# SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN INDIAN UNIVERSITIES 

(Mrs) U. Sen, A. Rahman, S. P. Gupta, A. K. Roy, (Miss) S. Malik, S. H. M. Husaini, (Mrs) S. Das Gupta \& Y. K. Agarwal

Survey \& Planning of Scientific Research Unit, CSIR


COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC \& INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH NEW DELHI
(C) 1965

COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC \& INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH RAFI MARG, NEW DELHI, INDIA


Printed at Delhi Printers, 21 Daryaganj, Delhi-6

## CONTENTS

PAGE
O.C Introduction .....  I
1.0 Coverage .....  ..... 3
1.1 Centres of Activity and Fields of Specialization
1.2 Agriculture
1.3 Botany
1.4 Chemistry
1.5 Engineering
1.6 Geology
1.7 Mathematics
1.8 Medical Sciences
1.9 Pharmacy
1.10 Physics
1.11 Statistics
1.12 Veterinary Science
1.13 Zoology
1.14 Collaboration among Research Workers
1.15 General Conclusions
2.( Growth of Universities ..... 7
3.f Grants to Universities ..... $8{ }^{*}$
3.1 Grants from CSIR
9 •3.2 Distribution of Grants
4. Foreign Aid
5.0 Conclusion ..... 10
Appendices: Statistical Tables ..... 11
Appendix I: Tables 0.1 to 12.3
Appendix II: Tables 13.1 to 13.3
Appendix III: Tables 14.00 to 16.36
Appendix IV: Tables 17.1 and 17.2

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the universities for their kind cooperation. Grateful thanks are also due to Shri S. B. Deshaprabhu of Publications \& Information Directorate, CSIR for help in producing this publication, and to our colleague, $\operatorname{Dr}$ A. Ghosal for his assistance.

### 0.0 INTRODUCTION

Ashby, analysing the development of the universities and the growth of science, pertinently remarks that the universities played only fortuitous or incidental role, if any, in the scientific revolution in England. Secondly, according to him, science was one of the three major factors which caused revolution in the concept and organization of the universities thernselves ${ }^{1}$.

What has been the relation of the Indian universities with science? Hardly any study has been carried out to throw light on this problem. Much has been written on the introduction of modern education in India by the British. It is generally centred round the motives of the British and the introduction of English as medium of instruction. This need not be repeated here, though some consequences of this may briefly be mentioned as they are relevant to the working out of the relationship of the Indian universities with science.

The major emphasis on teaching in the universities has been on humanities; science and technology were either not taught, or if introduced, were done in the teeth of opposition of the British authorities only later.

There was a section of the educated Indians who were also opposed to the teaching of science, as the study and practice of it came in direct clash with conservative outlook.

Science and technology were introduced in a very limited way, more as disciplines rather than as a new method and philosophy of enquiry, without any broad-based movement outside the university to give it proper direction as was the case in England.

Teaching relied on the data collected in European countries; this had particularly adverse effects in some fields, such as biology.

With the growth of the Indian universities science also began to develop, newer subjects were introduced and larger number of students took to the study of science. The picture on the eve of Independence was that during 1947-48 the total enrolment, according to University Grants Commission reports, in science faculties of the 20 universities was 108,722 which was $40.9 \%$ of the total enrolment in that year. The rough distribution among various disciplines was: science $33.5 \%$, engineering and technology $2.6 \%$, medical science $3.5 \%$, agriculture $1.6 \%$ and veterinary science $0.1 \%$.

[^0]By 1962-63 the number of universities had risen to 55 and the total enrolment in science faculties was $1,386,374$ which is $42.6 \%$ of total enrolment of that year. The rough distribution among various disciplines was: science $30.4 \%$, engineering and technology $5.4 \%$, medical science $3.9 \%$, agriculture $2.5 \%$ and veterinary science $0.5 \%$.

Scientific research also began to develop alongwith the growth of science teaching in the universities. The research, however, was carried out against great odds, and often against the opposition of university authorities, it was not considered as one of the functions of the universities.

In spite of these odds, Indian universities produced some brilliant scientists who also developed some schools of research around them. These include men like Prof. Ray, Prof. Saha, Dr Bhatnagar, Dr Ghosh, Dr Kothari, Prof. Bahl and numerous others. The research attitudes of many of them, if not all, were not probably due to the impact of the university life in India, but owed much to what they had imbibed in English and European universities.

It is also difficult to appreciate their contribution to the creation of a research atmosphere for science in the universities. They may be in some ways compared to the early phase of science in British universities, where men like Newton and others made outstanding contributions to science itself, but were out of tune with the general character of the universities, who were, by and large, interested in humanities or what can be called classical education. Nevertheless, by their contribution to science, they attracted young men not only to science but also to research and thus created a base for both science and scientific research.

In another and more significant way they contributed by establishing scientific societies. The societies attempted to create a forum for discussion of researches as well as a community of scientists. Universities also became cradles for the scientific societies ${ }^{2}$.

Perhaps because of the emphasis on humanities, the universities became the major base for the freedom movement of the country. Students took to the study of law, politics, economics, etc. in order to understand modern socio-political developments and to participate in the national struggle for freedom*. Science and technology by and large remained aloof.

[^1]It is surprising that even the boycott of British goods had no major impact on people in reorienting their attitude to science and technology and research. In spite of the sympathetic attitude and participation of a few scientists in the national struggle, the latter neither helped to develop a scientific movement nor accelerated the pace of scientific research.

This is rather interesting and requires study, in view of the fact that growth of science and social movements in England and Europe have interacted and have considerably enriched each other by their interaction. This may be due to the dominant trend in the national movement with its emphasis on past, a certain amount of anti-intellectualism and anti-scientific attitudes.

Science, however, had in late Jawaharlal Nehru an ardent champion. His interest in science and scientific research lead leading scientists being included in the planning committee of the National Congress, encouraging scientists and giving emphasis to science and technology in national development.

With the attainment of Independence a new phase started, wherein science and scientific research was given a new and added importance. India, under the guidance of Nehru, became one of the few countries in the world to give due importance to science and technology.

The impact of these developments on the growth of scientific research in the universities, since independence, has been felt through three major factors :

Establishment of CSIR and other research organizations and the consequent development of research tradition in the country.

Policy of research schemes sponsored in the
universities by various agencies and the creation off research fellowships.

Establishment of UGC and the policy of supportt of research including creation of specialized research 1 centres.

The impact of these developments has resultedl in considerable promotion of research in the: universities. It was felt that a study of this growth would be helpful for planning of research and the: present study is the result.

The study aims to be quantitative only. The: interesting aspect of this study is the revelation off the growth of scientific research as a tradition in a particular university, as judged by the publicationı activity and the number of research workers parti-. cipating. The one significant aspect which the: study brings to light is the specialization of university' research. Each university seems to have specialized! in a particular field of a branch of science. This fact: could be utilized in developing schools and centres; of research in those fields of specialization in the, universities. Further, it also throws light on areas; which are neglected and require encouragement and support.

Further, as a result of this study a list of active: research workers, according to their field of specia-lization and research has been compiled, which may' be of some use in the problems of personnel require-ments for research and training facilities.

This study, though aimed at covering all the: universities, is limited to 25 only, as data for others; were not available. It is hoped that further informa-tion would be available and the study would be: completed, and also extended to cover other aspects; of research organization and work in the various; science departments of the universities.

### 1.0 COVERAGE

An attempt has been made in this report to make a survey of scientific research in the various departments of the Indian universities.

The data presented have primarily been taken from the annual reports of the universities. Fortyceight universities have scientific departments, 9 of which sent reports for all the 10 years (1952-62); cothers sent reports for a few years only. Some of the new universities did not send their annual reports. (Consequently only 25 universities are covered in this sreport.

The research activities as judged by published Ipapers of the 25 universities, have been analysed ssubjectwise. For each subject the total number of נpapers published each year during the period under rreview, their distribution according to important ffields of research in each subject, and category of jjournals in which they are published have been ianalysed. The analysis gives an indication of the sppecialization in a subject by a particular university and of the general research trends in the universities.

### 1.1 Centres of Activity and Fields of Specialization

Data in research publications in 12 scientific :subjects have been collected. This information is given under three aspects: (i) the total number of papers published by different university departments every year since 1952-53, (ii) distribution of research papers according to important fields of research in a ssubject, and (iii) the distribution of papers by the category of journals along with statistics of authors.

The statistics are given in various tables; only the salient features of the tables will be discussed in this paper.

### 1.2 Agriculture

From the number of papers published in agricultural research, Allahabad, Andhra, Annamalai, 'Calcutta, appear to be having active research departments. At Allahabad it appears that the research activity as judged by the number of papers published has dwindled since 1958-59, while at Banaras there has been a spurt in research publications in 1961-62. Andhra has a uniformly high rate of publication, throughout the period (cf. Table 1.1).

With the exception of Andhra, no other university :seems to be actively engaged in research on different specialized fields of agriculture.

The field of specialization in research as judged from research papers published by the Annamalai university appears to be Pathology whereas at Banaras and Calcutta most of the emphasis is given to Agricultural Botany.

It may be noted that very little work is being done in Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science (only Allahabad and to some extent Andhra have done a little work).

Another field which has not received adequate attention from researchers at any university (other than Andhra and Poona) is Agronomy.

Most of the papers are published in Indian journals.

### 1.3 Botany

Most of the universities under study (except Aligarh, Jabalpur, Jadavpur, Mysore, Saugar and Karnatak) are actively engaged in research in botany. The research publications by the Delhi, Calcutta, Allahabad, Andhra, Annamalai, Lucknow and Utkal universities are substantial (cf. Table 2.1).

It may be interesting to note that most of the universities have specialized in one field of botany, few in two, while none in more than two, as judged from the papers published.

The fields of specialization in a few actively engaged research departments are as follows : physiology and ecology of angiosperms and plant pathology caused by fungi at Allahabad; morphology of angiosperms at Andhra; physiology and ecology of angiosperms at Annamalai; cytogenetics of angiosperms and other groups of the plant kingdom at Calcutta; morphology and physiology of angiosperms at Delhi and Utkal; plant pathology caused by fungi at Madras (cf. Table 2.2).

### 1.4 Chemistry

The research publications during the period 1952-62, from the following universities indicate that they are maintaining active research departments : Andhra (589), Calcutta (438), Delhi (374), Lucknow (360), Banaras (265), Jadavpur (236), Utkal (234), Madras (216). At Banaras there has been a significant increase in activity since 1960 in Organic Chemistry (cf. Table 3.1).

The following universities have specialized in different branches of organic chemistry : Delhi, Lucknow, Calcutta, Banaras, Annamalai, Poona. At Allahabad the primary emphasis has been on physical chemistry, though it is perhaps the only university where work in different branches of chemistry has been done (except industrial chemistry). Other universities specializing in physical chemistry are : Calcutta, Lucknow, Banaras and Nagpur. Universities having specialized departments in inorganic chemistry are: Allahabad, Andhra, Banaras, Jadavpur and Utkal. Only a few universities have worked on problems in biochemistry, e.g. Calcutta, Allahabad, Madras, Nagpur and Baroda. Allahabad seems to be the only university doing work in agricultural chemistry. Similarly Calcutta University is the only one carrying out research in Industrial Chemistry. Work on analytical chemistry has been insignificant by most of the universities except Allahabad and Jadavpur (cf. Table 3.2).

At Allahabad for every paper published in an Indian journal, two papers have been published in foreign journals; practically the same is the case at Andhra. At Banaras, Calcutta and Delhi for every three papers published in an Indian journal, one paper is published in a foreign journal. About $35 \%$ of the total number of papers published at Allahabad are published in proceedings of symposia held in India; and with the exception of Lucknow no other university publishes a good number of papers in Indian Science Congress proceedings.

### 1.5 Engineering

In most of the universities publishing papers in the field of Engineering, it was noted that, with the exception of Banaras, more than $80 \%$ of papers published by the universities were in chemical engineering only (cf. Table 4.2).

There is hardly any research in metallurgy with the exception of Banaras. There is little evidence of research activity in various other fields of engineering in any university in India. It was also noted that very few papers appear in foreign journals.

### 1.6 Geology

Judging from the point of view of the number of papers published, Andhra, Calcutta, Jadavpur and Lucknow have showed considerable research activity. The maximum number of papers have been published by Andhra University over the period of 10 years (1952-62) and the research output is almost uniform over this period. Twenty-eight per cent of the total number of research papers have been published by Andhra, whereas Calcutta has contributed $14 \%$ of the total papers during the seven years (cf. Table 5.1).

Table 5.2 indicates that the majority of research papers published are in Indian journals. A good many papers are published in proceedings of symposia in India and abroad. About $40 \%$ of papers produced by Jadavpur university are presented in the proceedings of Indian Science Congress.

### 1.7 Mathematics

The universities of Lucknow, Calcutta, Delhi and Banaras have made the largest contribution in terms of the total number of papers published during the period under review. It is interesting to note that out of 95 papers published by the Mathematics Department of Banaras University during the period 1956-62, 63 papers or $67 \%$ of the total are published in 1961-62 only. Similar concentration of publication activity in the later years, especially 1961-62 is found in Delhi university also (cf. Table 6.1).

Table 6.2 shows the field of specialization in different research departments of various universities. Largest number of papers on relativity and theory of function have been published by Banaras University. These two fields together have contributed about $70 \%$ of the papers published by the Mathematics Department of this university for the period mentioned in the table. Likewise, Andhra shows preponderance of papers on theory of numbers and theory of functions; these two fields account for about $60 \%$ of the total number of papers during the period covered. At Lucknow most of the papers are on algebra, theory of functions and mechanics; at Delhi mostly on geometry and mechanics; at Calcutta mostly on algebra and geometry; and at Allahabad mostly on the theory of functions.

In most of the universities, there is a preponderance of papers published in Indian journals. At Lucknow, Delhi, Andhra and Calcutta a number of papers have been published in foreign journals; in Delhi the papers published in foreign journals exceeds those published in Indian journals. It is interesting to find that very few papers are published in the proceedings of Indian Science Congress.

### 1.8 Medical Sciences

From the number of papers given in annual reports on medical sciences during the period 1952-62, it is found that the research activity is highest at Lucknow; other universities which are active in research are: Andhra, Bombay Delhi, Nagpur and Poona. From the point of view of number of publications, Calcutta does not seem to have contributed much. Patna had, however, the highest number of papers in 1961-62 (cf. Table 7.1).

Fields of specialization at Lucknow are primarily medicine and pharmacology, though some work has been done on pathology and physiology also; at

Bombay physiology and medicine; at Nagpur some work has been done on all the subjects; at Calcutta only physiology (including biophysics and biochemistry); at Andhra primarily pathology, anatomy, medicine and gynaecology (cf. Table 7.2).

### 1.9 Pharmacy

It may be pointed out that with the exception of three universities, Andhra, Banaras and Panjab, no other university has been doing effective research work in pharmacy (Table 8.1). The rate of publication of research papers in pharmacy has been consistent in Andhra University, which has published 101 papers during the period 1952-62. The activity at Banaras is not consistent since 1956-57. There has been a sudden spurt of research papers in pharmacy at Panjab, which published 47 papers in 1961-62 only.

A rough classification of papers in pharmacy according to the fields has been made in Table 8.2. It is found that about $90 \%$ of papers published by Andhra and $70 \%$ by Banaras during the period 1952-62 have been on chemotherapy. Pharmacognosy has been an important field of study in Panjab.

### 1.10 Physics

The research activity in physics appears to be consistent at most of the universities.

From the distribution of research papers published according to the fields of specialization, the following universities seem to have a high degree of specialization in different branches of physics: nuclear physics-Bombay and Delhi; theoretical physicsMadras, Annamalai and Delhi; spectroscopy-Andhra; electronics-Andhra and Calcutta; microwavesAndhra; acoustics-Andhra; crystallography $\mathcal{E}$ bio-physics-Madras; mechanics-Madras; in the field of cosmic rays a few papers have been published from Bombay and Calcutta only; X-rays and crystallography seem to be rather neglected in most of the university departments. Research activity at Calcutta seems to be concentrated on electronics only (cf. Table 9.1).

In physics, a number of universities, e.g. Madras, Delhi, Allahabad publish in foreign journals; other universities published papers mostly in Indian journals.

### 1.11 Statistics

Most of the research papers were published by Calcutta, Baroda, Bombay and Poona universities. Karnatak university also published a good number of papers since 1958.

Table 10.2 indicates the fields of specialization by various university departments in statistics in

India. At Bombay about half of the papers are on design of experiments, while $70 \%$ are contributed in design of experiment and theory of inference put together. At Calcutta theory of inference forms a significant field of study; at Baroda $60 \%$ of the papers are published on descriptive statistics; at Karnatak sample survey, stochastic processes (including queing theory and theory of storage) account for $80 \%$ of the papers published; at Poona theory of inference is the most important field of study.

It may be pointed out that papers on S.Q.C., demography and economic statistics have been few and far between. Presumably most of the work classified under descriptive statistics is of the review type. It appears that new fields in mathematical statistics, e.g. applied probability (stochastic processes, etc.), mathematics of operational research, etc. are neglected in most universities.

### 1.12 Veterinary Science

The lack of research work in veterinary science in universities is borne out by Table 11.1. With the exception of Utkal, Kerala and Sri Venkateswara no other university in India has been actively engaged in research in veterinary science. The production of research papers at Utkal has been consistent over the period 1952-60; since 1962, however, papers have not been published. Kerala, on the other hand, has a consistent output since 1958.

From the study of the fields of research in veterinary science in different universities (Table 11.2) we find that a good amount of research is done on pathology. A little work is also being done on physiology and biochemistry. Unfortunately, practically no research is being done on animal breeding problems which are so important in India at present.

### 1.13 Zoology

The following universities appear to have active research departments in Zoology : Lucknow, Madras, Andhra, Baroda, Delhi, Allahabad, Annamalai and Sri Venkateswara. From the point of view of the number of papers published, the activity in old established departments like those of Calcutta, Nagpur or Osmania does not seem to be substantial (cf. Table 12.1).

At Baroda the activity is primarily concentrated on the study of vertebrates, while at Madras the field of specialization is invertebrates. At Lucknow also the primary emphasis is on invertebrates though work has been done on other fields, like pisces, vertebrates, etc. At Delhi the activity is more or less distributed on various fields with some emphasis on entomology. Cytogenetics as seen from the number of papers published appears to be a neglected field in most of the universities, with the exception of

Allahabad, which has worked on various other fields also (cf. Table 12.2).

### 1.14 Collaboration Among Research Workers

Most of the papers published have two authors; one of these may be the head of the department. It could not be ascertained from the published reports if the published papers were by the Ph.D. students and a member of the staff or by the regular staff members of the departments. In a few experimental subjects like medical sciences, agricultural research, etc. more than 2 authors are also found. In mathematics and statistics most of the papers are under single authorship.

### 1.15 General Conclusions

The quantitative study of the research papers published by various departments of the universities
gives us an idea of the fields of specialization of a particular department, as well as the degree of research activity. An interesting point is that Andhra University shows a significant research activity in most of the subjects reviewed above. It may also be noted that most of the departments specialize in only one specialized field in a branch of science (there are a few exceptions like that of the Chemistry Department at Allahabad).

In most of the subjects it is found that most of the papers are published in Indian journals. In physics, however, a number of university departments (Madras, Bombay, Delhi) published more papers in foreign journals; the same is the case with Botany Department at Calcutta. Some departments have published a significant number of papers in the home journals also. Publications in the proceedings of the Indian Science Congress do not seem to be high. There are, however, many publications in proceedings of symposia held in India and abroad.

### 2.0 GROWTH OF UNIVERSITIES

The foundation of university education was laid in India with the establishment of the universities of Calcutta, Bombay and Madras in the year 1857. The University of Allahabad was established in the year 1877. The University of Panjab was founded in 1882 and much after this, following partition of the country in 1947, the university was re-established in the same year and shifted to new State Capital at Chandigarh. These five universities amongst themselves were meeting the educational needs of the entire country over several years. The progress of higher education in the States of India was due to the establishment of universities of Mysore in 1916, Osmania in 1918 at Hyderabad and the University of Travancore in 1937. After the independence of the country, there has been a general expansion of the universities and that of scientific and professional education. As a result of all this the number of universities have gone up to 55 , out of which six universities do not impart instruction in science and professional subjects. There is no uniformity in the nature and constitution of the universities in India; some are purely affiliating, some are teaching and affiliating and some are teaching and residential in nature. In the case of Agra University particularly, the post-graduate studies and research in science are carried on through its affiliated colleges.

In case of some of the universities the departments of science and professional studies were established much earlier than the university itself. As in the case of Baroda University for example, though the university was established in the year 1949 most of the departments of science were established in the year 1881. This is due to the fact that these departments have been functioning at the Baroda College affiliated to Bombay University and were well established departments, to be transferred to the new university of Baroda when it came into existence.

It is, however, observed that in the case of quite a few universities the teaching departments of science were established much after the establishment of the university; as for example in the case of Rajasthan University, though the university was established in the year 1947, the Department of Geology was established in the year 1950, and it was the only teaching department in the faculty of science till after the year 1960 when six departments were added to it. It would be interesting to study the conditions responsible for delayed development of the university departments.

We have tried to present in Table 13.1 teaching departments of the universities in science and professional subject and in Table 13.2 we have presented the number of graduate and post-graduate colleges in science and other professional studies. As no uniform data were available regarding the university departments, their year of establishment either from the UGC publications or from the publications of the Ministry of Education, we have tried to present the matter as uniformly as is possible with the help of the available data.

It may be worth pointing out here that though there has been considerable increase in the number of universities, the number of science departments are limited and by and large newer branches of science are not established. Further, though the data for research facilities are not available, it can be safcly stated that facilities are limited. It would be, worthwhile, to study in detail research facilities in colleges and university departments, both in terms of chemicals, equipment, etc. and time made available to the teaching staff for research. The teaching load could be too high to permit research.

### 3.0 GRANTS TO UNIVERSITIES

It would have been worthwhile to work out the expenditure on research in the universities. This, however, was not possible as the annual reports of the universities do not give any information on this point. Further, since the expenditure on research and education is considerably linked up, it was found not possible to separate the two. It was, therefore, felt that only that expenditure which is received by the universities as grant for research, as a special scheme for research, may be analysed as a first step towards analysing the research expenditure in the universities.

A study has, therefore, been made of the grants for research to various universities classified according to donors. It was intended to study the distribution of grants by various classes of donors, e.g. UGC, State Governments, CSIR, other Government of India concerns for the last 10 years. The data, however, were not available. The detailed statistics of grants from CSIR to various universities are, however, available.

### 3.1 Grants from CSIR

The figures of grants from the CSIR to various universities during the period 1958-65 are given in Table 16.00 . It is found that during this period maximum grants were given to the Calcutta University which received Rs 2.1 millions or $24 \%$ of the total grants given to universities. Other universities getting grants exceeding Rs 0.5 million during this period for scientific research are : Andhra, Allahabad, Bombay and Delhi. It appears that grants are given only to those universities which place a demand for them; accordingly the universities having no grants might not have asked for them.

The distribution of CSIR grants to universities by principal subjects has also been analysed over the period 1958-65. During this period the maximum grant (about $45 \%$ of the total Rs 8.8 millions) was made for chemical research; about $22 \%$ for physical research and about $11 \%$ for biological research. The rest $22 \%$ was distributed among 9 fields of research (Table 15.00 ). It is interesting to find that distribution of annual grants according to fields of research had been very consistent during the period under review; with the increase of total grants, the increase
in grants to the principal fields of research, viz. chemical research, physical research and biological research also increased proportionately. The distribution studied in this table gives an indication of the activity in the fields of research, because a grant is made by CSIR only after a demand is made by a particular department.

### 3.2 Distribution of Grants

The detailed study of the distribution of grants according to surveys has been made in Table 14.00. There are some universities which get grants from private and non-governmental organizations also; it was, however, not possible to get detailed statistics for them. This table incorporates the figures of grants primarily from four sources: UGC, Government of India (other than UGC), State Governments and CSIR. From the figures of 30 universities presented in this table, we find that in the year 1961-62, the highest grant from UGC has been received by Patna (Rs 1.04 millions) and Andhra (Rs 1.02 millions); other universities enjoying substantial grant are Aligarh (Rs 0.85 millions), Annamalai (Rs 0.95 millions), Calcutta (Rs 0.63 millions), Mysore (Rs 0.82 millions) and Rajasthan (Rs 0.99 millions). It has been found that the UGC accounts for about 60 to $100 \%$ of the total grants, received by the universities in India. There are a few exceptions in which the grants from UGC are rather low (e.g. Baroda and Bombay); there are other universities like Delhi, the figures for which were not available. It also appears that most of the grants from the Government of India are now in the form of grants from UGC.

The grants from the CSIR comprise $42 \%$ of the total grants in Calcutta University; this percentage is very high at Bombay ( $76 \%$ ), mainly because Bombay does not receive grants from other organizations. It appears that some of the old and established universities like Aligarh and Mysore have not availed of CSIR grants for scientific research in substantial proportions.

Substantial grants from respective State Governments have been received by the Universities of Andhra and Burdwan where they account for $25 \%$ and $39 \%$ of the total grants.

### 4.0 FOREIGN AID

Foreign assistance in the form of university teachers, apparatus and equipment has been abundantly available to India. This aid is either through international agencies, special programmes, bilateral agreements between universities, science departments of the universities or through individuals. The data about the nature and quantum of such aid is being collected and analysed in a separate study. Here only the data as are available to-date have been presented.

All the aid, which has been described here is not exclusively for research, though a part of it, if not all, may have been utilized for research either directly or indirectly by creating research potential. Some data regarding the U.S. P.L. 480 Section 104 (K) Aid Programme have been obtained. Panjab Agricultural University has received about $18 \%$ of the total of Rs $3,683,943$ received as aid so far. Delhi University has received about $15 \%$ of this total. Fifteen universities have received grants under this programme (Table 17.1).

From the data available regarding P.L. 480 research grants, it may be seen that the grants sponsored by the Agricultural Research Service have out-numbered others. National Bureau of Standards has sponsored research activity particularly in the field of physics and out of its five sponsored research programmes, two were at the Allahabad University, and one each at Calcutta, Banaras and Panjab. Allahabad and the Panjab Agricultural Universities
have shared an equal number of seven sponsored research programmes each. It is, however, noted that some of the well established universities in India like Andhra, Osmania and others do not appear to have participated in this scheme.

A further grant of Rs 8.73 crores has been received under this programme by 50 agricultural colleges (Table 17.2). Grants for Animal Husbandry total to Rs 66 lakhs, for crop production Rs 63 lakhs, for Home Science Education and Research Rs 50 lakhs. Grants for Technical Educational Institutes amount to Rs 2.08 crores. Grants for Technological Education amount to Rs 4.98 crores, and the entire amount has been received by the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur. Grants for higher Technical Education amount to Rs 6.9 crores. Grants for medical and nursing colleges amount to Rs 1.8 crores (Table 17.2).

It would be worthwhile to study, in connection with foreign aid, if all which had been received was really worthwhile, in the sense if it was really necessary due to lack of availability of local resources. Secondly, how this aid has been used. Thirdly, the impact this aid is creating in developing a better atmosphere for research in the university and increasing research potential. And finally, whether this aid has been properly planned from the point of view of removing the present geographical or scientific imbalance in the country and in line with the national requirements.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

This is only a preliminary study, and is rather limited in the sense of sources of basic information as well as the conclusions arrived at. Its usefulness lies in the light it throws on the problem of university research and the areas which require detailed study.

It, for instance, shows that scientific research, as can be judged by the information given in the annual reports, is limited to few areas of science. Each university is specializing in a limited field of science within a particular branch of science. This may be due to a certain amount of inbreeding in the universities. An effort was made to collect data as to how many members of the staff of a particular university were students of the same university. In a few universities where data were available, it appeared that a majority of the staff members was the alumni of the same university. It may be interesting to study this point in detail and its impact and consequences on university research.

The study also revealed that research in the university is limited to what can be termed as classical branches of science. Further, research in these branches is limited to a few areas. There is, therefore, a need for strengthening research in areas of neglect. This is of utmost importance if the
universities are to play a major role in the organization and development of fundamental research.

The pattern of organization of research is by and large individual; where there is a collaboration, it may be that of a member of the research staff and the head of the department.

There does not also appear to be any evidence of collaboration between the members of staff of the university and other research institutions in the country from the published papers.

The list of research workers compiled as a result of this study indicates that the member of staff who actively participates in research is limited to a few. It was not found possible to correlate whether the research activity was part of the normal activity or was only induced by special research grants from various sources.

It would be worthwhile to study as to how many papers were published as a part of the research programmes supported by special research grants and otherwise. Such a study would have some impact on the organization of research policies in the university.

## MEMBERS OF STAFF WHO WORKED ON THIS VOLUME

Shri Gurbux Singh

Shri D. L. Sharma
Sarvashri G. Khurana
Y. P. Nanda
C. R. Acharyalu and
R. K. Khosla

Shri Gurbux Singh

Shri Y.D. Sharma

Shri D. L. Sharma

Shri Gurbux Singh

Sarvashri D. L. Sharma
S. S. Dudani, M. L. Kalra
and C. L. Kaul
Sarvashri G. Khurana and Y.P. Nanda

## PARTS I AND III

Assistant Educational Adviser, Education Commission

Research Associate, NCERT
Investigators, Education Commission

PART II
Assistant Educational Adviser, Education Commission

Assistant Education Officer, University Grants Commission

Research Associate, NCERT
PARTS IV AND V
Assistant Educational Adviser, Education Commission

Research Associates, NCERT

Investigators, Education Commission
PART II : STATISTICS ON COMPARATIVE EDUCATION IN SELECTED COUNTRIES
Table No.
Table Heading
Page
Introduction to Part II ..... 77
I Area and Population ..... 80
II Growth of Population, 1950-80 ..... 81
III Percentage Distribution of Population by Age-Groups around 1960 ..... 82
IV Population in the Compulsory Education Age-Group around 1961 ..... 83
V Birth and Death Rates, 1962 ..... 84
VI Percentage Distribution of Habitations by Population Slabs ..... 85
VII Percentage Distribution of Population by Habitations of Different Sizes ..... 86
VIII Percentage Distribution of Private Households by Size ..... 87
IX Percentage of Literacy in the Population Aged 15 and Over ..... 88
X Economically Active Population ..... 89
XI Percentage Distribution of Labour Force by Industries of Origin ..... 90
XII Percentage Distribution of Economically Active Population by Major Category of Industry in India, Japan, USA and Yugoslavia (Time Series) ..... 91
XIII Growth of G.N.P. at Current Prices ..... 92
XIV Growth of G.N.P. Per Capita at Current Prices (US Dollars) ..... 93
XV Index Numbers of Total and Per Capita Gross Domestic Product (Factor Cost) at Constant Prices, $1958=100$ ..... 94
XVI Percentage Distribution of G.N.P. by Sectors of Origin, 1955 to 1963 ..... 95
XVII Percentage Distribution of Gross National Product by Industrial Origin, 1963 ..... 96
XVIII Index of National Income Per Capita India, $1963=100$ ..... 97
XIX Institutions at All Levels of Education, 1961 ..... 97
XX Percentage Distribution of Educational Institutions by Levels of Education ..... 98
XXI Growth of Enrolment at All Levels of Education ..... 99
XXII Enrolment Ratios at the First and Second Levels of Education, 1957 and 1961 ..... 100
XXIII Percentage Distribution of Total Enrolment by Levels of Education ..... 101
XXIV Distribution of Expenditure on Education by Sources ..... 102
XXV Percentage Distribution of Recurring Public Expenditure on Education by Levels and by Type of Education, 1961 ..... 103
XXVI Average Annual Cost Per Student in US Dollars, 1962 ..... 104
XXVII Indices of Cost Per Student at Different Levels of Education, 1962 (Expenditure on First Level $=100$ ) ..... 105
XXVIII Cost Per Capita on Education ..... 106
XXIX Percentage Distribution of Public Expenditure on Education, 1962 ..... 107
XXX Budget for Education as Percentage of Total National Budget ..... 108
XXXI Total Public Expenditure on Education as Percentage of National Income ..... 109
XXXII Pre-Primary Schools, 1961 ..... 110
XXXIII Enrolment at Pre-Primary Stage ..... 111
XXXIV Teachers in Pre-Primary Schools ..... 112
XXXV Duration of the First Level of Education, the Age-Group and Its Population .....  113
XXXVI Institutions at the First Level of Education, 1961 ..... 14
XXXVII Enrolment at the First Level of Education ..... 115
XXXVIII Wastage and Stagnation at the First Level of Education ..... 17
XXXIX Teachers in Institutions at the First Level of Education ..... 118
XL Duration of the Second Level of Education, the Age-Group, Its Population ..... 120
XLI Institutions at the Second Level of Education, 1961 ..... 121
XLII Enrolment at the Second Level of Education ..... 122
(ix)
Table No. Table Heading Pagb
XLIII Institutions for General Education at the Second Level of Education, 1961 ..... 124
XLIV Enrolment in General Education Courses of Second Level of Education ..... 125
XLV Teachers in General Education at the Second Level of Education ..... 126
XLVI Number of Schools for Teacher Training, 1950 to 1961 ..... 127
XLVII Enrolment in Schools for Teacher Training ..... 128
XLVIII Institutions at the Third Level of Education, 1961 ..... 129
XLIX Enrolment at the Third Level of Education ..... 130
L Percentage Distribution of Enrolment at the Third Level of Education by Field of Study, 1961 ..... 131
LI Distribution of Graduates by Field of Study, 1960 ..... 132
LII Number of Graduates Per Million Population, 1960 ..... 133
LIII Duration of School Year in Primary Schools ..... 134
LIV Duration of Teaching in Primary Schools ..... 135
LV Terms and Vacations in Primary Schools ..... 137
LVI Duration of School Year in Secondary Schools (General) ..... 139
LVII Duration of Teaching in Secondary Schools (General) ..... 140
LVIII Terms and Vacations in Secondary Schools (General) ..... 142
LIX Duration of Academic Year in Institutions for Higher Education ..... 144
LX Duration of Teaching in Institutions for Higher Education ..... 145
LXI Terms and Vacations in Institutions for Higher Education ..... 146
LXII School Curriculum of Primary Schools ..... 147
(i) Brazil ..... 147
(ii) France ..... 148
(iii) German Federal Republic ..... 149
Boys ..... 149
Girls ..... 150
(iv) Ghana ..... 151
(v) India ..... 152
(vi) Japan ..... 152
(vii) Turkey ..... 153
Rural .....  153
Urban ..... 154
(viii) UAR (Egypt) ..... 155
(ix) United Kingdom : England and Wales ..... 156
(x) United States: State of New York ..... 156
(xi) USSR ..... 157
RSFSR ..... 157
Byelo-Russia ..... 158
Ukraine ..... 159
(xii) Yugoslavia ..... 160
LXIII School Curriculum in Secondary Schools ..... 161
(i) Brazil ..... 161
(ii) France ..... 162
(iii) Germany (Federal Republic) ..... 164
(iv) Ghana ..... 166
(v) Japan ..... 167
(vi) Mexico ..... 168
(vii) Pakistan ..... 169
(viii) Turkey ..... 170
(ix) United Arab Republic ..... 171
(x) United Kingdom ..... 172
England \& Wales ..... 172
Northern Ireland ..... 174
Scotland ..... 175
Page
(xi) USA ..... 176
(xii) USSR ..... 178
RSFSR ..... 178
Byelo-Russia ..... 180
Ukraine ..... 181
(xiii) Yugoslavia ..... 182
Bibliography ..... 183
PART III: EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN SELECTED DISTRICTS IN INDIA 1965-66
Table No.
Table Heading

|  | Introduction to Part III |  |  |  |  | 187 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I (a) | Distribution of Lower Primary Pupils and Teachers in | Sectio | according to | Andhra Pradesh |  | 190 |
| 1 (b) | , | " |  | Kerala | : | 191 |
| $I$ (c) | , | " |  | Madhya Pradesh |  | 192 |
| 1 (d) | " | " |  | Maharashtra |  | 193 |
| 1 (e) | " | " |  | Mysore |  | 194 |
| I (f) | , | " |  | Orissa |  | 195 |
| I (g) | " | " |  | Punjab |  | 196 |
| I (h) | " | " |  | Rajasthan |  | 197 |
| I (i) | " | " |  | Uttar Pradesh |  | 198 |
| II (a) | Distribution of Higher Primary Schools/Sections According to Pupils and Teachers in |  |  | Andhra Pradesh |  | 199 |
| II (b) | " | " |  | Kerala |  | 200 |
| II (c) | " | , |  | Madhya Pradesh |  | 201 |
| II (d) | , | " |  | Maharashtra |  | 202 |
| II (e) | " | " |  | Mysore |  | 203 |
| II (f) | " | " |  | Orissa |  | 204 |
| II (g) | " | , |  | Punjab |  | 205 |
| II (h) | " | " |  | Rajasthan |  | 206 |
| II (i) | " | , |  | Uttar Pradesh |  | 207 |
| III (a) | Distribution of Secondary Schools/Sections According to Pupils and Teachers in |  |  | Andhra Pradesh |  | 208 |
| III (b) | ," | " |  | Kerala |  | 209 |
| III (c) | , | " |  | Madhya Pradesh |  | 210 |
| III (d) | " | " |  | Maharashtra |  | 211 |
| III (e) | , | , |  | Mysore |  | 212 |
| III (f) | " | " |  | Orissa |  | 213 |
| III (g) | " | , |  | Punjab |  | 214 |
| III (h) | , | " |  | Rajasthan |  | 215 |
| III (i) | " | " |  | Uttar Pradesh |  | 216 |
| IV (a) | Distribution of Lower Primary Schools/Sections According to Size (1965) |  |  |  |  | 217 |
| IV (b) | " Higher Primary | " | " |  |  | 218 |
| IV (c) | ", Secondary | " | " |  |  | 219 |
| V (a) | Distribution of Lower Primary Schools/Sections According to Number of Teachers (1965) |  |  |  |  | 220 |
| V (b) | , Higher Primary | " | " |  |  | 221 |
| V (c) | " Secondary | " | " |  |  | 222 |


XII (a) Distribution of Teachers in Lower Priviary Schools/Departments Managed by Government According to Emoluments Per Month (1965) ..... 257
XII (b) , Local Bodies ..... 258
XII (c) ,, Private Bodies ..... 259
XII (d) ,, All Managements ,, , ..... 260
XIII (a) Distribution of Teachers in Higher Primary Schools/Departments Managed by Government According to Emoluments Per Month (1965) ..... 261
XIII (b) , Local Bodies ..... 263
XIII (c) ,, Private Bodies ", , , ..... 265

| XIII (d) | Distribution of Teachers in Higher Primary Schools/Departments (by All Managements) According to Emoluments Per Month (1965) | 267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XIV (a) | Distribution of Teachers in Secondary Schools/Department |  |
|  | Managed by Government According to Emoluments Per Month (1965) | 269 |
| XIV (b) | Local Bodies | 270 |
| XIV (c) | Private Bodies | 271 |
| XIV (d) | All Managements | 272 |

PART IV: TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN INDIA 1950-51 TO 1965-66
Paragraph No.
Subject
1-3 General Remarks

## Section I

EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN INDIA 1950-51 TO 1965-66 275
4 Total Educational Expenditure in India 275
5 Total Educational Expenditure Per Head of Population 276
6 Proportion of National Income (at Current Prices) Spent on Education(1950-51 to 1965-66) 277
7 Total Educational Expenditure in Relation to Growth in Enrolment 277
8 Direct and Indirect Expenditure on Education 277
9 Proportion of Direct to Indirect Expenditure 277
TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY SOURCES 279
10 Sources of Educational Finance 279
11 Total Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1965-66) 279
12 Total Direct Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1965-66) 279
13 Total Indirect Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1960-61) 279
14-15 Central Government Funds 280
16 State Government Funds 280
17 District Board Funds 280
18 Municipal Board Funds 281
19-26 Fees 281
27 Endowments and Other Sources 283
TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY OBJECTS (1950-51 TO 1965-66) 284
28-30 Type of Institutions/Objects 284
31-34 Pre-Primary Schools 286
35-37 Lower Primary Schools 287
38-40 Higher Primary Schools 290
41-43 Secondary Schools (Including Post-Basic Schools) 292
44-46 Teacher Training Schools 294
47-50 Vocational and Technical Schools 296
51 Schools for Special Education 297
52-55 Universities 299
56-58 Research Institutions 301
59 Boards of Secondary/Intermediate Education 302
60-62 Colleges for Arts and Science 303
63-65 Colleges for Teacher Training 304
66-68 Colleges for Professional Education (Excluding Teacher Training Colleges) 306
69-70 Colleges for Special Education 307
( xiii)
Paragraph No. Subject Paga
71-80 Indirect Expenditure ..... 307
73-74 Direction and Inspection ..... 309
75 Buildings ..... 309
76-77 Scholarships and Other Concessions ..... 310
78-79 Hostels ..... 310
80 Miscellaneous Items ..... 313
EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY DIFFERENT SOURCES OF EDUCATIONAL FINANCE ON OBJECTS ..... 313
81-83 General Remarks ..... 313
84 Central Government Funds ..... 313
85 State Government Funds ..... 313
86 District Board Funds ..... 315
87 Municipal Board Funds ..... 315
88 Fees ..... 315
89 Other Sources ..... 315
Section II
EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN THE STATES OF THE INDIAN UNION (1956-57 to 1961-62) ..... 315
90 General Remarks ..... 315
TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY STATES 1956-61 ..... 315
91 Average Annual Rate of Increase in the Total Educational Expenditure ..... 315
92 Total Educational Expenditure as Percentage of State Income ..... 317
93 Total Educational Expenditure Per Head of Population ..... 317
94 Direct Expenditure on Education ..... 317
95 Indirect Expenditure on Education ..... 318
96-97 Total Educational Expenditure by Sources (1956-57 to 1961-62) ..... 318
98 Educational Expenditure from Government Funds ..... 318
99 Educational Expenditure from District Board Funds ..... 319
100 Educational Expenditure from Municipal Board Funds ..... 319
101 Educational Expenditure from Fees ..... 319
102 Educational Expenditure from Endowments and Other Sources ..... 322
EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE ACCORDING TO OBJECTS ..... 322
103 Proportion of Total Expenditure on Different Objects ..... 322
104 Pre-Primary Schools ..... 324
105 Lower Primary Schools ..... 325
106 Higher Primary Schools ..... 326
107 Secondary Schouls ..... 327
108 Teacher Training Schools ..... 328
109 Vocational and Technical Schools (Excluding Schools for Teacher Training) ..... 329
110 Schools for Special Education ..... 330
111 Universities (Including Their Teaching Departments) ..... 331
112 Colleges for Arts and Science ..... 332
113 Colleges for Teacher Training ..... 333
114 Colleges for Professional Education (Other than Teacher Training) ..... 334
115 Colleges for Special Education ..... 335
116 Indirect Expenditure ..... 336
(xiv)

## STATISTICAL TABLES

Table No.
Table Heading
Page

## Section I

$$
\text { I Total Educational Expenditure in India (1950-51 to 1965-66) } 276
$$

II Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1965-66) 278
III Expenditure through Fees on Different Types of Institutiors (1960-61) 282
IV Proportion of Fee-Paying Students, 1960-61 282
V Average Fee Per Student 1960-61 283
VI Educational Expenditure by Objects, 1950-51 to 1965-66 284
VII Expenditure on Pre-Primary Schools (1950-51 to 1960-61) 286
VIII Expenditure on Lower Primary Schools (1950-51 to 1960-61) 288
IX Expenditure on Higher Primary Schools (1950-51 to 1960-61) 290
X Expenditure on Secondary Schools (1950-51 to 1960-61) . 292
XI Expenditure on Teacher Training Schools (1950-51 to 1960-61) 295
XII Expenditure on Vocational and Technical Education (Excluding Teacher Training
Schools) (1950-51 to 1960-61)
XIII Expenditure on Schools for Special Education (1950-51 to 1960-61) 298
XIV Expenditure on Universities (1950-51 to 1960-61) 299
XV Expenditure on Research Institutions (1950-51 to 1960-61) 301
XVI Expenditure on Boards of Secondary/Intermediate Education (1950-51 to 1960-61) 302
XVII Expenditure on Colleges for Arts and Science (1950-51 to 1960-61) 303
XVIII Expenditure on Colleges for Teacher Training (1950-51 to 1960-61) 305
XIX $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expenditure on Colleges for Professional Education Excluding Teacher Training } \\ & (1950-51 \text { to 1960-61) }\end{aligned}$
XX Expenditure on Colleges for Special Education (1950-51 to 1960-61) 308
XXI Expenditure on Direction and Inspection (1950-51 to 1960-61) 308
XXII Expenditure on Buildings (1950-51 to 1960-61) 309
XXIII Expenditure on Scholarships and Other Financial Concessions (1950-51 to 1960-61) 311
XXIV Expenditure on Hostel Charges (1950-51 to 1960-61) 311
XXV Expenditure on Miscellaneous Items (1950-51 to 1960-61) 312
XXVI Percentage of Expenditure by Sources on Different Objects (1950-51 to 1960-61) 314
Section II
XXVII Educational Expenditure by States (1956-57 and 1961-62) 316
XXVIII Expenditure on Education Per Capita (1956-57 and 1961-62) 317
XXIX Expenditure on Education by Sources in States (1956-57 and 1961-62) 320
XXX Proportion of Expenditure on Different Objects/Types of Institutions by States (1961-62) 323
XXXI Expenditure on Pre-Primary Schools by States (1961-62) : 324
XXXII Expenditure on Lower Primary Schools by States (1961-62) 325
XXXIII Expenditure on Higher Primary Schools by States (1961-62) 326
XXXIV Expenditure on Secondary Schools by States (1961-62) 327
XXXV Expenditure on Schools for Teacher Training by States (1961-62) 328
XXXVI Expenditure on Vocational and Technical Schools (Excluding Teacher Training) ty States 329
XXXVII Expenditure on Schools for Special Education by States (1961-62) 330
XXXVIII Expenditure on Universities by States (1961-62) 331
XXXIX Expenditure on Arts and Science Colleges by States (1961-62) 332
XL Expenditure on Colleges for Teacher Training by States (1961-62) 333
XLI Expenditure on Colleges for Professional Education (Excluding Teacher Training) 334
XLII Expenditure on Colleges for Special Education by States (1961-62) 335
XLIII Indirect Expenditure by States (1961-62) 336

PART V: EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN INDIA 1960-61
Paragraph No. Subject Page
A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN STATES AND DISTRICTS ..... 341
1-2 Object of the Study ..... 341
3-4 Scope of the Study ..... 341
5 Sources of Information ..... 342
Section I : States
NATURAL ADVANTAGES AND HANDICAPS ..... 342
6-7 Major Natural Advantages or Handicaps ..... 342
8 Density of Population ..... 342
9 Number of Small Villages ..... 343
10-11 Population of Backward Classes ..... 344
12 Urbanisation ..... 345
13 Proportion of Educable Children to Total Labour Force ..... 345
ABILITY AND EFFORT TO SUPPORT EDUCATION ..... 345
14 Ability and Effort ..... 345
15 State Income Per Head of Population ..... 345
16 State Income Per Child ..... 345
17-18 Rate of Growth of Economy ..... 345
19 Total State Revenue Per Head of Population ..... 347
20 State Revenue as Percentage of Total State Income ..... 347
21 Expenditure on Education ..... 347
22 Expenditure on Education as Percentage of Total State Income ..... 347
23 Total Educational Expenditure from State Funds in Relation to State Revenues ..... 347
24 Educational Expenditure Per Head of Population ..... 348
ACHIEVEMENTS - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE ..... 348
25-28 Educational Levels of Total Population ..... 348
29 Lower Primary School Education ..... 348
30 Higher Primary School Education ..... 351
31 Secondary School Education ..... 353
32 Higher Education ..... 355
33 Colleges of Arts and Science ..... 358
34 Indirect Expenditure on Education ..... 358
Section II : Districts
General Remarks ..... 359
36 Density of Population ..... 360
37 Urban Population ..... 360
38 Educational Expenditure (Direct) Per Head of Population ..... 360
39 Lower Primary Stage (Classes I-V) ..... 362
40 Higher Primary Stage (Classes VI-VIII) ..... 365
41 Secondary Stage (Classes IX-XI) ..... 367
42 Higher Education ..... 367
Vocational Education ..... 367

## STATISTICAL TABLES

Table No. Table Heading Page
I Natural Advantages and Handicaps of States in India 1960-61 ..... 343
II Ability and Effort to Support Education in States in India 1960-61 ..... 346
III Educational Levels of Total Population, 1961 ..... 349
IV Statistics of Lower Primary Schools in States 1960-61 ..... 350
V Statistics of Higher Primary Schools in States 1960-61 ..... 352
VI Statistics of Secondary Schools in States 1960-61 ..... 354
VII Enrolment in Higher Education in States 1960-61 ..... 356
VIII Education in Colleges for Arts and Science in States 1960-61 ..... 357
IX Indirect Expenditure on Education in States 1960-61 ..... 359
X Distribution of Districts According to Density of Population Per Sq. Mile 1960-61 ..... 361
XI Distribution of Districts According to Percentage of Urban Population to Total Population 1960-61 ..... 362
XII Distribution of Districts According to Educational Expenditure (Direct) Per Head of Population 1960-61 ..... 363
XIII Distribution of Districts According to Number of Children Enrolled at the Lower Primary Stage (Classes I-V) Per Thousand of Population 1960-61 ..... 364
XIV Distribution of Districts According to Number of Children Enrolled at the Higher Primary Stage (Classes VI-VIII) Per Thousand of Population 1960-61 ..... 366
XV Distribution of Districts According to Number of Students Enrolled at Secondary Stage (Classes IX-XI/XII) Per Thousand of Population 1960-61 ..... 368
XVI Distribution of Districts According to Number of Students Per 10,000 of Population in Higher Education 1960-61 ..... 369
XVII Distribution of Districts According to Number of Students in Vocational and Technical Courses (Excluding Teacher Training) Enrolled Per Thousand of Students in Secondary Classes (IX to XI or XII) 1960-61 ..... 370
XVIII Distribution of Districts According to Number of Students Enrolled for Teacher Training (School Standard) Per Thousand of Total Teachers in Elementary Schools 1960-61 ..... 371
XIX Distribution of Districts According to Facilities for Professional Education (Collegiate Standard) 1960-61 ..... 372

## PART I

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA 1950-51 TO 1965-66

## INTRODUCTION TO PART I

This section of the Supplementary Volume II contains important statistics on education and some aspects of demography, such as, population, literacy, etc. Unavoidably, it has not been possible to maintain dead uniformity in regard to the points of time to which the data given in its different tables relate, although, by and large, these pertain to the period $1950-65$ or $1950-61$ or 1950-60, depending upon the availability of information. Table I gives population, by age-groups and sex, based on the censuses of 1951 and 1961, mean population for the year 1955-56 and the medium projection for 196566 made by an Expert Committee of the Registrar General and the C.S.O. The data on literacy (Table II) also pertain to census years 1951 and 1961. The educational statistics contained in the remaining tables (Tables III to XVIII) relate to the years 1950-51, 1955-56 and 1960-61. Besides, broad estimates for 1965-66 have also been given mainly for general education and to some extent for professional, technical and special education. For professional, technical and special education, somewhat detailed statistics for 1961-62 have also been added. The only exception to the general pattern in this group of tables (Tables III to XVIII) is, however, Table XVII which gives enrolment statistics by individual years from 1950-51 to 1961-62.
2. As regards the sources of information, it has already been mentioned that the first two tables substantially depend on the census reports of 1951 and 1961. The subsequent tables are based on the annual publication of the Ministry of Education, entitled, "Education in India, Volume II" for the years 1950-51, 1955-56, 1960-61 and 1961-62. Since this section was compiled before the publications for the years 1960-61 and 1961-62 were out, the possibility of some minor variations in these statistics is not entirely ruled out, although efforts have been made to see that the two agree completely. The differences, if any, would be on account of changes that might have been made in the Ministry's publications at the last stage. The figures for 1965-66 are the estimates made in the Secretariat of the Education Commission.
3. As mentioned above, the educational statis-
tics included in this section are based on Volume II of 'Education in India', which, in turn, is based on the Form ' $A$ ' returns of the State Governments. Accordingly, all the terms used in this section, except the following, have the same connotations as those applicable to Form 'A' and which have been explained in the Manual of Educational Statistics, 1964, published by the Ministry of Education:
(i) Lower primary school/stage in this section stands for primary and junior Basic school/ stage.
(ii) Higher primary school/stage stands for middle and senior Basic school/stage.
(iii) Secondary school/stage stands for high, higher secondary and post-Basic school/ stage.
4. While it is neither possible nor necessary to reproduce, from the said Manual, all the relevant definitions here, it is felt that the elucidation of some of them and a few others will make these statistics more intelligible. Accordingly, some of the more important concepts are explained below:
(i) A literate person is defined as one who can, with understanding, both read and write. An illiterate person is one who is not literate. The latter term also covers persons who can read but not write.
(ii) Number of schools/colleges/institutions (of specified types) represents only the number of recognised institutions, etc., of that type. While it excludes unrecognised institutions, it does cover unaided (but recognised) institutions. Moreover, a school or college is generally classified according to the highest course provided therein. For instance, a comprehensive secondary school with lower primary and/or higher primary departments has been treated as a secondary school only and not as three institutions (i.e. one secondary school, one higher primary school and one lower primary school). Likewise, a college for general education having provision for professional course(s) in, say, commerce, teacher training, law, etc., has also been counted
as one institution (i.e. an arts and science college). While all the teachers of, and the total expenditure on, this type of composite secondary school or arts and science college have been reported as the teachers of and the expenditure on a secondary school or an arts and science college, as the case may be, the enrolment has been shown in a number of ways as explained below.
(iii) Enrolment has been reported on the following lines:
(a) By Types of Institutions: This refers to items like 'enrolment in lower primary schools managed by..', 'enrolment in higher primary schools', etc. etc. Here the enrolment is given by treating each institution as a unitirrespective of the fact whether it has provision for one or for more than one level of education (a secondary school having primary departments or a college having school classes) or has provision for one or more than one type of education (an arts and science college having attached classes for commerce or teacher training or law, etc.). In more concrete terms, 'enrolment in secondary schools' stands for the total enrolment of all those institutions which have been reported as secondary schools and covers their entire enrolment including that in the lower primary and/or higher primary departments wherever such department(s) are a part of these schools. Needless to point out that this enrolment does not take into account the enrolment of secondary classes attached to colleges which is included under colleges of the appropriate type.

