

# SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN

# **SIKKIM**

# REPORT ON APPRAISAL OF ANNUAL WORK PLANS & BUDGET FOR 2008-09

IN RESPECT OF:

East, West, North and South Districts

## **APPRAISAL REPORT- 2008-09**

## 1. An Executive Summary of key items:-

## (I) Progress Overview (2007-08)

(Rs. in lakhs)

	(incl	tioned uding over)	Anticipa	ited Achie	evement	till 31st N	%age Achievements			
Activity	Phy. Fin.		Actual expenditure upto December 2007		Expenditure upto 31.3.2008		Spillover	%age upto December 2007	%age upto March 2008	Remarks
	· · · -		Phy.	Fin.	Phy.	Fin.				-
New Schools Opening										
Upgradation of EGS to PS	11									
Upgraded/New UPS										
New Teachers Salary Primary Teachers (Para)								_		
Upper Primary Teachers (Para)								, 		
Add.Teacher against PTR										
Sub Total (2.01 to 2.11)	11	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Teachers Salary (Recurring)					•					
Teachers Grant	5733	28.67	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	
Block Resource Centre	9	15.71	0 .	11.74	9	14.43	0	74.73	91.85	
Cluster Resource Centres	131	212.10		137.31	131	178.84	0	64.74	84.32	
Teachers Training							0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
In-service Teachers' Training	1966	27.52	400	4.20	400	4.20	0	15.26	15.26	
Induction training for Newly Recruit Trained Teachers	327	6.87	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	. <u></u>
Training for Untrained Teachers	1085	47.67	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	
Sub Total	3378	82.06	400	4.20	400	4.20	0	5.12	5.12	
Interventions for OOSC	3204	49.50	874	8.93	1365	24.02	. 0	18.04	48.53	
Remedial Teaching	3350	37.86	0	0.00	1200	7.28	0	0.00	19.23	
Free Text Book	19772	29.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	

	(incl	tioned uding over)	Anticipa	ted Achie	vement	till 31st M	%age Achievements		D	
Activity	Phy. Fin.		Actual expenditure upto December 2007		Expenditure upto 31.3.2008		Spillover	%age upto December 2007	%age upto March 2008	Remari
nterventions for WSN (IED)	493	5.92	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	
ivil Works								#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
⊰RC		28.80	0	0.00	0	6.90	21.90	0.00	23.96	
RC		119.40	0	0.00	0	5.80	113.60	0.00	4.86	
'rimary School (new)		125.58	0	0.00	4	15.40	110.18	0.00	12.26	
Jpper Primary (new)		0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.18			
Building Less (UP)		0.18	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Additional Class Room		236.26	0	0.00	14	27.55	208.71	0.00	11.66	
			0	0.00	1	<u> </u>	1			
oilet/Urinals Frinking Water		20.00				0.20	19.80	0.00	1.00	
acility		24.02	0	0.00	0		24.02	0.00	0.00	
3oundary Wall		80.51	0	0.00	0	2.73	77.78	0.00	3.39	L
eparation Wall		0.00	0	0.00	0			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Electrification		22.00	0	0.00	0		22.00	0.00	0.00	
lead Master's Room		58.20	0	0.00	0		58.20	0.00	0.00	
hild Friendly			0	0.00	0		5.75		0.00	
lements		5.75						0.00	0.00	
Citchen Shed Cooms for Monastic		98.00	0	0.00	0		98.00	0.00	0.00	
ichool_		90.30	0	0.00	0 -	13.80	76.5	0.00	15.28	
CRs for earthquake ffected schools		0.90	0	0.00	0			0.00	0.00	
OTAL CIVIL VORKS	0.00	909.90	0.00	63.19	19.00	73.28	836.62	6.94	8.05	
nnovative Activity									40.00	
ECCE		128.00			101	62.76		0.00	49.03	
Firls Education		60.00 12.00				56.80		0.00	94.67	
Others Sub Total	0	200.00	0.00	100.89	101	119.56		50.45	59.78	
Feacher Salary	377	498.72	185	176.45	339	312.18	†	35.38	62.60	
Intenance Grant	1036	51.80	100	0.16				0.31	0.00	
1anagement & MIS		136.10		119.71		84.93		87.96	62.40	
(LE		1.90					1.90	0.00	0.00	
chool Grant	1450	29.00		13.78	694	17.50		47.52	60.34	<u></u>
<b>\esearch Evaluation</b>	782	10.95		0.25		0.25		2.28	2.28	<u> </u>
ommunity Training	4203	2.94	ļ	4.97				169.05	0.00	<del></del>
Total of SSA (District)		2302.79		641.58		836.47	838.52	27.86	36.32	

The Project reported an expenditure of Rs.641.58 lakhs upto December 2007 which is about 25% of the total allocation and has reported an expenditure of Rs.836.47 lakhs which is 36.33% upto 31<sup>st</sup> March 2008. The spillover claimed is Rs.838.52 lakhs which is 36.28%

Status of Release of funds by GOI / GOS share and expenditure incurred yearwise upto 2006-2007 is as under:

(Rs. in lakhs)

Year AWP&B		Central	State			Evnanditura	
1 car	AWI&B	share	share	GOI	State	Total	Expenditure
2001-02	146.22	124.29	21.93	62.00	11.00	73.00	73.00
2002-03	566.86	425.14	141.72	425.14	75.00	500.14	309.93
2003-04	1096.60	822.45	274.15	269.73	148.45	418.18	618.04
2004-05	1600.68	1200.41	400.27	600.25	200.00	800.25	708.87
2005-06	1989.87	1492.40	497.47	1000.25	100.00	1100.25	961.21
2006-07	2439.10	2195.19	243.91	862.29	243.00	1105.29	836.12

The backlog has been made good during 2007-2008.

#### **FOR THE YEAR 2007-08**

(Rs. in lakhs)

1.	Plan outlay .	2302.79
2.	Opening balance	164.73
3.	Funds released	765.14
	(a) $GOI = 402.14$	
	(b) $GOS = 363.00$	
4.	Total funds available	929.87
5.	Total expenditure upto 31.3.2008	836.47
6.	Balance available	93.40
	%agc of expenditure w.r. to funds available	90%

Information on maintaining level of expenditure on Elementary Education by GO Sikkim (Rs. in lakhs)

Year	Budget Estimates/ Actual
1999-2000	1892.08
2000-2001	2114.20

2001-2002	3183.30 (BE)
2002-2003	2735.12
2003-2004	2634.03
2004-2005	3044.88
2005-2006	3276.35
2006-2007	3127.45 (RE)
2007-2008	9935.00 (BE)
2008-2009	6288.00 (BE)

The State is thus maintaining 1999-2000 level of expenditure on Elementary Education. The State has provided 300 lakhs as State share for SSA for the year 2008-09 in the State Budget.

# Proposals & Recommendations for current year: 2008-09:

(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Major Area of Interventions	Proposal for Recommendation against fresh proposals Re		Total Recommendation	Remark/ conditionaliies			
		Phy.	Fin.	Spillover	Phy.	Fin.	(FIN)	
1	New Schools							
1.01	Up gradation of EGS to PS			11		11		
1.02	PS							
1.03	UPS	1		1		1		
2	New Teacher's Salary						0.00	
2.01	Primary Teacher (Regular)	22	29.04		22	24.20	24.20	Recommended for 10 month
2.02	Upper Primary Teacher (Regular)	2	3.36		2	2.80	2.80	Recommended for 10 month
2.03	Upper Primary HMS	1	2.04		1	1.70	1.70	Recommended for 10 month
	Additional Teachers PTR					28.70	28.70	
2.05	New Additional Teacher PS (Language)	42	55.44			0.00	0.00	Not recommended
2.06	New Additional Teacher UPS (Language)	18	23.76			0.00	0.00	Not recommended
2.07	New Additional Teacher UPS (Regular)	14	26.04			0.00	0.00	Not recommended
2.08	New Additional UPS (Language)	10	18.60			0.00	0.00	Not recommended
2.09	School peon	32	28.80			0.00	0.00	Not recommended
	Total		187.08			0.00	0.00	
	Teacher Salary (Recurring)							
	Primary Teachers (Regular)	92	132.48		92	132.48	132.48	
2.11	UP Teachers (Regular)	87	161.82		87	161.82	161.82	
	UP Teacher (HMS)	33	67.32		33	67.32	67.32	
2.13	Salary of MSTS	158	151.68		158	151.68	151.68	
	Total	370	513.30	0	370	513.30	513,30	

S.No.	Major Area of Interventions	Proposal for fresh allocation		Recommendation against proposals			Total Recommendation	Remark/ conditionaliies
		Phy.	Fin.	Spillover	Phy.	Fin.	(FIN)	
	Total (new Teacher + Teachers Salary	511	700.38			542.00	542.00	
3	Teacher Grant	5829	29.15		5829	29.15	29.15	
4	BRC	24	67.50		9	19.80	19.80	15 BRCs not recommended.
5	CRC	131	253.62		131	253.62	253.62	13 Bres not recommended.
6	Teachers Training					200.02	200.02	
6.01	In-service Training	1200	18.00		1200	18.00	18.00	20 days In-service training
6.02	Induction Training	388	11.64		388	11.64	11.64	30 days induction training
	Refresher course -		11.01			11.01	11.04	
6.03	untrained teachers	641	38.46		641	38.46	38.46	60 days
6.04	Others	182	1.82		182	0.91	0.91	Recommended for 5 days
	Sub Total	2411	69.92		2411	69.01	69.01	
7	Interventions for OOSC						0.00	
7.01	EGS Centre (P)						0.00	
7.02	EGS Centre (UP)						0.00	
7.03	Residential Bridge Course						0.00	
7.04	AIE centres & Others	1920	29.20		1920	29	29.20	
	Sub Total		29.20	0	1920	29.20	29.20	
8					•			.@250 per child
	Remedial Teaching Free Text Book	2500	24.50		2500	6.25	6.25	
	Interventions for CWSN	22146	55.37					Not recommended
10	(IED)	815	9.78		815	9.78	9.78	<u>.@1200</u> per child.
11	Civil Works	013	7.10		013	7.70	0.00	. <u></u>
11.01				21.90			0.00	
11.02				113.60				
	ene			113.00				New works not
11.03	Primary School (new)		6.00	110.18		0.00	110.18	recommended
11.04	Upper Primary (new)						0.00	
	Building less			0.18			0.00	
	Additional Class Room			208.71			208.71	
	Toilet/Urinals			19.80			19.80	
11 00	Drinking Water	20	3.00	24.02			24.02	New works not recommended
	Boundary wall	20	3.00	77.78			77.78	recommended
	Electrification			22.00			22.00	
	Child friendly			5.75			5.75	
	Kitchen shed			<del></del>	<u>:</u>			
				98.00	· · ·		98.00	
	H. Master's room			58.20			58.20	Recommended for one
	Major Repairs	20	43.00		2	5.00	5.00	district only
	Room for monastic school	_		76.50				<u> </u>
11.16	Furniture for UPS	3957	19.79	<u> </u>	3957	19.79	19.79	
	Sub Total of Civil Works	3997	71.79	836.62		24.79	861.41	
12	TLE	12	1.60	1.90	12	1.60	3.50	
13	Management & MIS	4	84.00	-	-	82.40	82.40	
13.1	Learning Enhancement	0	0			1.60	1.60	

S.No.	Major Area of Interventions	fi	osal for resh cation	Recommendation against proposals			Total Recommendation	Remark/ conditionalijes
		Phy.	Fin.	Spillover	Phy.	Fin.	(FIN)	
	Total	7994	84.00	0	0	84.00	84.00	Within 6%
14	Maintenance Grant		75.20		861	75.20	75.20	
15	Innovations							
15.01	ECCE		131.76			128.00	128.00	
15.02	Girls Education		9.43			9.43	9.43	
15:03	SC / ST		67.60			67.60	67.60	
15.04	Computer Education				•		0.00	
	Furniture for New Monastic School	23	11.50			11.50	11.50	
15.06	Computer Aided learning	70	199.90			175.00	175.00	
15.07	Others (MINORITY)		12.00			0.00	0.00	
	Sub Total	93	432.19	0.00	0	391.68	391.68	
16	Research & Evaluation	782	10.17	0.00	782	10.17	10.17	
17	Community Training	4014	4.94		4014	2.41	2.41	As per norms
18	School Grant	1143	62.85		1143	62.85	19.95	
	Total of SSA (District)		1982.14	838.52		1611.50	2450.02	
19	State Component (SPO)							
19.1	Management cost		62.87			62.87	62.87	Within 6%
19.2	REMS		2.40	•			0.00	
19.3	SIEMAT						0.00	
	State total of SSA		65.27			62.87	62.87	
20	NPEGEL						0.00	
21	KGBV						0.00	
	GRAND TOTAL (SSA+NPEGEL+KGBV)		2047.41	838.52	0.00	1674.37	2512.89	

No of small districts getting Rs.20 lakhs under Management cost - NIL

## Recommended Budget 2008-2009:

(Rs. in lakhs)

S. No.	Head	T	otal Propos	als	Total Recommended Amount			
	Ī	Spill Over	Fresh	Total	Spill Over	Fresh	Total	
1.	SSA	838.52	2047.41	2885.93	838.52	1674.37	2512.89	
	Total	838.52	2047.41	2885.93	838.52	1674.37	2512.89	

#### Information on quality interventions

(Rs. in lakhs)

S. No.	Activity wise Head	Financial Recommendation
1	Teacher Salary	542.00
2	Teacher Grant	29.15
3	BRC	19.80
4	CRC	253.62
5	Teachers Training	69.01
6	Remedial Teaching	6.25
7	Free Text Books	0
8	School Grant	62.85
9	REMS	10.17
10	Innovation actiites	391.68
11	TLE for new schools	1.60
	Total	1386.13
	% of Total Outlay	55.16%

#### **Observations:**

The State is having 6165 teachers in Primary and 2192 teachers in Upper Primary Schools in position against the requirement of 2159 teachers in Primary and 785 teachers in Upper Primary. Since the State is maintaining very high number of teachers in relation to the enrolled students, the state should meet its requirement of New Teachers for New Schools by way of redeployment.

#### (II) Issues

#### Civil Works

1. There has incurred following expenditures against allocation for the last two years.

Financial year	Expenditure	Allocation	spill over
2006-07	Rs.218.45 lakhs	Rs.1062.35 lakhs	Rs.843.90 lakhs
2007-08	Rs.73.28 lakhs	Rs.909.90 lakhs	Rs.836.62 lakhs

There has been hardly any progress in civil works in last two years and now need to accelerate the progress.

2. The spill over as worked out in the financial progress is Rs.836.62 lakhs where as in the spill over detail of components it is Rs.954.04 lakhs. State is required to reconcile the two spill over. The physical data of component is also required to be reconciled.

#### Major issues related to Quality Improvement:

- Overall plan for Quality Improvement needs substantial improvement as the State Plan is yet to articulate its vision and goal setting for achieving the UEE goals in a comprehensive manner.
- At present there are no specific personnel in the State Team designated to oversee quality-related interventions in a coordinated manner, thus achievement and planning related to quality has been very poor. The Appraisal Team strongly recommends that the State must constitute a State Core Group for Quality with at least 2-3 persons at the State level and at least one person at the District level to coordinate quality-related interventions. This group can include State academics and officials from SIE and DIET, as well as experts from NCERT, TSG, and other such bodies. This is absolutely necessary to ensure effective and planned utilization of funding dedicated to quality improvement.
- It is a matter of serious concern that the State has not yet managed to finalise its performance benchmarks for teachers and trainers, and has not yet operationalised its Quality Monitoring Formats. This is must be done as a priority to ensure quality monitoring.
- Learning achievement in Sikkim according to NCERT and DISE reports are considerably low compared to the National mean results. The state must take focused step towards improving learning levels of children in the state.
- For textbook distribution, the State has proposed for a budget of Rs. 250 per child at the Upper Primary level in order to supplement the State government's contribution, which at present the state subsidizes 50% of textbook costs. PAB may like to discuss this proposal.
- Despite its low state PTR of 1:17, the State has proposed for 53 additional teachers, including 25 for newly upgraded schools and 28 for language teachers.
- The state team has indicated that the number of Educational Blocks in the State has increased to 24, and thus it has proposed that the number of BRCs in the state be increased as well from 9 to 24.

#### **MIS**

State does not have information on the number of habitations covered under UPS. Habitations shown as covered are actually those habitations where upper primary schools are located.

#### REMS

State has not provided information on the detail status of fund expenditure sanctioned under REMS during 2007-08

#### **Planning**

The plan formulation exercise in the state is centralised as state has not formed any planning team at the cluster level.

## (IV) Comments on States commitments and implementation

S. No	Commitments	Action taken	Comments
1.	100 % access to primary schooling by 2007 - 08	No access less habitation left i.e; 100% access has been provided.	Commitment fulfilled
2	Reduction of Out of school children to zero by 2007 - 09 with a mandate to ensure universal enrolment during 2007 - 08	Thee state has reduced the number of OOSC to 1.66% against the total child population. Out of which data for the enrolled children for the month of Feb. /march 2008 being awaited.	Partially fulfilled.
3	Reduction of Drop out rate to zero at primary as well as upper primary levels	Drop out in the state has been reduced approximately by 50% against the previous years report. As such as on 31/12/	Partially fulfilled.
4	Elimination of single teacher schools and schools without blackboard during 2007 – 08.	No single teacher school left.	Commitment fulfilled
5	Completion of all spill over civil works by June 2007	Civil works could not be completed during 2007 - 08 due to paucity of funds.	Not fulfilled.
6	Provision of toilet and drinking water to all schools in convergence with the Total Sanitation Campaign and Drinking Water Mission schemes of the Ministry of Rural Development, GOI.	Convergence with line department has been initiated.	Partially fulfilled.
7	Quarterly pupil evaluation outcomes to be measured and reported in NCERT Quarterly Monitoring Tools by July 2007.	to field functionaries for filling up of Quarterly Monitoring Formats which will be completed during the current financial year.	=
8	Study on Teacher absenteeism to be completed by 1:5 <sup>th</sup> January 2008 along the lines of GoI's Terms and Reference. This will be necessary for the next years AWP&B clearance.	This will be taken up during this current academic session.	Not fulfilled.
9	The state should collect information on the educational indicators of minorities	NA	Not fulfilled.
10	The state should make robust	This could not be taken up	Not fulfilled.

	arrangement for capacity building of the officers and field level functionaries	due to fund constraint.	
11	Teacher accountability system and mechanism to be reexamined and redesigned to ensure  a) increments and promotions are contingent on  i) discernable and measured improvement in learning outcomes of school children in their charge  ii) use of better classroom practices which	It is already initiated by the administrative section of the department.  There is no discrimination against the girl child in nor is there caste community disparity existing in the whole state.  It's a regular feature being implemented by state.	Partially fulfilled
	encourage child participation, are girl child friendly, remove caste/ community basis I classrooms and which lead to overall increases in class learning achievement scores,  b) teacher award for teachers who conduct regular inschool remedial teaching with weaker students and enhance overall class achievement	The constitution of SMC has Already been notified which is re constituted every 2/3 years and inter-alia looks after/monitors/ teachers attendance /interacts with subjects teachers /participates in PTAs and also looks in to academic activities.	
	c) Village education Committee /PTAs/SDMCs etc or equivalent bodies bye law/rules to be amended to include specific classes to monitor teacher attendance; assessment of parental satisfaction with learning levels of children with respect to class teacher/ subject teacher; frequency of parent		
	teacher meets and sharing of childrens' report card, class works, home work with parents; school functions held		

in which community/ parents		
participated; occasions when		
parents/ local community		
members/ local womens'		
groups must assist the school		
in distribution of text books,		
scholarships and other		
incentive school opening day		
for the academic session and		
after holiday breaks for		
winter/ festival season etc;		
and a system for recording		
teacher attendance with inputs	·	
from the community and the		
Block/ district education		
officials.		

#### 2. Introduction & Planning process

#### Introduction

Desk appraisal of Sikkim Annual Work Plan and Budget for 2008-09 (AWP& B 2008-09) was done at TSG in the fourth week of April 2008. A Team of TSG consultants was consistituted constituted for appraising the Annual State Component Plan and District Plans of Sikkim for SSA, NPEGEL and KGBV during 2008 – 09. An appraisal team consisting of the following members undertook the desk appraisal of the plans:

- 1. Sh. Ved Prakash, (Costing) TSG, Ed. CIL, New Delhi
- 2. Sh. S.C Girotra TSG, Ed. CIL, New Delhi
- 3. Dr. Anamika Mehta, TSG, Ed. CIL, New Delhi
- 4. Ms. Andrade Suzana, TSG, Ed. CIL, New Delhi
- 5. Sh. Altab Khan TSG, Ed. CIL, New Delhi and
- 6. Sh. Adil Rasheed ,TSG, Ed. CIL, New Delhi

#### Planning process

Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan assigns importance to the preparatory and participatory activities at grassroot level for effective implementation. In Sikkim, micro level planning and powers have been delegated to the Panchayat Raj institutions along with the school managing committee (SMC) in order to make implementation at the habitation level.

In the context of Sikkim, SMC performed at the grassroot level in place of Village Education Committee. It has representatives from Panchayat raj institutions, Zila panchayat and Head masters/mistress including teachers and NGOs comprising 8-10 members. The district project office releases the school grant through BRC and deposited in the joint account of SMC. Hence, SMC plays a vital role in the planning process at the habitation level. The Village Education Register (VER) has been prepared on the basis of Household Survey done at the cluster level

with the active participation of SMC. The planning team at the habitation level constituted members of SMC, representatives from CRC and BRC levels including women representatives. There has been transparency in all the expenditures made at the habitation level showing/displaying on the board to ensure proper transparency. The final plan proposals of the village are submitted to the cluster and subsequently the planning team of the cluster assessed and analysed the plans of the village. All the proposals and plans of the village and cluster are tabulated at the Block levels and the Block planning team prepared Block Plan. The Block planning team constituted the following members:

- Principal of Sr. Sec. School.
- Head master of Sec. School.
- Deputy Director, HRDD.
- One Zila Panchayat member.
- One Panchayat member.
- Asst. Director, HRDD.
- And BRC Coordinator.

After the preparation of the Block plan it is placed before the Block level Education committee. Once the Block plan is completed, it is sent to the District level planning team. The District level planning personnel's after an assessment prepare the district plan based on the proposals and requirements of the blocks. And then the whole plans of the districts are submitted to the State. The State Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWP&B) adopted the bottom up approach envisaged by the 9 (nine) BRCs and 13 (thirteen) CRCs, which are extended to the whole state. At State level, first participatory exercise was initiated in the month of September. State planning team constituted the following members:

- 1. Joint Director PME HRDD
- 2. Joint Director SSA HRDD
- 3. DY Director SSA HRDD
- 4. DY Director PME HRDD
- 5. Principal DIET, HRDD

Two training programmes on AWP&B and capacity building for /BRC/CRC at the district level organised at all the four districts in the month of 'Dec'07 and one more capacity building programme for BRCs/CRCs at the state level was organised during 'Fev'08. The District project office also organised workshops on educational indicators, civil works and community mobilisation for SMC, CRCs, and BRCs personnel's in the month of 'Sept/Oct'07.

It is reported by the state team that household survey was not done last year 2007-08 either at the district level, blocks and cluster levels. After rigorous planning exercises in different levels of the district, state level planning team consolidated the district plans and their exercises and formulated the plans at the state level. State level planning team constitute members from HRDD, SCERT, DIET, Engineering Cell, Govt. College and officers from Education Department and SPO.

As per the state detailed information's and plans in regard to planning process their plans identified the local needs and prioritized various activities. Both the districts and state plans reflect that the entire planning was done through a consultative process. However, there has been lack of proper planning process and planning team at cluster level that state didn't reveal in the

state component plan. Since all the districts are special focus districts (SFD) category 'C' accordingly state plan did not reflect any special planning, interventions and strategies in this AWP&B 2008-09. Appraisal Team suggested that state should furnish detail information's in regard to planning and participatory process at the cluster level and also to develop systematic strategies for quality improvement and achievement level. State also requires conducting fresh survey to ensure quality achievement and subsequently for coverage of minority children in the minority concentrated district, blocks and clusters.

#### 3. Educational Indicators

The Educational indicators indicate the status of elementary education at both the level which include enrolment, GER, NER, Dropout Rate, Completion rate, Achievement and Repetition Rate, that are as given below.

#### A. ENROLMENT:

#### **Primary**

District		2006-07		2007-08			
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
East	18291	18687	36978	18709	18926	37635	
West	10503	10289	20792	10575	10462	21037	
North	3060	2816	5876	3248	3006	6254	
South	11039	10891	21930	11296	10876	22172	
Total	42893	42683	85576	43828	43270	87098	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### **Upper Primary**

District	2006-07			2007-08			
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
East	7255	8092	15238	7645	9024	16669	
West	3238	3371	. 6609	3651	3751	7402	
North	875	1049	1924	967	1081	2048	
South	3344	3975	7319	3668	4359	8027	
Total	14712	16487	31090	15931	18215	34146	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### B. GER

#### Primary

District		2006-07		2007-08			
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
East	115.00	121.00	118.00	118.90	121.58	120.23	
West	103.00	104.00	104.00	98.97	99.75	99.36	
North	109.17	100.04	104.6	115.88	106.79	111.32	
South	140	148	144	143.28	148.15	145.63	
Total	116.79	118.26	117.65	119.02	120.13	119.26	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

## **Upper Primary**

		2006-07		2007-08			
District	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
East	100.80	100.30	100.60	97.13	124.26	110.15	
West	106.00	107.00	106.00	95.15	100.96	98.07	
North	64.30	85.22	74.23	71.05	87.81	79.01	
South	54.96	65.88	60.4	60.29	72.24	66.35	
Total	81.52	89.6	85.31	80.90	96.14	88.36	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### C. NER

## Primary

District		2006-07			2007-08	
District	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total
East	79.50	78.50	79.00	91.21	93.44	92.32
West	96.00	96.50	96.25	98.97	99.75	99.36
North	64.4	60.07	62.29	84.20	78.33	81.26
South	97.55	98.02	97.78	103.12	105.48	104.25
Total	84.36	83.27	83.83	94.37	94.25	94.31

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

## **Upper Primary**

District		2006-07			2007-08	
District	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total
East	63.50	60.50	62.40	45.32	58.99	51.88
West	93.00	94.00	93.50	96.00	92.00	65.73
North	36.66	45.57	40.90	88.39	96.10	92.05
South	35.40	36.99	36.19	29.16	36.58	32.85
Total	57.14	59.27	58.25	64.72	70.92	60.62

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

## D. Out of School Children (6-14 years)

District		2006-07		2007-08			
District	6-10+	11-13+	6-14	6-10+	11-13+	6-14	
East	313	829	1142	172	539	711	
West	381	303	684	136	150	286	
North	165	176	341	134	145	279	
South	348	659	. 1007	74	489	563	
Total	1207	1997	3204	516	1323	1839	

## E. Completion rate

## Primary

S. No.	District		2006-07		2007-08			
	Name	Boy	Girl	Total	Boy	Girl	Total	
1	East	93.00	94.80	93.90	95.00	96.50	95.75	
2	West	80.00	83.00.	81.50	85.00	88.00	86.50	
3	North	69.81	73.17	71.49	78.11	76.20	77.15	
4	South	75.00	74.00	74.50	73.50	75.18	74.34	
	State	79.40	81.00	80.20	83.30	84.00	83.65	

Source: DISE 2007

## **Upper Primary**

S.	District Name		2006-07		2007-08			
No.	District Name	Boy	Girl	Total	Boy	Girl	Total	
1	East	59.50	57.20	58.35	68.00	69.00	68.50	
2	West	76.00	81.00	78.50	68.50	70.50	69.50	
3	North	83.25	78.24	80.74	84.20	82.30	83.25	
4	South	69.00	65.00	67.00	66.54	60.95	63.74	
	State	72.00	70.30	71.15	72.00	71.00	71.50	

## F. Drop out Rate

			2006-07		2007-08			
District	School	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
	Primary	9.80	10.10	9.95	7.10	7.90	7.50	
East	Up. Pry	7.40	6.30	6.85	7.30	6.20	6.70	
	Primary	12.20	11.30	11.75	3.20	2.40	2.57	
West	Up. Pry	14.40	11.20	12.80	2.65	3.02	2.83	
	Primary	2.52	3.16	2.81	2.41	2.96	2.69	
North	Up. Pry	12.29	6.58	9.15	9.20	5.14	7.17	
	Pry	1.13	1.00	1.00	1.01	0.92	0.97	
South	Up. Pry	5.90	3.88	4.89	3.24	3.10	3.17	
	Primary	6.41	6.39	6.38	3.43	3.55	3.43	
State	Up. Pry	10.00	6.97	8.42	5.59	4.36	4.96	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 &2008-09

#### G. Transition rate

S. No.	District Name	2006-07			2007-08			
		Boy	Girl	Total	Boy	Girl	Total	
1	East	93.95	93.00	93.48	94.00	98.00	96.00	
2	West	72.20	70.20	71.20	82.20	75.20	79.20	
3	North	61.19	62.56-	61.92	61.19	62.56	61.92	
4	South	75.00	74.00	74.50	73.00	74.00	74.40	
	State	75.58	74.94	75.27	90.10	92.94	91.91	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### H. Repetition Rate

(6-10+ age group)

Sl.	Name of		2007-08	}	2008-09			
No.	District	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
1	East	8	9	17	8.2	8.8	17.00	
2	West	10.6	12.4	23	11.9	11.1	23.00	
3	North	14.5	15.5	.30	11.2	10.2	21.4	
4	South	9.6	11.8	21.4	7.9	8.95	16.85	
	Total	10.68	12.2	22.85	9.8	9.77	19.56	

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### (11-13+ age group)

Sl.	Name of		2007-08			2008-09			
No.	District	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total		
1	East	8.51	9.49	18.00	9.32	8.68	18.00		
2	West	16.44	14.31	30.75	9.58	10.93	20.51		
3	North	15.74	15.26	31.00	14.71	15.50	30.21		
4	South	14.36	15.85	30.21	7.17	8.00	15.17		
	Total	13.76	13.73	27.49	10.20	10.77	20.97		

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### I. Achievement Level

S. No.	District		2006-07			2007-08			
	Name	Boy	Girl	Total	Boy	Girl	Total		
1	East	93.95	93.00	93.47	95.00	96.00	95.95		
2	West	72.20	70.20	71.20	85.00	88.00	86.50		
3	North	73.60	72.10	72.85	79.50	81.50	80.50		
4	South	75.00	74.00	74.50	74.00	75.00	74.50		
<del></del>	State	78.68	77.35	78.01	83.37	85.13	84.36		

Source District Plan, 2007-08 & 2008-09

#### Comments

As seen in the above table, **overall enrolment** increased by 1522 from 2006-07 to 2007-08 at the primary level. Girls enrolment also increased marginally by 587 from 2006-07 to 2007-08. Similarly, at the upper primary level enrolment increased by 3056 from 2006-07 to 2007-08. As observed enrolment increased more at the upper primary than the primary level. **GER** increased from 117.65 to 119.26 from 2006-07 to 2007-08. **District east shows highest GER** among other three districts in the year 2006-07 at the primary level. Similarly, district south has the highest GER i.e. 145.63 in the year 2007-08 at the primary level. At the upper primary level GER increased from 85.31 to 88.36 from 2006-07 to 2007-08. **NER** at the primary level increased from 83.83 to 94.31 from 2006-07 to 2007-08. Similarly, at the upper primary level NER also increased from 58.25 to 60.62 from 2006-07 to 2007-08. **Completion rate** also increased from 80.20 to 83.65 from 2006-07 to 2007-08 at the primary level and from 71.15 to 71.50 at the

upper primary level. **Dropout rate** decreased from 8.42 to 4.96 from 2006-07 to 2007-08 at the upper primary level. Girls dropout rate also decreased from 6.97 to 4.36 from 2006-07 to 2007-08 at the upper primary level. Dropout at the primary level decreased from 6.38 to 3.43. **District East** shows highest dropout rate in the year 2007-08 at the primary level. **Repetition rate at the primary level** decreased from 22.85 to 19.56 as reported by the state in the current year 2008-09. **84.36% is the overall achievement level** of the state in the year 2007-08.

