\
\hline Graduate (Professional) & & 13 & 4.1 & 19 & 3.2 \\
\hline Post Graduate and above & & 14 & 4.5 & 13 & 2.2 \\
\hline Not specific & & 38 & 12.1 & 81 & 13.5 \\
\hline & Total & 314 & 100.0 & 601 & 91.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table shows that parents wanted to educate -
their boys - upto higher secondary level i8.2\%
- upto degree level \(32.2 \%\)
- upto any professional course level \(4.1 \%\)
- and post-graduate level and above \(4.5 \%\)
their girls - upto higher secondary level \(31.8 \%\)
- upto degree level \(15.0 \%\) and
- professional course level 3.2\%

This indicates that parents wanted higher education for boys as compared to girls.
```

T A B L E - 56

```

\section*{Occupational aspiration of parents for their children}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Occupation}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Boys} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Girls} \\
\hline & & No. & \(\%\) & No. & \% \\
\hline Teacher & & 87 & 27.7 & 176 & \(29: 3\) \\
\hline Doctor & & 16 & 5.1 & 14 & 2.3 \\
\hline Police Officer & & 61 & 19.4 & 9 & 1.5 \\
\hline Tailor & & 1 & 0.3 & 39 & 6.5 \\
\hline Nurse & & 2 & 0.6 & 146 & 24.3 \\
\hline House Wife & & 0 & 0.0 & 29 & 4.8 \\
\hline Govt. Service & & 112 & 35.6 & 112 & 18.6 \\
\hline Engineer & & 21 & 6.7 & 25 & 4.2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{No response} & & 14 & 4.5 & 51 & 8.5 \\
\hline & Total & 314 & 100.0 & 601 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 56 shows that the main occupation as desired by parents for their children are as follows :

For boys - Teacher (27.7\%)
- Govt. Service (35.6\%)

For girls - Teacher (29.3\%)
- Police Officer(19.4\%)
- House wife (4.8)
- Engineer (6.7\%)
- Nurse (24.3\%)
- Doctor (5.1\%)
- Govt. Service (18.6\%)
- Tailor (6.5\%)
- Doctor (2.3\%)

It can be inferred that parents still want only the traditional occupations for girls.

\title{
TABLE - 57 \\ Parental perception on the main reasons for girls continuing their education
}
in school
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{R e a s o n s} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Respondents who said 'Yes'} \\
\hline & Number & Percentage \\
\hline a) Better economic standard of a household & 83 & 23.0 \\
\hline b) Parental Education & 110 & 30.6 \\
\hline c). Parental motivation & 22 & 6.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{d) Parental support like:} \\
\hline i) Payment of fee other than tuition fees & 291 & 80.8 \\
\hline ii) Provision of books and stationery & 223 & 61.9 \\
\hline iii) Provision of adequate food and clothing & 268 & 74.4 \\
\hline iv) Creating space and time for studies at home & 303 & 84.2 \\
\hline v) Provision of academic support (themselves or paid) & 352 & 97.8 \\
\hline e) Self motivation of the girl child & 94 & 26.1 \\
\hline f) Positive attitude of teachers & 166 & 46.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main reasons for girls continuing their education are due to:
(1) the provision of academic support in pupil's homes,
(2) the provision of adequate food and clothing,
(3) the provision of suitable space and time for the girls to study at home,
(4) readiness of parents to pay fees other than tuition fees for their girls, and
(5) the status of parental education which acts positively.

\section*{Parental perceptions on reasons for girls dropping-out from school}

The number of respondents who agreed with the reasons for dropping out and their percentages are given below -


Parental perception on reason for dropping out of girls from school


The main reasons for girls dropping-out are due to (1) domestice work, (2) the need to help parents in their occupations, (3) lack of motivation on the part of the parents, (4) the need to take care of their siblings at home, (5) engaged in remunerative employment, (6) social taboo on onset of puberty and (7) parental state of illiteracy.

> TABLE-59

Parental perception on reasons for Non-enrolment of Girls in School


The main reasons for girls non-enrolment are due to -
(i) domestic duties, (ii) the need to help parents in their occupation, (iii) lack of motivation on the part of parents to send their daughters to schoolsr . (iv) - the need to take care of siblings; and (v) parents' illiteracy level which acts negatively.
TABLE-60


The parents are aware of the services of Anganwadies, Balwadies, ICDS programmes, Trysem, JRY and other health services.

\footnotetext{
Mahila mandals function in all villages.
}

Parental perception about programme needed for improving girls education in the district for girls and women Distribution of \(\frac{\mathrm{TA} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{l}}{\text { parents according to programmes }}\)
needed for girls and women
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Name of the Programme & Yes & of 'Yes' to the \\
total
\end{tabular}

Table 61 shows that nearly \(94.4 \%\) of the parents wanted income generating programmes' for improving girls education, 91.48, desired 'literacy programmes' and only \(77.5 \%\) wanted 'health and nutrition programmes'.

The organisation of the income generating programmes - and their impact on the life style of the people, especially the women and girls, holds the key for regeneration of village economy and there on the efficiency of the school in terms of girls' enrolment, attendance and achievement.

Parental perception about the factors that can encourage them to send their daughters to school


The key variables that can encourdge girls education and schooling are:
(i) supply of books, (ii) availability of pre-school/day care centres/Anganwadies, (iii) supply of free stationery, (iv) availability of women teachers in schools and (v) supply of free uniforms.

\section*{Code Factors}
(i) changing school timing / calendar suitably
(ii) availability of school nearby / NFE centres nearby
(iii) free uniform, (iv) free stationery, (v) free books, (vi) appointing more teachers (lady teachers),
(vii) free meals (noon meals) (viii) pre-school/day care centres/ Anganwadies (ix) seperate school for girls.

\section*{Parental perception on utility of girls education}


The main perceptions of parents on the utility of girls' education are that education of girls -
(i) will ensure the education of future generations in the family,
(ii) develop a positive self-image and confidence among the girls, (iii)prepare girls for economic contribution, (to family and society), (iv) help in reducing the family size and
(v) help to raise the age at marriage and reduce IMR and MMR.

Parental perception on gender equality
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Responses} \\
\hline & No. & \% \\
\hline 01. Girls and boys need equal education & 352 & 97.8 \\
\hline 02. Both need to be given equal amount of: food & 350 & 97.2 \({ }^{\text {- }}\) \\
\hline 03. Both need to be given equal health carre and medical attention when needed & 348 & 96.7 \\
\hline 04. Both can be assigned same duties / respons;ibilities & 306 & 85.0 \\
\hline 05. Both should be given the same freedomi & 290 & 80.6 \\
\hline 06. Both should be given equal time to play & 336 & 93.3 \\
\hline 07. Both can perform all tasks equally we:ll & 322 & 89.4 \\
\hline 08. Both can have similar occupations & 333 & 92.5 \\
\hline 09. Both have same intelligence and abili.ties & 313 & 86.9 \\
\hline 10. Men and women should be paid equal waiges for equial work & 191 & 53.1 \\
\hline 11. Husband and wife should take all deci.sions jointly & 345 & 95.8 \\
\hline 12. Household work must be shared by all members of the houssehold & 349 & 96.9 \\
\hline 13. Assets of the family should be regist:ered in joint names of husband and wife & 128 & 35.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main perceptions on gender equality of the parents were that -
(i) girls and boys need equal health care: and medical attention, (ii) both need equal amount of food, (iii) both have the same intelligence and abilities, (iv) husbaind and wife should take all decisions jointly, '(v) girls and boy's need equal education and (vi) assets of the family should be registered in joint names of husband and wife.

\section*{Drop-orut Girls Schedule}

\section*{Perceptiion of Drop-out Girls}

All the 49 drop-out girls of the sampled households were interviewed. Their age distr:ibution was as follows:
\[
\text { T } 1 \mathrm{~A} \text { B L E }-65
\]

Distribution of the drop-out girls according to age


11 girls were between 6,-11 years age group and 38 girls were between 12-15 years age group..

Reasons for leaving the schools:-

The main reasons for dropping out are due to -
(i) domestic work,
(ii) need to take care of si.blings,
(iii) the necessity to earn t.hrough other employment means,
(iv) parents poor literacy 1 evel and lack of motivation.

Distribution of the drop-out girls according to their liking of school
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Name of the District & Yes & No & \\
& No. & \% & Notal
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
South Arcot & 17 & 34.7 & 32 & 65.3 & 49
\end{tabular}

Table 66 shows that \(35 \%\) of the drop-out girls liked their schools whereas \(65 \%\) did not like their schools.

\section*{Reasons for liking schools:-}

The girls liked the schools due to the -
(i) attractive school environment,
(ii) helpful attitudes of teachers and,
(iii) planned curricular processes on the school.

Reasons for not liking schools:-

Some of the girls disliked the schools due to -
(i) negative attitudes of teachers,
(ii) failure in examination and
(iii) lack of interesting curricular processes at school.

\section*{TABLE-67}

Distribution of drop-out girls according to parents willingness to send them to school again


34 drop-out girls (69.4\%) stated that their parents are not interested in sending them back to school. Only 15 girls (30.6\%) reported that their parents were willing to send them to school again. Reasons for not sending the drop-out girls to school again:
1. domestic work,
2. the need to supplement family income,
3. the need to take care of sibling.

Reasons for sending the drop-out girls to school again :
1. parents motivation,
2. pupils own motivation to continued education.

Distribution of drop-out girls according to liking of teachers


Table 68 shows that 44 drop-out girls (89.8\%) liked their teachers and only 5 girls(10.2\%) did not like her teachers.

\section*{Reason for liking their teachers:-}
1. Positive attitudes of teachers.
2. Interesting curricular process.
3. Attractive school environment created by the teachers.

\section*{Reason for not liking their teachers:-}
1. Negative attitudes of teachers.
2. Lack of interesting mode of teaching and
3. Punishments.