In this section, the enrolment by types of institutions has been given separately for institutions for boys and institutions for girls under different managements and not by sex. For instance, the figures $2,872,985$ and 281,745 in columns (2) and (3) of Table V represent the total enrolment of 38,517 Government lower primary schools for boys and 3,276 Government lower primary schools for girls respectively and not of boys and girls in these institutions. The sex break-up of the total enrolment by types of institutions (not for each management separately) has, however, been given under a separate item.
(b) By Classes: This enrolment, which relates to schools for general education only (Tables IV to VII), has been given in two ways: (i) by individual classes (like class I, class II and so on), and (ii) by groups of classes (like classes I-IV, V-VII....). In either case, this compilation has been done on the assumption that enrolment in the same classes $\dagger$ in different States is additive. Moreover, the enrolment by groups of classes has been related to the population in the corresponding age-groups and the resultant enrolment ratios have been given. All this information is given sex-wise.

It should, however, be clearly understood that this enrolment is not according to types of institutions, i.e., the enrolment, say, in classes I-IV in Table V pertains to all such classes wherever they exist, that is whether in lower primary schools or in other institutions.
(c) By Stages: This term has mostly been used in tables dealing with general education at the school stage (Tables IV-VII). The system of school classes being different in different States, this enrolment responds to the official school structure in each State. For instance, this side-head under lower primary schools in Table V denotes the sum total of enrolment in the first four classes in the States where the duration of the lower primary stage is 4 years, in the first five classes where it is 5 years, and so on.
(d) By Courses: This side-head mostly appears in tables dealing with professional, technical and special education (Tables VIII to X, XV and XVI) of the school and collegiate stages. It has practically the same connotations as "enrolment by stages" has in relation to general education.
(iv) As already mentioned, teachers have been reported according to the type of institutions in which they work. For instance, teachers shown under secondary schools include not only those who teach in secondary classes but also those who teach in higher primary and lower primary classes where such departments

[^2]are a part of the secondary school and similarly at other levels. The pupil-teacher ratio also is based on enrolment and teachers by types of institutions.
(v) Expenditure: As already stated, the statistics of expenditure are also according to types of institutions, i.e., expenditure on, say, a comprehensive secondary school having lower and/or higher primary departments has been completely shown under secondary schools. In order to work out average annual salary per teacher and average cost per student, the expenditure, the enrolment and the teachers have been taken by types of institutions so that they may correspond to one another.
(vi) General Education: It includes the study of humanities, general science, social sciences, home science, languages, theology, etc.
(vii) Professional Education: It includes the study of courses in such fields as agriculture, commerce, law, medicine, teacher training, physical education, engineering and technology,
architecture, journalism, library science, etc.
(viii) Special Education: It includes institutions meant for the mentally and physically handicapped children, reformatories and certified schools, colleges/schools for fine arts (music, dancing, painting, sculpture, etc.), for oriental studies, for social workers and schools for adults.
(ix) The years for which educational statistics have been given in this volume correspond to the financial years. That is, the year 1950-51 stands for the period from 1st April, 1950 to 31st March, 1951. Population statistics refer to 1st March for 1951 and 1961 and to midyear for 1955 and 1965. Data on literacy pertain to lst March 1951 and 1961.
(x) Data about institutions, enrolment and teachers refer to 31st March of the year concerned, and that about expenditure to financial year (April-March). The output of the various examinations in a particular year corresponds to the students in the final year class of each course on 31st March of that year irrespective of the date of examination.

TABLE I : GROWTH OF PCPULATION IN INDIA
(Figures in 000's)

| Age | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | All <br> Persons | Males | Females | $\underset{\text { Persons }}{\text { All }}$ | Males | Females | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Persons } \end{gathered}$ | Males | Females | $\underset{\text { Persons }}{\text { All }}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| 1. Total population (all ages) | 185,517 | 175,613 | 361,130 | 204,132 | 191,768 | 395,900 | 226,294 | 212,941 | 439,235 | 255,229 | 239,552 | 494,781 |
| 2. Population by single year of age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4,720 | 4,591 | 9,311 | 5,392 | 5,233 | 10,625 | 6,064 | 5,875 | 11,939 | 7,228 | 6,995 | 14,223 |
| 7 | 4,635 | 4,465 | 9,100 | 5,256 | 5,075 | 10,331 | 5,878 | 5,684 | 11,562 | 6,932 | 6,716 | 13,648 |
| 8 | 4,547 | 4,345 | 8,892 | 5,126 | 4,923 | 10,049 | 5,704 | 5,501 | 11,205 | 6,661 | 6,456 | 13,117 |
| 9 | 4,458 | 4,229 | 8,687 | 5,001 | 4,777 | 9,778 | 5,544 | 5,324 | 10,868 | 6,413 | 6,213 | 12,626 |
| 10 | 4,367 | 4,117 | 8,484 | 4,878 | 4,634 | 9,512 | 5,390 | 5,152 | 10,542 | 6,188 | 5,986 | 12,174 |
| 11 | 4,274 | 4,010 | 8,284 | 4,758 | 4,498 | 9,256 | 5,241 | 4,985 | 10,226 | 5,981 | 5,775 | 11,756 |
| 12 | 4,180 | 3,908 | 8,088 | 4,637 | 4,367 | 9,004 | 5,094 | 4,826 | 9,920 | 5,791 | 5,577 | 11,368 |
| 13 | 4,086 | 3,810 | 7,896 | 4,519 | 4,244 | 8,763 | 4,952 | 4,677 | 9,629 | 5,616 | 5,392 | 11,008 |
| 14 | 3,992 | 3,716 | 7,708 | 4,403 | 4,126 | 8,529 | 4,814 | 4,537 | 9,351 | 5,454 | 5,217 | 10,671 |
| 15 | 3,896 | 3,630 | 7,526 | 4,287 | 4,017 | 8,304 | 4,678 | 4,405 | 9,083 | 5,305 | 5,055 | 10,360 |
| 16 | 3,794 | 3,553 | 7,347 | 4,171 | 3,917 | 8,088 | 4,547 | 4,281 | 8,828 | 5,169 | 4,903 | 10,072 |
| 17 | 3,689 | 3,483 | 7,172 | 4,055 | 3,824 | 7,879 | 4,422 | 4,164 | 8,586 | 5,032 | 4,756 | 9,788 |
| 3. Population in the age-group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-5 | 14,207 | 14,131 | 28,338 | 16,905 | 16,565 | 33,470 | 19,601 | 19,002 | 38,603 | 22,600 | 21,511 | 44,111 |
| 6-9 | 18,360 | 17,630 | 35,990 | 20,775 | 20,008 | 40,783 | 23,190 | 22,384 | 45,574 | 27,234 | 26,380 | 53,614 |
| 10-12 | 12,821 | 12,035 | 24,856 | 14,273 | 13,499 | 27,772 | 15,735 | 14,963 | 30,698 | 17,960 | 17,338 | 35,298 |
| 13-15 | 11,974 | 11,156 | 23,130 | 13,209 | 12,387 | 25,596 | 14,444 | 13,619 | 28,063 | 16,375 | 15,664 | 32,039 |
| 16-17 | 7,483 | 7,036 | 14,519 | 8,226 | 7,741 | 15,967 | 8,969 | 8,445 | 17,414 | 10,201 | 9,659 | 19,860 |
| 18-20 | 10,472 | 10,036 | 20,508 | 11,512 | 10,943 | 22,455 | 12,554 | 11,850 | 24,404 | 14,2C9 | 13,388 | 27,597 |
| 21-23 | 9,754 | 9,457 | 19,211 | 10,718 | 10,265 | 20,983 | 11,682 | 11,073 | 22,755 | 12,996 | 12,214 | 25,210 |
| Alternatively |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-10 | 22,727 | 21,747 | 44,474 | 25,653 | 24,642 | 50,295 | 28,580 | 27,536 | 56,116 | 33,422 | 32,366 | 65,788 |
| $11-13$ | 12,540 | 11,728 | 24,268 | 13,914 | 13,109 | 27,023 | 15,287 | 14,488 | 29,775 | 17,388 | 16,744 | 34,132 |
| 14-16 | 11,682 | 10,899 | 22,581 | 12,861 | 12,060 | 24,921 | 14,039 | 13,223 | 27,262 | 15,928 | 15,175 | 31,103 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 AND 1960-61)
TABLE II : LITERACY IN INDIA

|  |  |  |  | (Figures in 000 's) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 1950-51 * |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  |
|  | Males | Females | All Persons | Males | Females | All Persons |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{array}{r} 137,723 \\ (75.1) \end{array}$ | 159,895 (92.1) | 297,618 (83.4) | 148,206 | 185,212 | 333,418 |
| Literate | 45,610 | 13,651 | $(83.4$ 59,261 | (65.5) 77,940 | $(87.0)$ 27,579 | $(76.0)$ 105,519 |
| Total | $(24.9)$ 183,334 | $(7.9)$ 173,546 | $(16.6)$ 356,879 | $(34.5)$ 226,146 | (13.0) 212,791 | $\begin{array}{r} 105,519 \\ (24.0) \\ 438,937 \end{array}$ |
| 5 \& above ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (100.0) (100.0) (100.0) (100.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{array}{r} 113,650 \\ (71.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136,240 \\ (90.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249,889 \\ (80.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,019 \\ (59.6) \end{array}$ | 152,296 $(84.7)$ | 267,316 |
| Literate | $\begin{array}{r} 45,610 \\ (28.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,651 \\ (9.1) \end{array}$ | 59,261 $(19.2)$ | 77,940 $(40.4)$ | $(84.7)$ 27,579 $(15.3)$ | $(71.7)$ 105,519 $(283)$ |
| Total | 159,260 | 149,890 | 309,151 | 192,959 | 179,875 | (28.3) 372,834 |
| 10 \& above | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) |
| Illiterate | $\begin{gathered} 93,515 \\ (68.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,501 \\ (90.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209,016 \\ (79.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90,209 \\ (56.4) \end{gathered}$ | 125,260 $(84.5)$ | 215,469 |
| Literate | $\begin{gathered} 42,698 \\ (31.3) \end{gathered}$ | 12,266 (9.6) | 54,964 $(20.8)$ | 69,676 $(43.6)$ | $(84.5)$ 23,015 $(15.5)$ | $(69.9)$ 92,691 |
| Total | 136,213 | 127,767 | $(26.8)$ 263980 | $(43.6)$ 159,884 | $(15.5)$ 148,276 | $(30.1)$ 308,160 |
| 15 \& above (100) (100.0) (100.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{gathered} 77,849 \\ (68.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97,973 \\ (91.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175,822 \\ (79.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78,228 \\ (58.5) \end{gathered}$ | 108,773 $(86.8)$ | 187,001 |
| Literate | 35,551 | 9,239 | 44,790 | 55,382 | (86.8) 16,471 | (72.2) 71,853, |
| Total | (31.4) 113,400 | $(8.6)$ 107,212 | $(20.3)$ 220,612 | $(41.5)$ 133,610 | $(13.2)$ 125,244 | $(27.8)$ 258,854 |
|  | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) |  |  |

* Agewise literacy figures based on $10 \%$ sample (as given in paper No. 4 and paper No. 5 of Census of India, 1951)

Notes : 1. Where total does not tally, it is on account of rounding of figures in thousands.
2. Figures in the parentheses represent percentages of literate or illiterate males / females in a particular age-group to the total population of males/females in that age-group.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 AND 1960-61)
TABLE II : LITERACY IN INDIA

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By age-groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{array}{r} 24,074 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,655 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,729 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33,187 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,915 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66,103 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Literate | (二) | (二) | (-) | (-) | (-) | (-) |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 24,074 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,655 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,729 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,187 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,915 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66,103 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{aligned} & 20,135 \\ & (87.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,739 \\ (93.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,874 \\ (90.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,810 \\ (75.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,036 \\ (85.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51,846 \\ (80.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{gathered} 2,912 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,385 \\ & (6.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,297 \\ (9.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,264 \\ (25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,564 \\ & (14.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,828 \\ (19.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 23,048 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,123 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,171 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,074 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,600 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64,674 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{gathered} 15,666 \\ (68.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,528 \\ & (85.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33,194 \\ (76.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,971 \\ (45.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,487 \\ (71.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28,468 \\ (57.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{array}{r} 7,147 \\ (31.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,027 \\ (14.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,174 \\ (23.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,294 \\ (54.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,544 \\ & (28.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,838 \\ (42.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 22,813 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,555 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,368 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,275 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,032 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,306 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{aligned} & 20,670 \\ & (65.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,364 \\ (86.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47,034 \\ (75.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,060 \\ & (49.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,824 \\ & (79.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46,884 \\ & (64.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{aligned} & 10,894 \\ & (34.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,237 \\ (13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,131 \\ (24.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,738 \\ (50.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,594 \\ & (20.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,331 \\ (36.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 31,564 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,601 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,165 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36,798 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,418 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,215 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 25-34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{gathered} 19,494 \\ (68.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,563 \\ (90.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44,057 \\ (79.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,856 \\ (57.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28,330 \\ (86.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48,186 \\ (71.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{gathered} 9,133 \\ (31.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,457 \\ (9.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,590 \\ (20.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,664 \\ (42.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,574 \\ (13.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,238 \\ & (28.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 28,627 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,020 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,647 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,520 \\ (100,0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32,904 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67,424 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 AND 1960-61)
TABLE II : LITERACY IN INDIA
(Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35-44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{aligned} & 16,196 \\ & (71.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,439 \\ & (93.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,636 \\ & (81,8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,690 \\ & (61.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,336 \\ (89.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36,026 \\ (74.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{array}{r} 6,375 \\ (28.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,356 \\ & (6.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,732 \\ (18.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,000 \\ (38.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,298 \\ (10.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,298 \\ (25.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 22,571 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,796 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,367 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,690 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,634 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,324 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 45 and above |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{gathered} 21,384 \\ (70.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28,490 \\ (96.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49,875 \\ (82.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,543 \\ & (67.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,205 \\ & (94.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55,748 \\ & (80.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{gathered} 9,136 \\ (29.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,185 \\ & (4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,320 \\ & (17.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,965 \\ & (32.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,001 \\ (6.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,966 \\ & (20.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 30,520 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,675 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,195 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,507 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,207 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69,714 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Age not stated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \\ (88.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 \\ (96.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 220 \\ (92.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ (84.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ (95.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ (89.6) \end{array}$ |
| Literate | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (11.4) \end{array}$ | 4 $(3.6)$ | 18 (7.5) |  | 4 (4.3) | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ (10.4) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)

TABLE III: ALL EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| 1. Total number of institutions + | 262,031 | 24,829 | 286,860 | 341,768 | 24,873 | 366,641 | 430,981 | 41,674 | 472,655 | 481,638 | 39,191 | 520,829* |
| 2. Total enrolment | 19,142,009 | 6,400,763 25,542,772 24,734,886 |  |  | 9,188,707 33,923,593 |  | 33,704,897 14,259,505 |  | 47,964,402 | 47,626,000 22,666,000 70,292,000* |  |  |
| 3. Total number of teachers | 682,170 | 121,351 | 803,521 | 920,262 | 186,128 | 1,106,390 | 1,216,404 | 291,730 | 1,508,134 | 1,709,018 | 459,768 | 2,168,786* |
| 4. Total educational expenditure $\quad++$ (Rs. in 000's) | 1,021,867 | 121,955 | 1,143,822 | 1,703,142 | 193,468 | 1,896,610 | 3,107,719 | 336,082 | 3,443,801 | . | .. | 6,000,000 |
| 5. National income (at current prices) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total (Rs. in 100 crores) |  |  | 95.3 |  |  | 99.8 |  |  | 141.4 |  |  | 210.0 |
| (ii) Per capita (Rs.) |  |  | 266.5 |  |  | 255.0 |  |  | 325.7 |  |  | 424.4 |
| 6. Educational expenditure per head of population (Rs.) |  |  | 3.2 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 12.1 |
| 7. Percentage of educational expenditure to total national income |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.9 |  |  | 2.4 |  |  | 2.9 |

Note: The figures from the year 1950-51 to 1960-61 include the statistics of schools for adults. Detailed statistics for adults are given in Table X.
*Exclude statistics regarding schools for adults.
+Figures against this side-head represent institutions for boys and institutions for girls and their total.
++ Figures against this side-head represent expenditure on institutions for boys and that on institutions for girls and their total.
. Percentage of educational expenditure to total nature to total

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE IV : PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION


Nots: Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of institutions and enrolment in each column by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE IV : PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCTION (Contd.)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Tota | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| Teachers by qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Not completed secondary school | 104 | 446 | 550 | 167 | 903 | 1,070 | 204 | 1,699 | 1,903 | 460 | 2,200 | 2,660 |
| (i) Total | (61.2) | (64.1) | (63.5) | (57.8) | (56.8) | (56.9) | (50.1) | (47.2) | (47.5) | (46.0) | (40.0) | (40.9) |
| (ii) Trained | 65 | 231 | 296 | 86 | 485 | 571 | 130 | 1,030 | 1,160 | 301 | 1,906 | 2,207 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 62.5 | 51.8 | 53.8 | 51.5 | 53.7 | 53.4 | 63.7 | 60.6 | 61.0 | 65.4 | 86.6 | 83.0 |
| (b) Completed secondary school | 38 | 186 | 224 | 80 | 574 | 654 | 143 | 1,606 | 1,749 | 400 | 2,777 | 3,177 |
| (i) Total | (22.3) | (26.7) | (25.9) | (27.7) | (36.1) | (34.8) | (35.1) | (44.6) | (43.7) | (40.0) | (50.5) | (48.9) |
| (ii) Trained | 22 | 158 | 180 | 56 | 408 | 464 | 101 | 1,141 | 1,242 | 308 | 1,559 | 1,867 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 57.9 | 84.9 | 80.4 | 70.0 | 71.1 | 70.9 | 70.6 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 77.0 | 56.1 | 58.8 |
| (c) Holding university degree | 28 | 64 | 92 | 42 | 114 | 156 | 60 | 294 | 354 | 140 | 523 | 663 |
| (i) Total | (16.5) | (9.2) | (10.6) | (14.5) | (7.1) | (8.3) | (14.8) | (8.2) | (8.8) | (14.0) | (9.5) | (10.2) |
| (ii) Trained | 25 | 53 | 78 | 26 | 83 | 109 | 42 | 222 | 264 | 91 | 385 | 476 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 89.3 | 82.8 | 84.8 | 61.9 | 72.8 | 69.9 | 70.0 | 75.5 | 74.6 | 65.0 | 73.6 | 71.8 |
| (d) All teachers | 170 | 696 | 866 | 289 | 1,591 | 1,880 | 407 | 3,599 | 4,006 | 1,000 | 5,500 | 6,500 |
| (i) Total | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) |
| (ii) Trained | 112 | 442 | 554 | 168 | 976 | 1,144 | 273 | 2,393 | 2,666 | 700 | 3,850 | 4,550 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 65.9 | 63.5 | 64.0 | 58.1 | 61.3 | 60.9 | 67.1 | 66.5 | 66.6 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 |
| 6. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 25 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 31 |

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage of teachers with specified qualifications to total number of teachers in each column

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE IV : PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Cuntd.)


Note: Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of expenditure in each column by sources and by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE IV: PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Contd.)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys' Schools | Girls' Schools | Total | Boys' Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys ${ }^{\prime}$ School | Girls' Schools | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| 8. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | 527 | 265 | 792 | 1,010 | 4,37 | 1,447 | 3,038 | 667 | 3,705 | .. | . | 7,040 |
| 9. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 66.1 |  |  | 57.9 |  |  | 63.1 |  |  | 64.0 |
| 10. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 914.3 |  |  | 769.5 |  |  | 924.7 |  |  | 1,083.0 |
| 11. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) in schools managed by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ii) Local boards |  |  | 98.6 |  |  | 46.4 |  |  | 56.1 |  |  |  |
| (iii) Private bodies |  |  | 52.7 |  |  | 53.2 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  |  |
| (iv) All managements |  |  | 55.4 |  |  | 54.5 |  |  | 48.5 |  |  | 55.0 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE V: LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION


Note: Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of institutions and enrolment in each column by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE V: LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |

3. Total enrolment in lower primary schools
$13,155,593 \quad 5,138,37418,293,96715,946,373 \quad 6,973,361 \quad 22,919,73418,269,073 \quad 8,373,275 \quad 26,642,34826,968,000 \quad 13,667,06040,635,000$
4. Enrolment by classes

5. Enrolment in classes I-IV

6. Enrolment in classes I-V

| (i) Total | 13,769,85 | 55 5,384,602 | 2 19,154,45 | 7 17,527,756 | 7,639,257 | 7 25,167,013 | 23,592,727 | 11,401,102 | 34,993,829 | 32,992,000 | 18,145,000 | 51,137,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) Percentage of population in age-group 6-10 | 60.6 | 624. | 4.843 | .1 68.3 | 31.0 | 050.0 | . 82.5 | 41.4 | $4 \quad 62.4$ | 98.7 | 55.8 | 77.8 |
| 7. Enrolment in classess I-V by age-groups : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 6 years | $\begin{gathered} 1,187,413 \\ (8.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 511,238 \\ (9.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,698,651 \\ (8.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,440,596 \\ (8.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 763,081 \\ (10.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,203,677 \\ (8.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,012,552 \\ (8.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 988,892 \\ (8.7) \end{array}$ | $3,001,444$ <br> (8.6) | - | . | - |
| 6-10 years | $\begin{gathered} 10,324,095 \\ (75.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,214,819 \\ (78.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,538,914 \\ (75.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,260,746 \\ (75.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,993,185 \quad 1 \\ (78.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,253,931 \\ (76.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,084 ; 442 \\ (76.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,050,270 \\ (79.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,134,712 \\ (77.5) \end{gathered}$ | - | -• | -• |
| 11 years and above | $\begin{gathered} 2,258,347 \\ (16.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 658,545 \\ (12.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,916,892 \\ (15.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,826,414 \\ (16.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 882,991 \\ (11.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,709,405 \\ (14.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,495,733 \\ (14.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,361,940 \\ (11.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,857,673 \\ (13.9) \end{gathered}$ | .. | - | - |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 13,769,855 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,384,602 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,154,457 \\ (100.00) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,527,756 \\ \quad(100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,639,2572 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25,167,013 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,592,7271 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,401,102 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,993,829 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $32,992,000$ | $18,145,000$ | $51,137,000$ |
| 8. Enrolment at Iower primary stage | 13,406,282 | 5,271,359 | 18,677,641 | 17,024,645 | 7,486,686 2 | 24,511,331 | 22,687,340 1 | 10,944,051 | 33,631,391 | 31,728,000 | 17,784,000 | 49,512,000 |

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage of teachers with specified qualifications to total number of teachers in each column.

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)

TABLE V: LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | n Toral |
| 9. Teachers by qualifications: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Not completed secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 410,009 \\ (90.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72,201 \\ (87.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 482,210 \\ (89.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 431,803 \\ (75.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,663 \\ (77.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 522,466 \\ (75.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 390,321 \\ (63.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81,979 \\ (64.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 472,300 \\ (63.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 412,250 \\ (48.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102,250 \\ (51.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 514,500 \\ (49.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 235,998 | 49,524 | 285,522 | 275,691 | 67,395 | 343,086 | 262,565 | 60,353 | 322,918 | 292,698 | 75,665 | 368,363 |
| (iii) Percentage traine | d 57.6 | 68.6 | 59.2 | 63.8 | 74.3 | 65.7 | 67.3 | 73.6 | 68.4 | 71.0 | 74.0 | 71.6 |
| (b) Completed secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 44,730 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,670 \\ & (11.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54,400 \\ (10.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140,500 \\ (24.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25,675 \\ (21.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166,175 \\ (24.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221,354 \\ (36.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,233 \\ (34.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264,587 \\ (35.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 430,650 \\ (50.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,350 \\ (47.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 525,000 \\ (50.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 23,051 | 7,078 | 30,129 | 60,729 | 18,444 | 79,173 | 117,923 | 31,681 | 149,604 | 258,390 | 70,763 | 329,153 |
| (iii) Percentage traine | ed 51.5 | 73.2 | 55.4 | 43.2 | 71.8 | 47.6 | 53.3 | 73.3 | 56.5 | 60.0 | 75.0 | 62.7 |
| (c) Holding university degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 898 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 410 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,308 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,879 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 729 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,608 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,052 \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,576 \\ (1.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,628 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,100 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,400 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,500 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 242 | 231 | 473 | 510 | 423 | 933 | 1,577 | 1,026 | 2,603 | 3,905 | 2,380 | 6,285 |
| (iii) Percentage traine | ed 26.9 | 56.3 | 36.2 | 27.1 | 58.0 | 35.8 | 51.7 | 65.1 | 56.2 | 55.0 | 70.0 | 59.9 |
| (d) All teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 455,637 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82,281 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 537,918 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 574,182 \\ & \quad(100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,067 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 691,249 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 614,727 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126,788 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 741,515 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 850,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,050,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 259,291 | 56,833 | 316,124 | 336,930 | 86,262 | 423,192 | 382,065 | 93,060 | 475,125 | 554,993 | 148,808 | 703,801 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | d 56.9 | 69.1 | 58.8 | 58.7 | 73.7 | 61.2 | 62.2 | 73.4 | 64.1 | 65.3 | 74.4 | 67.0 |
| 10. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 34 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 39 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE V: LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls <br> Schoo | Total | Boys <br> Scho | Girl Scho | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total |

11. Expenditure (Rs. in 000's)
(a) By sources

| (1) Government funds | $\begin{gathered} 228,204 \\ (69.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,910 \\ (59.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249,114 \\ (68.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 368,814 \\ (75.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,697 \\ (56.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 395,511 \\ (73.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 556,653 \\ (81.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,568 \\ (65.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 591,221 \\ (80.5) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ |  | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 80,089 \\ (24.3) \end{array}$ | $11,062$ $(31.6)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91,151 \\ (25.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92,889 \\ (18.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,568 \\ (30.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107,457 \\ (20.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93,674 \\ (13.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,027 \\ (24.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106,701 \\ (14.5) \end{array}$ | . |  |  |
| (3) Fees | $\begin{aligned} & 7,311 \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,310 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,621 \\ (2.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,784 \\ (2.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,743 \\ & (7.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,527 \\ (3.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,977 \\ (2.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,193 \\ (6.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,170 \\ (2.3) \end{array}$ | . |  | . |
| (4) Other sources | $\begin{gathered} 14,190 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,767 \\ & (5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,957 \\ (4.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,601 \\ (3.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,176 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,777 \\ (3.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,401 \\ (2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,968 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,369 \\ (2.7) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ |  | . |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 329,794 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,049 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 364,843 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 490,088 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47,184 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 537,272 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 681,705 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52,756 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 734,461 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,110,500 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,000 \\ \\ (100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,220,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |

(b) By management of institutions

| (1) Government | $\begin{array}{r} 53,363 \\ (16.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,949 \\ & (22.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,312 \\ (16.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109,966 \\ (22.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,594 \\ (26.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122,560 \\ (22.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162,326 \\ (23.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,614 \\ (35.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 180,940 \\ (24.6) \end{array}$ | -. | $\cdots$ | -• |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 196,426 \\ (59.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22,378 \\ (63.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218,804 \\ (60.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268,494 \\ (54.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,660 \\ (56.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 295,154 \\ (54.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 382,214 \\ (56.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,477 \\ (44.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 405,691 \\ (55.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\cdots$ | . |
| (3) Private bodies | $\begin{array}{r} 80,005 \\ (24.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,722 \\ (13.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,727 \\ (23.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111,628 \\ (22.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,930 \\ & (16.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119,558 \\ (22.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,165 \\ (20.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,665 \\ (20.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147,830 \\ (20.1) \end{array}$ | - . | $\ldots$ | . |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 329,794 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,049 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 364,843 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 490,088 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47,184 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 537,272 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 681,705 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,756 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 734,461 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,110,500 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,220,500 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 12. Expenditure on salari of teachers (Rs. in $000^{\circ}$ | $265,354$ | 27,549 | 292,903 | 412,976 | 37,394 | 450,370 | 603,245 | 43,968 | 647,213 | . | . | 1,098,400 |

[^3]EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1365-66)
TABLE V: LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Concluded)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | 12 | (13) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boys' }^{\prime} \\ & \text { Schools } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Girls' } \\ \text { Schools } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | $\begin{gathered} \text { Girls' } \\ \text { Schools } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| 13. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 80.3 |  |  | 83.8 |  |  | 88.1 |  |  | 90.0 |
| 14. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 544.5 |  |  | 651.5 |  |  | 872.8 |  |  | 1,046.1 |
| 15. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs) in schools managed by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Government |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 26.1 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  |  |
| (ii) Local boards |  |  | 21.0 |  |  | 23.6 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  |  |
| (iii) Private bodies |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 24.2 |  |  |  |
| (iv) All managements |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 23.4 |  |  | 27.6 |  |  | 30.0 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE VI: HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys' Schools | Girls' Schools | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boys' } \\ & \text { Schools } \end{aligned}$ | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total |
| 1. Number of higher primary schools by management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | $\begin{gathered} 2,999 \\ (25.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 519 \\ (31.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,518 \\ (25.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,301 \\ & (22.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 660 \\ (28.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,961 \\ (22.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,514 \\ (18.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,181 \\ (25.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,695 \\ & (19.5) \end{aligned}$ | .. | . | $\ldots$ |
| Local boards | $\begin{aligned} & 4,235 \\ & (35.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 413 \\ (24.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,648 \\ & (34.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,106 \\ & (41.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 882 \\ (37.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,988 \\ & (41.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,226 \\ (53.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,255 \\ & (48.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,481 \\ (53.3) \end{array}$ | - | . | . |
| Private | $\begin{aligned} & 4,688 \\ & (39.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 742 \\ (44.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,430 \\ (39.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,986 \\ & (36.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 795 \\ (34.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,781 \\ & (35.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,257 \\ (27.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,230 \\ & (26.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,487 \\ (27.2) \end{gathered}$ | .. | . | . |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 11,922 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,674 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,596 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,393 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,337 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,730 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,997 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,666 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,663 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78,000 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. Enrolment in higher primary schools managed by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | $\begin{array}{r} 542,153 \\ (30.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113,450 \\ (36.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 655,603 \\ (31.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 833,427 \\ (24.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143,356 \\ (32.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 976,783 \\ (25.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,801,348 \\ (19.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 271,322 \\ (24.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,072,670 \\ \quad(19.5) \end{array}$ | . | -• | . |
| Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 683,463 \\ (38.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,303 \\ (17.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 736,766 \\ (35.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,714,469 \\ (50.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 174,159 \\ (38.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,888,628 \\ (49.5) \end{gathered}$ | 5,350,687 <br> (56.8) | $\begin{array}{r} 624,641 \\ (56.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,015,328 \\ (56.7) \end{array}$ | - | - | . |
| Private | $\begin{gathered} 537,007 \\ (30.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143,132 \\ (46.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 680,139 \\ (32.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 818,023 \\ (24.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129,518 \\ (29.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 947,541 \\ (24.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,303,991 \\ (24.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218,889 \\ (19.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,522,880 \\ (23.8) \end{gathered}$ | -• | -• | . |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1,762,623 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 309,885 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,072,508 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,365,919 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 447,033 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,812,952 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,496,026 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,114,852 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,610,878 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | . |  | 16,100,000 |

Note : Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of institutions and enrômènt in aach column by management:

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 70 19665-66)

 TABLE VI : HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 3. Enrolment in higher |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Enrolment by classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Class V | 1,475,117 | 423,111 | 1,898,228 | 1,822,058 | 581,032 | 2,403,050 | 2,590,337 | 1,020,849 | 3,611,186 | 3,807,C00 | 1,715,Cco | 5,522,000 |
| (ii) Class VI | 1,014,858 | 230,713 | 1,245,571 | 1,336,933 | 360,615 | 1,697,548 | 2,034,937 | 691,852 | 2,726,789 | 3,253,cco | 1,2C0,CCO | 4,453,000 |
| (iii) Class VII | 848,196 | 174,711 | 1,022,907 | 1,148,558 | 287,159 | 1,435,717 | 1,673,593 | 546,782 | 2,220,375 | 2,729, CCO | 951,CCO | 3,680,CC0 |
| 5. Enrolment in classes V-VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total 3 | 3,338,171 | 828,535 | 4,166,706 | 4,307,549 | 1,228,806 | 5,536,355 | 6,258,867 2 | 2,259,483 | 8,558,350 | 9,789,CCO | 3,866,CCO | 13,655,000 |
| (ii) As percentage of population in the age-group 10-12 | $26.0$ | 6.9 | 16.8 | 30.2 | 9.1 | 19.9 | 40.1 | 15.1 | 27.9 | 55.2 | 21.9 | 38.9 |
| 6. Enrolment in classes VI-VIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | 2,585,741 | 534,217 | 7 3,119,958 | 3,425,851 | 867,452 | 4,293,303 | 5,074,345 | 5 1,630,465 | 6,7c4,810 | 8,194,060 | 2,839,CCO | 11,033,000 |
| (ii) As percentage of population in age-group 11-13 | $20.6$ | 4.6 | 12.9 | 24.6 | 6.6 | 15.9 | 33.2 | 11.3 | 22.5 | 46.0 | 16.7 | $31.6$ |
| 7. Enrolment in classes VI-VIII by age-groups: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 11 years | $\begin{array}{r} 389,915 \\ (15.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105,977 \\ (19.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 495,892 \\ (15.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 461,314 \\ (13.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147,177 \\ (17.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 608,491 \\ (14.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 662,032 \\ (13.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} 2 & 231,304 \\ 0) & (14.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 893,336 \\ (13.3) \end{array}$ | .. | . | . |
| 11-13 years | $\begin{array}{r} 1,387,981 \\ (53.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 311,146 \\ (58.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,699,127 \\ (54.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,856,714 \\ (54.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 513,420 \\ (59.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,370,134 \\ (55.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,882,024 \\ (56.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 988,732 \\ (60.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,870,756 \\ (57.7) \end{array}$ | - | - | . |
| 14 years and above | $\begin{array}{r} 807,845 \\ (31.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,094 \\ (21.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 924,939 \\ (29.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,107,823 \\ (32.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 206,855 \\ (23.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,314,678 \\ (30.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,530,289 \\ (30,2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 410,429 \\ (25.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,540,718 \\ (29.0) \end{array}$ | - | . | . |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2,585,741 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 534,217 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,119,958 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,425,851 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 867,452 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,293,303 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,074,345 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,630,465 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,704,810 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,154, C C 0 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,839, C C 0 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,033, c c 0 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 8. Enrolment at higher primary stage | 2,726,399 | 603,720 | 3,330,119 | 3,830,784 | 992,560 | 4,823,344 | 5,538,406 | 1,941,178 | 7,479,584 | 8,500, Cc 0 | 3,ccc,cco | 11,500,000 |

Note : Figures in parentheses indicate the percentages to total enrolment in each column.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | ) (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | n Total | Men | Women | n Total | Men | Women | Total ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
| 9. Teachers by qualifications <br> (a) Not completed secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{aligned} & 37,422 \\ & (51.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,677 \\ (59.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,099 \\ & (52.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60,453 \\ & (48.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,077 \\ & (54.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73,530 \\ (49.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116, \epsilon 50 \\ (44.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,731 \\ & (53,6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161,381 \\ (46.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144,3 C 0 \\ & (38.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63,7 c 0 \\ & (45.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 208,000 \\ (40.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 25,199 | 4,636 | 29,835 | 42,925 | 9,236 | 52,161 | 83,918 | 34,521 | 118,439 | 168,225 | 50,206 | 159,031 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | d 67.3 | 60.4 | 66.2 | 71.0 | 70.6 | 70.9 | 71.9 | 77.2 | 73.4 | 75.0 | 79.8 | 76.5 |
| (b) Completed secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{gathered} 31,267 \\ (43.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,323 \\ & (33.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35,550 \\ (41.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54,893 \\ (44.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,060 \\ (38.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63,953 \\ & (43.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,927 \\ (50.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,038 \\ & (41.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165,965 \\ (48.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 212,200 \\ (55.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68, \mathrm{CCO} \\ (49.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280,8 \mathrm{CO} \\ (54.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 11,177 | 2,387 | 13,564 | 24,323 | 5,756 | 30,079 | 76,823 | 24,382 | 101,205 | 142,174 | 51,450 | 193,624 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | d 35.7 | 55.2 | 38.1 | 44.3 | 63.5 | 47.0 | 58.7 | 69.6 | 61.0 | 67.0 | 75.0 | 69.0 |
| (c) Holding university degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{gathered} 3,920 \\ (5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 887 \\ (6.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,807 \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,204 \\ & (7.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,707 \\ & (7.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,911 \\ (7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,119 \\ (5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,763 \\ & (4.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,882 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,500 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,700 \\ & (5.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,200 \\ (6.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 1,638 | 494 | 2,132 | 3,538 | 998 | 4,536 | 7,556 | 2,406 | 9,962 | 14,1C0 | 5,350 | 19,490 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 41.8 | 55.7 | 44.4 | 38.4 | 58.5 | 41.6 | 53.5 | 63.9 | 55.7 | 60.0 | 70.0 | 62.5 |
| (d) All Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 72,609 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,887 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85,496 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124,550 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 23,844 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 148,394 \\ & (1100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 261,656 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83,532 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 345,228 \\ & (160.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 380,(c 0 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140, \mathrm{CCO} \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 520,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 38,014 | 7,517 | 45,531 | 70,786 | 15,990 | 86,776 | 168,297 | 61,309 | 229,606 | 264,499 | 107,646 | 372,145 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 52.4 | 58.3 | 53.3 | 56.8 | 67.1 | 58.5 | 64.3 | 73.4 | 66.5 | 69.6 | 76.9 | 71.6 |
| 10. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 24 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 31 |

Note : Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage of teachers with specified qualifications to total number of teachers in each column.

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)

TABLE VI : HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) (2) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boys' } \\ & \text { Schools } \end{aligned}$ | Girls' Schools | Total |
| 11. Expenditure (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) By sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 32,562 \\ (50.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,669 \\ (55.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39,231 \\ (51.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84,494 \\ (62.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,366 \\ (62.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96,860 \\ (62.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 285,132 \\ (74.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,944 \\ (68.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 318,076 \\ (74.1) \end{array}$ | . | . | . |
| (ii) Local board funds | $\begin{aligned} & 9,870 \\ & (15.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,569 \\ & (13.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,439 \\ (14.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,175 \\ (12.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,739 \\ & (13.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,914 \\ (12.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,589 \\ (12.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,562 \\ (15.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54,151 \\ (12.6) \end{array}$ | . | - | . |
| (iii) Fees | $\begin{aligned} & 16,556 \\ & (25.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,857 \\ & (15.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,413 \\ (23.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,646 \\ (16.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,232 \\ & (11.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,878 \\ (16.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,043 \\ (7.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,634 \\ (7.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,677 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | . | . | . |
| (iv) Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 5,993 \\ (9,2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,914 \\ & (15.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,907 \\ (10.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,940 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,458 \\ (12.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,398 \\ (8.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,622 \\ (5.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,693 \\ (7.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,315 \\ (5.9) \end{array}$ | . | . | . |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 64,981 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,009 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76,990 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134,255 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,795 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154,050 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381,386 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47,833 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 429,219 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 630,500 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87,000 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 717,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| (b) By management of institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | $\begin{gathered} 21,222 \\ (32.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,334 \\ & (36.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,556 \\ (33.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,763 \\ (31.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,301 \\ & (36.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49,064 \\ (31.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90,495 \\ (23.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,503 \\ & (28.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,998 \\ (24.2) \end{array}$ | . | - |  |
| Local boards | $\begin{gathered} 23,204 \\ (35.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,108 \\ (17.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,312 \\ (32.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,595 \\ (42.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,764 \\ & (29.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63,359 \\ (41.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 192,287 \\ (50.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,124 \\ (48.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 215,411 \\ (50.2) \end{array}$ | . | . |  |
| Private | $\begin{array}{r} 20,555 \\ (31.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,567 \\ & (46.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,122 \\ (33.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,897 \\ (26.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,730 \\ & (34.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41,627 \\ (27.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98,604 \\ (25.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,206 \\ (23.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109,810 \\ (25.6) \end{array}$ | . | $\cdots$ | . |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 64,981 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,009 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,990 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134,255 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,795 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154,050 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381,386 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47,833 \\ & (100,0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 429,219 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 630,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87,000 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 717,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 12. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | ) .. | $\cdots$ | 58,342 | . | . | 120,020 | . | .. | 365,066 |  | $\cdots$ | 638,575 |
| 13. Percentage of expenditu on salaries to total expenditure |  |  | 75.8 |  |  | 77.9 |  |  | 85.1 |  |  | 89.0 |

Note : Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of expenditure in each column by sources and by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE VI : HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL ELUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | 1 (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boys' } \\ & \text { Schools } \end{aligned}$ | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' Schools | Girls: Schools | Total |
| 14. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 682.4 |  |  | 808.8 |  |  | 1,057.5 |  |  | 1,228.0 |
| 15. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) in schools managed by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Government |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 50.2 |  |  | 50.2 |  |  | - |
| (ii) Local boards |  |  | 34.4 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  | 35.8 |  |  | - |
| (iii) Private bodies |  |  | 38.4 |  |  | 43.9 |  |  | 43.5 |  |  | - |
| (iv) All managemen |  |  | 37.1 |  |  | 40.4 |  |  | 40.5 |  |  | 44.6 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE VII : SECONDARY SCHOOL EDUCATION

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls ${ }^{\text {, }}$ <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total |
| 1. Number of secondary schools by management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | $\begin{array}{r} 827 \\ (13.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 251 \\ (23.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,078 \\ & (14.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,245 \\ & (13.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 367 \\ (23.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,612 \\ (14.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,566 \\ (17.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 673 \\ (26.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,239 \\ (18.8) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ |
| Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 784 \\ (12.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ (3.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 822 \\ (11.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,324 \\ & (14.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ (4.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,402 \\ & (12.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,929 \\ & (13.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,066 \\ (12.0) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ |
| Private bodies | $\begin{aligned} & 4,613 \\ & (74.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 775 \\ (72.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,388 \\ & (73.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,686 \\ & (72.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,138 \\ & (71.9) \end{aligned}$ | $7,824$ (72.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 10,241 \\ & (69.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,711 \\ (67.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,952 \\ & (69.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 6,224 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,064 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,288 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,255 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,583 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,838 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,736 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,521 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,257 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | 21,800 | 4,200 | 26,000 |
| 2. Enrolment in secondary schools managed by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | $\begin{array}{r} 379,981 \\ (14.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114,636 \\ (25.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 494,617 \\ (15.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 619,853 \\ (15.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177,981 \\ (24.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 797,834 \\ (16.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,249,457 \\ (19.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 331,839 \\ (27.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,581,296 \\ (21.1) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . |
| Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 378,973 \\ (14.0) \end{array}$ | 11,558 <br> (2.6) | $\begin{array}{r} 390,531 \\ (12.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 586,621 \\ (14.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,870 \\ (4.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 618.491 \\ (13.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 770,235 \\ (12.2) \end{array}$ | 58,105 <br> (4.8) | $\begin{array}{r} 828,340 \\ (11.0) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ |
| Private bodies | $\begin{array}{r} 1,953,074 \\ (72.0) \end{array}$ | $321,279$ <br> (71.8) | $\begin{array}{r} 2,274,353 \\ (71.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,789,568 \\ (69.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 507,664 \\ (70.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,297,232 \\ (70.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,280,696 \\ (68.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 821,182 \\ (67.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,101,878 \\ (67.9) \end{array}$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2,712,028 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 447,473 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,159,501 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,996,042 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 717,515 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,713,557 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,300,388 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,211,126 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,511,514 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | .. 11 | 080,000 |

NoTE : Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of institutions and enrolment in each column by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE YII : SECONDARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Cirls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 3. Total enrolment in secondary schools | 2,609,345 | 550,156 3 | 3,159,501 | 3,736,529 | 977,028 | 4,713,557 | 5,685,343 | 1,826,171 | 7,511,514 | 8,080,CCO | 3, $\mathrm{CCO}, \mathrm{CCO} 1$ | 11,0¢0,CCO |
| 4. Enrolment by classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Class VIII | 722,687 | 128,793 | 851,480 | 940,360 | 219,678 | 1,160,038 | 1,365,815 | 391,831 | 1,757,646 | 2,212,000 | 688,CC0 | 2,900,000 |
| (ii) Class IX | 460,489 | 76,684 | 537,173 | 697,744 | 144,462 | 842,206 | 1,023,831 | 256,022 | 1,279,853 | 1,663,000 | 474,C00 | 2,137,060 |
| (iii) Class X | 367,347 | 53,071 | 420,418 | 565,508 | 109,502 | 765,010 | 860,392 | 192,934 | 1,053,326 | 1,419,cco | 400,000 | 1,819,000 |
| (iv) Class XI | 191,596 | 30,962 | 222,558 | 276,432 | 63,736 | 340,168 | 411,938 | 85,787 | 497,725 | 895,C00 | 182,CC0 | 1,077,000 |
| (v) Class XII | 38,117 | 1,971 | 40,088 | 17,888 | 2,348 | 20,236 | 35,472 | 5,947 | 41,419 | 96,cco | 13,000 | 109,000 |
| 5. Enrolment in classes VIII-X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | 1,550,523 | 258,548 1 | 1,809,071 | 2,203,612 | 473,642 | 2.677,254 | 3,250,038 | 840,787 | 4,050,825 | 5,294, cco | 1,562,C10 | 6,856,000 |
| (ii) As percentage of population in the age-group 13-15 12.9 |  | $2.3$ | $7.8$ | 16.7 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 22.5 | 6.2 | 14.6 | 32.3 | 9.4 | 21.1 |
| 6. Enrolment in classes IX-XI/XII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | 1,057,549 | 162,688 | 1,220,237 | 1,557,572 | 320,048 | 1,877,620 | 2,331,633 | 540,650 | 2,872,323 | 4,073,CC0 | 1,069,CCO | 5,142,Cco |
| (ii) As percentage of population in the age-group 14-16 |  | $1.5$ | $5.4$ | 12.1 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 16.6 | 4.1 | 10.5 | 25.6 | 7.0 | 16.5 |
| 7. Enrolment in classes VIII-XI/XII by age- groups : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 14 years | $\begin{array}{r} 191,962 \\ (18.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37,909 \\ (23.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 229,871 \\ (18.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 281,338 \\ (18.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,964 \\ (19.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 344,302 \\ (18.3) \end{array}$ | $408,643$ (17.5) | $87,992$ (16.3) | $\begin{array}{r} 496,635 \\ (17.3) \end{array}$ | - | . | .. |
| 14-16 years | $\begin{array}{r} 600,954 \\ (56.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97,016 \\ & (59.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 697,970 \\ (57.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 878,230 \\ (56.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195,679 \\ (61.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.073,509 \\ (57.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,296,612 \\ (55.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 328,581 \\ & (60.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,625,193 \\ (56.6) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | . | .. |
| 17 years and above | $\begin{gathered} 264,633 \\ (25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,763 \\ & (17.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 292,396 \\ (24.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398,004 \\ (25.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61,405 \\ (19.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 5 & 459,409 \\ & (24.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 626,378 \\ (26.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,117 \\ (22.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 750,495 \\ (26.1) \end{array}$ |  | - | . |
| Total | 1,057,549 | 162,688 | 1,220,237 | 1,557,572 | 320,048 | 1,877,620 | 2,331,633 | 3540,650 | 2,872,323 | 4,073,000 | 1,069,CC0 | 5,142,000 |

Note : Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage to total enrolment in each column.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE VII: SECONDARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| 8. Enrolment at secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| 9. Teachers by qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not completed secondary school |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 17,900 \\ (16.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,178 \\ (20.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22,078 \\ (17.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,497 \\ & (13.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,556 \\ & (15.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,053 \\ & (13.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,665 \\ (9.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,197 \\ (11.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,862 \\ (10.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25,875 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,980 \\ (8.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,855 \\ (7.7) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 8,997 | 2,613 | 11,610 | 12,008 | 3,772 | 15,780 | 14,029 | 4,901 | 18,930 | 15,525 | 5,187 | 20,712 |
| (iii) Percentage tr | ined 50.3 | 62.5 | 52.6 | 58.6 | 67.9 | 60.6 | 61.9 | 68.1 | 63.4 | 600 | 65.0 | 61,2 |
| (b) Completed secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 43,260 \\ (40.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,324 \\ & (41.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51,584 \\ (40.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58,405 \\ (37.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,761 \\ (42.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,166 \\ (38.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91,484 \\ (39.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,528 \\ (44.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119,012 \\ (40.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131,100 \\ (38.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,945 \\ (43.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172,045 \\ (39.1) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 21,597 | 5,753 | 27,350 | 33,313 | 11,361 | 44,674 | 55,936 | 20,834 | 76,770 | 86,002 | 31,118 | 117,120 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 49.9 | 69.1 | 53.0 | 57.0 | 77.0 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 75.7 | 64.5 | 65.6 | 76.0 | 68.1 |
| (c) Holding university degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 45,362 \\ (42.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,480 \\ (37.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52,842 \\ (41.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75,807 \\ (49.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,768 \\ (42.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90,575 \\ (47.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119,809 \\ (51.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,622 \\ (44.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147,431 \\ (49.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188,025 \\ (54.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,075 \\ (48.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234,100 \\ (53.2) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 24.199 | 4,859 | 29,058 | 42,457 | 10,427 | 52,884 | 73,932 | 20,330 | 94,262 | 127,898 | 34,945 | 162,843 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 53.3 | 65.0 | 55.0 | 56.0 | 70.6 | 58.4 | 61.7 | 73.6 | 63.9 | 68.0 | $-75.8$ | 69.6 |
| (b) All teachers <br> (i) Total | $\begin{array}{r} 106,522 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,982 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126,504 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154,709 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,085 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 189,794 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 233,958 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62,347 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 296,305 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 345,000 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95,000 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 440,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| (ii) Trained | 54,793 | 13,225 | 68,018 | 87,778 | 25,560 | 113,338 | 143,897 | 46,065 | 189,962 | 229,425 | 71,250 | 300,675 |
| (iii) Percentage trained | 51.4 | 66.2 | 53.8 | 56.7 | 72.9 | 59.7 | 61.5 | 73.9 | 64.1 | 66.5 | 75.0 | 68.3 |
| 10. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |

Nore: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage of teachers with specified qualifications to total number of teachers in each column.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE VII: SECONDARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estirrated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (3) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys' <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total | Boys" <br> Schools | Girls' <br> Schools | Total |
| 11. Expenditure (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) By sources <br> (i) Government fund | $\begin{gathered} 68,270 \\ (35.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,743 \\ & (44.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,013 \\ (36.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121,368 \\ (38.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28,599 \\ (45.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149,967 \\ (39.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 272,100 \\ (47.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,932 \\ (50.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 331,032 \\ (48.0) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . |
| (ii) Local board funds | $\begin{gathered} 5,833 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 735 \\ (2.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,568 \\ & (2.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.174 \\ (4.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,605 \\ & (2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,779 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,063 \\ (5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.044 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,107 \\ (4.7) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| (iii) Fees | 101,682 (52.2) | $\begin{array}{r} 14,436 \\ (40.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,118 \\ (50.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149,515 \\ (47.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,099 \\ (41.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175,614 \\ (46.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227,370 \\ (39.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43,024 \\ (37.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270,394 \\ (39.2) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| (iv) Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 19,098 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,653 \\ & (13.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,751 \\ (10.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,197 \\ (9.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,588 \\ (10,5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,785 \\ (9.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,676 \\ (7.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,909 \\ (9.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,585 \\ (8.1) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 194,883 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,567 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230,450 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 313,254 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62,891 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 376,145 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 573,209 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,509 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 689,118 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 941,0 c 0 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240, \mathrm{cco} \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,181,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| (b) By management of institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Government | $\begin{array}{r} 36,948 \\ (19.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,356 \\ & (26.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,304 \\ (20.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,378 \\ (18.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,056 \\ (28.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76,434 \\ (20.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,347 \\ (21.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,854 \\ (30.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 159,-01 \\ (23.1) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | . | . |
| (ii) Local boards | $\begin{gathered} 31,206 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,078 \\ (3.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,284 \\ (14.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,192 \\ (12.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,316 \\ (3.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41,508 \\ (11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,433 \\ & (12.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,249 \\ (4.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75,682 \\ (11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\ldots$ | .- | - |
| (iii) Private | $\begin{array}{r} 126,729 \\ (65.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,133 \\ (70.7) \end{array}$ | $151,862$ $(65.9)$ | $\begin{gathered} 215,684 \\ (68.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,519 \\ & (67.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 258,203 \\ (68.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 378,429 \\ (66.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75, \mathrm{E} 06 \\ & (65.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 454,235 \\ (65.9) \end{gathered}$ | . | . | . |
| Total | 194,883 $(100.0)$ <br> (100.0) | $\begin{array}{r} 35,567 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230,450 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 313,254 \\ (100,0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,891 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 376,145 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 573,209 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,509 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 689,118 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 941, \mathrm{C} 0 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240,0 c 0 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,181,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 12. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000 s) | - | $\cdots$ | 159,179 | - | $\ldots$ | 270,760 | . | . | 498,087 | . | . | 862,130 |

[^4]EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE VII: SECONDARY SCHOOL EDUCATION (Contimued)


## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)

TABLE VIII: ALL SCHOOLS FOR VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION

diture on salaries of teachers to total expenditure
Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.)
197.4
207.7
284.3
416.7
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## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