#### 4. Components wise Appraisal:

#### (I) Access

#### • State policy on opening of new schools

**Primary Schools:** The State has a policy to open a primary school in every habitation within 1.5 KM radius of distance. However, the State can also open the school in the habitation which is isolated due to natural barriers even if it is not within 1.5 Km radius of distance as mentioned above.

Upper primary Schools: State has a policy to provide upper primary schools in unserved habitations within a 3 k.m radius. Habitations with more than three primary schools and without an upper primary school, one centrally located primary school is upgraded to upper primary school.

• Availability of Schooling facilities: The schooling in the state of Sikkim is of three types i.e. Government, private and monastic. The monastic schools were providing only the religious education before the inception of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. Now, each monastic school is provided two general teachers to teach English, Mathematics and Science.

#### **Information about Schools**

Category	Govt.	Aided	Private	Total
Primary	781	79	299	1159
Upper Primary	289	4	49	342

Last year state has reported 782 primary schools. However state has reported 781 primary schools in 2089-09. State has informed that One school in south district, block Namchi, village Padam dara has been closed due to low enrollment. There were only 7 children enrolled in the school. These children have been adjusted in Gombadara primary school.

#### Habitation and Access (Primary)

District	Total No. of Habitations	Habitations covered by		Habitations without Primary	Habitations Eligible for Primary Schools as
		Primary School	EGS	Schools/EGS	per State norms
East	282	269	13	0	0
West	230	227	03	0	03
North	101	101	0	0	0
South	253	232	21	0	8
State	866	829	37	0	11

#### A. Primary

The State has opened 44 primary schools out of total 46 primary schools sanctioned till 2007-08.

Status of primary schools sanctioned and opened till 31<sup>st</sup> March 2008:

District	Sanctioned till AWP&B 2007-08	Opened till 31st March 2008	Gap
East	10	10	00
West	13	13	00
North	14	14	00
South	9	7	02
State	46	44	02

The gaps of opening of 2 schools out of forty six are in South District. The non-achievement of this is due to the complications in the acquisition of land for the construction of school building.

All eligible habitations are provided by primary schools. 11 EGS centres were upgraded into primary schools in 2007-08. But these centres could not be upgraded due to changed funding pattern between centre and state. These centres are once again proposed for upgradation.

#### Status of EGS

There are 37 EGS centres in the state covering an equal number of habitations for more than two years. All the EGS centres are running for more than two years.

#### Status of EGS completed/ completing two years

No. of EGS sanctioned till 2007-08	Total No. of EGS functioning	No of EGS completed 2 years	No. of EGS completing 2 years in 2008 -09
61	37	37	0

#### **Upgradation of EGS**

No. of EGS	No. of EGS functioning			No.	No. of	Reason for	No. of
sanctioned till 2007 - 08	In the habitation eligible for PS	In the habitation not eligible for PS	Total	proposed for up gradation	EGS to be continued	not proposing form the balance	EGS to be closed
61	11	26	37	11	26	Less enrolment	0 (this year)

#### A district-wise detail of EGS functioning is given below.

District	EGS Centres running for more that two years	Enrollment in	Proposed for upgradation	Remaining
East	13	184	0	13
West	03	79	3	0
South	21	827	8	13
Total	37	1090	11	26

There is no EGS centre in North district, in the remaining three districts 37 EGS centres are operational out of which 11 Centres are proposed for upgradation to primary school. Remaining 26 EGS centres are to be closed since they have completed two years and are not proposed for upgradation. State has not developed any strategy to cover children enrolled in these EGS centres.

#### **B.** Upper Primary

Habitation and Access (Upper Primary)

S. No	District	Total no. of habitations	No. of habitations having UPS facility in 3 KM	No. of habitations without UPS facility in 3 KM	No. of eligible school less habitations for UPS as per distance and		No. of Upper Primary school (Govt. & Goyt aided)	Primary and upper primary	No. of UPS eligible as per 2 : 1 ratio	Gap in UPS
1	East	282	96	0	0	270	97	2.78	135	38
2	West	230	74	0	0	239	75	3.19	120	45
3	North	101	30	0	. 0	108	31	3.48	54	23
4	South	253	84	1	1	243	85	2.86	122	37
5	State	866	284	1	. 1	860	288	2.99	430	142

Source: District

State does not have information on the number of habitations covered under UPS. Habitations shown as covered are actually those habitations where upper primary schools are located.

Status on opening of new upper primary schools sanctioned till 2007-08 under SSA

A total of 40 upper primary schools were sanctioned to the state and all 40 schools have been upgraded and opened.

Ratio of primary to upper primary school/sections is 2.99:1. Every district has a short fall with regard to ratio of PS to UPS. However state is not proposing any new upper primary school because all habitations are covered. As per the information provided by the state team there are no habitations eligible for Upper Primary School.

A detailed mapping of habitations and availability of schooling facilities have not been done in the state till date. The state should under take a thorough mapping exercise of habitations and availability of schooling facilities.

#### Proposal

Upgradation of 11 EGS centres into Primary schools.

Upgradation of one Primary School to Upper Primary School.

Recommendation:- The proposal is recommended.

#### C. Interventions for out of school children

#### Performance during 2008-09

State had conducted a household survey in June 2006 which reported 10402 out of school children in the age group of 6-14 years. Since then state has not conducted any household survey or upgradation of data of out of school children. State is just deducting the number of covered children from the number reported in the household survey.

Out of school children

Age in years	In 2007-08		In 20	008-09
	В	G	В	G
6-10	652	555	263	253
11-14	1112	885	754	569
Sub Total	1764	1440	1017	822
Total	3204		18	339

State has reduced 1365 out of school children. It is a reduction of 42% of the total out of school children in 2007-08. All the children have been directly enrolled in regular schools without providing them any bridging input. No bridge courses were done due to paucity of funds coupled by lack of expertise.

**Progress & Mainstreaming** 

Children enrolled in AI/bridge courses in 2007-08	Children proposed to be enrolled in AI/bridge courses in 2008-09	Children mainstreamed till 2007-08	Children proposed to be mainstreamed in 2008-09
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

#### Status of out of school children

Age in years	Out of school children In 2008- 09		children In 2008-		nt age
			В	G	
6-10	263	253	14.30	13.70	
11-14	754	569	41.00	31.00	
Sub Total	1017 822		<b>55.30</b> .	44.70	
Total	1839		1(	00	

Children in the age group of 11-14 years are more out of school than the children in the age group of 6-10 years. More boys are out of school than girls in the age group of 6-14 years.

#### Strategy proposed

	Age group & Category of Children										
Never enrolled Drop out											
6-10 years 11-14 years			6-	10 years		11-	-14 years				
trategies	Coverage Ga	p Strategies	Coverage	Gap	Strategies	Coverage	Gap	Strategies	Coverage	Gε	
roposed	proposed	proposed	proposed		proposed	proposed		proposed	proposed		

State plan did not provide disaggregated information on the strategies proposed for covering out of school children.

s. No		No. of Out of	No. of out of school children proposed to be covered under different strategies in the Current Year							
	District	School Children as per HHS	Mainst reaming	EGS	NRBC	RBC	Madarsa/ Mukhtab	Innovation	Others	
l	East	711	200	330	100	0	10	0	71	
2	West	286	136	0	100	0	0	50	0	
3	North	279	238	0	0	0	41	0	0	
4	South	563	0	0	563	0	0	0	0	
	State	1839	574	330	763	0	51	50	71	

#### Observation.

State has not made any preparation for conducting bridge course for out of school children. Initial preparations like developing condensed course as per the required learning competencies, developing training modules for bridge course volunteers, manual for Education Volunteers etc. has not been made. State should get in touch with Assam SCERT, Guwahati or north east cell of TSG for academic and technical support it requires for conducting bridge course.

Another issue of concern that has emerged during appraisal is that state's planning for coverage of out of school children is neither need based nor is supported by the data. For example, state has planned coverage of 41 children through Madarsa Maktab in North district. However, a close scrutiny of the data and interaction with state team has revealed that there are no Madarsa

/Maktab or Muslim population in this district. These children shall now be covered through AIE centres. Similarly, children planned for coverage under EGS shall be covered through AIE centres as all EGS Centres have completed two years and are to be either upgraded into primary school or close down.

Recommendation:- The proposal is recommended with above mentioned changes.

#### (II) School Infrastructure (Civil works and Teachers)

#### A. Civil Works

#### Overview of the performance of last year and the bottlenecks, if any.

The allocation for 2007-08 including spill over was Rs.909.900 lakhs against which State incurred Rs.73.28 lakhs which is only 8% of the allocation. In fact, State has hardly done any civil works during 2007-08, leaving almost total allocation for spill over to 2008-09.

In AWP&B 2006-07 State had an allocation of Rs.1062.35 lakhs out of which Rs.218.45 lakhs were only spent during the whole year leaving a spill over of Rs.843.90 lakhs. The State has not been doing any civil works, for last two years. In the PAB meeting held on 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2007, State had committed to complete all civil works by June 2007. However the achievement during 2007-08 is almost negligible.

#### **Bottleneck**

Regarding huge spill over for 2006-07 and 2007-08, the State team informed that no funds were being ear marked for civil works. It was because State was not providing State share and the allocation by GOI was meeting bare minimum for recurring expenditure. State team informed that this year i.e 2008-09, State has sanctioned Rs.3 crores as State shares and they may be able to achieve the balance civil works.

#### **Financial Progress**

Total outlay sanctioned in	Expenditure	Total	%
2007 - 08 (including spill over)	Tentative expenditure upto 31 - 03 - 2008	Total	70
909.900	73.28	73.28	8.05%

The expenditure incurred during 2007-08

Rs.73.28 lakhs or 8%

Spill over from 2007-08

Rs.836.62 lakhs or 92%

State has hardly done any civil works during 2006-07 and 2007-08

The cumulative and AWP&B 2007-08 achievement are as under.

## Cumulative Progress as on 31st March 2008

S.No	Activities	Targets till date (units) Cumulative	Completed (Units) (Cumulative)	In Progress (Units) (Cumulative)	Allocation (In Lakhs)	Expenditure (In Lakhs)
l	BRC	9	5	2	54.00	18.90
2	CRC	98	45	6	196.00	113.00
3	New School Building	57	39	2	239.88	105.68
4	Additional Classroom	337	197	40	484.60	283.53
5	Fencing/ boundary wall	254	142	17	127.00	55.68
6	Toilet	748	567	0	149.60	31.60
7	Drinking Water	542	382	0	81.30	38.10
8	Electricity	400	116	0	47.40	34.90
9	Kitchen Shed	656	264	0	164.00	153.50
10	Evn. Friendly Activity	25	7	1	7.50	5.75
11	Rooms for monastic school	66	27	1	99.00	57.00
12	HM Room	30	1	1	45.00	43.20
	TOTAL	3222	1792	70	1695.28	940.84

Source: State Report

There is substantial difference in targets given by State and compiled by TSG in primary school, additional classrooms and allocation which need to be reconciled by the State. The allocation as shown by state is Rs.1695.28 lakhs and expenditure ending 31<sup>st</sup> March 08 is Rs.940.84 lakhs giving a spill over of Rs.754.44 lakhs.

AWP & B 2007-08 progress as on 31 March 2008

S.No	Name of Components	Fresh approval (AWP&B 2007 -08 (Physical) (Units)	Completed (Physical) (Units)	In Progress ( Physical) (Units)	Financial Outlay approved in AWP&B for 2007 -08 for Civil Works
1	BRC	0	0	0	0.00
2	CRC	0	0	0	0.00
3	New School Building	11	0	0	66.00
4	Additional Classroom	0	0	0	0.00
5	Fencing/ Boundary Wall	0	0	0	0.00
6	Toilet	0	0	0	0.00
7	Drinking Water	0	0	0	0.00

	TOTAL	11	0	0	66.00
14	Building less UP	0	0	0	0.00
13	ACR for earthquake affected schools	0	0	0	0.00
12	Headmaster's room	0	0	0	0.00
11	Rooms For monastic Schools	0	0	0	0.00
10	Evn. Friendly Activity	0	0	0	0.00
9	Kitchen Shed	0	0	0	0.00
8	Electricity	0	0	0	0.00

Source: State Report

The state was given fresh target of 11 new school building with allocation of Rs.66.00 lakhs. State has not been able to incur any expenditure during the year.

Details of spill over on 31st March 2008 for 2008 – 09

Activity	Physical		Total	Financial
	Work in progress	Works not started		spill over
BRC	2	2	4	18.9
CRC	6	47	53	113
New School Building	2 .	16	18	105.68
Additional Classroom	40	100	140	283.53
Fencing/ Boundary Wall	17	95	122	55.68
Toilet	0.	181	181	31.6
Drinking Water	0	160	160	38.1
Electricity	0	284	284	34.9
Kitchen Shed	0	392	392	153.5
Evn. Friendly Activity	1	17	18	5.75
Rooms For monastic Schools	1	38	39	57
Headmaster's room	1 .	28	29	56.4
				954.04

The spill over as per above detail works out to Rs.954.04 lakes where as the spill over shown in financial progress is Rs.836.62 lakes. These two spill over are required to be same but there appears to be some error in accounting system of the state. The two spill over as shown under financial progress and spill over should tally. The State need to reconcile the difference.

Assessment of Gap & Proposal (give Details how the State has worked out)

Total Requirement	Requirement	Sanctioned as on 01. 04 .2008	Proposed in 2008 - 09	Gap	Remarks
BRC	9	9 .	0	0	
CRC	131	98	0	33	
New School Building	61	57	4	0	
Additional Classroom	357	337	20	0	
Fencing/ Boundary Wall	782	254 .	0	528	For all Government & SSA schools
Toilet	861	748	20	113	For all Government, SSA & Monastic schools
Drinking Water	861	542	20	319	For all Government, SSA & Monastic schools
Electricity	861	400	20	461	For all Government, SSA & Monastic schools
Kitchen Shed	861	656	0	205	For all Government, SSA & Monastic schools
Evn. Friendly Activity	861	30	0	831	For all Government, SSA & Monastic schools
Rooms For monastic Schools	158	66 .	0	92	For 79 monastic Schools
Headmaster's room	97	39	0	58	For SSA established PS & upgraded UPS
ACR for earthquake affected schools	9	9	0	0	
Building less PS	1	1 .	0	0	

As per DISE 2007-08 classrooms gaps are 0 but State is showing classrooms of 20 based on district requirement. The State has not analyzed DISE 2007-08 as yet.

As the State has not been able to do any civil works for the last two consecutive years, appraisal team feel that no further fresh civil works are recommended for the AWP&B 2008-09 except Major repairs and furniture

#### **Unit Cost**

S.No	Name of component	Unit Cost approved in 2007 – 08 (In lakhs)	Unit Cost proposed for 2008 – 09 (In lakhs)
1.	BRC	6.00	8.00
2.	CRC	2.00	
3.	New School Building	4.00	6.00
4.	Additional Classroom	1.50	1.50
5.	Fencing/ Boundary Wall	, 0.50	0.50
6.	Toilet	0.20	0.20
7.	Drinking Water	0.15	0.15
8.	Electricity	0.10	0.10
9.	Kitchen Shed	0.25	0.25
10.	Evn. Friendly Activity	0.25	0.25
11.	Rooms For monastic Schools	1.50	1.50
12.	Headmaster's room	1.50	1.50
13.	ACR for earthquake affected schools	1.50	1.50
14.	Building less UP	4.00	4.00

#### B. Major repairs

#### Proposal for major repairs

Name of District	Proposal		
Name of District	Physical	Financial	
East	12	23.00	
West	6	15.00	
North	2	5.00	
South	0	0.00	
Total	20	43.00	

The district plan of East and West do not show any estimation but only amount for each repair have been mention. In case of North district estimate have been prepared properly by technical personals and can be allowed for 2008-09, although repair manual is yet to be prepared.

#### C. Furniture

#### **Proposal for Furniture**

N	Proposal					
Name of the District	No of Upper Primary Schools	No. of students	Financial			
East	96	1000	5.00			
West	74	1000	5.00			
North	30	800	4.00			
South	84	1157	5.79			
Total	284	3957	19.79			

The proposal for furniture is recommended for all the 4 district.

The appraisal team recommends the civil works as under.

Total	=	Rs.24.79	
Furniture for upper primary school	•	Rs19.79	
Major Repair for north district	. =	Rs.5.00	
Gaps in infrastructure	· =	0	

#### Convergence

As per flash Statistics Published by NUEPA for DISE 2006-07 the details are given as under:-

	Average Student-Classroom Ratio	=	15
	School having drinking water facilities	=	83.44%
	School having common Toilets	=	90.54%
A	School having Girls Toilets	=	36.22%

State has not work out any gap in drinking water, common toilets and toilet for girls in their AWP&B 2008-09 and appraisal team has to rely on NUEPA statistics. State is require having complete coordination with DDWS mission at district and State level for completing the gaps in all government school at the earliest possible and gives commitment to the PAB for the need full.

#### Third Party evaluation

For the last more than two years, State has hardly done any civil works and they need third party evaluation in case the civil works are started.

#### **School Mapping**

State is required to take up school mapping to avoid any haphazard growth however as per DISE 2006-07 there are no classrooms gaps in the State.

#### 5 days engineering training.

The State engineers need badly engineers training so that they can start civil works without further loss of time. However State is making provision for training in project management fund.

#### **Issues**

1. There has incurred following expenditures against allocation for the last two years.

Financial year	Expenditure	Allocation	spill over
2006-07	Rs.218.45 lakhs	Rs.1062.35 lakhs	Rs,843.90 lakhs
2007-08	Rs.73.28 lakhs	Rs.909.90 lakhs	Rs.836.62 lakhs

There has been hardly any progress in civil works in last two years and now need to accelerate the progress.

2. The spill over as worked out in the financial progress is Rs.836.62 lakhs where as in the spill over detail of components it is Rs.954.04 lakhs. State is required to reconcile the two spill over. The physical data of component is also required to be reconciled.

#### C. Teachers:

#### Information on Teachers (as on March end 2008)

	Sanc	tioned Po	st	1	Working		Va	acancies	
	By State	Under	Total	Ву	Under	Total	By State	Under	Total
		SSA		State	SSA			SSA	
PS	4321	98	4419	4156	88	4244	165	10	175
UPS	1497	129	1626	1465	120	1585	32	9	41

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Information on PTR

	State PTR						
>40	>40 >50 >60 >70 >80 >100						
0	0	0	0	0	0	1:16	

Requirement of teachers based on the enrolment of the current year (separately for PS and UPS)

Total requirement of Additional teachers (as per PTR of 40:1)	'Number proposed in 2008-09	Gap
0	0	0

#### (III) Quality related issues

#### Major issues related to Quality Improvement:

- Overall plan for Quality Improvement needs substantial improvement as the State Plan is yet to articulate its vision and goal setting for achieving the UEE goals in a comprehensive manner.
- At present there are no specific personnel in the State Team designated to oversee quality-related interventions in a coordinated manner, thus achievement and planning related to quality has been very poor. The Appraisal Team strongly recommends that the State must constitute a State Core Group for Quality with at least 2-3 persons at the State level and at least one person at the District level to coordinate quality-related interventions. This group can include State academics and officials from SIE and DIET, as well as experts from NCERT, TSG, and other such bodies. This is absolutely necessary to ensure effective and planned utilization of funding dedicated to quality improvement.
- It is a matter of serious concern that the State has not yet managed to finalise its performance benchmarks for teachers and trainers, and has not yet operationalised its Quality Monitoring Formats. This is must be done as a priority to ensure quality monitoring.

- Learning achievement in Sikkim according to NCERT and DISE reports are considerably low compared to the National mean results. The state must take focused step towards improving learning levels of children in the state.
- For textbook distribution, the State has proposed for a budget of Rs. 250 per child at the Upper Primary level in order to supplement the State government's contribution, which at present the state subsidizes 50% of textbook costs. PAB may like to discuss this proposal.
- Despite its low state PTR of 1:17, the State has proposed for 53 additional teachers, including 25 for newly upgraded schools and 28 for language teachers.
- The state team has indicated that the number of Educational Blocks in the State has increased to 24, and thus it has proposed that the number of BRCs in the state be increased as well from 9 to 24.

#### **Interventions related to Quality Improvement:**

The Team has attempted to look at the different factors influencing quality. They include curriculum/textbooks, teacher recruitment/rationalization, teacher education institutions and training, academic resource centers/groups, classroom practices and quality monitoring.

#### Vision of Quality Education:

As per the state plan, management of quality dimensions in school education in the state of Sikkim is an issue of major concern. As a matter of fact, the performance level of the children in the government schools of the state is not very satisfactory despite lot of efforts put forth for improvisation. The quality in education is a huge term and to be specific about different components of quality aspects is not an easy task. In fact, the quality in education demands systemic change in the state's capacity and work culture. Need-based teachers' training, time-to-time refresher course and orientations of teachers, capacity building of both administrative and monitoring officers, change in classroom processes etc. are the areas where the state is working to improve, as per the State plan.

The Appraisal Team feels that the sate has yet to define an overall vision of quality improvement that clearly articulates its understanding of quality and sets clear and specific goals, along with a set of time-bound strategies and activities to achieve these goals. At the same time it needs to be based on the state specific challenges and issues.

#### Curriculum renewal:

Curriculum renewal plays an important role in giving a direction to the type of pedagogical activities in classrooms. The present scenario with respect to the curriculum at primary and upper primary level is reflected in the following table.

Curriculum for the state has been developed by SIE, HRD Department, Government of Sikkim. The curriculum was last renewed as per NCF 2005. The medium of instruction and textbooks is English, and in addition students have the option of studying their regional language (total of 11 languages).

#### Information about Curriculum/ Syllabus

Stage	Curriculum developed by	Year of renewal	Whether Publishe d	Available with Tr. Trainers	Available with Schools/ Trs.	Based on	Plans for further renewal
	State Institute of	2007-				NCF	
Primary	Education	present	Yes ·	Yes	Yes	2005	Ongoing
Upper	State Institute of	2007-				NCF	
Primary	Education	present	3 - *	Yes	Yes	2005	

Source: AWP & B Sikkim 2008--09

#### Development of textual materials:

The following table throws light on the status of textbooks.

#### **Information about Textbooks**

Class	Textbooks developed by	Year of renewal	Year of Publication	No. of Books	Cost of total set of textbooks*	Plans for renewal
Class I	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	3	-	No
Class II	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	4	-	No
Class III	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	7	-	No
Class IV	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	8	-	No
Class V	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	8	-	No
Class VI	SIE/DIET	2006	. 2007	8	550	No
Class VII	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	8	610	No
Class VIII	SIE/DIET	2006	2007	8	650	No

Source: AWP & B, SIKKIM 2008 - 09

\* includes workbooks.

The state government provides free textbooks to all children upto Class V. These textbooks are developed by SIE and DIET, and are then printed by private publishers. For classes VI to VIII, the state government provides 50% subsidy to the price of textbooks, and the remaining cost must be borne by students. As shown in the above table, the average cost of a set of Upper Primary textbooks is Rs. 603.33.

#### Subjects and cost of books for each class

Class	No of text- books	Titles/ subjects	Cost of a set of textbook s in Rs.	Cost of a set of Work- books in Rs.	Total cost of textbooks & workbooks
Class I	3	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, EVS	-		
Class II	4	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, EVS	-		_
Class III	7	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, EVS – I & II.	-		
Class IV	8	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, EVS I & II, Hindi, GK	-		
Class V	8	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, EVS I & II, Hindi, GK	-		
Class VI	8	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, Integrated Science, Integrated Social Studies, Hindi, General Knowledge	550	22.00	572
. Class VII	8	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, Integrated Science, Integrated Social Studies, Hindi, General Knowledge	610	30.00	640
Class VIII	8	Our way to English, Nepali Saral Path, Lo ye Lo Deb, Yakthung Sab Sok, Mathematics, Integrated Science, Integrated Social Studies, Hindi, General Knowledge	650	35.00	685

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 – 09

#### Distribution of free Textbooks

Stage	Academic session begins from	Date of distribution in 2007-08	Proposed date for distribution in 2008-09
PS	Mid-February	March, 2007	March, 2008
UPS	Mid-February	· .	

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

Private Publishers send the textbooks to the State Textbook Store. The textbook section of HRD Dept sends the textbooks to the specific districts, as per their requirement. Textbooks are taken from district level by headmasters and principals.

Books were delivered in a timely manner in the last academic session, except for some difficulty faced with distribution of NCERT books for Classes IX and XII, which are only published in the month of June, due to difference in the start of the academic session.

Target, Achievement & Proposal

	Target fo	Target for 2007-08		ent during 7-08	Proposal for 2008-09		
	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	
PS	Ву	state	By state		By state		
UPS	19772 29.658		1737	2.6055	22146	55.37	

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

The state provides free textbooks to all children from class I to V. Moreover, for Upper Primary level, the state provides a subsidy of half the cost to all children, and in addition a subsidy of Rs. 150 to SC/ST and girl students.

In 2007-08 the state showed low achievement of distribution of books due to delay of funds as a result of norm changes. Thus the Rs. 150 subsidy from SSA was only provided to 1737 economically disadvantaged students, with the level of need as determined by teachers (and not to all 19772 SC/ST and girl students).

#### **Proposal**

n 2008-09, the State has proposed to provide Rs. 250 SSA subsidy to 22146 SC/ST children and girls at Upper Primary level, equaling a budget of Rs. 55.37 lakhs.

#### Recommendation

The Team does not recommend the proposed budget for textbook distribution, since textbooks are already being subsidized by the State. The State must take efforts to reduce the high cost of textbooks to make these more affordable.

#### **Teacher Recruitment**

	Sanctioned Post			7	Working			Vacancies		
	By State	Under	Total	Ву	Under	Total	By State	Under	Total	
		SSA		State	SSA			SSA		
PS	4321	98	4419	4156	88	4244	165	10	175	
UPS	1497	129	1626	1465	120	1585	32	9	41	

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

Of the schools that were sanctioned in 2006-07, 5 schools are yet to be opened, thus the teachers have not yet been recruited for these.

The procedure for recruitment of SSA teachers is the same as of regular government teachers, through advertisement and open competition including written tests and interviews. The appointment of teachers in the state is done in vacancies created due to death, retirement and resignations. These fresh appointees are given professional training like CPE for primary and B.Ed. for upper primary teachers as soon as they cross the probation period.

In order to fill the remaining vacancies in 2008-09, the state will appoint ad hoc teachers till the end of the academic session on a consolidated salary.

#### **Teacher Rationalization**

The state has not been able to take any successful steps towards teacher rationalization, due to lack of specific policies on transferring teachers.

#### Recruitment of teachers

	Sanctioned in PAB till 07-08		Recrui Marc		Honorarium		Selected by	
	Regular	Para	Regular	Para	Regular	Para	State/ Dist./ Community	
Primary	92	-	88	-	12000	-	State	
Up. Primary	120	-	111	-	15500		State	
Monastic Teachers	158	~	158	_	9000	-	State	

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

The state has 3 categories of teachers: Primary teachers, Upper Primary (graduate) teachers, and Monastic teachers (teaching in Monastic Schools).