\section*{Teachers helping attitude towards the drop-out girls:}

96: of thegirls reported that they were able to get teachers help in ther studies when ever needed. \(4 \%\) of them stated that they were not able to get teachers help in thier studies (Table 69).

> TABLE-69

Distribution of the drop-out girls according to availability of teachers help in their studies


\section*{Difficulties in doing home work}

16\% of the girls accepted that they face difficulties in doing home work. But \(83.7 \%\) stated that they did not have any difficulty in doing home work (Table 70)
\[
\text { TABLE } \mathbf{T} \text { B } 7
\]

\section*{Distribution of the drop-out girls according to difficulties in doing home work}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Name} & \multirow{3}{*}{of} & \multirow{3}{*}{the} & \multirow{3}{*}{District} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Yes} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{No} & \multirow{3}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & & & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{No} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\%} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{No.} & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \% & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{South Arcot (Sample)}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{16.3} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{41} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{83.7} & 49 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & (100.0) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Regularity and punctuality in going to school}

Nearly \(96 \%\) girls stated that they are going regularly to school. . 4\%. girls reported that they are not going to school regularly (Table. 7l).
TABLE-71.

Distribution of drop-out girls according to regularity in sctool
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Name} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{of the} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{District} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Yes} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & & & No. & 8 & No & \% & \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
South \\
(Sampl
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Arcot \\
ed)
\end{tabular} & 47 & 95.9 & 2 & 4.1 & \[
(1(0.0)
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Reasons for the drop-out girls for not going to school regularly:1. Domestic work, 2. Care of sibling at home,
3. Engaged in parental occupation.
-79-

Distribution of drop-out girls being punctual in going to school


All the girls stated that they were punctual in going to school. Reason for going to school punctuality

The girls were really interested in going to schools and they were purctual. But the family circumstances - domestic duty, the need to assist parents in their occupation compelled them to drop out.

\section*{Willingness of the drop-out girls to resume studies :}
\[
\text { TABLE } \quad \text { B } 73
\]

Distr.bution of respondents about their desire to resume studies

\(91.8 \%\) of the girls stated that they were willing to resume their studies, whereas \(8.2 \%\) were not willing to resume their studies.
```

                        Distribution of drop-out girls
    according to their liking/dislike for different subjects

```

\(87.8 \%\) of the sampled drop-out girls stated that they walk the distance to schools. \(8 \%\) covered the distance by bus and only \(4 \%\) covered the distance by cycle.

\section*{Failure in studies :}

TABLE-7. 6

Distribution of drop-out girls according to failure in any class


Only \(18.4 \%\) of the drop-out girls stated that they failed in the school examinations. \(81.6 \%\) stated that they did not fail in any class.

\section*{(ABL8-75}

Perception, of ; drop-out, girls about the main reasons for dropping-out


The main reasons for the girls (in the study) dropping out are due to -
(i) domestic work; (ii) the need to help parents in their occupation, (iii) parental lack of motivation, (iv) parent's. illiteracy and (v) the need to seek remurerative employment.

\section*{TABLE-78}

Time spent by drop-out girls on household activities


\section*{TABLE-79}

Perception of drop-out girl about discrimination made by her parents between her and her brothers
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow{3}{*}{Discrimination}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Respondents} \\
\hline & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No. Who said Yes} & \\
\hline 01. & Food & 1 & 2.0 & 48 \\
\hline 02. & Clothing & 7 & 14.3 & 48 \\
\hline 03. & Toys/Games & 11 & 22.5 & 4 \\
\hline 04. & Books, magazines, stationery's & 19 & 38.8 & 4 \\
\hline 05. & Help in studies & 27 & 55.1 & 4 \\
\hline 06. & Rituals and social visits & 41 & 83.7 & 4 \\
\hline 07. & Opportunities for play & 39 & 79.6 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The girls felt that they were discriminated against boys in -
(i) rituals and social visits (83.7 :),
(ii) opportunities for play (79.6),
(iii) not getting help in studies (55.1),
(iv) books, magazins (38.8),
(v) provision of toys / games (22.5).

Perception of never enrolled girl on reasons for non-enrolment of girls in school
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A gree} \\
\hline & No. & & ta \\
\hline 01. Inability of parents to pay extra tuition fee/fund & 8 & 36.4 & 22 \\
\hline 02. Inability of parents to provide clothes and shoes & 14 & 63.6 & 22 \\
\hline 03. Inability of parents to provide books & 16 & 72.7 & 22 \\
\hline 04. Inability of parents to provide stationery & 18 & 81.8 & 22 \\
\hline 05. Inability of parents to provide food and medicine & 13 & 59.1 & 22 \\
\hline 06. Helping parents in occupation & 21 & 95.5 & 22 \\
\hline 07. Engaged in remunerative employment & 19 & 86.4 & 22 \\
\hline 08. Domestic work & 20 & 90.9 & 22 \\
\hline 09. Care of siblings & 18 & 81.8 & 22 \\
\hline 10. Parents lack of motivation & 20 & 90.9 & 22 \\
\hline 11. Parents illiteracy & 21 & 91.5 & 22 \\
\hline 12. Non-availability of School/NFE Centre close to habitation & 17 & 77.3 & 22 \\
\hline 13. Un-suitable school timings & 8 & 36.4 & 22 \\
\hline 14. No women teachers & 16 & 72.7 & 22 \\
\hline 15. Lack of separate school for girls & 14 & 63.6 & 22: \\
\hline 16. Cultural factors such as early marriage, social taboos and customs, segreyation of women, purdah etc. & 19 & 86.4 & 22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main reasons for non-enrolment of girls in schools are due to -
(i) parent's illiteracy,
(ii) the need to help parents in their occupation,
(iii) parents lack of motivation,
(iv) care of siblings at home,
(v) cultural factors, social taboos and
(vi) the need to seek remunerative employment.

Observations of never enrolled school girl about schooling

\[
\text { Total }(N)={ }^{\circ} 22
\]
\(54.5 \%\) of the never enrolled girls never wanted to go to school previously. But now \(63.6 \%\) of them would like to go to school.
86.4\% of the never enrolled jirls talked to their parents about going to school again; but only 6 said that their parents were willing to send them to school again.

\section*{TABLE - 82}

Reading/writing, nemerical ability of non-enrolled girl


The reading \& writing abilities of the never enrolled girls were very poor.

\section*{T. A BL, E, \(-8,3\),}

\section*{Requirement of specific programmes}


Work done and time spent by never enrolled girls at home
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{> 1hr. \(1-2 \mathrm{hrs}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Not \\
licable Total
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 1. Fetching Water & \[
\begin{gathered}
45.5 \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
54.6 \\
12
\end{gathered}
\] & - & - & 22 \\
\hline 2. Fetching fodder and fuel & \[
\begin{gathered}
13.6 \\
3
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
45.5 \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
22.7 \\
5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
18.2 \\
4
\end{gathered}
\] & 22 \\
\hline 3. Carie ¢f livestock & - & \[
\begin{gathered}
22.7 \\
5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
72.3 \\
17
\end{gathered}
\] & - & 22 \\
\hline 4. Makinç codung cakes & \[
27.3
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
18.2 \\
4
\end{gathered}
\] & - & \[
\begin{gathered}
54.6 \\
12
\end{gathered}
\] & 22 \\
\hline 5. Care cf siblings & - & \[
\begin{gathered}
31.8 \\
7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
36.4 \\
8
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
31.8 \\
7
\end{gathered}
\] & 22 \\
\hline 6. Cooking & \[
\begin{gathered}
18.2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
54.6 \\
12
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
13.6 \\
3
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
13.6 \\
3
\end{gathered}
\] & 22 \\
\hline 7. Washirg/cleaning utensils & \[
\begin{gathered}
31.8 \\
7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
27.3
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
22.7 \\
5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
18.2
\] & 22 \\
\hline 8. Grazing cattle & - & - & - & - & 22 \\
\hline 9. Wage earning activities & - & - & \[
27.3
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
72.7 \\
16
\end{gathered}
\] & 22 \\
\hline 10. Agricultural Operations & - & - & \[
\underset{4}{18.2}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
81.8 \\
18
\end{gathered}
\] & 22 \\
\hline 11. Home based production (sp) & - & - & - & - & -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The 'never enrolled girls' spend -
- more than 2 hours a day on care of live stock (72.3\%), care of siblings (36.4\%), wage earning activities (27.3\%) and agricultural operaticns (18.2\%).
- \(1-2\) hours daily on fetching water (54.6\%) fodder, fuel (45.5\%) cooking (54.6\%).
- less then 1 hour daily on making cow dung cakes (27.3\%), and washing / cleaning utensils (31.8).

Perception of never enrolled girl about discrimination made by her parents between her and her brothers.