SCHOOLS FOR VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION (SELECTED VOCATIONS)
TABLE VIII-A: SCHOOLS FOR AGRICULTURE

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of schools | 34 | 1 | 35 | 76 | 1 | 77 | 101 | 1 | 102 | 104 | 2 | 106 |
| 2. Enrolment in schools for agriculture | 1,845 | 9 | 1,854 | 5,115 | 14 | 5,129 | 7,662 | 74 | 7,736 | 8,272 | 156 | 8,428 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 1,845 | 9 | 1,854 | 5,216 | 14 | 5,230 | 7,662 | 74 | 7,736 | 8,315 | 156 | 8,471 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 231 | 1 | 232 | 355 | 2 | 357 | 604 | $\bigcirc$ | 612 | 664 | 18 | 682 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 8 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | $\begin{gathered} 1,254 \\ (95.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,255 \\ (95.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,110 \\ (81.3) \end{array}$ | $(\overline{)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,110 \\ (81.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,089 \\ (87.9) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,089 \\ (87.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,530 \\ (92.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (93.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,545 \\ & (92.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $(\overline{)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $(一)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | (-) | (-) | (-) | (-) | (-) | $(-)$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $(-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (50.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 469 \\ (18.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 472 \\ (18.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 490 \\ (10.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (50.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ (10.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & (6.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & (6.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1,314 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,315 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,594 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,597 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,652 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (000.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,654 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,899 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,915 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | . | . | 410 | . | . | 844 | . |  | 1,771 | .. |  | 2,087 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 31.2 |  |  | 32.5 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  | 42.5 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 1,767.2 |  |  | 2,364.1 |  |  | 2,893.7 |  |  | 3,060.1 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 709.3 |  |  | 506.3 |  |  | 601.6 |  |  | 583.2 |
| 11. Output* | 764 | 17 | 781 | 3,502 | - | 3,502 | 3,925 | 28 | 3,953 | 5,936 | 73 | 6,009 |

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

SCHOOLS FOR VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION (SELECTED VOCATIONS)
TABLE VIII-B: SCHOOLS FOR ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Toteil |
| 1. Number of schools | 107 | 2 | 109 | 158 | - | 158 | 282 | - | 282 | 291 | 4 | 295 |
| 2. Enrolment in schools for engineering and technology | 19,829 | 365 | 20,194 | 35,397 | 214 | 35,611 | 78,984 | 506 | 79,490 | 85,380 | 846 | 86,226 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 20,811 | 337 | 21,148 | 41,181 | 214 | 41,395 | 85,864 | 438 | 86,302 | 84,830 | 838 | 85,668 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 1,728 | 15 | 1,743 | 2,584 | 6 | 2,590 | 6,600 | 25 | 6.625 | 7,412 | 46 | 7,458 |
| S. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 12 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{aligned} & 7,478 \\ & (77.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (27.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,481 \\ (77.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,895 \\ (73.9) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,895 \\ (73.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,240 \\ (77.4) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,240 \\ (77.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,908 \\ (78.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \\ (52.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,992 \\ (78.1) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | (-) | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 1,538 \\ (16.0) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,538 \\ (16.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,900 \\ (19.7) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,900 \\ & (19.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,511 \\ & (18.6) \end{aligned}$ | $(\overline{)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,511 \\ & (18.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,906 \\ & (17.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (3.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,912 \\ (17.2) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 514 \\ (5.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (72.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 522 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 914 \\ (6.2) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 914 \\ (6.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,632 \\ & (4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $(\overline{(一)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,632 \\ (4.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,100 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ (43.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,170 \\ (4.7) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 9,629 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,640 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,741 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,741 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40,385 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40,385 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45,926 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46,086 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) |  | . | 4,012 | .. | $\ldots$ | 6,366 |  |  | 20,194 | . | $\ldots$ | 23,560 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 41.6 |  |  | 43.2 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  | 51.1 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 2,302.0 |  |  | 2,457.8 |  |  | 3,048.2 |  |  | 3,159.0 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 477.4 |  |  | 413.9 |  |  | 508.1 |  |  | 534.4 |
| 11. Output | 12,611* | 1,578* | 14,189* | 23,747* | 3,646* | 26,393* | 17,302 | 249 | 17,551 | 14,446 | 108 | 14,554 |
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## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

 SCHOOLS FOR VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION (SELECTED VOCATIONS)TABLE VIII-C: SCHOOLS FOR MEDICINE

| Ittm | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of schools | 16 | 22 | 38 | 26 | 56 | 82 | 37 | 121 | 158 | 49 | 128 | 177 |
| 2. Enrolment in schoo for medicine | $1,982$ | 1,362 | 3,344 | 2,713 | 2,429 | 5,142 | 2,795 | 6,264 | 9,059 | 3,976 | 7,281 | 11,257 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 3,077 | 1,452 | 4,529 | 3,579 | 2,565 | 6,144 | 4,166 | 6,536 | 10,702 | 5,164 | 7,334 | 12,498 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 302 | 94 | 396 | 507 | 162 | 669 | 697 | 358 | 1,055 | 881 | 385 | 1,266 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 9 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 387 \\ (46.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 827 \\ (88.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,214 \\ (68.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 647 \\ (61.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 789 \\ (64.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,436 \\ (63.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 550 \\ (54.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,917 \\ (76.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,467 \\ (69.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 646 \\ (45.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,748 \\ (86.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,394 \\ (73.8) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ (8.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172 \\ (14.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 189 \\ (8.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 183 \\ (7.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 187 \\ (5.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ (4.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (2.8) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 231 \\ (27.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 281 \\ (15.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 246 \\ (23.6) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 246 \\ (10.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 323 \\ (31.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 531 \\ (37.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 559 \\ (12.2) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ (17.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ (5.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 194 \\ (11.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (12.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 256 \\ (21.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 391 \\ (17.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142 \\ (13.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 389 \\ (15.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 531 \\ (15.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ (17.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274 \\ (8.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 516 \\ (11.2) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 838 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 933 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,771 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,045 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,217 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,262 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,019 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,513 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,532 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,422 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,176 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,598 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salar of teachers (Rs. in 000 |  | .. | 968 | .. | .. | 772 | . . | .. | 1,403 | .. | .. | 1,886 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenpenditure |  |  | 54.7 |  |  | 34.1 |  |  | 39.7 |  |  | 41.0 |
| 9. Average annual sa per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 2,444.4 |  |  | 1,154.0 |  |  | 1,329.9 |  |  | 1,489.7 |
| 10. Average annual co per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 529.6 |  |  | 439.9 |  |  | 389.9 |  |  | 408.5 |
| 11. Outnut | - | - | - | 449 | 873 | 1.3? | 1501 | 1.965 | $3556 *$ | 1.207 | 2.302 | 3.50\%* |
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TABLE VIII-D: SCHOOLS FOR TEACHER TRAINING

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of schools | 567 | 215 | 782 | 678 | 252 | 930 | 881 | 257 | 1,138 | 874 | 260 | 1,134 |
| 2. Enrolment in schools for teacher training | 51,523 | 17,893 | 69,416 | 60,143 | 23,324 | 83,467 | 84,147 | 26,355 | 110,502 | 91,347 | 28,688 | 120,035 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 52,069 | 17,994 | 70,063 | 65,033 | 25,881 | 90,914 | 91,130 | 31,552 | 122,682 | 101,625 | 38,295 | 139,920 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 3,511 | 1,287 | 4,798 | 4,942 | 1,431 | 6,373 | 6,826 | 1,755 | 8,581 | 7,083 | 1,907 | 8,990 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 14 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{gathered} 10,074 \\ (87.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,799 \\ (74.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,873 \\ (84.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,704 \\ (88.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,022 \\ (71.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,726 \\ & (84.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,270 \\ (92.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,200 \\ (81.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,470 \\ (90.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,708 \\ & (92,8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,328 \\ (80.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,036 \\ (90,8) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{gathered} 219 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ |
| Fee | $\begin{array}{r} 473 \\ (4.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 241 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 714 \\ (4.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 787 \\ (5.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 435 \\ (10.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,222 \\ & (6.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,250 \\ & (4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 606 \\ (9.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,856 \\ (5.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,399 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 644 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,043 \\ (5.1) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{gathered} 703 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 672 \\ (17.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,375 \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 953 \\ (6.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 759 \\ (17.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,712 \\ (8.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 819 \\ (2.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 555 \\ (8.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,374 \\ (4.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 869 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 599 \\ (9.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,468 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 11,469 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,760 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,229 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,506 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,251 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,757 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28,409 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,402 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,811 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,105 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,599 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39,704 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) . . . . . . 260 . . . . . . 17,154 .. 20,221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenuiiure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 1,930.0 |  |  | 1,593.2 |  |  | 2,000.6 |  |  | 2,249.3 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 219.4 |  |  | 236.7 |  |  | 315.0 |  |  | 330.8 |
| 11. Output | 32,424 | 9,766 | 42,190 | 39,598 | 15,230 | 54,828 | 63,308 | 19,058 | 82,366 | 67,187 | 20,788 | 87,975 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE IX: ALL SCHOOLS FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION (Excluding Schools for Adults)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of schools | 4,143 | 114 | 4,257 | 4,745 | 151 | 4,896 | 4,125 | 144 | 4,269 | 4,200 | 150 | 4,350 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of schools | 130,642 | 17,790 | 148,432 | 178,039 | 31,012 | 209,051 | 161,203 | 33,742 | 194,945 | 184,000 | 39,000 | 223,000 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 131,861 | 18,045 | 149,906 | 182,318 | 32,773 | 215,091 | 161,569 | 35,763 | 197,332 | 185,000 | 40,000 | 225,000 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 10,764 | 593 | 11,357 | 14,114 | 906 | 15,020 | 14,533 | 1,161 | 15,694 | 17,000 | 1,386 | 18,386 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 13 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 6,431 \\ (42.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 685 \\ (60.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,116 \\ (44.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,130 \\ (57.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,067 \\ & (60.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,197 \\ (57.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,458 \\ (61.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,425 \\ & (65.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,883 \\ (61.8) \end{gathered}$ | -• | - | -• |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 210 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 220 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 296 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 306 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 448 \\ (2.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 462 \\ (1.9) \end{array}$ | .. | -• | .. |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 377 \\ (2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \\ (16.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 567 \\ (3.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 637 \\ (3.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 310 \\ (17.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 947 \\ (4.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,101 \\ (5.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 272 \\ (12.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,373 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | -• | -• | . |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 7,962 \\ (53.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 252 \\ (22.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,214 \\ (50.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,517 \\ (37.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 366 \\ (20.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,883 \\ & (35.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,868 \\ & (31.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 483 \\ (22.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,351 \\ (30.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 14,980 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,137 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,117 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,580 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,753 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,333 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,875 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,194 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,069 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,375 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | . | - | 8,121 | .. | . | 11,524 | .. | . | 14,514 | -• | - | 18,225 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 50.4 |  |  | 59.6 |  |  | 60.3 |  |  | 60.0 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 715.1 |  |  | 767.2 |  |  | 924.8 |  |  | 991.2 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 108.6 |  |  | 92.5 |  |  | 123.5 |  |  | 136.2 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-A: SCHOOLS FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION


[^8]EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-A: SCHOOLS FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| B. Schools 'for other fine arts' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of schools | 8 | 1 | 9 | 39 | 2 | 41 | 45 | 4 | 49 | 48 | 3 | 51 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 1,426 | 260 | 1,686 | 2,793 | 501 | 3,294 | 3,063 | 696 | 3,759 | 3,668 | 899 | 4,567 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 4. Number of teachers | 134 | 5 | 139 | 232 | 14 | 246 | 264 | 32 | 296 | 262 | 22 | 284 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 12 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 16 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 395 \\ (72.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398 \\ (72.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 586 \\ (74.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (59.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 599 \\ (74.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 491 \\ (59.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (21.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 496 \\ (58,6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 584 \\ (60.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 592 \\ (59.7) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (11.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (4.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (22.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125 \\ (22.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (17.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (18.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \\ (17.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221 \\ (26.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (30.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 228 \\ (26.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ (27.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (25.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 272 \\ (27.5) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (5.0) \end{array}$ | $(22.3)^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ (5.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ (7.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (22.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ (7.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \\ (12.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (43.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (12.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ (11.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (37.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (12.3) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 544 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 553 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 788 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 810 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 824 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 847 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 967 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 991 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  | 353 | .. | .. | 456 | . |  | 519 | . . | . . | 603 |
| 8. Fercentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 63.8 |  |  | 56.3 |  |  | 61.3 |  |  | 60.8 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 2,539.6 |  |  | 1,853.7 |  |  | 1,753.4 |  |  | 2,123.2 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 328.0 |  |  | 245.9 |  |  | 225.2 |  |  | 217.0 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-A : SCHOOLS FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continued)

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | B.ys | Girls | Total | Boss | Girls | Total |
| C. Schools for oriental studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Number of schools | 3,298 | 21 | 3,319 | 3,254 | 32 | 3,286 | 3,462 | 24 | 3,486 | 3,424 | 25 | 3,449 |
|  | Enrolment by type of institutions | 88,942 | 5,643 | 94,585 | 114,927 | 9,667 | 124,594 | 131,531 | 15,635 | 147,166 | 136,647 | 18,491 | 154,538 |
| 3. | Enrolment by courses | 89,809 | 5,692 | 95,501 | 117,320 | 10,059 | 127,419 | 132,627 | 16,107 | 148,734 | 136,288 | 18,567 | 155,255 |
| 4. | Number of teachers | 8,420 | 146 | 8,566 | 10,065 | 170 | 10,235 | 11.427 | 210 | 11,637 | 11,398 | 259 | 11,657 |
|  | Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 11 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,733 \\ & (18.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ (11.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,750 \\ (18.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,073 \\ (34.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ (22.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,107 \\ (33.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,751 \\ (44.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (55.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,842 \\ (45.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.101 \\ (46.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \\ (47.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,189 \\ (46.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds |  | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (2.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202 \\ (2.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 204 \\ (2.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 313 \\ (3.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \\ (2.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338 \\ (3.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 339 \\ (3.0) \end{array}$ |
| Fees |  | $\begin{array}{r} 156 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (2.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 256 \\ (2.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (8.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269 \\ (2.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 368 \\ (3.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (4.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 375 \\ (3.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 355 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (4.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,376 \\ (78.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123 \\ (84.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,499 \\ (78.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,471 \\ (60.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ (67.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,573 \\ (\epsilon 0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,166 \\ (48.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ (39.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,230 \\ (48.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,239 \\ (47.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ (47.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,328 \\ (47.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9,400 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 146 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,546 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,002 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 151 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,153 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,598 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163 \\ (1 \mathrm{C} 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,761 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,033 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,219 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) |  |  | - | 4,450 | - | . | 6,756 | . | . | 8,767 | . | . | 8,851 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  |  | 46.6 |  |  | 73.8 |  |  | 81.5 |  |  | 78.9 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  |  | 519.5 |  |  | 660.1 |  |  | 753.4 |  |  | 759.3 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  |  | 100.9 |  |  | 73.5 |  |  | 73.1 |  |  | 72.6 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-A : SCHOOLS FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continucd)

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| D. All schools for cultural education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of schools | 3,344 | 65 | 3,409 | 3,357 | 90 | 3,447 | 3,636 | 88 | 3,724 | 3,578 | 89 | 3,667 |
|  | Enrolment by type of institutions | 92,269 | 10,141 | 102,410 | 120,208 | 15,701 | 135,509 | 137,976 | 24,083 | 162,059 | 143,202 | 27,339 | 170,541 |
|  | Enrolment by courses | 93,488 | 10,396 | 103,884 | 124,193 | 17,243 | 141,436 | 140,265 | 26,441 | 166,7c6 | 143,410 | 29,652 | 173,c62 |
| 4. | Number of teachers | 8,950 | 245 | 9,195 | 10,868 | 305 | 11,173 | 12,478 | 434 | 12,912 | 12,399 | 451 | 12,850 |
|  | Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 11 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,287 \\ & (22.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ (17.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,368 \\ & (22.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,860 \\ (37.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ (20.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,970 \\ & (36.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,733 \\ (45.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (30.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,503 \\ & (45.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,141 \\ & (47.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198 \\ (32.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,339 \\ (46.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds |  | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 211 \\ (2.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216 \\ (2.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 339 \\ (2.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 349 \\ (2.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363 \\ (2.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 371 \\ (2.8) \end{array}$ |
| Fees |  | $\begin{array}{r} 307 \\ (3.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 180 \\ (39.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 522 \\ (5.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 236 \\ (42.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 758 \\ (7.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 891 \\ (7.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184 \\ (32.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,075 \\ (8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 865 \\ (6.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152 \\ (25.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,017 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7,431 \\ & (73.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188 \\ (41.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,619 \\ (71,8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,626 \\ & (55.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ (36.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,825 \\ & (54.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,517 \\ & (44.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ (35.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,718 \\ & (43.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,574 \\ & (43.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 246 \\ (40.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,820 \\ & (43.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10,160 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 453 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,613 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,219 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 550 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,769 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,480 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 565 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,045 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,943 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 604 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,547 \\ & \text { (IC0.0) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (R. in 000's) |  |  | .. | 5,164 | - | . | 7,823 | .. | . | 10,292 | . | .. | 10,314 |
|  | Percentage of expend on salaries of teacher total expenditure | to |  | 48.7 |  |  | 72.6 |  |  | 78.9 |  |  | 76.1 |
|  | Average annual salary teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 561.6 |  |  | 700.2 |  |  | 797.1 |  |  | 802.6 |
|  | Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 103.6 |  |  | 79.2 |  |  | 80.5 |  |  | 79.4 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1S61-62)
TABLE IX-B : SCHOOLS FOR CHILDREN IN NEED OF SPECIAL CARE


EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-B : SCHOOLS FOR CHILDREN IN NEED OF SPECIAL CARE (Continucd)

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Bojs | Girls | Total |
| B. Schools for physically handicapped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of schools | 76 | 5 | 81 | 87 | 4 | 91 | 133 | 6 | 139 | 149 | 6 | 155 |
|  | Enrolment by type of institutions | 2,735 | 811 | 3,546 | 3,918 | 1,169 | 5,087 | 6,065 | 1,919 | 7,984 | 8,479 | 2,097 | 10,576 |
|  | Enrolment by courses | 2,735 | 811 | 3,546 | 3,571 | 1,088 | 4,659 | 5,458 | 1,689 | 7,147 | 7,899 | 1,871 | 9,770 |
|  | Number of teachers | 342 | 108 | 450 | 469 | 156 | 625 | 788 | 246 | 1,034 | 885 | 297 | 1,182 |
|  | Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 9 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds |  | $\begin{array}{r} 578 \\ (55.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ (63.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 595 \\ (56.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,099 \\ & (69.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ (69.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,128 \\ & (69.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,046 \\ (69.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ (41.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,136 \\ & (67.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,143 \\ (73.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,218 \\ (71.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds |  | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ (4.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (3.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ (4.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ (3.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ (2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ (2.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (2.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (2.0) \end{array}$ |
| Fees |  | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ (6.2) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ (5.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ (4.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (7.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202 \\ (4.7) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202 \\ (4.5) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources |  | $\begin{array}{r} 344 \\ (33.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 353 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 372 \\ (23.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (23.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 382 \\ (23.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ (26.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (56.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 904 \\ (28.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 877 \\ (20.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ (64.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,021 \\ & (22.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,035 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,062 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,590 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,632 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,958 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,175 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,307 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 225 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,532 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  | 666 | .. | . | 778 | . | $\ldots$ | 1,460 | .. | . | 1,831 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  |  | 62.7 |  |  | 47.7 |  |  | 46.0 |  |  | 40.4 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  |  | 1,480.0 |  |  | 1,244.8 |  |  | 1,412.0 |  |  | 1,549.1 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  |  | 299.5 |  |  | 320.8 |  |  | 397.7 |  |  | 428.5 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-B : SCHOOLS FOR CHILDREN IN NEED OF SPECIAL CARE (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| C. Schools for reformatory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of schools | 19 | 2 | 21 | 30 | 8 | 38 | 37 | 8 | 45 | 37 | 12 | 49 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 3,918 | 520 | 4,438 | 6,094 | 1,095 | 7,189 | 6,840 | 1,572 | 8,412 | 6,723 | 1,895 | 8,618 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 3,918 | 520 | 4,438 | 6,094 | 1,095 | 7,189 | 6,750 | 1,572 | 8,362 | 6,294 | 1,895 | 8,189 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 150 | 44 | 194 | 281 | 77 | 358 | 304 | 105 | 409 | 311 | 116 | 427 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 23 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 21 |  |  | 20 |
| 5. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 888 \\ (99.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 899 \\ (99.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,439 \\ & (91.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \\ (93.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,578 \\ & (91.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,777 \\ & (89.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 328 \\ (96.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,105 \\ & (\$ 0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,176 \\ & (96.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 571 \\ (96.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,747 \\ (96.5) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | (—) | $(\overline{(-)}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $(\square)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $(\overline{(-)}$ | $(\overline{)}$ | $(\square)$ | $(-)$ | $\overline{(-)}$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(\overline{(-)}$ | $(-)$ | $(\square)$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $(\square)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119 \\ (7.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 306 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (3.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 319 \\ (9.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (3.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ (3.3) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 890 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 901 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,574 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,723 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,107 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 341 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,448 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,290 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 592 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,882 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs in 000's) |  | . | 374 | $\cdots$ | .. | 685 | .. | .. | 773 | . | . | 877 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 41.5 |  |  | 39.8 |  |  | 22.4 |  |  | 22.6 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 1,927.8 |  |  | 1,913.4 |  |  | 1,890.0 |  |  | 2,053.9 |
| 10. Average annual cost pe pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 203.0 |  |  | 239.7 |  |  | 409.9 |  |  | 450.5 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-B : SCHOOLS FOR CHILDREN IN NEED OF SPECIAL CARE (Continucd)

| Item |  | -51 |  | 1955 |  |  |  | 0-61 |  | 961-62 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of schools 97 | 7 | 104 | 120 | 12 | 132 | 175 | 14 | 189 | 193 | 18 | 211 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions 6,688 | 1,365 | 8,053 | 10,170 | 2,333 | 12,503 | 13,175 | 3,631 | 16,506 | 15,577 | 4,128 | 19,705 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses 6,688 | 1,365 | 8,053 | 9, 23 | 2,252 | 12,075 | 12,518 | 3,401 | 15,¢19 | 14,568 | 3,502 | 18,470 |
| 4. Number of teachers 497 | 160 | 657 | 765 | 268 | 1,033 | 1,099 | 396 | 1,495 | 1,210 | 472 | 1,682 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ (73.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,525 \\ (75.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,622 \\ (80.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168 \\ (88.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,750 \\ (80.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,936 \\ (79.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 418 \\ (74.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,354 \\ (78.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,481 \\ (81.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 646 \\ (79.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,127 \\ (81.6) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ \text { (2.2) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ (1.2) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | - | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ (2.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 \\ (1,9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 322 \\ (4.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 322 \\ (3.7) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (23.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 373 \\ (18.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ (14.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 509 \\ (14.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.091 \\ (17.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (24.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,226 \\ & (18.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,017 \\ & (12.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ (20.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,182 \\ & 13.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,014 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,279 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 191 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,470 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,249 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 558 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,807 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,921 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 817 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,738 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | $\cdots$ | 1,071 | . | . | 1,496 | . | . | 2,307 | . | . | 2,807 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  | 53.2 |  |  | 43.1 |  |  | 33.9 |  |  | 32.1 |
| 9. Avcrage annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  | 1,630.1 |  |  | 1,448.2 |  |  | 1,543.1 |  |  | 1,668.8 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  | 250.1 |  |  | 277.5 |  |  | 405.0 |  |  | 443.4 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| A. Schools for social workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of schools | 5 | 5 | 10 | 37 | 4 | 41 | 41 | 7 | 48 | 37 | 5 | 42 |
| 2. Enrolment in schools | 227 | 130 | 357 | 3,441 | 442 | 3,883 | 3,439 | 638 | 4,077 | 2,878 | 459 | 3,337 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 227 | 130 | 357 | 3,441 | 442 | 3,883 | 3,439 | 638 | 4,077 | 2,878 | 459 | 3,337 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 13 | 10 | 23 | 191 | 22 | 213 | 272 | 46 | 318 | 238 | 44 | 282 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 16 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 710 \\ (86.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ (67.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753 \\ (85.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,687 \\ (99.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \\ (83.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,808 \\ (97.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,513 \\ (98.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ (84.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,588 \\ & (97.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Local board funds | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (2.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 108 \\ (13.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (32.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (14.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ (15.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (13.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ (2.0) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 818 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 882 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,704 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,849 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,542 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,631 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs, in 000 s) |  |  | 15 | . | . | 428 | . | . | 998 | . | . | 846 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 48.4 |  |  | 48.5 |  |  | 54.0 |  |  | 51.9 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 652.2 |  |  | 2009.4 |  |  | 3,138.3 |  |  | 3,000.0 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 86.8 |  |  | 227.1 |  |  | 453.6 |  |  | 488.8 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE IX-C: SCHOOLS FOR VOCATIONAL SPECIAL EDUCATION (Continued)

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| B. Other schools for vocational special education (unspecified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of schools | 697 | 37 | 734 | 1,231 | 45 | 1,276 | 273 | 35 | 308 | 350 | 35 | 385 |
| 2. | Enrolment in schools | 31,458 | 6,154 | 37,612 | 44,220 | 12,536 | 56,756 | 6,613 | 5,390 | 12,003 | 7,323 | 5,543 | 12,866 |
|  | Enrolment by courses | 31,458 | 6,154 | 37,612 | 44,861 | 12,836 | 57,697 | 5,347 | 5,283 | 10,630 | 6,080 | 5,438 | 11,518 |
|  | Number of teachers | 1,304 | 178 | 1,482 | 2,290 | 311 | 2,601 | 684 | 285 | 969 | 766 | 294 | 1,060 |
|  | Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 25 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Government funds | $\begin{aligned} & 2,620 \\ & (92.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 572 \\ (89.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,192 \\ (92.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,938 \\ (90.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 746 \\ (78.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,684 \\ (87.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,100 \\ (76.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 717 \\ (77.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,817 \\ (76,8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,317 \\ (82.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 756 \\ (78.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,073 \\ (80.9) \end{array}$ |
|  | Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ |
|  | Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (2.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ (6.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \\ (8.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 169 \\ (7.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ (3.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ (7.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ (5.1) \end{array}$ |
|  | Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 169 \\ (6.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ (8.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 223 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 293 \\ (9.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ (13.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 421 \\ (10.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 251 \\ (17.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ (13.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 377 \\ (15.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 220 \\ (13.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (13.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 349 \\ (13.6) \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2,820 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 639 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,459 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,266 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 946 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,212 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,439 \\ \mathbb{K}(100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 928 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,367 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,592 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 969 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,561 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
|  | Expenditure on teachers (Rs. in 000 's) | . | . . | 1,871 | . | . | 1,776 | . |  | 917 | . |  | 958 |
|  | Percentage of expend salaries of teachers expenditure |  |  | 54.1 |  |  | 42.2 |  |  | 38.7 |  |  | 37.4 |
|  | Average annual sa teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 1262.5 |  |  | 682.8 |  |  | 946.3 |  |  | 903.8 |
|  | Average annual cost per |  |  | 92.0 |  |  | 74.2 |  |  | 197.2 |  |  | 199.0 | Expenditure ${ }^{\text {on }}{ }^{\text {teachers (Rs. in } 000 . s \text { s) }}$ )

Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure
1262.5
74.2 199.0

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

TABLE IX-C : SCHOOLS FOR VOCATIONAL SPECIAL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955. 56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| C. All schools for vocational special education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of schools | 702 | 42 | 744 | 1,268 | 49 | 1,317 | 314 | 42 | 356 | 387 | 40 | 427 |
| 2. Enrolment in schools | 31,685 | 6,284 | 37,969 | 47,661 | 12,978 | 60,639 | 10,052 | 6,028 | 16,080 | 10,021 | 6,002 | 16,023 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 31,685 | 6,284 | 37,969 | 48,302 | 13,278 | 61,580 | 8,786 | 5,921 | 14,707 | 8,958 | 5,897 | 14,855 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 1,317 | 188 | 1,505 | 2,481 | 333 | 2,814 | 956 | $33 i$ | 1,287 | 1,004 | 338 | 1,342 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 25 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 2,646 \\ (93.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 577 \\ (89.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,223 \\ (92.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,647 \\ (89.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 789 \\ (78.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,436 \\ (87.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,788 \\ (88.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 838 \\ (78.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,626 \\ (86.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,830 \\ (90.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 831 \\ (78.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,661 \\ (87.4) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ (6.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ (2.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ (7.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ (1.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ (7.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 168 \\ (5.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ (8.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 223 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 401 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ (14.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 551 \\ (10.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 259 \\ (8.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 148 \\ (13.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 407 \\ (9.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 241 \\ (7.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \\ (13.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381 \\ (9.1) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2,845 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 645 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,490 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,083 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,010 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,093 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,143 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,073 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,216 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,134 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,057 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,191 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | . | . | 1,886 | . | . | 2,204 | . | . | 1,915 | . | . | 1,804 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 54.0 |  |  | 43.3 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 43.0 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 1,253.2 |  |  | 783.2 |  |  | 1,487.9 |  |  | 1,344.2 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 91.9 |  |  | 84.0 |  |  | 262.2 |  |  | 258.7 |

# EDUCATIUNAL DIALISTICS IN INEIA (is50-51 TO 1961-62) 

TABLE X : SCHOOLS FOR ADULTS


EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XI : UNIVERSITIES

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Esitimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
|  | Number of universities* | 26 | 1 | 27 | 31 | 1 | 32 | 44 | 1 | 45 | 63 | 1 | 64 |
|  | Enrolment in university teaching departments | 28,498 | 2,733 | 31,231 | 43,827 | 5,649 | 49,476 | 64,085 | 9,296 | 73,381 | 87,500 | 12,500 | 100,000 |
| 3. | Number of teachers | 2,993 | 92 | 3,085 | 3,304 | 193 | 3,497 | 5,314 | 275 | 5,589 | 8,020 | 480 | 8,500 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Government funds | $\begin{gathered} 19,799 \\ (40.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172 \\ (58.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,971 \\ (40.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32,942 \\ (41.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ (39.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,073 \\ (41.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64,962 \\ (46.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 290 \\ (61.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65,252 \\ (46.2) \end{gathered}$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | I | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | - | . | .. |
|  | Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 17,291 \\ (35.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ (13.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,331 \\ (35.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,300 \\ (39.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ (13.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,344 \\ (39.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52,751 \\ (37.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184 \\ (38.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,935 \\ (37.4) \end{array}$ | .. | $\cdots$ | . |
|  | Other sources | $\begin{gathered} 11,651 \\ (23.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \\ (28.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,734 \\ (24.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,208 \\ (19.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161 \\ (47.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,369 \\ (19.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,183 \\ (16.5) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 23,183 \\ (16.4) \end{array}$ | .. | $\cdots$ | .. |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 48,757 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 295 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49,052 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79,468 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 336 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79,804 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140,915 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 474 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141,389 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270,000 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
|  | Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | . |  | 11,595 | . | . | 19,081 | . | . | 30,598 | $\cdots$ | .. | 55,250 |
|  | Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 3,758.5 |  |  | 5,456.4 |  |  | 5,474.7 |  |  | 6,500.0 |

*Excludes institutions 'deemed to be universties' and insitutions of national importance, whese statistics have been inciuded in research institutions and colleges.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66) TABLE XII : BOARDS OF INTERMEDIATE/SECONDARY EDUCATION


EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-65)
TABLE XIII : RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-65 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Bays | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
|  | Number of research institutions | 18 | - | 18 | 34 | - | 34 | 41 | - | 41 | 50 | -- | 50 |
|  | Enrolment | 586 | 48 | 634 | 1,869 | 91 | 1,960 | 2,753 | 199 | 2,952 | 3,500 | 500 | 4,000 |
|  | Teachers | 250 | 1 | 251 | 560 | 14 | 574 | 590 | 25 | 615 | 568 | 32 | 600 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Government funds | $\begin{gathered} 5,667 \\ (90.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 5,667 \\ (90.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,980 \\ (93.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 12,980 \\ (93.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,497 \\ (90.8) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 24,497 \\ (90.8) \end{gathered}$ | - |  | . |
|  | Local board funds | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 267 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | . | . | - |
|  | Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 375 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 375 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | - | . | - |
|  | Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 530 \\ (8.5) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 530 \\ (8.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 747 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\overrightarrow{(-)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 747 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,847 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,847 \\ (6.8) \end{array}$ | - | - | . |
|  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 6,256 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $(-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,256 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,904 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\overline{(-)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,904 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,986 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 26,986 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | 65,000 | - | 65,000 |
|  | Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) / | 2,458 | - | 2,458 | 4,320 | - | 4,320 | ¢ ${ }^{1}$ | - | 5,552 | 9,000 | - | 9,000 |
| 6. | Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 9,792.8 |  |  | 7,526.1 |  |  | 9,027.6 |  |  | 15,000 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XIV: COLLEGES FOR ARTS AND SCIENCE

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boy | Girls | Total | Boy | Gir | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Tot |

1. Number of colleges by management

| Government | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \\ (26.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ (26.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ (26.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ (24.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (20.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (23.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 183 \\ (20.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ (18.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 213 \\ (20.5) \end{array}$ | .. | . | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | -• | . | . |
| Private | $\begin{array}{r} 315 \\ (73.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ (73.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 366 \\ (73.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 457 \\ (75.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \\ (79.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 540 \\ (75.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 690 \\ (79.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (81.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ (79.4) \end{array}$ | . | . | . |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 429 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 498 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 608 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 712 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 874 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,039 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | 1,450 | 250 | 1,700 |
| Enrolment by management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | 72,071 | 6,521 | $\begin{gathered} 78,592 \\ (25.3) \end{gathered}$ | 107,170 | 11,781 | $\begin{array}{r} 118,951 \\ (22.8) \end{array}$ | 121,922 | 16,856 | $\begin{array}{r} 138,778 \\ (20.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\cdots$ | . |
| Local boards | 1,167 | - | $\begin{gathered} 1,167 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | 1,283 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,283 \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | 65 | - | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | -• | . | -• |
| Private | 218,933 | 11,431 | $\begin{array}{r} 230,364 \\ (74.3) \end{array}$ | 374,309 | 27,987 | $\begin{gathered} 402,296 \\ (77.0) \end{gathered}$ | 500,050 | 52,739 | $\begin{array}{r} 552,789 \\ (79.9) \end{array}$ | . | - | . |
| Total | 292,171 | 17,952 | $\begin{array}{r} 310,123 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | 482,762 | 39,768 | $\begin{gathered} 522,530 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 622,037 | 69,595 | $\begin{array}{r} 691,632 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | . | .. | 1,000,000 |
| Total enrolment in arts and science colleges | 272,150 | 37,973 | 310,123 | 444,841 | 77,689 | 522,530 | 561,345 | 130,287 | 691,632 | 820,000 | 180,000 | 1,000,000 |

Nots: Figures in parentheses give the parcentage distribution of institutions and enrolment in each column by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XIV : COLLEGES FOR ARTS AND SCIENCE (Continued)

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 4. Enrolment by stages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-university/inter 1st year* | 101,433 | 14,559 | 115,992 | 174,505 | 29,236 | 203,741 | 237,752 | 49,671 | 287,423 | . | . | . |
|  | Intermediate 2nd year* | 93,488 | 11,857 | 105,345 | 167,034 | 25,673 | 192,707 | 143,632 | 26,846 | 170,478 | . | . | . |
|  | B.A./B.Sc. | 75,032 | 11,636 | 86,668 | 126,130 | 24,772 | 150,902 | 235,633 | 63,379 | 299,012 | .. | . | . |
|  | M.A./M.Sc. | 14,401 | 2,127 | 16,528 | 21,293 | 4,040 | 25,333 | 36,379 $\dagger$ | 9,002 $\dagger$ | 45,381 $\dagger$ | .. | $\cdots$ | . |
|  | Research | 1,051 | 139 | 1,190 | 2,193 | 371 | 2,564 | 3,576 | 697 | 4,273 | .. |  | . |
|  | Total* | 285,405 | 40,318 | 325,723 | 491,155 | 84,092 | 575,247 | 658,134 $\ddagger$ | 149,891 $\ddagger$ | 808,025 $\ddagger 1$ | 1,129,000 | 271,000 | 1,400,000 |
| 5. | Number of teachers | 13,689 | 1,623 | 15,312 | 20,883 | 2,929 | 23,812 | 30,210 | 5,345 | 35,555 | 42,000 | 8,000 | 50,000 |
| 6. Expenditure (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) By sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (i) Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 24,553 \\ (37.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,919 \\ & (47.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,472 \\ (38.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,039 \\ (33.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,898 \\ & (39.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,937 \\ (34.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72,029 \\ (38.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,028 \\ (44.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82,057 \\ (39.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\cdots$ | - |
|  | (ii) Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \\ (0.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 191 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 217 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | . | . | . |
|  | (iii) Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 34,207 \\ (52.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,433 \\ & (39.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,640 \\ (51.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,197 \\ (55.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,313 \\ & (44.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63,510 \\ (54.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92,238 \\ (49.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,146 \\ & (40.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,384 \\ (48.5) \end{array}$ | . | - | . |
|  | (iv) Other sources | $\begin{aligned} & 6,583 \\ & (10.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 842 \\ (13.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,425 \\ & (10.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,356 \\ (10.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,547 \\ & (15.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,903 \\ (11.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,193 \\ (11.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,302 \\ & (14.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,495 \\ (12.2) \end{array}$ | . | . | $\ldots$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 65,513 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,201 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71,714 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106,705 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,769 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,474 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186,651 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,502 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 209,153 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 290,500 | 37,000 | 327,500 |

* Includes enrolment in classes XI and XII in Uttar Pradesh.
$\dagger$ Includes 365 boys and 12 girls of postgraduate diploma courses.
$\ddagger$ Includes 1,162 boys and 296 girls whose age-wise and stage-wise distribution is not available.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 to 1965-66)
TABLE XIV : COLLEGES FOR ARTS AND SCIENCE (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| (b) By managements <br> (i) Government | $\begin{array}{r} 21,249 \\ (32.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,806 \\ (29.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,055 \\ & (32.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,863 \\ & (28.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,975 \\ (30.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,838 \\ & (29.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,692 \\ & (26.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,979 \\ & (26.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,671 \\ (26.6) \end{array}$ | . | . | . |
| (ii) Local boards | $\begin{array}{r} 283 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 283 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 267 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | . | . | . |
| (iii) Private bodies | $\begin{array}{r} 43,981 \\ (67.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,395 \\ & (70.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,376 \\ (67.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75,575 \\ (70.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,794 \\ & (69.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82,369 \\ (70.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136,920 \\ (73.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,523 \\ (73.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153,443 \\ (73.4) \end{array}$ | . | - | . |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 65,513 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,201 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71,714 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106,705 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,769 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116,474 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186,651 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,502 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209,153 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | 209,500 | 37,000 | 327,500 |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | 37,616 | 3,667 | 41,283 | 66,601 | 6,500 | 73,101 | 115,918 | 14,173 | 130,091 | . |  | 200,000 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure | 57.4 | 59.1 | 57.6 | 62.4 | 66.5 | 62.8 | 62.1 | 63.0 | 62.2 | . | . | 61.1 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 2,696.1 |  |  | 3,069.9 |  |  | 3,658.9 |  |  | 4,000,0 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) in colleges managed by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Government |  |  | 293.4 |  |  | 284.5 |  |  | 401.2 |  |  |  |
| (ii) Local boards |  |  | 242.5 |  |  | 208.1 |  |  | 600.0 |  |  |  |
| (iii) Private |  |  | 210.0 |  |  | 204.7 |  |  | 277.6 |  |  |  |
| (iv) All managements |  |  | 231.2 |  |  | 222.9 |  |  | 302.4 |  |  | 327.5 |
| 11. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 20 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 20 |

Nots: Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of expenditure in each column by sources and by management.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA 1950-51 TO 1965-66)
table XIV: COLLEGES FOR ARTS AND SCIENCE (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 12. Output |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Pre-university | . | . | $\cdots$ | . | . | . | 89,801 | 19,180 | 108,981 | . | . | $\cdots$ |
| (ii) Intermediate arts | 39,358 | 7,655 | 47,013 | 73,855 | 16,327 | 90,182 | 60,472 | 20,282 | 80,754 | -• | . | . |
| (iii) Intermediate science | 23,810 | 1,862 | 25,672 | 37,963 | 3,594 | 41,557 | 32,366 | 2,611 | 34,977 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| (iv) B.A. (Pass \& Hons) | 17,260 | 3,991 | 21,251 | 29,686 | 8,306 | 37,992 | 46,976 | 18,162 | 65,138 | . | . | . |
| (v) B.Sc. (Pass \& Hons) | 10,097 | 890 | 10,987 | 14,355 | 1,642 | 15,997 | 23,681 | 4,133 | 27,814 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| (vi) M.A. | 4,924 | 805 | 5,729 | 7,408 | 1,905 | 9,313 | 14,471 | 4.490 | 18,961 | . | . | $\cdots$ |
| (vii) M. Sc. | 1,338 | 71 | 1,409 | 2,195 | 261 | 2,456 | 4,107 | . 30 | 4,737 | . | . | . |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XV : ALL COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of colleges | 191 | 17 | 208 | 322 | 24 | 346 | 755 | 97 | 852 | 1,200 | 150 | 1,350 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 49,988 | 4,162 | 54,150 | 85,512 | 8,386 | 93,898 | 166,831 | 27,460 | 194,291 | 248, Cc 0 | 52,CCO | 300, Cc 0 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 85,595 | 4,668 | 90,263 | 139,776 | 9,218 | 148,594 | 238,987 | 26,124 | 265,111 | 370,0C0 | 50,CC0 | 420,000 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 4,567 | 334 | 4,501 | 8,017 | 666 | 8,683 | 16,049 | 1,865 | 17,914 | 24,550 | 2,750 | 27,300 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 11 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 11 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 28,271 \\ (71.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,812 \\ & (72.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30,083 \\ (71.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44,290 \\ (67.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,840 \\ (73.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47,130 \\ & (67.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106,192 \\ (70.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,541 \\ (79.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111,733 \\ (70.7) \end{gathered}$ | . | . | $\cdots$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 251 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 255 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 631 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 639 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,549 \\ & (1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,549 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | . | - | . |
| Fees | $\begin{aligned} & 8,550 \\ & (21.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 208 \\ (8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,758 \\ & (20.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,017 \\ (24.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 306 \\ (7.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,323 \\ (23.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,565 \\ (21.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 543 \\ (7.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33,108 \\ (20.9) \end{gathered}$ | . | . | . |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 2,604 \\ (6.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 494 \\ (19.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,098 \\ (7.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,202 \\ (7.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 715 \\ (18.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,917 \\ (8.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,721 \\ (7.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 930 \\ (13.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,651 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | - | - | - |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 39,676 \\ & (100,0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,518 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,194 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66,140 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,869 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70,0 C 9 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 151,027 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,014 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158,041 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 332, C C 0 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18, \mathrm{CC0} \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350,0 c 0 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| (Note: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentages to total expenditure in each column) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) |  | . | 19,349 | . | . | 33,522 | . | . | 75,893 | . | -• | 175,000 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 45.9 |  |  | 47.9 |  |  | 48.0 |  |  | 50.0 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 3,948.0 |  |  | 3,860.6 |  |  | 4,236.5 |  |  | 6,410.3 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 779.2 |  |  | 745.6 |  |  | 813.4 |  |  | 1,166.7 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62) COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION (SELECTED PROFESSIONS)

TABLE XV-A : COLLEGES FOR AGRICULTURE

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (3) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of colleges | 16 | - | 16 | 24 | - | 24 | 36 | - | 36 | 38 | - | 38 |
| 2. Enrolment in colleges for agriculture | 2,936 | 20 | 2,956 | 4,261 | 33 | 4,294 | 10,601 | 103 | 10,704 | 12,326 | 141 | 12,467 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 4,609 | 24 | 4,633 | 5,840 | 37 | 5,877 | 15,699 | 149 | 15,848 | 18,669 | 184 | 18,853 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{aligned} & 2,854 \\ & (77.4) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2,854 \\ & (77.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,614 \\ & (78.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4,614 \\ & (78.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,978 \\ & (76.5) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 9,978 \\ & (76.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,614 \\ (82.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 11,614 \\ (82.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | - | - | - |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 450 \\ (12.2) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 450 \\ (12.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 566 \\ (9.6) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 566 \\ & (9.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,565 \\ (12.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,565 \\ & (12.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,645 \\ (11.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1,645 \\ (11.6) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 382 \\ (10.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 382 \\ (10.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 732 \\ (12.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 732 \\ (12.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,472 \\ & (11.3) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,472 \\ & (11.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 906 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 906 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 3,686 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 3,686 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,912 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 5,912 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,045 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 13,045 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,165 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 14,165 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | 1,713 | - | 1,713 | 2,341 | - | 2,341 | 4,741 | - | 4,741 | 5,061 | - | 5,061 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil |  |  | 1,247.0 |  |  | 1,376.8 |  |  | 1,218.7 |  |  | 1,136.3 |
| 8. Output: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.A./B.Sc. (agriculture) | 1,065 | 1 | 1,066 | 876 | 6 | 882 | 2,629 | 20 | 2,649 | 2,759 | 15 | 2,774 |
| M.A./M.Sc. (agriculture) | 151 | - | 151 | 185 | 2 | 187 | 568 | 1 | 569 | 656 | 7 | 663 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62) COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION (SELECTED PROFESSIONS)

TABLE XV-B : COLLEGES FOR ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of colleges | 33 | - | 33 | 48 | - | 48 | 81 | - | 81 | 89 | - | 89 |
| 2. Enrolment in colleges for engineering and technology | 12,561 | 25 | 12,586 | 22,678 | 321 | 22,999 | 42,695 | 495 | 43,190 | 48,163 | 512 | 48,675 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 13,228 | 40 | 13,268 | 19,820 | 38 | 19,858 | 47,464 | 374 | 47,838 | 53,989 | 431 | 54,420 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) Government funds | $\begin{aligned} & 7,815 \\ & (70.1) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7,815 \\ & (70.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,585 \\ (69.2) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,585 \\ (69.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,523 \\ (67.9) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 31,523 \\ (67.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,886 \\ (72.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 40,886 \\ (72.3) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fees | $\begin{aligned} & 2,276 \\ & (20.4) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2,276 \\ & (20.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,681 \\ & (22.2) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4,681 \\ & (22.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,389 \\ (24.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 11,389 \\ (24.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,004 \\ (21.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12,004 \\ (21.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 1,060 \\ (9.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1,060 \\ (9.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,821 \\ (8.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1,821 \\ (8.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,490 \\ (7.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 3,490 \\ (7.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,648 \\ & (6.5) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 3,648 \\ (6.5) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 11,152 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 11,152 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,087 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 21,087 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46,402 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 46,402 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56,538 \\ & (100,0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 56,538 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in $000^{\prime}$ 's) | 5,181 | - | 5,181 | 10,240 | - | 10,240 | 21,382 | - | 21,382 | 25,554 | - | 25,554 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 46.5 |  |  | 48.6 |  |  | 46.1 |  |  | 45.1 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 886.1 |  |  | 916.9 |  |  | 1,074.4 |  |  | 1,163.6 |
| 8. Output: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.E. / B. Tech. | 1,800 | 2 | 1,802 | 3,572 | 1 | 3,573 | 6,665 | 15 | 6,680 | 8,141 | 37 | 8.178 |
| M.E./ M. Tech. /M.Sc. | - | - | - | 8 | - | 8 | 344 | - | 344 | 468 | - | 468 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

## COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION (SELECTED PROFESSIONS)

TABLE XV-C : COLLEGES FOR MEDICINE

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of colleges | 36 | 3 | 39 | 85 | 3 | 88 | 129 | 4 | 133 | 139 | 4 | 143 |
| 2. Enrolment in colleges for medicine | 12,433 | 2,325 | 14,758 | 19,\&42 | 3,871 | 23,713 | 30,225 | 7,759 | 38,024 | 33,306 | 8,819 | 42,125 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 12,620 | 2,341 | 14,961 | 21,085 | 3,587 | 25,072 | 32,164 | 8,238 | 40,402 | 35,513 | 9,439 | 44,952 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{aligned} & 9,424 \\ & (72.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,283 \\ & (70.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,707 \\ (71.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,112 \\ (70.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,053 \\ & (73.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,165 \\ (70.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,335 \\ (74.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,486 \\ (93.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44,821 \\ (76.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49,024 \\ (76.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,120 \\ & (92.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53,144 \\ (77.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 249 \\ (1.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 253 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 630 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 638 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,518 \\ (2.7) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1,518 \\ (2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,412 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,412 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{aligned} & 2,809 \\ & (21.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ (9.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,976 \\ & (20.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,066 \\ & (20.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,263 \\ & (19.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,729 \\ & (15.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,850 \\ (15.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,760 \\ & (15.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 152 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,912 \\ (14.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{gathered} 607 \\ (4.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363 \\ (20.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 970 \\ & (6.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,562 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 551 \\ (19.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,113 \\ (7.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,690 \\ (6.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,822 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,104 \\ (6.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197 \\ & (4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,301 \\ (6,3) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 13,089 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,818 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,507 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,371 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,808 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,179 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55,272 \\ & (1 \mathrm{CO}, 0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,739 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,011 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64,3 C 0 \\ & (1 C 0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,469 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68,769 \\ (1 C 0.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in $000^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ) | 7,180 | 412 | 7,592 | 12,210 | 804 | 13,014 | 27,743 | 1,108 | 28,851 | - | - | 33,231 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 50.9 |  |  | 47,9 |  |  | 48.9 |  |  | 48.3 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 1,010.1 |  |  | 1,146.2 |  |  | 1,551.9 |  |  | 1,632.5 |
| B. Output: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.B.B.S. | 1,151 | 262 | 1,413 | 2,066 | 457 | 2,523 | 2,684 | 785 | 3,469 | 2,859 | 854 | 3,713 |
| M.S. | 77 | 6 | 83 | 154 | 24 | 178 | 386 | 41 | 427 | 456 | 81 | 537 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62) COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION (SELECTED PROFESSIONS)
table xv-d : COLLEGES FOR TEACHER TRAINING

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of colleges | 39 | 14 | 53 | 86 | 21 | 107 | 386 | 92 | 478 | 450 | 101 | 551 |
| 2. Enrolment in colleges for teacher training | 2,600 | 1,344 | 3,944 | 7,915 | 3,347 | 11,262 | 33,381 | 17,354 | 50,735 | 38,623 | 20,960 | 59,583 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 3,839 | 1,746 | 5,585 | 9,962 | 4,318 | 14,280 | 31,606 | 15,202 | 46,808 | 35,074 | 17,405 | 52,479 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000^{\prime}$ s) Government funds | $\begin{aligned} & 2,379 \\ & (83.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 528 \\ (75.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,907 \\ (82.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,985 \\ (72.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 788 \\ (74.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,773 \\ (72.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,732 \\ & (80.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,028 \\ & (62.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,760 \\ (77.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,592 \\ (80.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,531 \\ (66.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,123 \\ (78.1) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (0.0) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 270 \\ (9.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (5.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 311 \\ (8.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 929 \\ (16.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (10.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,038 \\ (15.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,339 \\ (12.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 422 \\ (13.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,761 \\ & (12.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,992 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 425 \\ (11.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,417 \\ & (13.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 198 \\ (7.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ (18.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 329 \\ (9.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 591 \\ (10.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 164 \\ (15.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 755 \\ (11.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,196 \\ (6.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 797 \\ (24.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,993 \\ (9.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,347 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 866 \\ (22.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,213 \\ (8,6) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2,848 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,548 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,505 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,061 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,566 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,267 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,247 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,514 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,938 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,822 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,760 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | 1,568 | 404 | 1,972 | 2,948 | 675 | 3,623 | 9,901 | 2,045 | 11,946 | 14,638 | 2,307 | 16,945 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 55.2 |  |  | 55.5 |  |  | 65.8 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (R8.) |  |  | 899.6 |  |  | 583.0 |  |  | 424.0 |  |  | 432.3 |
| 8. Output: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.T./B.Ed. | 2,978 | 1,075 | 4,053 | 7,499 | 2,865 | 10,364 | 12,267 | 5,477 | 17,744 | 13,711 | 6,764 | 20,475 |
| M Ed. | 145 | 59 | 204 | 193 | 121 | 314 | 359 | 169 | 528 | 385 | 133 | 518 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVI-A : COLLEGES FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) 1 | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| B. Other fine arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 4 | 9 | - | 9 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 316 | 38 | 354 | 648 | 181 | 829 | 1,244 | 471 | 1,715 | 700 | 223 | 923 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000{ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (67.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (67.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (51.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (51.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 808 \\ (86.3) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 808 \\ (86.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ (87.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ (87.3) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (12.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (12.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (13.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (13.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ (11.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ (11.5) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (28.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (28.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (36.6) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (36.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (1.2) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 333 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 333 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 936 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 936 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 896 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{gathered} 896 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) |  | - | 90 | 201 | - | 201 | 626 | - | 626 | 570 | - | 570 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 82.6 |  |  | 60.4 |  |  | 66.9 |  |  | 63.6 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs) |  |  | 307.9 |  |  | 401.7 |  |  | 545.8 |  |  | 970.7 |

(b) Included in colleges for music and dancing.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVI-A : COLLEGES FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | 1 (8) | 1 (9) | ¢(10) | \|(11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| C. Oriental studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges | 71 | 2 | 73 | 72 | 7 | 79 | 101 | 10 | 111 | 107 | 10 | 117 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 4,302 | 245 | 4,547 | 6,744 | 1,118 | 7,862 | 8,862 | 2,657 | 11,519 | 9,020 | 2,156 | 11,176 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 3,889 | 211 | 4,100 | 5,010 | 510 | 5,520 | 8,427 | 959 | 9,386 | 9,087 | 1,207 | 10,294 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000{ }^{\circ}$ s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 695 \\ (59.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (41.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ (58.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,092 \\ & (67.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (29.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,108 \\ & (66.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,150 \\ & (73.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133 \\ (74.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,283 \\ & (73.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,315 \\ & (75.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ (53.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,380 \\ (74.8) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ (2.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (16.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (1.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ (1.5) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (5.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (1.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{gathered} 448 \\ (38.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (41.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 453 \\ (38.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ (30.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (65.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 522 \\ (31.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 685 \\ (23.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (23.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 727 \\ (23.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 643 \\ (21.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ (44.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 697 \\ (21.9) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 1,178 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,190 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,608 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,663 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,910 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 178 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,088 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,060 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,181 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000 's) | 939 | 11 | 950 | 1,167 | 50 | 1,217 | 2,187 | 143 | 2,330 | 2,152 | 111 | 2,263 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 79.8 |  |  | 73.2 |  |  | 75.5 |  |  | 71.1 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 261.7 |  |  | 211.5 |  |  | 268.1 |  |  | 2846 |

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)

TABLE XVI : ALL COLLEGES FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1. Number of colleges | 85 | 7 | 92 | 98 | 14 | 112 | 187 | 21 | 208 | 260 | 40 | 300 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of colleges | 5,624 | 1,757 | 7,381 | 8,959 | 4,356 | 13,315 | 15,120 | 10,177 | 25,297 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 50,000 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 5,573 | 1,767 | 7,340 | 8,589 | 3,294 | 11,883 | 14,500 | 7,355 | 21,855 | 23,000 | 12,000 | 35,000 |
| 4. Number of teachers | 831 | 73 | 904 | 1,143 | 156 | 1,299 | 2,234 | 322 | 2,556 | 3,780 | 420 | 4,200 |
| 5. Pupil-teacher ratio |  |  | 8 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 12 |
| 6. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 1,064 \\ (55.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ (8.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,089 \\ & (49.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,662 \\ (55.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 156 \\ (25.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,818 \\ (50.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,180 \\ & (67.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 745 \\ (50.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,925 \\ & (64.9) \end{aligned}$ | . | - | .. |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ (1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (1,2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $(-$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | . | . | . |
| Fees | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ (5.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 151 \\ (50.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264 \\ (11.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 290 \\ (9.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 256 \\ (41.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 546 \\ (15.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 851 \\ (11.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 595 \\ (40.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,446 \\ & (15.9) \end{aligned}$ | . | . | . |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 721 \\ (37.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123 \\ (40.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 844 \\ (37.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,055 \\ (34.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ (32.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,255 \\ (34.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,581 \\ (20.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 128 \\ (8.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,709 \\ & (18.7) \end{aligned}$ | . | . | . |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1,923 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 301 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,224 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,023 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 612 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,635 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,657 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,468 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,125 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,300 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,200 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,500 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 7. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | 1,350 | 147 | 1,497 | 1,997 | 347 | 2,344 | 5,010 | 789 | 5,799 | $\cdots$ | .. | 12,250 |
| 8. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 67.3 |  |  | 64.5 |  |  | 63.6 |  |  | 70.0 |
| 9. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) |  |  | 1,656.0 |  |  | 1,804.5 |  |  | 2,268.8 |  |  | 2,917.6 |
| 10. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 301.3 |  |  | 273.0 |  |  | 360.7 |  |  | 350.0 |

[^9]EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVI-A: COLLEGES FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION

| Item | 1950-51 |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | 1(9) | (10) | \| (11) | (12) | (13) |
| Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| A. Colleges for music and dancing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges 9 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 19 | 38 | 7 | 45 | 39 | 7 | 46 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions 928 | 1,077 | 2,005 | 1,201 | 2,338 | 3,539 | 2,248 | 4,667 | 6,915 | 2,774 | 5,169 | 7,943 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |
| 1,188 | 1,123 | 2,311 | 1,579 | 1,843 | 3,422 | 2,819 | 3,499 | 6,318 | 3,142 | 3,928 | 7.070 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000^{\circ}$ s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds $\quad 189$ | $(27.6)^{8}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 197 \\ (57.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202 \\ (47.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (12.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 213 \\ (41.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 842 \\ (64.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ (26.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 898 \\ (58.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 735 \\ (52.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ (16.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 770 \\ (47.7) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds (-) | $(-)$ | $(-$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ |
| Fees $\quad 59$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (65.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ (22.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ (25.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ (84.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 187 \\ (36.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 228 \\ (17.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (63.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363 \\ (23.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 219 \\ (15.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157 \\ (75.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 376 \\ (23.3) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources $\quad 68$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (6.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ (20.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ (26.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (3.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 \\ (22.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \\ (18.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (9.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 261 \\ (17.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 450 \\ (32.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (6.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 464 \\ (28.8) \end{array}$ |
| Total316 <br> $(100.0)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 345 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 427 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 518 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,313 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 212 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,525 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,406 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 207 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,613 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) $204$ | 21 | 225 | 317 | 59 | 376 | 899 | 120 | 1,019 | 924 | 121 | 1,045 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  | 65.2 |  |  | 72.6 |  |  | 66.8 | ; |  | 64.8 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs) |  | 172.1 |  |  | 146.4 |  |  | 220.5 |  |  | 203.1 |

# EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62) 

 TABLE XVI-A : COLLEGES FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continued)| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| B. Other fine arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 4 | 9 | - | 9 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 316 | 38 | 354 | 648 | 181 | 829 | 1,244 | 471 | 1,715 | 700 | 223 | 923 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (67.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (67.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (51.1) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ (51.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 808 \\ (86.3) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 808 \\ (86.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ (87.3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ (87.3) \end{array}$ |
| Local board funds | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (4,6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (12,3) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (12,3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (13,0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ (11.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ (11.5) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (28.4) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (28.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (36.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (36.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (0.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (1.2) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 333 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 333 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 936 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 936 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 896 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 896 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) |  | - | 90 | 201 | - | 201 | 626 | - | 626 | 570 | - | 570 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 82.6 |  |  | 60.4 |  |  | 66.9 |  |  | 63.6 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs) |  |  | 307.9 |  |  | 401.7 |  |  | 545.8 |  |  | 970.7 |

(b) Included in colleges for music and dancing.