There are no single teacher schools in the state of Sikkim. The state PTR is quite low at 1:16 at the Primary level and 1:18 at the Upper Primary level.

As per the state representatives, in rural areas due to shortage of teachers and low number of students, in a few cases one teacher is assigned students of different levels. Further steps need to be taken to ensure that teachers are equipped to properly handle multi-grade classes in these situations.

#### Information on PTR

	Number of schools in respect of PTR								
>40	>40 >50 >60 >70 >80 >100								
0	0	0	0	0	0	1:16 (Pry.)			
0	0	0	0	0	0	1:18 (UPS)			

Source: AWP & B. Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Proposal of additional teachers for 2008-09

Total requirement of Additional teachers (as per PTR of 40:1)	Number proposed in 2008-09	Gap
N/A	53	-

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

Due to its low PTR of 1:17, the state is not eligible for any additional teachers against PTR. However the state has proposed for 53 new teachers (25 for newly upgraded schools and 28 for language teachers). Of these, 22 additional teachers were proposed for 11 EGS centers being upgraded, and 3 additional teachers are proposed for upgradation of 1 PS to UPS. In addition, the state has also proposed for 18 primary and 10 upper primary teachers for teaching Regional Languages, since the state has 11 different state recognized languages. Since SSA only allows for 2 new teachers per school, these schools have not been able to offer students teaching in their mother tongue.

#### Recommendation

The Appraisal Team only supports the recommendation for 25 additional teachers against newly upgraded schools. The proposal for 28 language teachers has not been supported in the past, but PAB may like to discuss this issue.

#### **Teacher Training:**

To know about progress of teacher training in the State it is important to know the overall readiness of the different Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) in the State. The following table indicates the break up of existing TEIs in the State other than the BRCs and CRCs.

Table:

Govt. Teacher Education Institutions in Sikkim

Sl. No.	Sl. No. Institution		Course offered
1. DIET		01	Pre-Service Training (2 years)
2.	DRC		
3.	BTC		
4.	Pre Primary Teacher Training Centre		
5.	SIE	01	Refresher Courses

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Table:

#### **Annual Intake Capacity of TEIs**

SI. No.	Courses offered			Annual Intake Capacity
2 (a)	B. Ed.	Loyala College of Education (Private)	01	
(b)	B. Ed.	Harka Maya College of Education (Private)	01	
		Total Annual Intake Capacity		

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

The above two institutions are private institutions. There are no government institutions offering B.Ed. courses in the state.

#### **In-service training:**

The following table reflects the preparation of the State undertook in 2007-08 for teacher training.

#### **Preparation for Teacher Training**

Stage	7	Module for Teacher Trainers			Module for Teachers		Dui	9		Whether published	Provided to Tr. Trainers / Trs.
	20d.	30d	. 60d	20d	30d	60d	20d	30d	60d		
Pry.	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes			Yes	Yes
U Pry.	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	-	-	No	No

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Progress over view:

Training modules have been developed for Primary teachers training by SIE and DIET. In 2007-08, training modules were developed only for 20-days Primary training. Modules were not developed for 20-day Upper Primary training, or for 30-day and 60-day training due to lack of human capacity in the above institutions, as per the state representatives.

Teacher training is one of the main interventions to improve the school performance and quality education. The state has strengthened the SIE and one existing DIET to ensure more professional trainings to teachers.

The following table provides information about the progress of teacher training during 2007-08.

#### Progress of In-service Teacher Training (during 2007-08)

Stage	Duration of training	Undertaken during vacation/s or, in working days	Total number of In- service teachers	Target- No. of teachers (during 07 -08)	Teachers trained (Up to March end, 2008)	Percentage of Achievement
Primary	20 days	Vacation	4244	1342	400	30%
Upper Primary	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1585	624	0	0%

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

The above table indicates a low progress of only 30% training of primary teachers, and 0% achievement for upper primary teachers due to inability to develop training modules.

Break-up of In-service Trainings conducted during 2007-08

SL No.	Activity	Target Group	Dura- tion	Physical Target	Level
l	Content Enrichment in Science	Primary Teachers			
2	Content Enrichment in Mathematics	Primary Teachers	1		
3	Content Enrichment in EVS	Primary Teachers			
4	Content Enrichment in English	Primary Teachers	]		BRC
5	Evaluation Techniques	Primary Teachers	20 days	1342	Level

Source: AWP & B. Sikkim 2008 - 09

The following problems have been identified as some of the key challenges facing teachers that must be targeted within teacher training:

- Teachers are demotivated or disinterested;
- Inadequate knowledge among teachers about new teaching methodologies like activity oriented, guided discovery, participatory process etc. Maximum teachers are using traditional teaching methods;
- Medium of instruction is followed less;
- Inadequate skills in the teachers to develop context & subject specific TLM and make the class interesting and activity based by using those TLMs.
- Less teachers use their understanding of children's background and academic level to identify the level of supports to be provided for children;
- Teachers are concerned only on inputs; processes and outcomes are very less taken care of.
- 80% of old teachers those who has few years for retirement are reluctant in their duties and falls in unmotivated category
- Need for training of KRPs for the districts;
- Need for preparation and updating of training materials in the line of pedagogical issues identified by the districts;
- Management of time to avoid disturbance in academic activities of the schools.

#### **Induction Training: Not conducted**

#### **Progress of Induction Teacher Training (during 2007-08)**

Stage	Duration of training (detailed break up)	training recruited detailed break (up to end		Percentage of Achievement
Primary	<u>-</u>	•	0	0
Upper Primary	. <del>-</del>	. <del>-</del>	0	0

30-days Induction Training was not provided to newly recruited teachers due to inability to print training modules, as well as due to reluctance of school management to release their teachers for the full 30-days period.

To address this problem in 2008-09, training will be broken up into shorter periods with 2 phases of 15 days each. Further, it is thought that part of the hiduction training for the newly recruited teachers is to be conducted during the winter vacation to avoid the absence of teachers in the school.

#### **Training of Untrained Teachers:**

#### Progress of Training of Untrained Teachers (during 2007-08)

Stage	Total No. of Untrained teachers	Target for 60 days training	Teachers trained during 2007-08	Percentage of achievement
Primary	1022	625	0	0
Upper Primary	695	337	0	0

It is felt that the quality of education in the government schools of Sikkim can be ensured only after providing professional qualifications to the teachers. Hence, the state started providing CPE course for primary teachers and B.Ed. to graduate teachers in distance education mode from IGNOU. However the state could conduct very little training under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan due to the funding constraint. In 2007-08, no training was provided to untrained and newly recruited teachers.

#### Strategy for covering the untrained teachers in 2008-09

In 2008-09 the state will conduct 60-day training for 641 teachers in a phase-wise manner in 4 phases of 15 days each. A workshop will be held for development of modules with the help of experts from NCERT, TSG, NCTE, etc. Training will be based on NCF 2005.

From 2008-09, CPE and B.Ed training will no longer be provided by the state government under IGNOU. However candidates can enroll directly in the new IGNOU Regional Centre. The government will automatically provide 2 annual increments as incentives.

#### Proposal for 2008-09

The micro-plans of different trainings are being formulated by SIE and DIET at state level. The state has included the plan of training for teachers on the subjects cited below.

#### **Teachers Motivation and Empowerment**

District has planned to conduct motivation program for teachers after the district has conducted an 'Assessment Study on School Performance' in 2006-07. Activities will include conducting the teacher motivation camps; conceptualization on significance of teaching job and their existence; to inspire teachers to upgrade their skills of teaching; and to administer performance appraisal for primary teachers.

#### **Development and use of Teaching Learning Materials**

The teachers of government schools are rarely doing the development of subject and content specific TLMs from locally available materials. They say that the fix, structured and bulky

syllabus is one of the factors, which make them over occupied to think for competency oriented and activity based teaching learning processes. It is high time for the state to think for the training of the teachers on the development of TLMs from locally available materials to make the teaching learning process more effective and competency oriented. Further, the teachers are to be made aware about the fact that the use of TLMs in teaching learning process will reduce time consumption and the pressure of syllabi coverage. It is instructed to all the inspecting officers of the district to provide supports on the development of TLMs, its use in classroom processes and its importance in the delivery of quality education, to the teachers during their visits to the school.

#### **Classroom Processes**

The classroom processes are most important aspects to generate effective learning in children. Hence, the teachers are being instructed to make the classroom congenial for teaching learning processes by decorating the class by content related charts, pictures and models. Further, the state has incorporated the training programme for teachers to make them aware about how to make congenial classroom, design activity on the basis of content areas of textbooks and conduct activity-based teaching to develop desired competencies in child.

#### Specific Strategies & proposals for training of teachers in 2008-09:

- 1. Establishment of DIET in the district or one DIET for South and West Districts, and providing infrastructural supports to the existing DIET;
- 2. The State will constitute a State Core Group (consisting of State academics, SIE and DIET personnel, as well as experts from NCERT, TSG, etc.) to coordinate development of modules and provide support with teacher training and overall input on quality improvement.
- 3. The State Core Group will support Key Resource Persons from the State along with SIE and DIET staff to prepare training module/packages on phase-wise basis.
- 4. The State Core Group will conduct training/orientation programme for Key Resource Persons among the selected PGT Teachers fro Sr. Secondary Schools who in turn can act as Resource Persons in the subsequent teachers of primary & upper primary Teachers.
- 5. To conduct orientation/workshop programme on the subject of curricular changes as per NCF 2005 with the help of experts of SIE and Key Resource Persons.
- 6. To conduct Training Programme/orientation/Workshop on the identification and relationship, curriculum syllabus and textbooks along with Triangulation of Curriculum-Methods-Evaluations.
- 7. Workshop/training on the content /subject specific with adequate use of TLM from locally available resources and is use in curriculum transaction.
- 8. To provide training of the techniques of continuous comprehensive evaluation and preparation of good/balanced question papers, as well as awareness campaign on the necessity of Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation by SIE/DIET
- 9. To instruct the teachers to make use of students background to plan the classroom processes;
- 10. To introduce both formative and summative evaluation to evaluate students progress;
- 11. To administer Quality Monitoring Formats in all schools

The Plans for 2008 - 09 have indicated about the overall break-up of the teacher training in the State in the following way.

Proposal for Teacher Training during 2008 - 09

Sl.No	Activities/ sub-activities	Physical target	Remarks
1	In-service Teachers Training at BRC	1200	10 days @ Rs. 100
2	In- service Teachers Training at CRC	1200	10 days @ Rs. 50
3	Induction Teachers Training	388	30 days @ Rs. 100
4	Training for untrained Teacher	641	60 days @ Rs. 100
5	Training of DRG/BRG/CRG	182	5 days @ Rs. 100

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

The State Plan has also provided a consolidation of overall physical achievement of the training programmes as per the following table.

#### Overall progress of teacher training during 2007-08

SNo.	Type of training			Achie	Achievement		% of achievement		Target for 2008-05	
		Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financi:	
1.	In-service	1966	27.52	400	4.2	12%	15.26%	1200	18.00	
2.	Induction	327	6.87	0	0	0	0	388	11.64	
3.	Untrained	962	42.64	0	0	0	0	641	38.46	
4.	BRCs/CRCs	123	5.04	0	0	0	0	182	0.91	

#### **Observations:**

The above table indicates very poor progress in teacher training. The state has not been able to conduct proper training in 2007-08, due to lack of printing of modules and lack of human resource capacity. In order to address this issue, the Appraisal Team recommends that the State constitute a State Core Group to coordinate development of modules and provide support with teacher training. This group can include State academics and officials from SIE and DIET, as well as experts from NCERT, TSG, etc.

#### Recommendation

The Appraisal Team supports the amount proposed for the state for training in 2008-09, but insists that the state constitute a State Core Group to focus on quality and develop its teacher training modules at the earliest, to ensure effective utilization of the training budget over the coming year.

#### Effective utilization of grants (Teacher grant, School grant, TLE grant)

#### Overall progress of Grant Utilisation

Distribution of Grants	Pr	Progress in 2007 - 08			Proposal for 2008 - 09	
	Physic al Target	Achiev ement	Percentage of Achievement	Physical	Financia I	
a. Teacher grant @ Rs. 500/- per						
teacher						
Primary level	4188	0	0	4244	21.22	
Upper Primary level	1506	0	0	1585	7.93	
b. School grant @ Rs. 2000/-per school	•					
Primary level	874	513	59%	858	42.9	
Upper Primary level	288	181	63%	285	19.15	
c. TLE grant						
New Primary schools@ 10,000/-per	0	0	0	11	1.10	
school						
New Upper Primary schools@ 50,000/- per school	0	0	0	1	0.50	

Source: AWP & B, SSA Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Recommendation

The Team recommends the amounts for grants as proposed above.

#### Academic Support through BRCs, CRCs:

#### **Block Resource Centers:**

The following table throws light on the status of Block Resource Centers. It is seen that the State has 09 BRCs with good number of RPs who keep on visiting the schools on regular basis.

#### **Information about Block Resource Centers**

Total no. of blocks	BRCs sanctioned	BRCs functio nal	BRPs sanctione d	BRPs recruit ed	Posts to be filled	BRC mtgs. held in 2007- 08	CRC/ School visits in 2007- 08
09	09	09	09	09	00	03	Monthly

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 – 09

#### The structure of BRC in Sikkim is as follows:

Sl. No.	Manpower	Nature of duty	Salary	Remarks
1	Block Resource Center Coordinator	Full time	Under SSA	Appointment under SSA
2	LDC/Typist	Part Time	HRD Department, Government of Sikkim	Full time employee of State Government
3	Peon	Part Time	HRD Department, Government of Sikkim	Full time employee of State Government

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

To provide better quality education to all sections of the child population, Block Resource Centres at the sub-divisional level and Cluster Resource Centres at School Complex level lead by Co-ordinators have been opened in the state of Sikkim by Sarva Siksha Abhiyan.

The activities conducted by BRC are as follows:-

- 1. Monthly academic core group meeting with CRC Coordinators;
- 2. Monthly Resource teachers meeting;
- 3. Training Programme for Research teachers;
- 4. Assisting the District Project Office in compiling and analyzing field report;
- 5. School visits;
- 6. Supervision and Monitoring of Schools;
- 7. Consolidation of data submitted by cluster and submitting it to DPO.

#### Major role and functions of BRCC/ ABRCC:

- 1. To provide onsite academic support to teachers.
- 2. Supervision and inspection of Schools his/her jurisdiction.
- 3. Organizes PTA, MTA, SMC meetings
- 4. Conducts meeting of Teachers and provide necessary guidance at BRC Level.

#### Role and functions of Resource Teachers:

- 1. Resource Teachers are the members of District Resource Group and Block Resource Group.
- 2. Resource Teachers have been trained by SIE, Resource Person to perform their functions. They are used as resource persons during training sessions.

#### **Cluster Resource Center (CRC):**

#### **Information about Cluster Resource Centers**

Total no. of clusters	CRCs sanctioned	CRCs functional	CRCCs sanctioned	CRCCs in position	Posts to be filled	CRC mtgs. held in 2007-08	School visits in 2007-08
131	131	131	131	131	0	Monthly	Monthly

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

The Cluster Resource Centre is the Key Resource institution at grass root level with a CRC coordinator. CRC implements the field level activity taking the school management committees a unit. The coordinators have to supervise and monitor the schools for necessary classroom support with prepared and required Teaches Learning Material etc.

The activities performed by CRCCs are:

- a) Monthly one day meeting with school teacher of formal schools;
- b) Regular school visits;
- c) Collection of data for survey;
- d) Assisting the District Project Office in the implementation of Projects;

- e) Conducting camps to enroll students;
- f) Updating of Village Education Register;
- g) Collect Quality Monitoring Formats.

The State Project Office conducted one day workshop for Block Resource Coordinator and Cluster Resource Coordinators on 'Monitoring Formats for Quality Dimension under SSA.'

#### **Progress Overview:**

#### Training of BRC/ CRC personnel

No of days training given to BRC / CRC in 2007-08	No of days training proposed for 2008-09		
01	05		

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Capacity Building for BRC/CRC Coordinators:

The following table indicates the type of training programmes undertaken for the BRCs and CRCs over the year.

#### No. of days training given to BRC/ CRC Coordinators during 2007-08

Major Activity/Sub Activity	Participants	Type of BRC/CRC Coordinators to attend
		training
1 day training on Quality Monitoring Formats	· 140	All BRCs and CRCs

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Physical and financial progress during 2007-08 (Rs. in Lakhs)

Items	Target		
	Outlay	Expenditure	
BRCs	15.71	14.43	
CRCs	212.09	178.84	

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Proposals for BRC/CRC training for 2008-09:

- 1. The training programmes for BRC/CRC will be organized and conducted by District Project Offices by following steps:
  - i. Resource persons will be from DIET/SIE and also from outside the State like NUEPA/NIAR etc.
  - ii. 5 days training programme for BRCCs and CRCCs on Teachers Support System and their role in it by ADEPTS State Core Team.
  - iii. It is also proposed to include the BRCCs and CRCCs in the 30 days induction training given to freshly recruited teachers involving DIET/SIE as Resource Persons, so that BRCCs and CRCCs can be better equipped to follow up on effective implementation of the training sessions.

In 2008-09, the state has proposed training of 182 BRP/CRP/DRPs, for 5 days @ Rs. 100 per day, adding up to 0.91 lakhs.

#### Recommendation

The Appraisal Team recommends the amount for BRC/CRC training as proposed above.

#### **Academic Resource Groups:**

The State has attempted to strengthen its academic resource groups at different levels. The following table indicates the structure of these resource groups and their major activities.

#### Information about Resource Groups at different levels

SI. No.	Resource Groups (RGs)	Whether constituted (how many)	Number of members per RG	Number of meetings held this year	3 Key activities undertaken by the Resource Groups this year
1.	State Resource Group (SRG)	No	-	-	-
2.	District Resource Groups (DRGs)	Yes 04	5-6		<ul> <li>Research on Teachers         Absenteeism.     </li> </ul>
3.	Block Resource Groups (BRGs)	Yes 09	5-6		Research on reasons of failure & repetition in Primary level in Government Schools
4.	Cluster Resource Groups (CRGs)	-	-	-	_

Source: AWP & B, Sikkim 2008 - 09

#### Observation

The state must constitute a State Resource Group in order to focus on overall quality improvement. The Team also recommends that there should be at least 2-3 persons at the State level and at least one person at the District level to coordinate quality-related interventions, in order to ensure effective and planned implementation of funding dedicated to quality improvement.

#### Link among DIETs, BRCs, CRCs:

There is one DIET in the State of Sikkim, and there is at present no smooth link or proper coordination between the DIET and the BRCs and CRCs as expected.

Sikkim has only one functional DIET located at the state capital. This too remains pre-occupied for PST. In order to address this issue, the state has proposed 2 additional DIETs in South and West Districts. BRCCs and CRCCs will avail of the academic guidance and support from these DIET experts in order to improve their on-site support and monitoring of teachers through on-site visits.

As per the state plan, DIET and SIE has provided training to coordinators of different blocks and clusters for classroom observation. They were also made aware about their duties and responsibilities.

#### Initiative to track and reduce teachers' and students' absenteeism:

The State has reported pupils' & teachers' attendance rates as shown in the following table.

Pupils' attendance	Primary Level: 94%
-	Upper Primary level: 93.13%
	Student Attendance level at primary and at upper primary: 93.56%
	Source: DISE 2007
Teachers'	Primary Level:
attendance	Upper Primary level:
	Teacher Attendance level at primary and upper primary: Data not
	available.

The state was unable to provide information regarding Teacher Attendance, since research is currently being carried out by SPO regarding teachers' attendance, and will be finished by the end of this academic session.

Based on District reports, the average attendance of the teachers in the state is 92%. The State plan indicates that teacher absenteeism is not an acute problem in the state. Only certain sections of teachers are found frequently availing leaves, among whom are mostly teachers having mental problems or alcohol problems. The state has initiated several interventions to check teachers' absenteeism. The main among these interventions are the following:

- Initiating Community monitoring;
- Devolution of Powers to Panchayats;
- To increase the frequencies of parents and SMC meetings;
- Frequent school visits of supervisory official.

#### Approach to Learners' Assessment:

Following table throws light on the students' learning assessment system in the State.

Learning assessment system

Stage	No. of tests in a Year	Whether marking or grading system	No- detention from which class	Board exam. at which class	Is there any report card?	Frequency of sharing with parents
Primary	03	Marking	Nil	V	Yes	After test in PTA meetings
U. Pry.	03	Marking	Nil	VIII	Yes	After test in PTA meetings

Source: AWP & B, SSA Sikkim, 2008 - 09

### Remedial teaching mostly for mainstreamed, higher age group and other children:

The following table shows progress of remedial teaching in 2007-08.

#### Progress of remedial teaching

Fund allocated in	Physical	Financial	Financial	% of ach	ievement
2007-08	Target (Children)	achievement till Feb, 2008	achievement till March, 2008	Physical	Financial
37.86	38.64	7.28	7.28	31%	19.22%

Source: AWP & B, SSA Sikkim, 2008 - 09

In 2007-08, remedial teaching was given to 1200 primary children in South District only.

The purpose of remedial teaching is for low-achieving children, as well as for those children who have been recently mainstreamed or are at the risk of dropping out. These children are especially found in remote areas and those areas that have less enrollment.

Remedial teaching is carried out based on the students' test results after the first term exams, for those students who achieve less. Where available, graduated students are engaged to carry out remedial teaching for students for one hour before and after school, as per the convenience of the children. When these are not available, the local teachers are engaged for this purpose.

#### **Proposal for Remedial Teaching 2008-09**

The state has proposed a budget for remedial teaching for 1550 Primary children and for 950 Upper Primary children @ Rs. 250 per child.

#### Recommendation

The state plan has not indicated any detailed proposals regarding how remedial teaching is to be carried out. The Appraisal Team recommends the proposed budget, but recommends that remedial teaching activities should not run as a parallel set of activities to the regular classroom processes. They need to be designed as an integral part of the classroom teaching learning processes and should be promoted by the regular teachers in schools in collaboration with community members, within school hours so as not to add undue burden on students.

#### Learning achievement:

Learning achievement of students has been analyzed based on DISE and NCERT's learning achievement study findings.

#### Feedback from DISE

DISE refer. Year		Class V		Class VIII
	Passed	Passed with >60%	Passed	Passed with >60%
DISE 2003-04	15751	2658	8104	1178
DISE 2004-05	15092	2598	8621	1248
DISE 2005 - 06	14631	2376	8744	1283
DISE 2006 – 07	15477	2343	7791	858

#### Learning achievement as per DISE

#### Findings of NCERT study on learning achievement

The NCERT study on learning achievement of students at the end of class III, V, and VII/ VIII reveals the following picture.

Ctoto	Class III		Class V			Class VII			
State	Language	Maths	Language	Maths	EVS	Language	Maths	Sc.	S. Sc
Nagaland	58.1	51.22	50.26	40.66	48.16	No data	No data	No data	No data
National Mean Average	63.12	58.25	58.57	46.51	50.30	52.69	29.78	35.98	32.96

Source: NCERT's Baseline Achievement Surveys

Following table compares the performance of Class V students in BAS and MAS.

State/ UT	Mean Acl (EV	nievement /S)	Mean Ac (Lang	hievement guage)	Mean Achieveme (Mathematics)	
	BAS	MAS	BAS	MAS	BAS	MAS
Nagaland	48.16	48.39	50.26	49.70	40.66	40.42
National Mean Average	50.30	52.19	58.57	60.31	46.51	48.46

Source: NCERT's BAS and MAS for class V

#### **Observations**

The above tables indicate that learning achievement in Sikkim according to NCERT reports are considerably low compared to the National mean results, and have not shown any improvement between BAS and MAS results. The state must take focused step towards quality improvement in order to improve learning levels of children in the state.

#### Learning Enhancement Programme (LEP): ·

The State has proposed the following approach to work on improved classroom processes focused on classes I & II at Primary level to ensure acquisition of basic literacy and numeracy in early grades:

SI.	Activity	Unit Cost	No of Children	Total cost in Rs. lakhs	Remarks
1	3'Rs Improvement Programme at Primary Level	Rs. 20 per child	8000	1.6	Includes development of worksheets and supplementary reading materials.
	Total			1.6 lakhs	

Source: AWP & B, SSA Nagaland, 2008 - 09

The 3'Rs Improvement Programme at Primary Level will be designed by the state in consultation with NCERT, Pratham, TSG, etc in order to design a comprehensive Learning Enhancement Program to be piloted in a selected number of schools in each district. The program will cover a reach of 8000 children at the primary level (Classes I and II). It will include the following activities:

- a. A Base Line Learning Achievement Survey on basic literacy and numeracy level of children (to be undertaken through the REMS grant)
- b. Identification of learning gaps in different subject areas for the respective classes
- c. Development of supplementary materials and worksheets at local level by addressing those learning issues
- d. Capacity building of trainers (at CRCs and BRCs) and teachers for promoting active learning methodologies in their concerned classrooms (can be carried out through grants available under teacher training)
- e. Use of selected supplementary reading materials by a careful selection of literature for improving reading pedagogy. This should be purely of supplementary nature and should not overshadow the materials to be developed at local levels.
- f. Effective academic support and monitoring of the Reading Improvement Programme (through support available for BRCs, CRCs, and REMS)
- g. Terminal Learning Achievement surveys to assess the effectiveness of the interventions (to be undertaken through the REMS grant)
- h. Performance assessment and Enhancement of key players at different levels through the Performance Indicators (to be developed under ADEPTS)
- i. Demonstration of basic literacy and numeracy skills of children through demonstrations before community members in each school

The program should aim to bring about a clear demonstrable increase in learning levels of children, by at least 10% rise in learning achievement.

#### Recommendation

The Appraisal Team has discussed about the activities to be undertaken in Sikkim through the LEP. It is quite crucial for the State as there is no Learning Enhancement Programme in the State as done in other states. There is high need for the State to focus on the LEP and to operationalise it, and this must be done through the guidance of a State level team to coordinate overall quality improvement. The Appraisal Team recommends the LEP activities as indicated above as per SSA norms. The effectiveness and demonstrable results of the LEP must be clearly tracked and monitored.

#### Performance Indicators for quality improvement:

#### Performance Indicators for teachers and trainers

Major performance indicators identified for School teachers 2008 - 09	Major performance indicators identified for CRC Personnel 2008 - 09	Major performance indicators identified for BRC Personnel 2008 - 09
	No data provided	

Source: AWP & B, SSA Nagaland, 2008 - 09

It is a matter of serious concern that the State has not yet managed to finalise its performance benchmarks for teachers and trainers. State authorities need to collaborate with Pedagogy Unit, TSG at the earliest to finalise the benchmarks and operationalise them effectively.

#### Quality monitoring and learning assurance:

Quality Monitoring Formats developed by NCERT have recently been received and are being modified according to the State's needs. They will be published shortly and will be operationalized in the coming year.

#### Observation:

It is a matter of concern that the state has not yet operationalized its Quality Monitoring Formats. This is high time for furnishing the QMT information to the national level.

#### Broad recommendations for Quality improvement

Basing on the above discussion the Appraisal recommends the following way for activities related to overall quality improvement under SSA.

#### Recommendation for activities related to quality

Sl.	Interventions	Prop	osed	Recomn	iended	Remarks
No.		Physical	Financial (Rs. in lakh)	Physical	Financial (Rs.in lakh)	
1.	Teacher recruitment					
	New Teachers Salary (P.S.)	22	29.04	22	29.04	@ Rs. 12000 per month for 12 mths
	New Teachers Salary (UPS)	03	5.40	03	5.40	@ Rs. 15500 per month for 12 mths
L	Addl. Teachers (Language)	28	42.36	0	0	@ Rs. 11000 for Pry. And Rs. 15000 for UP
	Recurring	380	531.90	380	531.90	
2.	Training					
a.	In service (PS+UPS)	1200	18.00	1200	18.00	10 days @ Rs. 100 and 10 days @ Rs. 50
b.	Induction training	388	11.64	388	11.64	30 days @ Rs. 100
	Training of untrained teachers	641	38.46	641	38.46	60 days @ Rs. 100

Sl.	Interventions	Proj	osed	Recomn	nended	Remarks
No.		Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	
	İ		(Rs. in		(Rs.in	
L			lakh)		lakh)	
	Training of	182	0.91	182	0.91	5 days @ Rs. 100
	BRC, CRC					
	Personnel					
5.	Free Textbooks	70319	***	0	0	Provided by State
(a)	(PS)					
	Free Textbooks	22146	55.37	0	0	Subsidised by State
(b)	(UPS)					
	Sub Total	95392	161.19			
6.	TLM Grant (P)	4244	21.22	4244	21.22	As per actual
(a)				:		
	TLM Grant	1585	7.93	1585	7.93	As per actual
(b)	(UP)					
	Sub Total	5829	29.15			
7.	School Grant (P)	858	42.9	858	42.9	As per actual
(a)		,,				
	School Grant	285	19.50	285	19.15	As per actual
(b)	(UP)					
	Sub Total	1143	62.05			
8.	TLE Grant (P)	11	1.10	11	1.10	As per actual
(a)		1. 4-	·			
	TLE Grant (UP)	01	0.50	• 1	0.50	As per actual
(b)						
	UPS Not	0	0	0	0	No proposal
(c)	covered under			•		
	OBB					
	Sub Total					
9.	LEP		1.6	•	1.6	
10.	BRCs	24	67.42	.09		
11.	CRCs	131	251.39	131	251.39	
12.	Remedial	2500	6.25	2500	6.25	As per actual
	Teaching					

The following may be some of the major requirements for finding a meaningful direction.