The never enrolled girls that they were discriminated against the boys in -
(i) not getting help in their studies \(45.5 \%\)
(ii) rituals and social visits \(86.4 \%\)
(iii) not getting opportunities for play \(72.3 \%\)

Indepth Study - The child who is never enrolled Tamil Nadu - South Arcot District - Kolianur Block
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline No. & Name of the village & F a mily y & The Child \\
\hline 01. & Pidagam & Parents are illiterate. They lack interest in education. Parents are agricultural workers, and the girl has to take care of the younger children, if parents are to go in for daily work. & Age-6 belongs to most backward class girl is expected to take care of the younger children at home. \\
\hline 02. & Thamarai Kulam Slum Area & Parents are not educated. They work in the fields on daily wages. & Age-10 M.B.C. (most backward class) the girl is retained at home to take care of the younger. \\
\hline 03. & Nannattam Palayam & Parerts are uneducated. They are agricultural labourers, working on daily wages. & Age-l4 S.C. - The girl is assisting her parents in their daily agricultural work. \\
\hline 04. & Kolianur Voikal Slum & Father is a cycle rickshaw driver. & Age-6 S.C. - Teachers are insisting on the production of the 'Birthcertificate' for admission. The father is not able to get it. Teachers insist on the birth certificate and the provision of certificate stands in the way of the admission. \\
\hline 05. & Madhiri Mangalam & Parents are uneducated and work as agricultural labourers. They are not interested in the education of their daughter. & Age-9 (M.B.C.) the girl attends to domestic work and takes care of the young children at home. There is no school in the village itself. \\
\hline 06. & Sundhari Palayam & Parents are uneducated and work as agricultural labourers. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Age-7 M.B.C. There is no school in the village itself and the children have to walk 3 km . to reach the nearest school. \\
The parents feel that a young girl of 7 can not trudge through, the distance, twice a day, uncared for. So the girl has turned into a baby-sitter at home.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 07. & Poyyapakkam & Parents are illiterate, and have no interest in educating the child. They are agricultural labourers and do not have a regular income, and cannot afford food to their children everyday. & Age-12 M.B.C. The girl is conditioned to stay at home, due to family circumstances and poverty. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{

}

\section*{PERCEPTION OF TEACHERS}
```

    Thirty-one teachers were interviewed in South
    Arcot District out of which l4 were male and l7 were female.
        Nineteen teachers were between the age group of 2l-30 years
    and 12 teachers were above 3l years.

```

Twenty-eight teachers were married while 3 were unmarried.

Twenty-seven teachers have passed the higher secondary course and four were graduates. (General).
TABLE

Distribution of Teachers according to educational qualification
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the District & Higher Secondary & Graduation (General) & Total \\
\hline South Arcot & 27 & 4 & 31 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Professional Experience :}

Six teachers had teaching experience between l-5 years, and
2 teachers had teaching experience between 6-10 years. 3
teachers had experience between \(11-20\) years, 14 had between 21-30 years and 6 teacher had teaching experience for more than 40 years. (Table 87).

\section*{Distribution of teachers according to length of service}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the District & \[
\begin{gathered}
1-5 \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
6-10
\] \\
Years
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
11-20 \\
Years
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
21-30
\] \\
Years
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
40 \& \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & Total \\
\hline South Arcot (Sample) & 6 & 2 & 3 & 14 & 6 & 31 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All sampled teachers were trained (Table 88)

> TABLE-89

Distribution of teachers according to their training


Two teachers were living near their schools, five teachers were living within 2 Km. , of their schools, 3 teachers were living within 3 Km . of their schools, 4 teacher was living within 4 Km 。 of his school and 17 teachers were living beyond 5 Km . from their schools. (Table 90).

Distribution of teachers according to distance of their residence from school


\section*{TABLE-92}

\title{
Perception of teachers about the main factors for the girls continuing their education in school
}


Table 92 shows that according to teachers the first six factors for girls continuing their education in school are -
- availability of better economic standard of a household
- parental motivation
- self motivation of the girl child
- positive attitude of teachers
- parental education and
- provision of adequate food and clothing by parents.


\section*{TABLE-94}

\section*{Perception of teachers onmain reasons for non-enrolment of girls in school}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Respondent} \\
\hline R e a s o n s & No. & \% of total Respondent \\
\hline 01. Inability of parents to pay extra tuition fee/fund & 4 & 12.9 \\
\hline 02. Inability of parents to provide clothes and shoes & 14 & 45.2 \\
\hline 03. Inability of parents to provide books & 12 & 38.7 \\
\hline 04. Inability of parents to provide stationery & 14 & 45.2 \\
\hline 05. Inability of parents to provide food and medicines & 5 & 16.1 \\
\hline 06. Helping parents in occupation & 22 & 71.0 \\
\hline 07. Engaged in remunerative employment & 8 & 25.8 \\
\hline 08. Domestic work & 27 & 87.1 \\
\hline 09. Care of siblings & 27 & 87.1 \\
\hline 10. Parents lack of motivation & 23 & 74.2 \\
\hline 11. Parents illiteracy & 21 & 67.7 \\
\hline 12. Non-availability of school/NFE Centre close to habitation & 7 & 22.6 \\
\hline 13. Un-suitable school timings & -- & -- \\
\hline 14. No women teachers & -- & -- \\
\hline 15. No separate school for girls & -- & 。 -- \\
\hline 16. Lack of support services such as Anganwadies, Balwadis, Creches & 5 & 16.1 \\
\hline 17. Cultural factors such as early marriage, social taboo and customs, segregation. of women, purdah etc. & 1 & 3.2 \\
\hline 18. Lack of relevent curriculum & 3 & 9.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 94 shows that the first six reasons for non enrolment of girls in schools as stated by teachers are -
(i) domestic work,
(ii) care of sibling;
(iii) parental lack of motivation,
(iv) parental illiteracy,
(v) helping parents in occupation and
(vi) participation in remunerative employment.

\section*{TABLE-95}

\section*{Perception of teacher about reasonsifor girls not attending the school regularly}

93.5\% teachers interviewed were of the opinion that girls did not attend school regularly due to -
(i) domestic work and (ii) the need to tke care of the sibling.

19 teachers stated that the girls needed academic support at home and 10 felt that girls did not attend school due to their sickness.

30 teachers felt that the school is far away and hence girls could not attend school regularly.

\section*{Steps taken : -}
(1) The load of work in the home should be reduced.
(2) Pupils should have access for medical aid and
(3) Schools have to be opened within reasonable distance, as per rules.

The problems can be solved by active P.T.A.s, M.T.C s and teacher-parent contacts.


\section*{TABLE-97}

\section*{Parental perception on Utility of girls education}


Majority of the teachers agreed on the utility of girls education. According to the teachers the first six indicators showing utility of girls education are-
(i) prepares girls for economic contribution,
(ii) ensures education of future generation,
(iii) helps to reduce the family size,
(iv) prepares girls for leadership roles in society,
(v) prepares girls for participatory roles and decision making roles in the family and in local bodies and in all other walks of life.

Teachers perception on gender equality
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline I n d i cators & No. & \% of responses \\
\hline 01. Girls and boys need equal education & 31 & 10040 \\
\hline 02. Both need to be given equal amount of food & 30 & 96.8 \\
\hline 03. Both need to be given equal health care and medical attention when needed & 30 & 96.8 \\
\hline 04. Both can be assigned same duties/ responsibilities & 25 & 80.6 \\
\hline 05. Both should be given the same freedom & 12 & 38.7 \\
\hline 06. Both should be given equal time to play & 25 & 80.6 \\
\hline 07. Both canperform all tasks equally well & 28 & 90.3 \\
\hline 08. Both can have similar occupations & 28 & 90.3 \\
\hline 09. Both have same intelligence and abilities & 28 & 90.3 \\
\hline 10. Men and women should be paid equal wages for equal work & 29 & 93.5 \\
\hline 11. Husband and wife should take all decisions jointly & 29 & 93.5 \\
\hline 12. Household work must be shared by all members of the household & 31 & 100.0. \\
\hline 13. Assets of the family should be registered in joint names of husband and wife & 21 & 67.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 98 shows that most of the teachers strongly believed in gender equality.

1008 of the teachers stated that -
- \(̣ i r l s\) and boys need equal education,
- both need to be given equal amount of food,
- both need to be given equal health care,
and medical attention when needed,
- toth can perform all tasks equally well and
- that husband and wife should take all decisions jointly.

\section*{\(97 \%\) of the teachers stated that -}
"- "both "mèn" ánd women "càn" have" similatr "occupations" and
- the household work must be shared by all members of the household. 95\% of the teachers stated that -
- both men and women have same intelligence and abilities.

\section*{92\% of the teachersstated that -}
- both can be assigned same duties / responsibilities,
-both should be given the same amount of freedom,
- both should be given equal time to play.
\(87 \%\) of the teachers stated that -
- men and women should be paid equal wages for equal work,
- assets of the family should be registered injoint names of the husband and wife.

\section*{Head of Institution Schedule}
\[
\text { TABLE }-99
\]

Educational qualifications and experiences of heads of institutions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{V ariables} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Respondents} \\
\hline & & No. & \% \\
\hline 1. Diploma holder & & 4 & 50. \\
\hline 2. Post graduate and above & & 4 & 50 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\[
\text { TABLE }-100
\]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Length of service} \\
\hline Length of service & Number & & \% of the total \\
\hline 1. 20-30 years & 3 & & 37.5 \\
\hline 2. 30-40 years & 5 & & 62.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE-101}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Classes taught & Number & of the total \\
1. Primary & 6 & 75.0 \\
2. Middle & 2 & 25.0
\end{tabular}

The sample of head of institutions interviewed consisted of 8 members. 4 of them were diploma holders (in general education and professional education) and four was post graduate. 5 of them have had a teaching experience ranging \(30-40\) years \&

3 of them have had a teaching experience ranging 20-30 years. 6 of them taught in the primary classes and 2 of them in middle schools.
\[
\text { TABLE }-102
\]

\section*{Physical facilities available in schools}


Out of 8 schools only 2 schools have playground and 4 have library facilities.

None of the schools have laboratories, toilets, and separate toilets for girls, 2 schools needed medical checkup facilities.