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVI-A : COLLEGES FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Contimued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | 1 (9) | ¢ (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| C. Oriental studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges | 71 | 2 | 73 | 72 | 7 | 79 | 101 | 10 | 111 | 107 | 10 | 117 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | 4,302 | 245 | 4,547 | 6,744 | 1,118 | 7,862 | 8,862 | 2,657 | 11,519 | 9,020 | 2,156 | 11,176 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 3,889 | 211 | 4,100 | 5,010 | 510 | 5,520 | 8,427 | 959 | 9,386 | 9,087 | 1,207 | 10,294 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in $000^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $\begin{array}{r} 695 \\ (59.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (41.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700 \\ (58.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,092 \\ & (67.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (29.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,108 \\ & (66.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,150 \\ & (73.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133 \\ (74.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,283 \\ & (73.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,315 \\ & (75.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ (53.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,380 \\ (74.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ (2.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (16.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (1.5) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ (1.5) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (1.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (5.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (1.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ (1.8) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 448 \\ (38.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (41.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 453 \\ (38.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ (30.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (65.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 522 \\ (31.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 685 \\ (23.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (23.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 727 \\ (23.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 643 \\ (21.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ (44.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 697 \\ (21.9) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1,178 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,190 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,608 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,663 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,910 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,088 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,060 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,181 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000 's) | $939$ | 11 | 950 | 1,167 | 50 | 1,217 | 2,187 | 143 | 2,330 | 2,152 | 111 | 2,263 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 79.8 |  |  | 73.2 |  |  | 75.5 |  |  | 71.1 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 261.7 |  |  | 211.5 |  |  | 268.1 |  |  | 2846 |

TABLE XVI-A : COLLEGES FOR CULTURAL EDUCATION (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| D. All colleges for cultural education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges | 82 | 5 | 87 | 91 | 11 | 102 | 148 | 17 | 165 | 154 | 17 | 171 |
| 3. Enrolment by type of institutions | 5,546 | 1,360 | 6,906 | 8,593 | 3,637 | 12,230 | 12,354 | 7,795 | 20,149 | 12,494 | 7,548 | 20,042 |
| 3. Enrolment by courses | 5,077 | 1,334 | 6,411 | 6,589 | 2,353 | 8,942 | 11,246 | 4,458 | 15,704 | 12,229 | 5,135 | 17,364 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) Government funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 957 \\ (59.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (33.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 971 \\ (59.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,464 \\ & (61.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (18.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,491 \\ & (59.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,801 \\ (73.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 189 \\ (48.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,990 \\ (71.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,832 \\ (71.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (30.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,932 \\ (69.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Local board funds | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (4.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (45.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ (5.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 167 \\ (7.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ !(54.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 383 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138 \\ (35.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 521 \\ (9.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 378 \\ (7.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ (48.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 537 \\ (9.4) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 547 \\ (34.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (16.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 554 \\ (33.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 721 \\ (30.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ (27.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 761 \\ (30.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 931 \\ (18.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ (16.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 994 \\ (17.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,104 \\ & (20.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ (20.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,172 \\ & (20.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1,603 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,645 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,367 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,514 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,159 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 390 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,549 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,362 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 328 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,690 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000 's) | - | - | 1,264 | - | - | 1,795 | - | - | 3,975 | - | - | 3,877 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 76.8 |  |  | 71.4 |  |  | 71.6 |  |  | 68.1 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 238.2 |  |  | 205.6 |  |  | 275.4 |  |  | 283.9 |

## EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)

TABLE XVI-B: SPECIAL PROFESSIONAL COLLEGES

|  | Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| A. Colleges for social work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Number of colleges | 3 | - | : 3 | 6 | - | 6 | 8 | - | 8 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| 2. | Enrolment by type of institutions | 78 | 50 | 128 | 359 | 93 | 452 | 775 | 182 | 957 | 581 | 159 | 740 |
| 3. | Enrolment by courses | 78 | 50 | 128 | 416 | 116 | 532 | 762 | 208 | 970 | 951 | 259 | 1,210 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) Government funds |  | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (33.4) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (33.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197 \\ (30.4) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 197 \\ (30.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 467 \\ (40.1) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 467 \\ (40.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487 \\ (58.0) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487 \\ (56.2) \end{array}$ |
|  | Local board funds | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(\text { (一) }$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(--$ | $(-)$ |
|  | Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ (12.5) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (12.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ (19.1) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ (19.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 291 \\ (25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 291 \\ (25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 239 \\ (28.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (50.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 252 \\ (29.1) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Other sources | $\begin{array}{r} 173 \\ (54.1) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 173 \\ (54.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 328 \\ (50.5) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 328 \\ (50.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 407 \\ (34.9) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 407 \\ (34.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114 \\ (13.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (50.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 \\ (14.7) \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 320 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 320 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 649 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{gathered} 649 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,165 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{(-)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,165 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 840 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 866 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. | Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) | 117 | - | 117 | 308 | - | 308 | 518 | - | 518 | 416 | 24 | 440 |
|  | Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 36.6 |  |  | 47.5 |  |  | 44.5 |  |  | 50.8 |
| 7. | Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 2,500.0 |  |  | 1,435.8 |  |  | 1,217.3 |  |  | 1,170.3 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVI-B : SPECIAL PROFESSIONAL COLLEGES (Continued)

| Item | 1950-51 |  |  | 1955-56 |  |  | 1960-61 |  |  | 1961-62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11 | (12) | 13) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| B. Other colleges for special professional education (unspecified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of colleges | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 31 | 4 | 35 | 35 | 8 | 43 |
| 2. Enrolment by type of institutions | - | 347 | 347 | 7 | 626 | 633 | 1,991 | 2,200 | 4,191 | 2,448 | 3,118 | !5,566 |
| 3. Enrolment by type of courses | 418 | 383 | 801 | 1,584 | 825 | 2,409 | 2,492 | 2,689 | 5,181 | 2,421 | 3,023 | . 5,444 |
| 4. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government funds | $(-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (27.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (27.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 912 \\ (68.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 555 \\ (51.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,467 \\ & (60.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 992 \\ (63.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 683 \\ (52.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,675 \\ & (58.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Local board funds | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(\overline{(-)}$ | $(\overline{-})$ | (二) | $(\square)$ | (-) | (-) | $(\overline{(-)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ |
| Fees | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ (50.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ (50.6) \end{array}$ | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ (37.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ (37.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177 \\ (13.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 458 \\ (42.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 635 \\ (26.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 258 \\ (16.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 514 \\ (39.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 772 \\ (27.0) \end{array}$ |
| Other sources | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ (45.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ (45.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161 \\ (34.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 167 \\ (35.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244 \\ (18.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ (6.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 309 \\ (12.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 306 \\ (19.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \\ (7.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 405 \\ (14.2) \end{array}$ |
| Total | $(-)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 259 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 259 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 466 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 472 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,333 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,078 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,411 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,556 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,301 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,857 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ |
| 5. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) |  | 115 | 115 | 3 | 238 | 241 | 780 | 526 | 1,306 | 936 | 642 | ¢ 1,578 |
| 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure |  |  | 44.4 |  |  | 51.1 |  |  | 54.2 |  |  | 55.2 |
| 7. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) |  |  | 746.4 |  |  | 745.7 |  |  | 575.3 |  |  | 513.3 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVI-B: SPECIAL PROFESSIONAL COLLEGES (Continued)


EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1949-50 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVII-A : ENROLMENT IN SCHOOL CLASSES - BOYS
(Figures in 000's)

| Class | Enrolment in the Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949-50 | 1950-51 | 1951-52 | 1952-53 | 1953-54 | 1954-55 | 1955-56 | 1956-57 | 1957-58 | 1958-59 | 1959-60 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| I | 4,772 | 4,763 | 4,802 | 5,023 | 5,468 | 6,189 | 6,660 | 6,771 | 7,242 | 7,884 | 8,342 | 8,710 | 10,040 |
| II | 2,941 | 3,108 | 3,142 | 3,117 | 3,307 | 3,445 | 3,823 | 4,046 | 4,156 | 4,498 | 4,827 | 5,047 | 5,384 |
| III | 2,237 | 2,452 | 2,586 | 2,539 | 2,633 | 2,698 | 2,879 | 3,153 | 3,329 | 3,506 | 3,710 | 4,030 | 4,280 |
| IV | 1,766 | 1,971 | 2,079 | 2,162 | 2,228 | 2,267 | 2,344 | 2,510 | 2,657 | 2,869 | 3,001 | 3,215 | 3,498 |
| V | 1,344 | 1,475 | 1,572 | 1,656 | 1,721 | 1,750 | 1,822 | 1.971 | 2,022 | 2,256 | 2,415 | 2,590 | 2,781 |
| VI | 958 | 1,015 | 1,143 | 1,193 | 1,238 | 1,268 | 1,337 | 1,441 | 1,532 | 1,681 | 1,937 | 2,035 | 2,239 |
| VII | 808 | 848 | 921 | 959 | 1,031 | 1,110 | 1,149 | 1,214 | 1,266 | 1,389 | 1,482 | 1,674 | 1,825 |
| VIII | 610 | 723 | 735 | 776 | 833 | 883 | 940 | 989 | 1,037 | 1,130 | 1,202 | 1,366 | 1,544 |
| 1 X | 414 | 460 | 588 | 564 | 595 | 632 | 698 | 758 | 776 | 859 | 930 | 1,024 | 1,167 |
| X | 320 | 367 | 418 | 491 | 506 | 534 | 566 | 620 | 668 | 723 | 771 | 8601 | 934 |
| XI | 172 | 192 | 206 | 236 | 256 | 259 | 276 | 286 | 327 | 333 | 343 | 412 | - $¢ 453$ |
| XII | 36 | 38 | 38 | 41 | 44 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 26 | 35 | 49 |
| Total | 16,378 | 17,413 | 18,231 | 18,757 | 19,859 | 21,052 | 22,511 | 23,777 | 25,033 | 27,150 | 28,987 | 30,999 | 34,194 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1949-50 TO 1961-62)
TABLE XVII-B : ENROLMENT IN SCHOOL CLASSES - GIRLS
(Figures in 000's)

| Class | Enrolment in the Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949-50 | 1950-51 | 1951-52 | 1952-53 | 1953-54 | 1954-55 | 1955-56 | 1956-57 | 1957-. 58 | 1958-59 | 1959-60 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| 1 | 2,130 | 2,185 | 2,223 | 2,373 | 2,620 | 2,922 | 3,298 | 3,512 | 3,666 | 4,115 | 4,351 | 4,681 | 5,706 |
| II | 1,195 | 1,223 | 1,303 | 1,285 | 1,393 | 1,515 | 1,7C0 | 1,825 | 1,930 | 2,132 | 2,320 | 2,466 | 2,737 |
| III | 820 | 901 | 947 | 958 | 1,015 | 1,082 | 1,188 | 1,305 | 1,418 | 1,542 | 1,681 | 1,856 | 2,024 |
| IV | 599 | 651 | 697 | 724 | 770 | 808 | 871 | 957 | 1,029 | 1,151 | 1,247 | 1,378 | 1,542 |
| V | 388 | 423 | 457 | 512 | 519 | 549 | 581 | 664 | 722 | 803 | 925 | 1,021 | 1,110 |
| VI | 192 | 231 | 257 | 275 | 309 | 329 | 361 | 421 | 458 | 526 | 656 | 692 | 774 |
| VII | 167 | 175 | 192 | 209 | 242 | 264 | 287 | 329 | 359 | 405 | 444 | 547 | 613 |
| VIII | 109 | 129 | 140 | 155 | 175 | 194 | 220 | 243 | 276 | 309 | 331 | 392 | 476 |
| IX | 65 | 77 | 91 | 96 | 107 | 120 | 144 | 157 | 173 | 197 | 217 | 256 | 307 |
| X | 48 | 53 | 66 | 80 | 87 | 96 | 110 | 123 | 135 | 149 | 162 | 193 | 219 |
| XI | 28 | 31 | 36 | 50 | 54 | 57 | 64 | 64 | 79 | 74 | 69 | 86 | 98 |
| Xil | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| Total | 5,743 | 6,082 | 6,412 | 6,719 | 7,293 | 7,938 | 8,827 | 9,601 | 10,249 | 11,407 | 12,407 | 13,572 | 15,612 |


| EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1949-50 TO 1961-62) TABLE XVII-C : TOTAL ENROLMENT IN SCHOOL CLASSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Figures i | in 000's) |
| Class | Enrolment in the Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1949-50 | 1950-51 | 1951-52 | 1952-53 | 1953-54 | 1954-55 | 1955-56 | 1956-57 | 1957-58 | 1958-59 | 1959-60 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| I | 6,901 | 6,948 | 7,025 | 7,395 | 8,087 | 9,112 | 9,958 | 10,283 | 10,508 | 11,599 | 12,693 | 13,391 | 15,746 |
| II | 4,136 | 4,332 | 4,445 | 4,402 | 4,700 | 4,960 | 5,523 | 5,871 | 6,086 | 6,630 | 7,147 | 7,513 | 8,121 |
| III | 3,057 | 3,353 | 3,534 | 3,497 | 3,648 | 3,780 | 4,067 | 4,457 | 4,747 | 5,048 | 5,391 | 5,886 | 6,304 |
| IV | 2,365 | 2,623 | 2,777 | 2,886 | 2,998 | 3,074 | 3,216 | 3,467 | 3,686 | 4,020 | 4,248 | 4,593 | 5,040 |
| v | 1,733 | 1,898 | 2,029 | 2,168 | 2,240 | 2,299 | 2,403 | 2,635 | 2,743 | 3,059 | 3,340 | 3,611 | 3,891 |
| VI | 1,150 | 1,246 | 1,399 | 1,468 | 1,547 | 1,597 | 1,698 | 1,862 | 1,5s0 | 2,208 | 2,593 | 2,727 | 3,013 |
| VII | 975 | 1,023 | 1,113 | 1,168 | 1,274 | 1,374 | 1,436 | 1,543 | 1,625 | 1,794 | 1,926 | 2,220 | 2,437 |
| VIII | 719 | 851 | 875 | 931 | 1,008 | 3,077 | 1,160 | 1,232 | 1,313 | 1,439 | 1,533 | 1,758 | 2,020 |
| 1X | 478 | 537 | 680 | 660 | 702 | 752 | 842 | 915 | 948 | 1,057 | 1,147 | 1,280 | 1,474 |
| X | 368 | 420 | 484 | 571 | 594 | 631 | 675 | 742 | 803 | 872 | 933 | 1,053 | 1,153 |
| XI | 199 | 223 | 241 | 286 | 309 | 317 | 340 | 350 | 406 | 408 | 411 | 498 | 551 |
| XII | 38 | 40 | 40 | 44 | 47 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 23 | 30 | 41 | 56 |
| Total | 22,120 | 23,495 | 24,642 | 25,477 | 27,152 | 28,550 | 31,338 | 33,378 | 35,282 | 38,557 | 41,394 | 44,571 | 49,806 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XVIII : EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY OBJECTS

| Objects | 1950-51 |  | 1955-56 |  | 1960-61 |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ \text { Rs. in } 000 \text { 's } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage to Total | Amount (Rs. in 000's | Percentage to Total | Amount (Rs. in 000's | Percentage to Total | Amount (Rs. in 000's' | Percentage to Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| A. Direct expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pre-primary schools | 1,198 | 0.1 | 2,499 | 0.1 | 5,873 | 0.2 | 11,000 | 0.2 |
| 2. Lower primary schools | 364,843 | 31.9 | 537,272 | 28.3 | 734,461 | 21.3 | 1,220,500 | 20.3 |
| 3. Higher primary schools | 76,990 | 6.7 | 154,050 | 8.1 | 429,219 | 12.5 | 717,500 | 12.0 |
| 4. Secondary schools | 230,450 | 20.1 | 376,144 | 19.8 | 689,117 | 20.0 | 1,181,0C0 | 19.7 |
| 5. Schools for vocational and technical education | 36,944 | 3.2 | 54,508 | 2.9 | 114,091 | 3.3 | 250,000 | 4.2 |
| 6. *Schools for special education | 23,335 | 2.0 | 26,529 | 1.4 | 31,997 | 0.9 | 39,920 | 0.7 |
| (i) Schools for cultural education | 10,613 | 0.9 | 10,769 | 0.5 | 13,045 | 0.4 | $\cdots$ | . |
| (ii) Schools for children in need of special care | 2,014 | 0.2 | 3,470 | 0.2 | 6,807 | 0.2 | . |  |
| (iii) Schools for adults | 7,218 | 0.6 | 7,196 | 0.4 | 7,928 | 0.2 | 9,545 | 0.2 |
| (iv) Schools for vocational special education | 3,450 | 0.3 | 5,093 | 0.3 | 4,216 | 0.1 | . | - |
| 7. Universities | 49,052 | 4.3 | 79,804 | 4.2 | 141,389 | 4.1 | 270,600 | 4.5 |
| 8. Boards of intermediate secendary education | 5,338 | 0.5 | 13,260 | 0.7 | 24,133 | 0.7 | 45, CC0 | 0.8 |
| 9. Research institutions | 6,256 | 0.5 | 13,904 | 0.7 | 26,986 | 0.8 | 65,600 | 1.1 |
| 10. Colleges for arts and scierce | 71,714 | 6.3 | 116,474 | 6.1 | 209,153 | 6.1 | 327,500 | 5.5 |
| 11. Colleges for professional cducation | 42,194 | 3.7 | 70,C08 | 3.7 | 158,041 | 4.6 | 350,000 | 5.8 |
| 12. Colleges for special education (all) | 2,224 | 0.2 | 3,635 | 0.2 | 9,125 | 0.3 | 17,500 | 0.3 |
| (i) For cultural education | 1,645 | 0.1 | 2,514 | 0.1 | 5,549 | 0.2 | 7,500 | 0.1 |
| (ii) For professional special education | 579 | 0.1 | 1,120 | 0.1 | 3,576 | 0.1 | 10,000 | 0.2 |
| 13. Total direct expenditure | 910,539 | 79.6 | 1,448,069 | 76.4 | 2,573,587 | 74.7 | 4,494,920 | 74.9 |

[^10]EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XVIII : EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITUE BY OBJECTS (Continued)

| Objects | 1950-51 |  | 1955-56 |  | 1960-61 |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ (R \mathrm{sc} \text { in } 000 \text { 's) } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage to Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ (R s . \text { in } 000 \text { s }) \end{gathered}$ | Percentage to Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ \text { (Rs. in 000's) } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage to Total | Amount <br> (Rs. in 000's) | Percentage to Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| B. Indirect expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Direction and inspection | 27,364 | 2.4 | 40,006 | 2.1 | 70,123 | 2.0 | 114,009 | 1.9 |
| 15. Buildings | 99,270 | 8.7 | 196,358 | 10.4 | 428,158 | 12.4 | 666,055 | 11.1 |
| 16. Scholarships, stipends and other financial concessions | 34,456 | 3.0 | 82,172 | 4.3 | 200,222 | 5.8 | 420,035 | 7.0 |
| 17. Hostels | 18,264 | 1.6 | 26,610 | 1.4 | 43,149 | 1.3 | 95,463 | 1.6 |
| 18. Miscellaneous | 53,928 | 4.7 | 103,395 | 5.4 | 128,562 | 3.8 | 209,518 | 3.5 |
| 19. Total indirect expenditure | 233,282 | 20.4 | 448,541 | 23.6 | 870,214 | 25.3 | 1,505,080 | 25.1 |
| 20. Grand total | 1,143,822 | 100.0 | 1,896,610 | $1 \mathrm{C0.0}$ | 3,443,801 | 1 c 0.0 | 6, cco, cco | 100.0 |

EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN INDIA (1950-51 TO 1965-66)
TABLE XIX : EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY SOURCES

| Objects | 1950-51 |  | 1955-56 |  | 1960-61 |  | 1965-66 (Estimated) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Amount } \\ \text { (Rs. in } 000 \text { 's } \end{array}$ | Percentage to Total | $\stackrel{\text { Amount }}{(\text { Rs. in } 000 ' s)}$ | Percentage to Total | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Amount } \\ \text { (Rs. in 000's }) \end{array}\right\|$ | Percentage to Total | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \text { A momnt } \\ \text { RS. in } 000 \text { 's } s \end{array}\right.\right)$ | Percentage to Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 1. Government | 652,678 | 57.1 | 1,172,049 | 61.8 | 2,340,914 | 68.0 | 4,271,856 | 71.2 |
| 2. Local boards | 124,987 | 10.9 | 163,548 | 8.6 | 224,914 | 6.5 | 378,031 | 6.3 |
| 3. Fees | 233,272 | 20.4 | 379,033 | 20.0 | 5c0,258 | 17.1 | 918,077 | 15.3 |
| 4. Endowments and other sources | 132,885 | 11.6 | 181,98.0 | 9.6 | 287.715 | 8.4 | 432,036 | 7.2 |
| 5. Total | 1,143,822 | 100.0 | 1,896,610 | 100.0 | 3,443, Cl | 100.0 | 6,cco,cco | 1 CO 0 |

PART II

## STATISTICS ON COMPARATIVE EDUCATION IN SELECTED COUNTRIES

## INTRODUCTION TO PART II

This Part of the Supplementary Volume II deals with the data on comparative education in 15 countries including India. These include six developed countries, viz., Japan, UK, USA, USSR and Federal Republic of Germany (West Germany), three semideveloped countries, viz., Brazil, Mexico and Yugoslavia and six developing countries, viz., Ghan${ }^{\wedge}$, Nigeria, Pakistan, UAR, Turkey and India.
2. Most of these statistics were collected by a Research Team* of the Education Commission which went to Europe for this purpose. The team spent major part of its three months' stay abroad at the Unesco headquarters in Paris, and it is here that the bulk of the material, on which this section is based, was collected. In compiling the data care has been taken to see that the sources of information are fairly reliable. As far as possible information has been taken from published documents as well as unpublished records based on official sources. The main sources of information include the following:
(i) Publications of the UN and its specialised agencies like Unesco, International Bureau of Education, ILO, WHO, etc.
(ii) Unesco records collected from the Governments of various countries for its forthcoming publications (some of these have since been published).
(iii) Reports of committees and experts sent out by the UN (and its agencies) to the various countries.
(iv) Reports and records of institutions sponsored by Unesco, like the International Institute of Educational Planning.
(v) International agencies such as OECD, World Bank, etc.
(vi) National reports and publications of the countries concerned.
*This team consisted of the following:

1. Shri Gurbax Singh, Assistant Educational Adviser, Education Commission.
2. Shri Y.D. Sharma, Assistant Education Officer, University Grants Commission.
3. Shri D.L. Sharma, Research Associate, NCERT, working with the Education Commission.
4. Besides education, this section gives information about demography, vital statistics, literacy, economically active population, Gross National Product (GNP)/National Income, etc. Educational data pertain to the number of educational institutions, students, teachers and expenditure. All this information has been given for each level of education separately. Information about the output of graduates, the school year and the school curriculum has also been included.
5. These statistics have two main limitations. Firstly, they are not always comparable in the strict sense of the term. It is because the educational systems in these countries differ not only in regard to length of courses, but also in their content and standard. Moreover, the national currencies, in spite of the equivalences established through exchange rates mutually agreed for the purpose of trade and commerce, differ from one another in their purchasing power. Also, the intrinsic value of each currency keeps on changing, with time, on account of fluctuations in internal prices. International comparability is also impaired by the differences in the concepts used by the national governments in the collection of the basic data. Although, Unesco is making commendable efforts for the standardisation of these concepts we are still nowhere near the goal. Secondly, there are certain obvious gaps in the information given in this section. This is due to the fact that Unesco, which has been the main source of our information, collects only limited information from all the countries of the world and in certain cases even this limited information is not completely made available to it.
6. For clarity of ideas, we reproduce below, from the UN and Unesco publications, broad definitions and explanations of some of the main terms used in this regard. It should, however, be clearly understood that these have, by no means, been strictly adhered to by all the countries concerned.
(i) The population figures are the result of numerations or national sample surveys designed to obtain a count of individuals.
(ii) Unless otherwise specified, population estimates refer to mid-year i.e. 1st July of the relevant year or to the mean of the two proximate end-year estimates.
(iii) All area estimates are official and those reported in square miles have been converted to sq. kms. using the factor, 2.589998.
(iv) The average annual rate of growth in population, number of educational institutions, enrolment, etc., between two years has been calculated by using the following formula:-

$$
\mathbf{r}=\left[{ }^{t} \sqrt{\mathbf{P}_{1} / \mathbf{P}_{0}}-1\right] \times 100
$$

Where Po is the population, etc, in the earlier year,
$P_{1}$ is the population, etc, in the latter year,
$t$ is the period involved, and
$r$ is the required per cent rate of change.
(v) The annual birth-rate (crude) represents the number of live births per one thousand persons in the population estimated at the mid-point of the year.
(vi) The annual death rate (crude) represents the number of deaths reported for a calendar year per one thousand persons in the same geographic area at the mid-point of the year.
(vii) The annual infant mortality rate represents the number of deaths under one year of age per one thousand live births which occurred during the same time period.
(viii) Expectation of life at birth represents the number of years of life which the males and females are expected to live if they are subjected to the same mortality conditions as obtained at their birth.
(ix) The concept of literacy is based on the ability both to read and write. (Hence a person who can read but not write is considered an illiterate.)
(x) The economically active population is defined as all persons of either sex who furnish the supply of labour available for the production of economic goods and services.
[In brief, it comprises all persons engaged in, or actively seeking, productive work in some branch of the economy during a specified period of time. This concept of the economically active is also known as the labour force concept and it theoretically includes the following groups of workers:
(a) Civilian employers, employees, own-account workers and unpaid family workers;
(b) Armed forces;
(c) Employed and unemployed persons including those seeking work for the first time;
(d) Persons engaged in part-time economic activities; and
(e) Domestic servants.

The economically inactive population, on the other hand, comprises persons with no economic activity at the moment of the census. This group normally includes housewives and students not economically active, retired persons (with or without income), inmates of institutions, children below the working age, persons past the working age, and so forth. Persons whose activity was not stated are excluded from the economically active group; persons in poorly defined activities, on the other hand, are included in the active sector.]
(xi) National income is the sum of the incomes accruing within a year to the factors of production supplied by the normal residents of a country, before deduction of direct taxes and equals the sum of compensation of employees, income from unincorporated enterprises, rent, interest and dividends accruing to households, saving of corporations, direct taxes on corporations and general government income from property and entrepreneurship.
(xii) Gross National Product at factor costs equals national income plus provisions for the consumption of fixed capital.
(xiii) Primary industry covers agriculture, forestry, hunting and fishing.
(xiv) Secondary industry covers mining, quarrying, manufacturing, construction, electricity, gas and water.
(xv) Tertiary industry covers transport, storage and communication, commerce, services.
(xvi) Education preceding the first level covers education for children who are not old enough to enter a school at the first level (e.g., education at the nursery school, kindergarten, pre-primaryschool infant school, etc.)
(xvii) Education at the first level: Its main function is to provide basic instruction in the tools
of learning (e.g., education at the primary school, elementary school, etc.)
(xviii) Education at the second level: It is based on at least four years of previous instruction at the first level and provides general or specialised instruction or both (e.g., at the middle school, secondary school, high school, vocational school, training school, etc.)
(xix) Education at the third level: It requires, as a minimum condition of admission, the successful completion of education at the second level or evidence of the attainment of an equivalent level of knowledge (e.g., at the university, college, teachers' college, higher professional institution, etc.).
(xx) Unadjusted enrolment ratio at the first level has been computed by relating total enrolment at the first level of education to the estimated population aged $5-14$ years inclusive.
(xxi) Unadjusted enrolment ratio at the second level has been computed by relating total enrolment at the second level of education to the estimated population aged 15-19 years inclusive.
(xxii) Adjusted enrolment ratio for the first and second levels together has been computed by relating total enrolment at both the levels to the
estimated population adjusted to correspond to the actual duration of schooling.
(xxiii) General education at the second level covers academic secondary schools where curriculum is basically a combination of academic subjects (such as languages and literature, mathematics, pure science, history, geography, art and music, which are taught for the sake of intellectual and cultural development of the pupils and not as vocational training, and also schools offering courses of study both of the academic and of the vocational type.
(xxiv) Vocational education covers schools with a curriculum intending to fit pupils for an occupation or career or leading to further vocational training at a higher level. They provide such courses as, for example, technical, industrial, arts and crafts, trade, commercial, agricultural, fishery, forestry, domestic science, music, fine arts, etc.
(xxv) Public-managed institutions are those which are under the administrative control of go-vernment-Central, State or local. Likewise, public funds stand for moneys accruing from these sources.
table I : area and population

| Country | Reference Date | $\text { (in } 000 \text { Area } \mathrm{Km} . \mathrm{Sq}_{\mathrm{q}} \text {.) }$ | Area Index <br> $($ India $=100)$ | Population (in 000's) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Index } \\ \text { (India=100) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ \text { (per Sq. Km.) } \end{gathered}$ | Density Index (India $=100$ ) | Number of Females per 1,000 Males (1960) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | 1. 9. 60 | 8,512 | 260 | 70,967(a) | 16 | 9 | 7 | .. |
| France | 7. 3. 62 | 547 | 17 | 46,520(b) | 11 | 86 | 64 | 1,058(c) |
| Germany(F.R.) | 6. 6.61 | 248 | 8 | 53,917 | 12 | 220 | 164 | 1,118 |
| Ghana | 20.3. 60 | 238 | 7 | 6,727 | 2 | 30 | 22 | 978 |
| India | 1. 3. 61 | 3,276 | 100 | 439,235 | 100 | 134 | 100 | 941 |
| Japan (d) | 1.10. 60 | 370 | 11 | 93,419 | 21 | 257 | 192 | 1,036 |
| Mexico | 8.6. 60 | 1,973 | 60 | 34,923 | 8 | 19 | 14 | 1,003 |
| Nigeria | 4.11. 63 | 924 | 28 | 55,654(e) | 13 | 39 | 29 | . |
| Pakistan | 1. 2. 61 | 947 | 29 | 93,832 | 21 | 102 | 76 | 900 |
| Turkey | 23.10. 60 | 781 | 24 | 27,755 | 6 | 37 | 28 | 960 |
| UAR | 20.8. 60 | 1,000 | 31 | 26,085 | 6 | 27 | 20 | 988 |
| UK | 23. 4.61 | 244 | 7 | 52,676(f) | 12 | 219 | 163 | 1,068 |
| USA | 1. 4.60 | 9,363 | 286 | 179,323(g) | 41 | 20 | 15 | 1,030 |
| USSR | 15.1. 59 | 22,402 | 684 | 208,807 | 48 | 10 | 7 | 1,220 |
| Yugoslavia | 31.1.61 | 256 | 8 | 18,549 | 4 | 74 | 55 | 1,051 |

(a) Excludes Red Indian jungle population numbering 45,429 at 1950 census and estimated at 150,000 in 1956.
(b) For metropolitan France only. De jure population, but excludes diplomatic personnel outside country and includes members of alien armed forces? not living in military camps and foreign diplomatic personnel not living in embassies and consulates. Enumerated population excludes 38,000 military personnel outside country with no personal residence in France. Estimated total population including these, but with certain other modifications is $46,528,000$.
(c) Based on $5 \%$ sample of census returns.
(d) Comprising Hokkaido, Honshu, Kyushu, Shikoku, Amami Islands and the Takara Archipelago. Population excludes diplomatic personnel outside the country, allied military and civilian personnel and their dependents stationed in the area.
(e) Provisional.
(f) Excluding Channel Islands and Isle of Man.
(g) De jure population, but excludes civilian citizens absent from the country for extended period of time estimated at 764, 701 at the time of 1960 census. Population actually enumerated, excluding adjustment for net under-enumeration estimated to be in the range of 1.7 to $2.0 \%$ for both sexes. Excluding armed forces overseas estimated at 609,720 .

TABLE II : GROWTH OF POPULATION, 1950-80

| Country | Mid-Year Population in Thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Annual Rate of Growth (1951-61) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | \$960 | 1965 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (6) | (7) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil (a) | $\begin{gathered} 51,944 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60,183 \\ (116) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,459 \\ (136) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81,450 \\ (157) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93,902 \\ (181) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108,013 \\ (208) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123,716 \\ (238) \end{array}$ | 3.1 |
| France (b) | $\begin{gathered} 41,736 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43,428 \\ (104) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45,684 \\ (109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,800 \\ (115) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49,500 \\ (119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51,500 \\ (123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53,250 \\ (128) \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 |
| German (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 47,847 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50,168 \\ (105) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53,224 \\ (111) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55,600 \\ (116) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56,600 \\ (118) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57,300 \\ (120) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58,500 \\ (122) \end{gathered}$ | 1.1 |
| Ghana | $\begin{aligned} & 4,275 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,620 \\ & (108) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,727(\mathrm{c}) \\ & (157) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,808 \\ & (163) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,054 \\ & (189) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,500 \\ (219) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,250 \\ (256) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 358,293 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 389,198 \\ (109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 432,910 \\ (121) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 483,675 \\ (135) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 541,595 \\ (151) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 601,620 \\ (170) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 661,745 \\ (185) \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 |
| Japan (d) | $\begin{gathered} 82,900 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,000 \\ (107) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93,210 \\ (112) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97,523 \\ (118) \end{gathered}$ | 101,465 <br> (122) | $\begin{gathered} 106,174 \\ (128) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111,064 \\ (134) \end{array}$ | 1.1 |
| Mexico | $\begin{gathered} 25,826 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,015 \\ (116) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,988 \\ (135) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41,460 \\ (161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49,282 \\ (191) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58,822 \\ (228) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,581 \\ (273) \end{array}$ | 3.1 |
| Nigeria (e) | $\begin{gathered} 24,300 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,971 \\ (132) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35,091 \\ (144) \end{gathered}$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 3.6 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 75,040 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83,331 \\ (111) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92,578 \\ (123) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105,500 \\ (141) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120,600 \\ (161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 136,800 \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153,600 \\ (205) \end{array}$ | 2.1 |
| Turkey (h) | $\begin{array}{r} 20,947 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,065 \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,848 \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,781 \\ (152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36,602 \\ (175) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,267 \\ (202) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,478 \\ (231) \end{array}$ | 2.8 |
| UAR (i) | $\begin{array}{r} 20,393 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,063 \\ (113) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,952 \\ (127) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,800 \\ (146) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,500 \\ (169) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,150 \\ (197) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,750 \\ (229) \end{array}$ | 2.4 |
| UK (f) | $\begin{gathered} 50,616 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51,199 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52,508 \\ (104) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54,000 \\ (107) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55,100 \\ (109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56,200 \\ (111) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57,250 \\ (113) \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 |
| USA (g) | $\begin{gathered} 152,271 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165,931 \\ (109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180,676 \\ (119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 194,406 \\ (128) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 207,552 \\ (136) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 223,003 \\ (146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240,893 \\ (158) \end{array}$ | 1.7 |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 180,050 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 196,150 \\ (109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 214,400 \\ (119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 231,000 \\ (128) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 245,700 \\ (136) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260,870 \\ (145) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 277,800 \\ (154) \end{array}$ | 1.7 |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{array}{r} 16,346 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,519 \\ (107) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,402 \\ (113) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,500 \\ (119) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,650 \\ (126) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21,700 \\ (132) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,750 \\ (139) \end{array}$ | 1.2 |

Note: Figures given in brackets indicate indices keeping 1950 as 100.
For footnotes (a), (b), (f) and (g) see those under Table I.
(c) Shows marked deviation.
(d) Prior to 1952, excluding Tokara Archipelago, acquired from Ryukyu Islands on December 5, 1951, (population 2658 in 1955 ) and prior to 1954, the Amani Islands acquired from the Ryukyu Islands on December 25, 1953 (population 201, 132 on 1.3.1954)
(e) Appears to be under-estimated in relation to results of latest census or Sample Survey, shown in Table I.
(h) Estimates are for October 20.
(i) Prior to 1958 , excluding alien armed forces stationed in the area, enemy prisoners of war and nomad population, the latter numbering 55,073 at

TABLE III
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION BY AGE-GROUPS AROUND 1960

| Country | Age-Group |  |  |  |  |  | All Ages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25 and Over |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France (a) \& (b) | 7.3 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 61.9 | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) (b) | 8.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 62.9 | 100.0 |
| Ghana (c) | 19.3 | 15.2 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 38.7 | 100.0 |
| India | 16.6 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 40.4 | 100.0 |
| Japan | 8.4 | 9.9 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 51.2 | 100.0 |
| Mexico | 16.5 | 15.2 | 2.5 | 10.2 | 8.4 | 37.2 | 100.0 |
| Nigeria | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | . | . . | . . | -.. |
| Pakistan | 17.4 | 17.7 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 39.7 | 100.0 |
| Turkey (d) | 16.0 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 41.4 | 100.0 |
| UAR | 15.9 | 14.6 | 12.2 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 42.1 | 100.0 |
| UK | 8.3 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 63.1 | 100.0 |
| USA | 11.3 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 55.5 | 100.0 |
| USSR |  |  |  |  | 9.8 | 52.8 | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia | 10.4 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 53.0 | 100.0 |

(a) Data based on $5 \%$ sample of census returns.
(b) Age-classification based on year of birth rather than on completed year of age.
(c) Data based on $10 \%$ sample of census returns.
(d) Data based on $1 \%$ sample of census returns.

TABLE IV
POPULATION IN THE COMPULSORY EDUCATION AGE-GROUP AROUND 1961

| Country | Compulsory Education AgeGroup | Percentage of Total Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Brazil | 7-11 | 13.0* |
| France | 6-15 | 17.3 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 6-14** | 12.5 |
| Ghana | 6.14 | 22.3 |
| India | 6-13 | 19.6 |
| Japan | 6.14 | 19.6 |
| Mexico | 6-14 | 24.7 |
| Nigeria | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Pakistan | 5.9 | 17.1 |
| Turkey | 6-13 | 16.2 |
| UAR | 6-11 | 16.5 |
| UK | 5-14 | 15.0 |
| USA | 6-17 | 17.9 |
| USSR | 7-15 | 15.8 |
| Yugoslavia | 7-14 | 16.4 |

*Estimated
**In certain Landers, the age-group $6-13$ is covered under compulsory education.

TABLE V
BIRTH AND DEATH RATES, 1962

| Country | Birth Rate (per lation) lation) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Death Rate (per } \\ \text { 1,000 Popu- } \\ \text { lation) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Survival Rate (per 1,000 Population) | Infant Mortality Rate (per 1,000 Live Birth) | Expectation of Life at Birth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | For Males | For Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Brazil | 42 to 45 (a) | 16 to 19 (a) | 26 (a) | 170 (b) | 39.3(c) | 45.5(c) |
| France (d) | 17.7 | 11.5 | 6.2 | 25.7 | 67.3 | 74.1 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 18.2 | 11.1 | 7.1 | 29.1 | 66.7 | 71.9 |
| Ghana (e) | 52 (f) | 21.8(g) | 28.7(f) | 113.1(f) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| India | 38.9(h) | 15.1(i) | 23.8(j) | 145.9(k) | 45.2(k) | 46.6(k) |
| Japan | 17.0(1) | 7.5(1) | 9.5 | 26.5 | 66.2 | 71.2 |
| Mexico | 45.8(m) | 10.8(m) | 35.0(m) | 69.5(m) | 55.1 | 57.9 |
| Nigeria | 49.2(n) | 14.1(0) | 86.6 (n) | 62.9(0) | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | 43 to 46 (p) | 16 to 17 (p) | 27 to 29 | 137.7(q) | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Turkey | 35.7(r) | 10.9(s) | 22.5(r) | 165.0(r) | 46.0(t) | 50.4(t) |
| UAR | 41.2 | 17.8 | 23.4 | 133.9 | 51.6 | 53.8 |
| UK | 18.3 | 11.9(m) | 6.4 | 22.4(m) | 68.0 | 74.0 |
| USA | 22.4 | 9.4 | 13.0 | 25.3 | 66.8 | 73.4 |
| USSR | 22.4 | 7.8 | 14.9 | 32.0 | 65.0 | 73.0 |
| Yugoslavia | 22.0 (m) | 9.9 (m) | 12.1 | 83.9(m) | 61.6 | 64.4 |

(a) Estimates for 1953-57 published by UN Commission for Latin America.
(b) Estimated average annual rate for 1940-50 for population borm in Brazil, based on analysis of 1940 and 1950 population census returns.
(c) Data are for population born in Brazil and are based on mortality rates implied from apparent survivorship between censuses.
(d) Data include births etc. among alien armed forces stationed in the area and among national armed forces wherever stationed.
(e) Estimates based on data for compulsory registration are of 36 townships which comprises about $12 \%$ of the total population and is not representative of Ghana.
(f) For 1948.
(g) For 1955.
(h) For 1950-60. Estimate for rural India, based on results of National Sample Survey. In better registration Stares, registered birth rates for 1957-61 are 33.9, 33.1, 34.6, 32.6 and 33.4 respectively.
(i) For 1959-60. Estimated average annual rate for 1951-61, based on analysis of results of 1951 and 1961 population censuses.
(j) For 1960.
(k) For 1960. Estimated rate for rural India for 1958-59, based on results of National Sample Survey. Data for rural India compiled by Indian Statistical Institute.
(l) Births and deaths are for Japanese nationals only, but rates are computed on population including foreigners except allied militacy and civilian personnel and their dependents stationed in the area.
(m) Provisional.
(n) For 1955. Basis of estimate unknown. Excluding the province of Sardanna registration.
(o) Pertains to Lagos (Federal Capital) only.
(p) Estimite based on births and deaths during 1962 according to results of quarterly sample surveys of population.
(q) For 1945-49. Data exclude live-born infants dying before registration of birth.
(r) For 1955.
(s) Provisional, for 1960.
(t) For 63 provincial capitals only.

TABLE VI
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HABITATIONS BY POPULATION SLABS

| Country | Reference Date | Total Number of Habitations | Percentage of Habitations with Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below 200 | 200-499 | 500-999 | $1000-$ 1,999 | $\begin{gathered} 2000- \\ 4,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000- \\ & 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | 10,000 and over | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Brazil | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | - | .. | ... | - |
| France | 7-3-62 | 37,962 | 28.5 | 34.8 | 19.2 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 6-6-61 | 24,502 | 14.0 | 32.0 | 24.6 | 15.1 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| Ghana | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| India | 1-3-61 | 569,574 | 31.3 | 30.4 | 20.9 | 11.5 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| Japan | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... (a) | ... (b) | $\ldots$ | . . (c) | . . .(d) | F... | ... | ... |
| Mexico | 8-6-60 | 145,712 | 50.4 | 18.6 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 23.7 | 100.0 |
| Nigeria | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\because \cdot$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | * | . ${ }$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ |
| Turkey | 23-10-60 | 35,992 | 15.1 | 46.5 | 27.3 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| UAR | 20-9-60 | 4,794 |  | 3.1 1 | 6.2 | 17.6 | 38.9 | 22.4 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
| UK | $\ldots$ | ... | - | .. | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - $\cdot$ | -. | $\cdots$ |
| USA | - | $\cdots$ | ... | . | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -• | -•• |
| USSR | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Yugoslavia | 31-3-61 | 27,921 | 37.1 | 31.6 | 18.9 | 8.7 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 |

(a) For localities with less than 100 inhabitants.
(b) For localities between 100-499 inhabitants.
(c) For localities of $1000-2,499$ inhabitants.
(d) For localities of 2500-4999 inhabitants.

## TABLE VII

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION BY HABITATIONS OF DIFFERENT SIZES

| Country | Reference Date | Percentage of Population in Habitations of Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ 200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $500-$ 999 | $1000-$ 1999 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000- \\ & 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,000 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ | Others |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Brazil | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | ... |
| France | 7-3-62 | 2.9 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 8.5 | 45.9 | - | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 6-6-61 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 9.3 | 55.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | .. | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| India | 1-3-61 | 4.1 | 13.1 | 19.1 | 20.4 | 17.7 | 6.5 | 19.1 | - | 100.0 |
| Japan | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  | (c) | (d) |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico | 8-6-60 | 4.5 | 18.4 | 12.2 | 14.3 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 35.4 | - | 100.0 |
| Nigeria | $\ldots$ | . ${ }$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Turkey | 23-10-60 | 2.8 | 20.4 | 24.4 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 21.9 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| UAR | 20-9-60 |  |  | 0.9 | 4.9 | 23.7 | 28.6 | 41.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| UK | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . ${ }$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| USA | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | . ${ }$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| USSR | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . | ... | . | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | . |
| Yugoslavia | 31-3-61 | 5.7 | 15.5 | 20.0 | 17.7 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 22.8 | - | 100.0 |

(a) For localities of less than 100 inhabitants.
(b) For localities of $100-499$ inhabitants.
(c) For localities of 1000-2499 inhabitants.
(d) For localities of 2500-4999 inhabitants.

## TABLE VIII

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS BY SIZE

| Country | Reference Date | Average Size of a Household | Percentage of Households with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Persons | 3 Persons | 4 Persons | 5 Persons | More than 5 Persons |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| France | 7-3-62 | 3.1 | 19.6 | 26.8 | 18.7 | 14.7 | 9.4 | 10.8 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 6-6-61 | 2.9 | 20.3 | 27.2 | 22.6 | 15.9 | 7.9 | 6.1 |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | . | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| India | 1-3-61 | 5.2 | 7.1 |  | -1 | 142 | (a) | 26.5(b) |
| Japan | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Mexico | 8-6-60 | 5.4 | - | 12.7 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 16.9 | 42.0 |
| Nigeria | :. | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\cdots$ | -* | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | ... | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... |
| Turkey | 23-10-60 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 10.4 | 13.6 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 37.3 |
| UAR | 20-9-60 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 38.0 |
| UK | 23-4-61 | 3.1 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| USA | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... |
| USSR | 15-1-59 | 3.6 | - | 27.6 | 27.1 | 22.7 | 13.1 | 9.5 |
| Yugoslavia | 31-3-61 | 4.0 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 21.6 |

(a) Households with 4-6 persons.
(b) Households with 7 and more than 7 persons.

TABLE IX
PERCENTAGE OF LITERACY IN THE POPULATION AGED 15 AND OVER

| Country | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of Literacy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil | 1960 | 60.5 | 65.0 | 56.0 |
| France (a) | 1946 | 96.4 | 96.7 | 96.2 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Ghana | 1962 | 19.4 | 29.0 | 10.0 |
| India | 1961 | 27.8 | 41.5 | 13.2 |
| Japan | 1960 | 97.8 | 99.0 | 96.7 |
| Mexico | 1960 | 65.4 | 70.2 | 60.7 |
| Nigeria (b) | 1962 | 15.4 | 25.0 | 6.0 |
| Pakistan | 1961 | 18.8 | 28.9 | 7.6 |
| Turkey | 1960 | 38.1 | 54.8 | 21.2 |
| UAR (c) | 1960 | 26.3 | 40.5 | 12.4 |
| UK | $\cdots$ | . | ... | $\cdots$ |
| USA (d) | 1959 | 97.8 | 97.5 | 98.2 |
| USSR | 1959 | 98.5 | 99.3 | 97.8 |
| Yugoslavia (e) | 1961 | 80.3 | 90.1 | 71.2 |

(a) Aged 14 and over.
(b) Aged 7 and over.
(c) Data exclude alien population ( 143,312 persons of all ages) and population of small agglomerations of frontier districts ( 10,225 persons of all ages).
(d) Based on sample survey of persons aged 14 and over.
(e) Aged 10 and over.