List of Quality Parameters (States to devise similar baselines and targets/outcomes for each district)

SI.	Description	Baseline (07-08)	Target/outcome
No.		(Data to be filled by States alongwith source of data)	(08-09)
1.	Provision of quality inputs to improve learning levels		
	(i) Teacher Availability	(i) Pupil teacher ratio at primary level : (ii) Pupil Teacher Ratio at upper primary :	
		(iii) Number of districts with PTR>60 at elementary level:	
		Source: (2006-07: DISE)	
	(ii) Availability of	Percentage of eligible students receive free text books:	
	Teaching Learning Materials	(Source be given also)	
		Percentage of teachers received TLM grants: (Source be given also)	
		Number of schools state-wise using materials other than textbooks:	
		(e.g. workbooks/worksheets/ABL	
		Cards/Kits/CAL/Supplementary books etc.) (Source be given also)	
2.	Process indicators on quality		
		Percentage of teachers received in-service training	
	(i) Teacher training	against annual target:	
	(1) T. I. C	(Source be given)	
1	(ii) Teacher Support &	Percentage of BRCs/CRCs are operational:	
	Academic Supervision	(Source be given)	
		Effectiveness of BRC/CRC in academic supervision	
		and improving school performance:	
		(* Performance against agreed roles & functions  * Extent to which task are being done.	
		* Extent of on-site support given to schools/teachers	
		* Content & quantum of training given to BRC/CRC	•
		* Perception of teachers/stakeholders.)	
		[Source be given]	
	(iii) Classroom Practices	Change in classroom practices/ innovative methodologies in use:	
		(* Teachers instructional time.	
		* Student learning opportunity time.	
		* Active student participation	
		* Use of other materials in classrooms	
		* No. of instructional days	
		* No. of days teachers were assigned non teaching	
		activities.)	
	(1) 70 11 4	(Source be given)	
	(iv) Pupil Assessment by	Pupil Assessment System in place in schools:	
	States (v) Attendance Potes	(Testing systems & frequency)	<del></del>
	(v) Attendance Rates		
	Student Attendance	Student Attendance level at primary and at upper	
	1	primary:	

SI.	Description	Baseline (07-08)	Target/outcome
No.		(Data to be filled by States alongwith source of data)	(08-09)
		(Source be given)	
	Teacher Attendance	Teacher Attendance level at primary and upper	
		primary:	
		(Source be given)	
3.	Accountability to the	VEC/SEMC/local bodies role in school supervision as	
	community	per State mandate:	
4.	National Student	Learning levels for Class III	
	achievement level	Percentage in Maths	
	outcomes	Percentage in Language	
		(2003: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey-	
		BAS)	
		Learning levels for class V	
1		Percentage in Maths	
		Percentage in Language	
		Percentage in EVS	
		(2005: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey –	
		BAS)	
		Learning levels for Class VII/VIII	
		Percentage / Percentage in Maths	
		Percentage / Percentage in Language	
	İ	Percentage / Percentage in Science	
		Percentage / Percentage in Social Science	
		(2002: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey –	
		BAS)	

#### (IV) SIEMAT

There is no SIEMAT in the state.

#### (V) IED

#### Progress in 2007-08

In the year 2007-08, the State has identified 493 CWSN and the total budget provided to the State for the intervention was Rs. 5.92 lakhs. However, the state could not generate the fund for the intervention in the sharing pattern of 50:50 and the fund sanctioned by the PAB was received in the month April 2008. The state has enrolled 85.35% CWSN in schools of these identified.

#### Number of CWSN Identified in 2008-09

The State has identified 815 CWSN (shown below), out of a total child population of 110707, which is 0.74% of the total child population.

Sl.No.	Category	Number of CWSN		
l	Visually Impaired	48		
2	Hearing Impaired	77		
3	Mentally Retarded	140		
4	Orthopedically Handicapped	133		
5	Others	. 417		
	Total .	815		

#### District- Wise coverage of CWSN

Sl. No.	District	No. of CWSN identified	No. of CWSN enrolled in Schools	No. of CWSN proposed to cover through EGS	No. of CWSN proposed to cover through HBE	No. of Resource Teachers to be appointed	No. of Schools proposed to be made barrier free
1	East	198	198	0	0	1	10
2	West	196	174	0	22	1	10
3	North	147	115	0	32	1	10
4	South	274	221	53	0	1	10
	Total	815	708	- 53	54	4	40

#### The focus of this year on IE would be on the following:

- Conduct of workshop of School heads and District Officials involved in IE;
- Involvement of NGOs;
- Conduct of medical camps to identify the degree of disability;
- Provision of aids and appliances.

Plan for 2008-09

Sl. No	Activities	Phy	Unit	Cost
l	Workshops at the State level	1	0.50	0.44
2	Assessment Camps	9	20000	1.80
3	Provision of Aids and Appliances	200	1000	2.00
4	NGO involvement .	2	10000	0.20
5	5 days teacher Training	100	300	1.50
6	Appointment of resource teachers 1 in each district for 6 months	4	6000	1.44
7	7 Ramps in the existing schools (10 from each district)		6000′	2.40
	Total			9.78

#### Recommendation:

The Appraisal Team recommends a total of Rs. 9.78 lakh/- for 815 CWSN @ Rs. 1200/- per child. The State has to meet the following conditions:

- Appoint State level IE co-ordinator by July 2008
- Appointment of resource teachers should be done by September 2008 and they should start working in the field by October 2008
- The State should endeavour to expedite its expenditure on IE.
- Conduct training of teachers with the help of Spastic Society of Sikkim
- Conduct a planning workshop on IE by August 2008

• The State should also include evaluation guidelines of CWSN as well as the assessment guidelines in the training programmes for teachers. These guidelines have already been framed at the national level and circulated to all the States.

#### (VI) Innovative Activities

#### **Innovations**

The tables below give details of the achievement and proposal of the state

S.No	Innovative Activity		2007 – 08			Proposal 2008 -		
		San	ctioned	Expe	nditure	09		
		Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	
1	ECCE	122	128.00	122	62.76	122	130.00	
2	Girls Education	0	0.00	0	0.00	1245	9.43	
3	SC/ST	0 .	0.00	0	0.00	8000	67.60	
4	Furniture for new Monastic Schools	0 ·	0.00	0	0.00	23	11.50	
5	Computer Education	40	60.00	40	56.80	70	175.15	
	TOTAL		200.00		119.56		393.68	

#### **ECCE**

#### **Progress**

Under ECCE, as per the approved AWP & B 2007 -08, a sum of Rs. 128.00 lacs was sanctioned to meet the expenditure on salaries for 122 School Mothers who carter to the academic interests of 15000 children attached to Pre-Primary classes (ECCE).

Against the sanction of Rs. 128.00 lacs, a sum of Rs. 62.76 lacs was incurred.

#### Activities Undertaken

No other activity was undertaken besides providing salaries of Schools Mothers under ECCE.

#### **Proposal**

It is proposed to provide salaries to 122 school Mothers in the scale 0 at par with the state norms.

#### **Expected Outcomes**

- 1. The prime importance is being given as Early Childhood Care is the foundation stage in the educational development of the Child.
- 2. As Early Childhood Care leads finally to the care of the Nation, all the efforts are lead emphasis as far as practicable and possible.
- 3. As per the opinion of the many psychologists, truest care and implementation of ECCE in the early childhood stage can lead to the development of IQ of the Children.

4. The efforts taken in this stage of children can surely motivate and activate the children in their future school days.

#### Recommendations

The state should accelerate action for convergence with ICDS. The appraisal team recommends the activities proposed by the state as honorarium for 122 school mothers of existing centers. The financial recommendation would be RS 128.00 lacs

#### Girls Education

#### **Progress**

No fund under Girls Education was sanctioned as per AWP&B 2007-08 under Girls Education.

#### **Proposal**

It is proposed to provide remedial teaching for 1245 low performeing student attached to class V in mathematics amounting to Rs. 9.43 lakhs

#### **Expected Outcomes:**

- 1 By giving emphasis on girls education, equity and parity is being laid with other gender group.
- 2. Girls can feel, encouraged and stimulated to active interest in the teaching learning process in the School and finally in the community and society as a whole.
- 3. Can help retention of Girls Children in the School.
- 4. Can increase the educational capability and efficiency of Girls

#### Recommendations

The achievement level of the girls students should be enhanced therefore the activities proposed by the state are recommended by the appraisal team.

#### Computer Aided Learning (CAL)

Computer Literacy Programme was introduced in the State of Sikkim in the year 2003 with a view to make the children and teacher computer friendly and subsequently it helps the state to initiate CAL to make teaching learning process more effective, efficient and realistic. 40 (forty) Upper Primary Schools i.e 10 Upper Primary Schools in each district were selected as the pilot schools for implementing the above said Programme.

#### **Objectives**

- 1. To familiarize the teachers and students with computer;
- 2. To familiarize the students with the concept of Computer Education as a teaching learning aid.
- 3. Effective use of Computer to enhance the quality of Learning.
- 4. To equip the teachers for the acquaintance of new trend and strategies for computer assisted teaching learning process.

#### Activities under taken so far

- 1. 40 upper primary schools selected, 10 schools per districts were earmarked.
- 2. Supply of 10 computer sets and peripherals, one 29" Colour TV were provided to each school selected as above.
- 3. One instructor per school was appointed for the contract period.
- 4. Computer Lab was set up in each of these schools.
- 5. 503 teachers of these schools were given 60 days training to familiarize teachers to use computer.
- 6. Texts and CDs were supplied to students
- 7. 7695 students were benefited during 2007-08.

The State was sanctioned with Rs. 60 lakhs during 2007-08 under Computer Education of which Rs. 56.80 lakhs were utilized i.e. 94.66 %.

PAB appro	oval for 2007-08	Expenditure till March' 2008					
Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin				
	60.00		56.80				

#### Proposal for the year 2008-09

The State has proposed to introduce Computer Aided Learning in the 40 schools as mentioned above. It is also proposed to extend Computer Aided Learning in remaining 70 Upper Primary Schools of the State by providing 05 Computers set and peripherals to each of these schools. The proposed budget for this programme is Rs. 175.00 lacs.

S.No	Pr	Proposal					
5.140	Intervention	Phy	Fin				
1	Provision of 5 Nos computers and peripherals	70	175.15				
	TOTAL		175.15				

#### **Activities**

- 1. Setting up of Computer Lab
- 2. Purchase of Computer Sets
- 3. Developing & distributing Self Instructional Materials
- 4. Appointing instructors
- 5. Providing training to teachers

#### The detail break-up is as hereunder:

Activities	Physical	Unit Cost	Financial (Rs. In Lakhs)
Supply of Hardware	70x05	0.40	140.00
Furnishing & Fitting	70	0.20	14.00
Teacher Training for 6 days	150	0.002	1.80
Preparation and distribution of self instructional	150	0.005	0.75
materials			
Contingency and Stationeries	70	0.02	1.40
Documentation	5	1.20	6.00
Workshops & Review Meetings	5	0.60	3.00
Electricity Charges	70	0.005	4.20
Monitoring & Supervision :	4	1.00	4.00
Total .			175.15

#### **Action Plan:**

- 1. Identification of schools by May 2008.
- 2. Training of Teachers June/ July
- 3. Furnishing of Computer Labs July
- 4. Supply of Hardware July
- 5. Preparation/distribution of instructional materials July
- 6. Workshop/meeting August
- 7. Monitoring the use of Computers

#### **Expected Outcomes:**

- 1. One of the main objectives of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan is to make the teaching learning process more joyful, stimulating and participatory.
- 2. It can remove monotonous teaching in the four walls of the classroom.
- 3. It stimulates, insulates and motivates the children for effective learning.
- 4. It encourages the interaction between the teacher and student.
- 5. Enhances retention of enrolment in schools.
- 6. It prepares and equips the children to keep abreast with the latest know how in consonance with the changing times.

#### Recommendation:

The appraisal team recommends the proposal and activities of the State.

#### SC/ST

#### **Proposal**

To attract SC/ ST children state has proposed to open residential hostel for children. 8000 children who are low achievers would be beneficiaries of this innovation.

#### Recommendations

The appraisal team recommends the proposal of the state.

#### (VII) NPEGEL and KGBV

There is no NPEGEL or KGBV in the state.

#### (VIII) Research, Evaluation, Monitoring and Supervision

Research, Evaluation, Monitoring and supervision are the major aspect in enhancing the quality of education. Research studies completed in 2007-08 and focus of this year are as under.

#### Research activities conducted during 2007-08

As informed, state has not done any research studies/activities last year 2007-08 due to lack of funds. Last year PAB sanctioned 10.95 lacs only under REMS. As reported by the state only 0.25 lacs have been utilized under REMS. State did not provide any status of funds in detail. As informed that remaining amount has been utilized for salary of the RPs/BRPs/teachers on account of funds constraint on 50:50 patterns.

#### Research studies under progress/process 2007-08

Only one research activity is under progress only in the district south i.e. research on 'Reason of failure & repetition at the primary level in the Govt. Schools'.

#### **Budgetary Utilization 2007-08**

For the above research, district south utilized only 0.25 lacs from the REMS head.

#### Research studies proposed-2008-09

Following are the research activities proposed for this year 2008-09.

Activities		East		West		North		th
		Fin	Phy	Fin	Ph y	Fin	Phy	Fin
Case Studies for achievement levels/dropout etc.	242	0.500	226	0.500	90	0.150	224	0.500
Research Studies on teachers absenteeism's at elementary levels.	242	0.546	226	0.550	90	0.150	224	0.524
Classroom Research on teachers & students relationship/competencies etc.	242	0.600	226	0.500	90	0.150	224	0.500
Action Research on low achievement level of the subba (cast) students.	242	0.600	226	0.500	90	0.200	224	0.500
Role of SMCs on quality impact.	242	0.500	226	0.500	90	0.270	224	0.500
Studies on impact of cooked midday meal scheme enhancement of the attendance rate of students at the primary level.	121	0.250	113	0.238	45	0.150	112	0.238
Studies on quality aspects of the teachers/students with low performance levels.	242	0.150	226	0.150	90	0.100	224	0.150
		3.146		2.938		1.170		2.912

State has proposed 10.17 lakh under REMS for the above activities to be conducted in all the four districts. Details of the schools to be covered district wise and their budgetary break-up are as follows:

Sl. No.	Districts	Number of schools	Budget
1	East	242	3.15
2	West	226	2.94
3	North	90	1.17
4	South	224	2.19
Total	04	782	10.17

#### Recommendation

After through analysis of the proposed activities under REMS, the appraisal team recommended the above proposal for this year 2008-09 for all the four districts.

#### **Community Mobilization**

Community mobilization and participation are the corner stones in the realization of UEE in its true sprit.

The whole State has been divided into 607 Revenue Blocks and 909 ward Panchayats. Administration has already been decentralized in the State and Powers has been delegated to the PRI as per the 73<sup>rd</sup> and 74<sup>th</sup> amendment of constitution of India

#### Activities Undertaken by the state

- Disbursement of salary to teachers/grants
- Monitoring the functioning of school
- SMC s execute the civil works
- Bal melas as Enrolment drive
- Printing pamphlets
- Under ADEPTS train Panchyat members on the performance of teachers.

#### **Composition of SMC**

- Chairman of the school Management committee is panachyat member
- School headmaster is the Secretary
- Mother member
- Sc/ST community
- Retired Teacher.

<b>Target for 2007-08</b>	Achievement	Proposal for 2008-
		09
4203	0	4014

#### **Activities proposed**

Since devolution of powers to Panchayats has recently been undertaken in the state, the state would modify the manual to define the roles and responsibilities of SMCs. The state would conduct training covering role of panchyats pertaining to Finance, Civil construction, QMT of NCERT besides other roles and responsibilities of SMCs.

#### **Recommendations:**

The activities as proposed for the year 2008-09 are recommended for approval. The state has not conducted any training this year. The state has to enlist its implementation schedule in the State plan. The state has to formulate strategies to implement its activities as per the need of the particular area. The state has to strengthen the district level community mobilization. The financial recommendation is for 4014 training for community leaders. (909 villages \*4+782 schools \*2)(30 \*2)=3.12 lakhs

#### (X) Involvement of NGO

- Grants-in-Aid committee has not met in the State since 2006.
- A total of five NGOs were approved by the state for various strategies under AIE during 2007-08. All these five NGOs were sanctioned by State GIAC.

#### Status of NGO Involvement

Functional Area	No. of NGOs involved in 2007-08	No. of NGOs likely to involve in 2008-09
1. IED	00	00
2. AIE/AS interventions	5	5
3. Pedagogy	00	00
Total	.5	5

#### Name of the NGOs involved in the state.

- Himalyan Educational Society
- Milan Samaj Sewa Samiti
- Tista Tendong Club
- Vidya Bharti
- Mutanchi Lhom Aal Shezun

#### **Project Management**

#### **Progress overview**

The state follows structured project management for the implementation of the Project such as School Management Committee (SMC)/ Parent Teacher Associations (PTAs), Cluster Resource Centres (CRCs), Block Resource Centres (BRCs), District Project Offices (DPOs) and State Project Office (SPO).

	Post sanctioned	Post filled	Vacant
SPO	11	11	0
DPO	36	29	7
BRC (No9.)	9	9	0
CRC (No131.)	131	131	0
Total	187	183	4

The state team informs the appraisal team that the SPO and DPO office has no coordinators for functional areas such as AIE, Gender, Pedagogy and IED, etc.

The posts are on deputation or on contract basis. The persons from NGOs and other institutions have not been engaged to utilize their specialization in the project.

For capacity building SPO maintains synchronization with GOI and District level. Monthly meetings are held to review the progress and planning and to discuss strategy. Orientation programmes have been arranged for the following project staff at SMC/BRC/CRC coordinators organized by NIAR.

#### **Monitoring**

North Bengal University, Darjeeling conducts the monitoring activities for the state.

The monitoring structure needs many modifications. The State team explained the reason for inability to perform monitoring activities as it involves high management cost. The appraisal team stress the monitoring structure should be strengthened.

#### Recommendation

- There should be a separate write-up/chapter on project management giving the details of progress. Modification in strategies of management structure etc. for effective implementation of interventions.
- At DPO level there is no personnel in the accounts department which is very essential.
- There should be a quality coordinator at the SPO as well as at DPOs of all the districts.
- There should be a tribal coordinator at the SPO and DPO level of the vacant posts at different levels should be filled up.
- State has not filled up all sanctioned positions.
- State reported that 6% of the Management cost is not sufficient for the state.

#### MIS

There is an urgent need for capacity building in the state. The data provided by the state is inconsistent and out of order.

One computer operator has been appointed in each district and at state level one Junior Programmer and state personnel one joint director, one deputy director. State and districts have adequate computers and peripherals.

DISE is being implemented in the state since 2004-05. Main source of data for AWP&B 07-08 was DISE 2005-06. and HHS conducted in 2007.

#### **Special Focus Districts and Minorities**

#### **Special Focus Districts**

S.No.	District	7								
		Civil Works		Achievement			New Schools			
		New LP (inc. building less)	New UP (inc. building less)	ACR :	New LP (inc. building less)	New UP (inc. building less)	ACR	EGS to PS	PS	UPS
1	East	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
2	West	3	0	0	3	0	2	3	0	0
3	North	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	0
4	South	8	0	0	1	0	12	8	0	0
	TOTAL	11	0	0	4	0	68	11	0	0

#### **Special Focus Districts**

All the four districts North, East, West, South fall under Special Focus Districts of Category C.

#### **Minority**

All the four districts of the state have been identified as Minority Concentrated districts. The State has not conducted any study to find out the number of minority children under different categories.

#### **Muslim Minority**

Since state didn't have any Muslim minority concentrated district, blocks, clusters and habitation. However, State planning team reported that the district West has minority children concentrated in some of the blocks. But, State did not provide any existing number of children, location and there is also no evidence of special planning, strategies and interventions so far developed by the State.

The state should conduct a survey to estimate the number of children in various social groups.

Comment on the state's overall direction/ preparedness towards meeting the expected outcomes identified for 2008-09

(i) 100% access to primary schooling (or state gap and by when state intends to cover it).

There is 100% access in all eligible habitaions at primary level. 26 habitaions which are small are being covred through AIE centres.

(ii) Reduction of out of school children with a mandate to ensure universal enrolment during 2008-09. (If any State does not – then how many this year and balance by when).

State had reported 3204 out of school children out of which it has reduced 1365 out of school children. It is a reduction of 42% of the total out of school children in 2007-08, this year state has reported 1839 out of school children and all of them are targeted for enrollment in 2008-09

(iii) No single teacher schools and no schools without blackboards after 2008-09. (list number as per last DISE 2005-06).

State has no single teacher school

(iv) Implementation of regular and reliable pupil assessments including independent testing and remedial actions. (Time frame and nature of assessment e.g. CCE or independent testing).

Assessment is conducted only through regular school unit tests (3 times a year), with board exams in Std. V and VIII. In 2007-08, remedial teaching was given to 1200 primary children in South District only, totaling to 31% achievement against the proposed target. The state plan has proposed a budget for remedial teaching in 2008-09 but has not indicated any detailed proposals regarding how remedial teaching is to be carried out. Remedial teaching is yet to be designed as an integral part of the classroom teaching learning processes and should be promoted by the regular teachers in schools in collaboration with community members.

(v) Quarterly pupil evaluation out comes to be measured and reported in NCERT Monitoring Tools by all States/UTs.

NCERT reports indicate that learning achievement in Sikkim are considerably low compared to the National mean results, and have not shown any improvement between BAS and MAS results. The state must take focused step towards quality improvement in order to improve learning levels of children in the state.

(vi) Quantifying the enhancement of achievement levels of children of class V by 20% above the DISE level of 2005-06 (record existing levels).

DISE results have not shown significant improvement in achievement levels of class V children in the last 2 years, and in fact have shown a decrease in achievement levels of Class VIII children from 2005-06 to 2006-07.

(vii) Study on Teacher absenteeism to be completed by States by 15<sup>th</sup> January 2008 along the lines of GOI's Terms of Reference will be necessary for next years (2008-09) AWP&B clearance.

The state was unable to provide information regarding Teacher Attendance, since research is currently being carried out by SPO regarding teachers' attendance, and will be finished by the end of this academic session. Based on District reports, the average attendance of the teachers in the state is 92%. The State plan indicates that teacher absenteeism is not an acute problem in the state.

## (viii) Teacher accountability systems and mechanisms to be reexamined and redesigned to ensure:

- o increments and promotions are contingent on (i) discernable and measured improvement in learning outcomes of school children in their charge (ii) use of better classroom practices which encourage child participation are girl child friendly, remove caste/community basis in classrooms and which lead to overall increase in class learning achievement scores.
- o teacher awards for teachers who conduct regular in-school remedial teaching with weaker students and enhance overall class achievement levels.
- o village Education Committees/PTAs/SDMC's etc. or equivalent bodies bye laws/rules to be amended to include specific classes to monitor teacher attendance; assessment of parental satisfaction with learning levels of children with respect to class teacher/subject teacher; frequency of parent teacher meets and sharing of childrens' report card, class work home work with parents; school functions held in which community/parents participated; occasions when parents/local community members/local women's groups must assist the school in distribution of free textbooks, scholarships and other incentives school opening day for the academic session and after holiday breaks for winter/festival season etc., and
- o a system for recording teacher attendance with inputs from the community and the Block/district education officials,

At present the state is not adequately prepared to oversee the above interventions, since there are no specific personnel in the State Team designated to oversee quality-related interventions in a coordinated manner. Thus achievement and planning related to quality has been very poor. The Appraisal Team strongly recommends that the State must constitute a State Core Group for Quality with at least 2-3 persons at the State level and at least one person at the District level to coordinate quality-related interventions. This group can include State academics and officials from SIE and DIET, as well as experts from NCERT, TSG, and other such bodies. This is absolutely necessary to ensure effective and planned utilization of funding dedicated to quality improvement.

The major findings of **Monitoring Institute** on implementation of the programme is given below.

Monitoring Institute: - UNIVERSITY OF NORTH BENGAL Period: - 1<sup>st</sup> April 2007 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2007

Districts Covered :- WEST SIKKIM

#### Intervention wise observation

#### Opening of schools

For the District of West, 3 schools were sanctioned in the financial year 2006-2007. However, none of the schools were opened. The principal reason for the non opening of schools, as reported by the district, had been the difficulty in the finalization of land.

The school management committee did not receive any fund during the current year. No progress for the construction of schools has so far been visible.

#### **TLM**

The schools visited by the Monitoring Institution (MI) have received grants for teaching and learning equipments. In most of the cases, such equipments have been purchased by the school authorities and not by the school management committee.

#### Civil Works

The achievement of civil work target (including spill over) for construction of school buildings, additional classroom, drinking water, toilets, BRCs, CRCs, building and other items like rain water harvesting for the current year in the district, as reported by the district authority is very low

There has been a gap between the projected construction site as reported by the district and visited by the Monitoring Institution. It has been claimed that the members of the SMC have been trained by the technical persons for the execution of civil works. However, when the members of SMC of some of the schools visited by MI were interviewed, the experience is just the reverse.

Although the district report suggests that the SMC keeps a separate account of funds and materials for construction but in most of the schools visited by MI keep such amount at the Headmaster's office only.

No ramp has been visible in any of the schools (new schools constructed in the last 3 years).

The school constructed a small bamboo fencing for garden by spending Rs.50, 000 is highly questionable and demands immediate probe.

#### **Text Books**

Books are distributed as reported by the State during the months of Feb-Mar every year. The district reported that free text books have been distributed for all subjects and for all classes and to all eligible children barring Hindi text book of Class V because of the changed syllabus and late receipt of such Book. However, by the month of May 07, revised Hindi Books have been distributed.

#### **School Grants**

As reported by the State 246 primary schools and 77 upper primary schools in West district have been given school grants in the current financial year. However, the district reported that the total number of schools is 227 and funds have not been released due to the shortage / non receipt of the funds. The district authority, obviously, did not circulate any guideline to the school for utilization of such grant.

#### Teachers and Teacher's Training

As reported by the state, the number of additional teachers sanctioned for West district both for primary and upper primary level during 2006-2007, is 08 teachers. The mode of recruitment of teachers has been as per state Govt. norms. The nature of appointment is on contract basis till the end of the Project. Most of the schools are equipped with the required number of teachers as per the approval of the State Govt. However, in some schools language teachers are yet to join. There has been no report of absentee teachers made by the district authority or by any school authority. The raport between the children and the teachers is positive and warm, however with a difference of experience in some schools.

500 in-service primary teachers were trained during 2006-2007. They were trained for 20 days in different groups in two venues - Pelling and Soreng. The block research academic core group trained the teachers on the philosophy and mission of SSA as well as on the new teaching learning techniques. The modules were prepared by DIET. The district level officers supervised the entire training programmes. The Mathematics and Science teachers were trained to develop the teaching learning materials. Special emphasis was given on the training of newly recruited teachers. The resource persons from BRC and CRC use to visit the school atleast once in a month. All the visits of the officers / resource persons of BRC and CRC are related to Pedagogical improvement which help the teachers a lot. The resource persons from the BRC and the CRC occasionally supervise and guide the teachers and help to settle and resolve issues relating to curriculum and other SSA activities. They also collect information required for the development of education by involving local community in educational planning and management. While the district reports that the DIET has no interaction with BRCs / CRCs in capacity building, academic supervision, action research and guidance, the State reports that the DIET does all the above activities, while the fact is the non-existence of DIET in west district. The BRCs and CRCs extend their academic support to the EGS centres by directly monitoring them twice every month. While the state reports that the SPO have a quality coordinator who reviews the SSA activities district wise and programme wise atleast quarterly in every year, the district reported that there is no such coordinator and coordination activities.

#### **Teaching-Learning Material**

The teachers include monastic teachers. However, the district reports that no such grants were disbursed to school teachers during 2006-2007 due to non-availability of fund and fund constraint on the part of the state.

#### **EGS** and AIE

The PAB of 2006-2007 allowed three EGS centres to be upgraded. No new instruction came from the SPO office for the upgradation of EGS centres. No EGS centres has been actually upgraded during the year.

The district reports that there are three EGS centres and no AIE or NRPC centre at the district. The State report corroborates with the district. The target number of children during 2006-2007 was 105 and all were enrolled. The total 105 number of students enrolled in three EGS centres are attending regularly. The district reports that there are six number of EVs working in the district. The EVs are given academic support twice a year by the CRCs. The educational qualification of the EVs is class XII passed (minimum) and they are being trained by the NGOs as well as by the resource persons of CRC and BRCs. EVs are getting regular support from the NGOs. The EVs are regular in their attendance. There is no designated coordinator for EGS in the west district. Once in a year, the State officials coordinate the EVs. The EGS are to fill up quarterly a monitoring format for submission to the district.

The question of land and construction of the building from EGS to the primary school, therefore, does not arise. The mainstreaming process of the children has been initiated. The EGS centres visited are quipped with blackboard, books and other TLMs. Mid-day meal is supplied to the children in the EGS. The achievement level of children studying in EGS is satisfactory. The report of the EV with the children is warm and positive in the EGS visited by the MI. Text books issued to every children by the EGS free of cost.

#### District information system for education

The district reported that the EMIS for the district has been set up with requisite computers and required personnel to handle the computers. For the current year, DISE forms are received during October from the State, the district distributed them to the schools and got those filled in forms collected by the end of December. The data capture formats have been supplied to all schools during the end of August. CRC and BRC personnel were involved for such training. On verification, the MI has found little reflection on the teachers of such training. The SIE, HRDD has engaged personnel at the SPO level to verify 5% of the filled in format. The district report does not mention anything on such verification.