\section*{TABLE-102-A}

Institutional Head's perception about the main factors for the girls continuing their education in schools
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{F a c t o r s} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Agree} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Dis Agree} \\
\hline & No. & \% & No. & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\%} \\
\hline a. Better economic standard of a household & 8 & 100.0 & 0 & 0.0 & 8 \\
\hline b. Parental education & 8 & 100.0 & 0 & 0.0 & 8 \\
\hline c. Parental motivation & 8 & 100.0 & 0 & 0.0 & 8 \\
\hline d. Parental support like : & & & & & \\
\hline i) Payment of fee other than tuition fees & 3 & 37.5 & 5 & 62.5 & 8 \\
\hline ii) Provision of books and stationery & 5 & 62.5 & 3 & 37.5 & 8 \\
\hline iii) Provision of adequate food and clothing & 4 & 50 & 4 & . 50 & 8 \\
\hline iv) Creating space and time for studies at home & 2 & 25 & 6 & 75 & 8 \\
\hline v) Provision of academic support (themselves or paid) & 5 & 62.5 & 3 & 37.5 & 8 \\
\hline e. Self motivation of the girl child & 6 & 75 & 2 & 25 & 8 \\
\hline f. Positive attitude of teachers & 8 & 100.0 & 0 & 0.0 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\operatorname{Total}(N)=8\)

The main reasons for the girls continuing their educatioin are due to the fact that -
(i) their parents have been educated and could perceive the need for education,
(ii) their parents have been motivated to send their girls to schools,
(iii)they could provide academic support for their girls at home,
(iv) the girls themselves were motivated to attend schools,
(v) and the teachers were helpful to the girls.

\section*{Institutional Head's perception on reasons for dropping out of girls from school}


The main reasons for girls dropping out were due to -
(i) the need to help their parents in their occupation,
(ii) their employment in remunerative occupations,
(iii) domestic work,
(iv) the need to take care of siblings,
(v) the absence of Anganwadis/NFE Centres/Schools nearby,
(vi) lack of parental motivation and
(vii) parental illiteracy status.

TABLE - 104
Institutional Heads Perception about reasons for
non-enrolment of girls in schools
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{R e a s o n} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Agree} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & No. & \% & \\
\hline 01. Inability of parents to pay extra tition fee/ fund & 1 & 12.5 & 8 \\
\hline 02. Inability of parents to provide clothes \& shoes & 3 & 37.5 & 8 \\
\hline 03. Inability of parents to provide books & 4 & 50.0 & 8 \\
\hline 04. Inability of parents to provide stationery & 5 & 62.5 & 8 \\
\hline 03. Inability of parents to provide food \& clothes & 2 & 25.0 & 8 \\
\hline 06. Helping parents in occupation & 8 & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline 07. Engaged in remunerative employment & 8 & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline 08. Domestic work & 8 & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline 09. Care of siblings & 8 & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline 10. Parents lack of motivation & 8 & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline ll. Parents illiteracy & 8 : & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline 12. Non-availability of school/NFE Centre close to habitation & 6 & 75 & 8 \\
\hline 13. Un-suitable school timings & 2 & 25 & 8 \\
\hline 14. No women teachers & 4 & 50 & 8 \\
\hline 15. No separate school for girls & 3 & 37.5 & 8 \\
\hline 16. Lack of support services such as Anganwadies, Balwadies, Creches & 8 & 100.0 & 8 \\
\hline 17. Cultural factors such as early marriage, social taboos and customs, segregation of women, purdah etc. & 5 & 62.5 & 8 \\
\hline Total (N) \(=8\) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main reasons for non-enrolment of girls are due to -
(i) the need to help parents in their occupation,
(ii) employment in remunerative work,
(iii) domestic work,
(iv) the need to take care of siblings,
(v) parental lack of motivation and
(vi) parents illiteracy status.

\section*{}

Responses of Institutional Head about Incentives


The incentives are available in-adequate measure; but they have to be provided on time.

Institutional Heads perception about utility of girls education


The main perceptions on the utility of girls education are -
(i) helps to develop a positive self-image and confidence among girls,
(ii) prepares girls for economic contribution,
(iii) ensures education of future generations,
(iv) improves the health and nutritional status of children and others in the family and
(v) it helps to raise the age at marriage, reduce \(I M R\) and MMR.

\title{
TABLE-207. \\ Institutional Heads Perception on Gender Equality
}


The main perceptions on gender equality are that -
(i) girls and boys need equal education,
(ii) both need equal amount of food,
(iii) both need equal health care and medical attention,
(iv) both have same intelligence and abilities, and
(v) husband and wife should take all decisions jointly.

Community Leader Schedule
\[
\text { TABLE - } 108
\]

Educational qualification of the Community Leader
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Educational Qualification} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Respondents} \\
\hline & No. & of of the total \\
\hline (i) Primary & 2 & 20 \\
\hline (ii) Middle & 3 & 30 \\
\hline (iii) High School/Higher Secondary & 4. & 40 \\
\hline (iv) Senior Secondary & 0 & 0 \\
\hline (v) Technical / Diploma holder & 0 & 0 \\
\hline (vi) Graduate (General) & 0 & 0 \\
\hline (vii) Graduate (Professional) and Technical & 0 & 0 \\
\hline (viii)Post Graduation and above & 1 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. of the Community Leaders had primary education, 3 upto middle school level 4 upto high school level and one upto post graduate level.

\section*{TABLE-109}

Occupations of Community Leaders
0 c c u p a t i o n
01. Cultivators
02. Agricultural Labourers
03. Livestock and forestry etc.
04. Mining and quarrying
05. Manufacturing household/industry/others
06. Construction
07. Trade and commerce
08. Transport, storage and communication
09. Other services

8 of the Community Leaders wer cultivators, one was an agricultural labourer and one was engaged in other services.

\section*{TABELE - 110}

Responses of Community Leader about participation of women

\[
\operatorname{Total}(N)=10
\]

The Community Leaders stated that women in villages did not participate in Village Panchayat Meetings, Mahila Mandals or in any Political or Social Organisation.

\section*{TABLE - 111}

Steps taken by Community Leader to improve girls education and participation of women in activities and programmes

```

Total (N) = 10

```

None of them have taken steps to enrol all the girls in schools, or started activities for girls / women. They have not motivated parents to send their daughters to schools nor have they taken effort to promote girl's education.