TABLE X
ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION

| Country | Year | Minimum Age for E.A. Population | Economically Active Population (in 000 's) | Annual Percentage Rate of Growth of E.A. Population from 1955 to 1960 | Percentage of E.A. Population in the Age-Group 15-19 to Total Population in the Age-Group 15-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | For Males | For Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Brazil | 1950 | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,117 \\ (33.0) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 80.6 | 23.4 |
| France (a) | 1962 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 19,164 \\ (41.3) \end{gathered}$ | n.g. | 66.9(b) | 49.4(b) |
| Germany (F.R.) | 1961 | 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,763 \\ (47.7) \end{array}$ | 0.3 | 81.6 | 78.6 |
| Ghana (c) | 1960 | 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,725 \\ (40.5) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | 95.5 | 53.7 |
| India | 1961 | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188,317 \\ (42.9) \end{array}$ | 2.3 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Japan | 1963 | 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,570 \\ (44.6) \end{array}$ | 1.5 | 35.8 | 36.0 |
| Mexico | 1960 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 11,332 \\ (32.4) \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Nigeria | 1953 | ... | $\begin{array}{r} 14,913 \\ (47.9) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan (d) | 1961 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 30,206 \\ & (33.5) \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 76.7 | 12.6 |
| Turkey | 1960 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 12,993 \\ & (46.8) \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 | 78.9 | 66.2 |
| UAR | 1960 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,769 \\ (29.9) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 68.4 | 8.6 |
| UK | 1960 | ... | $\begin{array}{r} 25,010 \\ (47.6) \end{array}$ | 0.4 | 83.9 | 78.7 |
| USA (e) | 1960 | 14 | $\begin{array}{r} 69,877 \\ (39.0) \end{array}$ | 1.2 | 43.1(f) | 28.7(f) |
| USSR (g) | 1959 | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,130 \\ (47.5) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 65.7 | 60.7 |
| Yugoslavia (h) | 1961 | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,340 \\ (45.0) \end{array}$ | 1.3 | 68.6 | 59.3 |

Nore: 1. Figures in brackets give the percentage of 'Economically Active Population' to the total population. 2. n.g. - negligible.
(a) Data are based on $5 \%$ sample of census returns. Economically active population excludes males performing compulsory military service, numbering 547,040 .
(b) For 1958.
(c) Data are based on $10 \%$ sample of census returns.
(d) Excluding data for frontier regions of West Pakistan population 3,437,939), 111,369 foreigners and probably also a considerable number of nomads' economically active population and the armed forces.
(e) De jure population, excluding armed forces, overseas, estimated at 609,720 and civilian citizens absent from country for extended period of time estimated at 764,701. Data are based on the results of an enumeration of a $25 \%$ sample of the total population.
(f) For the year 1962 for the age-group 14-19.
(g) Economically active population excludes persons temporarily unemployed. Also excludes members of famines (of collective farmers, workers and employees) working on individual agricultural plots, numbering $9,864,801$. If they are included with economically active population, its precentage is 52.2 .
(h) De jure population. Economically active population includes inmates of penal and corrective institutions who worked before entering the institutions and excludes persons seeking work for the first time.

## TABLE XI

## PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF LABOUR FORCE BY INDUSTRIES OF ORIGIN

| Country | Survey Year | Agri., <br> Forestry, Hunting and Fishery | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mining } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Quarry-- } \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ | Manu-facturing | Construction | Elec., Gas., Water and Sanitary Services | Commcrce | $\|$Trans- <br> port <br> Storage <br> and <br> Commu- <br> nications | Services O | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (1) | (12) |
| Brazil (a) | 1950 | 60. |  | $\Gamma$ | 13.0 | -1 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| France | 1957 | 25.7 | 2.0 | 26.4 | 7.4 | 0.7 | 31.9 | 5.1 | 10.8 | (b) | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) (c) | 1950 | 23.2 | 3.2 | 30.8 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 16.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Ghana (d) | 1960 | 57.9 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
| India (g) | 1961 | 69.6(e) | $2.8(\mathrm{f})$ | 10.6 | 1.1 | $\ldots$ | 4.1 | 1.6 | 10.2 | ... | 100.0 |
| Japan | 1963 | 23.2 | 1.1 | 25.0 | 5.9 | (h) | 20.3 | 6.5 | 16.8 | 1.2(i) | 100.0 |
| Mexico | 1960 | 54.2 | 1.3 | 13.7 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 13.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| Nigeria | ... | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | -•• | . $\cdot$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Pakistan | 1954-1956 | 64.7 | 0.1 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 11.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 |
| Turkey | 1960 | 74.9 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
| UAR | 1960 | 56.7 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 17.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| UK (j) | 1951 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 37.4 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 14.0 | 7.7 | 23.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| USA | 1962 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 25.0 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 22.5 | 5.0 | 27.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{i}) \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 |
| USSR (l) | 1959 | 35.3(e) | $\ldots$ | 33.6 (m) | n) | $\ldots$ | 4.7(n) | ) | 13.3(0) | 13.1(k) | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia | 1961 | 56.9 | 1.7 | 11.9 | 3.8 | ... | 3.2 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 10.8(p) | 100.0 |

(a) Excluding information pertaining to Red Indian jungle population and 31,960 schedules not tabulated by economic characteristics.
(b) Unemployed.
(c) Based on labour force sample surveys.
(d) Based on a $10 \%$ sample tabulation of 1960 census.
(e) Agriculture alone.
(f) Includes live-stock, forestry, hunting, fishing and allied activities.
(g) Excludes the unemployed and persons seeking their first job.
(h) Included under transport, storage and communications.
(i) Includes unemployed.
(j) Excludes Northern Ireland.
(k) Includes armed forces.
(l) Economically active population figures include $9,865,000$ persons ( 914,000 males and $8,951,000$ females) whe are mambers of families of wage-earners and workers in Kolkhozes employed on personally managed subsidiary farms.
(m) Industries, construction, transport and communications.
(n) Trade, public dining, material-technical supply.
(o) Education, cultural institutions, scientific and research institutes, public health, administration, communal and housing services, banking and insurance.
(p) The group "others unspecified" includes members of work co-ops. (11,418 persons).

TABLE XII
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION BY MAJOR CATEGORY OF INDUSTRY IN INDIA, JAPAN, USA AND YUGOSLAVIA (TIME SERIES)

| Year | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | \% | \% | \% |
| (A) INDIA |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 72 | 12 | 16 | 100 |
| 1911 | 75 | 11 | 14 | 100 |
| 1921 | 76 | 10 | 14 | 100 |
| 1931 | 75 | 10 | 15 | 100 |
| 1951 | 72 | 11 | 17 | 100 |
| 1961 | 70 | 14 | 16 | 100 |
| (B) JAPAN |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 | 70 | 12 | 18 | 100 |
| 1910 | 63 | 15 | 22 | 100 |
| 1920 | 54 | 17 | 29 | 100 |
| 1930 | 50 | 17 | 33 | 100 |
| 1940 | 44 | 24 | 32 | 100 |
| 1950 | 52 | 22 | 26 | 100 |
| 1960 | 33 | 30 | 37 | 100 |
| (C) USA |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 | 38 | 31 | 31 | 100 |
| 1910 | 32 | 32 | 36 | 100 |
| 1920 | 27 | 35 | 38 | 100 |
| 1930 | 23 | 32 | 45 | 100 |
| 1940 | 18 | 33 | 49 | 100 |
| 1950 | 12 | 37 | 51 | 100 |
| 1960 | 6 | 35 | 59 | 100 |
|  | (D) YU | GOSLAVIA |  |  |
| 1931 | 79 | 11 | 10 | 100 |
| 1953 | 71 | 16 | 13 | 100 |
| 1961 | 57 | 24 | 19 | 100 |

TABLE XIII
GROWTH OF G.N.P. AT CURRENT PRICES

| Country | Unit | 1955 | 1958 | 1960 | 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Brazil | Billion Cruzerios | $\begin{gathered} 685.9 \\ (53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300.0 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,363.6 \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | $\ldots$ |
| France | Billion Francs | $\begin{gathered} 172.2 \\ (70) \end{gathered}$ | 244.7 <br> (100) | $\begin{aligned} & 269.2 \\ & (121) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 391.8 \\ & (160) \end{aligned}$ |
| Germany (F.R.) | Billion Deutsche Marks | $\begin{gathered} 180.4 \\ (78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 231.5 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 296.8 \\ & (128) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 376.8 \\ & (163) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ghana | Million Ghanian Pounds | $\begin{array}{r} 338.0 \\ (87) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 388.0 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 473.0 \\ & (122) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 586.0 \\ & (151) \end{aligned}$ |
| India (b) | Billion Rupees | $\begin{aligned} & 99.8 \\ & (79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126.0 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141.6 \\ & (112) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172.0 \\ & (137) \end{aligned}$ |
| Japan | Billion Yens | $\begin{array}{r} 8,171.0 \\ (82) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,973.0 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,065.0 \\ (141) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,482.0 \\ (215) \end{array}$ |
| Mexico | Billion Pesos | 87.3 <br> (69) | $\begin{aligned} & 127.2 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154.1 \\ & (121) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 192.2 \\ & (157) \end{aligned}$ |
| Nigeria (c) | Million Nigerian Pounds | $\begin{aligned} & 898.1 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 900.0 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 981.3 \\ & (109) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,072.3(\mathrm{a}) \\ (119) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pakistan | Billion Rupees | $\begin{aligned} & 27.9 \\ & (92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.2 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.0 \\ (109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.7 \\ (128) \end{array}$ |
| Turkey | Million Turkish Liras | $\begin{array}{r} 21,060.0 \\ (55) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,506.0 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,970.0 \\ (132) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68,491.0 \\ (178) \end{array}$ |
| UAR | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| UK | Million Pounds | $\begin{array}{r} 19,157.0 \\ \text { (84) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,927.0 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,535.0 \\ (111) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,001.0 \\ (131) \end{array}$ |
| USA | Billion Dollars | $\begin{array}{r} 398.9 \\ (89) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 446.3 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 503.6 \\ & \text { (113) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 585.1 \\ & (131) \end{aligned}$ |
| USSR | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Yugoslavia | Billion Dinars | $\begin{array}{r} 1,552.0 \\ (78) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,989.0 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,887.0 \\ (145) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,561.0 \\ (229) \end{array}$ |

Note: Figures in brackets give indices of growth, keeping $1958=100$.
(a) Pertains to 1962.
(b) Figures relating to India pertain to 'National Income' instead of G.N.P. for which the figures are not available. National income figures are slightly lower than Gross National Product (G.N.P.) figures.
(c) At factor cost of 1959.

## TABLE XIV

GROWTH OF G.N.P. PER CAPITA AT CURRENT PRICES (U.S. DOLLARS)

| Country | 1955 | 1960 | 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Brazil | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| France | 805.5 <br> (73) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,315.6 \\ (119) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,623.2 \\ (146) \end{array}$ |
| Germany (F.R.) | 855.4 <br> (81) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,327.8 \\ (125) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,700.8 \\ (153) \end{array}$ |
| Ghana | 204.4 <br> (90) | $\begin{array}{r} 198.8 \\ (88) \end{array}$ | $224.0$ <br> (99) |
| India* | 54.2 <br> (84) | $\begin{array}{r} 69.3 \\ (107) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.5 \\ (122) \end{array}$ |
| Japan | $255.2$ <br> (84) | $\begin{aligned} & 419.5 \\ & (139) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 622.8 \\ & (206) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mexico | 232.7 <br> (75) | $\begin{aligned} & 352.4 \\ & (114) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 400.3 \\ (129) \end{gathered}$ |
| Nigeria | $\begin{array}{r} 78.4 \\ (104) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.4 \\ (104) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | $\begin{aligned} & 70.4 \\ & (99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.8 \\ (105) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.3 \\ (115) \end{array}$ |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 312.5 \\ (56) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 218.2 \\ & (126) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 272.2 \\ (157) \end{gathered}$ |
| UAR |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| UK | $1,047.2$ <br> (84) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,360.8 \\ (110) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,573.6 \\ (127) \end{array}$ |
| USA | 2,404.5 <br> (94) | $\begin{array}{r} 2,788.5 \\ (109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,090.0 \\ (121) \end{array}$ |
| USSR | -• | $\cdots$ | ... |
| Yugoslavia | $\ldots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208.1 \\ & (142) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 314.8 \\ & (214) \end{aligned}$ |

Note: Figures in brackets give indices of growth, keeping 1958 $=100$

* Pertain to National Income instead of G.N.P.

TABLE XV
INDEX NUMBERS OF TOTAL AND PER CAPITA GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT (FACTOR COST) AT CONSTANT PRICES 1958=100

| Country | Total |  |  |  |  | Per Capita |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1958 | 1960 | 1963 | 1950 | 1955 | 1558 | 1960 | 1963 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Brazil (a) | 65 | 86 | 100 | 115 | 131 | 83 | 94 | 100 | 108 | 114 |
| France (a) | 71 | 87 | 100 | 110 | 128 | 76 | 90 | 100 | 108 | 120 |
| Germany (a) | 55 | 86 | 100 | 116 | 132 | - 59 | 89 | 100 | 114 | 124 |
| India (c) | 76 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 115(b) | 87 | 95 | 100 | 105 | 105(b) |
| Japan (d) | $\ldots$ | 83 | 100 | 134 | 182 | . | 86 | 100 | 132 | 174 |
| Mexico (a) | 61 | 83 | 100 | 111 | 128 | 78 | 91 | 100 | 104 | 110 |
| Pakistan (e) | 85 | 92 | 100 | 108 | 117(b) | 101 | 98 | 100 | 103 | 107(b) |
| Turkey | 58 | 79 | 100 | 107 | 123 | 73 | 86 | 100 | 101 | 106 |
| USSR (f) | 44. | 75 | 100 | 116 | 136 | 50 | 79 | 100 | 112 | 125 |
| UK | 86 | 98 | 100 | 110 | 117 | 88 | 99 | 100 | 109 | 113 |
| USA (a) | 79 | 98 | 100 | 109 | 122 | 91 | 103 | 100 | 106 | 113 |
| Yugoslavia (f) | -• | 80 | 100 | 124 | 152 | . | 82 | 100 | 121 | 144 |

(a) Gross domestic product at market prices.
(b) 1962 .
(c) Not domestic product at factor cost.
(d) Gross National Product at market prices.
(e) Year beginning 1st April.
(f) Net material product at market prices.

## TABLE XVI

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF G.N.P. BY SECTORS OF ORIGIN 1955 TO 1963

| Country | 1955 |  |  | 1960 |  |  | 1963 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary \& Others | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary \& Others | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary \& Others |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Brazil | 29.7 | 24.6 | 45.7 | 28.2 | 25.8 | 46.0 | $\ldots$ | .. | .. |
| France | $10.1 \dagger$ | $47.1 \dagger$ | $42.8 \dagger$ | 9.5 | 47.3 | 43.2 | 8.5 | 46.8 | 44.7 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 8.0 | 53.0 | 39.0 | 6.0 | 53.3 | 40.7 | 5.2 | 52.6 | 42.2 |
| Ghana | .. | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| India | 45.3 | 18.5 | 36.2 | 48.6 | 18.3 | 33.1 | 46.8 | 19.6 | 33.6 |
| Japan* | 23.0 | 29.8 | 47.2 | 15.4 | 37.7 | 46.9 | 13.3 | 38.8 | 47.9 |
| Mexico | 22.1 | 30.7 | 47.2 | 18.8 | 33.1 | 48.1 | 18.0 | 34.1 | 47.9 |
| Nigeria | 66.5 | 3.8 | 29.7 | 65.2 | 6.2 | 28.6 | 64.7 | 10.3 | 25.0 |
| Pakistan | 54.1 | 9.0 | 36.9 | 52.4 | 10.6 | 37.0 | 50.1 | 15.2 | 34.7 |
| Turkey | 41.0 | 19.9 | 39.1 | 41.7 | 22.0 | 36.3 | 39.9 | 21.9 | 38.2 |
| UAR | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . |
| UK | 4.7 | 48.0 | 47.3 | 4.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 3.7 | 46.5 | 49.8 |
| USA | 4.7 | 40.8 | 54.4 | 4.1 | 38.4 | 57.5 | 3.9 | 37.7 | 58.4 |
| USSR | 24.1@ | 59.7@ | 16.2@ | 20.5 | 68.3 | 17.2 | 20.5 | 63.3 | 16.2 |
| Yugoslavia | 29.8 | 49.7 | 20.5 | 25.7 | 50.4 | 23.9 | 27.2 | 48.3 | 24.5 |

† For 1956.

* Electricity, gas and water are included under "Tertiary \& Others" instead of "Secondary" as sefarate figures' for G.N.P. from this source are not available.
( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$For 1958.

TABLE XVII
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT BY INDUSTRIAL ORIGIN, 1963

| Country | Agri. <br> Forestry, Hunting and Fishery | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mining } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Quarry- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Mamı-facturing | Cons-truction | Elec. Gas. and Water | Transport, Storage and Сотmиnications | Wholesale and Retail Trade | Banking, Ins. and Real Estate | Owner- <br> ship of <br> Dwell- <br> ing | Public <br> Admn. and Defence | Services | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| France | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \\ & \text { (c) } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1.6}{(\mathrm{~d})}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.9 \\ (\mathrm{e}) \end{array}$ | 7.5 | $\underset{(f)}{1.8}$ | 4.9 | 13.3 | 2.6 | 3.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \\ & (\mathrm{~g}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ (\mathrm{~h}) \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 5.2 | 4.7 <br> (i) | 40.3 | 7.6 | (j) | 6.2 | 13.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (\mathrm{k}) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 | 8.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ \text { (1) } \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| India (a) | 46.8 | 1.3 |  | . 3 |  | 14.8 | 1 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| Japan (a) | 13.3 | 1.3 | 30.5 | 7.0 |  | 1 | 15.7 | 7.6 | 11 | 14.6 |  | 100.0 |
| Mexico | 18.0 | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (\mathrm{n}) \end{gathered}$ | $27.2$ <br> (n) | 3.6 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 25.8 | (m) | (m) | 2.8 | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 |
| Nigeria (b) | 64.7 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Pakistan (0) | 50.1 | 0.3 | 10.6 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
| Turkey | 39.9 | 1.6 | 14.1 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
| UK | 3.7 | 2.8 | 34.1 | 6.5 | 3.2 | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (\mathrm{p}) \end{gathered}$ | 11.9 | 2.4 | 3.8 | $6.7$ (q) | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \\ (\mathbf{r}) \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| USA (a) | 3.9 | 1.2 | 29.1 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 16.4 | 8.6 | 1.9 | 14.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ \text { (s) } \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| USSR | 20.5 | 54 | . 2 | 9.1 | . | 5.5 |  |  | 10.7 |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia | 27.2 | 40 | . 6 | 7.6 | . | 8.3 | 10.9 |  | 5.4 |  | 1 | 100.0 |

(a) Net Domestic Product.
(b) Refers to 1962, at factor cost of 1957.
(c) Includes production of wines, excludes fishing.
(d) Excluding quarrying of building material.
(e) Includes fishing, quarrying of building material and excludes production of wine.
(f) Excludes sanitary services.
(g) Includes health and education services of general govermment.
(h) Includes sanitary services.
(i) Quarrying is included in manufacturing.
(j) Electricity, gas and water included under mining.
(k) Real estate is included under services.
(1) Government institutions for health and education are included in public administration and defence.
(m) Banking, insurance and real estate and ownership of dwellings included under services.
(n) Extraction of crude petroleum included in manufacturing.
(o) At factor cost of 1959.
(p) Includes road goods vehicles owned by manufacturing and construction industries.
(q) Sanitary services are included in public administration and defence.
(r) Includes the effect of adjustment for stock verification and residual errors.
(s) Includes business transfer payments.

| TABLE XVIII <br> INDEX OF NATIONAL INCOME PER CAPITA, 1963 <br> (INDIA $=100$ ) |  | ```TABLE XIX INSTITUTIONS AT ALL LEVELS* OF EDUCATION, 1961``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Index | Country | Total Number of Institutions | Average Nummber of Institutions Per. One Million of Population | Average Population Served by An Institution |
| (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Brazil | $\cdots$ | Brazil | 112,685 | 1,542 | 649 |
| France | 1,576 | France | 99,581 | 2,157 | 464 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 1,657 | Germany (F.R.) | 52,337 | 969 | 1,032 |
| Ghana | $\cdots$ | Ghana | 7,432 | 1,068 | 936 |
| India | 100 | India | 435,595 | 984 | 1,016 |
| Japan | 653 | Japan | 56,234 | 598 | 1,672 |
| Mexico | 462 | Mexico (a) | 36,536 | 1,044 | 958 |
| Nigeria | $\cdots$ | Nigeria | 17,269 | 483 | 2,070 |
| Pakistari | 92 | Pakistan | 58,815 | 622 | 1,608 |
| Turkey | 277 | Turkey | 26,689 | 933 | 1,072 |
| UAR | $\cdots$ | UAR | 9,051 | 341 | 2,934 |
| UK | 1,617 | UK | 40,621 | 768 | 1,303 |
| USA | 3,333 | USA | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| USSR | 1,065 | USSR | 250,247 | 1,145 | 871 |
| Yugoslavia | 373 | Yugoslavia | 17,463 | 925 | 1,066 |

* Excludes institutions for special education and for adults.
(a) For 1960.


## TABLE XX

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS BY LEVELS OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1950 |  |  |  |  | 1961 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PrePrimary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 n d \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 r d \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | Total | PrePrimary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Level | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{Brd} \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Brazil | $2 \cdot 4$ | 90.6 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 88.6 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| France | 4.5 | 92.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 <br> (a) | 100.0 | 6.4 | 83.9 | 9.7 | 0.0 <br> (a) | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 17.8 | 59.6 | 22.6 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 23.7 | 59.0 | 16.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 75.6 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| India | 0.1 | 89.6 | 9.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 80.3 | 19.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Japan | 4.5 | 55.3 | 39.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 47.6 | 38.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| Mexico | 3.6 | 92.8 | 3.6 | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ (\mathrm{c}) \end{array}$ | 5.1 <br> (b) | $89.5$ <br> (b) | 5.4 <br> (b) | (b) | $100.0$ <br> (c) |
| Nigeria | ... | 97.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $\ldots$ | 92.6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Pakistan | . ${ }$ | 84.3 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | . | 87.9 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| Turkey | 0.3 | 95.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | - | 95.2 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| UAR | $\ldots$ | 92.9 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 82.6 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| UK | 1.6 | 78.6 | 19.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 76.4 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| USA | $\ldots$ | 82.3 | 16.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | -•• | *. |
| USSR | 11.1 | $87.0$ (d) | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ (\mathrm{e}) \end{gathered}$ | 0.4 | 100.0 | 19.4 | 79.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia | 1.6 | 94.8 | 3.6 | ... | 100.0 | 5.7 | 83.4 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 |

(a) Only Public Universities.
(b) For 1960.
(c) Excludes institutions of higher education for which data are not available.
(d) Includes general education second level.
(e) Only vocational and teacher training schools.

## TABLE XXI

GROWTH OF ENROLMENT AT ALL LEVELS* OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | Average <br> Annual <br> Percentage Growth Rate during 1950 to 1960 | Percentage Increase from 1960 to 1961 | Percentage of Enrolment to Total Population (1961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 4,423,311 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,838,007 \\ (132) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,987,973 \\ (203) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,613,857 \\ (217) \end{gathered}$ | 7.4 | 6.7 | 13.1 |
| France | $\begin{array}{r} 6,381,136 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,931,752 \\ (124) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,654,572 \\ (151) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,804,206 \\ (154) \end{gathered}$ | 4.2 | 1.5 | 21.2 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 9,766,317 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $9,372,604$ <br> (96) | $\begin{array}{r} 9,256,465 \\ (95) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,340,556 \\ (96) \end{array}$ | $\dagger$ | 1.0 | 17.3 |
| Ghana | $\begin{gathered} 281,048 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 560,757 \\ (200) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 690,571 \\ (246) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 905,944 \\ (322) \end{array}$ | 9.4 | 31.2 | 13.0 |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 24,136,856 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,429,675 \\ (134) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,272,364 \\ (192) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,662,613 \\ (214) \end{array}$ | 6.7 | 11.6 | 11.5 |
| Japan | $\begin{array}{r} 19,050,421 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21,914,813 \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | $23,171,951$ <br> (122) | $\begin{gathered} 23,410,466 \\ (123) \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 | 1.0 | 24.9 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 2,958,417 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,958,505 \\ (134) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,594,131 \\ (189) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,121,064 \\ (207) \end{array}$ | 6.6 | 9.4 | 17.0 |
| Nigeria | $\begin{array}{r} 999,488 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,771,656 \\ (177) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,081,479 \\ (308) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,009,604 \\ (301) \end{array}$ | 11.9 | $\dagger$ | 8.4 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 4,304,143 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,409,718 \\ (126) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,550,707 \\ (152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,078,362 \\ (164) \end{gathered}$ | 4.3 | 8.1 | 7.5 |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 1,785,823 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,274,773 \\ (127) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,415,675 \\ (191) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,727,382 \\ (209) \end{array}$ | 6.7 | 9.1 | 13.0 |
| UAR | $\begin{array}{r} 1,499,194 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $2,444,067$ <br> (163) | $\begin{array}{r} 3,340,457 \\ (223) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,541,267 \\ (236) \end{array}$ | 8.3 | 6.0 | 13.0 |
| UK | $7,232,421$ <br> (100) | $8,416,889$ <br> (116) | $\begin{array}{r} 10,306,700 \\ (143) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,496,941 \\ (145) \end{array}$ | 3.6 | 1.9 | 19.8 |
| USA | $\begin{array}{r} 31,989,592 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,690,825 \\ (121) \end{array}$ | . | $\begin{array}{r} 48,162,598 \\ (151) \end{array}$ | 3.8 | $\ldots$ | 26.2 |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 37,257,030 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,893,025 \\ (91) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,024,413 \\ (110) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,332,100 \\ (119) \end{array}$ | 1.0 | 8.1 | 20.2 |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{array}{r} 2,049,625 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,373,071 \\ (116) \end{gathered}$ | $3,337,882$ <br> (163) | $\begin{gathered} 3,533,043 \\ (172) \end{gathered}$ | 5.0 | 5.8 | 19.0 |

Notc: Figures in brackets give indices of growth keeping $1950=100$.
*Excludes enrolment in schools for special education and for adults.
$\dagger$ Decrease.

TABLE XXII
ENROLMENT RATIOS AT THE FIRST AND SECOND LEVELS OF EDUCATION, 1957 AND 1961

| Country | Academic Year Beginning | Unadjusted School Enrolment Ratios by Levels |  |  | Adjusted School Enrolment Ratios at First and Second Levels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | First | Second | First and Second Levels |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Brazil | 1957 | 42 | 15 | 34 | 46 |
|  | 1961 | 46 | 20 | 39 | 53 |
| France | 1957 | 80 | 55 | 73 | 84 |
|  | 1961 | 80 | 87 | 74 | 85 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 1957 | 64 | 92 | 74 | 85 |
|  | 1961 | 71 | 77 | 73 | 84 |
| Ghana | 1957 | 30 | 22 | 28 | 30 |
|  | 1960 (a) | 32 | 26 | 30 | 32 |
| India | 1957 | 28 | 20 | 26 | 28 |
|  | 1961 | 36 | 32 | 35 | 44 |
| Japan | 1957 | 66 | 93 | 75 | 94 |
|  | 1961 | 58 | 104 | 72 | 90 |
| Mexico | 1957 | 47 | 9 | 36 | 45 |
|  | 1961 | 57 | 11 | 45 | 56 |
| Nigeria | 1957 | 32 | 3 | 23 | 27 |
|  | 1961 | 32 | 5 | 24 | 28 |
| Pakistan | 1957 | 20 | 15 | 19 | 23 |
|  | 1960 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 26 |
| Turkey | 1957 | 36 | 12 | 29 | 40 |
|  | 1960 | 42 | 17 | 35 | 47 |
| UAR | 1957 | 35 | 20 | 31 | 39 |
|  | 1961 | 41 | 22 | 36 | 45 |
| UK | 1957 | 70 | 95 (b) | 78 | 84 |
| (England \& Wales) | 1961 | 61 | 105 (b) | 75 | 80 |
| USA | 1957 | 87 | 71 | 82 | 103 |
|  | 1961 | 81 | 80 | 81 | 101 |
| USSR | 1957 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | .. | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1960 | 73 | 39 | 65 | 89 |
| Yugoslavia | 1957 | 71 | 11 | 52 | 65 |
|  | 1961 | 85 | 16 | 63 | 79 |

(a) Public education only.
(b) Not including vocational education.

TABLE XXIII
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL* ENROLMENT BY LEVELS OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1958 |  |  |  |  | 1961 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Pre-Primary | $\begin{gathered} 1 s t \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Level } \end{aligned}$ | $3 r d$ <br> Level | Total | Pre-Primary | $\begin{gathered} 1_{s t} \\ \text { Level } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3rd } \\ & \text { Level } \end{aligned}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Brazil | 100 | 1.8 | 85.6 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 100 | 2.7 | 81.5 | 14.8 | 1.0 |
| France | 100 | 17.4 | 63.7 | 16.8 | 2.1 | 100 | 14.6 | 58.9 | 24.0 | 2.5 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 100 | 5.9 | 65.3 | 27.3 | 1.5 | 100 | 8.7 | 55.0 | 33.2 | 3.1 |
| Ghana | 100 | $\ldots$ | 96.8 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100 | $\ldots$ | 77.4 | 22.5 | 0.1 |
| India | 100 | 0.1 | 77.2 | 21.0 | 1.7 | 100 | 0.4 | 72.7 | 24.6 | 2.3 |
| Japan | 100 | 1.2 | 58.7 | 38.0 | 2.1 | 100 | 3.4 | 50.5 | 42.9 | 3.2 |
| Mexico | 100 | 3.4 | 90.1 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 100 | 3.9 | 87.7 | 6.8 | 1.6 |
| Nigeria | 100 | $\ldots$ | 97.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100 | $\cdots$ | 93.2 | 6.7 | 0.1 |
| Pakistan | 100 | $\cdots$ | 71.0 | 27.4 | 1.6 | 100 | $\ldots$ | 75.3 | 22.7 | 2.0 |
| Turkey | 100 | 0.1 | 90.5 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 100 | . | 84.5 | 13.6 | 1.9 |
| UAR | 100 | . ${ }$ | 87.4 | 10.4 | 2.2 | 100 | 0.7 | 79.3 | 16.8 | 3.2 |
| UK | 100 | 0.4 | 66.4 | 31.4 | 1.8 | 100 | 0.5 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 2.0 |
| USA | 100 | 4.7 | 67.9 | 20.2 | 7.2 | 100 | 5.1 | 57.5 | 29.7 | 7.7 |
| USSR | 100 | 3.1 | 85.1 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 100 | 8.2 | 70.6 | 15.3 | 5.9 |
| Yugoslavia | 100 | 0.6 | 88.5 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 100 | 2.1 | 82.0 | 11.4 | 4.5 |

*Excludes enrolment in special schools and schools for adults.

TABLE XXIV
DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION BY SOURCES

| Country | Currency | Year | Total Expen- <br> diture (000's) | Percentage of Expenditure Met from |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Govt. Funds | Local Board Funds | Fees | Other Sources |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Brazil | Cruzerio | 1962 | 150,431,666 | 93.9 | 6.1 | - | - |
| France | New Franc | 1961 | 10,388,800 | 90.2 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) | Marks | 1961 | 9,175,652 | 64.4 | 30.0 | 1.2 | 4.4 |
| Ghana | Pound | 1961 | 17,766 | 98.2 | - | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| India | Rupee | 1961 | 3,963,474 | 68.6 | 6.6 | 16.5 | 8.3 |
| Japan | Yen | 1961 | 768,460,494 | 70.2 | 22.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| Mexico | Peso | 1960 | 3,100,000 | 86.5 | - | 10.8 | 2.7 |
| Nigeria | . | - $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | -• | . | . | . $\cdot$ |
| Pakistan | . ${ }$ | -•• | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Turkey | -• | ... | - $\cdot$ | ... | . | ... | ... |
| UAR | ... | -•• | -• | ... | . $\cdot$ | -. | ... |
| UK (England \& Wales) | Pound | 1961 | 1,020,400 | 17.0 | 81.8 | - | 1.2 |
| USA | Dollar | 1961 | 31,400,000 | 36.0 | 39.8 | - | 24.2 |
| USSR | New Rouble | 1961 | 9,161,000 | 32.8 | 60.5 | 5.1 | 1.6 |
| Yugoslavia | Dinar | 1960 | 73,294,000 | 17.4 | 76.5 | - | 6.1 |

TABLE XXV

## PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF RECURRING PUBLIC EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION BY LEVELS AND BY TYPE OF EDUCATION, 1961

| Country | Central Administration | Pre- <br> School \& Ist Level | 2nd Level |  |  | Third Level | Other <br> Types of Expenditure on Education | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Totat | General | Vocational \& T. Trg |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | 10.1 | 33.4 | 19.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 20.1 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
| France | 1.9 | 48.3 | 29.2 | 18.0 | 11.2(a) | 8.3 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 1.5 | 48.1(b) | 35.8 | 23.1 | 12.7(a) | 13.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| Ghana | 13.2 | 26.7 | 33.1 | 18.7 | 14.4 | 17.2 | 9.8 | 100.0 |
| India* | 2.0 | 21.1 | 36.4 | 32.3 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 100.0 |
| Japan | 7.2 | 36.2 | 36.8 | ... | $\ldots$ | 14.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| Nigeria | 9.4 | 53.8 | 29.0 | 12.6 | 16.4 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| Pakistan | 5.5 | 42.9 | 23.8 | 19.1 | 4.7 | 19.6 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| Turkey | $\ldots$ | 61.3 | 32.4 | 13.4 | 19.0 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 4.1 | 27.1 | 38.8 | 31.5 | 7.3(a) | 14.1 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| USA | $\cdots$ | 72.4(c) | (d) | (d) | (d) | 27.6 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 |
| USSR | 0.5 | $71.2(\mathrm{c})$ | (d) | (d) | (d) | 13.3 | 15.0 | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia(e) | 4.5 | 58.3 | 19.3 | $\ldots$ | ... | 16.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 |

* Relates to total expenditure (recurring \& non-recurring) from all sources.
(a) Excludes expenditure on teacher training.
(b) Includes expenditure on special education.
(c) Includes expenditure on second level of education.
(d) Included under pre-school and first level of cducation.
(e) For 1960 .

* Based on public expenditure on public education only.
$\dagger$ Total direct expenditure for the year 1961.

TABLE XXVII
INDICES OF COST PER STUDENT AT DIFFERENT LEVELS OF EDUCATION, 1962 (EXPENDITURE ON FIRST LEVEL=100)

| Country | Index ( First Level $=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Primary | First Level | Second Level |  |  | Third Level |
|  |  |  | All | General | Vocational |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Brazil | $\ldots$ | . $\cdot$ | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| France | $\cdots$ | 100 | 151 | 127 | 235 | 322 |
| Germany (F.R.) | ... | 100 | 144 | 245 | 82 | 530 |
| Ghana | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | .. |
| India* | 176 | 100 | 238 | 214 | 1,879 | 1,638 |
| Japan | 71 | 100 | 117 | ... | ... | 1,313 |
| Mexico | 117 | 100 | 1 |  | 657 | \| |
| Nigeria | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | . . |
| Pakistan | $\cdots$ | ... | . ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | .. | *. | ... |
| Turkey | . $\cdot$ | -•• | ... | ... | . | -. |
| UAR | .. | -* | .. | -• | . | ... |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 217 | 100 | 180 | 171 | 243 | 1,459 |
| USA* | $\ldots$ |  |  | . | $\cdots$ | 424 |
| USSR | 328 | ! | 1 | . | 225 | 400 |
| Yugoslavia | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |

TABLE XXVIII
COST* PER CAPITA ON EDUCATION

| Country | Name of <br> Currency | Year | In National Currency | In US Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil | Cruzeiro | 1962 | 1,998.53 | 3.22 |
| France | New Franc | 1961 | 225.05 | 45.69 |
| Germany (F.R.) | Marks | 1962 | 183.08 | 45.77 |
| Ghana | Pound | 1962 | 3.19 | 8.93 |
| Indiat | Rupee | 1961 | 8.8 | 1.85 |
| Japan | Yen | 1962 | 11,699.85 | 32.53 |
| Mexico | Peso | 1962 | 110.27 | 8.82 |
| Nigeria | Pound | 1962 | 0.65 | 1.82 |
| Pakistan | Rupee | 1962 | 6.46 | 1.36 |
| Turkey | Lira | 1961 | 47.03 | 5.23 |
| UAR | Pound | 1962 | 2.25 | 6.46 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | Pound | 1962 | 24.55 | 68.74 |
| USA | Dollar | 1961 | 156.73 | 156.73 |
| USSR | Rouble | 1961 | 42.02 | 46.68 |
| Yugoslavia | Dinar | 1962 | 9,412.17 | 12.55 |

* From public funds.
$\dagger$ From all sources.

| Country | TABLE XXIX |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RIBUTIO | LIC EXPEN | ON EDUCATI |  |
|  | Percentage Distribution of Total Public. Expenditure on Education |  | Percentage Distribution of Total Public Expenditure on Education |  |
|  | Recurring | Capital | Teachers' Salaries | Others |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil | . | $\cdots$ | ... | -. |
| France | 81.9 | 18.1 | 71.9 | 28.1 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 71.8 | 28.2 | 50.2(a) | 49.8 (a) |
| Ghana | 78.1 | 21.9 | 62.5(a) | 37.5 (a) |
| India(a), (c) | 88.2 | 11.8 | 59.6 | 40.4 |
| Japan | 71.0 | 29.0 | 59.1(a) | 40.9 (a) |
| Mexico | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | -•• | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nigeria | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | 52.7 | 47.3 | ... | ... |
| Turkey (a) | 96.7 | 3.3 | 66.7 | 33.3 |
| UAR | 91.0 | 9.0 | $\ldots$ | -• |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 76.5 | 23.5 | 51.1(a) | 48.9 (a) |
| USA (a) | 80.6 | 19.4 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| USSR (a) | 86.1 | 13.9 | 53.6 | 46.4 |
| Yugoslavia (b) | $\ldots$ | .. | 79.4 | 20.6 |

(a) Pertains to 1961.
(b) Pertains to 1960 .
(c) Expenditure from all sources.

TABLE XXX
budget for education as percentage of total national* budget

| Country |  | Percentage |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1960 | 1963 |
|  | (1) | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| Brazil | 13.9 | 17.5 |  |
| France | 12.4 | 14.1 |  |
| Germany (F.R.) | 5.8 | 5.7 |  |
| Ghana | 14.5 | 13.1 |  |
| India | $12.9 @$ | 10.9 |  |
| Japan | 10.8 | 11.0 |  |
| Mexico | 15.8 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Pakistan | 6.6 | 8.9 |  |
| Turkey | 12.4 | 15.6 |  |
| UAR | 14.9 | $\ldots$ |  |
| UK | 10.9 | 12.1 |  |
| USA | 16.0 | $16.4^{* *}$ |  |
| USSR | 14.1 | $15.1^{* *}$ |  |

* Including state budgets in federal countries.
** For 1962.
@ For 1961.


## TABLE XXXI

TOTAL PUBLIC EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION AS PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL INCOME

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil | 1.3 | 2.2(a) | 2.9(b) | $\cdots$ |
| France | 2.4 | $\cdots$ | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 3.1 | 3.5(c) | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Ghana | $\cdots$ | 2.5(c) | ... | 4.3 |
| India* | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.4 | $\cdots$ |
| Japan | 4.8 | 6.1(a) | 5.5 | 7.2 |
| Mexico | 0.8 | 0.9(a) | $\cdots$ | 2.6 |
| Nigeria | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2.3 | 2.1(d) |
| Pakistan | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Turkey | 2.0 | 1.5(e) | $\cdots$ | 2.9(d) |
| UAR | 4.7(f) | 3.8(g) | $\cdots$ | ... |
| UK | 3.2 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 5.8 |
| USA | 3.1(h) | 4.0 | 6.6 | 6.8(d) |
| USSR | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 6.1 |
| Yugoslavia | 1.2 | $\cdots$ | 2.7 | 5.1 |

* Expentiture from all sourcers.
(a) For 1954.
(b) Current expenditure only.
(c) For 1957.
(d) For 1961.
(e) Expenditure by the Department of Education only.
(f) For Egypt only.
(g) For 1956.
(h) For 1951.

TABLE XXXII
PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOLS, 1961

| Country | Number <br> of Pre <br> Primary <br> Schools | Average <br> Number of <br> Schools <br> Per 10,000 <br> sq. kms. of <br> Area | Average Area (sq. kms ) Served by $A$ School | Average <br> Number of <br> Schools <br> Per One <br> Million of <br> Population | Average <br> Population Served by <br> A School | Average <br> Percentage <br> Rate of <br> Annual <br> Growth during 1950-1960 | Percentage <br> Increase <br> from <br> 1961-62 | Percentage <br> of Pre- <br> Primary <br> Schools <br> under Public <br> Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | 4,244 | 5 | 2,006 | 58 | 17,221 | 9.2 | 8.7(a) | 46.0 |
| France | 6,369 | 120 | 86 | 138 | 7,248 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 31.6 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 12,413 | 500 | 20 | 230 | 4,353 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 22.8 |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . |
| India | 2,205 | 7 | 1,483 | 5 | 200,771 | 20.2 | 11.6(a) | 29.2 |
| Japan | 7,359 | 200 | 50 | 78 | 12,780 | 13.1 | 2.2 | $\cdots$ |
| Mexico | 1,931 | 20 | 1,02こ | 53 | 18,982 | 7.0 | 11.3 | $\ldots$ |
| Nigeria | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | .. | $\cdots$ | . |
| Pakistan | .. | . | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . $\cdot$ |
| Turkey |  | b) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 2.1 | $\ldots$ | 100.0(b) |
| UAR | 84 | 1 | 11,905 | 3 | 316,155 | $\ldots$ | 25.4(a) | 8.3 |
| UK | 909 | c) 40 | 268 | 17 | 58,223 | 5.4 | 2.0(a) | 64.9 |
| USA | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | ... | .. | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| USSR | 48,500 | 20 | 462 | 222 | 4,495 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia | 996 | 40 | 257 | 54 | 18,682 | 14.7 | 5.7(a) | 100.0 |

(a) Relates to increase from 1960 to 1961.
(b) Pertains to 1960 .
(c) Excludes unaided schools in Scotland. The data included here pertaining to Scotland relate to 1962. In case of Ireland only K.G. schools hvae been included.

TABLE XXXIII
ENROLMENT AT PRE-PRIMARY STAGE

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | $1962 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { R } \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { d } \\ & 1\end{aligned}\right.$ | Average Annual Rate of Growth during $\begin{gathered} 1950-1960 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Percentage Increase from 19611962 | Percentage of Female Students (1961) | Percentage of Enrolment in Public Schools (1961) | Number of Students per 100 of Population (1961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Brazil | 77,994 | $\begin{array}{r} 133,909 \\ (172) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 237,802 \\ (305) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 255,333(\mathrm{a}) \\ (327) \end{gathered}$ | 11.8 | 7.4 (f) | ) 52 | 53.8 | 3 |
| France | $\begin{array}{r} 1,108,971 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,273,300 \\ (115) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,338,500 \\ (121) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500,311 \\ (135) \end{array}$ | 1.9 | 5.0 | 49 | 85.4 | 31 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 577,571 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 680,782(b) \\ (118) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 805,044 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 857,143(\mathrm{c}) \\ (148) \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 | 5.1 | $\ldots$ | 20.3 | 15 |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 28,309 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75,459 \\ (267) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 178,642 \\ (631) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 216,000(a) \\ (763) \end{gathered}$ | 20.2 | 12.1(f) | 44 | 21.5 | 1 |
| Japan (d) | $\begin{array}{r} 224,653 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 643,683 \\ (287) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 742,367 \\ (330) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 855,909 \\ (381) \end{array}$ | 12.7 | 7.1 | 48 | 30.2 | 8 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 100,390 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142,681 \\ (142) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230,164 \\ (229) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 266,625 \\ (266) \end{gathered}$ | 8.6 | 10.6 | 51 | $\cdots$ | 7 |
| Nigeria | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Pakistan | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Turkey | $\begin{aligned} & 1,760 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,573 \\ & (146) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,730 \\ & (155) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,808 \\ & (160) \end{aligned}$ | 3.8 | $\cdots$ | 44(g) | 100.0 | $\ldots$ |
| UAR | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,397 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,189 \\ (181) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,189(\mathrm{a}) \\ (173) \end{gathered}$ | ) 12.5 | $\ldots$ | 49 | 3.0 | 1 |
| UK | $\begin{array}{r} 27,828 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,896 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46,082 \\ (166) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48,968(a) \\ (176) \end{gathered}$ | ) 5.2 | 6.3(f) | 48 | 78.2 | 1 |
| USA | $\begin{aligned} & 1,516,000 \\ & \quad(100)(\mathrm{e}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,838,250 \\ (121) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,450,345(a) \\ (162) \end{gathered}$ | ) 4.9 | $\ldots$ | 48 | 83.8 | 13 |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 1,168,779 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,730,941 \\ (148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,115,100 \\ (267) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,171,700 \\ (357) \end{array}$ | 10.3 | 15.2 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 17 |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{gathered} 12,008 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69,915 \\ (582) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78,028 \\ (650) \end{array}$ | 19.3 | 3.2 | 50 | 100.0 | 4 |

Note: Figures given in brackets indicate indices of growth, keeping $1950=100$.
(a) For 1961.
(b) For 1954, Represents the number of places.
(c) Includes West Berlin also.
(d) Figures relate to Kindergarten only.
(e) For 1951.
(f) For 1960 to 1961.
(g) For 1960.

TABLE XXXIV

## TEACHERS IN PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 | Percentage <br> of Female Teachers (1950) | Percentage <br> of Female Teachers (1961) | Pupil- <br> Teacher Ratio (1950) | Pupil- <br> Teacher Ratio <br> (1961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | $\begin{gathered} 3,027 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,260 \\ & (174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,219 \\ & (272) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,040 \\ & (299) \end{aligned}$ | 99 | 99 | 26 | 28 |
| France | $\ldots$ | 19,300 | $\ldots$ | 28,695 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Germany (F.R.) | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 866 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,880 \\ & (217) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,006 \\ & (463) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,895(\mathrm{a}) \\ & (565) \end{aligned}$ | 80 | 90 | 25 | 30 |
| Japan | $\begin{aligned} & 9,445 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,983 \\ (265) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35,867 \\ (380) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39,470 \\ (418) \end{gathered}$ | 82 | 83 | 24 | 21 |
| Mexico | $\begin{gathered} 2,892 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,459 \\ (154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,675 \\ & (231) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,365 \\ & (255) \end{aligned}$ | 97 | $\ldots$ | 35 | 34 |
| Nigeria | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Pakistan | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ (115) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104 \\ (146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ (113) \end{array}$ | 100 | 100 | 25 | 26(d) |
| UAR | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 370 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238(\mathrm{~b}) \\ & (64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 312(b) \\ & (84) \end{aligned}$ | 97(c) | 98 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| USA | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ |
| USSR | $\cdots$ | ... | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Yugoslavia | $\ldots$ | . | 2,434 | 2,796(a) | $\ldots$ | 96 | $\cdots$ | 27 |

Note: Figures given in brackets indicate indices of growth, keeping $1950=100$
(a) For 1961.
(b) Excludes teachers in former foreign schools.
(c) For 1955 .
(d) For 1960.

## TABLE XXXV

DURATION OF THE FIRST LEVEL. OF EDUCATION, THE AGE-GROUP AND ITS POPULATION

| Country | Duration | Age-Group <br> Percentage of Total Population <br> in fhe Age -Group |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | (4) |
| Brazil | 4 | $7-10$ | $\ldots$ |
| France | 5 | $6-10$ | 9.7 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 4 | $6-9$ | 5.7 |
| Ghana | 6 | $6-11$ | 13.2 |
| India | 5 | $6-10$ | 12.8 |
| Japan | 6 | $6-11$ | 12.6 |
| Mexico | 6 | $6-11$ | 17.2 |
| Nigeria | 6 | $6-11$ | $\ldots$ |
| Pakistan | 5 | $5-9$ | 17.7 |
| Turkey | 5 | $6-10$ | 12.7 |
| UAR | 6 | $6-11$ | 16.6 |
| UK | 6 | $5-10$ | 8.8 |
| USA | 8 | $6-13$ | 15.8 |
| USSR | 8 | $7-14$ | 20.7 |
| Yugoslavia |  |  | 16.4 |

TABLE XXXVI
Institutions at The first level of education, 1961

| Country | Number of Institutions | Average Number of Schools Per 1,000 sq. kms. of Area | Average Area (sq. kms.) Served by $A$ School | Average Number of Institutions Per One Million of Population | Average Population Served by An Institution | Percentage of Public Institutions to Total Number of Institutions at First Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Brazil | 99,839 | 12 | 85 | 1,366 | 732 | 90.1 |
| France | 83,588 | 153 | 7 | 1,811 | 552 | 88.5 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 30,859 | 124 | 8 | 571 | 1,751 | 99.4 |
| Ghana | 5,615 | 24 | 42 | 807 | 1,240 | 97.1 |
| India | 352,029 | 107 | 9 | 795 | 1,258 | 80.5 |
| Japan | 26,741 | 72 | 14 | 284 | 3,517 | 99.4 |
| Mexico | 34,240 | 17 | 58 | 934 | 1,070 | 93.4 |
| Nigeria | 15,993 | 17 | 58 | 448 | 2,235 | 27.9 |
| Pakistan | 51,677 | 55 | 18 | 547 | 1,830 | 91.0 |
| Turkey (a) | 25,409 | 33 | 31 | 888 | 1,126 | 99.4 |
| UAR | 7,467 | 7 | 134 | 281 | 3,557 | 79.7 |
| UK | 31,020 | 128 | 8 | 586 | 1,706 | 88.9 |
| USA (b) | 105,697 | 11 | 89 | 575 | 1,739 | $\cdots$ |
| USSR (c) | 197,600 | 9 | 113 | 906 | 1,103 | 100.0 |
| Yugoslavia (d) | 14,568 | 57 | 18 | 783 | 1,277 | 100.0 |

(a) Public schools only.
(b) For 1959. Relates to grades I to VIII.
(c) Includes institutions for General Education at the second level of education also.
(d) Refers to grades I to VII.

TABLE XXXVII : ENROLMENT AT THE FIRST LEVEL OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 | Average Annual Rate of Growth during $1950-1960$ | Percentage Increase from 1961 to 1962 | Percentage of <br> Female <br> Students <br> (1962) | Enrolment as Percentage of Total Population (1961) | Percentage of Enrolment In Public Institutions (1961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 3,784,204 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,877,880 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,477,053 \\ (198) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,523,613 \\ (225) \end{array}$ | 6.1 | 8.8 | 49 | 10.7 | 88.6 |
| France | $\begin{array}{r} 4,063,249 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,171,200 \\ (127) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,821,500 \\ (143) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,901,664 \\ (145) \end{array}$ | 3.6 | 2.2 | 50 | 12.5 | 84.3 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 6,377,083 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,865,491 \\ (76) \end{array}$ | $5,081,014$ (80) | $\begin{gathered} 5,365,018(a) \\ (84) \end{gathered}$ | $\pm$ | 4.4 | 49 | 9.5 | 99.6 |
| Ghana | $\begin{array}{r} 271,954 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 429,518 \\ (158) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 503,155 \\ (191) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 789,000 \\ (290) \end{array}$ | 6.7 | 11.3 | $36 \dagger$ | 10.0 | $\ldots$ |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 19,154,457 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,167,013 \\ (131) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,993,827 \\ (183) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39,102,324^{*} \\ (204) \end{gathered}$ | 6.2 | 13.7(e) | 34* | 8.7 | 78.2 |
| Japan | $\begin{array}{r} 11,191,401 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,266,952 \\ (110) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,590,680 \\ (113) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,056,915 \\ (99) \end{array}$ | 1.2 | $\pm$ | 49 | 12.6 | 99.6 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 2,666,390 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,526,869 \\ (132) \end{array}$ | $4,884,988$ <br> (183) | $\begin{array}{r} 5,620,324 \\ (211) \end{array}$ | 6.2 | 4.7 | 48 | 14.9 | 91.0 |
| Nigeria | $\begin{array}{r} 970,199 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,702,762 \\ (176) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,912,617 \\ (300) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,834,010 \\ (292) \end{array}$ | 11.6 | 1.0 | 38 | 7.8 | 20.8 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 3,057,000 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,031,175 \\ (132) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,036,544 \\ (165) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,706,312 \\ (187) \end{array}$ | 5.1 | 7.0 | 25 | 5.6 | $90.0 \dagger$ |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 1,616,626 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,981,805 \\ (123) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,866,020 \\ (177) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,399,606 \\ (210) \end{gathered}$ | 5.9 | 8.0 | 39 | 11.0 | $99.1 \dagger$ |
| UAR | $\begin{array}{r} 1,310,169 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,869,493 \\ (143) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,663,247 \\ (203) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,909,996 \\ (222) \end{array}$ | 7.3 | 3.7 | 38 | 10.6 | 84.7 |
| UK | $\begin{gathered} 4,801,354(\mathrm{~b}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,533,320(b) \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,084,265 \\ (106) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,097,987^{*} \\ (106) \end{gathered}$ | 0.6 | 0.3(e) | $49 \dagger$ | 9.6 | 92.6 |

fable XXXVII : ENROLMENT AT THE FIRST LEVEL OF EDUCATION (Continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA | $\begin{array}{r} 21,707,000 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,434,700 \\ (122) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,349,000 \\ (140) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,697,406^{*} \\ (128) \end{gathered}$ | 3.3 | .. | $48 \dagger$ | 15.1 | 85.4 |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 31,701,942 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22,847,634(\mathrm{c}) \\ (72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30,000,000(\mathrm{c}) \\ (95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35,600,000(\mathrm{~d}) \\ (112) \end{gathered}$ | $\pm$ | 13.7 | 48£ | $\ldots$ | 100.0 |
| Yugosiavia (d) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,814,683 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,036,370 \\ (112) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,764,369 \\ (152) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,960,199 \\ (163) \end{array}$ | 4.3 | 2.2 | 47 | 15.6 | 100.0 |

Nore: Figures given in brackets indicate indices of growth keeping $1950=100$
*Pertains to 1961.
$\ddagger$ Decrease.
†For 1960.
£For 1955.
(a) Includes West Berlin also.
(b) Includes senior departments of all-age schools.
(c) Refers to grades I-VII.
(d) Refers to grades I-VIII.
(e) For 1960 to 1961.

| TABLE XXXVIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Cohort <br> Entering | Percentage of Students in Class II \& Subscim.tt Classes to Those in Class I |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | the Year | 1 | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Czechoslovakia | 1958-59 | 100 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 95.9 | 94.9 | 95.7 |
| France | 1958-59 | 100 | 99.7 | 94.0 | 90.6 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Germany (F.R.) | 1960-61 | 100 | 99.3 | 95.1 | 95.1 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Ghana | 1955-56 | 100 | 66.8 | 62.8 | 58.4 | 53.5 | $31.3 \pm$ |
| India | 1957.58 | 100 | 60.7 | 49.4 | 42.1 | 35.7 | $\dagger$ |
| Nigeria* | 1939-60 | 100 | 79.9 | 74.6 | 56.4 | 47.0 | 39.6 |
| Pakistan | 1958-59 | 100 | 49.7 | 36.3 | 30.3 | 26.8 | $\dagger$ |

$\dagger$ This class is not a part of the primary stage.
$\ddagger$ Estimated.
*Excluding Lagos.