#### Research and Evaluation

From the report presented by the district, this intervention has been least attended. Some action research studies were made mechanically. No serious research work having policy interventionist perception has so far been done in the district.

#### Functioning of the VEC

The total number of SMCs matches with the total number of schools. Every SMC has been supplied with a guideline. Although it is reported that 85% of the members of the SMC have been oriented at DPO, BRC, CRC level and they have been enlightened accordingly, on verification by the MI, it is observed that most of the SMC members are not at all oriented.

#### Staffing at State and District level

The personnel at the district level and at the State office have been recruited in accordance with the guideline as provided by the authorities.

#### GENERAL OBSERVATIONS FOR ALL THE SCHOOLS VISITED

#### **SATISFACTORY**

- Student attendances are satisfactory.
- Drop out rate is relatively moderate across the district.
- Records of Maintenance Grants and School Grants are well maintained.
- Most of the schools are having its own play ground.
- Separate toilets for boys and girls have been constructed for majority of the schools visited by the monitoring team.
- Most of the schools are adequately staffed.
- Mid day meal is provided for almost all the schools.
- Teachers are mostly trained or undergoing training.
- Students have made aware of their health and hygiene aspects.
- Medical check-ups of the students are also being conducted in some schools.
- Free textbooks are distributed but there are stray instances of delaying.
- Kitchen sheds for most of the schools have been constructed.
- In spite of lack of manpower in district HRD dept., the existing officials are found to be very active but there is urgent need to increase the numbers of district officials.

#### NOT SATISFACTORY

- Many schools are facing drinking water crisis
- Early marriage, poor economic condition, and lack of awareness and illiteracies among the parents are some of the major reasons for drop out.
- Teachers are least concerned about slow learners.
- Hardly any schools have initiated any types of programmes to involve the local people, especially for women to integrate them socially and emotionally with the school.
- Teachers are not happy with the results of the students.
- No innovation in Teaching –learning aids has been found.
- Many schools require fencing and ramps.
- Hardly any variation in mid-day meal and providing micronutrients, eggs, vegetables etc. are remotely taken care of.
- In most of the schools, playing materials are inadequate.
- Training for SMC members have not been conducted.
- SMCs are to be sensitised and they should understand the meaning of social ownership of school.
- SMC members are primarily the elected representative of local panchayat and they mostly engaged in their political activities rather than participating in school activities, which is cause of concern.
- Functioning of BRC/ and CRCs are far from satisfactory and requires immediate attention.
- BRC/CRCs are to be strengthened and they have to play more pro-active role to build a proper synergy between State and district administrations and SMCs/VECs.
- EGS centres are not adequate in number and also not well spread out across the districts.
- No mechanism has so far been devised to train the children with special needs.
- Early marriage, poor economic condition, and lack of awareness and extreme illiteracy among the parents are some of the major reasons for drop out.
- Hardly any schools have displayed the slogans or messages of Sarva Shksha Abhiyan prepared by Ministry of HRD, Govt. of India.
- No provision for drinking water and toilet is in shabby condition.
- Requirement of one mathematics teacher was felt.
- School infrastructure is in poor state and the class rooms are in deplorable condition and requires urgent intervention.
- Hardly any mobilisation programmes have been conducted to bring back the drop out students.
- Inadequacy of teaching learning material is being reported.

#### SOME POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- Training of SMC members demands immediate attention to validate the basic premise of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan.
- Displaying of different slogans of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan in schools as well as on the road side should get more importance.
- Strengthening State-monitoring mechanism to ensure quality education should be done on priority basis.
- For physically disabled students alternative education system should be formulated and initiated.
- Programmes for community mobilisation by conducting programmes in schools
- While involving local people, especially women should be initiated without losing any further time.
- Social mobilisation is required at grass root level to ward away this social evil of early marriage of girls.
- DIET for each district should be constituted on priority basis.
- CRC/BRC should well be linked with DIET.
- Coordinators of DIET are not in a position to carry on dual responsibilities of teaching as well as sensitizing the SMC members. A token amount should be assigned for doing the coordination work.
- Mechanism/methodology has to be devised and resources to be generated to measure the qualitative changes of the students enrolled at primary level and between the age group of 3-11 years.
- Keeping in mind, the relative backwardness of the West District, initiatives should be taken to identify the most backward regions in the District and special mobilisation programme and suitable support mechanism need to be initiated. This will remove the regional differences at least at educational front in the State of Sikkim.
- SMC, if allowed to be an extension of local Panchayat, then instead of social ownership of school, the political ownership of school would be achieved and that will have negative fall out in the long run on the process of social empowerment at grass root level through primary education.

# ANNEXURES

#### SPECIAL FOCUS DISTRICT ALLOCATION YEAR 2008-2009 (SIKKIM)

Г															Physical it	tems Approved							
SI.No				C	ategory			Civi	l Works (Fre	sh)	N	lew Schoo	ls		Teachers	1		No. of	No.	of CSC child	Iren Covered (	nder	Total financial
	Name of the districts	sc	sт	Minority	oosc	Infrastruc ture Gap	Boarder Areas districts	New LP (Inc. building less)	New UP (Inc. building less)	ACR	EGS to PS	PS	UPS	New teachers for new schools	Additional teach. Against excess enrolment	Teacher training (In service)	Free Text Books	Disbaled Children covered	EGS	RBC	NRBC	Others	outlay of SSA Excluding NPEGEL
	Sikkim																						
1	West			1		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1057	0.00	196	0	0	150	0	433.07
2	North		1	. 1 .		1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	493	0.00	147	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b> 17.79
3	East			1			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	845	0.00	198	0	0	100	330	422.91
4	South			1		1		0	0	0	8	0	1	19	0	1216	0.00	274	0	0	513	827	437.73
			}																				
	Total	No. o	f Cate	gorywise	e SFDs			1	0.00	0.00	11	0.00	1	25	0.00	3611	0.00	815	0	0	763	1157	1611.50
			State					1	0.00	, 0.00	11	0.00	1	25	0.00	3611	0.00	815	0	0	763	1157	1611.50
	% w.r.t A	Арріо	vals f	or the wh	nole stat	te		100			100		100	100	<u> </u>	100		100	ļ		100	100	100
							ST Total	1	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	493	0	147	0	0	0	0	318
							%ST allocation SC Total	100						ļ		13.65		18.04			0		20
							% SC allocation																
							Minority	1	0	0	11	0	1	25	0	3611	0	815	0	0	763	1157	1611
							% Mnt. Allocation	100								100		100			100		100
	Categorywise Tota	ıl and	% ag	ainst stat	te alloca	ition	OOSC Total							ļ	ļ								
							% OOSC allocation																
							infrastruc ture Gap Total		0	0	11	0	1	25	0	2766	0	617	0	0	663	827	1189
							% Inf allocation	100								76.60		75.71			86.89		73.76
							Areas	1	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	2395	0	541	0	0	250	330	1174
							%Border Areas	100								66.33		66.38			32.77		72.84

#### Fact Sheet (to be annexed with Minutes)

State:

Sikkim

No. of Districts:

04

No. of Blocks: 09

No. of Clusters: 131

No. of VECs:

781 (State does not have VECs. It has School Management Committees)

Total population:

5,40,832

Literacy Rate: 69.68

Child Population- a. 6-11 years: 73,317

b. 11-14 years:37,390

% of children passing with 60%: : -

Class Vth

Boys- 12.77%

Girls- 11.95%

Total- 12.34%

Class VIIIth :-

Boys- 9.27 %

Girls- 8.38 %

Total- 8.76%

#### **Educational Indicators**

	Enrolment I-	V	En	rolment VI - V	Enrolment I – VIII			
Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total
43828	43270	87098	15931	18215	34146	59759	61485	121244

	G	ER			NER		Dropout rate			
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
PS	119.25	119.06	119.26	94.53 .	94.00	N.A	3.43	3.55	3.43	
UPS	80.90	96.14	88.36	64.72	70.92	N.A	5.79	4.27	5.05	

	Attendance Rate           Boys         Girls         Total           94.50         93.50         94.00           93.5         92.75         93.13		ıte		Completion rate			Transition rate (Class V to VI)		
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	
PS	94.50	93.50	94.00	77.92	78.35	78.02			1	
UPS	93.5	92.75	93.13	73.69	73.56	73.55	90.10	92.94	91.91	

	Out of school Children										
6-11 years				11-14 years	6-14 years						
Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total			
263	253	516	754	569	1323	1017	822	1839			

		Target for 2007-08	Target Achieved	Target for 2008-09
1.	Out of school children	3204	1365	1839
2.	Dropout rate	8.42	5.05	00
3.	Attendance rate	92.46	93.13	95.00
4.	Achievement level	78.01	84.36	88.00
5.	UPE Index	· <u>-</u>		
6.	No of single teacher school	0	0	0
7.	No of schools with PTR > 50	0	0	0
8.	No of building less schools	0	0	0
9.	No of disabled children to be	493	0	815
	enrolled			

## Recommended/Approved for 2008-09

New Primary school	ols (including upgra	ndations)
Sanctioned till 2007-08	Opened till date	Recommended/ Approved
46	44	11
Up gradation of PS	to UPS	
Sanctioned till 2007-08	Opened till date	Recommended/ Approved
40	40	1

EGS				•		
Approved till 2007-08			unning as ch 2008	Centers to be upgraded to PS	Continuing Centers proposed for 2008-09	Centers proposed to be closed
Centers	Children	Centers	Children	11	00	26
61	1223	37	1090			

Sub-District Structure	es functioning
No. of BRCs	09
No. of URCs	00
No. of CRCs	131
Resource persons	140

Teachers under SSA										
	Sanctioned till	In position	Recommend	ed/Approved						
	2007-08		Against new schools	Additional teachers						
PS	92	80	• 22	00						
UPS	120	111	3	00						

<b>Teacher Training</b>		•		
	Progress f	Proposal		
Type of training	No. of teachers	Duration of the training	Phy.	Fin
a In service	400 trained (12% achievement)	20 days	1200	18.00
b new recruits	0	. 30 days	388	11.64
c Untrained	0	60 days	641	38.46
Total				

Interventions for Out of school child	dren *	
Strategy	No. of centers	No. of children
1. EGS		
2. Resdl Bridge course		
3. Non resdl Bridge Course	30 .	763
4. Flexi Schools	·	
5. Drop in centres	· ċ	
6. Others (Monastic Schools)	21	172
7. Other (AIE Centers)	34	1157
8. Direct admission		574

<sup>\*</sup>This includes 827 children who were enrolled in EGS centres but are being covered under AIE due to closure of 26 EGS centres.

#### **IED**

No. of children identified	No. of children to be
	enrolled
815	815

#### **Civil Works**

	Sanctioned till 2007-08	Achieve da	ment till	Recommended/ Approved
School buildings	5	39	2	
Additional	337	197	14	· _ ·
Classrooms				
Drinking Water	542	· 382	0	-
Toilets	748	567	0	-
Major repairs	-	-	-	2

#### **REMS**

	No. of research studies	No. of research studies
	carried out during 2007-08	recommended/Approved
Research	01	05

#### Innovation:

#### **ECCE**

Progress for 2007-08		Recommended/Approved	
No. of centers	No. of	No. of	No. of
	children	centers	children
122	15000	122	15000

#### **Girls Education**

Progress for 2007-08	Recommended/Approved
0	1245

#### SC/ST

Financial Progress for 2007-08	Recommended/Approved
0	67.60

#### CAL

Progress f	or 2007-08	Recommend	ed/Approved
No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of
schools	children	schools to	children to
covered	covered	be covered	be covered
40	7695	70	Not
			Available

**Community Mobilization** 

	Progress	Recommended/ Approved
No. of VECs	0	0
No. of SMCs/PTA/MTA	781	
No. of community members to be	0	4014
trained		

#### NPEGEL

Activity	Progress	Progress for 2007-08		Recommended/Approved	
•	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	
N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

#### KGBV

Sanctioned	Operational	No. of Students
N.A	N.A	N.A

#### **Results Framework**

**State: SIKKIM** 

Sl. No.	Outcome Indicators	Baseline with source as in 2007 - 08	Target/outcome for (08-09)
Goal I:	All children in scho	ool / EGS centres / Alternative and Innova	tive Education Centres
1.	Number of children aged 6- 14 years not enrolled in School / EGS centers / AIE centers	3204 (Household Survey & DISE 2007)	1839
2.	Number of children enrolled in schools	Primary level: 87098 Upper primary level: 34146 EGS / AIE: 1322 (Source: Micro Planning & DISE 2006-07)	87614 35469 2092
3.	Ratio of Primary to Upper Primary Schools	3.31 2006-07 DISE	2.99
4.	Number of children with special needs (CWSN) enrolled in school or alternative system including home based education	708 (Source: Micro Planning & DISE 2006- 07)	815
Goal II	: Bridging gender a	nd Social category gaps	
5.	Girls, as a share of students enrolled at Primary and Upper Primary level	Share of girls in primary schools <b>49.68</b> % Share of girls in upper primary school: <b>53.34</b> % (2007-08 DISE)	: 49.68% : 53.34 %
6.	Enrolments of Scheduled Castes & Schedule Tribe Children reflect their shares in 6-14 age group population in primary and upper primary schools	Share of SC children in Primary Schools: 6.40% Share of SC children in Upper Primary Schools:6.04% Share of ST children in Primary Schools: 37.11% Share of ST children in Upper Primary Schools: 34.73% (2006-07: DISE)	6.49% 6.30% 37.48% 36.06%

Sl. No.	Outcome Indicators	Baseline with source as in 2007 – 08	Target/outcome for (08-09)
Goal III	: Universal Retenti	on	
7.	Transition rates from Primary to upper primary	Transition rates from Primary to upper primary (2006-07 DISE): <b>91.91%</b>	97%
8.	Retention at primary level	Retention at primary level (2002-03 to 2006-07, DISE in formal schools): 95.94%	98%
9.	Retention at Elementary level	Retention rate at Elementary level (If Elementary stage is class I to class VIII) (2006-07 DISE) Retention rate at Elementary level: 95.02% (If Elementary Cycle is class I to class VII) (2002-03 to 2006-07, DISE in formal schools)	98%
Goal IV	: Education of Satis	<u> </u>	
10	Provision of quality inputs to improve learning levels  (i) Teacher Availability	(i) Pupil teacher ratio at primary level: 1:16 (ii) Pupil Teacher Ratio at upper primary: 1:18 (iii) Number of districts with PTR>60 at elementary level: 0 (zero) Source: (2007-08: DISE)	No need to bring down further.
	(ii) Availability of Teaching Learning Materials	<ul> <li>(i) Percentage of eligible students receive Free Textbooks: 100%</li> <li>[Source: DISE 2007-08]</li> <li>(ii) Percentage of teachers received TLM grants: 100%</li> <li>[Source: DISE 2007-08]</li> <li>(iii) Number of schools state-wise using materials other than textbooks:</li> <li>Primary = 781 &amp; U/Primary= 284</li> <li>CAL = 40 (UPS - 10 schools in each district)</li> <li>(e.g. workbooks, worksheets, ABL cards, Kits, CAL, Supplementary books etc.</li> <li>[Source: DISE 2007-08, Component report of post DISE 2007-08 period]</li> </ul>	100%  Primary = 100%  UPS = 100%  CAL = 70 UP Schools.
11	Process indicators on quality  (i) Teacher training	Percentage of teachers received in-service training against annual target: 20.35% Percentage of teachers received in-service training against annual target: 20.35%  [Source: Component report, 2008-09]	100%
	(ii) Teacher Support & Academic Supervision	Percentage of BRCs/CRCs are operational: 100% [Source: MRSI] Effectiveness of BRC/CRC in academic supervision and improving school	100%

Sl. No.	Outcome Indicators	Baseline with source as in 2007 – 08	Target/outcome for (08-09)
-		performance: (performance against agreed roles & functions, Extent to which the tasks are being done, Extent of on-site support given to schools/teachers, Content & quantum of training given to BRC/CRC; Perception of teachers/stakeholders)  1. All the BRCs/CRCs are functioning as per the guidelines issued from the SPO [source: BAC report]  2. All CRCCs provide school support for minimum 6 days per month in schools. All BRCCs provide support in minimum three CRCs per month.[source: BAC report]  3. 1-day workshop for BRCCs and CRCCs on Quality Monitoring Format develop by NCERT.  4. Conducted training for Assistant Education Officers on Academic monitoring and supervision of primary schools.	<ol> <li>All the BRCs/CRCs will be functioning as per the guidelines issued from the SPO.</li> <li>To established BRCs in all BACs.</li> <li>All CRCCs provide school support for minimum 6 days per month in schools. All BRCCs provide support in minimum three CRCs per month.</li> <li>10-days training for preparing them as Resource Persons for conducting teachers' training programme on Activity Based Teaching learning process and child centred approach.</li> </ol>
	(iii) Classroom Practices	<ol> <li>Many of the teachers use conventional type of teaching learning process (Use of chalk-talk-text).</li> <li>The monitoring and supervision by head of schools, CRCCs, BRCCs, District officials of the department.</li> <li>The monitoring teams as mentioned above encouraged the teachers to use child-centered approach and activity based teaching using TLMs.</li> <li>The pedagogical renewal was the focus area on providing on-site support teachers.</li> <li>Number of instructional days = 218 days.</li> <li>No. of days teachers were assigned non-teaching activities = 33 days</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>5. Number of instructional days =</li> <li>218 days</li> <li>6. 33 days for evaluation, pupils' progress consolidation, observing National Festivals, admission in</li> </ul>
	(iv) Pupil Assessment by States	(average).  Pupil Assessment System in place in schools:  The State has the system of conducting three terminal tests. The Ist and IInd term are of 50 marks each and the Final term i.e. IIIrd term of 100 marks. From Class PP to Class III, the pupil assessment is done at the school level. However, from Class IV onwards, the state administers the system of centralized Question Papers in the final terminal test i.e. 3 <sup>rd</sup> terminal test. The marks obtained by the students in all the three terminal tests are added	new grades etc.  Broadly, though the same approach will continue, yet a study will be initiated by SCERT. A mid-term correction, if there be, will be incorporated.

Sl. No.	Outcome Indicators	Baseline with source as in 2007 – 08	Target/outcome for (08-09)
	Indicators	together. The students scoring 33% are declared pass/promoted to next higher grade. The progressive report cards of individual students are maintained by the schools side by side registers reflecting the performance of the students are maintained by the concerned class teacher. These are being discussed in the PTA meetings which are conducted soon after terminal exams. Initially we had only half yearly and annual exams in the school. To introduce gradually the concepts of continuous comprehensive evaluation three term examinations was introduced since last four years. Students are assessed in Languages, Mathematics and EVS. To infuse the concept of continuous comprehensive evaluation the mindset of teachers, trainings were conducted at district and complex level. Further to motivate and inspire the students scholarships are provided to all meritorious students. Besides girls students are provided with Prema Scholarship for Class V students.	
		Common Scholarship examination is being conducted at all the four district Headquarters. First three toppers of class five of each school are allowed to appear this exam. The top three from each district	
	(v) Attendance Rates	are allowed the meritorious scholarship.  Primary Level:— The attendance of students at primary level at Primary and	Will be enhanced by 5%
	Student Attendance	upper Primary is 94% and 93.13% respectively. The attendance of students is satisfactory because of incentives provided by State Government like free uniforms, raincoat, school bags. Besides	Will be enhanced by 5%
	Teacher Attendance	free mid day meal including pre-primary.  Primary Level: – The attendance of teachers at elementary level is 92%.  Due to active involvement and supervision of SMC and field level functionaries attached to HRD Department the Attendance of teachers is satisfactory.	
12	Accountability to the community	SMCs comprising of 6 to 8 members have been constituted to monitor supervise and for ownership of the school and to inculcate the sense of belongingness to the school. The SMC Play a pivotal role in	Effort will be taken to implement the power & responsibilities already delegated to SMCs

SI. No.	Outcome Indicators	Baseline with source as in 2007 – 08	Target/outcome for (08-09)
		retention of enrolment of out of school children, reducing dropouts and also checks the attendance rate of teachers and students. Moreover funds are transferred to Panchayati Raj Institutions for disbursements of salaries to the teachers attached upto elementary level.	
13	National Student achievement level outcomes	Learning levels for Class III  Percentage in Maths :% Percentage in Language :% (2003: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey-BAS).  Learning outcomes of students, MAS: Comparison in achievement in Class-III & V from BAS to MAS in different subjects & combined-	The state did not provide information despite repeated requests during the appraisal.
		Subject BAS MAS  Language  Maths  EVS  Combined	•
		There has been an improvement of% in the mean difference if the three subjects and classes-III, V are taken together. (Source: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey-MAS)	
		Learning levels for class V Percentage in Maths:% P. C. in Language:% Percentage in EVS: NA (2005: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey BAS)	
		Learning levels for Class VII/VIII: Percentage in Maths:% P.C. in Language:% Percentage in Science:% P. C. in Social Science:% (2002: NCERT National Assessment Sample Survey – BAS)	

# **TABLES**

## Table- 01

## **DATA ON POPULATION**

Nam e of the State: Sikkim

District	Popu	lation a	II comi	nunity	-			l popul					Popula	tion				Mino	rity			Pop	Sex
	Urbai	n		Rural			all	Commu	ınıty	SC				ST								Density	ratio
	М	F	Ť	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	T	% to total pop	M	F	T	% to total pop	M	F	T	%		
· East	:	•	, .	<del> </del>		<del></del>	ļ	<del>                                     </del>	**.				•	٠			F - F			•			
	28767	24085	52852	104150	88038	192188	132917	112123	245040	7275	7002	14277	5.8	23070	22251	45321	18.5						844
West																							
	1019	805	1824	61007	60425	121432	62026	61230	123256	2779	2534	5313	4.31	26199	25968	52167	42.31	144	84	222	0.17	106	930
North	<u> </u>	<del> </del>																					
	0	0	0	23414	17616	41030	23414	17616	41030	451	428	879	2.14%	11299	10473	21772	52.18%	0	0	0	0.00%		
South		-			<del> </del>																		
	2145	1801	3946	66082	61464	127546	68227	63279	131506	3147	3115	6262	4.76	10321	10162	20483	15.57					175.36	927
State																							
	31931	26691	58622	254653	227543	482196	286584	254248	540832	13652	13079	26731		70889	68854	139743		144	144	288			

4

Table 2
DATA ON LITERACY RATES

Name of the State: Sikkim

SI .No	District					Lite	racy R	ate						Rural female literacy rate
	<u> </u>	All	ommunitie	s		SC		ST			Mino	rity		
		М	F	T	М	F	T	М	F	T	M	F	T	
1	East	81.20	66.8	74.00										
2	West	66.80	50.10	58.45										
3	North	76.00	57.00	66.50										
4	South	74.57	61.02	67.79						<del>                                     </del>				
5	State	76.73	61.46	69.68	····					<del> </del>				

4

Source: Census 2001

#### Name of the State: Sikkim

## <u>Table – 3</u> BASIC ADMINISTRATIVE INDICATORS

ſ	Sl. No	District	No. of	No. of BRCs /	No. of CRCs	No. of village/	No. of
			Education	UBRCs		ward	Panchyats
			Blocks, if				
Ĺ			any				,
	1	East	3	3	37	273	273
	2	West	2	2	38	274	51
	3	North	2	. 2	. 18	101 .	103
	4	South	2	2	38	145	45
	5	State	9	9	131	793	472

Source: District

<del>\</del>

Table –4

## **HABITATIONS AND ACCESS (PRIMARY)**

Name of the State: Sikkim

SI No	District	Total No. of Habitations	Habitatio covered		Habitations without primary	Habitations eligible for PS as per state norms	Habitations not eligible PS but eligible for	Habitations not Eligible for PS/EGS
			Primary school	EGS	schools/ EGS	per state norms	EGS	101 F3/EG3
1	East	282	269	13	0	0	13	0
2	West	230	230	03	0	0	0	0
3	North	101	101	0	0	0	0	0
4 .	South	253	223	. 21	9	· 10	. 11	0
5	State	866	823	37	09	. 10	24	0

Source: District Project Office



#### B

## HABITATIONS AND ACCESS (UPPER PRIMARY)

S. No	District	Total no.of habitations	No. of habitations having UPS facility in 3 KM area	No. of habitations without UPS facility in 3 KM area	No. of eligible school less habitations for UPS as per distance and population norms	No. of primary schools (Govt. & Govt. Aided)	No. of Upper Primary school ( Govt. & Govt aided)	Primary and upper primary ratio	No. of UPS eligible as per 2:1 ratio	Gap in UPS
1	East	282	96 -	. 0	0.	270	97 .	2.78	135	38
2	West	230	74	0	0	239	75	3.19	120	45
3	North	101	30	0	0 -	108	31	3.48	54	23
4	South	253	84	1	1	243	85	2.86	122	37
5	State	866	284	1	1	860	288	2.99	430	142

Table – 5
Child population of 6 –14 age group.

Name of State: Sikkim

Distric t	all co	Popul mmun	ation ( itie <b>s</b>			up)		Total		Child SC	l Popu	lation(	6-11 a	ge gro	up)		Total			l Popu p) ST	lation	(6-11	age			Total		Min	ority 6 yrs	- 11
	Urba	n		Rura	l				l	Urba	an		Rura	ıl					Urba	ın		Ru	ıral							
	М	F	T	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	T	M'	F	T	M.	F	T	M.	F	T	M	F	Т	M'	F	T	M	F	T
East							<del>                                     </del>							<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>													<del> </del>	<del> </del>
	1964	2302	4266	13771	13264	27035	15735	15566	31301	189	167	356	757	673	1430	946	840	1786	506	559	1065	3668	3485	7153	4174	4044	8218	10	00	18
West																					ļ									
	163	103	266	10522	10385	20907	10685	10488	21173	16	12	28	717	603	1320	733	615	1348	94	68	162	4689	4525	9214	4783	4593	9376	ó	.0	0
North								-									ļ			<b> </b>										+
	0	0	0	2803	2815	5618	2803	2815	5618	0	0	0	83	89	172	83	68	172	0	0	0	2036	2040	4076	2036	2040	4076	0	0	0
South																									ļ					<del> </del>
	411	352	763	7473	6869	14462	7884	7341	15225	26	25	51	521	487	1008	547	512	1059	102	89	191	2242	2122	4364	2344	2211	4555	0	0	0
State	8	7	5	65	23	52	7(	0	1	_		10	<b>&amp;</b>	2	0	<b>б</b>	မှ	2				55	.7		21	<u>&amp;</u>	55			
	2538	2757	5295	34569	33453	68022	37107	36210	73317	231	204	435	2078	1852	3930	2309	2056	4365	702	716	1418	12635	12172	24807	13337	12888	26225	\$	∞	18

## Child population of 11 -14 age group

District		Popul mmun		11-14	age gr	oup)		Total		Child SC	Popul	lation(	11-14		oup)		Total		ST		lation (	11-14		oup)		Total			Minorit i -11 y	
	Urbai	n		Rura	ļ					Urba	n		Rura						Urba	ก		Rura	l							
	М	F	T	М	F	T	М	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M_	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T
East																														
	744	735	1479	7127	6527	13654	7871	7262	15133	9/	7.1	147	365	358	723	441	429	870	27.1	258	529	1478	1476	2954	1749	1734	3483	30	24	54
West																						1								
	115	74	189	3758	3600	7358	3873	3674	7547	11	90	17	207	141	348	218	147	365	98	59	145	1432	1340	2772	1518	1399	2917	0	0	0
North																														
	0	0	. 0	1361	1231	2592	1361	1231	2592	0	0.	0.	42	25.	79	54	25.	79	θ	0	0	989	906	1897	0	0	0	0	0	0
South			-			<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>																		<u> </u>				1
	312	201	513	5772	5833	11605	6084	6034	12118	56	21	47	382	364	746	408	385	793	107	118	225	1737	1684	3421	1844	1802	3646	0	0	0
State	1711	1010	2181	18018	17191	35209	19189	18201	37390	113	86	211	1008	888	1896	1121	986	2107	464	435	899	5636	5408	11044	5111	4935	10046	30	24	54

<u>Table – 6</u>
ENROLMENT AND OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 -11 age group)

Name of State: Sikkim

Er	rolment (6 -1	1 age grou	p)			11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1								Out	of scho	ool chi	dren
SI	District	Ail comn	nunities		SC			ST	· · · · · ·		Mino	rity		All c	ommu	nities	
no		M	F	T	M	F	T	М	F	T	М	F	T	M	F	T	% of child population
1	East	16353	16531	32884	1608	1415	3023	4784	4516	9300	19	21	40	85	87	172	0.52
2	West	10575	10462	21037	324	311	635	4793	4465	9258	0	0	0	76	60	136	
3	North	2360	2205	4565	25	26	51	2335	2179	4514	0	0	0	58	76	134	3
4	South.	8130	7743	15873	542	508	1050	2328	2198	4526	. 0	0	. 0	44	30	74	0.24
	State	37418	36941	74359	2499	2260	4759	14240	13358	27598	19	21	40	263	<b>25</b> 3	516	

Continuing above table .....