\section*{TABLE -112}

Awareness of Community Leader about the special programmes / schemes / incentives given for promoting education of girls belonging to SC, ST and Others
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Yes} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{No} & \multirow{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & & No. & 8 & No. & \(\%\) & \\
\hline 01. & Scheduled Castes & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0.0 & 10 \\
\hline 02. & Scheduled Tribes & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0.0 & 10 \\
\hline 03. & Backward Classes & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Total (N)=10

```
\(100 \%\) of the Community Leaders were aware of the special programmes to SCs, STs and \(6^{\circ}\) of them were aware of special programmes to BCs.

\section*{TABLE: 113}

Perception of Community Leader about NFE Centre
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{NFE Centre} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Yes \({ }^{\text {Nos }}\)} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{No. \% No. \%} \\
\hline 01. Awareness about NFE Centre in the village ward & 8 & 80 & & 2 & 20 \\
\hline 02. Satisfaction with the function of NFE Centre in the village / ward & & 70 & & 3 & 30 \\
\hline 03. Want NFE Centre in the village & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline 04. Facilities which can be provided by the village for NFE Centre & & & & & \\
\hline a. Accommodation & 10 & 100 & 0 & - & 10 \\
\hline b. Volunteers & 10 & 100 & 0 & - & 10 \\
\hline 05. Need separate NFE Centre for girls & & \(\because 70\) & 3 & 30 & 10 \\
\hline Total ( N ) \(=10\) & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(100 \%\). of them were aware of NFE Centres and all of them wanted NFE Centres in the villages.

They are prepared to support the NFE Centres \& 6 of them needed separate NFE Centre for girls.
\[
\text { TABLE }-114
\]

Community Leaders perception of co-education and girls being taught by male teachers
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Objection No Objection} \\
\hline Variables & No. & of of total & No. & \% of tota & tat \\
\hline 01. Girls and boys studying together in the same institution & 7. & 70 & 3 & 30 & 10 \\
\hline 02 . Girls being taught by male teachers & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 & 10 \\
\hline Total (N) \(=10\) & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

7 of them wanted girls to be taught by lady teachers only.
6 of them wanted seperate school for girls.

\section*{TABLE}

Community Leader's Perception on Utility of Girls Education
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Agree} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dis Agree} \\
\hline & No. & 8 & No. & \% \\
\hline 01. Develops a positive self image and confidence among girls & 9 & 90 & 1 & 10 \\
\hline 02. Prepares girls for economic contribution & 8 & 80 & 2 & 20 \\
\hline 03. Can improve health and nutritional status of children and other family members & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 \\
\hline 04. Will ensure education of future generations & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0.00 \\
\hline 05. Will make girls and women aware of their rights & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 \\
\hline 06. Helps raise age at marriage and reduce maternal infant and child mortality & , 8 & 80 & 2 & 20 \\
\hline 07. Helps in reducing the family size & 7 & 70 & 3 & 30 \\
\hline 08. Will prepare girls for leadership roles in society & 5 & 50 & 5 & 50 \\
\hline 09. Will prepare girls for participation and decisi making process in all walks of life e.g. family panchayats, municipalities and legislature & on & 50 & 5 & 50 \\
\hline Total (N) \(=10\) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main perceptions on the utility of girls' education were -
(i) prepares girls for economic contribution,
(ii) develops positive self-imaye and confidence and
(iii) ensures education of future gereration.
(iv) helps to raise age at marriage and reduce maternal, infant and child mortality.
(v) helps in reducing the family size.

\section*{Perception of Commity Leaders on Gender Equality}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
01. Girls and boys need equal education \\
02. Both need to be given equal amount of food \\
03. Both need to be given equal health care \& medical attention when needed
\end{tabular}} & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline & 10 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline 04. Both can be assigned same duties/ responsibilities & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 & 10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
05. Both should be given the same freedom \\
06 . Both should be given equal time to play \\
07. Both can perform all tasks equally well \\
08. Both can have similar occupations \\
09. Both have same intelligence \(\&\) abilities \\
10. Men and women should be paid equal wages for equal work
\end{tabular}} & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 & 10 \\
\hline & 5 & 50 & 5 & 50 & 10 \\
\hline & 4 & 40 & 6 & 60 & 10 \\
\hline & 4 & 40 & 6 & 60 & 10 \\
\hline & 5 & 50 & 5 & 50 & 10 \\
\hline & 6 & 60 & 4 & 40 & 10 \\
\hline 11. Husband and wife should take all decisions jointly & 7 & 70 & 3 & 30 & 10 \\
\hline 12. Houshold work must be shared by all members of the household & 8 & 80 & 2 & 20 & 10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{13. Assets of the family should be registered in joint names of husband and wife
\[
\text { Total }(N)=10
\]} & 4 & 40 & 6 & 60 & 10 \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main perceptions on gender equality are that -
(i) boys \& girls need equal amount of food, health care and medical attention.
(ii) both need equal education and
(iii) household work must be shared by all members of the household.
(iv) girls and boys need equal education

TABLE - 116

Focus Group Discussion : Kolianur Block
(Sample Study) - Summary of the opinions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Sl. \\
No.
\end{tabular} & Name of the Villages & Sex & Age Group & Number of Participants & Focus Group Opinions \\
\hline 01. & Nannadu & \begin{tabular}{l}
Male \& \\
Female
\end{tabular} & 19-60 & 17 & \\
\hline 02. & Nannattampalayam & " & 18.45 & 23 & \\
\hline 03. & Panankuppam & " & 19-65 & 15 & \\
\hline 04. & Poyyapakkam & " & 20-50 & 23 & **** \\
\hline 05. & Pillur & " & 17-60 & 31 & \\
\hline 06. & Pidagam & " & 16-48 & 20 & \\
\hline 07. & Sundaripalayam & " & 21-57 & 19 & \\
\hline 08. & Madirimangalam & " & 16-63 & 21 & \\
\hline 09. & Thamaraikulam & " & 17-59 & 22 & \\
\hline 10. & Koliyanur Voikal & " & 18-70 & 19 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Focus Group Opinions :}

Birth of a Child :- (i) The 'elders' of the village prefer a male child has there is a generally accepted notion that the female children are financial liabilities, rather than assets, (ii) Married daughters cannot be revied upon for held in times of need.

Early marriage of the girl:- (i) The group felt that early marriage of the girls is not desirable. The average age limit for marriage is between 18-20 years. The families are against dowry system. (ii) The focus group is for adopting family welfare schemes.

Girls Education:- (i) Girls should be educated till they get married, (ii) Priority is given to give the daughter in marriage, rather than continue the girls' education, (iii) Girls are to be taught by women teachers and separate girls schools are better than mixed schools,
(iv) Tho parents wanted their aaughters to have conventional educaticon
 teachers and clerical jobs, (v) The social taboos cannot be viped off as early as they are preached; and it may havé to be done gradually: Difficulties in educating the girls:- (i) Distance between the school and the village. It is not advisable to send young children (girls) and girls who have attained the age of puberty to such long distance:s, (ii) The school needs women teachers in greater number. The parenits do not like to send their daughters to schools where there are no women techers.

Duties of girls at home:- (i) Fetching water, fodder, fuel, (ii) Jointing mother in cooking, cleaning, washing, (iii) Caring for the live stock in the family, (iv) Care for the siblings at home.

Work done by women at home:- (i) Domestic work, (ii) Fetching water, fodder, fuel, (iii) Agricultural work in their own fields, (iv) Working as coolies, and (v) care of siblings.

Non-employment pattern:- (i) Agricultural labourer, (ii) Working as carpenters, masons and other house building activities.

Leisure time activities:- (i) There is no time for rest or leisure time activities as they have to work from dawn to dusk.

\section*{Equality:-}

The people believe in the equality of the sexes. But they are not for sharing their ancestral property with women.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Educational Administrator (Schedule) \\
Educational Qualifications of Education Administrators.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{V ari b e Respondent Total} \\
\hline Variable & No. & \% & Total \\
\hline Post-Graduate and above & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{TABLE - 118} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Length of service of Educational Administrators} \\
\hline Length of Service & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Respondent} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No. \% of the total} & \\
\hline 10-20 Years & 2 & 66.7 & 2 \\
\hline 20-30 Years & 1 & 33.3 & 1 \\
\hline Total (N) \(=3\) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

3 educational administrators were interviewed. All of them were post-graduates.

2 of them had a service range of 10-20 Years and the other one a range of 20-30 Years.

\section*{TABLE-11 \\ Perception of Educational Administrator about reasons for girls continuing their education in school}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{R e a s o n s} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Agree} \\
\hline & No. & \% \\
\hline a. Better economic standard of household & 3 & 100 \\
\hline b. Parental education & 3 & 100 \\
\hline c. Parental motivation & 3 & 100 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{d. Parental support like:-} \\
\hline i) Payment of fees other than tuition fees & 0 & 0.00 \\
\hline ii) Provision of books and stationery & 2. & 66.67 \\
\hline iii) Provision of adequate food and clothing & 1 & 33.33 \\
\hline iv) Creating space and time for studies at home & 1 & 33.33 \\
\hline v) Provision of academic support (themselves or paid) & 3 & 100 \\
\hline e. Self motivation of the girl child & 3 & 100 \\
\hline f. Positive attitude of teachers & 3. & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Total (N) \(=\mathbf{3}\)

The educational administrators stated that girls continued in schools due to the reasons, enlisted in the questionnaire and each factor was as important as the other.

The only reason that was not considered as an important factor was the ". Payment of fees other than tuition fees".
(Table No. 119).

\section*{TABLE-120}

\section*{Perception of Educational Administrator about reasons for girls dropping out from school}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{R e a s o n s} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Agree} \\
\hline & No. & & \\
\hline 01. Inability of parents to pay extra tuition fee/fund & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 02. Inability of parents to provide clothes \& shoes & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 03. İnability of parents to provide books & 2 & 66.67 & 3 \\
\hline 04. Inability of parents to provide stationery & 2 & 66.67 & 3 \\
\hline 05. Inability of parents to provide food \& medicines & 2 & 66.67 & 3 \\
\hline 06. Helping parents in occupation & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 07. Engaged in remunerative employment & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 08. Domestic work & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 09. Care of siblings & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 10. Absence of support services viz. Anganwadi, Balwadi, Creches & 3. & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 11. Early marriage & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 12. Social taboo on onset of puberty & 2 & 66.67 & 3 \\