TABLE XXXIX : TEACHERS IN INSTITUTIONS AT THE FIRST LEVEL OF EdUCATION

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 ( $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Perc } \\ & \text { Fen } \\ & \text { Tea }\end{aligned}\right.$ | ntage of <br> le <br> hers (1950) | Percentage of <br> Female <br> Teachers (1961) | Pupil-Teacher <br> Ratio (1950) | Pupil-Teacher <br> Ratio (1961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 117,127 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165,041 \\ (141) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 226,059 \\ (193) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264,133 \\ (226) \end{array}$ | 92 | 94 | 25 | 29 |
| France |  | 182,000(e) | 201,677(e) | 205,283(a)(e) |  | 68 | 28(c) | 28 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{gathered} 133,554(\mathrm{~g}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135,455(\mathrm{~g}) \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172,271 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186,542(\mathrm{~h}) \\ (140) \end{gathered}$ | 39(g) | 45 | 48(g) | 29 |
| Ghana | $\begin{aligned} & 9,000(\mathrm{c}) \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,644 \\ (174) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,517 \\ (339) \end{array}$ | 12 | 24(b) | 31(c) | 31(b) |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 537,918 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 691,249 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 741,515 \\ (138) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 794,758(a) \\ (148) \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 17 | 34 | 37 |
| Japan | $\begin{gathered} 350,620(\mathrm{~g}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 342,748 \\ (112) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 362,689 \\ (119) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 342,677 \\ (112) \end{array}$ | 49 | 47 | 37(g) | 34 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 66,307 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85,797 \\ (129) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111,134 \\ (168) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126,705 \\ (191) \end{array}$ | 65 | $\ldots$ | 40 | 46 |
| Nigeria | $\begin{array}{r} 38,407 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96,317 \\ (251) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,335 \\ (259) \end{array}$ | 15 | 21 | 25 | 29 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 90,053 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,486 \\ (128) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,445 \\ (145) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150,023 \\ (167) \end{array}$ | 5 | 9 | 34 | 39 |
| Turkey | $\begin{gathered} 35,871 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,202 \\ & (118) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,951 \\ (170) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74,185 \\ (207) \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 21 | 45 | 47 |
| UAR | $\begin{array}{r} 44,753 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,585 \\ (109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67,688 \\ (151) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,154 \\ (170) \end{array}$ | 20 | 39 | 29 | $\ldots$ |
| UK | $\begin{array}{r} 160,918 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188,013 \\ (117) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 210,691 \\ (131) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 209,799(a) \\ (130) \end{gathered}$ | 73 | 76(b) | 30 | 24 |
| USA | $\begin{gathered} 702,400(\mathrm{~d})(\mathrm{e}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 821,400(\mathrm{e}) \\ (117) \end{gathered}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,147,695(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{e}) \\ (163) \end{gathered}$ | 88 | 87 | 31 | 24 |

TABLE XXXIX : TEACHERS IN INSTITUTIONS AT THE FIRST LEVEL OF EDUCATION (Continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USSR(i) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,016,319 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,003,467 \\ (99) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,219,000 \\ (120) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,341,000 \\ (132) \end{array}$ | 80 | 82 | 31 | 24 |
| Yugoslavia (j) | $\begin{array}{r} 40,049 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61,270 \\ (153) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,279 \\ (210) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93,434 \\ (233) \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 58 | 45 | 32 |

Note: Figures given in brackets indicate indices of growth keeping $1950=100$.
(a) For 1961.
(b) For 1960 .
(c) For 1955.
(d) For 1951.
(e) Includes teachers in pre-primary schools also.
(f) Public only.
(g) Full-time teaching staff only.
(h) Includes West Berlin also.
(i) Figures refer to grades I-VII up to 1961 and I-VIII for 1962. It excludes teachers for music, drawing and physical training, ets.
(j) Refers to grades I-VIII.

TABLE XL
dURATION OF THE SECOND LEVEL OF EDUCATION, THE AGE-GROUP, ITS POPULATION

|  | Country | Duration | Agc-Group | Percentage of Total Population in the Age-Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Brazil |  | 7 | 11-17 | $\ldots$ |
| France |  | 8 | 11-18 | 13.1 |
| Germany (F.R.) |  | 9 | 10-18 | 12.1 |
| Ghana |  | 8 | 12-19 | 14.1 |
| India |  | 6 | 11-16 | 13.0 |
| Japan |  | 6 | 12-17 | 13.0 |
| Mexico |  | 6 | 12-17 | 13.6 |
| Nigeria |  | 6 | 12-17 | $\ldots$ |
| Pakistan |  | 7 | 10-16 | 12.7 |
| Turkey |  | 6 | 11-16 | 11.8 |
| UAR |  | 6 | 12-17 | 12.3 |
| UK |  | 4 | 11.14 | 7.5 |
| USA |  | 4 | 14-17 | 6.3 |
| USSR |  | 3 | 15-17 | 9.1 |
| Yugoslavia |  | 4 | 15-18 | 5.9 |

TABLE XLI
INSTITUTIONS AT THE SECOND LEVEL OF EDUCATION, 1961

| Country | Number of Institutions | Average Number of Schools per 1,000 Sq. Kms. of Area | Average Area <br> ( $S_{q} . K m s$. ) <br> Served by $A$ <br> School | Average Number of Institutions Per Million of Population | Average Popu lation Served by An Insfitution | Percentage Distribution of Total Institutions at Second Level by Type |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | General | Vocational |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Brazil | 7,287 | 0.8 | 1,168 | 100 | 10,030 | 55.1 | 44.9 |
| France | 9,605 | 17.6 | 57 | 208 | 4,806 | 85.2 | 14.8 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 8,834 | 35.6 | 28 | 164 | 6,116 | 30.3 | 69.7 |
| Ghana | 1,815 | 7.6 | 131 | 261 | 3,835 | 96.6 | 3.4 |
| India | 78,936 | 24.1 | 42 | 178 | 5,608 | 95.1 | 4.9 |
| Japan | 21,566 | 58.3 | 17 | 229 | 4,361 | 77.8 | 22.2 |
| Mexico | 1,167(a) | 1.0 | 986 | 57 | 17,494 | 58.4 | 41.6 |
| Nigeria | 1,273 | 1.4 | 726 | 36 | 28,085 | 77.2 | 22.8 |
| Pakistan | 6,931 | 7.3 | 137 | 73 | 13,641 | 96.0 | 4.0 |
| Turkey | 1,216(b) | 1.6 | 642 | 43 | 23,521 | 68.5 | 31.5 |
| UAR | 1,453 | 1.5 | 688 | 55 | 18,277 | 80.1 | 19.9 |
| UK | 8,489 | 35 | 29 | 160 | 6,235 | 88.2 | 11.8 |
| USA | 29,504 | 3.2 | 317 | 161 | 6,228 | ... | ... |
| USSR | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) |
| Yugoslavia | 1,655 | 6.5 | 155 | 89 | 11,243 | 16.6 | 83.4 |

(a) For 1960 .
(b) Public only.
(c) Included under first level of education.

TABLE XLII : ENROLMENT AT THE SECOND LEVEL OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | Average Annual Rate of Increase during 1950 to 1960 | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Rate of } \\ \text { Increase } \\ 1960 \text { to } 1961 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Enrolment as Percentage of Total Population (1961) |  | Distribution of Enrolment <br> by Types (1961) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Types | General Education | General Education | Vocational Education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 510,013 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753,566 \\ (148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,177,427 \\ (231) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,422,368 \\ (279) \end{array}$ | 8.7 | 20.8 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 75.6 | 24.4 |
| France | $\begin{array}{r} 1,074,508 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,334,952 \\ (124) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,266,844 \\ (211) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,353,570 \\ (219) \end{gathered}$ | 7.8 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 79.9 | 20.1 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 2,661,118 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,650,978 \\ (137) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,105,041 \\ (117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,100,162 \\ (117) \end{gathered}$ | 1.6 | $\dagger$ | 5.7 | 2.3 | 40.3 | 59.7 |
| Ghana | $\cdots$ | 130,325 | 186,232 | 203,626 | $\ldots$ | 9.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 95.7 | 4.3 |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 4,530,763 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,451,043 \\ (142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,002,180 \\ (221) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,143,070 \\ (246) \end{gathered}$ | 8.2 | 11.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 96.1 | 3.9 |
| Japan | $\begin{array}{r} 7,243,550 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,455,307 \\ (117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,193,460 \\ (127) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,043,589 \\ (139) \end{gathered}$ | 2.7 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 87.0 | 13.0 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 156,397 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 232,706 \\ (235) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 401,997 \\ (257) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 417,623 \\ (267) \end{array}$ | 9.9 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 53.9 | 46.1 |
| Nigeria | $\begin{array}{r} 28,962 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67,963 \\ (235) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166,317 \\ (574) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200,580 \\ (693) \end{array}$ | 19.1 | 20.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 83.2 | 16.8 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 1,178,245 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,291,035 \\ (110) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,514,167 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,607,013 \\ (136) \end{array}$ | 4.0 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 98.3 | 1.7 |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 142,622 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 253,203 \\ (178) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 481,628 \\ (338) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 507,874 \\ (356) \end{array}$ | 13.0 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 78.4 | 21.6 |
| UAR | $\begin{array}{r} 155,430 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 494,568 \\ (318) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 546,241 \\ (351) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 596,741 \\ (384) \end{array}$ | 13.4 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 75.1 | 24.9 |
| UK | $\begin{array}{r} 2,269,483 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $2,714,756$ <br> (120) | $\begin{array}{r} 4,978,936 \\ (219) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,133,121 \\ (226) \end{array}$ | 8.2 | 3.1 | 9.7 | 6.9 | 70.7 | 29.3 |

TABLE XLII : ENROLMENT AT THE SECOND LEVEL OF FDCCATION (Continucd)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 3,138,927 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,447,456 \\ (237) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,513,768 \\ (176) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,769,700 \\ (216) \end{array}$ | 5.8 | 22.8 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 65.0 | 35.0 |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{array}{r} 162,539 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266,678 \\ (164) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 362,540 \\ (223) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 403,322 \\ (248) \end{array}$ | 8.3 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 23.5 | 76.5 |

Note: Figures given in brackets indicate the indices of growth, keering 1950 $=1 \mathrm{C} 0$
$\dagger$ Decrease.

## TABLE XLIII

INSTITUTIONS FOR GENERAL EDUCATION AT THE SECOND LEVEL OF EDUCATION, 1961

| Country | Nu nber of Institutions | Average Number of Institutions: Per 1,000 Sq. Kms of Area | Average Area (Sq. Kms) Served by An Institution | Average Number of Institutions Per One Million of Popsllation | Average Population Served by $A n$ Institution | Percentage of Public Institutions to Total Institutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Brazil | 4,015 | 0.5 | 2,120 | 51 | 18,204 | 33.6 |
| France | 8,187 | 15 | 67 | 169 | 5,639 | 57.8 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 2,681 | 11 | 92 | 49 | 20,153 | 42.7 |
| Ghana | 1,753 | 7 | 137 | 205 | 3,970 | $\cdots$ |
| India | 75,087 | 23 | 44 | 170 | 5,896 | 62.7 |
| Japan | 16,786 | 45 | 22 | 176 | 5,603 | 91.0 |
| Mexico (a) | 1,167 | 0.6 | 1,690 | 33 | 29,981 | $\ldots$ |
| Nigeria | 983(b) | 1 | 940 | 25 | 36,370 | 20.1 |
| Pakistan | 6,656 | 7 | 142 | 65 | 14,205 | 39.6(a) |
| Turkey | 833 | 1 | 937 | 29 | 34,336 | 86.2 |
| UAR | 1,164 | 1 | 859 | 43 | 22,815 | 57.3 |
| UK | 7,484 | 31 | 33 | 142 | 7,072 | 88.6 |
| USA | 29,504 | 3 | 317 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| USSR | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) | (c) |
| Yugoslavia | 275 | 1 | 930 | 15 | 81,253 | 100.0 |

(a) Pertains to 1960 .
(b) Includes commercial schools also.
(c) Included under the first level of education.

## TABLE XLIV

## ENROLMENT IN GENERAL EDUCATION COURSES OF SECOND LEVEL OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 A | Average Annual Rate of Growth in Enrolment during 1950 to 1960 | Percentage Increase in Enrolment from 1961 to 1962 | Percentage of Female Students (1962) | Percentage of Enrolment in Public Schools (1961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 374,321 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 551,729 \\ (147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 868,178 \\ (232) \end{gathered}$ | 1,196,944 <br> (320) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 11.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ 37.1 \end{array}$ |
| France | $\begin{array}{r} 817,908 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,027,000 \\ (126) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,700,587 \\ (208) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,122,269 \\ (259) \end{gathered}$ | 7.6 | 12.8 | 53 | 75.6 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 828,704 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,169,341 \\ (141) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,239,226 \\ (150) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,324,060(a) \\ (160) \end{gathered}$ | 4.1 | 6.0 | 44 | 88.1 |
| Ghana | -. | 123,906 | 177,289 | 227,000(b) | . | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 4,340,195 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,170,923 \\ (142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,577,133 \\ (221) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,703,774(\mathrm{c}) \\ (247) \end{gathered}$ | 8.2 | 11.2(k) | 23.3(c) | 58.7 |
| Japan | $\begin{array}{r} 6,578,641 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,421,785 \\ (113) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,780,857 \\ (118) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,238,986 \\ (140) \end{gathered}$ | 1.7 | 6.9 | 50 | 91.4 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 87,509 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113,174 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 219,688 \\ (251) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 9.7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Nigeria | $\begin{gathered} 21,437 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39,495(\mathrm{~d}) \\ & (184) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135,364 \\ (631) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195,499 \\ (912) \end{array}$ | 20.2 | 17.2 | 26 | 22.1 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 1,164,100 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,272,115 \\ (109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,493,508 \\ (128) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,790,422 \\ (154) \end{array}$ | 2.5 | 13.3 | 19 | 47.7(m) |
| Turkey | $\begin{gathered} 89,614 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165,195 \\ (184) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 373,285 \\ (417) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 427,907 \\ (478) \end{array}$ | 15.4 | 7.4 | 23 | 93.4 |
| UAR | $\begin{gathered} 120,176(\mathrm{e}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 436,082 \\ (363) \end{array}$ | 408,041 <br> (340) | $\begin{array}{r} 482,908 \\ (401) \end{array}$ | 13.0 | 7.6 | 29 | 63.1 |
| UK | $\begin{array}{r} 2,237,881 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,676,249 \\ (120) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,636,620 \\ (163) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,629,775(c) \\ (162) \end{gathered}$ | 5.2 | (1) | 49(c) | 85.3 |
| USA (f) | $\begin{gathered} 6,470,000(\mathrm{~g}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,753,500(\mathrm{~g}) \\ (120) \end{gathered}$ | ... | $\begin{aligned} & 14,288,733(\mathrm{c})(\mathrm{h}) \\ & (221) \end{aligned}$ | h) 7.5 | $\cdots$ | 51(c) | 89.6 |
| USSR (i) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,495,981 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,253,070 \\ (351) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,300,000 \\ (221) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (180) \end{array}$ | 8.2 | (1) | $\cdots$ | 100 |
| Yugoslavia (g) | $\begin{gathered} 68,470(\mathrm{j}) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,311 \\ (129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79,676 \\ (116) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,171 \\ (170) \end{array}$ | 1.5 | 22.7 | 50 | 100 |

Note: Figures given in brackets indicate indices of growth of enrolment, keeping $1950=100$.
(a) Includes West Berlin also.
(b) Public only.
(h) For grades VIII to XII
(c) Pertains to 1961 .
(i) Includes teacher training.
(d) Pertains to 1956.
(j) Pertains to 1951.
(e) Reorganisation in 1952 affected comparability of data before and after 1952.
(k) From 1960 to 1961.
(f) Includes enrolment in vocational and teacher training schools.
(1) Decrease.
(g) For grades IX to XII.
(m) For 1960.

TABLE XLV
TEACHERS IN GENERAL EDUCATION AT THE SECOND LEVEL OF EDUCATION

| Country | Number of Teachers |  |  |  | Percentage of Female Teachers |  | Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 | 1950 | 1961 | 1950 | 1962 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% | \% |  |  |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 28,610 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,883 \\ (143) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,883 \\ (204) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63,974(\mathrm{a}) \\ & (224) \end{aligned}$ | 39 | 46 | 13 | 17(a) |
| France | $\ldots$ | 39,499 | 86,060 | 86,873 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 26(e) | 24 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 33,910 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,562 \\ (137) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66,052 \\ (195) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71,436 \\ (211) \end{array}$ | 33 | 34 | 24 | $\cdots$ |
| Ghana | $\ldots$ | 3,605 | 5,763 | $\ldots$ | 16 | ... | ... | ... |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 212,000 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338,188 \\ (160) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 641,533 \\ (303) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 712,580(a) \\ (336) \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 23 | 25 | 29(a) |
| Japan | $\begin{gathered} 264,940(b) \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 339,379 \\ (128) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 370,629 \\ (140) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 420,579 \\ (159) \end{gathered}$ | 22 | 21 | 25 | 22 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 12,193 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,109 \\ (91) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,214 \\ (182) \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 27 | ... | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| Nigeria | $\begin{gathered} 1,871 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,958 \\ & (105) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,889 \\ (368) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,041 \\ (537) \end{array}$ | 15 | 18 | 11 | 19 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 43,823 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,312 \\ (115) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63,276 \\ (144) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,723 \\ (161) \end{array}$ | 7 | 13 | 27 | 25 |
| Turkey | $\begin{aligned} & 6,475 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,703 \\ & (134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,299 \\ (252) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,170(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{c}) \\ & (250) \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 31 | 14 | 52(a) |
| UAR | $\begin{gathered} 5,240 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,256 \\ (463) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,230(\mathrm{~d}) \\ (443) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,716 \\ (510) \end{array}$ | 8 | 19 | 23 | 18 |
| UK | 111,846 <br> (100) | $\begin{array}{r} 139,031 \\ (124) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 180,788 \\ (162) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186,396(a) \\ (167) \end{gathered}$ | 46 | 46 | 20 | 19(a) |
| USA | $\begin{array}{r} 384,701 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 465,830 \\ (121) \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 648,721(a) \\ (169) \end{gathered}$ | 55 | 48 | 17 | 22(a) |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 80,387 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267,000 \\ (332) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202,000 \\ (251) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186,000 \\ (231) \end{array}$ | 67 | 68 | 19 | 15 |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{gathered} 5,783 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,117 \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,139 \\ (89) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,249 \\ (108) \end{gathered}$ | 51 | 48 | 12 | 19 |

Note: Figures in brackets give indices of growth, keeping $1950=100$.
(a) Pertains to 1961.
(b) Full-time teaching staff only.
(c) In public institutions only.
(d) Excluding teachers in former foreign schools.
(e) Pertains to 1955.

## TABLE XLVI

NUMBER OF SCHOOLS FOR TEACHER TRAINING, 1950 TO 1961

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 751 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 964 \\ (128) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,234 \\ & (164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,319 \\ & (176) \end{aligned}$ |
| France | -. . | . . | 165 | 165 |
| Germany (F.R.) | ... | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ |
| Ghana | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \uparrow \\ (152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \dagger \\ (158) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \dagger \\ (168) \end{gathered}$ |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 930 \\ (119) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,138 \\ & (146) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,134 \\ & (145) \end{aligned}$ |
| Japan | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | -. | $\cdots$ |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \\ (165) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 164 \\ (241) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ (243) \end{array}$ |
| Nigeria | -• | 284 | 274 | 260 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 125 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \\ (70) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ (72) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \\ (113) \end{array}$ |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ (132) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ (171) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \\ (197) \end{array}$ |
| UAR | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ (128) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ (109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ (107) \end{array}$ |
| UK | ... | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | -• |
| USA | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| USSR | $\cdots$ | -• | $\cdots$ | * $\cdot$ |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \\ (104) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (112) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108 \\ (133) \end{array}$ |

Note: Figures in brackets give indices of growth, keeping $1950=100$
$\dagger$ Includes higher teacher training also.

TABLE XLVII
ENROLMENT IN SCHOOLS FOR TEACHER TRAINING

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 | Average Anmual Rate of Growth |  | Percentage of Female Students (1960) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } 1950 \\ & \text { to } 1960 \end{aligned}$ | From 1961 to 1962 |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Brazil | $\begin{gathered} 37,702 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59,118 \\ (157) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90,727 \\ (241) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113,834 \\ (302) \end{gathered}$ | 9.2 | 13.4 | 91 |
| France | $\begin{array}{r} 14,600 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,300 \\ (112) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,934 \\ (198) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,388 \\ (215) \end{gathered}$ | 7.1 | 5.2 | 55 |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Ghana | $\begin{aligned} & 1,777 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,498 \\ & (197) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,427 \\ & (248) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,500(\mathrm{~d}) \\ & (866) \end{aligned}$ | 9.6 | 12.0 | 30 |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 70,063 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,914 \\ (130) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122,682 \\ (175) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 139,920(a) \\ (200) \end{gathered}$ | 5.7 | 14.1(e) | 26 |
| Japan |  | . | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ... | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 12,489 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,877 \\ (191) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.087 \\ (401) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52,624(a) \\ (421) \end{gathered}$ | 14.9 | 5.1(e) | $58(\mathrm{~g})$ |
| Nigeria | $6,318$ (100) | $\cdots$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,212 \\ (415) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,756 \\ & (487) \end{aligned}$ | 15.3 | 10.0 | 23 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{aligned} & 6,145 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,389 \\ & (137) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,403 \\ & (137) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,599 \\ (221) \end{gathered}$ | 3.2 | 12.7 | 14 |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 16,306 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,511(\mathrm{c}) \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,315 \\ (143) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,951 \\ (196) \end{array}$ | 3.6 | 18.9 | 27 |
| UAR | $\begin{aligned} & 9,883 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,725 \\ (281) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,922 \\ (202) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,760 \\ (271) \end{array}$ | 8.3 | 12.1 | 53 |
| UK | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| USA | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| USSR |  | . | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{array}{r} 28,002 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,499 \\ (73) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,950 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,912 \\ (114) \end{gathered}$ | (f) | 5.2 | 65 |

Note: Figures in brackets give indices of growth, keeping $1950=100$.
(a) Pertains to 1961.
(c) Pertains to 1956.
(d) Includes higher teacher training also.
(e) 1960 to 1961 .
(f) Decrease.
(g) For 1955

TABLE XLVIII
INSTITUTIONS AT THE THIRD LEVEL OF EDUCATION, 1961

| Country | Number of Institutions | Percentage of Public Institutions | Average Number of Institutions Per 10,000 Sq. Kms. of Area | Average Area (Sq. Kms.) Served by an Institution | Average Number of Institutions Per One Million of Population | Average Populdation Served by an Institution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Brazil | 1,345(a) | 45.2 | 2 | 6,473 | 18 | 55,580 |
| France | 19(b) | ... | - | $\ldots$ | -•• | $\cdots$ |
| Germany (F.R.) | 231 | 91.3 | 9 | 1,076 | 4 | 233,891 |
| Ghana | 2(c) | 100.0 | -•• | - $\cdot$ | ... | $\cdots$ |
| India | 2,425 | 32.2 | 7 | 1,351 | 5 | 185,319 |
| Japan | 568 | 34.3 | 15 | 651 | 6 | 165,581 |
| Mexico | 231 | ... | . $\cdot$ | -•• | ... | $\cdots$ |
| Nigeria | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 307,924 | 0.1 | 11,917,333 |
| Pakistan | 207 | 49.8 | 2 | 4,575 | 2 | 456,749 |
| Turkey | 64(d) | -.. | 1 | 12,197 | 2 | 446,906 |
| UAR | 47 | 89.4 | 0.5 | 21,277 | 2 | 565,043 |
| UK | 203 | $\cdots$ | 8 | 1,202 | 4 | 260,714 |
| USA | 2,037 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 4,596 | 11 | 90,209 |
| USSR | 731 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 30,646 | 3 | 298,222 |
| Yugoslavia | 260(a) | 100.0 | 10 | 1,048 | 13 | 76,258 |

(a) Pertains to 1962.
(b) Public universities only.
(c) Excluding higher teacher training.
(d) Public only.

TABLE XLIX

## ENROLMENT AT THE THIRD LEVEL OF EDUCATION

| Country | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 | Average Annual Rate of Growth during |  | Percentage of Female Students (1962) | Average <br> Number of Students per 1,000 of Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1960} 1950 \text { to }$ | $\begin{gathered} 1961 \text { to } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 51,100 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72,652 \\ (142) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95,691 \\ (187) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107,299 \\ (201) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 5.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 |
| France (b) | $\begin{array}{r} 134,408 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152,300 \\ (113) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 214,672 \\ (160) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 282,340 \\ (210) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | 15.3 | 40(a) | 19.6(a) |
| Germany (F.R.) | $\begin{array}{r} 150,545 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175,353 \\ (116) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 265,365 \\ (176) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 344,102(c) \\ (229) \end{gathered}$ | 5.8 | 19.3 | 23 | 5.3 |
| Ghana | $\begin{array}{r} 210 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 757 \\ (360) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,731 \\ (1300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,063(\mathrm{~d}) \\ & (982) \end{aligned}$ | 29.2 | -.. | 7 | 0.2 |
| India | $\begin{array}{r} 423,000 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 736,000 \\ (174) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,095,000 \\ (258) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,184,000(a) \\ (280) \end{gathered}$ | 10.5 | 8.1(f) | 18(a) | 2.7(a) |
| Japan | $\begin{array}{r} 390,817 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 548,871 <br> (140) | $\begin{array}{r} 699,444 \\ (179) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 827,376 \\ (212) \end{array}$ | 6.0 | 9.3 | 22 | 8.0 |
| Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 35,240 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,249 \\ (160) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,982 \\ (218) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,138 \\ (287) \end{array}$ | 8.1 | 7.5 | -•• | 2.6 |
| Nigeria | $\begin{array}{r} 327 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 931 \\ (285) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,545 \\ (778) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,020 \\ (1229) \end{array}$ | 22.8 | 28.5 | 10 | 0.1 |
| Pakistan | $\begin{array}{r} 68,898 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87,508 \\ (127) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149,116 \\ (216) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141,059(\mathrm{a}) \\ (205) \end{gathered}$ | 8.0 | (g) | 12(a) | 1.5(a) |
| Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 24,815 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37,192 \\ (150) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 65,297(e) } \\ (263) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76,680(\mathrm{e}) \\ (309) \end{gathered}$ | 10.2 | 8.6 | 21 | 2.5 |
| UAR | $\begin{array}{r} 33,595 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66,609 \\ (198) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106,780 \\ (318) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143,251 \\ (426) \end{array}$ | 12.3 | 25.0 | 17 | 4.3 |
| UK | $\begin{array}{r} 133,756 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132,917 \\ (99) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168,759 \\ (126) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189,260(a) \\ (141) \end{gathered}$ | 2.3 | 12.1(f) | 34 | 4.1 |
| USA | $\begin{array}{r} 2,296,592 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,664,375 \\ (116) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,582,726 \\ (156) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,174,936 \\ (182) \end{array}$ | 4.5 | 12.0 | 38 | 20.3 |
| USSR | $\begin{array}{r} 1,247,382 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,866,994 \\ (150) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,395,545 \\ (192) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,943,700 \\ (236) \end{array}$ | 6.7 | 11.5 | 42 | 12.1 |
| Yugoslavia | $\begin{array}{r} 60,395 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,028 \\ (116) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141,058 \\ (234) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160,092 \\ (265) \end{array}$ | 8.8 | 1.1 | 30 | 8.5 |

Note: Figures in brackets give indices of growth, keeping $1950=100$.
(a) Pertains to 1961.
(b) For public universities only.
(c) Includes West Berlin also.
(d) Excluding higher teacher training.
(e) For public institutions only.
(f) For 1960 to 1961.
(g) Decrease.

TABLE L : PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ENROLMENT AT THE THIRD LEVEL OF EDUCATION BY FIELD OF STUDY, 1961

| Country | Humanities* | Education | Law | Natural <br> Sciences | Engineering | Medical <br> Sciences | Agriculture | $\underset{\text { Specified }}{\text { Not }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Brazil | 27 | 6 | 24 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 100 |
| France(a) | 32(b) | $\ldots$ | 18(b) | 31 | $\cdots$ | 19 | $\cdots$ | 0.0 | 100 |
| Germany (F.R.) | 40 | $\cdots$ | 6 | 11 | 27 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Ghana(d) | 35 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 29 | 100 |
| India | 57 | 4 | 2 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Japan | 41 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| Mexico | 25 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 20 | 19 | 3 | 9 | 100 |
| Nigeria | 42 | 11 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 100 |
| Pakistan | 62 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Turkey | 40 | 6 | 21 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
| UAR | 38 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 100 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 54 | (c) | (c) | 17 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 100 |
| USA | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | - |
| USSR (d) | 6 | 32 | (c) | $\cdots$ | 38(e) | 7(f) | 10 | 7 | 100 |
| Yugoslavia | 37 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 21 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 100 |

*Includes social sciences.
(a) Public universities only. Education, fine arts, engineering and agriculture are also provided in grand ecoles and higher professional schools for which information is not available.
(b) Social sciences are included in law instead of humanities.
(c) Included in humanities.
(d) For 1962.
(e) Includes industry and construction.
(f) Includes public health, physical culture and sports.

TABLE LI : DISTRIBUTION OF GRADUATES* BY FIELD OF STUDY, 1960

| Country | Number of Graduates | Percentage Distribution of Graduates by Fields of Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Humanities $\dagger$ | Education | Law | Natural Sciences | Engineering | Medical Sciences | Agriculture | Not Sprecified |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Brazil | 17,577 | 27 | 11 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 22 | 3 | 5 |
| France | 25,010 | 16(a) | 14 | 9(a) | 25 | 19 | 15 | 2 | - |
| Germany (F.R.) | 41,721 | 12 | 24 | 10 | 6 | 34 | 12 | 2 | - |
| Ghana | 997 | 12 | 82(b) | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| India | 193,484 | 62 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Japan | 153,763 | 53 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Mexico | 16,756 | 54(c) | 19 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 0.0 | 9 |
| Nigeria(d) | ... | -•• | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pakistan | 18,558 | 61 | 8 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 2 | - |
| Turkey | 6,413 | 37 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 5 |
| UAR | 12,812 | 49 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 60,940 | 43(a) | (e) | 8(a) | 14 | 28 | 6 | 1 | - |
| USA | 490,628 | 40 | 22 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 8 |
| USSR | 325,500 | 8 | 37 | (e) | $\cdots$ | 30(f) | ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{g})$ | 10 | 6(h) |
| Yugoslavia | 14,979 | 32 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 14 | 8 | 4 |

* Including diploma-holders at the third level of education.
$\dagger$ Includes social sciences.
(a) Social sciences are included in law instead of humanities.
(b) Includes education at the second level of education also.
(c) Includes data about commercial schools at second level of education.
(d) For 1961.
(e) Included under humanities.
(f) Industry and construction.
(g) Public health, physical education and sports.
(h) Transport and communications, and arts and cinematography.
table lil : NUMBER OF GRaduates* per million population, 1960

| Country | Total | H manities $\dagger$ | Education | Law | Natural <br> Sciences | Engineering | Medicine | Agriculture | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | 9) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Brazil | 240 | 65 | 26 | 44 | 10 | 22 | 54 | 7 | 12 |
| France | 542 | 85 | 75 | 46 | 135 | 106 | 82 | 13 | - |
| Germany (F.R.) | 772 | 93 | 187 | 74 | 45 | 258 | 96 | 19 | - |
| Ghana | 143 | 16 | 118(a) | - | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| India | 440 | 232 | 42 | 17 | 74 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 36 |
| Japan | 1,635 | 852 | 197 | 152 | 41 | 207 | 80 | 73 | 33 |
| Mexico | 464 | 251(b) | 89 | 16 | 7 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 37 | 1 | 40 |
| Nigeria | 12 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Pakistan | 196 | 121 | 15 | 9 | 33 | 5 | 8 | 5 | - |
| Turkey | 224 | 83 | 4 | 28 | 3 | 40 | 38 | 18 | 1 |
| UAR | 482 | 240 | 53 | 42 | 18 | 41 | 40 | 36 | 12 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 1,151 | 498(c) | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 161 | 319 | 68 | 12 | - |
| USA | 2,620 | 1,079 | 584 | 5 | 331 | 245 | 145 | 39 | 192 |
| USSR | -•• | -•• | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Yugoslavia | 805 | 262 | 133 | 70 | 49 | 85 | 111 | 62 | 33 |

* Including diploma-holders at the third level of education.
$\dagger$ Includes social sciences except France where social sciences have been included under law.
(a) Includes teacher training at second level also.
(b) Includes data for commercial courses at second level also.
(c) Includes education, fine arts, social sciences and law also,


## TABLE LIII

DURATION OF SCHOOL YEAR IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| Country | Number of Working Days | Number of Working Weeks | No. of School Days per Week | The Days which are Holidays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil | 180 | 30 | 5-6 | Sundays or Saturdays \& Sundays |
| France | 184 | 37 | 5 | Thursdays \& Sundays |
| Germany | 233 | 39 | 6 | Sundays. There are 5 additional holidays in a school year |
| India | 200 | 40 | 6 | Sundays, religious and national holidays |
| Japan | 210 or more | 35 or more | 6 | Sundays |
| Turkey | 170 in villages; 200 in cities | - | 51 | Saturday afternoons and Sundays |
| UAR (Egypt) | 175 at least | 29 | 6 | Thursday is a half day and Fridays |
| UK (England \& Wales) | @ 200 minimum | 40 miniumum | 5 | Generally Saturdays \& Sundays. Some schools close on one afternoon in the week and open on Saturday morning |
| USA | 180 (average) | - | 5 | Saturdays and Sundays |
| USSR (a) RSFSR | Grades 1-4 $=210$ | Grades 1-4 $=35$ |  |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Grades 5-7 } \\ \text { in 8-year } \\ \text { schools } \end{array}\right\}=228$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Grades 5-7) } \\ \text { in 8-year } \\ \text { schools } \end{array}\right\}=38$ | 6 | Sundays |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Grade } 8 \text { in } \\ 8 \text {-year } \\ \text { schools } \end{array}\right\}=234$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Grade } 8 \text { in } \\ 8 \text {-year } \\ \text { schools } \end{array}\right\}=39$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Grades 5-7 } \\ \text { in 7-year } \\ \text { schools } \end{array}\right\}=210 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Grades 5-7 } \\ \text { in 7-year } \\ \text { schools } \end{array}\right\}=35$ |  |  |
| (b) Byelo-Russia | Grades 1-4 $=210$ <br> Grades 5-7 $=228$ <br> Grade 8 $=234$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Grades 1-4 } & =35 \\ \text { Grades 5-7 } & =38 \\ \text { Grade 8 } & =39 \end{array}$ | 6 | Sundays |
| (c) Ukraine | $\begin{aligned} \text { Grades 1-3 } & =207 \\ \text { Grades 4-7 } & =210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Grades 1-3 } & =34 \frac{1}{2} \\ \text { Grades } 4-7 & =35 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | Sundays |
| Yugoslavia | 210 | 41 | 6 | Sundays |

@ There are two 'sessions' per day-one in the morning and another in the afternoon.

TABLE LIV
DURATION OF TEACHING IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| Country | Number of Hours of Teaching Per Day (or Per Week or Year) for Each Grade | Duration of a Lesson in Minutes | Number and Duration (in Minutes) of Daily Breaks between Lessons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Brazil | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ hours per day | Usually 20 min . Seldom over 30 min . | 5 min . break between lessons and 30 min. break every 2 hours |
| France | 30 hours per week | 1 hour approx. | Two breaks of 15 min . daily |
| Germany (F.R.) | Grade $1: 18$ hours per week <br> Grade II : 22 hours per week <br> Grade III : 26 hours per week <br> Grade IV: 28 hours per week | 45 minutes | Grade I :Two breaks of 10 min . each. <br> Grade II-IV :Two breaks of 10 min . and one of 20 min . <br> Grade V-VIII: One break of 5 min . one of 20 min . and two of 15 min . each |
| India | Grades I.IV: 4 hours per day <br> Grades V-VIII:5 hours per day | Grades 1-IV: 55 min . generally Grades V-VII: 45 min . | 15-30 minutes <br> 1 hour for lunch |
| Japan | Per week minimum <br> Grade 1 : 24 hours <br> Grade II : 25 hours <br> Grade III : 27 hours <br> Grade IV : 29 hours <br> Grade V-VI: 31 hours <br> (1 teaching hour: 45 minutes) | 45 minutes | In Tokyo <br> Grades I-II : 3 breaks of 10-15 min. each <br> Grades III-IV: 3 or 4 breaks of 10-15 min. each <br> Grades V-VI : 4 breaks of $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$. each |
|  |  |  | Luncheon interval : 1 hour |
| Turkey | 5 hours per day and 3 hours on Saturdays | 40 minutes | 3 breaks of 20 min . each. 90 min . interval for lunch |
| UAR (Egypt) | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ hours per day approximately | 40 minutes | 5 min . after each lesson. 1 break of 10 min. Luncheon interval: 1 hour |
| UK (England \& Wales) | For children under 8 years: 3 hrs. of secular instruction per day minimum. For children of 8 years and over: 4 hours of secular instruction minimum. | Varies from 25 min . for the lower classes to 45 min . in senior classes. | Two breaks, mid-morning and midafternoon, of $15-20 \mathrm{~min}$. <br> Luncheon interval: $1-1 \frac{1}{2}$ hours |
| USA | Grades I-II: $4 \frac{1}{2}$ hours per day <br> Grades III-VI: $5 \frac{1}{2}$ to 6 hrs . per day | With programmes increasingly flexible, it is impossible to give estimates. | Grades I-II : 15 min . break in the morning; 1 to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hours for lunch <br> Grades III-VI: $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$. break in the morning; 1-14 hrs. for lunch |

## TABLE LIV

DURATION OF TEACHING IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS (Continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USSR |  |  |  |
| (a) RSFSR | Grades I-II : 24 hours per week |  | Grades I-II:3 breaks |
|  | Grade III : 26 hours per week | 45 minutes | Grade III: 3 breaks on 4 days in a |
|  | Grade IV : 29 hours per week |  | week and 4 breaks on the |
|  | Grade V : 33 hours per week |  | other 2 days. |
|  | Grades VI-VIII:34 hours per week |  | Grade V: 4-5 breaks. |
|  | Grades VI-VII (in 7-year schools): 32 hours per week |  | Grade VI-VIII: 4-5 breaks. <br> (One break of 20 min . and others of 10 min . for all grades.) |
| (b) Byelo-Russia | Grades I-II : 24 hours per week | 45 minutes | Grades I-II: Two breaks of 10 min . and |
|  | Grade III : 26 hours per week |  | one break of 30 min . |
|  | Grade IV : 29 hours per week |  | Grades III-IV: $2-3$ breaks of 10 min . |
|  | Grade V : 35 hours per week |  | and one break of 30 min . |
|  | Grades VI-VIII:36 hours per week |  | Grades V-VII: $3-4$ breaks of 10 min . and one break of 30 min . |
| (c) Ukraine | Grades 1-II : 24 hours per week | 45 minutes | Breaks of 10 minutes after each les- |
|  | Grade III : 25 hours per week |  | son, one break of 30 min . per day |
|  | Grade IV : 27 hours per week |  |  |
|  | Grade V : 32 hours per week |  |  |
|  | Grade VI : 33 hours per week |  |  |
|  | Grade VII : 34 hours per week |  |  |
| Yugoslavia | Grades I-II : 18 hours per week Grades III-IV: 22 hours per week | 45 minutes | Two breaks of 15 min . each |

TABLE LV
TERMS AND VACATIONS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| Country | Beginning and End of Terms | Vacations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Brazil | Varics from State to State. <br> In general, <br> 1st Term : $10-16$ Feb. or 1 March to <br> 15-20 June or 10 July <br> 2nd Term : 11-21 July or 1 Aug. to 30 November | No fixed rules. In general, 15-20 days' holiday between the 1 st and 2 nd terms. Annual holiday period: 11,16 or 21 Dec. to 31 Jan. or 10,15 or 28 Feb. |
| France | ```1st Term : 15 Sept. to 23 Dec. 2nd Term : 3 Jan. to end March 3rd Term : Beginning April to 30 June 4th Term : 1 July to 15 Scpt.``` | 1st July to 15 Sept. <br> 23rd Dcc. to 2 January <br> Two weeks at the end of March or beginning of April |
| Germany (F,R.) | 1st Term : 1 April to 30 Sept. <br> 2nd Term : 1 Oct. to 31 March <br> In Bavaria: <br> 1st Term : 1 Oct. to 31 March <br> 2nd Term : 1 April to 30 Sept. | 85 days as detailed below: <br> Easter: 16 days <br> Whitsuntide: 7 days <br> Summer beginning between <br> 1-23 July: 35 days <br> Autumn holidays: 12 days <br> Christmas: 15 days |
| Japan | In Tokyo: <br> 1st Term : 1 April to 31 August <br> 2nd Term : 1st Sept, to 31 Dec. <br> 3rd Term : 1st Jan. to 31 March | In Tokyo: <br> Summer: 21 July to 31 Aug. <br> Winter: 26 Dec . to 7 Jan . <br> Spring; 26 March to 5 April |
| Turkey | The beginning and end of the school year are set by the Provincial Council of Education. | End May to End Sept. <br> 1-16 February <br> National and religious holidays |
| UAR (Egypt) | 1st Term : 5 Sept. to 21 Jan. (1960) <br> 2nd Term : 7 Feb. to Mid-June (1960) | Mid-June to beginning of Sept. (1960) 23 Jan. to 5 Feb. (1960) |
| UK (England and Wales) | 3 terms (Christmas, Easter and Summer). Dates vary from area to area and even from school to school. | 12 weeks in most cases. Christmas and Easter holidays: $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ weeks. Summer holidays: Last week in July to the beginning of September. |
| USA | The school year usually consists of 2 semesters. School usually begins on Tuesday after Labour Day (the first Monday in Sept.) and closes in early June. In some establishments, however, the year is divided into quarters. | Summer: From close of June (or earlier or later) until Labour Day (or before or after) <br> Christmas: Friday before Christmas until the first school day after 1 Jan. <br> Easter: Good Friday to Easter Tuesday |
| USSR |  |  |
| (a) RSFSR | Grades I to VIII |  |
| and | 1st Term : 1 Sept. to 4 Nov. | Autumn : 5 to 9 Nov. |
| (b) Byelo-Russia | 2nd Term : 10 Nov. to 29 Dec . <br> 3rd Term : 11 Jan. to 23 March | Winter : 30 Dec. to 10 Jan. <br> Spring : 24-31 March <br> Summer: |
|  | Grades I-IV : 4th Term: 1 April to 31 May Grade V-VII: 4th Term : 1 April to 19 June Grade VIII : 4th Term: 1 April to 25 June | Grades I-IV : 1 June to 31 Aug. <br> Grades V-VII : 20 June to 31 Aug. <br> Grade VIII : 26 June to 31 Aug. |

## TABLE LV

TERMS AND VACATIONS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS (Continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (c) Ukraine | 1st Term : 1 Sept to 5 Nov. <br> 2nd Term : 10 Nov. to 30 Dec. <br> 3rd Term: 11 Jan. to 24 Mry <br> 4th Term : Grades I-III : I April to 24 May <br>  Grades IV-VII: I April to 28 May | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Autumn : } 5 \text { Nov. to } 10 \text { Nov. } \\ & \text { Winter : } 30 \text { Dec. to } 11 \text { Jan. } \\ & \text { Spring : } 24 \text { March to } 1 \text { April. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Summer : <br> Grades I-IV: 25 May to 1 Sept. <br> Grade V : 5 June to 1 Sept. <br> Grade VI : 6 June to 1 Sept. <br> Grade VII : 9 June to 1 Sept. |
| Yugoslavia | 1st Term : I Sept. to 15 Jan. <br> 2nd Term : 6 Feb, to 10 June | 1 July to 31 August 15 Jan. to 6 February |

TABLE LVI
DURATION OF SCHOOL YEAR IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS (GENERAL)

| Country | Number of Working Days |  | Number of Working Weeks |  | ber of ol Days Week | The Days which are Holidays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) | (5) |
| Brazil |  | 160 | 27 |  | 6 | Sundays |
| France |  | 184 | 37 |  | 5 | Thursdays and Sundays except in school-leaving courses where Thursday is often devoted to the study of secondary and optional subjects. |
| Germany (F.R.) |  | 233 | - |  | 6 | Sundays. Five additional holidays in the course of the year. |
| India |  | 200-210 | 40-41 |  | 6 | Sundays and religious and national days. |
| Japan |  | 210 or more | 35 or m | ore | 6 | Sundays. |
| Turkey |  | 180 | - |  | 5t | Saturday afternoons and Sundays. |
| UAR (Egypt) | About | t 220 | 36 |  | 6 | Thursday balf day and Fridays. |
| UK (England and Wales) |  | *200 minimum | 40 min | num | 5 | Most schools close on Saturdays and Sundays, but some close on afternoon during the week and open on Saturday morning. |
| USA |  | 180 | 36 |  | 5 | Saturdays and Sundays |
| USSR <br> (a) RSFSR | Grades IX to XI in 11-yr. schools: 234 |  | 39 |  | 6 | Sundays |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade IX |  | 35 38 |  |  |  |
|  | in 10-yr. schools: <br> Grade X <br> in 10-yr. schools | $\text { s: } 228$ | 38 |  |  |  |
| (b) Byelo-Russia | 234 |  | 39 |  | 6 | Sundays |
| (c) Ukraine | Grades VIII-IX: Grades X: | 210 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 34 \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 6 |  | Sundays |
|  |  | 207 |  |  |  |  |
| Yugoslavia | 210 |  | 41 | 6 |  | Sundays |

[^11]
## TABLE LVII

DURATION OF TEACHING IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS (GENERAL)

| Country | Number of Hours of Teaching Per Day (or Per Week or Year) for Each Grade | Duration of $A$ Lesson in Minutes | Number and Duration (in Min.) of Daily Breaks between Lessons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Brazil | 4-5 hours per day | 50 min . in day schools 45 min , in night schools $35-45 \mathrm{~min}$. for physical education classes | 2 or 3 breaks of 10 min . and 30 min . break every 2 heurs |
| France | Per week |  |  |
|  |  | Varies according to level and importance of subjects. Approximately, 1 hour up to the 3rd class, thereafter lessons in basic subjects may last $1 \frac{1}{2}, 2$ or even 3 hours. | No long breaks, 5 min. intervals between classes |
| Germany (F.R.) | Grades Per Week |  |  |
|  | Grades V-VI $:$ 30 <br> hours   <br> Grades VII $:$ 32 <br> Grade VIII $:$ 33 <br> Grade IX $:$ 34 <br> Grade X $:$ 35 <br> Grade XI $:$ 32 <br> Grade XII $:$ 33 <br> Grade XIII $:$ 29 <br> G,   | 45 minutes | 1 break of 5 minutes 1 break of 20 minutes 3 breaks of $1^{5}$ minutes |
| India | Grades VIII-XI : 5 hours per day | 45 minutes | 15-30 minutes; 1 hour for lunch |
| Japan | Lower Secondary :32 hours or more <br> per weekUpper Secondary :34 hours average <br> per week1 teaching hour : 50 min. | 50 minutes | In general: <br> 4 breaks of 10 min . every day except Saturday <br> $2-3$ breaks of 10 min . on Saturdays; luncheon interval: 1 hour |
| Turkey | 6 hours per day; 4 hours on Saturdays | 45 minutes | 4 breaks of 15 min . each; 90 min . interval for lunch |
| UAR (Egypt) | 5 hours per day approx. | 50 min . (morning) <br> 45 min . (afternoon) | 5 min. after each lesson; 1 break of 10 min . after the third lesson; luncheon interval: 1 hour |

TABLE LVII
dURATION OF TEACHING IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS GENERAL (Continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK (England \& Wales) | - | 45 min . in senior classes of secondary schools Double periods for practicals | Two breaks, mid-morning and mid-afternoon of 15 to 20 min . Luncheon interval : 1 to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hours |
| USA | Grades VI-XII : 6 hours per day | 50 min . | $3-5 \mathrm{~min}$. in each hour Luncheon interval of $30-60$ min. |
| USSR <br> (a) RSFSR | Grades IX-XI : 36 hours per week Grades IX-X in 10 -year schools: 36 hours per week | 45 min . | Grades IX-XI: 4 breaks of 10 min . <br> 1 break of 20 min . |
| (b) Byelo-Russia | 36 hours per week | 45 min . | 4 breaks of 10 min . <br> 1 break of 30 min . |
| (c) Ukraine | Grades VIII-X: 36 hours per week | 45 min . | Breaks for 10 min . after each lesson; 1 break of 30 min . per day |
| Yugoslavia | Grades V-VI; 28 to 30 hrs . per week Grades VII-VIII: 30 to 32 hours per week | 45 min . | 2 breaks of 10 min . each break of 25 min . and 1 break of 5 min . in Grades V-VIII |

TABLE LVIII
TERMS AND VACATIONS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS (GENERAL)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Country \& Beginning and End of Terms \& Vacations \\
\hline (1) \& (2) \& (3) \\
\hline Brazil \& 1st Term : 1st March to 30th June 1st August to 30th Nov. \& The month of July 16th Dec. to 28th Feb. \\
\hline France \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 15th Sept. to 23rd Dec. \\
2nd Term : 3rd Jan. to End of March \\
3rd Term : Beginning April to 30th June \\
4th Term : 1st July to 15th Sept.
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1st July to 15 th Sept. \\
23rd Dec. to 2nd Jan. \\
Two weeks at the end of March, or beginning of April
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Germany (F.R.) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 1st April to 30th Sept. \\
2nd Term: 1st Oct, to 31st March \\
In Bavaria \\
1st Term : 1st Oct. to 31st March \\
2nd Term: 1st April to 30th Sept.
\end{tabular} \& 85 days as below:
Easter \(: 16\) days
Whitsuntide \(: 7\) days
Summer \(: 35\) days

(beginning between
Autumn to 23 July)
Christmas
C $: 12$ days <br>
\hline Japan (Tokyo) \& ```
1st Term : 1st April to 31st August
2nd Term: 1st Sept. to 31st Dec.
3rd Term : 1st Jan. to 31st March

``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
Summer : 21st July to 31st August \\
Winter : 26th Dec. to 7th Jan. \\
Spring : 26th March to 5th April
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Turkey & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1st Term : } \begin{array}{l}
\text { Last Monday in Sept. to } \\
\text { 1st Feb. }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 2nd Term: 16th Feb. to 31st May }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st June to last Monday in Sept. \\
1st to 16th Feb. \\
National and religious holidays
\end{tabular} \\
\hline UAR (Egypt) & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 12th Sept. to 21st Jan. \\
2nd Term : 7th Feb. to end of May
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Last week of May to second Saturday of Sept. \\
Two weeks: 23rd Jan. to 5th Feb.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline UK (England \& Wales) & Three terms (Christmas, Easter and Summer). Dates vary from area to area and even from school to school & \begin{tabular}{l}
12 weeks in most cases; Christmas and \\
Easter holidays: \(1 \frac{1}{1}\) to \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) weeks. \\
Summer holidays: Mid-July to Mid-Sept.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline USA & 1st Semester: First Tuesday after the first Monday in Sept. to approx. 1st Feb. 2nd Semester: Approx. 1st Feb. to approx. 5th June & \begin{tabular}{l}
Summer : 5-25 June to Tuesday after first Monday in Sept. \\
Christmas: 20th Dec. to 2nd Jan. \\
Easter : Week preceding Easter Sunday (this vacation period is not observed in all schools)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE LVIII}