					Out of so	chool childre	en (6-11 age grou	ıp)			
SC				ST				Minority			
М	F	T	% of SC child pop	M	F	Т	% of ST child pop	М	F	T	% of child population
12	13	25	0.07	28	29	57	0.17	6	1	7	0.02
20	12	32	-	34	20	54		0	0	0	0
1	1	2	0	57	75	132	3	0	0	0	0
5	4	9	0.85	16	13	29	0.64	0	0	0	0
38	30	68		135	137	272		6	1	7	

## ENROLMENT AND OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (11 -14 age group) Name of State: Sikkim

Er	rolment (11-	14 age group	)											Out c	of school	ol childre	en
SI		All comm	unities	•	SC			ST			Mino	rity		All co	mmun	ities	
no		М	F	Ť	М	F	Τ	M	F	T	М	F	Т	М	F	Τ	% of child population
1	East	5901	6715	12616	470	480	950	1625	1648	3273	12	5	17	285	254	539	8.03
2	West	3751	3651	7402	160	125	285	1309	1487	2796	0	0	0	85	65	150	
3	North	1203	1183	2386	24	24	48	1179	1159	2238	0	0	0	84	61	145	6.08
4	South	5784	5845	11629	393	379	772	1763	1748	3511	0	0	0	300	189	489	4.04
	State	16639	17394	34033	1047	1008	2055	5876	6042	11818	, 12	5	- 17	754	569	1323	•••

Continuing above table ----

					Out of s	chool childr	en (11-14 age gro	oup)			
SC				ST				Minority			
М	F	T	% of SC child pop	М	F	Т	% of ST child pop	М	F	T	% of child population
24	19	43	0.28	64	49	113	1.68	11	3	14	0.21
14	09	23		40	28	68		0	0	0	0
4	0	4	0	80	61	141	6	0	0	0	0
15	6	21	2.65	81	54	135	3.70				
57	34	91		265	192	457					

7

Table -07
INFORMATION AND PLANNING FOR OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6-14 YEARS AGE GROUP)

SI no	District								Sta	atus &	Age v	vise E	Break	– up o	f Out	of Sch	ool Ch	ildren				
					NEVE	R EN	NROLL	.ED										ROP	OUT		·	
		6 –	8 yrs		8 -1	1 yrs		11- ′	14 yrs		6 –	8 yrs		8 – 1	1 yrs		11-1	4 yrs		Grant tota	of 6 – 14	age group
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		В	G	Τ	В	G	T	В	G	Т	В	G	Т	В	G	Т	В	G	T	В	G	Τ
	East	17	20	37	22	21	43	106	99	205	25	24	49	21	22	43	179	155	334	370	341	711
	West	50	20	70	.30	. 20	50	0	0	0	10	06	16	33.	22	55	.50	45	95	161	125	286
	North	17	23	40	10	18	28	31	23	54	15	12	27	16	20	36	53	41	94	142	137	279
	South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	30	74	300	189	489	344	219	563
	State	84	63	147	62	59	121	137	122	259	50	42	92	114	94	208	582	430	1012	1017	822	1839

<u>Table – 8</u> OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN WITH REASONS

## Name of the State:Sikkim

		No. of Out of school children as per		,		No of c	out of School ch	ildren with	reason		
	District	household survey	Lack of interest	Lack of Access	House hold work	Migration	Earning compulsion	Failure	Socio cultural reasons	Non flexibility in school timing & system school	Others
1	East	711	248	0	193	10	128	106	. 0	5 .	21
2	West	286	25	0	20	: 40	35	166	- 0	. 0	0
3	North	279	105	15	54	33	0	0	72	0	0
4	South	563	339	22	15	35	- 11	76	8	8	49
5	State	1839	717	37	282	118	174	348	80	13	70

 $\frac{Table-09}{\text{COVERAGE OF OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN UN DER DIFFERENT STRATEGIES}}$  Name of the State: Sikkim

	State	1839	574	330	.763	0.	51	50	7,1
4	South	563	0	0	563	0	0	0	0
3	North	279	238	0	0	0	41	0	0
2	West	286	136	0	100	0	0	50	0
1	East	711	200	330	100	0	10	0	71
sl no	District	No. of Out of School Children as per HHS	No. of out of  Mainst reaming	EGS	NRBC	RBC	under different s  Madarsa/ Mukhtab	Innovation	Others

Source : District

Continuing centers from previous Year

				No. of	children continui	ing in	
SINo	District	EGS	RBC	NRBC	Madarsa/ makatab	Other	Total
1	East	184	0	0	0	0	0
2	West	79		100	50	0	150
3	North	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	South	827		0	0	0	827
	State	1090	0	100	50	0	977

### <u>Table – 10</u> NER, GER; COHORT DROP OUT AND OVERALL REPETITION

Name of the State: Sikkim

Si No		Children o	of 6-11 age	groups		Children o	of 11-14 ac	je groups	
	District	GER	NER	Cohort Dropout	Overall Repetition	GER	NER	Cohort Dropout	Overall Repetition
1	East	120.23	92.32	6.75	17.00	110.15	51.88	9.5	18.00
2	West	99.35	68.62	12.50	23.00	98.07	65.73	6.50	20.51
3	North	111.32	81.26	2.69	21.4	79.01	92.05	7.17	30.21
4	South	145.62	104.25	2.5	16.85	66.35	32.85	3.5	15.17
	State	119.13	86.61	6.11	19.56	88.39	60.62	6.67	<b>2</b> 0.97

Source: District

<u>Table – 11</u>

Name of the State: Sikkim

SI No	District	Completion Rate	No. of Primary Graduates	Transition rate from Primary to Upper Primary
1	East	95.75	5061	96.00
2	West	76	1848	78.00
3	North	71.54	1010	61.98
4	South	74.40	3182	73.50
	state	79.42	11101	77.37

Table –12

### **EGS AND UPGRADATION**

Name of State: Sikkim

SL No	District	No. of EGS Centres	Enrolment	No. of EGS centres running for 2 or more than 2 yrs.	No. of EGS centres proposed to be up graded in current year	Remaining Centres	Reasons for not upgrading
01	East	13	184	7		13	
02	West	3	79	3	3	0	
3	North	0	0	0	0	0	Reduction of enrolment
4	South*	21	827	21	0	11	Unavailability of land
	State	37	1090	31	3	24	

Source: District
\*10 EGS centres sanctioned for upgradation in previous AWP&Bs are under process for upgradation. .

Table –13

## SCHOOLS (PRIMARY)

Name of State: Sikkim

	Primary Sch Secondary		imary secti	ion in UPS	S or	Upper Primary Schools/ Upper Primary Section in Secondary School							Total		
N	Govt. including local bodies	Govt. aided	Unaided	I private	Total	Govt. including local bodies	Govt. aided	Unaideo	d private	Total	Govt. including local bodies	Govt. aided	Unaided	private	
Name of the District			Recogn ized	Unreco nized				Recogn ized	Unreco nized				Recogn ized	Unreco nized	Total
East	242	28	33	83	386	96	4	34	0	134	338	32	67	83	520
West	. 226	13	3	62	302	78	0 .	3 :	. 0	81.	302	13	6	62	383
North	90	18	20	0	128	30	0	1	0	31	120	18	21	0	159
South	223	20	0	98	341	85	0	0	11	96	308	20	0	109	437
State	781	79	56	243	1157	289	4	38	11	342	1068	83	94	254	1499

## **UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS FOR GIRLS**

State policy for opening of Girls School	District	Total No. of Govt. UP Schools	Total no. of Existing Govt. Girls UP Schools	Entitlement for Girls UP Schools as per State policy	Total no. of proposed Girls UP Schools in AWP & B 2008-09	Remaining Gap of Girls UP Schools
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	East	96	02	· .		
	West	74	01	-		
	North	30	00	,		
	South	84	01	•	-	•
	State	284	04	-		

## Table –14 TEACHERS (PRIMARY SCHOOL/ PRIMARY SECTION)

Name of State: Sikkim

Name of District	Teachers in	Govt. schools		Teachers i	n Government	Aided School	Total no. of Teachers	% of Female Teachers
	Primary alone	Primary + Middle	Primary + Secondary	Primary alone	Primary + Middle	Primary + Secondary		
East	556	411	450	96	51	57	1621	
West	530	205	433	18	16	9	1211	45 %
North	395	70	85	49	0	0	599	
South	672	229	208	35	0	0	1144	36.47
State	2153	915	1176	198	67	66	4575	

## Requirement of Additional Teacher (Primary)

Name of State: Sikkim

SI	District			1 100		Te	achers in	n Primary	Schools				
No		Stds. Enrolment	Entitlement of Trs. At	Sanction	ed Posts		Workir	ıg		PTR w.r.t.	PTR w.r.t	Single teachers sch	Gross Entitlement
		in Govt. Pry. Sch	1:40 ratio	By State	Under SSA	Total	By state	Under SSA	Total	posts	working posts	after rationalization	of addi. Trs. For
1	East	28814	720	1397	20	1417	1397	20	1417	1:20	1:20	0	0
2	West	18342	459	1168	65	1233	1142	26	1168	1:14	1:16		
3	North	5071	127	450	28	478	522	28	550	1:11	1:9		
4	South	18092	452	1306	14	1320	1095	14	1109	1:14	1:16	0	
	State	70319	1758	4321	127	4448	4156	88	4244	1:16	1:16		

<u>Table: 15</u>

#### TEACHERS (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL/ UPPER PRIMARY SECTION)

Name of the state: Sikkim

Name of Block	Teachers in Gov	rt. schools		Teachers in	Government Aided School	Total no. of Teachers	% of Female Teachers
	Upper Primary	U.Primary + Secondary	Total	Upper Primary	U.Primary + Secondary		
East	384	258	642			642 .	
West	210	160	370		,	370	33.00
North	142	117	259			259	0
South	167	147	314			314	46.82
Total	903	682	1585			1585	

### REQUIREMENT OF ADDITIONAL TEACHER (U. PRIMARY)

Name of State: Sikkim

SI	District					Teach	ers in U	per Prima	ary Scho	ols			
No		Stds. Enrolment in	Entitlement of Trs. At 1:40	Sanctio	ned Posts		Workin			PTR w.r.t.	PTR w.r.t	Single teachers sch	Gross Entitlement
		Govt. U.Pry.	ratio	By State	Under SSA	Total	By state	Under SSA	Total	posts	working	after rationalization	of addl. Trs. For primary
1	East	13352	333	612	30	642	612	30	642	1:21	1:21	0	· · · · · ·
2	West	6927	173	370	85	455	325	45	370	1:15	1:19		
3	North	1753	43	115	21	136	238	21	259	1:13	1:7		
4	South	7069	178	400	24	424	290	24	314	1:17	1:23	0	
	State	29101	727 .	1497	160	1657	1465	120	1585	1:18	1:18		

Trained and Untrained Teachers

Trained

984

868

354

% age

69.44

74.32

64.36

Untrained

received

60 days

training

- 0

0

0

Those

who

have

Primary Teachers

Working

teachers

1417.

1168

550

Upper Primary Teachers % age Trained % age Working Untrained % age teachers Those Those Total Total who who have havereceived not 60 days received training 60 days training 642

56.23

58.92

63.70

· 0

0

000

281

152

94

168

695

281

152

168

695

43.77

41.08

36.29

53.50

43.66

361

218

165

93 8.4 314 146 46.50 000 93 South 1109 1016 91.61 24.08 1585 890 56.34 state 4244 3222 74.92 1022 1022

Those

who

not

have-

received

60 days

training

433

300

196

Table –16

30.55 .

370

259

25.68

35.63

433

300

196

Source: District

District

East .

West

North

ھے

Existing School Infrastructure

Table –17

	Name of		Total	No. of	No. of	Total	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of
	Block		No of	school	School	No. of	repairable	UPS	School	school	school	school	school	school	schools
			School	without	in dilapi	Pucca	Classrooms	with HM	with D/	with Toilet	with	with	with	with	with
				own building	dated condition	class rooms		room	facility	facility	girls toilet	excess ramp	boundary   wall	play ground	kitchen for mid
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							101121	12p	,,,,,,,	ground	day
															meal
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	East	PRY	242	0	02	271	728		118	118	63				
		UPS	96	0	10	398	540	85	108	64	65				
	West	PRY	226	0	10	610			226	226	100	. ,			
		UPS	74	0	0	155		27	74	74					
	North	PRY	90	0	2	57	167		83	80					
		UPS	30	0	0	25	156	0	30	28					
	South	PRY	223	0	0	306	298		44	223					
		UPS	84	0	0	522	447	25	69	84					
. 1	Dist.	PRY	781	0	14	1244	1193		471	647					
	Total	UPS	284	0	10	1100	1143	137	281	250					

## Table 18 INFORMATION ON GOVT. UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS WITHOUT FURNITURE

Name of State: Sikkim

SI. No		Total No. of	No. of UPS	UPS provided TLE under	Balance	No. of Govt. UPS	Enrolment in
	District	Govt. UPS	sanctioned under SSA	SSA as non OBB School	UPS (6=3-4-	without Furniture	these Govt. UPS
	District		Since 2001	since 2001	5)	(Out of Col. 6)	
,				.*			
1	2	3	4	-5	6	7	8
1	East	96	10	10	76	34	12832
2	West	74	15	09	50	25	7677
3	North	30	7	NA	23	23	4227
. 4	South	84	08	16	60	22	4008
	State	284	40	35	209	104	28744

Source: District

## <u>Table -19</u> <u>CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEED (CWSN)</u>

Name of the State: Sikkim

SI No	Block / Municipal Zone	No. of CWSN identified	No. of CWSN enrolled in schools	No. of CWSN proposed to cover through EGS	No. of CWSN proposed to Cover through HBE	No. of Resource teachers to be appointed	No. of Schools proposed to be made barrier free
01	East	198	115	0	0	0	0
02	West	196	174	0	75	10	85
03	North	147	115	0	0	0	0
04	South	274	221	0	0	0	0
	State	815	625	0	75	10	85

#### Table: 20 NUMBER OF SCHOOLS WITH 3 AND MORE THAN 3 CLASSROOMS

Name of the State:Sikkim

SL NO	District	Number of Govt. schools having upto 3 classrooms	Number of Government schools having more than 3 classrooms
01	East	9	233
02	West	40	186
03	North	32	58
04	South	58	166
*	State	139	643

Source: District

## <u>Table 21</u> <u>INFORMATION REGARDING RESOURCE PERSONS FOR BRC/UBRC/CRC</u>

Name of the State: Sikkim

SI No	District	No. of Schools	No. of Eligible BRPs	No. of BRPs proposed by the State	No. of BRP posts sanctioned during DPEP & being funded	No. of BRPs eligible under
		0.40			by State (In case of DPEP Distt.)	SSA
1	East	242				
2	West	226				
3	North	90				
4	South	224				
	State	782				

<u>Table: 22</u>

## Computer Aided Learning (CAL)

Name of the State: Sikkim

	I TOURING	of the peace. Diffi	*****			
SI No	District	No. of Govt. UP school	Schools covered under CAL	No. of beneficiaries	No. of teachers trained on CAL	No of school to be covered this year
01	East	47	10	4375	72	37
02	West	41	10	1474	65	34
03	North	16	10	346	51	06
04	South	46	10	1500	50	36
	State	150	40	7695	238	113

Table 23
Financial Position

S.no	Year	Approved Outlay	GOI Share	State Share	Amount	Released	State Share due as	Shortfall/excess in State share	Expenditure as on 01.03.2008	% of Expenditure against	
					GOI	State	GOI released			Approved outlay	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10	11	
1.	2007-	2302.79	2072.51	230.28	402.14*	113.00** 250.00	Nil	(+)132.72	828.76	36%	

<sup>\*</sup> Fund of 2006-07 received in 2007-08

<sup>\*\*</sup> Fund of 2006-07 received in 2007-08

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Opening balance 2007-08 = Rs. 164.73 Lakhs.

# COSTING

State	ate Consolidation: Sikkim																(Rs. in I	akhs)
				2007-	2008				Pro	posal fo	r 2008-09				Recomm	endation	for 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achieve	ement		Spill Over	Fr	esh Proj	posal	Total Proposal	Spill Over	Frest	Recom	mend	Total Proposal	Remarks
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
1	New Schools Openning																	
	Upgradation of EGS to PS	11		Ō		0%				11					11			
	New PS	0		0		#DIV/0!				0					. 0			
	Upgraded/New UPS	0		0		#DIV/0!				1					1			
	New Teachers Salary																	
	Primary Teachers ( Regular)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!			0.1100	22	29.04	<b>2</b> 9.04	0.00	0.11000	22	24.20		for 10 month only
	Primary Teachers (Para)	0	0.00	0	0.000		#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00		
	Upper Primary Teachers (Regular)	0	0.00	0	0.000		#DIV/0!		0.1400	2	3.36	3.36	0.00	0.1400	2	2.80	2.80	for 10 month only
	Upper Primary Teachers (Para)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0,00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	Upper Primary Teachers - Head	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1700		2.04	2.04	0.00	0.1700	1	1.70	1.70	for 10 month only
	Add.Teacher against PTR	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00		Not recommended
2.06	New Additional Teachers - PS	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1100	42	55.44	55.44	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	0.00	Not recommended
2.07	New Additional Teachers - PS	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1100	:18	23.76	23.76	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	0.00	Not recommended
2.08	New Additional Teachers-UPS	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1550		26.04	26.04	0.00	0.1550	Ö	0.00	0.00	Not recommended
	New Additional Teachers - UPS	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1550	10	18.60	18.60	0.00	0.1550	0	0.00	0.00	Not recommended
	Teachers under OBB	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!		0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00		Not recommended
2.11	New Others (Schoolpeon for UPS)	0	0.00	0					0.0750		28.80	<b>2</b> 8.80	0.00	0.0750	0	0.00		Not recommended
	Sub Total (2.01 to 2.11)	0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		141	187.08	187.08	0.00			28.70		
	Teachers Salary (Recurring)	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	Primary Teachers (Regular)	92	107.09	82					0.1200		132.48	132.48	0.00	0.1200	92	132.48	132.48	
	Primary Teachers (Para)	0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!		0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	UP Teachers (Regular)	87	135.72	87					0.1550		161.82	161.82	0.00	0.1550	87	161.82	161.82	
2.15	UP Teachers (Para)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	_	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	UP Teachers - Head Master	40	72.00	14	17.307		24%		0.1700		67.32	67.32	0.00	0.1700	33	67.32	67.32	
2.17	Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
2.18	Additional Teachers - PS (Para)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
2.19	Additional Teachers - UPS (Regular)	0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
2.20	Additional Teachers - UPS (Para)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	Teachers under OBB	Ö	0.00	Ö	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
2.22	Others (Recurring) Salary of MSTs	158	183.91	156	74.580		41%	-	0.0800		151.68	151.68	0.00	0.0800	158	151.68	151.68	
	Sub Total (2.12 to 2.22)	377	498.72	339	312,179	90%	63%	0.00		370	513.30	513.30	0.00		370	513.30	513.30	
1	SUB TOTAL	7														_		
L	(New Teachers+Teachers	377	498.72	339	312.179		#DIV/0!	0.00		511	700.38	700.38	0.00		395	542.00	542.00	
3	Teachers Grant	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
3.01	Primary Teachers	4188	20.94	0	0.000		0%	0.00	0.0050		21.22	21.22	0.00	0.0050		21.22	21.22	
	Upper Primary Teachers	1506	7.73	0	0.000	0%	0%	0.00	0.0050	1585	7.93	7.93	0.00	0.0050	1585	7.93	7.93	
	Sub Total	5694	28.67	0	0.000	0%	0%	0.00		5829	29.15	29.15	0.00		5829	29.15	29.15	

	Activity			2007-	2008				Pro	osal fo	r 2008-09		Recommendation for 2008-09					
S.No.		PAB Approved		Achievement				Spill Over	'   Fresh Proposal			Total Proposal	Spill Over	Fresh Recommend			Total Proposal	Remarks
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
4	Block Resource Centre	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0		0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	Salary of Resource Persons	9	14.04	9	13.130	100%	94%		0.1550	24	44.64	44.64	0.00	0.1550	9	16.74	16.74	
	Furniture Grant	0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0I			15			0.00	1.0000	0		0.00	
	Contingency Grant	9		4	1.120	44%	100%		0.2000	24			0.00	0.2000	9		1.80	
	Meeting, TA	9		5	0.180	56%	33%		0.0900	24			0.00	0.0900	9		0.81	·
4.05	TLM Grant	0		0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0500	18			0.00	0.0500	9		0.45	
<u> </u>	Sub Total	9		9	14.430	100%	92%			24			0.00		24		19.80	
5	Cluster Resource Centres	0		0	0.000			0.00	- 4000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	Salary of Resource Persons	131		131		100%	87%		0.1550	131	243.66		0.00	0.1550		243.66	243.66	
	Furniture Grant	0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1000	0	0.00		0.00	0.1000		0.00	0.00	
5.03	Contingency Grant	131		18		14%			0.0300	131			0.00	0.0300		3.93	3.93	
	Meeting, TA	131		131		100%	38%		0.0360	131	4.72		0.00	0.0360	131	4.72	4.72	
5.05	TLM Grant	131		0		0%	0%		0.0100	131	1.31		0.00	0.0100		1.31	1.31	
<u></u>	Sub Total	0		0	.,	#DIV/0!	84%			131	253.62		0.00		131	253.62	253.62	
6	Teachers Training	0		0				0.00		0	0.00		0.00		0	0.00	0.00	18.
	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days	1966		400		20%	15%		0.0100	1200	12.00		0.00	0.0100	1200	· 12.00	12.00	
	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days	0		0			#DIV/0!		0.0050	1200	6.00		0.00	0.0050		6.00	6.00	
	Induction training for Newly Recruit	327		0		0%			0.0300	388	11.64		0.00	0.0300		11.64	11.64	
	Training for Untrained Teachers	962		0		0%			0.0600	641	38.46			0.0600		38.46	38.46	
6.05	Other (DRG/BRG/CRG)	123		0	0.000	0%			0.0100	182			0.00	0.0100	182	0.91	0.91	
<u></u>	Sub Total			400		12%	5%			3611	69.92		0.00		3611	69.01	69.01	
7	Interventions for OOSC	0	0,00	0	0.000			0.00	- 6.6.	0			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	EGS Centre (P) continuing	717		693		97%			0.0154				0.00	0.0154	0		0.00	
	EGS Centre (UP)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!		0.00		0			0.00		0		0.00	
	Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)			0	0.000	0%				0			0.00		0		0.00	
	Residential Bridge Course (11-12	0		0	0.000	#DIV/0!		0.00	- 0450	0			0.00		0		0.00	
	Non Residential Bridge Course (6-11	373		213		57%			0.0150				0.00	0.0150			2.69	·- <u></u>
	Non Residential Bridge Course (11-12	450		214		48%			0.0150				0.00	0.0150		8.76	8.76	···
	Back to School	172		0		0%		0.00		0			0.00		<u> </u>		0.00	
	Mobile Schools	0		0	0.000	#DIV/0!		0.00		101	0.00		0.00		0		0.00	
	Madarsa/ Maktab/Monastic AIE Center				0.000	#DIV/0!		0.00	0.0154	1157	17.76			0.0454	0		0.00	
		605		245		40%	40%						0.00	0.0154	1157	17.76	17.76	
1.11	Others (Sanskrit Pathasala)	222		4005		0%				71	0.00		0.00		0		0.00	
<u></u>	Sub Total			1365		50%	49%			2092			0.00		1920		29.20	
8	Remedial Teching	0	1 0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00		0.00		0		0.00	
	Remedial Teaching for primary	2350		1200		51%			0 0085				0.00	0.0025		3.88	3.88	
8.02	Remedial Teaching for U/primary	1500		0	0.000	0%	0%		0.0120	950	11.40		0.00	0.0025		2.38	2.38	
1	Sub Total	3864		1200				0.00		2500			0.00		2500	6.25	6.25	
9	Free Text Book	1 0	0.00	0	0.000		ļ	0.00		0			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	Free Text Book (P)	C	0.00	0			#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.0		0.00		0			Supplied by State
9.02	Free Text Book (UP)	19772		0	0.000	0%			0 0025				0.00	0.0025				50% by State
L	Sub Total	19772	29.66	0	0.000	0%	0%	0.00		22146	55.37	5 <b>5</b> .37	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	

	Activity	2007-2008							Prop	osal fo	r 2008-09		Recommendation for 2008-09						
S.No.		PAB Approved		Achievement				Spill Over	Fresh Proposal			Total Proposal	Spill Over	Fresh Recommend			Total Proposal	Remarks	
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.		
	Interventions for CWSN (IED)	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00	2 2 1 2 2	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0				
10	Inclusive Education Sub Total	493 493	5.92	0	0.000	0% 0%	0% <b>0%</b>	0.00	0.0120	815	9.78		0.00	0.0120		9.78			
11	Civil Works	493	5.92 0.00	0	0.000	0%	0%	0.00		815	9.78 0.00	9.78 0.00	0.00		815	9.78			
	BRC	0	28.80	0		#DIV/0!	24%	21.90		0	0.00	21.90	21.90		0				
	CRC	0	119.40	0		#DIV/0!	5%	113.60		- 0	0.00	113.60	113.60		0				
<del></del>	91.0		110.40		0.000	#D1470:	3/4	113.00			0.00	110.00	113.00			0.00	113,60	M = -1 - 1	
11	Driver Coherel (1911)		405.50		45 400	#D11 #01	4001	440.40	6 0000		0.00	440.40	440.40					New works not	
14	Primary School (new)	0	125.58	4		#DIV/0!	12%		6.0000	0	6.00 0.00	116,18	110.18	6.0000				recommended	
	Upper Primary (new) Building Less (Pry)	싱	0.00	0		#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		8	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00		0				
	Building Less (Pry)	0		0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		8	0.00	0.00	0.00		0				
	Dilapidated Building (Pry)	0	0.00	ŏ		#DIV/0!		0.00		0	0.00	0.18	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	<del></del> ,	
	Dilapidated Building (UP)	Ö		Ö		#DIV/0!		0.00		ō	0.00	0.00	0.00		ő				
	Additional Class Room	0	236.26	14		#DIV/0!	12%	208.71		0	0.00	208.71	208.71		0				
11,10	Toilet/Urinals	0	20.00	1	0.200	#DIV/0!	1%	19.80		0	0.00	19.80	19.80		0	0.00			
11.1	Separate Girls Toilet	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00		
11.1																		New works not	
	Drinking Water Facility	0	24.02	0		#DIV/0!	0%	24.02		0	3.00	27.02	24.02		0	0.00	24.02	recommended	
	Boundary Wall	0		4		#DIV/0!	3%	77.78		0	0.00	77.78	77.78		0		77.78		
	Separation Wall	0		. 0		#DIV/0!		0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0				
	Electrification	0	22.00	0		#DIV/0!	0%	22.00		0	0.00	22.00	22.00		0				
	Head Master's Room	0		0		#DIV/0!	0%	58.20		Ō	0.00		58.20		0				
	Child Friendly Elements Kitchen Shed	0	5.75 98.00	00	0,000	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0% 0%	5.75 98.00		0	0.00	5.75 98.00	5.75 98.00		0				
	Residential Hostel	- 0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!		0.00			0.00		0.00		0				
11.2	residential Hoster		0.00		0.000	#1010/0:	#51470:	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
11.20	Major Repairs (Primary)	0	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		5	10.50	10.50	0.00		2	5.00	5.00	Recommend for North District only	
11.21	Major Repairs (Upper Primary)	o	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		15	32.50	32.50	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	Not recommended	
	Rooms for Monastic School	0		0		#DIV/0!	15%	76.50		0	0.00	76.50	76.50		0		76.50		
	ACRs for earthquake affected schools	0		0		#DIV/0!	100%	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0				
11.24	Others	0	0.00	0		#DIV/0!		0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		. 0				
ļ	Sub Total of Civil Works	0		23		#DIV/0!	8%	836.62		15	52.00	888.62	836.62		3	5.00			
	Furniture for Govt. UPS	0		0	0.000		#50.401	0.00		2057	0.00		0.00	0.0000	0	0.00			
12.01	No. of Children Sub Total(Furniture)	0		. 0		#DIV/0! #DIV/0!			0.0050	3957 3957	19.79 <b>19.79</b>	19.79 <b>1</b> 9.79	0.00		3957 <b>3957</b>	19.79			
<b></b>	Sub Total (Civil + Furniture)	0		18		#DIV/0!		0.00 836.62		3972	71.79	908.41	836.62	0		19.79 24.79			
13	Teaching Learning Equipment	0		0			#014/0:	0.00		3372	0.00	0.00	0.00	- 0	3960				
	TLE - New Primary	2		0			0%		0.1000	11	1.10	3.00	1.90	0.1000	11				
	TLE - New Upper Primary	0	0.00	0		#DIV/01			0.5000	1	0.50	0.50	0.00				0.50		
	Others	0				#DIV/0!		0.00		Ö		0.00	0.00	3.5000	Ö				
'· <u>·</u>	Sub Total	2		0				1.90	_	12		3.50	1.90		12		3.50		
14	Maintenance Grant	- <del>-</del>		0			7.0	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0		0.00		
	Maintenance Grant for PS & UPS for 3	120	6.00	0			0%		0.0500	218	10.90	10.90	0.00	0.0500	218		10.90		
	Maintenance Grant for more than 3	916		0	0.000		0%		0.1000	643	64.30	64.30	0.00		643	64.30	64.30		
	Sub Total	1036	51.80	0			0%	0.00		861	75.20	75.20	0.00		861	75.20	75.20		
15		0		0				0.00		0	0.00		0.00		0	0.00			
	Primary School	874	17.48	513			59%		0.0500	858	42.90	42.90	0.00		858	42.90			
15	Upper Primary School	288	11.52	181	7.240				0.0700	285	19.95	19.95	0.00	0.0700	285	19.95	19.95		
,	Sub Total	1162	29.00	694	17.500	60%	60%	0.00	اا	1143	62.85	62.85	0.00		1143	62.85	62.85		