\hline 13. Parental lack of motivation & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 14. Parental illiteracy & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 15. Lack of academic support/help from parents/family members/others & 2 & 66.67 & 3 \\
\hline 16. School far away & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 17. Un-attractive school environment & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 18. Un-suitable school timings & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 19. Lack of relevance of curriculum & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 20. No women teachers & 2 & 66.67 & 3 \\
\hline 21. Lack of separate schools & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 22. Teachers negative attitude & 0 & 0.00 & 3 \\
\hline 23. Failure & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline 24. Illness of family members & 0 & 0.00 & 3 \\
\hline 25. Own illness & 1 & 33.33 & 3 \\
\hline Total (N) \(=3\) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The educational administrators felt that the main reasons for girl's dropping out from schools are due to :-
(a) helping parents in occupation, (b) engayed in remunerative employment, (c) domestic work, (d) care of siblings, (e) absence of support services viz. Anganwdi, Balwadi, Creches, (f) parental lack of motivation, (14) parental illiteracy.

\section*{TABLB-121}

\section*{"Perception of" educational administrators about the main reasons for girls non-enrolment in school}


The administrators felt that all the factors were important and were the main reasons for the non-enrolment of girls' except on factor like
(a) Inability of parents to pay extra tuitionfee/fund,
(b) Inability of parents to provide clothes and shoes,
(c) Non-availability of school/NFE Centre close to habitation,
(d) No women teachers.

Educational Administrator's responses about Incentives
I n c ent \(\mathrm{i} v\) e s
Incentives available are adequate
Incentives are available on time
Total \((N)=3\)

All the administrators stated that the incentives given are inadequate and have to be made available on time.

\section*{TABLE—123}

FAucetional Administrators perception about utility" óf"gìrls education


The perceptions on the utility of girls education were positive in all cases except on factors like -
(i) awareness of women's rights
(ii) preparation of women for leadership roles . and
(iii) the participation of women in decision making process in all walks of life

\section*{Educational Administrator's Perception on Gender Equality}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{I n d i c a tor} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Agree} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{otal} \\
\hline & No. & 8 & \\
\hline 01. Girls and boys need equal education & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 02. Both need to be given equal amount of food & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 03. Both need to be given equal health care and medical attention when needed & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 04. Both can be assigned same duties / responsibilities & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 05. Both should be given the same freedom & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 06. Both should be given equal time to play & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 07. Both can perform all tasks equally well & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 08. Both can have similar occupations & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 09. Both have same intelligence and abilities & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 10. Men and women should be paid equal wages for equal work & 3 & 100 & 3. \\
\hline 11. Husband and wife should take all decisions jointly & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 12. Household work must be shared by all members of the household & 3 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline 13. Assets of the family should be registered in joint names of husband and wife & 2 & 100 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All the Edl. administrators agreed on all the indicators of gender equality and were positive in their perceptions.

\section*{CHAPTER-V}

\section*{FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS}
01. Tamil Nadu ranks l2th in the female literacy rate (1991) and enrolment ratio level.
02. Among the different district, South Arcot ranks 19 th among the 21 districts in literacy rate.
03. In terms of female literacy rate, South Arcot ranks 20 th.
04. The percentage of rural population is lesser in Tamil Nadu when compared to all India level.
05. The crude birth rate, crude death rate, IMR, TFR in Tamil Nadu are lower than the national rates.
06. The literacy rates, (rural and urban)for both the sexes are higher than the national level.
07. The worker's participation rate in the state is higher than the national rate, in the case of the main workers.
08. The enrolment of pupil is higher at the state level for all communities when compared to the national figures.
09. The dropout rates of Tamil Nadu are comparatively lesser than the dropout rate for the national level.
10. The total population of South Arcot is

Males Females Total
\(24,75,544\)
\(23,95,327\)
\(48,70,871\)
11. The population of the age groups -
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Age group & Population & Target \\
\(0-4\) is & \(6,09,318\) & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Includes Pre-primary \\
target group
\end{tabular} \\
\(5-9\) is & \(6,18,221\) & Primary Education \\
\(10-14\) is & \(5,91,961\) & target group
\end{tabular}
12. The IMR and CMR of South Aroot Digtelet are very high in fural àreàs "and" for rưralyưrban areas" put together:
13. The IMR/CMR estimates tend to decrease, with the increase in the educational level of the mother.
14. The employment status of women workers affects the school going girl, with positively and negatively. It acts positively by increasing the family income, better food availability, nutritional and health status. But it reduces mothers' time for the child care and compels the school going girls to stay at home and attend to domestic work.

ACCESS TO EDUCATION :
15. In South Arcot District there are 2738 primary schools, 483 middle schools, 220 high schools and 112 higher secondary schools.
16. However there are 119 habitations (with a population of 300 and above) which do not have a school in that area.
17. In Kalrayan hills there are 31 government tribal residential primary schools and 5 middle schools.
18. Among the primary schools, 106 were not having pucca classrooms, 405 were having only one single class room.
19. Drinking water facilities were needed for 2257 schools.
20. There was no electricity facility in all the primary schools.
21. 1062 primary schools were not having toilet facilities for girls.
22. The number of single teacher schools were. 768 .
23. The number of balwadies were 2715 and ICDS Centres 523.
24. The number of primary schools were 2738 and middle schools were 483.
25. The overall increase in enrolment in standards \(I\) to \(V\) from 1987-88 to 1990-91 has been 5825 (boys) and 22649 (girls).
26. The enrolment in the case of \(S C / S T\) pupils indicated a decline during the period, 1988-89 through 1990-91.
27. During 1991-92, the total enrolment was \(82.1 \%\) (boys \(86.2 \%\) and girls 78.28).
\(2: 8\). There is a uniform decrease in the rolls of the pupils from standard \(I\) through \(V\) in all the ranges in the district.

2'9. The gross enrolment of the district category-wise were
- All Communities - 10,50,847
- SC - 2,23,021
- ST - 3,031
30. The pupils belonging to \(\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST}\) Communities in Standards I to V during 1991-92 were -
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
& & Boys & Girls \\
SC & - & 70772 & 60918 \\
ST & - & 827 & 727
\end{tabular}
31. The dropout rates in I-V Standards were \(20.71 \%\) for boys, \(24.62 \%\) for girls and 22.678 for boys and girls.
32. The \(\%\) of dropouts for girls is more than that of boys for the years 1988-89 through 1992-93.
33. The lowest \(\%\) of dropouts is \(20 \%\) in the case of Cuddalore range and the highest is in Parangipettai 26.48 for girls.
34. The retention rate is 0.685 and the cohort ratio is 0.7 for the years 1988-89 / 1989-90.
35. The number of teachers employed in the district is 8468 males and 4448 females (1992-93) at the primary level and 3214 males and 1446 females at the middle school level (1992-93).

36\% : With the increase: in enrolment, the total expenditure per student has increased 4.2 times for classes 1 to 8 (for the period '1980-81 to 1992-93): And the actual expenditure for elementary schools has doubled since 1980-81 to 1993-94 (in respect of standards 1 to 8).
37. The incentives for enrolment and retention of pupils have been such schemes like - free supply of uniforms, books, midday meals, slates, chappals and bus passes.

This cost has also considerably increased, especially in the case of free midday meals - from Rs. 22510 in 1980-81 to 28,921,260 during 1993-94.

\section*{Survey data, findings and conclusions}
(1) The number of households surveyed were \(360^{\circ}\) in 8 villages and 2 slum areas of Koliyanur Block.
(2) 338 households were male headed and 22 were female headed.
(3) In the sample, surveyed, \(34.4 \%\) belonged to ST Community, \(0.3 \%\) to SC, \(10.0 \%\) belonged' to most ,backwards and \(55.0 \%\) to other Communities.
(4) \(97.5 \%\) of the sample were Hindus, 0.3 were Muslims and 1.4 were Jains and Shiks.
(5) \(99.3 \%\) of the sample spoke Tamil, \(0.3 \%\) Telugu, and \(0.3 \%\) were speaking Urdu.
(6) 42.2 of the sampled households were using well water, and \(55.3 \%\) were using other sources.
(7) Only one of the sample could get their drinking water from nearly sources and \(\mathbf{8 0 . 0 \%}\) had to walk a distance of 1 Km . to reach water sources. and 29.78 within 2 Km . from their house.
(8) \(99.4 \%\) f the sample used fire wood as fuel.
(9) \(64.2 \%\) of the sample had 'electricity' while \(35 \% 88\) did not have this facility.
(10) The drainage facility in the sample villages was poor (98.4\%).
(11) \(97.5 \%\) of the sample used open fields as toilets.
(12) The population in the sample age group 0-5, was 132 boys and 33 girls.

In the age group 6-14, there were 182 boys and 442 girls.
In the age group 15-40, there were 311 males and \(44 \dot{6}\) females.
(13) The population of the following cohorts were as follows :-

Males Females
Illiterates \(\quad 89 \quad 211\)

Literate, but studied upto V Standard \(139 \quad 255\)
Studied upto Primary 105145
Studied upto Middle School Level \(195 \quad 254\)
(14) \(80.8 \%\) of the females were 'non-workers' in comparison to \(53.0 \%\) of the males.
\(8.9 \%\) of the males were cultivators.
0.6 of the females were cultivators.

The maximum percentage of women working as agricultural workers was 19.6\%.
(15) \(81.3 \%\) of the females did not have any income when compared to \(53.4 \%\) of the males sample.
(16) 15.88 of the sample had an annual income of l-3 thousands.
49.78 had an annual income of 4-6 thousands.
(17) 234 of the boys and 516 of the girls were attending school. *
(18) It is observed that the parents incur more expenditure on 'fees' for their sons and daughters. Most of the parents were not spending any amount on 'students funds'.
(19) As observed from the survey data, parents spend more money on the books for their sons, more money on stationery and equally for boys and girls in the whole.
(20) The parents wish to give higher education for boys and conventional types of education to girls.
(21) The parents wish to give professional education to boys and traditional types of education to girls.
(22) The main reasons for girls" continuing their schooling are due to-
(a) the provision of academic support to girls at home,
(b) the positive attitudes of teachers towards pupils,
(c) the provision of suitable space and time for study, for girls and
(d) the higher status of parental education which focuss schooling of girls,
(23) The parents are aware of the services of support services and wherever there are support services they function properly,