TERMS AND VACATIONS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS (GENERAL) (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Beginning and End of Terms & Vacations \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{USSR} \\
\hline (a) RSFSR & \begin{tabular}{l}
Grades IX-XI \\
1st Term : 1st Sept. to 4th Nov. \\
2nd Term: 10th Nov. to 29th Dec. \\
3rd Term : 11th Jan. to 23rd March \\
4th Term : 1st April to 25th June
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Autumn & \(: 5\) th to 9 th Nov. \\
Winter & \(:\) 30th Dec. to 10th Jan. \\
Spring & \(:\) \\
Summer & \(:\) \\
24th to 31st March \\
26th June to 31st August
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (b) Byelo-Russia & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 1st Sept. to 30th Dec. \\
2nd Term: 10th Jan. to 25th June
\end{tabular} & The same as above. \\
\hline (c) Ukraine & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 1st Sept. to 5th Nov. \\
2nd Term : 10th Nov. to 30th Dec. \\
3rd Term : 11th Jan. to 24th March \\
4th Term : \\
Grades VIII-IX: 1st April to 28th May \\
Grade X: 1st April to 24th May
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Autumn : 5th to 10th November \\
Winter : 30th Dec, to 11th Jan, \\
Sping : 24th March to 1st April \\
Summer : \\
Grade VIII : 9th June to 1st Sept. \\
Grade IX : 8th June to 1st Sept. \\
Grade X : 23rd June to 1st Sept.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Yugoslavia & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 1st Sept. to 15th Jan. \\
2nd Term: 6th Feb. to 10th June
\end{tabular} & 1st July to 31st August 15th Jan, to 6th Feb. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE LIX
DURATION OF ACADEMIC YEAR IN INSTITUTIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Number of Working Days & Number of Working Weeks & Number of Working Days Per Week & The Days which are Holidays \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) \\
\hline Brazil & 160 & 27 & 6 & Sundays \\
\hline France & ... & 33 & Varies according to course of study. & - \\
\hline Germany (F.R.) & Approx. 170-180 & \(\ldots\) & 5-6 & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{India} \\
\hline Panjab University & 186 & \(\ldots\) & & \\
\hline Madras University & & ... & & Sundays and religious and national holidays \\
\hline Teaching Departments & 200 & ... & 6 & \\
\hline Affiliated Colleges & 150 & \(\ldots\) & & \\
\hline Japan & Average 210 & 35 or more & 6 & Sundays \\
\hline Turkey & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Instruction lasts 6 months Examinations last 2 months} & \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) & Saturday afternoons and Sundays \\
\hline UAR (Egypt) & \(\cdots\) & 35 minimum & 6 & Fridays \\
\hline USA & \(\cdots\) & \(\ldots\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Because of the predominance of 3 -hour courses, more classes are held on Monday, Wednesday and Friday than on other days of the week.} \\
\hline Yugoslavia & .. & 36 & 6 & Sundays \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE LX}

DURATION OF TEACHING IN INSTITUTIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & No. of Hours of Teaching Per Day (or Per Week or Year) for Each Grade & Duration of \(A\) Lesson in Minutes & Number and Duration (in Minutes) of Daily Breaks between Lessons \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) \\
\hline Brazil & \(4-5\) hours per day & 50 minutes & 2 or 3 breaks of 10 minutes each between classes \\
\hline France & Varies according to course of study & May vary from 1 hour (faculty of arts) to 4 hours (laboratory work) & Non-residential. No checking up on attendance. \\
\hline Germany (F.R.) & 20 to 22 hours per week on an average & 45 minutes & Does not apply. \\
\hline India & 5.6 hours per day & Varies berween 40 minutes to 1 hour & 2 breaks of 5 minutes each, 1 hour for lunch \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Japan} & Universities: 6-7 hours per day average (Va. ries according to institutes.) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Universities: \\
Varies from 30 min to \(90-100\) minutes or 170 min . depending on the subject
\end{tabular} & Average \(10-15 \mathrm{~min}\). breaks between lessons and 50-60 \(\min\). for lunch \\
\hline & Postgraduate & Postgraduate & \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
6-15 hours per week (master's degree) \\
5-10 hours per week (doctorate)
\end{tabular} & Varies from \(90-100 \mathrm{~min}\). or 170 min . depending on the subject & \\
\hline Turkey & 6 hours per day in general. It may vary. & 50 minutes & 10 min . breaks. The number of breaks may vary. \\
\hline UAR (Egypt) & Not less than 4 hours per day & 50 minutes & 10 minutes after each lecture \\
\hline USA & Average of 16 hours per week for full-time undergraduate students & 55 minutes & \\
\hline Yugoslavia & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st year : 30 hours per week \\
2nd year: 30 hours per week \\
3rd year : 32 hours per week \\
4th year : 32 hours per week \\
5th year : 28 hours per week
\end{tabular} & 45 minutes & 5-15 minutes depending on the course \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE LXI
TERMS AND VACATIONS IN INSTITUTIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Beginning and End of Terms & Vacations \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) \\
\hline Brazil & \begin{tabular}{l}
1st Term : 1 March to 30 June \\
2nd Term: 1 Aug. to 30 Nov.
\end{tabular} & The month of June 16 Dec , to 21 Dec . \\
\hline France & \begin{tabular}{l}
15 Oct. to 23 Dec . \\
3 Jan. to end-March \\
Beginning April to 30 June.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 July to 15 Oct. \\
23 Dec. to 2 Jan. \\
End-March to beginning riapril
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Germany ( \(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}\). & \begin{tabular}{l}
Summer Term: \\
End of April to end of July \\
Winter Term: \\
Beginning of Nov. to end of Feb.
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline India & \begin{tabular}{l}
Terms vary from area to area, university to university and even from faculty to faculty. The broad pattern is: \\
(i) June/July to September/October \\
(ii) October/November to \(23 \mathrm{rd} / 24\) th of December \\
(iii) January to March/April
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline Japan & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1st Semester : } 1 \text { April to } 20 \text { Oct. } \\
& \text { 2nd Semester: } 21 \text { Oct. to } 31 \text { March }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Summer: 11 July to 10 Sept. \\
Winter : 25 Dec. to 7 Jan. \\
Spring : 20 March to 10 April
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Turkey & 1st Term : 3rd week in Oct, to 31 Jan. 2nd Term : 4th week in Feb. to 1 June (Examinations in June \& October) & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 June to 2nd Week of Oct. \\
1 Feb. to 3rd Week of Feb. \\
National and religious holidays
\end{tabular} \\
\hline UAR (Egypt) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1st Term }:{ }_{19 \text { Sept. to } 21 \text { Jan. }} \begin{array}{l}
\text { 2nd Term }: \\
\text { 2 Feb. to end of May }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] & Last week of May to second Saturday in September 23 Jan . to 5 Feb . \\
\hline UK (England \& Wales) & \begin{tabular}{l}
University of Bristol: \\
Christmas: 2 Oct. to 12 Dec. \\
Easter : 9 Jan. to 20 March \\
Summer : 17 April to 1 July \\
University of Cambridge: \\
Christmas: 7 Oct. to 5 Dec . \\
Easter : 13 Jan. to 1 March \\
Summer : 12 April to 12 June
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline USA & Most colleges and universities operate on the semester calendar. The majority open fall semester between mid-Sept. and mid-Oct. Semesters are 16 weeks in length, quarters 12 weeks. In 1959 , slightly more than \(2 / 3\) of colleges and universities operated summer sessions varying in length from 2 to 12 weeks. & Christmas: a few days before Christmas to a day or two after New Year's Day. There is usually a spring vacation which requently coincides wtih the Easter period. \\
\hline Yugoslavia & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1st Term : } 1 \text { Sept. to } 31 \text { Jan. } \\
& \text { 2nd Term : } 1 \text { March to } 30 \text { June }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 July to 31 Aug. \\
1 Feb. to 1 March
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
SCHOOL CURRICULUM OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS \\
(I) Brazil I \\
State of Minas Gerais \\
Number of Hours Per Week
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year: } \\
& \text { Age: }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I \\
7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
I I \\
8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I I I \\
& 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I V \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1. Language & & 73 & 72 & \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) & 71 \\
\hline 2. Mathematics & & 3 & 27 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 3. Natural science & & 14 & 17 & \(1 \frac{13}{17}\) & 11 \\
\hline 4. Geography and history & & - & 13 & 11 & 11 \\
\hline 5. Handwork and drawing & & 17 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 6. Singing & & 14 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 7. Gymnastics & & 11 & 12 & 17 & 17 \\
\hline 8. Free time (extra-scholastic activities) & & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline & Total & 164 & 161 & 167 & 168 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Brazil II
Federal District*
Number of Hours Per Week
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Year: \\
Age:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
\] & II
\[
8
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
I I I \\
9
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I V \\
& 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1. Language & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 2. Mathematics & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 3. General knowledge(geography and history, natural scienceand hygiene) & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\hline 4. Activities such as gymnastics, music, applied art, etc. & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Total & 21 & 21 & 21 & 21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* The school day lasts for \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) hours in schools with a two-shift system and for \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) hours in schools working a three-shift system.
(iii) France

Number of Hours Per Week
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year: } \\
& \text { Age: }
\end{aligned}
\] & Kindergarten*
2-6 & \(I\)
6 & \(1 I\)
7 & \[
\begin{gathered}
I I I \\
8
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
I V \\
9
\end{array}
\] & \[
10
\] & VI
11 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
V I I \\
12
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { VIII } \\
13
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1. Language (reading, grammar, etc.) & - & 111 & 10t & \(10 \frac{1}{2}\) & 9 & 9 & 9 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 2. Writing & - & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 3. Arthmetic, practical application and geometric drawing & - & \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) & 31 & 31 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\hline 4. History and geography & - & - & 1 & 1 & 11 & 12 & 11 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 5. Natural science and exercises in observation, physics and application exercises \(\dagger\) & - & - & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \(4+\) & \(4+\) \\
\hline 6. Civic and moral education & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 7. Physical culture and outdoor activities & - & 21 & \(2{ }^{1}\) & 21 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 21 & 21 \\
\hline 8. Art drawing \(\dagger\) & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 9. Music & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 10. Preparation of lessons & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Total & - & 271 & 27\% & 27\% & \(27 \frac{1}{2}\) & 271 & 27⿺ \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \(27 \frac{1}{1}\) & 271 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* No division of the time-table by subject-matter is arranged.
\(\dagger\) Handwork and practical work are associated with drawing and with science, and domestic science is associated with science.
\(\ddagger\) In rural schools five hours should be devoted to science and practical work and one hour to drawing.
(iii) German Federal Republic I

North Rhine-Westphalia

\section*{Boys}

Number of Hours Per Week
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Year: \\
Age:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I I \\
7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I I I \\
8
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I V \\
9
\end{gathered}
\] & & \({ }_{B}{ }^{*}\) & A & \[
\begin{gathered}
V I \\
1_{B}
\end{gathered}
\] & \(A\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
12 \\
B
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { VIII } \\
A^{13} \quad B \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline 1. General education & & 18 & 12 & 14 & 16 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2. Religion & & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline 3. German & & - & - & - & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & & \\
\hline 4. History and civic education & & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & \(\}^{9}\) & 9 \\
\hline 5. Geography & & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & \\
\hline 6. Natural science & & - & - & - & -- & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & \[
10
\] & 10 \\
\hline 7. Arithmetic and geometry & & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & J & \\
\hline 8. Drawing and handwork & & - & - & - & -- & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 9. Writing & & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. Music & & - & - & - & -- & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 11. Physical education & & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 12. English & & - & - & - & - & 5 & - & 5 & - & 4 & - & 4 & - \\
\hline 13. Courses: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (a) German & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 \\
\hline (b) Arthmetic & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 \\
\hline & tal & 18 & 22 & 26 & 28 & 32 & 32 & 34 & 34 & 34 & 34 & 34 & 34 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A*-Teaching including the study of English.
B*-Teaching without English.

German Federal Republic II
North Rhine-Westphalia
Girls
Number of Hours Per Weak
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year: } \\
& \text { Age: }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 6
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
I I \\
7
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
I I I \\
8
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
I V \\
9
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
V \\
10
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& V I \\
& 11
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
V I I \\
12
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
V I I I \\
13
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & & & \(A^{*}\) & \(B^{*}\) & \(A\) & \(B\) & A & \(B\) & \(A\) & \(B\) \\
\hline 1. General education & & 18 & 10 & 12 & 14 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2. Religion & & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline 3. German & & - & - & - & - & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 5 & \[
\} 7
\] & 7 \\
\hline 4. History and civic education & & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & , & \\
\hline 5. Geography & & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \[
\text { \} }
\] & \\
\hline 6. Arithmetic and geometry & & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & \[
\}_{15}
\] & 15 \\
\hline 7. Natural science & & - & - & - & - & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & - & - & \[
1
\] & \\
\hline 8. Domestic economy & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 6 & 6 & J & \\
\hline 9. Needle work & & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. Drawing and handwork & & - & - & - & - & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & - & - \\
\hline 11. Writing & & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 12. Music & & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 13. Physical education & & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 14. English & & - & - & - & - & 5 & - & 5 & - & 4 & - & 4 & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{15. Courses:} \\
\hline (a) German & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(b) Arithmetic} & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 2 \\
\hline & Total & 20 & 22 & 26 & 26 & 32 & 32 & 34 & 34 & 34 & 34 & 34 & 34 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A*-Teaching including the study of English.
B*-Teaching without English.

* In the first three primary classes, history, geography, stories and expression work come under the heading of "centre of interest"; in classes IV, V and VI, 25 minutes are devoted to history, 30 minutes to geography and one period of 50 minutes to "centre of interest".
\(\dagger\) In primary classes I-IV, art and handwork are taken together by boys, and art and needle-work by girls.
\begin{tabular}{lll|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Type of time-table recently applied.
(vi) Japan

Percentage of Time Devoted to Each Subject
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Year : Age : & \[
\begin{gathered}
I \\
6
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 I \\
7
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I I I \\
8
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
I V \\
9
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& V \\
& 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& V I \\
& 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1. Japanese, arithmetic & & 45 & 40 & 45 & 40 & 40 & 35 \\
\hline 2. Social studies, science & & 20 & 30 & 25 & 35 & 25 & 35 \\
\hline 3. Music, drawing and handwork & & 20 & 15 & 20 & 15 & 25 & 20 \\
\hline 4. Home-making & & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 5. Physical education & & 15 & 15 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\
\hline & Total & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The table only gives the ratio of class hours necessary for each of the subjects, and the school is free to allocate other class hours for educationally effective subjects, besides the subjects given here. The standard for the total class hours in one academic year necessary for curricular and extra-curricular activities are:
\begin{tabular}{rllr} 
Years & I-II & \(:\) & 870 hours \\
& III-IV & \(:\) & 970 hours \\
& V-VI & \(:\) & 1,050 hours
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(vii) Turkey \\
I. Rural \\
Number of Hours Per Week
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year } \\
& \text { Age }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
\] & II
8 & III
9 & IV
10 & \(V\)
11 \\
\hline 1. Good manners & & 4 & 4 & 4 & - & - \\
\hline 2. Turkish & & 10 & 9 & 9 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 3. History & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 4. Geography & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 5. Civic education & & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 6. Natural science & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 7. Arithmetic & & 5 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline 8. Domestic science & & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 9. Drawing, handwork & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 10. Agricultural work & & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 11. Writing & & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & Total & 26 & 26 & 26 & 26 & 26 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(vii) Turkey \\
II. Urban \\
Number of Hours Per Week
\end{tabular}} & & & \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Year: \\
Age:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
I I \\
8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I I I \\
9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I V \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
V
\] \\
\hline 1. Good manners & & 5 & 6 & 7 & - & - \\
\hline 2. Turkish & & 10 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 3. History & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 4. Geography & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 5. Civic education & & - & - & - & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 6. Natural science & & - & - & - & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 7. Arithmetic & & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline 8. Domestic science & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 9. Drawing, handwork & & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 10. Writing & & - & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 11. Music & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 12. Physical culture & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & Total & 26 & 26 & 26 & 26 & 26 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{(viii) UAR (Egypt)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{IV} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\(V\)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\(V I\)} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of Periods Per Week*} & & & \\
\hline & & I & II & III & & & \\
\hline & Age: & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline 1. Koran and religious education & & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline 2. Arabic and handwriting & & 11 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline 3. Song and verse & & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 4. Arithmetic and mensuration & & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 5. History & & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 6. Civics & & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 7. Geography & & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 8. Nature study and elementary science & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 9. Hygiene & & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 10. Physical education & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 11. Drawing & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12. Handicrafts} & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline & Total & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 34 & 34 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*One period lasts 40 minutes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(ix) United Kingdom \\
England and Wales* \\
Number of Hours Per Week
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Year :
Age : & \(I\)
5 & II
6 & III
7 & IV & \(V\)
9 & \(V I\)
7
10 \\
\hline & Basic skills & \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) & 71 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Stories and dramatization & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Language, reading and writing, literature and dramatization & - & - & 71 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
\hline & Arithmetic & - & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline & Social studies & - & - & \(1 \frac{1}{1}\) & 2 & 2 & 11 \\
\hline & Natural science, physical sciences & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & Religion including act of worship & 2t & 27 & 34 & \(3 \pm\) & 31 & 31 \\
\hline & Physical culture** & 21 & 21 & 21 & 21 & 212 & 21 \\
\hline & Music & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & Art and craft & 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 31 \\
\hline & Total & 22d & 22\% & 238 & 233 & 238 & 238 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* One selected school.
** In the 4th, 5th and 6th years, physical culture includes games and dancing.
(x) United States

State of New York
Percentage of Time Allowed for the Different Subjects
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Year : \\
Age :
\end{tabular} & \[
I
\]
- & II & \[
\begin{gathered}
I I I \\
-
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
I V
\] & \[
V
\] & \[
V I
\]
- \\
\hline 1. Language & & 30 & 30 & 30 & 30 & 30 & 30 \\
\hline 2. Social studies and civic education & & 10 & 10 & 10 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\
\hline 3. Science, health education & & 10 & 10 & 10 & 15 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline 4. Mathematics & & 10 & 10 & 10 & 15 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline 5. Art and handwork & & 20 & 20 & 20 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\
\hline 6. Physical activities & & 20 & 20 & 20 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\
\hline & Total & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(xi) Union of Soviet Socialist Republics I

RSFSR
Seven-Year School
Number of Hours Per Week
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Year : \\
Age :
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I I \\
& 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I I I \\
& 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I V \\
& 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& V \\
& 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& V I \\
& 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
V I I \\
13
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1. Russian and literary reading & & 13 & 13 & 13 & 9 & 9 & 8 & 6 \\
\hline 2. Mathematics & & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline 3. History & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 4. Geography & & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 5. Biology & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 6. Physics & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 7. Chemistry & & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2 \\
\hline 8. Foreign language & & - & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline 9. Physical culture & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 10. Drawing & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\
\hline 11. Scale drawing & & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline 12. Singing & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{13. Practical work; excursions in classes IV-VII} & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline & Total & 24 & 24 & 24 & 26 & 32 & 32 & 32 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

(xi) Union of Soviet Socialist Republics III

Ukraine
Number of Hours Per Week
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Year: \\
Age:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I I \\
& 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
I I I \\
9
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
I V \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] & \(V\)
11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& V I \\
& 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
V I I \\
13
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1. Ukranian language and literature & & 13 & 10 & 10 & 6 & 7-6 & 6-5 & 5 \\
\hline 2. Russian language and literature & & - & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5-6 & 5-6 & 6 \\
\hline 3. Arithmetic & & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 2 & - \\
\hline 4. Algebra & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 5. Geometry & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 6. Physics & & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2-3 \\
\hline 7. Chemistry & & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1-2 \\
\hline 8. Natural science & & - & - & - & 1-2 & 2 & 2 & \(2 \cdot 3\) \\
\hline 9. Geography & & - & - & - & 2-1 & 3-2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 10. History & & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 11. Foreign language & & - & - & - & - & 3-4 & 3 & 3-2 \\
\hline 12. Physical education & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 13. Drawing & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\
\hline 14. Singing & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 15. Drawing & & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline 16. Handwork and practical work & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline & Total & 24 & 24 & 25 & 27 & 34 & 34 & 35 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{(i) Brazll}

Ginasio and Colegio
Number of Lessons Per Week (50-Minute Lessons
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Stage } \\
\text { or } \\
\text { Section }
\end{gathered}
\]} & & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{First Stage (Ginasio)}} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Second Stage (Colegio)} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total \({ }^{*}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage} \\
\hline & & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Classical Section} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Scientific Section} & Classical & Scientific & Classical & Scientific \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year: } \\
& \text { Age: } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
11 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline I I \\
& 12 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline I I I \\
& 13 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline I V \\
& 14 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \(V\)
15 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& V I \\
& 16 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { VII } \\
& 17 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline V \\
& 15 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
V I \\
16 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline V I I \\
& 17 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & \\
\hline 1. (1a) Portuguese & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 21 & 21 & 12.1 & 11.8 \\
\hline 2. (1b) Latin & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & - & - & - & 17 & 8 & 9.8 & 4.5 \\
\hline 3. (1b) Greek \(\dagger\) & - & - & - & - & 3 & 2 & 3 & - & - & - & 8 & - & 4.6 & - \\
\hline 4. (1c) French & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & - & 14 & 13 & 8.0 & 7.3 \\
\hline 5. (1c) English & - & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & - & 3 & 2 & - & 12 & 12 & 6.9 & 6.7 \\
\hline 6. (1c) Spanish & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & 2 & 1.1 & 1.1 \\
\hline 7. (4) General history & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 12 & 12 & 6.9 & 6.7 \\
\hline 8. (4) History of Brazil & 2 & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & 8 & 8 & 4.6 & 4.5 \\
\hline 9. (4) Geography - & 2 & 2 & - & - & 2 & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & - & 8 & 8 & 4.6 & 4.5 \\
\hline 10. (4) Geography of Brazil & - & - & 2 & 2 & - & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & 6 & 6 & 3.4 & 3.4 \\
\hline 11. (2) Mathematics & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 21 & 21 & 12.1 & 11.8 \\
\hline 12. (3) Natural science & - & - & 3 & 3 & - & - & 3 & - & 3 & 3 & 9 & 12 & 5.2 & 6.7 \\
\hline 13. (3) Physics & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 2.9 & 5.1 \\
\hline 14. (3) Chemistry & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 2.9 & 4.5 \\
\hline 15. (4) Philosophy & - & - & - & - & - & 3 & 3 & - & - & 3 & 6 & 3 & 3.4 & 1.7 \\
\hline 16. (5) Handicrafts & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 2.3 & 2.2 \\
\hline 17. (7) Physical education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 12 & 12 & 6.9 & 6.7 \\
\hline 18. (6) Drawing & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 15 & 4.6 & 8.4 \\
\hline 19. (6) Choral singing & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 2.3 & 2.2 \\
\hline 20. (5) Domestic arts (for girls) & - & - & (1) & (1) & - & - & - & - & - & - & (2) & (2) & (1.1) & (1.1) \\
\hline Total & 23 & 24 & 24 & 24 & 23 & 28 & 28 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 174 & 178 & 100 & 99.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
*Including the hours of the first stage. \\
\(\dagger\) Pupils who take Greek only, study one other foreign language (either French or English).
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(II) France

\section*{Lycee and Colleges*}

Number of Lessons Per Week

* According to the terms of the 1959 reform now in force, general secondary education leading to the baccalaureate is known as the 'long education course'. It comprises: an observation stage (VI-V) ; the first stage (IV-III) with 3 sections-Classical A (Greek, Latin, 1 modernlanguage), Classical B
(Latin, 2 modern languages), Modern (more thorough teaching of French, 2 modern languages); the second stage (1l-I) with 7 sections-Classical A (Greck Latin, 1 modern language), varied supplementary training in the optional part (A'), and with possible subsequent guidance towards classical studies; Classical B (Latin, 2 modern languages) with general training with a bias towards human sciences and their means of expression; Classical C (Latin, sciences, 1 modern language); Modern \(M\) (sciences, 2 modern languages); Modern \(M^{\prime}\) (experimental, physical and biological sciences, further study of a modern language); Technical B (economics, 2 modern languages); the terminal class with 5 sections-philosophy, experimental science, mathematics, technical and mathematics, economic and human sciences.
\(\dagger\) Time allocations of the other classical sections. Section \(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}: 1 \mathrm{hr}\). less of Greek, \(2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{hrs}\). more of mathematics and 1 hr . more of physical science. Section B: the time for Greek is given over to a 2nd modern language; in II and I years., \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) hrs. more of optional mathematics. Section C: no Greek; 2 hrs.

\(\ddagger\) Time allocations of sections M' and TechnicalB. Section M': 2 hrs. optional study of 2 nd modern language (instead of 4 hrs. of compulsory study); in addition, 3 hrs . of natural science. Technical|B section: II year- \(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{hr}\). less of 2 nd modern language and \(1 \frac{3}{4}\) hrs. less of physical science; in addition, 2 hrs . of history and geography, 1 hr . of introduction to economics, 1 hr . of "merchandise products", 1 hr . respectively for shorthand and typing; I year -1 hr . of 2 nd language less, \(1 \frac{1}{4}\) hrs. less of physical science; in addition, 1 hr . of history and geography, \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) hrs. of introduction to economics, 1 hr . of "merchandise products", 1 hr . of natural science, 1 hr . optional of shorthand and typing respectively.
** The time allocation of the Experimental Science section which does not figure \({ }^{*}\) in the !above table differs from 'that of the Philosophy section in the following way: 4 hrs. less of philosophy, 1 hr . optional instead of compulsory French; in addition, \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) hrs. of mathematics and cosmography, 3 hrs. of physical science, 2 hrs . of natural science.
\(\dagger \dagger\) Classical language or 2 nd modern language, \(1 \frac{3}{3} \mathrm{hrs}\). optional.
\(\ddagger \ddagger\) Mathermatics and cosmography in the terminal class.

Gymnasium＊
（Number of Lessons Per Week（45－Minute Lessons）
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Stage or Section & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Classical Gymnasium \(\dagger\)} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Scientific Gymnasium} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage} \\
\hline & Year ： Age ： & & \(V I\)
11 & & VIII
13 & \(I X\)
14 & \(X\)
15 & \(X I\)
16 & XII
17 & XIII
18 & & VI
11 & VII
12 & VIII
13 & & \(X\)
15 & & & XIII & 咢 & 呂 &  & 品 \\
\hline 1．（1a） & German & 6 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 39 & 40 & 13.1 & 13.5 \\
\hline 2．（4） & History & － & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & － & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 15 & 15 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 3．（4） & Geography & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 15 & 15 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 4．（4） & Current affairs & － & － & － & － & － & 1 & － & － & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & 1 & － & － & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
\hline 5．（8） & Religious education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 15 & 15 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 6．（4） & Philosophy & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0.7 & 0.7 \\
\hline 7．（1b） & Latin \({ }_{+}\) & 6 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & － & － & 6 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 & － & 47 & 25 & 15.8 & 8.4 \\
\hline 8．（1b） & Greek & － & － & － & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 6 & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 35 & － & 11.7 & － \\
\hline 9．（1c） & English & － & － & 5 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 5 & － & 18 & 36 & 6.0 & 12.1 \\
\hline 10．（1c） & French＊＊ & － & － & － & － & － & － & （3） & （3） & （3） & － & － & － & － & 4 & 4 & 3 & － & － & （9） & 11 & （ 3,0\()\) & 3.7 \\
\hline 11．（2） & Mathematics & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & － & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 28 & 38 & 9.4 & 12.8 \\
\hline 12．（3） & Physics & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 9 & 15 & 3.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 13．（3） & Chemistry & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 1 & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 1.7 & 2.7 \\
\hline 14．（3） & Biology & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 13 & 17 & 4.4 & 5.7 \\
\hline 15．（7） & Physical education & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 20 & 20 & 6.7 & 6.7 \\
\hline 16．（6） & Music and aesthetic edu－ cationt \(\dagger\) & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 27 & 29 & 9.1 & 9.8 \\
\hline 17．（5） & Handicrafts（boys），needle－ work（girls）\(\ddagger \ddagger\) & － & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & － & － & － & － & 5 & 6 & 1.7 & 2.0 \\
\hline 18．（9） & Work groups＊＊＊ & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & － & －－ & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0.7 & 0.7 \\
\hline & Total & 30 & 31 & 32 & 34 & 34 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 32 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 31 & 298 & 297 & 100 & 99.8 \\
\hline & Sports & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & （2） & （2） & （2） & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & （2） & （2） & （2） & & － & － & － \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Distinction is made between three types of secondary schools: (a) the Classical Gymnasium with Latin, Greek and one mc dern lariguage; (b) the Mcdern Gymnasium with Latin and two modern languages; (c) the Scientific Gymnasium with two modern languages and Latin.
\(\dagger\) The Modern Gymnasium's time allocation, which it has not been possibleto reproduce, differs from that of the Classical Gymnasium in the following way: the 100 hrs . reserved for the teaching of languages (Latin, Greek and English) are divided as follows- 41 hrs . of English, 32 hcurs of Latin or French, 20 hrs . of French or Latin; with, in addition, 1 hr . of mathematics, 2 hrs , of sciences, 3 hrs . of arts and crafts.
\(\ddagger\) In the Scientific Gymnasium instruction in a 3rd foreign language becomes obligatory in the 9th and 10th year. Afterkards, students mey either give up this course from the 11 th year or continue to follow it for three hours weekly until the end of the 11 th year.
** In the last three years of the Classical Gymnasium students may follow optional courses in French (3 hrs.) or Hebrew (2 hrs.).
\(\dagger \dagger\) In the 13th year, music or art, depending on the students' choice.
\(\ddagger \ddagger\) In the 11 th and 12 th years, optional needle-work lessons are provided for girls.
***
Work groups devoted to different subjects among which are the natural sciences.
（iii）Germany（Federal Republic）
Gymnasium＊
（Number of Lessons Per Week（45－Minute Lessons）
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Stage or Section & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Classical Gymnasium \(\dagger\)} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Scientific Gymnasium} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year : } \\
& \text { Age : }
\end{aligned}
\] & & VI
11 & VII
12 & VIII
13 & \(I X\)
14 & \(X\)
15 & \(X I\)
16 & XII
17 & XIII
18 & & VI
11 & & VIII
13 & & \(X\)
15 & \(I X\)
16 & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { XIII } \\
18
\end{array}
\] &  & 怘 & 产 & 宮 \\
\hline 1．（1a） & German & 6 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 39 & 40 & 13.1 & 13.5 \\
\hline 2．（4） & History & － & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & － & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 15 & 15 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 3．（4） & Geography & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 15 & 15 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 4．（4） & Current affairs & － & － & － & － & － & 1 & － & － & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & 1 & － & － & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
\hline 5．（8） & Religious education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 15 & 15 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 6．（4） & Philosophy & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0.7 & 0.7 \\
\hline 7．（1b） & Latin \({ }^{+}\) & 6 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & － & － & 6 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 & － & 47 & 25 & 15.8 & 8.4 \\
\hline 8．（1b） & Greek & － & － & － & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 6 & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 35 & － & 11.7 & － \\
\hline 9．（1c） & English & － & － & 5 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 5 & － & 18 & 36 & 6.0 & 12.1 \\
\hline 10．（1c） & French＊＊ & － & － & － & － & － & － & （3） & （3） & （3） & － & － & － & － & 4 & 4 & 3 & － & － & （9） & 11 & （3，0） & 3.7 \\
\hline 11．（2） & Mathematics & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & － & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 28 & 38 & 9.4 & 12.8 \\
\hline 12．（3） & Physics & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 9 & 15 & 3.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline 13．（3） & Chemistry & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 1 & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 1.7 & 2.7 \\
\hline 14．（3） & Biology & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 13 & 17 & 4.4 & 5.7 \\
\hline 15．（7） & Physical education & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 20 & 20 & 6.7 & 6.7 \\
\hline 16．（6） & Music and aesthetic edu－ cation \(\dagger \dagger\) & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 27 & 29 & 9.1 & 9.8 \\
\hline 17．（5） & Handicrafts（boys），needle－ work（girls）\(\ddagger \ddagger\) & － & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & － & － & － & － & 5 & 6 & 1.7 & 2.0 \\
\hline 18．（9） & Work groups＊＊＊ & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0.7 & 0.7 \\
\hline & Sports Total & 30 & 31 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
32 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35 \\
& \text { (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35 \\
(2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 32 \\
& \text { (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 30 & \[
31
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
32 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
33 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \[
35
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35 \\
2
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
35 \\
（2）
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35 \\
(2)
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
31 \\
（2）
\end{tabular} & 298 & 297 & 100 & 99.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Distinction is made between three types of secondary schools: (a) the Classical Gymnasium with Latin, Greek and one mc dern languag \(\epsilon\); (b) the Mcdern Gymnasium with Latin and two modern languages; (c) the Scientific Gymnasium with two modern languages and Latin.
\(\dagger\) The Modern Gymnasium'stime allocation, which it has not been possible to reproduce, differs from that of the Classical Gymnasium in the following way: the 100 hrs . reserved for the teaching of languages (Latin, Greek and Eaglish) are divided as follows \(\mathbf{4 1} \mathrm{hrs}\). of English, 32 hcurs of Latin or French, 20 hrs . of French or Latin; with, in addition, 1 hr . of mathematics, 2 hrs . of sciences, 3 hrs . of arts and crafts.
\(\pm\) In the Scientific Gymnasium instruction in a 3rd foreign language becomes obligatory in the 9 th and 10th year. Aftervards, students mey either give up this course from the 11 th year or continue to follow it for three hours weekly until the end of the 11th year.
** In the last three years of the Classical Gymnasium students may follow optional courses in French (3 hrs.) or Hebrew (2 hrs.).
\(\dagger \dagger\) In the 13th year, music or art, depending on the students' choice.
\(\ddagger \ddagger\) In the 11th and 12th years, optional needle-work lessons are provided for girls.
*** Work groups devoted to different subjects among which are the natural sciences.

\section*{(iv) Ghana}

Secondary School
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year:
Age:} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Secondary School*} \\
\hline & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
I \\
14
\end{gathered}
\] & 11
15 & 111
16 & Total & Percentage \\
\hline 1. (la) & English language & & 6 & 4 & 4 & 14 & 12.3 \\
\hline 2. (la) & English literature & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 9 & 7.9 \\
\hline 3. (1c) & French \(\dagger\) & & - & 5 & 4 & 9 & 7.9 \\
\hline 4. (1b) & Latin \(\ddagger\) & & 5 & 4 & 4 & 13 & 11.4 \\
\hline 5. (8) & Scripture** & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 5.3 \\
\hline 6. (4) & History & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 5.3 \\
\hline 7. (4) & Geography & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 5.3 \\
\hline 8. (2) & Mathematics & & 4 & 6 & 5 & 15 & 13.1 \\
\hline 9. (3) & Science & & 4 & 4 & 6 & 14 & 12.3 \\
\hline 10. (5) & Arts and crafts & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 5.3 \\
\hline 11. (7) & Physical education & & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 3.5 \\
\hline 12. (4) & General knowledge & & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 3.5 \\
\hline 13. (6) & Singing & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 2.6 \\
\hline 14. (9) & Private reading & & 1 & - & 1 & 2 & 1.7 \\
\hline 15. (6) & Music & & 2 & 1 & -- & 3 & 2.6 \\
\hline & & Total & 38 & 38 & 38 & 114 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* Information for 4th year of secondary studies is not available. Consequently the total number of hours is limited to the first three years.
\(\dagger\) For the pupils obliged to give up the study of French after the 2nd year, the 4 hours allotted to this subject in the 3rd year are devoted to supplementary lessons in English and the mother tongue.
\(\ddagger\) For the pupils obliged to give up the study of Latin after the 1 st year, the 4 hours allotted to this subject in the 2 nd and 3rd years will be devoted to supplementary lessons in English and the mother tongue.
** The pupils wishing to study Greek in the 3rd year will devote to this subject the hours reserved for scripture. They can take this subject anew in the 4th year where they have the possibility of choosing between Greek and French.
}
(v) JAPAN

Number of Lessons Per Week (50-Minute Lessons)

(vi) Mexico

\section*{Secondary School of General Culture}

Number of Lessons Per Week (50-Minute Lessons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Stage or Section \\
Year: \\
Age :
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{First Stage*} & \multirow{3}{*}{Total} & \multirow{3}{*}{Percentage} \\
\hline & & & \(I\) & II & III & & \\
\hline & & & 13 & 14 & 15 & & \\
\hline 1. (1a) & Spanish language and literature & & 4 & 3 & 3 & 10 & 11.0 \\
\hline 2. (2) & Mathematics & & 4 & 3 & 3 & 10 & 11.0 \\
\hline 3. (3) & Biology & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 9 & 9.9 \\
\hline 4. (3) & Physics and chemistry & & - & 3 & 3 & 6 & 6.6 \\
\hline 5. (4) & Geography & & 2 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 7.7 \\
\hline 6. (4) & World history & & 2 & 2 & - & 4 & 4.4 \\
\hline 7. (4) & Mexican history & & - & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4.4 \\
\hline 8. (4) & Civics & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6.6 \\
\hline 9. (1c) & English or French & & 3 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 7.7 \\
\hline 10. (6) & Drawing & & 2 & 2 & - & 4 & 4.4 \\
\hline 11. (6) & Modelling & & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2.2 \\
\hline 12. (6) & Music & & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 4.4 \\
\hline 13. (7) & Physical education & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6.6 \\
\hline 14. (5) & Workshop or home economics & & 4 & 3 & 3 & 10 & 11.0 \\
\hline 15. (9) & Elective subject & & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2.2 \\
\hline & & Total & 30 & 30 & 31 & 91 & 100.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*No information given about the second preparatory stage of the diploma, in either the literary or scientific section.
(vii) Pakistan

Secondary School
Number of Lessons Per Week (40-Minute Lessons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(\frac{\text { Stage or Section }}{\text { Year: }}\)}} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Junior School(a)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Senior School(a)} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Gen. Secondary School(b)} & \multirow{3}{*}{Total(c)} & \multirow{3}{*}{Percentage} \\
\hline & & VI & VII & VIII & IX & \(X\) & VI & WII & VIII & \(\underline{I} \boldsymbol{X}\) & \(\boldsymbol{X}\) & & \\
\hline & & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & & \\
\hline 1. (la) & Urdu or Bengali (d) & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 45 & 20.2 \\
\hline 2. (lc) & English & 8 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 50 & 22.4 \\
\hline 3. (1b) & Classical languages & - & - & -- & - & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 20 & 9.0 \\
\hline 4. (lc) & Foreign languages (e) & 4 & 4 & 4 & -- & - & -. & & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 5. (4) & Philosophy, history & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 15 & 6.7 \\
\hline 6. (4) & History, geography & 4 & 4 & 4 & \(\cdots\) & - & -- & -- & .... & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 7. (4) & Geography & - & - & --- & -..- & - & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 15 & 6.7 \\
\hline 8. (2) & Mathematics & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 30 & 13.5 \\
\hline 9. (3) & Science (f) & 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 12 & 5.4 \\
\hline 10. (3) & Physics, chemistry & - & - & - & - & - & \(\ldots\) & - & \(\cdots\) & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2.7 \\
\hline 11. (4) & Civics & - & --- & - & -- & - & -.. & - & \(\cdots\) & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2.7 \\
\hline 12. (8) & Religious and moral education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -- & - & \(\cdots\) & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2.7 \\
\hline 13. (7) & Physical education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 3 & 1.3 \\
\hline 14. (6) & Art and applied art & 3 & 3 & 3 & - & - & -- & - & . & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline 15. (6) & Drawing & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & \(\cdots\) & - & 3 & 1.3 \\
\hline 16. (6) & Music & - & - & -- & - & - . & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 12 & 5.4 \\
\hline 17. (9) & Selective subjects (g) & - & - & - & 10 & 10 & - & ... & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Total & 39 & 39 & 39 & 39 & 39 & 41 & 41 & 41 & 50 & 50 & 223 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(a) Table shows an example of school time allocations in the federal district of Karachi.
(b) Typical time allocation of general secondary schools. Students wishing to continue their studies in the university, must previously attend the collegepreparatory school for two years (XI and XII years, ages 16-17), which constitutes the first stage in higher education. The time allocation corresponding to these two years was not received.
(c) Total corresponds to typical time allocation; see note (b).
(d) Urdu in the federal district of Karachi and in West Pakistan; Urdu and Bengali in East Pakistsn.
(e) Only one of the following languages; French, Persian, Arabic, Bengali, Gujarati, Sindhi.
(f) Or economics in the federal district of Karachi.
(g) Two elective subjects chosen from the following groups (but not exceeding one subject per group): (1) History, geography, civics, economics, and elementary commerce; (2) Physics and chemistry, domestic science, physiology and hygiene; (3) Commercial mathematics, algebra, geometry and trigonometry; (4) Biology, geography and geology, engineering; (5) Drawing, painting, wood \& metal work, technical drawing; (6) Languages: Arabic, Persian, Latin, Sanskrit Gujarati, Urdu, Bengali, Sindhi, German, French, Hindi.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(viii) Turkey \\
Middle School and Lycee \\
Number of Lessons Per Week
\end{tabular}} & \(\bigcirc\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Stage \\
or \\
Section \\
Year: \\
Age :
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Middle School}} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Lycee*} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Total}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Pcrcentage}} & \\
\hline & & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Science Section} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Literary Section} & & & & & \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\|\)
12 & III
13 & \(I\)
14 & \(H\)
15 & III
16 & I
14 & 15 & III
16 & Science & Literary & Science & Literary & - \\
\hline 1. (1a) & Turkish language and literature & 6 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 26 & 30 & 13.5 & 15.7 & E \\
\hline 2. (4) & Psychology & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & -- & 2 & 2 & 1.0 & 1.0 & \(\because\) \\
\hline 3. (4) & Philosophy, logic and sociology & - & - & - & - & - & 3 & - & - & 6 & 3 & 6 & 1.6 & 3.1 & C \\
\hline 4. (4) & History & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 12 & 13 & 6.2 & 6.8 & 8 \\
\hline 5. (4) & History of art & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 1 & - & 3 & - & 1.6 & \(\stackrel{-}{-}\) \\
\hline 6. (4) & Geography & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 11 & 5.2 & 5.7 & \% \\
\hline 7. (4) & Civics & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & -- & - & - & - & 3 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 줒 \\
\hline 8. (2) & Mathematics & 5 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 32 & 25 & 16.7 & 13.0 & \(\bigcirc\) \\
\hline 9. (3) & Biology & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & - & 14 & 13 & 7.3 & 6.8 & ¢ \\
\hline 10. (3) & Physics & -- & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 16 & 13 & 8.3 & 6.8 & \\
\hline 11. (3) & Chemistry & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 11 & 8 & 5.7 & 4.2 & \\
\hline 12. (1c) & Foreign languages & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 22 & 24 & 11.5 & 12.5 & \(\frac{1}{6}\) \\
\hline 13. (7) & Physical culture & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 6 & 3.1 & 3.1 & 8 \\
\hline 14. (7) & Military education & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 年 \\
\hline 15. (5) & Book-keeping & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & -- & - & 3 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.6 & \\
\hline 16. (5) & Work in fields and gardening & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 3 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 9 \\
\hline 17. (5) & Handicrafts \(\dagger\) & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 6 & 6 & 3.1 & 3.1 & - \\
\hline 18. (6) & Drawing & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 3 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.6 & F \\
\hline 19. (6) & Music & 1 & 1 & 1 & -- & - & - & - & - & - & 3 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.6 & \\
\hline 20. (9) & Free work & 3 & 3 & 3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 9 & 9 & 4.7 & 4.7 & \\
\hline 21. (9) & Optional subjects & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 5 & 2.6 & 2.6 & \\
\hline & Total & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 32 & 192 & 192 & 100.1 & 100.3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{(ix) United Arab Republic}

General Preparatory School and General Secondary Schonl
Number of Lessons Per Week (45-Minute Lessons)*
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Stage \\
or \\
Section
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Preparatory Secondary Stage}} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{General Secondary Stage \(\dagger\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Total}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Percentage}} \\
\hline & & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Litcrary Section} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Science Section} & & & & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Year : } \\
\text { Age : }
\end{gathered}
\] & & II & III & \(I\) & II & III & \(I\) & II & III & Literary & Science & Literary & Science \\
\hline 1. (8) & Religious education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 12 & 12 & 5.8 & 5.8 \\
\hline 2. (1a) & Arabic language and literature & 7 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 41 & 37 & 19.8 & 17.9 \\
\hline 3. (1c) & Foreign language & 6 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 37 & 31 & 17.9 & 15.0 \\
\hline 4. (4) & Social studies (history, geography, civics) & 4 & 4 & 4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 12 & 12 & 5.8 & 5.8 \\
\hline 5. (4) & History & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & - & - & 8 & 2 & 3.9 & 1.0 \\
\hline 6. (4) & Geography & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 4 & 3.9 & 1.9 \\
\hline 7. (4) & Civics & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 2 & 1 & 1.0 & 0.5 \\
\hline 8. (4) & Economics or sociology and philosophy & - & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & - & - & - & 5 & -- & 2.4 & - \\
\hline 9. (2) & Mathematics & 5 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 & - & 3 & 6 & 6 & 20 & 30 & 9.7 & 14.4 \\
\hline 10. (3) & Science & 4 & 4 & 4 & - & - & - & - & -- & - & 12 & 12 & 5.8 & 5.8 \\
\hline 11. (3) & Physics & - & -- & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 8 & 1.0 & 3.9 \\
\hline 12. (3) & Chemistry & - & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 1.9 & 3.9 \\
\hline 13. (3) & Natural science & - & - & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 8 & 1.0 & 3.9 \\
\hline 14. (5) & Techincal, physical, military education and practical studies & 8 & 8 & 8 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 42 & 42 & 20.0 & 20.0 \\
\hline & Total & 36 & 36 & 36 & 33 & 33 & 33 & 33 & 33 & 33 & 207 & 207 & 99.9 & 99.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* These time-tables were to come into force as from 1960-61 for the first year, 1961-62 for the second year, and 1962-63 for the third year of the second stage.
\(\dagger\) The first year of the second stage is common for both the literary and science sections.
Note: In addition to these subjects, there are school activities carried out in connection with school associations.
(x) United Kinadom I

England and Wales
Grammar School (a)
Number of Lessons Per Week (40-45-Minute I.essons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Stage \\
or \\
Section
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(A(b)\)}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(C\) (c)}} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{6th Form (d)} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total (j)}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percentage}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & nce & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Year : \\
Age :
\end{tabular}}} & & & & & & & & & Art & Science & 4 & Science \\
\hline & & 14 & 15 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 16 & 17 & & & & \\
\hline 1. (8) & Religious instruction & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \(l\) & 1 & 4 & 4 & 2.9 & 2.9 \\
\hline 2. (1a) & English (e) & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6-7 & 6-7 & 2 & 2 & 22 & 12 & 15.7 & 8.6 \\
\hline 3. (4) & History and geography (e) (f) & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6-7 & 6-7 & \(\cdots\) & -. & 20 & 6 & 14.3 & 4.3 \\
\hline 4. (1c) & French (e) & 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 6-7 & 6-7 & -- & - & 22 & 10 & 15.7 & 7.1 \\
\hline 5. (1b) & Latin & 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 & - & - & - & - & 10 & 10 & 7.1 & 7.1 \\
\hline 6. (2) & Mathematics & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & - & - & & & 10 & 32 & 7.1 & 22.9 \\
\hline 7. (3) & Science (g) & 9 & 9 & 6 & 6 & - & - & (h) & & 18 & 40 & 12.9 & 28.6 \\
\hline 8. (7) & Physical education & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 12 & 12 & 8.6 & 8.6 \\
\hline 9. (6) & Art & - & - & 2 & 2 & -- & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. (5) & Handicraft and technical drawing & - & - & 4 & 4 & - & -- & - & - & - & - & ~- & - \\
\hline 11. (6) & General studies (i) & - & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 8 & 5.7 & 5.7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12. (9)} & Private study & - & - & - & - & 7 & 7 & 3 & 3 & 14 & 6 & 10.0 & 4.3 \\
\hline & Total & 35 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 140 & 140 & 100.0 & 100.15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(a) Classical type of general secondary school preparing for university studies.
(b) The A-stream is reserved for the abler pupils. The girls' syllabuses differ from those of the boys: in both classes, 1 hr . of Latin and 3 hrs . of science less; 1 hr. of physical education more as well as 3 hrs . of art, music or housecraft; also the 6 hrs . of science may be converted into 1 hr . of science plus history or geography.
(c) The C-stream is reserved for the less able pupils. The girls' syllabuses differ from those of the boys: in both 1 hr . of physical education more, as well as 2 hrs . of needlework; the 5 hrs . of mathematics are replaced by 2 hrs . of arithmetic only, the 6 hrs . of science by 5 hrs . of biology, the 4 hrs. of handicraft and technical drawing by 4 hrs . of housecraft.
(d) The last two years of the grammar school, normally devoted to specialisation, constitute a direct preparation for university studies. Apart from the typical curricula reproduced above, other possibilities concerning the main choice of subjects are open to pupils: in the Arts section: (i) classical studies (Latin, Greek, ancient history); (ii) modernlanguages(French, German, English orhistory); modern studies (choice of three subjects: Latin, French, history, geography, economics); in the Science section: (i) mathematics, physics, chemistry; (ii) pure and applied mathematics, physics, chemistry, botany, zoology, physics. It is also possible to combine subjects of the arts and science sections and even (especially for girls) to combine general science, general arts and secretarial subjects.
(e) In the 6th form of the Arts section, English, French, and history should total 20 hrs .
(f) In IV-V A-stream, history or geography; in the 6th form Arts section, history.
(g) In IV-V A-stream, one or more science plus a 3rd language; in the 6th form, physics and chemistry.
(h) To facilitate the calculation of the total and the percentages, it is presumed that there are 11 hrs . of mathematics and 11 hrs . of science.
(i) The subjects listed as general studies vary from school to school; some are compulsory (for example, English for the science section pupils and science for the arts section pupils).
(j) The calculation of the total and the percentages is based on the time allocation for IV-V A-stream plus 6th form Arts section and IV-V A-stream plus 6th form Science section.

Notes: A third foreign language (usually Greek or German) is often begun by some of the ablest pupils at the ages of 13 or 14 years. The time is found often by giving up geography and having areduced allotment of time for science (sometimes none at all).

The pressure of the academic subjects in the curricula of the ablest pupils frequently squeczes the cultural subjects (art, music, craft) out of the curriculum of the IV-V A-stream, though some or all of these may be continued out of school.

Northern Ireland
Grammar School*
Number of Lessons Per Week (40-Minute Lessons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Stage or Section & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Lower Division} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Upper Division} \\
\hline & Year : & I & II & III & IV & \(V\) & \(V I\) & VII \(\dagger\) \\
\hline & Age : & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline 1. (8) & Religious instruction & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & - \\
\hline 2. (1a) & English language and literature & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & - \\
\hline 3. (1b) & Classical languages (Greek, Latin) & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & - \\
\hline 4. (1c) & Modern languages (French, German, Irish, Spanish) & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 7 & - \\
\hline 5. (4) & History & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & - \\
\hline 6. (4) & Geography & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 & - \\
\hline 7. (2) & Mathematics \(\ddagger\) & 6 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 5 & - \\
\hline 8. (2) & General mathematics & - & - & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & - \\
\hline 9. (2) & Pure mathematics & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 5 & - \\
\hline 10. (2) & Applied mathematics & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 3 & - \\
\hline 11. (3) & Experimental science (physics, chemistry, biology)** & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & - \\
\hline 12. (3) & Biology (with botany) & -- & - & - & 5 & 5 & 7 & - \\
\hline 13. (5) & Domestic science & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & - \\
\hline 14. (6) & Drawing & 3 & 3 & 3 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 15. (2) & Geometric and practical drawing & - & - & - & 4 & 4 & 4 & - \\
\hline 16. (6) & Art & - & - & - & 5 & 5 & 4 & - \\
\hline 17. (6) & Music & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\
\hline 18. (7) & Physical education & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* The upper stage of the grammar school prepares pupils for university studies. The above time allocation does nor distinguish between compulsory and optional subjects. The average number of lessons per pupil per week is about 42 , representing 28 hours'tuition; from the indications given, it was not possible to calculate the total or the percentages.
\(\dagger\) The number of lessons has not been shown in detail for the seventh year course which usually consists of specialised courses of study in a smaller number of subjects.
\(\ddagger\) Arithmetic, algebra, trigonometry and geometry in the lower division.
** Physics and chemistry in the upper djvision.