### 101

#### ANNUAL WORK PLAN AND BUDGET STATE : SIKKIM (2008-2009)

			····	2007-	2008				Proj	osal fo	r 2008-09				Recomm	endation	for 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achieve	ement		Spill Over	Fre	sh Prop	oosal	Total Proposal	Spill Over	Fresh	Recom	mend	Total Proposal	Remarks
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
16	Research & Evaluation	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		O	0.00	0.00	
16	Research & Evaluation	782	10.95	223	0.250	29%	2%	0.00	0.0130	782	10.17	10.17	0.00	0.0130	782	10.17	10.17	
	Sub Total	782	10.95	223	0.250	29%	2%	0.00		782	10.17	10.17	0.00		782	10.17	10.17	
	Management & Quality	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
17	Management & MIS	0	82.00	0	53.245	#DIV/0!	65%	0.00	#####	4	84.00	84.00	0.00	21.0000	4	82.40	82.40	
17	Learning Enhancement Prog. (LEP)	Ō	0.00	0	0.000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	1.60	1.60	
	Sub Total	0	82.00	0	53.245	#DIV/0!	65%	0.00		4	84.00	84.00	0.00		4	84.00	84.00	
	Innovative Activity	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
	ECCE	122	128.00	122	62.760	100%	49%		0.0900	122	131.76	131.76	0.00	0.0900	122		128.00	
	Girls Education	0	0.00	0				0.00		1245	9.43	9.43	0.00		1245	9,43	9.43	
	SC/ST	0	0.00	0			#DIV/0!		0.0085	8000	67.60	67.60	0.00	0.0085	8000	67.60	67.60	
	Furniture for new monastic schools	0	0.00	0					0.5000	23	11.50	11.50	0.00	0.5000	23		11.50	
	Computer Education	0	60.00	40		#DIV/0!	95%		2,5000	70	199.90	199.90	0.00	2.5000	70		175.15	
18.1	Others (Community Mobilization)	0	12.00	0		#DIV/0!	0%		3.0000	3	12.00	12.00	0.00	3.0000	0	0.00	0.00	
L	Sub Total	122	200.00	162	119.560	133%	60%	0.00		7087	432.19		0.00		7086	391.68	391.68	
19	Community Training	0	0.00	0	0.000			0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		. 0	0.00	0.00	
19	Community Training	4203	2.94	0	0.000	0%			0.0012		4.94	4.94	0.00	0.0006		2.41	2.41	Recommend as per norms
	Sub Total	4203	2.94	0	0.000	0%		0.00		4014	4.94	4.94	0.00		4014	2.41	2.41	
	Total of SSA (Districts)	0	2248.67	4401	804.778	#DIV/0!	36%	838.52	0.00	29252	1982,14	2820.66	838.52	0.00	17380	1611.50	2450.02	
	STATE COMPONENT																	
20	Management		54.10		31.690	#DIV/0!	59%	0.00			62.87	62.87	0.00			62.87	62.87	within 6%
-	REMS					#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	0.00	0.00	800	2.40	2.40	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	Not Recommended
20	SIEMAT						#DIV/01					0.00					0.00	
	Sub Total		54.10		31.690	#DIV/01	59%	0.00		800	65.27	65.27	0.00		0	62.87	62.87	
	STATE SSA TOTAL		2302.79	4401.00	836.468		36%	838.52			2047.41	2885.93	838.52			1674.37	2512.89	

Civil Works % = 0.30 33.49

Management %= 5.02 3.34

Quality %= 55.16

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : NORTH SIKKIM (2008-2009)

District: North Sikkim

(Rs. In Lakhs)

				2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09			Recomn	nendation fo	r 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achiev	ement		Spill	Fre	sh Propo	sal	Dronoso	Spill Over	Fres	h Recomme	nded	Deepood.
	Ī	Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
1	New Schools Openning																
	Upgradation of EGS to PS	0				#DIV/0!			•	0	6				0	1	
	New PS					#DIV/0!											4
1.03	Upgraded/New UPS					#DIV/0!				0					0	1.	1
2	New Teachers Salary				-												
2.01	Primary Teachers ( Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0110	0	0.00	
2.02	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.03	Upper Primary Teachers (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1400	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1400	0	0.00	0.00
2.04	Upper Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
	Upper Primary Teachers - Head Master					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00
	Add.Teacher against PTR																
	New Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1100	42	55.44	55.44			0	0.00	0.00
2.07	New Additional Teachers - PS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/01		0.1100	18	23.76	23.76		0.1100	0	0.00	0.00
2.08	New Additional Teachers-UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1550	14	26.04	26.04	0.00	0.1550	0	0.00	0.00
2.09	New Additional Teachers - UPS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1550	10	18.60	18.60		0.1550	0	0.00	0.00
2.10	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		•	0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	0.00
2.11	New Others (Schoolpeon for UPS)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0750	7	6.30	6.30	0.00	0.0750	0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total (2.01 to 2.11)	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		91	130.14	130.14	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Teachers Salary (Recurring)																
2.12	Primary Teachers ( Regular)	28	32.59	28	32.59		100%	0.00	0.1200	28	40.32	40.32	0.00	0.1200	28	40.32	
2.13	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	0.00
2.14	UP Teachers (Regular)	21	32.76	21	32.76	100%	100%	0.00	0.1550	21	39.06	39.06	0.00	0.1550	21	39.06	39.06
2.15	UP Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
2.16	UP Teachers - Head Master	7	12.60	0	0.00	0%	0%		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : NORTH SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09			Recomn	nendation for	r 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achiev	ement		Эрш		sh Propo	sal	Dronge	Spill Over	Fres	h Recommer	nded	Proposal
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)		Fin.	Cont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
	Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Additional Teachers - PS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	0.00
	Additional Teachers - UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
	Additional Teachers - UPS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.21	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.22	Others (Recurring) Salary of MSTs	36	41.90	<b>3</b> 6	21.60	100%	52%	0.00	0.0800	36	34.56	34.56	0.00	0.0800	36	34.56	34.56
	Sub Total (2.12 to 2.22)	92	119.85	85	86.95	92%	73%	0.00		85	113.94	113.94	0.00		85	113.94	113.94
	SUB TOTAL																
	(New Teachers+Teachers Recurring)	92	119.85	85	86.95	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	0.00		176	244.08	244.08	0.00		85	113.94	113.94
3	Teachers Grant																
	Primary Teachers	457	2.29			0%	0%	0.00	0.0050	550	2.75	2.75	0.00	0.0050	550	2.75	2.75
3.02	Upper Primary Teachers	257	1.29			0%	0%	<b>0</b> .00	0.0050	259	1.30	1.30	0.00	0.0050	259	1.30	1.30
	Sub Total	714	<b>3</b> .57	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		809	4.05	4.05	0.00		809	4.05	4.05
	Block Resource Centre (BRC)/UBRC																
	Salary of Resource Persons	2	• 3.12	2	3.12		100%	0.00	0.1550	4	7.44	7.44	0.00		2	3.72	3.72
	Furniture Grant					#DIV/0!		0.00	1.0000	2	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.0000		0.00	0.00
	Contingency Grant	2	0.25	-2	0.00		0%	0.00	0.2000	4	0.80	0.80	0.00	0.2000	2	0.40	0.40
	Meeting, TA	2	0.12	2	0.00		0%	0.00	0.0 <b>9</b> 00	4	0.36	0.36		0.0900	2	0.18	0.18
4.05	TLM Grant	0	0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0500	4	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.0500	2	0.10	0.10
	Sub Total	2	3.49		<b>3</b> .12	0%	89%	0.00		18	10.80	10.80	0.00		8	4.40	4.40
	Cluster Resource Centres																
	Salary of Resource Persons	18	<b>2</b> 8.08	18	28.08		10 <b>0</b> %	0.00	0.1550	18	33.48	33.48		0.1550	18	33.48	33.48
5.5.2	Furniture Grant	0				#DIV/0!		0.00	0.1000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Contingency Grant	18	0.45	18	0.00	100%	0%	0.00	0.0300	18	0.54	0.54	0.00	0.0300	18	0.54	0.54
	Meeting, TA	18	0.43	18	0.00	100%		0.00	0.0360	18	0.65	0.65			18	0.65	0.65
5.05	TLM Grant	18	0.18	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0100		0.18	0.18		0.0100	18	0.18	0.18
	Sub Total		29.14		28.08	#DIV/0!	96%	0.00		72	34.85	34. <b>8</b> 5	0.00		72	34.85	34.85
6 .	Teachers Training																

				2007	-2008				Propo	sal for 200	08-09			Recomm	nendation fo	or 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achie	vement		Spill		sh Propos	al	TUISI	Spill Over	Fresi	h Recomme	nded	Pannanal
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
6.01	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at BRC)	291	4.07	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0100	100	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.0100	100	1.00	1.00
6.02	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at CRC)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050	100	0.50	0.50		0.0050	100	0.50	0.50
6.03	Induction training for Newly Recruit Teachers	88	1.85	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0300	88	2.64	2.64	0.00	0.0300	88	2.64	2.64
6.04	Training for Untrained Teachers	166	6.97	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0600	166	9.96	9.96	0.00	0.0600	166	9.96	9.96
6.05	Other (DRG/BRG/CRG)	15	0.62	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0100	. 39	0.39	0.39	0.00	0.0050	39	0.20	0.20
	Sub Total	<b>5</b> 60	13.51	0	0.00	0%	0%			493	14.49	14.49			493	14.30	14.30
7	Interventions for OOSC											)					
7.01	EGS Centre (P)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00
7.02	EGS Centre (UP)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.03	Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)	169	10.14	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.04	Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.05	Non Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0150	o	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0150	0	0.00	0.00
7.06	Non Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)			0	0.00		#DIV/0I		0.0150	0.	0.00	0.00		0.0150	0	0.00	0.00
	Back to School	172	0.00	0	0.00	0%	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	LL		0.00	0.00
1	Mobile Schools			0	0.00		#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00
	Madarsa/ Maktab/Monastic			0	<b>0</b> .00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		41	0.00	0.00	0.00		41	0.00	0.00
	AIE Center			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	<u>1</u>		0.00	0.00
7.11	Others (Direct mainstreaming)			0	0.00		#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>	0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total	341	10.14	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		41	0.00	0.00	0.00		41	0.00	0.00
8	Remedial Teching																
8.01	Remedial Teaching for primary	350	2.96			0%	0%		0.0085	150	1.27	1.27		0.0025	150	0.38	0.38
8.02	Remedial Teaching for U/primary					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0120	50	0.60	0.60	~1	0.0025	50	0.13	0.13
	Sub Total	350	2.96	0	0.00					200	1.87	1.87			200	0.50	0.50
9	Free Text Book																
	Free Text Book (P)	0				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		O	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
9.02	Free Text Book (UP)	1737	2.61	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0025	1586	3.97	3.97	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total	1737	2.61	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		1586	3.97	3.97	0.00		0	0.00	0.00

<u> </u>				2007	2008				Propo	sal for 20	<b>08-0</b> 9			Recomm	nendation fo	r 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achie	vement		Spin	Fre	sh Propo	sal	Propose	Spill Over	Fres	h Recomme	nded	Proposal
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
10	Interventions for CWSN (IED)				_												
10.01	Inclusive Education	45	0.54			0%	0%	0.00	0.0120	147	1.76	1.76	l i	0.0120	147	1.76	1.76
	Sub Total	45	0.54	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		147	. 1.76	1.76	0.00	-	147	1.76	1.76
11	Civil Works																
11.01			8.00	0	6.00		75%	2.00		0	0.00	2.00	2.00		0	0.00	2.00
11.02	CRC		4.90	0	4:90		100%	0.00		0	0.00	0. <b>0</b> 0	0.00		o	0.00	0.00
11.03	Primary School (new)		19.01			#DIV/0!	0%	19.01	6.0000	1	6.00	25.01	19.01	0.0000	. 1	0.00	19.01
11.04	Upper Primary (new)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Building Less (Pry)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00
11.06	Building Less (UP)		0.18			#DIV/0!	0%	0.18				0.18	0.18			S. G.	0.18
11.07	Dilapidated Bullding (Pry)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00			1 11	0.00
11.08	Dilapidated Building (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00
	Additional Class Room		10.15		9.15		90%	1.00	1.5000	0	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.5000	0	0.00	1.00
	Toilet/Urinals			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.2000	.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.2000	0	0.00	0.00
	Separate Girls Toilet					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00					0.00				
	Drinking Water Facility		0.17			#DIV/0!	0%	0.17		0	3.00	3.17	0.17		0	0.00	0.17
	Boundary Wall		1.06	0	0.00	l	0%	1.06		0	0.00	1.06	1.06		0	0.00	1.06
1	Separation Wall					#DIV/0!		0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
1	Electrification		1.90			#DIV/0!	0%	1.90				1.90	1.90				1.90
11.16	Head Master's Room		15.00			#DIV/0!	0%	15.00				15.00	15.00				15.00
11.17	Child Friendly Elements					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
11.18	Kitchen Shed		0.75			#DIV/0!	0%	0.75				0.75	0.75				0.75
11.19	Residential Hostel					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0:	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Major Repairs (Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	2.5000	2	5.00	5.00	0.00	2.5000	2	5.00	5.00
11.21	Major Repairs (Upper Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	2.5000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.5000	0	0.00	0.00
11.22	Rooms for Monastic School		31.80		13.80	#DIV/0!	43%	18.00		0	0.00	18.00	18.00		0	0.00	18.00
11.23	ACRs for earthquake affected schools		0.90		0.90	#DIV/0!	100%	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
11.24	Others					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total of Civil Works		93.82	0	34.75	#DIV/0!	37%	59.07		3	14.00	73.07	59.07		3	5.00	64.07
12	Furniture fore Gove UPS									4							
12.01	No. of Children					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050	800	4.00	4.00		0.0050	800	4.00	4.00
	Sub Total(Furniture)	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			800	4.00	4.00			800	4.00	4.00
	Sub Total (Civil + Furniture)	0	93.82	0	34.75	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	59.07	0	803	18.00	77.07	59.07	0	803	9.00	68.07
13	Teaching Learning Equipment																
13.01	TLE - New Primary	0	0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1000	0	0.00	0.00

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : NORTH SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09		7	Recomm	nendation fo	r 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achie	vement		Spin		sh Propo	sai	Disenses	Spill Over	Fres	h Recomme	nded	Proposal
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)		Fin.	Onit	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
13.02	TLE - New Upper Primary					#DIV/0!		0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00
13.03	Others					# <b>D</b> IV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
***	Maintenance Grant																
	Maintenance Grant for PS & UPS for 3 rooms	120	6.00			0%	0%	0.00	0.0500	52	2.60	2.60	0.00	0.0500	52	2.60	2.60
14.02	Maintenance Grant for more than 3 rooms					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1000	58	5.80	5.80	0.00	0.1000	58	5.80	5.80
	Sub Total	120	6.00	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		110	8.40	8.40	0.00		110	8.40	8.40
15	School Grant																
15.01	Primary School	108	2.16	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0500	108	5.40	5.40	0.00	0.0500	108	5.40	5.40
15.02	Upper Primary School	30	1.20	0	0.00	. 0%	0%	0.00	0.0700	30	2.10	2.10	0.00	0.0700	30	2.10	2.10
	Sub Total	138	3.36	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		138	7.50	7.50	0.00		138	7.50	7.50
1 1	Research & Evaluation																
16.01	Research & Evaluation	90	1.26		0.00	I	0%	0.00	0.0130	90	1.17	1.17	0.00	0.0130	90	1.17	1.17
	Sub Total	90	1.26	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		90	1.17	1.17	0.00		90	1.17	1.17
17	Management & Quality																
17.01	Management & MIS		20.00		7.00	#DIV/0!	35%	0.00	21.0000	1	21.00	21.00		21.0000	1	20.60	20. <b>6</b> 0
17.02	Learning Enhancement Producted The Party Harry					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00	0.00			0	0.40	0.40
	Sub Total	0	20.00	0	7.00	#DIV/0!	35%	0.00		1	21.00	21.00	0.00		1	21.00	21.00
	Innovative Activity																
18.01		36	37.77	36	21.60		57%	0.00	0.0900	36	38.88	38.88		l	36	37.77	37.77
	Girls Education					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0040	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
1 <b>8</b> .03	SC/ST					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0085	4000	33.80	33.80	0.00	0.0085	4000	33.80	33.80
18.04	Furniture for new monastic schools					#DIV/0!	#D!V/0!	0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00
18.05	Computer Education		15.00	10	14.20	#DIV/0!	95%	0.00	2.9500	10	29.50	29.50		2.9500	10	25.03	<b>2</b> 5.03
18.06	Others (Community Mobilization)		3.00			#DIV/0!	0%	0.00	3.0000	0	3.00	3.00	0.00	3.0000	0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total	36	55.77	46	35.80	128%	64%	0.00		4046	105.18	105.18	0.00		4046	96.60	96.60
19	Community Training		•														
19.01	Community Training	540	0.32			0%	0%	0.00	0.001,2	540	0.66	0.66			540	0.32	0.32
	Sub Total	540	0.32	. 0	0.00		0%	0.00		540	0.66	0.66		1	540	0.32	0.32
	Total of SSA (Districts)		366.34	131.00	195.70	#DIV/0!	53%	59.07	0.00	9360	477.77	536.84	59.07	0.00	7582	317.79	376.85

Civil Works % = 1.57 17.00

Management % = 6.61 5.57

## Annual Work Plan Budget District: EAST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

District: East Sikkim

(Rs. In Lakhs)

****	Last Oinnill			2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09			Recomme	endation for	2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved			vement		3pm		sh Propo		TOTAL	Spill Over		Recomme		Total
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Ont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
1	New Schools Openning																
1.01	Upgradation of EGS to PS	0				#DIV/0!				0	,				0	1 1	
	New PS					#DIV/0!							•			100	
1.03	Upgraded/New UPS					#DIV/0!				0					0		
2	New Teachers Salary																
2.01	Primary Teachers ( Regular)			•		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	1
2.02	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.03	Upper Primary Teachers (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1400	.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1400	0	0.00	0.00
2.04	Upper Primary Teachers (Para)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
	Upper Primary Teachers - Head Master					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00
	Add.Teacher against PTR				-												
2.06	New Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1100	0	0.00	
2.07	New Additional Teachers - PS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1100	0	0.00	0.00		0.1100	0	0.00	0.00
2.08	New Additional Teachers-UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0. <b>155</b> 0	0	0.00	0.00		l	0	0.00	
2.09	New Additional Teachers - UPS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1550	. 0	0.00	0.00		0.1550	0	0.00	1
2.10	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	I
2.11	New Others (Schoolpeon for UPS)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0750	10	9.00	9.00	0.00	0.0000	0'	0.00	1
	Sub Total (2.01 to 2.11)	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	0.00		10	9.00	9.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Teachers Salary (Recurring)																
2.12	Primary Teachers ( Regular)	20	23.28	20	23.00	<u> </u>	99%		0.1200	20			l	0.1200	20	28.80	1
	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00				0	0.00	1
2.14	UP Teachers (Regular)	20	31.20	20	40.90	100%	131%		0.1550	20	<u> </u>			1	20	37.20	1
2.15	UP Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00				0	0.00	
2.16	UP Teachers - Head Master	10	18.00	0	0.00	0%	0%		0.1700	10	20.40	20.40		0.1700	10	20.40	20.40

## Annual Work Plan Budget District : EAST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-	2008	<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Propo	sal for 20	08-09		····	Recomm	endation for	2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achle	vement		Spin	rre	sh Propos	331	Total	Spill Over	Frest	Recommen	ded	Deserved
	Ť	Phy.	Fln	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
2.17	Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	1.5	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
2.18	Additional Teachers - PS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00	0.00			- 0	0.00	0.00
2.19	Additional Teachers - UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
2.20	Additional Teachers - UPS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.21	Teachers under OBB	<del></del>			<del></del>	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.22	Others (Recurring) Salary of MSTs	56	65.18	56	<b>2</b> 6.37	100%	40%	0.00	0.0800	56	53.76	53.76	0.00	0.0800	56	53.76	53.76
···	Sub Total (2.12 to 2.22)	106	137.66	96	90.27	91%	66%	0.00		106	140.16	140.16	0.00		106	140.16	140.16
	SUB TOTAL (New Teachers+Teachers Recurring)	106	137.66	96	90.27	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		116	149.16	149.16	0.00		106	140.16	140.16
3	Teachers Grant	1110	7.00			00/	00/	0.00	0.0050	1417	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.0050	1447	7.00	7.09
	Primary Teachers	1418				0%	0% 0%	1		642	7.09 3.21	7.09	0.00		1417	7.09 3.21	3.21
3.02	Upper Primary Teachers	621	3.11			0%		0.00	0.0050				0.00	0.0050	642		
	Sub Total	2039	10.20	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		2059	10.30	10.30	0.00		2059	10.30	10.30
4	Block Resource Centre (BRC)/UBRC						9004		0.4550		14.00	44.00		0.4550		- 5.50	
	Salary of Resource Persons	3	4.68	3	4.65	l	99%	0.00	0.1550	8	14.88	14.88	0.00	0.1550	3	5.58	5.58
	Furniture Grant	<u></u>			·	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	1.0000	5	5.00	5.00	0.00	1.0000		0.00	0.00
	Contingency Grant	3	0.38		0.46	0%	0% 100%	0.00	0.2000	· 8	1.60 0.72	1.60 0.72	0.00	0.2000	3	0.60 0.27	0. <b>6</b> 0 0. <b>2</b> 7
	Meeting, TA	3	0.18	3	0.18			0.00	0.0500	6	0.30	0.72	0.00	0.0500	3	0.27	0.27
4.05	TLM Grant				4.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0! 92%		0.0500		22.50	22.50	l	0.0500	12	6.60	6.60
	Sub Total	2	5.24		4.83	0%	92%	0.00		35	22.50	22.50	0.00		12	0.00	0.00
5	Cluster Resource Centres						0004	- 0.00	0.4550	0.7	20.00	00.00	0.00	0.4550		- 0 00	
	Salary of Resource Persons	37	57.72	37	53.44	1	93%	0.00	0.1550	37	68.82	68.82	0.00	0.1550	37	68.82	68.82
	Furniture Grant					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1000	l	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1000	U	0.00	0.00
	Contingency Grant	37	l .			0%	0%	0.00	0.0300	1 1	1.11	1.11	0.00	0.0300	37	1.11	1.11
	Meeting, TA	37		37	0.89	1	100%	0.00	0.0360		1.33	1.33		0.0360	37	1.33	1.33
5.05	TLM Grant	37				0%	0%	0.00	0.0100	· 37	0.37	0.37	0.00	0.0100	37	0.37	0.37
	Sub Total		59.90		54.33	#DIV/01	91%	0.00		148	71.63	71.63	0.00		148	71.63	71.63
6	Teachers Training																
	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at BRC)	500	7.00			0%	0%	0.00	0.0100	300	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.0100	300	3.00	3.00
	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at CRC)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050	300	1.50	1.50	, ,	0.0050	300	1.50	1.50
	Induction training for Newly Recruit Teachers	100	I			0%	0%	0.00	0.0300	100	3.00	3.00		0.0300	100	3.00	3.00
	Training for Untrained Teachers	200	8.40			0%	0%	0.00	0.0600		6.00	6.00	0.00	0.0600	100	6.00	6.00
6.0 <b>5</b>	Other (DRG/BRG/CRG)	10				0%	0%	0.00	0.0100		0.45	0.45	0.00	0.0050	45	0.23	0. <b>2</b> 3
	Sub Total	810	17.91	0	0.00	0%	0%			845	13.95	13.95			845	13.73	13.73

## Annual Work Plan Budget District: EAST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09			Recomm	endation for	2008-09	•
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achiev	rement		Spin	Fre	sh Propo	sal	Dropos	Spill Over	Frest	h Recomme	nded	Proposol
	·	Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cook	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
7	Interventions for OOSC																
7.01	EGS Centre (P)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00
7.02	EGS Centre (UP)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.03	Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.04	Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.05	Non Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)	200	3.07	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.0150	100	1.50	1.50	0.00	0.0150	100	1.50	1.50
7.06	Non Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0150	0	0.00	0.00		0.0150	0	0.00	0.00
7.07	Back to School			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
7.08	Mobile Schools			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
7.09	Madarsa/ Maktab/Monastic			0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		10	0.00	0.00	0.00		10	0.00	0.00
7.10	AIE Center	605	9.29	245	3.76	40%	40%	0.00	0.0154	330	5.07	5.07	0.00	0.0154	330	5.07	5.07
7.11	Others (Sanskrit Pathasala)	222	0.00	Ö	0.00	0%	#DIV/0!	0.00		71	0.00	0.00	0.00		71	0.00	
	Sub Total	1027	12.36	245	3.76	24%	30%	0.00		511	<b>6.5</b> 7	6.57	0.00		511	6.57	6.57
8	Remedial Teching																
	Remedial Teaching for primary	1000	8.45			0%	0%		0.0085	0	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>	0.0025	0	0.00	
8.02	Remedial Teaching for U/primary					#DIV/01	#DIV/0!		0.0120	0	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>	0.0025	0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total	1000	8.45	0	0.00					0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	0.00
9	Free Text Book							0.00			0.00		0.00			0.00	
	Free Text Book (P)					#DIV/0!	#D!V/0!		4 0000	0	0.00	0.00		L	0	0.00	0.00
9.02	Free Text Book (UP)	8431	12.65			0%	0%	0.00	0.0025		25.10				0	0.00	0.00
j	Sub Total	8431	12.65	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		10038	25.10	<b>2</b> 5.10	0.00		0	0.00	0.00

## Annual Work Plan Budget District: EAST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007	-2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09	•		Recomm	endation for	2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB A	proved		Achie	/ement		Shiii		sh Propos	sal	December	Spill Over	Fres	n Recomme	nded	Proposel
	·	Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
10	Interventions for CWSN (IED)	· · · · ·		-													
10.01	Inclusive Education					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0120	, 198	2.38	2.38	0.00	0.0120	198	2.38	2.38
	Sub Total	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	0.00		198	2.38	2.38	0.00		198	2.38	2.38
	Civil Works																
11.01	BRC		4.80		0.90	#DIV/0!	19%	3.90		0	0.00	3.90	3.90		0	0.00	3.90
11.02	CRC		8.90		0.90	#DIV/0!	10%	8.00		0	0.00	8.00	8.00		0	0.00	8.00
11.03	Primary School (new)		3.53		1.80	#DIV/0!	51%	1.73	6.0000	0	0.00	1.73	1.73	6.0000	0	0.00	1.73
11.04	Upper Primary (new)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
11.05	Building Less (Pry)		<del> </del>			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00			1 1	0.00
11.06	Building Less (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00
11.07	Dilapidated Building (Pry)		<u> </u>		l	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00
11.08	Dilapidated Building (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		٠.		0.00	0.00			1	0.00
11.09	Additional Class Room		95.10		3.30	#DIV/0!	3%	91.80	1.5000	0	0.00	91.80	91.80	1.5000	0	0.00	91.80
-11.10	Toilet/Urinals		14.00			#DIV/0!	0%	14.00		0	0.00	14.00	14.00		0	0.00	14.00
11.11	Separate Girls Toilet					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00					0.00				
11.12	Drinking Water Facility		7.35			#DIV/01	0%	7.35		0	0.00	7.35		l i	0	0.00	1
11.13	Boundary Wall		33.85		0.73	#DIV/0!	2%	33.12		0	0.00	33.12	33.12	1 1	0	0.00	33.12
11.14	Separation Wall					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00	L	0	0.00	0.00
11.15	Electrification		6.40			#DIV/0!	0%	6.40				6.40	6.40			•	6.40
	Head Master's Room		15.00	I		#DIV/0!	0%	15.00				15.00	15.00				15.00
	Child Friendly Elements		2.50	1		#DIV/0!	0%	2.50			0.00	2.50	1			0.00	2.50
11.18	Kitchen Shed		36.29			#DIV/0!	0%	36.29				36.29	1				36.29
11.19	ResidentialiHostel: # 12 # 2 # 2 # 2 # 2 # 2 # 2 # 2 # 2 #					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0		0.00		1 1	0	0.00	
11.20	Major Repairs (Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	1.5000	2	3.00	3.00		1.5000	0	0.00	1
11.21	Major Repairs (Upper Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	2.0000	10	20.00	20.00	i	1	0	0.00	1
11.22	Rooms for Monastic School		19.50			#D(V/0!		19.50		0	0.00	19.50	19.50		0	0.00	1
11.23	ACRs for earthquake affected schools		1		<u> </u>	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	0.00
11.24	Others		I			#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total of Civil Works		247.22	0	7.63	#DIV/0!	3%	239.59		12	23.00	262.59	<b>239.5</b> 9		0	0.00	239.59
	Europen of Govern Print Burn Control																
12.01	Notal Shildren: A Property of the Shipping of					#DIV/0!			0.0050	1000	5.00	5.00		0.0050	1000		5.00
	Sub Total(Furniture)		0.00		0.00	#DIV/0I	#DIV/01			1000	5.00	5.00			1000	5.00	5.00
	Sub Total (Civil + Furniture)	) (	247.22	2 0	7.63	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	239.59	0	1012	28.00	267.59	239.59	0	1000	5.00	244.59