(24) To augment the family resources, the women need, income generating schemes and this holds the key for generating enough resources for the welfare of the family and assures better standard of living of the family.
(25) The key variables that encourage parents to send their daughters to schools are -
(a) supply fo free books,
(b) availability of pre-school, day care centres, Anganwadies,
(c) supply of free stationery,
(d) availability of women teachers in schools and
(e) the supply of free uniforms.
(26) The drop-out girls like their schools due to the -
(a) attractive school environment,
(b) helpful attitudes of teachers and
(c) planned curricular processes in the school.
(27) The drop-out girls are not sent to schools again due to -
(a) domestic work,
(b) the need to supplement family income by seeking income generating employment and
(c) the need to take care of the siblings at home.
(28): The drop-out girls like their teachers - due to the -
(a) positive attitudes of teachers,
(b) interesting curricular transactions and
(c) the attractive school environment.
(29) 95.9\% of the sample (drop-out girls) were able to get help from their teachers in their studies.
(30) Only \(16.3 \%\) of the sample (drop-out girls) had difficulties in doing home work.
(31) The drop-out girls could not go to school regularly due to domestic work, need to take care of siblings at home and their employment in income earning activities.
(32) The drop-out girls could not go to school punctually due to domestic work, need to take care of siblings and lack of percentage support in their studies.
(33) \(91.8 \%\) of the drop-out girls were willing to resume their studies.
(34) The drop-out girls liked Tamil, Science and Social Science most.
(35) Only \(18.4 \%\) of the sample of drop-out girls failed in school examination and hence dropped out from the system.
(36) The drop-out spent three hours / on such domestic duties such as - fetching,fodder and fuel, cooking, washing, cleaning utensils. Some of them spent more their three hours on the care of live stock.
(37) The girls felt that they were discriminated against boys in -
(a) rituals and social visits,
(b) opportunities for play,
(c) not getting help in their studies,
(d) clothing and
(e) provision of toys / games.
(38) The main reasons for non-enrolment of girls in schools are due to (a) parent's illiteracy
(b) the need to help parents in their occupation,
(c) parents' lack of motivation,
(d) care of siblings at home,
(e) cultural factors, social taboos and
(f) the need to seek remunerative employment.
(39) \(54.5 \%\) of the (sample) 'never enrolled girls' never wanted to go to school previously. But now 63.680 f them would like to go to school.
86.48 of them talked to their parents about it, but only \(27.3 \%\) said that their parents were willing to send them to school.
(40) The reading and writing abilities of the never enrolled girls were very poor.
(41) 81.8\% of the never enrolled girls needed income generating programmes and
- 100.0\% needed literacy, income generating programmes, health and nutrition programmes.
(42) The never enrolled girls worked for more than two hoursa day on grazing cattle and taking care of live stock,
- were engaged in making cow dungs and washing clothes,
- were taking care of siblings and
- . were engaged in cooking, for more than two hours a day.
(43) The never enrolled girls felt that they were discriminated against the boys in -
(a) not getting help in their studies,
(b) rituals and social visits and
(c) not getting opportunities for 'play'.
(4.4). 31 teachers were interviewed. All of them were of the opinion that girls were not regular to school due to (i) domestic work, (ii) the need to take care of the siblings. (iii) the need to attend to the sick family members, (iv) Lack of academic support at home, and (v) the school was'far away.
(45) All the teachers felt the free supply of text books, stationery, uniforms and noon meals were useful to the girls.
(46) 8 heads of institutions were interviewed. According to them, only 2 out of their 8 schools have play grounds and 5 have libraries.

None of the school, have laboratories, toilets and seperate toilets for girls. 2 schools needed medical check-up facilities.
(47) According, to the heads of schools, the incentives given to the girls are inadequate.
(48) Ten Community Leaders were interviewed.
(49) The Community Leaders stated that the women did not participate in Village Panchayats, Mahila Mandals or in any political or Social Organisations.
(50) None of them have taken steps to enrol girls in schools; they have not started activities for girls / women. They have not motivated parents to send their daughters to schools.
(51) They were aware of the special programmes to SCs, STs and Backward Classes.
(52) \(80 \%\) of them were aware of NEE Centres and 10 of them wanted NFE Centres in their Villages.

They were prepared to support the NFE Centres. \(70 \%\) of them wanted seperate NFE Centres for girls.
(53) \(70 \%\) of them wanted seperate schools for girls. They did not like their girls being taught by male teachers.
(54) 3 educational administrators were interviewed.

They stated that the incentives given are inadequate and have to be made available on time.

Perceptions about the main factors for the girls continuing their education in sehool,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(F \quad a \quad c \quad t^{*} \quad 0 \quad r \quad s\) & POS I T I & VE R E & \begin{tabular}{l}
S PONS \\
Heads of Institution
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(5 \quad\) I N 8 \\
Edl. Administrators
\end{tabular} & Total number of positive responses of all categories & respondents: of all cater gories. (express as \%) & Renn \\
\hline a) Better economic standard of a household & 83 (23.0) & 30 (96.8) & ) \(8(100)\) & 3 (100) & 124 & 30.8 & \\
\hline b) Parental Education & 110 (30.6) & 31 (100) & \(8(100)\) & 3 (100) & 152 & 37.8 & \\
\hline c) Parental motivation & 22 (6.1) & 29 (93.5) & ) \(8(100)\) & 3 (100) & 62 & 15.4 & \\
\hline d) Parental gupport like : - & & & & & & - & \\
\hline i) Payment of fee other than tuition fees & 291 (80.8) & 2 (6.5) & 3 (37.5) & \(0.1(0.00)\) & 296 & 73.6 & \\
\hline ii) Provision of books and stationery & 223 (61.9) & 19 (61.3) & ) \(5(62.5)\) & 2 (66.67) & ) 249 & - 61.9 & \\
\hline iii) Provision of adequate food and clothing & 268 (74.4) & 20 (32.3) & ) \(4(50)\) & 1 (33.33) & ) 283 & - 70.4 & \\
\hline iv) Creating space and time for studies at home & 303 (84.2) & 12 (38.7) & ) 2 (25) & 1. (33.33) & ) 318 & . 79.1 & \\
\hline v) Provision of academic support (themselves or paid) & 352 (97.8) & \(8(25.8)\) & 5 (62.5) & 3 (100) & 368 & , 91.5 & \\
\hline e) Self motivation of the girl child & 94 (26.1) & 25* (80.6) & ) \(6(75)\) & \(3^{\text {( }} 100\) ) & 128 & , 31.8 & \\
\hline f) Positive attitude of teachers & 166.(46.1) & 19. (61.3) & ) \(8(100)\) & 3 (100) & 196 & . 48.8 & \\
\hline g) Any other & - & - & - & - & - & , - & \\
\hline Total (N) & 360 & 31 & 8 & 3 & 402 & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main reasons for the girls continuing their education are -
(i) provision of academic support at home for the girls,
(ii) positive attitude of teachers,
(iii) availability of space and time for studies at home, (for girls),
(iv) status of parents' education.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Posill \\
Parents
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tencher}} & & PON S & & S I N & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total No. of positive responses of all categories} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{- of Total positive respondents of all categories (expressed as 8)} \\
\hline Reasons for dropout of girls & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Head of Institution} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Dropout Girl} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Edl. Administrators} & & \\
\hline 01. Inability of parents to pay extra tuition fee / fund & 55 (15.3) & & (9.7) & 2 & (25) & 2 & (4.1) & & (33.33) & 63 & 14 \\
\hline 02. Inability of parents to provide clothes a shoes & 76 (21.1) & & (22.6) & 3 & (37.5) & 6 & (12.2) & 1 & (33.33) & 93 & 20.6 \\
\hline 03. Inability of parents to provide books & \(92(25.6)\) & & (32.3) & 4 & (50) & 7 & (14.3) & & (66.67) & 115 & 25.5 \\
\hline 04. Inability of parents to provide stationery & 98 (27.2) & & (48.4) & 5 & (62.5) & 8 & (16.3) & 2 & (66.67) & 128 & 28.4 \\
\hline 05. Inability of parents to provide food, medicines & 70 (19.4) & & (22.6) & & (50) & 6 & (12.2) & 2 & (66.67) & 89 & 19.7 \\
\hline 06. Helping parents in occupation & 310 (86.1) & 31 & (100) & 8 & (100) & 33 & 3 (67.3) & 3 & (100) & 385 & 85.4 \\
\hline 07. Domestic work & 333 (92.5) & 31 & (100) & 8 & (100) & 40 & (81.6) & 3 & (100) & 415 & 92 \\
\hline 08. Care of siblings & 186 (51.7) & 27 & (87.1) & 8 & (100) & & 1 (22.5) & 3 & (100) & 235 & 52.1 \\
\hline 09. Absence of support services e.g. Anganwadies, Balwadies, Creches & 15 (4.2). & & (6.5) & 8 & (100) & 0 & (0.0) & 3 & (100) & 28 & 6.2 \\
\hline 10. Early marriage & 16 (4.4) & & (12.9) & 2 & (25) & 2 & (4.1) & & (33.33) & 25 & 5.5 \\
\hline 11. Social taboo on onset of puberty & 37 (10.3) & & (12.9) & & ( \(50^{\circ}\) ) & 4 & (8.2) & 2 & (66.67) & 51 & 11.3 \\
\hline 12. Parental lack of motivation & 187 (51.9) & 28 & (90.3) & 8 & (100) & 26 & (53.1) & 3 & (100) & 252 & 55.9 \\
\hline 13. Parental illiteracy & 187 (51.9) & 25 & (80.6) & 8 & (100) & & (51.0) & 3 & (100) & 248 & 55 \\
\hline 14. Lack of academic support/help from parents/family members/others & 34 (9 \(\mathbf{i}^{4}\) ) & & (19.4) & 6 & (75) & 5 & (10.2) & & (66.67) & 53 & 11.8 \\
\hline 15. School far away & 6 (1.7) & & - & 3 & (37.5) & 1 & (2.0) & & (33.33) & 11 & 2.4 \\
\hline 16. Un-attractive school environment & 3 (0.8) & & - & 5 & (62.5) & 0 & (0.0) & & (33.33) & 9 & 2.0 \\
\hline 17. Un-suitable school timings & 0 (0.0) & & - & 2 & (25) & 0 & (0.0) & & (33.33) & 3 & 0.7 \\
\hline 18. Lack of relevance of curriculum & \(2(0.6)\) & & - & 1 & (12.5) & 0 & (0.0) & & (33.33) & 4 & 0.9 \\
\hline 19. No women teachers & 0 (0.0) & & - & 4 & (50) & 0 & (0.0) & 2 & (66.67) & 6 & 1.3 \\
\hline 20. Lack of separate schools & 13 (3.6) & & - & 3 & (37.5) & 0 & (0.0) & & (33.33) & 17 & 3.8 \\
\hline 21. Engaged in remunerative work & 241 (66.9) & 13 & (41.9) & 8 & (100) & & 9 (38.8) & 3 & (100) & 284 & 63 \\
\hline 22. Teachers negative attitude & 4 (1.1) & & - & 0 & (0.0) & 4 & (8.2) & 0 & (0.0) & 8 & 1.8 \\
\hline 23. Failure in examination & 9 (2.5) & & (6.5) & 3 & (37.5) & 0 & (0.0) & & (33.33) & 15 & 3.3 \\
\hline 24. Illness of family members & 3 (0.8) & & (9.7) & 1 & (12.5) & 1 & (2.0) & 0 & (0.0) & 8 & 1.8 \\
\hline 25. Illness of pupil herself & 10 (2.8) & & (12.9) & & (25) & 7 & (14.3) & & (33.33) & 24 & 5.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Total (N) & 360 & 31 & 8 & 49 & 3 & 451
\end{tabular}

The main reasons for drop-out girls are due to :-
(i) domestic work, (ii) the need to help parents in their occupation, (iii) the need for parental interest (motivation) in their daughters, (iv) the failure of girls in class examinations, (v) the need to take care of the siblings at home.

Note:- Figures in brackets indicate the number ofrespondents who responded positevely.


The main factors of non-enrolment of girls are due to the fact that the girls are - (i) absorbed in domestic work, (ii) asked to help their parents in their occupation, (iii) handicapped due to 'parent. lack of motivation', (iv) handicapped due to parents illiteracy and \((v)\) to take care of siblings at home.
Note:- The numbers in brackets indicate the number of respondents who answered positively.


The main perceptions of the different categories of respondents on the utility of girls' education are - (i) prepares girls for economjc contribution (to familly), (ii) ensures education for future generations (in the family), (iii) develops a positive self-image and confidence amongst girls, (iv) helps to reduce the family size (by proper understanding of the difficulties in life), (v) improves health and nutritional status of children and other family members, and (vi) helps to raise the age at marriage and reduce MMR and IMR.

Note :- Numbers within brackets indicate the number of respondents who answered positively.

TABLE-144