### Annual Work Flats Duuge. District: EAST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09			Reco <b>m</b> m	endation for	2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achiev	rement		Spill -Over	Fre	sh Propo	sal	Propos	Spill Over	Fresi	n Recomme	nded	Proposal
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Cont	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
13	Teaching Learning Equipment																
	TLE - New Primary	0	0.00			#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1000	0	0.00	0.00
13.02	TLE - New Upper Primary					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00
13.03	Others					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	ე.00		0	0.00	0.00
	Sub Total	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00
14	Maintenance Grant		I														
.14.01	Maintenance Grant for PS & UPS for 3 rooms					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0500	37	1.85	1.85		0.0500	37	1.85	
14.02	Maintenance Grant for more than 3 rooms	339	16.95			0%	0%	0.00	0.1000	<b>2</b> 33	23.30	23.30	0.00	0.1000	233	23.30	23.30
	Sub Total	339	16.95	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		270	25.15	25.15	0.00		270	25.15	25.15
15	School Grant																
	Primary School	270	5.40	270	5.40	100%	100%	0.00	0.0500	270	13.50	13.50	0.00	0.0500	270	13.50	13.50
15.02	Upper Primary School	97	3.88	97	3.88	100%	100%	0.00	0.0700	97	6.79	6.79	1	0.0700	97	6.79	
	Sub Total	367	9.28	367	9.28	100%	100%	0.00		367	20.29	20.29	0.00		367	20.29	20.29
16	Research & Evaluation																
16.01	Research & Evaluation	242	3.39			0%	0%	0.00	0.0130	242	3.15	3.15	1	0.0130	242	3.15	L
	Sub Total	242	3.39	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		242	3.15	3.15	0.00		242	3.15	3.15
	Management & Quality																
1	Management & MIS		21.00		20.50	#DIV/0!	98%	0.00	21.0000	1	21.00	21.00	0.00	21.0000	1	20.60	20.60
17.02	Learning Enhancement Prog. (LEP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			0	0.00	0.00	1		0	0.40	0.40
	Sub Total	0	21.00	0	20.50	#DIV/0!	98%	0.00		1	21.00	21.00	0.00		1	21.00	21.00
	Innovative Activity													0.000			
18.01		20	20.98	20	10.80	100%	51%	0.00	0.0900	20	21.60	21.60	1	0.0900	20		
–	Girls Education					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0085	1000	8.45	8.45	l	0.0085	1000	8.45	
L	SC / ST					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0085	2000	16.90	16.90		0.0085	2000	16.90	1
	Furniture for new monastic schools					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0I	0.00	0.5000	0	0.00	0.00			0	0.00	
	Computer Education		15.00	10	14.20	l	95%		2.9000	20		58.00		1	20	50.04	l
18.06	Others (Community Mobilization)		3.00			#DIV/0!	0%		3.0000	1	3.00	3.00			0	0.00	1
	Sub Total	20	3 <b>8</b> .98	30	25.00	150%	64%	0.00		3041	107.95	107.95	0.00		3040	96.37	96.37
1.5	Community Training																
19.01	Community Training	1650	1.00			0%	0%		0.0012		1.23	1.23	l	0.0006	1000	0.60	
	Sub Total	1650	1.00		0.00	1				1000	1.23	1.23	i		1000	0.60	
	Total of SSA (Districts)		602.18	738	215.60	#DIV/01	36%	239.59	0.00	19892	508.34	747.92	239.59	0.00	9798	422.91	662.50

Civil Works % Management %

Civil Works % =

36.16

Management 76 - 4.57

3.17

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : WEST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

District: West Sikkim (Rs. in Lakhs)

				2007-	2008	<del></del>			Pro	posal for	2008-09	····		Recomm	nendation fo	r 2008-09	
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved	<del></del>	Achiev	ement		Spill Over	F	resh Prop	osai	Despesal	Spill Over	Fres	h Recomme	nded	Dropped
i		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Onit	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.
	New Schools Openning																
1.01	Upgradation of EGS to PS	3				0%				3					3		
1.02	New PS				•	#DIV/0I											
1.03	Upgraded/New UPS					#DIV/0!											
2	New Teachers Salary																
2.01	Primary Teachers ( Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1100	6	7.92	7.92	0.00	0.1100	6	6.60	6.60
2.02	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.03	Upper Primary Teachers (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1400	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1400	0	0.00	1
2.04	Upper Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	1
2.05	Upper Primary Teachers - Head Master					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00		0.1700	0	0.00	0.00
	Add.Teacher against PTR																
2.06	New Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)	-				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
2.07	New Additional Teachers - PS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.08	New Additional Teachers-UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	
2.09	New Additional Teachers - UPS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00
2.10	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00	1			0.00	1
2.11	New Others (Schoolpeon for UPS)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0750		1	13.50	1		0	0.00	1
	Sub Total (2.01 to 2.11)	0	0.00	0	<b>0</b> .00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		21	21.42	21.42	0.00	ļ 	6	6.60	6.60
	Teachers Salary (Recurring)												<u> </u>	0.4000		07.14	
	Primary Teachers ( Regular)	26	30.26	18	18.31	69%	61%	0.00	0.1200	, 26		l		0.1200	26		37.44
	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0				0.00	L				0.00	
	UP Teachers (Regular)	30	46.80	30	44.12		94%		0.1550	30		55.80	1	ļ	30		1
	UP Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00			0.00	1	1			0.00	
2.16	UP Teachers - Head Master	15	27.00	6	9.02		33%	<u> </u>	0.1700	15			I	0.1700	15		<u> </u>
	Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	<b>0</b> .00			0.00			)		0.00	1
	Additional Teachers - PS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0				0.00					0.00	<u> </u>
	Additional Teachers - UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00			0.00			<u>'</u>		0.00	1
	Additional Teachers - UPS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0				0.00	l	1	ļ		0.00	
1	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	I			0.00	L				0.00	1
2.22	Others (Recurring) Salary of MSTs	26		L	1	1	42%		0.0800		1	1	1				
	Sub Total (2.12 to 2.22)	97			84.21	80%	63%			97	1	1	<u> </u>		97		
	SUB TOTALO(New Teachers+Teachers Recurring)	97	134.33	78	84.21	#DIV/01	#DIV/0	0.00		118	170.22	170.22	0.00	)	103	155.40	155.40
3	Teachers Grant												<u> </u>				
3.01	Primary Teachers	1148		1		0%	0%	4			1	1	1 .	1		1	1
3.02	Upper Primary Teachers	314	1.			0%	0%			<u> 1</u>	1	1	L			1	1
	Sub Total	1462	7.51	(	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	1	1538	7.69	7.69	0.00		1538	7.69	7.69

### Annual Work Plan and Buuget District : WEST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

		2007-2008							Pro	posal for	2ป๋08-09		Recommendation for 2008-09					
S.No.	Activity	PAB Approved			Achiev	rement		Spili Over		resh Prop	osal	Proposal	Spill Over	Fres	h Recommer	nded	Proposal	
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Unit	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
4	Block Resource Centre (BRC)/UBRC																	
4.01	Salary of Resource Persons	2	3.12	2	3.10	100%	99%	0.00	0.1550	1 3	11.16	11.16	0.00	0.1550	2	3.72	3.72	
4.02	Furniture Grant					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	1.0000	4	4.00	4.00	0.00	1.0000		0.00	0.00	
4.03	Contingency Grant	2	0.25	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	0.2000		1.20	1.20	0.00	0.2000	2	0.40	0.40	
4.04	Meeting, TA	2	0.12			0%	0%	0.00	0.0900		0.54	0.54	0.00	0.0900	2	0.18	0.18	
4.05	TLM Grant	0	0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0500	4	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.0500	2	0.10	0.10	
	Sub Total		3.49		3.10	#DIV/01	89%	0.00			17.10	17.10	0.00			4.40	4.40	
5	Cluster Resource Centres										· .							
	Salary of Resource Persons	38	59.28	38	53.72		91%	0.00	0.1550			70.68	0.00	0.1550	38	70.68	70.68	
	Furniture Grant					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1000	!l	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1000		0.00	0.00	
	Contingency Grant	38	0.95			0%	0%	0.00	0.0300	1		1.14	0.00	0.0300	38	1.14	1.14	
	Meeting, TA	38	0.91	38	0.00	100%	0%	0.00	0.0360		li	1.37	0.00	0.0360	38	1.37	1.37	
5.05	TLM Grant	38	0.38			0%	0%	0.00	0.0100	38		0.38	0.00	0.0100	38	0.38	0.38	
	Sub Total		61.52		53.72	#DIV/0!	87%	0.00			73.57	<b>73</b> .57	0.00			73.57	73.57	
6	Teachers Training																	
	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at BRC)	525	7.35	300	4.20	57%	57%	0.00	0.0100		4.00	4.00	0.00	0.0100	400	4.00	4.00	
	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at CRC)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050			2.00		0.0050	400	2.00	2.00	
	Induction training for Newly Recruit Teachers	75				0%	0%		0.0300			1.50	0.00	0.0300	50	1.50	1.50	
	Training for Untrained Teachers	550	23.10	L		0%	0%	0.00	0.0600			12.00	0.00	0.0600	200	12.00	12.00	
6.05	Other (DRG/BRG/CRG)	7	0.29			0%	0%		0.0100		0.07	0.07	0.00	0.0050	7	0.04	0.04	
	Sub Total	1157	32.31	300	4.20	26%	13%			1057	19.57	19.57			1057	19.54	19.54	
7	Interventions for OOSC																	
	EGS Centre (P)	103	1.58	79	1.21		77%	1	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00	
	EGS Centre (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
	Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
	Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
	Non Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)	50				0%	0%		0.0150		1. 1	0.83	0.00	0.0150	55	0.83	0.83	
	Non Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)	150	4.45			0%	0%		0.0150	95		1.43		0.0150	95	1.43	1.43	
	Back to School			l		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
	Mobile Schools					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00			0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00	
	Madarsa/ Maktab/Monastic					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		<b>5</b> 0		0.00	0.00		50		0.00	
	AIE Center					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7,11	Others (Direct mainstreaming)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00			0.00		0.00	L		0.00	0.00	
	Sub Total	303	6.80	79	1.21	26%	18%	0.00		200	2.25	2.25	0.00		200	2.25	2.25	
8	Remedial Teching							L		ļ <u>.</u>			ļ					
	Remedial Teaching for primary	0	0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0		0.0085	1				0.0025	700	1.75	1.75	
8.02	Remedial Teaching for U/primary	1000	.12.00			0%	0%	1	0.0120	L		7.20	<b></b>	0.0025	600	1.50	1.50	
	Sub Total	1000	12.00	0	0.00	1		ļ		1300	13.12	13.12	<b></b>		1300	3.25	3.25	
9	Free Text Book	<b></b>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				ļ								
9.01	Free Text Book (P)	ļ	1		l	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	l		0.00	0.00	

# Annual Work Plan and Budget District : WEST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007	2008			-2009)	Pro	posal for	2008-09		Recommendation for 2008-09						
S.No.	Activity	DAR A	2007-2008 PAB Approved Achievement							resh Prop		rotar	Spill Over Fresh Recommended Process						
J.110.	Acuthy	Phy.	Fin	Phy.		Phy. (%)	Fin (%)	Spill Over Fin.	UTIL	Phy.	Fin.	Fin,	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.		
9.02	Free Text Book (UP)	4665	7.30		1 1111.	0%	0%	0.00	0.0025	5527	13.82	13.82	0.00	0.0025	0	0.00	0.00		
3.02	Sub Total	4865	7.30		0.00	0%	0%	0.00		5 <b>52</b> 7	13.82	13.82	0.00		0	0.00	0.00		
10	Interventions for CWSN (IED)																		
	Inclusive Education	174	2.09			0%	0%	0.00	0.0120	196	2.35	2.35	0.00	0.0120	196	2.35	2.35		
1515 7	Sub Total	174	2.09	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		196	2.35	2.35	0.00		196	2.35	2.35		
11	Civil Works																		
11.01	BRC		2.00	0		#DIV/0!	0%	2.00			0.00	2.00	2 00	ļ		0.00	2.00		
11.02			49.60	0		#DIV/0!	0%	49.60			0.00	49.60	49.60			0.00	49.60		
	Primary School (new)		31.13	3	9.00	#DIV/0!	29%	22.13	6.0000	0	0.00	22.13	22.13	6.0000	0	0.00	22.13		
	Upper Primary (new)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
	Building Less (Pry)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	,			0.00	0.00				0.00		
	Building Less (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00		
11.07	Dilapidated Building (Pry)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00		
	Dilapidated Building (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00		
	Additional Class Room		57.51	2	3.00	#DIV/0!	5%	54.51	1.5000		0.00	54.51	54.51	1.5000		0.00	54.51		
	Toilet/Urinals		0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00		
	Separate Girls Toilet					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00					0.00						
	Drinking Water Facility		0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00		1		0.00	0.00		
	Boundary Wall		22.00	0	0.00		0%	22.00			0.00		1	1		0.00	22.00		
	Separation Wall					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00		
	Electrification		4.70			#DIV/0!	0%	4.70				4.70	4.70				4.70		
	Head Master's Room		15.00		ļ	#DIV/0!	0%				0.00	15.00	15.00			0.00	15.00		
	Child Friendly Elements		0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			<b>0</b> .00		1	l —		0.00	0.00 25.71		
	Kitchen Shed		25.71			#DIV/0!	0%	25.71			0.00	25.71	25.71		-	0.00			
	Residential Hostel					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.5000		0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00		
	Major Repairs (Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	2.5000	1	2.50	2.50	1	1	U	0.00	0.00		
	Major Repairs (Upper Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		2.5000	5		12.50	0.00		0	0.00	0.00		
	Rooms for Monastic School		19.50			#DIV/0!	0%	Li			0.00		1			0.00	19.50		
	ACRs for earthquake affected schools					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00		11		0.00	0.00		
11.24	Others		<u> </u>			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00		
	Sub Total of Civil Works		227.15	5	12.00	#DIV/0!	5%	215.15			15.00	230.15	215.15			0.00	215.15		
	Furniture for Govt UPS				ļ				0.0050	1000				0.0050	4000				
12.01	No. of Ghildren		<u> </u>			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050	1000	1		L	0.0050	1000	5.00	5.00		
	Sub Total(Furniture)	0	0.00		. 0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	015.65		1000			1		1000	5.00	5.00		
	Sub Total (Civil + Furniture)	0	227.15		12.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	215.15	0	1000	20.00	235.15	215.15	0	1000	5.00	220.15		
13	Teaching Learning Equipment	ļ <u>.</u>				450.42		4.50	0.4000	ļ <u> </u>	0.00		ļ	0.4000		- 0.00	2.22		
	TLE - New Primary	0	1.70			#DIV/0!	0%		0.1000		0.30				3	0.30	2.00		
	TLE - New Upper Primary					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	0.5000	<u> </u>	0.00		L	1 1	0	0.00	0.00		
13.03	Others	L	ļ <u></u>	L		#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	0.00		<del> </del>	0.00	0.00		I		0.00	0.00		
L	Sub Total		1.70	L	0.00	#DIV/01	0%	1.70		] 3	0.30	2.00	1.70	l!	3	0.30	2.00		

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : WEST SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-	2008				Pro	posal for	2008-09		Recommendation for 2008-09					
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achiev	ement		Spill Over		resh Prop	osal	Dromonal	Spill Over	Fres	h Recomme	nded	Proposal	
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Omit	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
14	Maintenance Grant																	
14.01	Maintenance Grant for PS & UPS for 3 rooms					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0500		2.55			0.0500	51	2.55	2.55	
14.02	Maintenance Grant for more than 3 rooms	323	16.15			0%	0%	0.00	0.1000		18.60	18.60	0.00	0.1000	186	18.60	18.60	
	Sub Total	323	16.15	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		237	21.15	21.15	0.00		237	21.15	21.15	
15	School Grant		,															
	Primary School	253	5.06			0%	0%	0.00	0.0500	237	11.85	11.85		0.0500	237	11.85	¹1.85	
15.02	Upper Primary School	77	3.08	,		0%	0%	0.00	0.0700	74	5.18	5.18	0.00	0.0700	74	5.18	5.18	
	Sub Total	330	8.14	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		311	17.03	17.03	0.00		311	17.03	17.03	
16	Research & Evaluation																	
16.01	Research & Evaluation	227	3.18			0%	0%	0.00	0.0130	226	2.94	2.94	0.00	0.0130	226	2.94	2.94	
	Sub Total	227	3.18	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		226	2.94	2.94	0.00		226	2.94	2.94	
17	Management & Quality																	
17.01	Management & MIS		20.00		12.24	#DIV/0!	61%	0.00	21.0000	1	21.00	21.00	0.00	21.0000	1	20.60	20.60	
17.02	Learning Entrancement (CER)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		,		0.00					0.40	0.40	
	Sub Total	0	20.00	0	12.24	#DIV/0!	61%	<b>0</b> .00		1	21.00	21.00	0.00		1	21.00	21.00	
	Innovative Activity																	
	ECCE	29	30.43	29	16.27	100%	53%	0.00	0.0900		31.32	L			29	30.43	30.43	
	Girls Education					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0040		I	l			245	0.98	0.98	
	SC/ST					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0085						1000	8.45	8.45	
	Furniture for new monastic schools					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.5000	13	L	L			13	6.50	6.50	
	Computer Education		15.00	10	14.20	#DIV/0!	95%		2.8100		l				20	50.04	50.04	
18.06	Others (Community Mobilization)		3.00			#DIV/0!			3.0000	1	3.00				- 0	0.00	0.00	
	Sub Total	29	48.43	39	30.47	134%	63%	0.00		ļ	106.45	106.45	0.00			96.40	96.40	
19	Community Training								0.0040	/ / / / / /	4.00	4.66	- 000	0.0000	4244	0.04	0.04	
19.01	Community Training	1363				0%			0.0012				l		1344	0.81		
	Sub Total				0.00	I			l	1344	i	l		1 1	1344	0.81		
	Total of SSA (Districts)		592.90	496.00	201.15	#DIV/0!	34%	216.85	0.00		519.20	727.05	216.85	0.00		433.07	649.91	

Civil Works%

Civil works % = 0.00 33.10

Management %

Management % = . 4.85 3.23

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : SOUTH SIKKIM (2008-2009)

District: South Sikkim

(Rs. In Lakhs)

				2007	-2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09		Recommendation for 2008-09					
S.No.	Activity	PAB A	proved		Achie	vement		Spin	Fre	sh Propo	sal	Dronge	Spill Over	Fres	h Recomme	nded	Dramanal	
-		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Coot	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
1	New Schools Openning																	
1.01	Upgradation of EGS to PS	8		0		0%				8					8	1.		
	New PS					#DIV/0!										•		
1.03	Upgraded/New UPS					#DIV/0!				1	٠				1		11	
2	New Teachers Salary							-										
2.01	Primary Teachers ( Regular)				-	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1100	16	21.12	21.12	0.00	0.1100	16	17.60	17.60	
2.02	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00		,		0.00	0.00	
2.03	Upper Primary Teachers (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1400	2	3.36	3.36	0.00	0.1400	2	2.80	2.80	
2.04	Upper Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
2.05	Upper Primary Teachers - Head Master					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.1700	1	2.04	2.04		0.1700	1	1.70	1.70	
	Add.Teacher against PTR																	
2.06	New Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
2.07	New Additional Teachers - PS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	
2.08	New Additional Teachers-UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0I	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00					0.00		
	New Additional Teachers - UPS (language)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00		L			0.00		
	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00	<u> </u>			0.00	1	
2.11	New Others					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00		
	Sub Total (2.01 to 2.11)	0	0.00	0	0.00	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	0.00		19	26.52	26.52	0.00		19	22.10	22.10	
	Teachers Salary (Recurring)																	
2.12	Primary Teachers ( Regular)	18	20.95	16	12.04	89%	57%	0.00	0.1200	18				0.1200	18	25. <b>9</b> 2		
<b>2</b> .13	Primary Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00		
2.14	UP Teachers (Regular)	16	24.96	16	16.57	100%	66%	0.00		16			L	0.1550	16			
2.15	UP Teachers (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00		
2.16	UP Teachers - Head Master	8	14.40	8	8.29	100%	58%		0.1700	8	16.32	16.32		0.1700	8	16.32	_	
2.17	Additional Teachers - PS (Regular)			_		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00		
2.18	Additional Teachers - PS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	

=

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : SOUTH SIKKIM (2008-2009)

				2007-2008					Proposal for 2008-09					Recommendation for 2008-09					
S.No.	Activity	PAB Ap	proved		Achlev	ement/		Эріп		sh Propo	sal	Dropped	Spill Over	Frest	n Recomme	nded	Proposit		
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Coot	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.		
2.19	Additional Teachers - UPS (Regular)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
	Additional Teachers - UPS (Para)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00		
2.21	Teachers under OBB					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			4	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00		
2.22	Others (Recurring) Salary of MSTs	40	46.56	40	13.85	L1	30%	0.00	0.0800	40	38.40	38.40		0.0800	40	38.40	38.40		
	Sub Total (2.12 to 2.22)	82	106.87	80	50.75	98%	47%	0.00		82	110.40	110.40	0.00		82	110.40	110.40		
	SUB TOTAL (New Teachcrs+Teachers Recurring)	82	106.87	80	50.75	# <b>DIV</b> /0!	#DIV/0!	0.00		101	136.92	136.92	0.00		101	132.50	132.50		
3	Teachers Grant												,						
	Primary Teachers	1165	5.83			0%	0%	0.00	0.0050	1109	5.55	5.55		0.0050	1109	5.55	5.55		
3.02	Upper Primary Teachers	314	1.57			0%	0%	0.00	0.0050	314	1.57	1.57		0.0050	314	1.57	1.57		
	Sub Total	1479	7.40	. 0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		1423	7.12	7.12	0.00		1423	7.12	7.12		
	Block Resource Centre (BRC)/UBRC																		
	Salary of Resource Persons	2	3.12	2	2.26		72%	0.00	0.1550	6		11.16		0.1550	2	3.72			
	Furniture Grant					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	1.0000	4	4.00	4.00		1.0000		0.00	0.00		
	Contingency Grant	2	0.25	2	1.12		448%	0.00	0.2000	6	1.20	1.20		0.2000	2	0.40	0.40		
	•	2	0.12			0%	0%	0.00	0.0900	6	0.54	0.54		0.0900	2	0.18			
4.05	TLM Grant					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0500	4	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.0500	2	0.10	0.10		
	Sub Total		3.49		3.38	#DIV/0!	97%	0.00			17.10	17.10	0.00			4.40	4.40		
	Cluster Resource Centres																		
	Salary of Resource Persons	38	59.28	38	42.40		72%	0.00	0.1550	38		70.68		0.1550	38		70.68		
	Furniture Grant					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.1000		0.00	0.00		0.1000		0.00			
	Contingency Grant	38	0.95			0%	0%	0.00	0.0300	38	1.14	1.14		0.0300	38				
	Meeting, TA	38	0.91	38	0.31				0.0360	38	1.37	1.37		0.0360	38		1.37		
5.05	TLM Grant	38	0.38			0%		0.00	0.0100	38	0.38	0.38		0.0100	38				
	Sub Total		61.52		42.71	#DIV/0!	6 <b>9</b> %	0.00			73.57	73. <b>5</b> 7	0.00			73.57	73.57		
6	Teachers Training																		
6.01	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at BRC)	650	9.10	100	0.00	15%	0%	0.00	0.0100	400	4.00	4.00		0.0100	400	4.00	4.00		
6.02	In-service Teachers' Training (10 days at CRC)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050	400	2.00	2.00		0.0050	400	2.00	2.00		

				2007	-2008				Propo	sal for 20	<b>08-</b> 09		Recommendation for 2008-09					
S.No.	Activity	Activity PAB Approved			Achie	vement		Spill		sh Propo	sai	Droces	Spill Over	Fresh	Recommer	nded	December	
	·	Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)		Fin.	Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	
	Induction training for Newly Recruit Teachers	64	1.34			0%	0%	l 1	0.0300	150	4.50	4.50	0.00	L	150	4.50	4.50	
6.04	Training for Untrained Teachers	46	4.16			0%	0%	0.00	0.06'00	175	10.50	10.50	0.00	0.0600	175	10.50	10.50	
6.05	Other (DRG/BRG/CRG training 10 days)	91	3.73			0%	0%	0.00	0.0100	91	0.91	0.91	0.00	0.0050	91	0.46	0.46	
	Sub Total	851	18.34	100	0.00	12%	0%			1216	21.91	21.91			1216	21.46	21.46	
7	Interventions for OOSC																	
7.01	EGS Centre (P) Continuing	614	9,43	614	9.43	100%	100%	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0154	0	0.00	0.00	
7.02	EGS Centre (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7.03	Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)					#DIV/0!	-#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7.04	Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7.05	Non Residential Bridge Course (6-11 years)	123	1.89	213	3.27	173%	173%	0.00	0.0150	24	0.36		0.00	0.0150	24	0.36	0.36	
7.06	Non Residential Bridge Course (11-12 years)	300	8.90	214	6.35	71%	71%	L	0.0150	<b>48</b> 9	7.34	7.34		0.0150	489	7.34	7.34	
7.07	Back to School					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7.08	Mobile Schools					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7.09	Madarsa/ Maktab					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
7.10	AIE Center					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.0154	827	12.69	12.69	0.00	0.0154	827	12.69	12.69	
7.11	Others					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	
	Sub Total	1037	20.21	1041	19.04	100%	94%	0.00		1340	20.39	20.39	0.00		1340	20.39	20.39	
8	Remedial Teching																	
	Remedial Teaching for primary	1000	8.45	1200	7.28		86%	İ	0.0085	700	5.92			0.0025	700	1.75	1.75	
8.02	Remedial Teaching for U/primary	500	6.00	0	0.00		0%		0.0120	300	3.60	3.60		0.0025	300	0.75	0.75	
	Sub Total	1514	14.45	1200	7.28					1000	9.52	9.52			1000	2.50	2.50	
9	Free Text Book																	
	Free Text Book (P)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00		l l		0.00	0.00	
9.02	Free Text Book (UP)	4739	7.11			0%	0%		0.0025	<b>49</b> 95	12.49	12.49			0	0.00	0.00	
	Sub Total	4739	7.11	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		4995	12.49	12.49	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	
10	Interventions for CWSN (IED)																	
10.01	Inclusive Education	274	3.29			0%	0%		0.0120	274	3.29				274	3.29	3.29	
	Sub Total	274	3.29	0	0.00	0%	0%	0.00		274	3.29	3.29	0.00		274	3.29	3.29	

### Annual Work Plan and Budget District : SOUTH SIKKIM (2008-2009)

1				2007-	2008				Propo	sal for 20	08-09	:	Recommendation for 2008-09						
S.No.	Activity	PAB A	proved			vernent		Shiii		sh Propo	sal	Deenson	Spill Over		h Recomme	nded	December		
		Phy.	Fin	Phy.	Fin.	Phy. (%)	Fin.(%)	Fin.	Coot	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.	Fin.	Unit Cost	Phy.	Fin.	Fin.		
· · 1	Civil Works																		
11.01			14.00			#DIV/0!	0%	14.00			0.00	14.00		<u> </u>		0.00	14.00		
11.02			<b>5</b> 6.00			#DIV/0!	0%	56.00			0.00	56.00	I			0.00	56.00		
11.03	Primary School (new)		71.93	1	4.60	#DIV/0!	6%	67.33	6.0000		0.00	67.33	67.33	6.0000		0.00	67.33		
11.04	Upper Primary (new)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
11.05	Building Less (Pry)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			٠	0.00	0.00			1	0.00		
11.06	Building Less (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00			1 2 4	0.00		
11.07	Dilapidated Building (Pry)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00	, •			0.00		
11.08	Dilapidated Building (UP)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00		
11.09	Additional Class_Room		73.50	12	12.10	#DIV/0!	16%	61.40			0.00	61.40	61.40			0.00	61.40		
11.10	Toilet/Urinals		6.00	1	0.20	#DIV/0!	3%	5.80			0.00	5.80	5.80			0.00	5.80		
11.11	Separate Girls Toilet					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	0.00					0.00						
11.12	Drinking Water Facility		16.50			#DIV/0!	0%	16.50		-	0.00	16.50	16.50			0.00	16.50		
11.13	Boundary Wall		23.60	4	2.00	#DIV/0!	8%	21.60			0.00	21.60	21.60			0.00	21.60		
11.14	Separation Wall					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0. <b>0</b> 0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
11.15	Electrification		9.00			#DIV/0!	0%	9.00				9.00					9.00		
11.16	Head Master's Room		13.20			#DIV/0!	0%	13.20				<b>13.2</b> 0			,	•	13.20		
	Child Friendly Elements		3.25			#DIV/0!	0%	3.25			0.00	3.25	1	I		0.00	3.25		
11.18	Kitchen Shed		<b>35.2</b> 5			#DIV/0!	0%	35.25				35.25				<u> </u>	35.25		
11.19	Residential Hostel					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00		<u> </u>		0.00	0.00		
11.20	Major Repairs (Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	1	l	0	0.00	0.00		
11.21	Major Repairs (Upper Primary)					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	)	0	0.00	0.00		
11.22	Rooms for Monástic School		19.50			#DIV/0!	0%	19.50			0.00	19.50	19.50			0.00	19.50		
11.23	ACRs for earthquake affected schools				····	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
11.24	Others					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
	Sub Total of Civil Works		341.73	18	18.90	#DIV/0!	6%	322.83			0.00	322.83	322.83			0.00	322.83		
12	Furniture for Gove UPS																		
	No. of Children					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0.0050	1157	5.79	5.79	)	0.0050	1157	5.79			
	Sub Total(Furniture)	0	0.00	. 0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			1157	5.79	5.79			1157	5.79	5.79		
	Sub Total (Civil + Furniture)	0	341.73	18	18.90	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	322.83	. 0	1157	5.79	328.61	322.83	C	1157	5.79	328.61		