The main perceptions on gender equality (for all the categories combined) are that - (i) boys and girls need equal health care and medical attention, (ii) both need equal amount of food, (iii) both have same amount of intelligence, (iv) husband and wife should take all decisions jointly, and (v) girls and boys need equal education.

Note :- Numbers in brackets indicate the number of respondents who answered positively.

\section*{Suggestions / Recommendations}
(1) There is a need for provision of water facilities in. Pillur, Pidagam, Nannadu, Sundari Palayam and in Kolianur Voikal. Atleast handpumps may be provided in these villages / slums.
(2) An 'awareness programme' on the need for using safe, potable water for cooking and drinking may be organised in co-ordination with other departments like health and preventive medicine, social welfare, water board, education and the media.
(3) To sustain water resources, infrastructure facilities may be provided for artificial recharging devices like rain water harvesting structure.
(4) There is a need to switch over to non-conventional energy resources, like bio-gas usage and awareness programmes and action programmes may be considered.
(5) The drainage facilities in all these villages is in poor condition. Co-ordinated action has to be taken with the block development and health departments to device, in expensive, low-cost facilities for draining the waste water from households.
(6) Villagers do not perceive close links between environmental cleanlines and their own health problems. A 'sanitation programme' has to be formulated with village leaders, local panchayats, block development office, to motivate the villagers on 'latrine adoption' instead of outdoor defecation.
(.7) Income and Poverty :- The fact that majority of the villagers are working on daily wages, in informal or unorganised sectors, leaves little scope for ensured, regular income, leave alone, the elements of exploitation in terms of low wages and differential wage structure among men and women workers.

It is necessary to plan out a work force ethics for men and :women to allow them to work at home on such small scale industry programmes, at their own leisure, after attending to the daily chores, and to the proper schooling progrmmes of their children.
(8) As poverty is directly related to and enhanced by the family size, health condition of family members, budgetting and expenditure patterns, compulsions of social customs, rituals, functions - there is a need for family welfare education programmes, health and nutrition education programmes, home management courses and awareness of legal provisions.
(9) The salient features of the reasons given for girls -
(a) continuing their studies in schools,
(b) dropping out of the formal system of schooling,
(c) non-enrolment in schools, may be summed up as follows: Better economic condition of the household, parents' educational status, parents' motivation for girl's education, parents' support for girls' home-study needs and self motivation of the girls are considered as positive, correlates to pupils continuing in schools and for setting aside chances of dropping out.

As the better economic condition of the households the key for continuing the girls in schools, there is a compelling need for both men and women to take up supplementary, wage earning occupations.

This has to be planned, organised and executed with the help of -
(1) Block development office,
(2) Rural development department,
(3) Khadi and village industries board,
(4) Marketing society,
(5) Co-operative societies,
(6) Insurance Agencies,
(7) Agriculture and Animal husbandry department,
(8) NFE Centres,
(9) Education department,
(10) Mahila Mandals and
(11) Other voluntary organisations.

This organisation may be procure raw materials, distribute, assist with necessary technology, orient people towards business approach, co-operative venture, marketing, quality control and provide storage facilities, organise personnel, finance through banks or other agencies.
(10) Elements of these, should be incorporated in the curricular programmes - both in the formal and non-formal systems so that there will be -
(a) a linkage between school curriculam and life in the society,
(b) orientation of school going girls towards, possibilities of wage-earning occupations, procedures and management,
(c) a compelling need to acquire the basic skills and knowledge in schools and
(d) orientation of out of school girls / women towards literacy / income generating programmes (as desired by them) though NFE Centres, Mahila Mandals etc.
(11) The parents, doing little to encourage and help their children in their schooling, in their studies at home and who have a negative view of the educational potential of their girls, may have to change their attitudes. Therefore there is a need for 'awareness programmes' interaction with social groups like Mahila Mandals, PTA, MTCs, and though media programmes for such parents.
(12) The income generating schemes if implemented properly, can raise the economic standards of the households and help to "wipe off " other 'inabilities' like payments on - extra tuition fees, clothes, books, stationery, food and medicine.
(13) To assist the parents at home in domestic chores, the school. timings may be structured suitably not only to suit the daily routine, but also the demands of seasonal jobs during agricultural seasons.
(14) To alleviate, the work - burden due to, care of live stock, a co-operative body for the village, managed by women, may be organised to manage the care of live stock.
(15) Anganwadies, should be geared to function, enlisting all the infants at home and provide, play, food and pre-school education including health and hygienic practices.

This will mean, strengthening the existing -
(i) pre-school programmes, organising creches for children of working mothers, (ii) convergence of services for better community nutrition programmes, (iii) strengthening inter-sectoral co-ordination between different agencies, (iv) provision of mobile health vans and (v) provision of mobile creches.
(16) Parents lack of motivation / illiteracy -

NFE Centres should gear to activities not only towards literacy, but on 'female literacy', as a priority. this has to be followed by media like A.I.R., TV and the Press.

Existing strategies :- (i) legislation on compulsory education (ii) drive by teachers for enrolment, (iii) exclusive appointment of female teachers in primary schools, (iv) establishment of mother-teacher councils, (v) incentive schemes like noon meals scheme, provision of free text books, slates, uniforms, foot wear, bus pass etc.,

\section*{NEEDED STRATEGIES - MACRO LEVEL:}
(i) Operationalising compulsory primary education - 100\% enrolment of age group 6-11, with focuss on girls, SC/ST children,
\(100 \%\) enrolment in NFE System, ensuring attendance, retention and success, linkage of ECCE, and NFE directly with UPE strategies.

\section*{MICRO LEVEL :}
(1) Providing schools/NFE Centres in school less habitations.
(2) Institutional planning for structuring school days, timings, planning local specific, relevant curriculum with stress on equality of sexes and women's empowermnet, work ethics, income generating programmes, literacy, numeracy, health nutrition and value education.
(3) Improving teaching - learning programmes for minimum levels of learning and aiming at holistic development of every child.
(4) Creating teacher-support systems.
(5) Encouraging innovative approaches.
(6) Linking schools with sports authority, PHC, NSS etc.,
(18) Failure in examination should be wiped off, by effective teaching-learning processes, periodic evaluation of achievement of pupils, designing sub-systems for remedial teaching and for ensured achievement, for boys and girls in particular.

The entire examination concept, upto the primary level, must be re-examined, as one of achievement based credit system, rther than fostering an 'examining' society based on pass/fail stratification even in the beginning of the school career.

There should be a trial for 'ungraded' system of education upto the fifth standard level, with all safeguards of MLL of achievement, paced learning and provisions for remedial teaching.
(19) The curriculum at this school stage should be designed so as to incorporate -
(a) literacy, numeracy, environmental studies, health education, nutrition, education,
(b) community education,
(c) games, activities, field trips, SUPW,music, dance, drama and value education.
(20) The curriculum for the out of school girls and women may include
(1) health education, nutrition education, community educatioin,
(2) vocational education, budgetting, financing, accounting, banking, interaction with agencies, marketing and
(3) home management
(4) literacy programmes,
(5) value education.

\section*{(2I) Women's equality and empowerment :}

There is a need for understanding and infusing elements of the legal provisions, available for women's empowerment. These provisions have to be studied and elements of them introduced in school / NFE curriculam and discussed through Mahila Mandals and the media.
(22) There should be more women in any desision taking body, relating to women's welfare, gender studies, empowerment and equality.

In all these efforts, counselling and guidance services for women, should be made available at the block level / school level and teachers have to be oriented towards these programmes also.
(23) Monitoring and Management:- To organise and execute these programmes for non-formal and formal systems, a monitering cell may be constituted in the DTERT/DIET under the authority of the government to -
(1) implement, policy frame works approved by the government,
(2) co-ordinate activities with all other departments in the field,
(3) constitute district level, co-ordination committees and
(4) supervise effective implementation of programmes, through other agencies.

\section*{DPEP Gender Studies - Plan of Action (1994-95)}

\section*{Training Programme}

Sl.
No.
01. Key resource person training programme on
gender issue
Participants - 40
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { R.Ps. } & 5 & 8,400\end{array}\)
Days - 5
One Programme
Venue : DIET, of the district concerned
02. Resource persons training programme on gender issue
Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 2
One Programme
Venue : DIET, of the district headquarters \(\quad 12,600\)
03. Orientation training programme for primary school
teachers on gender issue
participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 2 19,00,800
240 Courses
Venue : District / within the district
04. Orientation training programme for the members of
the mother teacher councils on gender issue
Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 2
80 Programmes \(6,46,400\)
Venue : BDO's Office of the block
05. Orientation training programme for the members of

Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 2
80 Programmes
Venue : Local BDO's Office
06. Orientation training programme on gender issue to

NGO's in the district
Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 2
25 Programmes \(5,65,600\)
Venue : Local BDO's Office in the block

Amount for the
Year (in Rs.)

\footnotetext{
Venue : Local BDO's Office in the block
}
07. Orientation training programmes to Anganwadi

\section*{workers}

Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 2
10 Courses
Venue : BDO's Office
08. Training programmes to women for income generating schemes - vocational courses -
Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 10 10,00,000
Is. 26,000 per course \(x 100\) courses
\(40,00,000\),

Venue : BDO's Office/head quarter/DIETs
09. 'Work shop for authors, artists and techincal staff for preparing text:materials, posters, Audio and Video aids etc.
Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5

Days - 5
One Course
84,000
Venue : DIET of the district concerned
10. Work shop on gender issue to co-ordinators, animators of NFE Centres DIs and AEOs. Participants - 40
R.Ps. - 5
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Days - } \\ \text { One course } & 42,000\end{array}\)
One Course
Venue : DIET of the district concerned

\[
\text { UBRATY : } 50 \text { ZUENTAKION LEW: }
\]

Nat: al Luseitute of Educational
Flazang and Adminastration.
1: Sri Aurobindo Marg.
\(\lambda\) elbi-110016
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