\section*{Scotland}

Senior Secondary School*
Number of Lessons Per Week (40-Minute Lessons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Senior Secondary School} \\
\hline & Year : & I & II & III & \(I V \dagger\) & \(V \dagger\) & \(V I_{+}^{+}\) \\
\hline & Age : & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline 1. (la) & English & 5-6 & 5-6 & 5-6 & 5-6 & 5-6 & .. \\
\hline 2. (1b) & Classics & 5 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 7 & . \\
\hline 3. (1c) & Modern languages & 5 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 7 & . \\
\hline 4. (4) & History & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 & . \\
\hline 5. (4.8) & Civics, religious and moral education & 2-3 & 2-3 & 2-3 & 2-3 & 2-3 & 2-3 \\
\hline 6. (4) & Geography & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 & . \\
\hline 7. (2) & Mathematics & 6 & 6 & 6 & 5-6 & 5-6 & . \\
\hline 8. (3) & Natural science, physics and chemistry, practical and laboratory work & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & . \\
\hline 9. (5) & Technical, commercial, domestic subjects & 6 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 8 & . \\
\hline 10. (7) & Physical education & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & . \\
\hline 11. (6) & & 2 & 2 & 2 & 8 & 8 & . \\
\hline 12. (6) & Music & 2 & 2 & 2 & 8 & 8 & .. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Senior Secondary School, general secondary education establishment, preparing for university studies but also inclucting non-classical courses. The table given above shows only approximate allocations, since considerable variation is possible. Many of the subjects must be regarded as alternatives; in making his choice, the pupil must take into account that the number of weekly periods is fixed at 40. The indications given are not sufficiently precise for a calculation of the total or of percentages.
\(\dagger\) Time allotment for the ablest pupils in IV and V years. For the less able pupils the time allocation is less: 1 hr . less for modern languages as well as for classical languages; 3 hrs. less for respectively history, geography, technical, commercial and domestic subjects, art, music; 2 hrs. less for science. However, the total minimum time allocation remains the same whatever the level of the pupils.
\(\ddagger\) In VI year, the time allocation depends only on the number of subjects studied and the degree of specialisation; cultural subjects are invariably included.
(xi) USA

Secondary School* \(\dagger\)
Number of Lessons Per Week (45- or 50-Minute Lessons, Minimum 40 Minutes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Stage & or Section & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{College Preparation Stage} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{General Secondary Education} & Total \({ }^{+}\) & Percentage** \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year : } \\
& \text { Age : }
\end{aligned}
\] & VII
12 &  & \(I X\)
14 & \(X\)
15 & \(X I\)
16 & XII
17 & VII
12 & VIII
13 & \(I X\)
14 & \(X\)
15 & XI
16 & XII
17 & College Pre Pre-
paration
Stage & College Preparation Stage \\
\hline 1. (1a) & English language and literature & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 30 & 16.7 \\
\hline 2. (4) & Social studies: history geography civics & 5 & \[
5
\] & \[
5
\] & \(\underline{5}\) & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & \(\frac{-}{5}\) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10+5 \\
5 \\
10
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5.6+1.2 \\
2.8 \\
5.6
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 3. (2) & Mathematics & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & \(20+10\) & \(11.1+2.5\) \\
\hline 4. (3) & Natural science: biology, physics, chemistry & 3-5 & 3-5 & 5 & \[
5-7
\] & \[
5-7
\] & \[
5-7
\] & 3-5 & 3-5 & 5 & 5-7 & 5-7 & 5-7 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9 \\
18
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5.0 \\
& 4.5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5. (1c) & Foreign languages & - & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & - & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & \(10+10\) & \(5.6+2.5\) \\
\hline 6. (5) & Industrial arts (boys), home economics (girls) & & 3-5 & - & - & - & - & 3-5 & 3-5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 8 & 4.4 \\
\hline 7. (7) & Hygiene and physical education & 5 & 5 & 3-5 & 3-5 & 3-5 & 3-5 & 5 & 5 & 3-5 & 3-5 & \(3-5\) & 3-5 & 26 & 14.4 \\
\hline 8. (6) & & 2 & 2 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 4-720 & \(2.2+5.0\) \\
\hline 9. (6) & Music & 2 & 2 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & \(4+20\) & \(2.2+5.0\) \\
\hline 10. (5) & Business education & - & - & \(\cdots\) & 5 & 5 & 5 & - & - & - & 5 & 10 & 10 & 15 & 3.7 \\
\hline & Total \(\dagger \dagger\) & 30 & 30 & 29 & 19 & 14 & 14 & 28 & 28 & 24 & 19 & 14 & 14 & \(136+98\) & \(75.6+24.4\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* There are 4 types of secondary schools: (i) the three-year senior high school, which follows (ii) the three-year junior high school; (iii) the six-year secondary school; (iv) less prevalent, the four-year secondary school which follows an eight-year primary school. In general, the time-table is the same for all types of schools ( \(3+3,6\) or 4 ). For this reason, separate tables are not given here for each type of school.
}
\(\dagger\) General secondary schools are referred to as 'comprehensive' high schools. They offer courses in all the various fields of the secondary stage with either a single curriculum, providing required subjects plus electives, or various curricula, fitting the interests of each pupil (preparing for college or higher education, vocational or general secondary education). Two typical time-tables are given above: (i) preparation for college or higher education; and (ii) general secondary education. The total and the percentage calculations, however, only include electives in the programme for higher education preparation.
\(\pm\) When a variation between two figures appears in tizs total timstable, their average has been calculated. Elective hours have been counted separately.
** (i) The percentage has been calculated for required subjects only. Actually, the report submitted by the United States does not specify the number of hours per week devoted to different electives during the six years of study, probably because the number varies according to the size of the school. (ii) Inasmuch as the time-table for the first two years (VII \& VIII) does not indicate elective hours, it was estimated that a minimum time-table of 30 lessons was required for each year, or 180 hrs . for six years. Of these 180 hrs ., 136 hrs . are for required subjects, whilc 44 hrs . are free for electives. These 44 hrs . represent \(24.4 \%\) of the total time-table and are distributed according to the relative importance of the hours in the tims-table, which are devoted to each elective subject.
\(\dagger \dagger\) Pupils must generally choose elective subjects that are related to their area of preparation. Frequently, however, pupils can select freely the subjects that interest them in college-preparatory as well as general secondary education programmes.

\section*{(xii) USSRI}

\section*{Eight-Year Secondary School (Incomplete)* and General Secondary and Polytechnical School of RSFSR \(\dagger \ddagger\)}

Number of Lessons Per Week

* The eight-year school (children from 7 to 14 years) does not offer a complete secondary education, for it is rather a polytechnical school. The above time-table shows the last four years which may be considered as part of the lower stage of secondary education.
\(\dagger\) The general secondary and polytechnical school (urban or rural) takes students who have finished the eight-year school and during three years, dispenses them from secondary instruction as well as vocational training so that they may work in one of the cultural or national economy branches. The rural school time-table differs from that of the urban school (shown above) in the following manner: more hours for the three years: 2 hours more of literature, three hours more of history and gas eraphy, \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) hours \(m\) re of mithrmatics, 5 hours more of science, 2 hours more of a foreign language, \(\frac{1}{2}\) hour more of technical drawing. In the urban school, thess 16 hours are devoted to general techaical studies, vocational training (theory and practice) and productive wark (see number 17 above). The total yearly time of the urban school is higher than that of the rural school which has a shorter school year. This difference, however, is compensated by the fact that the rural school programme includes harvesting or field work for 126 days, or 756 hours per annum, during the three years.
\(\ddagger\) There are also secondary evening schools, with reduced time-tables.
** General technical studies, vocational education (theoretical, practical and productive work for the secondary school).
t \(\dagger\) During two weeks at the end of the school year.

\section*{(xii) USSR II}

Byelo-Russia
Eight-Year General Education School and General Polytechnical Secondary School with Vocational Training
Number of Lessons Pcr Week


\footnotetext{
* This total does not include the hours for grades I-IV.
\(\dagger\) Economic geography for IX grade.
}

\section*{(xii) USSR III \\ Ukraine}

\section*{General Education and Polytechnical School with Vocational Training}

Number of Lessons Per Week (45-Minute Lessons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year: Age :} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{General Education and Polytechnical School} \\
\hline & & 1
7 & 11
8 & III
9 & IV
10 & \(V\)
11 & V1
12 & VII
13 & VIII
14 & IX
15 & \(X\)
16 & Total* & Percentage \\
\hline 1. (1a) & Ukrainian language and literature & 12 & 11/8 & 8 & 6 & 6/5 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 231 & 11.2 \\
\hline 2. (1c) & Russian language and literature & - & 0/3 & 4 & 5/4 & 4/5 & 4/5 & 4/5 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 251 & 12.1 \\
\hline 3. (2) & Arithmetic & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 4/0 & - & - & - & - & 8 & 3.8 \\
\hline 4. (2) & Algebra & - & - & - & - & - & 0/4 & 3 & 4/3 & 2 & 2 & 124 & 5.9 \\
\hline 5. (2) & Geometry & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2/3 & 2 & 2 & 104 & 5.0 \\
\hline 6. (2) & Trigonometry & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1.9 \\
\hline 7. (3) & Physics & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 14 & 6.7 \\
\hline 8. (3) & Astronomy & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 0.5 \\
\hline 9. (3) & Chemistry & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1/2 & 2 & 2/3 & 3 & 9 & 4.3 \\
\hline 10. (3) & Natural history & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3/2 & 2 & 1 & - & \(9 \frac{1}{2}\) & 4.5 \\
\hline 11. (4) & Geography & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & 10 & 4.7 \\
\hline 12. (4) & History & - & - & - & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4/3 & 3/4 & 16 & 7.6 \\
\hline 13. (4) & Constitution of the USSR and of Ukraine & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 0.5 \\
\hline 14. (1c) & Fort ign languages & - & - & - & - & 3 & 2 & 3/2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 131 & 6.4 \\
\hline 15. (5) & Industrial design & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1.9 \\
\hline 16. (5) & Handicrafts & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 17. (5) & Practical work in the workshop & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & 6 & 2.9 \\
\hline 18. (5) & Work in a factory & - & -- & - & - & - & - & - & 5 & 5 & 5 & 15 & 7.1 \\
\hline 19. (6) & Drawing & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 2 & 1.0 \\
\hline 20. (6) & Music and singing & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1/0 & \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) & 2.6 \\
\hline 21. (7) & Physical education & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 12 & 5.7 \\
\hline 22. (5) & Work of social utility & 2/1 & 2/1 & 2/1 & \(2 / 1\) & \(2 / 1\) & \(2 / 1\) & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 3.8 \\
\hline & Total & 24 & 24 & 25 & 27 & 33/32 & 33 & 34 & 37 & 37 & 37 & 210 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 100.1 \\
\hline & Work in a factory (during the week) & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* This total does not include the time-table of the first stage (I-IV years).
(xiii) Yugoslavia

Secondary School (Lycee)
Number of Lessons Per Week (45-Minute Lessons)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Stage or Section \\
Grade : \\
Age :
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Social Science Language} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Natural Science Mathematics} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Percentage} \\
\hline & & \(I\)
15 & II
16 & III
17 & IV
18 & 1
15 & II
16 & \(1 I I\)
17 & IV
18 & Soc.Sc Lang. & Nat.Sc. Math. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Soc. \\
Lang
\end{tabular} & Nat.sc. Math. \\
\hline 1. (1a) & Mother tongue, literature & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 16 & 13 & 12.9 & 10.5 \\
\hline 2. (4) & Geography & 3 & 2 & - & 2 & 3 & 2 & - & 2 & 7 & 7 & 5.6 & 5.6 \\
\hline 3. (4) & History & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & - & 12 & 7 & 9.7 & 5.6 \\
\hline 4. (4) & Sociology with political economy & - & - & 2 & 3 & - & - & - & 2 & 5 & 2 & 4.0 & 1.6 \\
\hline 5. (4) & Social organization of the Yugoslav F.P.R. & 2 & 1 & - & - & 2 & 1 & - & - & 3 & 3 & 2.4 & 2.4 \\
\hline 6. (4) & Logic and psychology & - & - & 2 & - & - & -- & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & 1.6 & 1.6 \\
\hline 7. (4) & Philosophy & - & - & - & 3 & - & - & - & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2.4 & 0.8 \\
\hline 8. (6) & Art & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & - & 6 & 3 & 4.8 & 2.4 \\
\hline 9. (1c) & Modern languages* & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 16 & 11 & 12.9 & 8.9 \\
\hline 10. (1b) & Latin & 2 & 2 & - & - & 2 & 2 & - & - & 4 & 4 & 3.2 & 3.2 \\
\hline 11. (2) & Mathematics & 4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 11 & 17 & 8.9 & 13.7 \\
\hline 12. (3) & Physics & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 11 & 4.8 & 8.9 \\
\hline 13. (3) & Chemistry & - & 2 & 2 & - & - & 2 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 3.2 & 6.6 \\
\hline 14. (3) & Biology & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 8 & 4.8 & 6.5 \\
\hline 15. (2) & Descriptive geometry & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & 6 & 5.6 & 4.8 \\
\hline 16. (5) & Technical education & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 6 & 5.6 & 4.8 \\
\hline 17. (7) & Pre-military training & - & - & 2 & 2 & - & - & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 3.2 & 3.2 \\
\hline 18. (7) & Physical education & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 12 & 12 & 9.7 & 9.7 \\
\hline & Total & 31 & 31 & 31 & 31 & 31 & 31 & 31 & 31 & 124 & 124 & 99.7 & 99.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* The school ought to encourage the optional study of the second modern language: English, French, German, Russian.
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\section*{PART III}

\section*{EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS IN SELECTED DISTRICTS IN INDIA 1965-66}

\section*{INTRODUCTION TO PART III}

This study, which relates to the year 1964-65, is based on the statistics collected from 29 districts selected from 9 States of the Indian Union. The districts were so selected as to give, more or less, a representative picture of the different regions of the State which are at various levels of educational development. It gives information regarding school sizes according to enrolment and teachers, the number of pupils per teacher, and teachers by qualifications, age and emoluments. It also throws light on the incidence of stagnation at the elementary stage.
2. These statistics, which have been collected for the first time, are according to levels of education and not by type of institutions. In other words, the statistics given in respect of lower primary schools/departments pertain to all educational units consisting of classes I-IV/V-or less in the case of incomplete institutions-wherever they are functioning, i.e., whether in lower primary schools or as departments of other institutions. The same is true about higher primary and secondary schools/ departments, which stand respectively for classes IV/V to VII/VIII aild VIII/IX to X/XII depending on the system of education in a State.
3. In the paragraphs that follow, the scope of each table or a set of similar tables has been defined. In doing so, sometimes a concrete illustration has been taken from the relevant table(s) and the implications of the figures explained.
4. Tables I(a) to \(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i})\) : This set of 9 tables deals with the 9 States about which data are given in this volume-one table for each State. These tables give the distribution of one-teacher schools, 2 teacher schools, and so on, up to 10-teacher schools according to the number of pupils that a teacher has to teach. For instance, in Table I(a), the figures in the row against the side-head " 3 -teachers" indicate that in Andhra Pradesh 0.2 per cent of the 3 -teacher lower primary schools/departments have each less than 10 pupils per teacher, 1.8 per
cent have between 10 and 19 pupils per teacher, 8.3 per cent have between 20 and 29 pupils per teacher and so on. Figures in these tables can also be interpreted to indicate the range of enrolment in lower primary schools/departments with varying staff strengths. For instance, the same row can be understood to imply that 0.2 per cent of the 3 -teacher lower primary schools/departments have each less than 30 pupils in all the lower primary classes taken together, 1.8 per cent of these schools have enrolment between 30 and 60 each and so on.
5. Tables II(a) to III(i): These tables give exactly the same information about higher primary schools/ departments and secondary schools/departments as Tables \(I(a)\) to \(I(i)\) give about lower primary schools/departments.
6. Table IV(a): This table gives the distribution of lower primary schools/departments according to the range of enrolment therein. This table differs from Tables \(I(a)\) to \(I(i)\) in as much as it gives information for each selected district in a State and an average for the State as a whole (calculated on the basis of these districts only). For instance, the figures in the row against Hyderabad indicate that 25.3 per cent of the lower primary schools/departments in Hyderabad district have enrolment of less than 30 pupils each in the lower primary classes, 24.4 per cent have enrolment between 30 and 49 and so on.
7. Tables IV(b) and IV(c): These tables give exactly the same information about higher primary schools/departments and secondary schools/ departments as Table IV(a) gives about lower primary schools/ departments.
8. Tables \(\mathbf{V}(\mathrm{a})\) to \(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{c})\) : These tables give distribution of lower primary, higher primary and secondary schools/departments according to their staff strengths. In other words, these tables indicate the proportion of 1-teacher, 2-teacher, 3-teacher,
....schools/departments in the selected districts of the 9 States. For instance, the figures in the row against Hyderabad in Table \(V(b)\) mean that 5.0 per cent of the higher primary school units in the district of Hyderabad are single-teacher institutions, 17.5 per cent have only two teachers for these classes ....and finally 3.7 per cent of the schools have more than 10 teachers each.
9. Table VI(a) : This table shows, for each selected district separately, the range in the number of pupils that a teacher has to teach at the lower primary stage. For instance, the figures shown against Sehore indicate that in this district of Madhya Pradesh 7.4 per cent of all the teachers in lower primary schools/departments teach less than 10 pupils each, 7.1 per cent teach between 10 and 14 pupils each ... and 11.4 per cent of the teachers handle more than 70 pupils each. This table is distinct from Tables \(I(a)\) to \(I(i)\) in as much as it indicates for each selected district the proportion of lower primary teachers teaching less than 10 pupils each, between 10 and 14 pupils each and so on, irrespective of the size (both in terms of enrolment as well as teachers) of lower primary schools.
10. Table Vl(b) : The object of this table is to ascertain the proportion of lower primary teachers such that there is one teacher for one class, one teacher for two classes, one teacher for three classes and one teacher for four or five classes. For instance, in Barmer district of Rajasthan, only 3.4 per cent of the lower primary teachers teach one class each, 12.5 per cent of the teachers handle two classes, 17.6 per cent handle three classes, 24.2 per cent handle four classes and as many as 42.3 per cent handle all the five classes at the lower primary stage.
11. Table VII : This table gives the distribution of classes VI to XII according to the range of their enrolment. In compiling this table each section of a class-if a class has more than one sectionhas been taken as a unit. The table gives an overall picture based on the information for the 29 selected districts. For instance, it shows that of all the sixth class units, 9.5 per cent have less than 10 students each, 7.9 per cent have students between 10 and 14 only, ... and 5.2 per cent have as many as more
than 70 students each. Similarly for other classes from VII to XII.
12. Tables VIII(a) to VIII(p) : These 16 tables show the extent of stagnation in each of the first eight classes of the lower and higher primary stages so that there are two tables for each class--one for boys and the other for girls. Also the information has been given separately for each district,

Normally each student is expected to remain in a class for one academic year only. In the case of repeaters, this period is longer. These tables show the proportion of boys and girls in each class who are there for one year or less and those who are there for a period between one and two years, between two and three years, between three and four years and for more than four years. For instance, of all the boys in class \(I\) in the district of Hyderabad in Andhra Pradesh [Table VIII(a)], 71.3 per cent are there for one year, 25.0 per cent are in the same class for one to two years (showing once repeaters only), 3.6 per cent were there for two to three years (showing repeaters for the second time), and so on.

The last column gives the index of stagnation showing the excess time taken by each pupil. For instance, in Hyderabad each boy in class I has taken, on an average, 1.325 years to pass this class as against the normal time of one year (i.e. \(32.5 \%\) more).
13. Tables IX(a) to IX(c) : These tables give the proportion of untrained teachers, trained teachers and of those in whose case training is not required, working in lower primary schools/departments, higher primary schools/departments and secondary schools/departments. The information has been given separately for men teachers and women teachers. The object of these tables is to indicate the extent of backlog of teachers in each State (based on the information of the selected districts only) who need to be trained.
14. Tables \(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{a})\) to \(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{f})\) : These tables give the information about the ages of men and women teachers at the three levels of school education. For instance, in Table X(b), the figures against Kalahandi show that in this district of Orissa, 55.9 per cent of all women teachers in lower primary schools/
departments are of less than 20 years of age each, 17.7 per cent are between 20 and 25 years and another 17.7 per cent between 25 and 30 years and so on.
15. Tables \(\mathrm{XI}(\mathbf{a})\) to \(\mathrm{XI}(\mathbf{f})\) : These tables give exactly the same type of age distribution about untrained men and women teachers of lower primary, higher primary and secondary schools/ departments as Tables \(X(a)\) to \(X(f)\) give about all teachers. These tables will prove more useful than Tables IX(a) to IX(f) if untrained teachers beyond a certain age are not to be considered for training.
16. Tables XII(a) to XII(d): These four tables give the emoluments of teachers in the selected districts working in lower primary schools/depart-
ments managed by government, local bodies, private organisations and by all the managements taken together. For instance, in Poona district of Maharashtra, of all the lower primary school teachers working in government schools, 4.5 per cent get less than Rs. 60 per month each, 6.0 per cent get between Rs. 61 and 80 per month each, 13.4 per cent between Rs. 81 and 100 per month each and so on.
17. Tables XIII(a) to XIII(d) and XIV(a) to XIV(d) : These tables give exactly the same type of information about the monthly emoluments of teachers in higher primary and secondary schools under various managements as Tables XII(a) to XII(d) give about teachers of lower primary schools/ departments.

TABLE I(a)
DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN ANDHRA PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 0.3 & 3.5 & 11.5 & 19.6 & 31.9 & 16.8 & 10.7 & 3.4 & 2.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 0.4 & 1.0 & 4.5 & 46.5 & 42.6 & 4.5 & 0.4 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 0.2 & 1.8 & 8.3 & 45.1 & 31.4 & 11.3 & 1.2 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 1.7 & 4.1 & 11.0 & 39.7 & 34.9 & 7.7 & 0.7 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 0.4 & 4.1 & 13.6 & 42.8 & 28.4 & 7.4 & 2.5 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 1.5 & 8.3 & 38.3 & 40.6 & 9.8 & 1.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 2.6 & 5.2 & 33.8 & 55.8 & 2.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 1.7 & 1.7 & 34.9 & 60.0 & 1.7* & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & - & 19.3 & 32.3 & \(48.4 \dagger\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & - & 6.7 & 40.0 & 53.3 \(\dagger\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of \(\mathbf{3}\) districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 50 and above.
t 40 and above.

TABLE I(b)
DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN KERALA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Tozal \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & - & - & 40.0 & 20.0 & - & - & 40.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & - & - & 18.2 & 27.3 & 27.3 & 18.2 & 9.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & - & 2.0 & 12.0 & 34.0 & 30.0 & 16.0 & 6.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & - & 4.4 & 39,1 & 37.0 & 9.6 & 6.2 & 2.8 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & - & 1.3 & 56.5 & 28.8 & 6.4 & 5.2 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 6.1 & 52.2 & 26.4 & 7.5 & 5.7 & 2.1* & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 5.1 & 40.4 & 28.9 & 12.8 & \(12.8 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 0.5 & 48.5 & 28.1 & 10.4 & \(12.5 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 1.6 & 39.5 & 25.6 & 33.3ł & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 2.4 & 28.6 & 28.6 & \(40.4 \ddagger\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
- 60 and above.
\(\dagger 50\) and above.
\(\ddagger 40\) and above.

TABLE I(c)
DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MADHYA PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 2.9 & 19.4 & 32.3 & 20.7 & 10.6 & 7.6 & 4.3 & 0.9 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 0.6 & 5.7 & 31.3 & 39.4 & 12.8 & 7.9 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 0.5 & 6.3 & 22.3 & 44.2 & 19.9 & 4.9 & 1.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 0.9 & 13.0 & 23:2 & 44.4 & 14.8 & 2.8 & 0.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 3.6 & 5.5 & 38.2 & 30.9 & 20.0 & - & 1.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 17.9 & 17.9 & 42.8 & 14.3 & 7.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 16.0 & 24.0 & 20.0 & 28.0 & 12.0 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 13.1 & 39.1 & 47.8 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 14.3 & 71.4 & 14.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 12.5 & 37.5 & 37.5 & 12.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account. -

\section*{TABLE I(d)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MAHARASHTRA}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 0.4 & 8.1 & 22.9 & 33.6 & 18.0 & 8.7 & 3.6 & 1.9 & 2.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 0.1 & 0.7 & 33.1 & 45.3 & 11.1 & 5.1 & 2.8 & 0.6 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 0.2 & 1.3 & 16.9 & 43.1 & 18.5 & 12.3 & 5.2 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & - & 1.1 & 16.3 & 42.9 & 22.7 & 12.0 & 4.0 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & - & 1.7 & 18.1 & 44.0 & 21.0 & 11.1 & 3.7 & - & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 0.4 & 19.0 & 43.4 & 24.8 & 9.1 & 3.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 1.5 & 20.0 & 33.3 & 34.1 & 11.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & - & 21.8 & 33.9 & 31.4 & 12.9 & . - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & - & 25.3 & 34.2 & 40.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & - & 16.4 & 32.8 & 50.8 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE 1(e)
DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MYSORE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Below } \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 0.8 & 6.1 & 18.0 & 20.6 & 21.3 & 12.5 & 7.9 & 4.3 & 8.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 0.3 & 2.8 & 10.5 & 29.3 & 23.6 & 16.2 & 8.3 & 4.4 & 4.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 0.1 & 1.9 & 12.4 & 27.4 & 27.2 & 16.4 & 9.2 & 2.9 & 2.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & - & 2.0 & 11.7 & 32.2 & 29.3 & 17.1 & 4.1 & 2.3 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 0.9 & 3.7 & 10.2 & 23.6 & 33.8 & 20.4 & 5.1 & 1.4 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 1.5 & 12.2 & 33.6 & 34.4 & 9.9 & 8.4* & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 2.5 & 11.9 & 28.0 & 45.8 & \(11.8 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & - & 11.0 & 25.0 & 41.0 & \(23.0 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 1.8 & 10.7 & 30.4 & \(57.1+\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 3.8 & 7.7 & 50.0 & \(38.5+\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 60 and above.
\(\dagger 50\) and above.
\(\pm 40\) and above.

TABLE I(f)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN ORISSA}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & - & 0.7 & 41.0 & 38.7 & 11.7 & 4.1 & 2.7 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 0.5 & 10.1 & 42.2 & 35.5 & 7.2 & 3.1 & 1.3 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & -. & 10.3 & 59.9 & 25.9 & 3.5 & 0.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & - & 7.1 & 22.8 & 47.0 & 22.8 & 0.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & - & 1.2 & 26.7 & 50.9 & 13.3 & 7.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 5.9 & 19.1 & 55.9 & 10.3 & 8.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & - & 34.7 & 56.5 & 4.4 & 4.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & - & 37.5 & 50.0 & 12.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & ... & 66.6 & 16.7 & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 2 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE I(g)
DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN PUNJAB
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 0.9 & 5.5 & 13.2 & 20.2 & 23.1 & 13.8 & 15.6 & 4.6 & 3.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & - & 0.5 & 3.8 & 42.6 & 30.3 & 12.7 & 7.6 & 2.0 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & - & - & 4.3 & 28.6 & 37.9 & 20.0 & 7.9 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & - & 1.6 & 6.5 & 21.0 & 48.3 & 19.4 & - & 3.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & - & - & 12.5 & 25.0 & 46.9 & 15.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & - & - & 20.0 & 33.3 & 26.7 & 20.0* & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & - & 12.5 & 31.3 & 25.0 & \(31.2 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & - & 18.2 & 45.5 & 27.3 & \(9.0 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & - & - & 9.1 & \(90.9 \ddagger\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & - & - & 40.0 & \(60.0 \ddagger\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of one district.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 60 and above.
\(\dagger 50\) and above.
\(\pm 40\) and above.

\section*{TABLE I(h)}

DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN RAJASTHAN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 1.2 & 12.0 & 31.7 & 30.4 & 14.4 & 6.0 & 2.9 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 0.3 & 5.1 & 42.7 & 36.4 & 9.6 & 4.2 & 1.4 & - & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & - & 6.2 & 33.6 & 44.0 & 13.1 & 2.7 & 0.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & - & 8.1 & 40.3 & 41.1 & 8.1 & 2.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & - & 18.2 & 45.2 & 28.9 & 5.8 & 1.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 36.8 & 39.0 & 23.2 & 1.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 7.1 & 33.8 & 35.2 & 21.1 & 2.8 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 35.0 & 47.5 & 15.0 & 2.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 13.8 & 62.1 & 24.1* & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 20.0 & 46.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 30 and above.

TABLE I(i)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN UTTAR PRADESH}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & & 0.9 & 4.1 & 9.5 & 18.4 & 22.3 & 14.8 & 10.5 & 6.3 & 13.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & & 0.2 & 3.2 & 12.1 & 23.6 & 23.0 & 15.5 & 8.8 & 6.6 & 7.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & \(\cdots\) & - & 2.5 & 8.6 & 23.6 & 23.8 & 20.1 & 11.8 & 5.6 & 4.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & & 0.2 & 1.5 & 10.0 & 24.2 & 32.1 & 19.6 & 8.7 & 2.6 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & & 0.2 & 2.6 & 12.1 & 27.5 & 28.6 & 17.6 & 6.4 & 3.3 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & & - & 2.6 & 9.7 & 30.3 & 25.1 & 10.8 & 21.5* & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & & 1.6 & 4.9 & 16.4 & 41.0 & 18.0 & \(18.1 \dagger\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & & - & 3.4 & 10.4 & 37.9 & 37.9 & \(10.4 *\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & - & 4.2 & = & 33.3 & \(62.5+\) & - & \(=\) & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & & - & - & 16.6 & 41.7 & \(41.7 \pm\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 5 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 60 and above.
\(\dagger 50\) and above.
\(\pm 40\) and above.

TABLE 1I(a)
DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN ANDHRA PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (0) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 13.0 & 36.3 & 33.8 & 13.0 & 2.6 & - & - & - & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 17.3 & 57.7 & 11.5 & 9.6 & 3.9 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 7.4 & 51.9 & 22.2 & 13.0 & 3.7 & 1.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 12.8 & 48.7 & . 25.7 & 7.7 & 5.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 5.6 & 38.9 & 27.7 & 25.0 & 2.8 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 15.4 & 56.4 & 17.9 & 5.1 & 2.6 & 2.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 30.3 & 47.4 & 11.8 & 9.2 & 1.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & 5.2 & 71.1 & 21.1 & 2.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline p teachers & -- & 51.7 & 34.5 & 10.3 & 3.5* & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline ) teachers & - & 35.7 & 46.4 & 17.9 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 40 and above.

TABLE II(b)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN KERALA}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & - & - & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & - & - & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & - & 12.9 & 41.9 & 41.9 & 3.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 1.9 & 28.8 & 55.9 & 11.5 & 1.9 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 3.7 & 37.8 & 47.6 & 8.5 & 1.2 & - & - & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & - & 43.0 & 44.3 & 12.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 1.7 & 30.5 & 52.5 & 11.9 & 3.4 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 35.2 & 42.5 & 18.5 & 1.9 & 1.9* & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 27.3 & 63.6 & 9.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 42.9 & 48.6 & 8.5 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
- 50 and above.

TABLE II(c)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MADHYA PRADESH}


Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE II(d)
DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MAHARASHTRA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and \(A b o v_{\epsilon}\) & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 20.5 & 33.2 & 26.5 & 15.3 & 3.4 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 4.8 & 34.1 & 45.3 & 14.3 & 1.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 3.6 & 36.4 & 42.1 & 14.9 & 1.8 & 1.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 0.3 & 29.0 & 48.3 & 18.9 & 1.1 & 1.5 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 1.2 & 11.0 & 53.7 & 31.8 & 1.2 & 0.6 & - & - & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 1.3 & 11.0 & 58.1 & 28.4 & 0.6 & - & 0.6 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 5.9 & 55.1 & 35.6 & 3.4 & - & - &  & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers \({ }^{\text {- }}\) & \(\cdots\) & 10.9 & 42.2 & 35.9 & 11.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 3.9 & 50.0 & 34.6 & 11.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 3.8 & 32.7 & 48.1 & 15.4 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

\section*{TABLE 1I(c)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MYSORE}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9). & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 18.5 & 31.7 & 24.2 & 15.4 & 7.4 & 1.7 & 0.3 & - & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers - & 5.7 & 39.8 & 34.6 & 14.8 & 4.4 & - & 0.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers - & 4.4 & 37.0 & 34.2 & 18.8 & 3.7 & 1.6 & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 4.0 & 35.1 & 41.4 & 14.4 & 3.4 & 1.1 & - & - & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { teachers } \\
& \text { te }
\end{aligned}
\] & 9.3 & 26.7 & 32.6 & 23.2 & 4.7 & 2.3 & 1.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 4.6 & 18.5 & 47.7 & 26.2 & 1.5 & 1.5 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 3.3 & 6.7 & 46.6 & 30.0 & 6.7 & 6.7 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers -- & \(6.5{ }^{-}\) & \(25.8{ }^{\text {- }}\) & \(38.7{ }^{\text {\% }}\) & \(25.8{ }^{-}\) & \(3.2{ }^{--}\) & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 -teachers -- & - \({ }^{-}\) & \(12.5{ }^{-}\) & \(56.3^{\cdots}\) & 31.2 & - & -' & - & -- & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \(10 \cdot\) teachers -- & \(-^{-}\) & \(7.7^{--}\) & \(46.2{ }^{-}\) & \(38.4{ }^{-}\) & 7.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE II(f)
dISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN ORISSA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & - & 20.8 & 41.7 & 16.7 & 8.3 & 12.5 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 9.3 & 40.1 & 23.6 & 14.3 & 1.1 & 2.2 & 8.8 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 8.0 & 53.3 & 16.7 & 16.7 & 5.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 6.3 & 31.2 & 28.1 & 28.1 & 6.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & - & 16.1 & 25.8 & 32.3 & 22.6 & 3.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 15.4 & 7.7 & 7.7 & 53.8 & 7.7 & - & 7.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 14.3 & 42.8 & 28.6 & 14.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 25.0 & 75.0 & - & - & - & - & - - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 50.0 & 33.3 & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 0 teachers & - & -.. & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 2 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

\section*{TABLE II(g)}

DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN PUNJAB
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2 teachers & - & - & - & -. & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 3 teachers & 33.3 & 33.3 & 22.2 & - & 11.2 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 4.3 & 47.8 & 8.7 & 17.4 & 8.7 & - & 4.4 & 8.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 10.5 & 26.3 & 36.9 & 26.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 14.3 & 28.6 & 42.8 & - & 14.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 33.3 & 33.3 & 33.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 20.0 & 20.0 & 40.0 & - & 20.0* & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & 16.7 & 66.6 & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & - & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of one district.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
- 50 and above.

TABLE M(h)
DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PLPILS AND TEACHERS IN RAJASTHAN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 14.3 & 14.3 & 14.3 & 14.3 & 14.3 & - & 14.3 & - & 14.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 4.8 - & 19.0 & 26.2 & 28.6 & 11.9-- & 9.5 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 16.1 & 21.4 & 33.9 - & 19.7 & 7.1 & 1.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 7.6 & 52.8 & 24.5 & 11.3 & 1.9 & - & - & 1.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 11.1 & 38.9 & 30.5 & 13.9 & 2.8 & 2.8 & - & - & \(\cdots\) & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 4.3 & 65.2 & 26.2 & 4.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 3.6 & 39.3 & 50.0 & 7.1 & - & - & \(\square\) & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 53.3 & 46.7 & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers - & 9.1- & 36.4 & 27.3 - & 18.1 - & 9.1 & -. & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - \({ }^{-}\) & 50.0 - & 41.7 & 8.3 & - & - & -- & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE II(i)
DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND
TEACHERS IN UTTAR PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 10 & 10-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline 1 teacher & 56.7 & 13.3 & 16.6 & 6.6 & - & 3.4 & 3.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 2 teachers & 15.6 & 21.9 & 25.0 & 12.5 & 12.5 & 6.3 & - & 3.1 & 3.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline 3 teachers & 4.0 & 13.3 & 24.0 & 38.7 & 12.0 & 4.0 & 2.7 & - & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline 4 teachers & 4.7 & 25.6 & 32.6 & 23.3 & 10.5 & 1.1 & - & 1.1 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline 5 teachers & 7.2 & 27.5 & 37.7 & 15.9 & 7.3 & 2.9 & 1.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 1.5 & 19.4 & 46.3 & 17.9 & 9.0 & 4.4 & 1.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & 17.6 & 50.0 & 14.7 & 11.8 & 5.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 3.8 & 38.5 & 38.5 & 15.4 & \(3.8{ }^{*}\) & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & 7.1 & 7.1 & 35.7 & 28.6 & \(21.5 \dagger\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTE: 1. The information is based on a sample of 5 districts.
2. Schools with more than 10 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 50 and above.
† 40 and above.

TABLE III(a)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN ANDHRA PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 80 \text { and } \\
& \text { Ahove }
\end{aligned}
\] & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 teachers & 65.2 & 32.6 & 2.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 43.3 & 43.3 & 10.0 & 3.4 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 55.6 & 27.8 & 16.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & 50.0 & 25.0 & 16.7 & 8.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & 55.0 & 40.0 & - & 5.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 teachers & 28.6 & 42.8 & 28.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 teachers & 16.7 & 83.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 13 teachers & 11.1 & 88.9 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 14 teachers & 18.2 & 63.6 & 18.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 15 teachers & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into-account.

\section*{TABLE III(b)}

DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN KERALA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 teachers & 20.0 & 80.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 62.5 & 37.5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & 80.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & 40.0 & 60.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 teachers & - & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 teachers & 20.0 & 60.0 & - & 20.0* & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 13 teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 14 teachers & - & 75.0 & \(25.0 \dagger\) & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 15 teachers & 12.5 & 75.0 & \(12.5 \dagger\) & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 40 and above.
\(\dagger 30\) and above.

TABLE III(c)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLSISECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS
IN MADHYA PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & \(40-49\) & 50-59 & 63-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 & teachers & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 & teachers & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 & teachers & 77.8 & 11.1 & - & 11.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 & teachers & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 & teachers & 66.7 & - & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 & teachers & 55.6 & 22.2 & 22.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 & teachers & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 & teachers & 83.3 & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 13 & teachers & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 14 & teachers & 25.0 & 25.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 15 & teachers & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE III(d)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN MAHARASHTRA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 teachers & 66.0 & 27.6 & 6.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 103.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 21.7 & 33.3 & - & 31.7 & 11.6 & 1.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 18.3 & 12.2 & 3.7 & 24.4 & 23.2 & 15.8 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & 22.0 & 24.4 & 2.4 & 29.3 & 17.1 & 4.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & 22.7 & 20.5 & 2.3 & 27.3 & 27.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & 40.6 & 21.9 & - & 31.2 & 6.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 teachers & 10.0 & 30.0 & 33.3 & 26.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 teachers & 17.4 & 21.7 & 52.2 & 8.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 13 teachers & 29.4 & 11.8 & 41.2 & 17.6 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 14 teachers & 6.9 & 37.9 & 55.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 15 teachers & 5.6 & 33.3 & 61.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note : 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE 111(e)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS.
IN MYSORE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 & teachers & 25.0 & 41.6 & 16.7 & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 & teachers & 59.1 & 18.2 & 18.2 & 4.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 & teachers & - & 50.0 & 37.5 & 6.2 & 6.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 & teachers & 16.7 & 53.3 & 23.3 & 6.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 & teachers & 31.8 & 54.5 & 9.1 & 4.6 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 & teachers & 20.0 & 33.3 & 46.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & 40.0 & 33.3 & 26.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 & teachers & 11.8 & 52.9 & 35.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 13 & teachers & - & 42.9 & 35.7 & 21.4* & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & 25.0 & \(75.0 \dagger\) & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & 27.3 & \(72.7 \dagger\) & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 4 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.
* 40 and above.
\(\dagger 30\) and above.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{TABLE III(f)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHIOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN ORISSA} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and
Above & Total \\
\hline & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 & teachers & 42.9 & 14.3 & 28.5 & 14.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 & teachers & 52.6 & 31.6 & 15.8 & - & - & -- & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 & teachers & 40.9 & 50.0 & 9.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 & teachers & 76.5 & 23.5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 & teachers & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 & teachers & 60.0 & 40.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 & teachers & 72.7 & 22.7 & 4.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 & teachers & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 42.9 & 42.9 & 14.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 2 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE III(g)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN PUNJAB
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 teachers & 40.0 & 40.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 40.0 & 60.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 20.0 & 80.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10 teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 teachers & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 teackers & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 13 teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of one district.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers have not been tak \(n\) into account.

\section*{TABLE III(h)}

DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN RAJASTHAN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schoo's with Pupi:-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 cind
A hove & Total \\
\hline & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline & teachers & 53.8 & 38.5 & 7.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 72.7 & 27.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 80.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 60.0 & 40.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 71.4 & 28.6 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline & teachers & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: 1. The information is based on a sample of 3 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.

TABLE III(i)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO PUPILS AND TEACHERS IN UTTAR PRADESH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Schools with} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools with Pupil-Teacher Ratio} \\
\hline & Below 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70-79 & 80 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline 5 teachers & 85.7 & 14.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 6 teachers & 58.3 & 16.7 & - & 16.7 & 8.3 & - & -- & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 7 teachers & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 8 teachers & 41.7 & 33.3 & 8.3 & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 9 teachers & 40.0 & 30.0 & 10.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 10 teachers & 41.7 & 41.7 & 16.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 11 teachers & 33.3 & 66.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 12 teachers & 33.3 & 44.5 & 11.1 & 11.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 13 teachers & 25.0 & 75.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 14 teachers & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline 15 teachers & - & 44.4 & 55.6* & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Nore: 1. The information is based on a sample of 5 districts.
2. Schools with less than 5 teachers and more than 15 teachers have not been taken into account.
- 30 and above

TABLE IV(a)
DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO SIZE (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Sections with Enrolment} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Below } \\
30
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 30- \\
& 49
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 50- \\
& 69
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
70- \\
99
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 100- \\
& 139
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140- \\
& 179
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 180- \\
& 239
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 240- \\
& 319
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 320- \\
& 400
\end{aligned}
\] & 400 and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 25.3 & 24.4 & 13.1 & 14.8 & 13.1 & 5.5 & 2.8 & 0.9 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 3.3 & 23.0 & 24.7 & 22.4 & 13.5 & 6.0 & 3.8 & 2.2 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.5 & 16.8 & 15.5 & 23.0 & 18.0 & 10.1 & 7.0 & 4.0 & 3.1 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.4 & 20.9 & 19.3 & 21.4 & 15.1 & 7.4 & 4.9 & 2.6 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala 10.410 .6} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 0.2 & 0.6 & 2.8 & 22.8 & 25.3 & 26.2 & 16.0 & 3.4 & 2.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & 1.0 & 1.8 & 4.1 & 4.5 & 14.6 & 23.6 & 20.4 & 30.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 3.4 & 42.9 & 24.7 & 17.1 & 6.8 & 2.2 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & - & 1.3 & 2.6 & 8.5 & 9.8 & 16.2 & 61.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 2.6 & 25.1 & 19.8 & 19.3 & 12.7 & 6.7 & 12.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh 12.9100 .0} \\
\hline Sehore & 60.9 & 20.6 & 6.9 & 2.5 & 2.8 & 0.9 & 2.3 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 21.1 & 18.8 & 29.8 & 13.9 & 10.9 & 1.9 & 1.9 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 11.9 & 22.7 & 22.8 & 16.4 & 14.5 & 5.8 & 3.5 & 1.5 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 32.3 & 20.6 & 19.6 & 10.6 & 9.1 & 2.8 & 2.5 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 12.2 & 37.3 & 16.9 & 12.7 & 8.6 & 4.6 & 3.4 & 2.2 & 0.8 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 18.3 & 15.9 & 11.9 & 12.4 & 5.8 & 9.9 & 10.8 & 8.0 & 5.2 & 1.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 19.0 & 16.3 & 32.0 & 5.3 & 12.2 & 4.6 & 3.2 & 1.9 & 1.5 & 3.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 10.3 & 26.5 & 11.4 & 12.5 & 8.0 & 6.1 & 8.5 & 6.0 & 4.0 & 6.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 14.3 & 24.8 & 17.8 & 10.7 & 8.8 & 6.1 & 6.4 & 4.5 & 2.8 & 3.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.6 & 13.7 & 10.6 & 18.2 & 16.3 & 12.3 & 13.1 & 6.9 & 4.0 & 4.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 13.8 & 31.1 & 20.0 & 16.3 & 9.7 & 4.1 & 3.0 & 1.1 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 2.3 & 10.4 & 15.6 & 18.8 & 19.8 & 12.1 & 8.3 & 5.9 & 3.9 & 2.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 31.8 & 23.9 & 11.1 & 10.4 & 10.2 & 4.7 & 4.1 & 2.6 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 11.8 & 20.7 & 14.7 & 16.1 & 13.6 & 8.1 & 7.0 & 3.9 & 2.2 & 1.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 11.6 & 31.1 & 18.1 & 15.2 & 9.3 & 7.5 & 5.2 & 1.5 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 36.8 & 32.7 & 13.6 & 11.0 & 4.3 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 20.0 & 31.6 & 16.6 & 13.8 & 7.7 & 5.3 & 3.6 & 1.1 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab 100.0} \\
\hline Ambaia & 6.2 & 14.0 & 16.8 & 25.0 & 16.5 & 7.6 & 6.2 & 2.8 & 1.7 & 3.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan 3.210 .0} \\
\hline Jaipur & 23.6 & 24.0 & 18.7 & 13.4 & 7.5 & 4.9 & 4.2 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 26.3 & 38.2 & 20.2 & 5.1 & 6.2 & 0.8 & 2.3 & 0.6 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 23.7 & 28.1 & 15.1 & 13.3 & 11.1 & 4.1 & 2.3 & 1.8 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 24.0 & 27.3 & 17.5 & 12.3 & 8.8 & 4.1 & 3.2 & 1.5 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Meerut & 1.4 & 8.0 & 12.2 & 19.0 & 22.5 & 15.1 & 13.6 & 6.2 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 30.8 & 34.8 & 22.2 & 9.5 & 2.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 0.3 & 3.3 & 6.7 & 13.6 & 20.1 & 20.2 & 18.5 & 11.6 & 3.6 & 2.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 1.1 & 7.5 & 9.0 & 21.1 & 25.5 & 15.7 & 12.5 & 6.0 & 1.1 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 2.3 & 23.3 & 23.4 & 26.0 & 11.3 & 5.9 & 5.5 & 1.3 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.2 & 12.5 & 13.5 & 18.8 & 18.5 & 13.0 & 11.5 & 5.7 & 1.5 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 12.7 & 20.4 & 15.9 & 15.1 & 13.2 & 8.0 & 6.9 & 3.9 & 1.9 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE IV(b)}

DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO SIZE (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Sections/Classes with Enrolment} \\
\hline & Below 20 & 20-39 & 40-59 & 60-79 & 80-119 & 120-159 & 160-199 & 200-279 & 280-399 & 400 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 10.0 & 20.0 & 17.6 & 20.0 & 16.3 & 3.7 & 5.0 & 3.7 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 9.4 & 10.4 & 10.4 & 15.1 & 14.8 & 11.4 & 6.1 & 6.7 & 7.0 & 8.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 6.0 & 18.3 & 4.5 & 5.5 & 5.5 & 10.5 & 11.4 & 8.9 & 14.5 & 14.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 8.3 & 14.5 & 9.3 & 12.4 & 11.7 & 10.1 & 7.8 & 7.1 & 9.0 & 9.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & - & 4.2 & 6.5 & 30.1 & 22.5 & 11.2 & 14.8 & 8.3 & 2.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & 0.9 & 1.8 & 10.9 & 10.9 & 15.5 & 24.5 & 22.8 & 12.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & 1.4 & 2.8 & 7.7 & 28.6 & 24.0 & 15.9 & 10.0 & 5.1 & 4.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & 1.8 & - & 4.3 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 15.5 & 32.7 & 37.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & & 0.5 & 2.6 & 4.9 & 21.3 & 17.6 & 12.4 & 14.9 & 14.3 & 11.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 21.6 & 25.6 & 14.2 & 10.8 & 10.8 & 4.8 & 4.7 & 3.4 & 4.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 8.6 & 14.5 & 12.8 & 14.5 & 27.3 & 11.2 & 1.8 & 2.6 & 4.2 & 2.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 11.1 & 25.0 & 23.3 & 13.3 & 12.2 & 5.6 & 4.5 & 2.8 & 1.6 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 13.9 & 22.6 & 17.5 & 12.8 & 15.7 & 6.8 & 3.8 & 2.9 & 3.1 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 14.1 & 19.8 & 17.0 & 13.7 & 16.5 & 6.6 & 3.8 & 3.8 & 3.3 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & - & - & 25.8 & 28.8 & 18.9 & 21.1 & 5.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 8.7 & 18.6 & 17.6 & 17.2 & 13.4 & 7.9 & 7.1 & 6.7 & 2.5 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 15.5 & 17.6 & 15.7 & 11.3 & 14.3 & 8.6 & 3.8 & 5.8 & 3.2 & 4.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 11.0 & 15.9 & 14.3 & 12.1 & 12.4 & 10.4 & 8.2 & 7.6 & 5.3 & 2.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 18.3 & 33.6 & 18.1 & 9.7 & 8.4 & 4.5 & 2.9 & 3.3 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 7.0 & 17.1 & 21.6 & 13.7 & 20.2 & 7.3 & 5.2 & 4.7 & 2.1 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 21.2 & 13.8 & 16.1 & 12.7 & 17.5 & 7.8 & 5.2 & 3.0 & 2.3 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 5.7 & 15.7 & 23.6 & 22.7 & 15.0 & 6.1 & 6.1 & 2.6 & 2.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 13.8 & 22.8 & 19.5 & 13.3 & 14.3 & 6.1 & 4.4 & 3.6 & 1.7 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 1.7 & 25.4 & 22.8 & 14.2 & 13.3 & 12.2 & 6.7 & 2.5 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 17.7 & 43.8 & 17.8 & 8.3 & 9.4 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.1 & 29.4 & 21.8 & 12.9 & 12.4 & 9.8 & 5.5 & 2.3 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.1 & 4.6 & 16.1 & 10.3 & 10.4 & 15.0 & 16.1 & 16.1 & 5.7 & 4.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 2.3 & 3.5 & 8.7 & 14.7 & 22.2 & 15.8 & 14.7 & 6.4 & 5.9 & 5.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 8.0 & 8.0 & 24.0 & 4.0 & 28.0 & 8.0 & - & 20.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 2.5 & 10.9 & 20.2 & 18.5 & 24.4 & 9.2 & 5.0 & 3.4 & 2.5 & 3.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.8 & 6.7 & 14.3 & 15.2 & 23.5 & 12.7 & 9.8 & 6.4 & 4.2 & 4.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 4.5 & - & - & 1.1 & 5.8 & 10.4 & 12.7 & 16.1 & 48.3 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 5.6 & - & 22.2 & 16.7 & 33.3 & 13.9 & - & 8.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 2.6 & 3.9 & 5.9 & 10.6 & 25.6 & 17.1 & 12.5 & 12.5 & 8.0 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 5.5 & 2.2 & 4.4 & 1.1 & 23.1 & 16.5 & 25.2 & 13.2 & 3.3 & 5.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 10.6 & 10.6 & 11.5 & 14.1 & 23.0 & 16.0 & 2.7 & 7.9 & 3.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.7 & 4.2 & 7.1 & 8.4 & 21.5 & 15.2 & 11.7 & 11.9 & 12.6 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 9.3 & 15.9 & 14.2 & 11.7 & 15.0 & 10.3 & 7.6 & 7.0 & 5.6 & 3.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE IV(c)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO SIZE (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools/Sections with Enrolment} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Below } \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
100- \\
139
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
140- \\
179
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
180- \\
239
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
240- \\
319
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
320- \\
399
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
400- \\
479
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
480- \\
519
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
520 \text { and } \\
\text { Above }
\end{gathered}
\] & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 84.0 & 6.4 & 3.2 & 6.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 43.8 & 16.5 & 5.0 & 5.8 & 7.4 & 7.4 & 8.3 & 0.8 & 5.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 27.1 & 16.1 & 12.7 & 13.6 & 9.3 & 7.6 & 5.9 & 0.9 & 6.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 41.1 & 15.2 & 8.1 & 9.3 & 7.4 & 6.7 & 6.3 & 0.7 & 5.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 8.3 & 13.9 & 5.6 & 8.3 & 19.4 & 13.9 & 2.8 & - & 27.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 4.5 & 6.8 & 4.6 & 6.9 & 13.7 & 22.7 & 11.3 & 6.8 & 22.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 5.9 & 5.9 & - & 17.6 & - & 5.9 & 5.9 & 2.9 & 55.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & 7.1 & 7.1 & 2.4 & 4.8 & 7.2 & 11.9 & 2.4 & 57.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.5 & 8.3 & 4.5 & 8.3 & 9.6 & 12.8 & 8.4 & 3.2 & 40.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 65.2 & 4.3 & 8.7 & 4.4 & - & 4.4 & 4.4 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 29.2 & 16.7 & 4.2 & 8.4 & 12.5 & 12.5 & 4.1 & 4.1 & 8.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 17.3 & 10.3 & 20.7 & 24.3 & 13.8 & 6.8 & 3.4 & - & 3.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 35.5 & 10.5 & 11.9 & 13.2 & 9.2 & 7.9 & 3.9 & 2.6 & 5.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 72.9 & 5.9 & 4.7 & 4.7 & 3.5 & 5.9 & 1.2 & - & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & - & 17.7 & 21.8 & 36.5 & 18.7 & 5.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 28.2 & 13.0 & 13.7 & 12.2 & 6.1 & 6.9 & 2.3 & 3.8 & 13.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 28.3 & 14.5 & 12.8 & 3.2 & 11.2 & 5.4 & 5.3 & 1.1 & 18.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 25.6 & 8.2 & 7.8 & 4.4 & 11.1 & 11.0 & 14.1 & 7.2 & 10.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Mysare} \\
\hline Dharwar & 17.1 & 13.1 & 13.2 & 9.2 & 15.8 & 7.9 & 2.6 & - & 21.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 17.5 & 8.8 & 9.9 & 13.7 & 10.1 & 5.0 & 13.8 & 7.5 & 13.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 17.8 & 11.8 & 11.9 & 10.9 & 14.8 & 12.9 & 5.0 & 2.0 & 12.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 44.0 & 16.0 & - & 12.0 & 8.0 & - & 8.0 & - & 12.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 19.9 & 11.7 & 10.6 & 11.4 & 13.1 & 8.2 & 7.1 & 2.8 & 15.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 18.2 & 22.2 & 22.2 & 20.2 & 9.2 & 6.0 & 2.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 80.9 & 4.8 & 4.8 & - & 4.8 & - & 4.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 29.2 & 19.2 & 19.2 & 16.6 & 8.3 & 5.0 & 2.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 40.4 & 21.2 & 6.3 & 10.7 & 12.8 & 4.3 & - & 4.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 60.0 & 20.0 & - & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 50.0 & 21.8 & 12.6 & 6.3 & - & - & - & - & 9.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 45.1 & 21.4 & 8.4 & 9.5 & 7.2 & 2.4 & - & 2.4 & 3.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 15.0 & 16.7 & 8.3 & 13.3 & 11.7 & 8.4 & 3.3 & - & 23.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 25.0 & 8.3 & - & 16.7 & - & 25.0 & 8.3 & - & 16.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 21.8 & 8.6 & - & 13.0 & 13.1 & 13.1 & - & - & 30.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 25.0 & 11.1 & 8.3 & 16.6 & 11.1 & 5.6 & 2.8 & 2.8 & 16.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 29.1 & 4.2 & 4.2 & 8.4 & 16.6 & 12.5 & 4.2 & - & 20.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 21.3 & 11.6 & 5.8 & 13.5 & 11.7 & 10.4 & 3.2 & 0.6 & 21.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 26.6 & 11.7 & 9.1 & 8.9 & 10.3 & 9.0 & 8.2 & 3.5 & 12.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE V(a)}

DISTRIBUTION OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF TEACHERS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Percentage of Lower Primary Schools/Sections Having} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { cher }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \\
& \text { Tea- } \\
& \text { chers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
4 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tea- } \\
& \text { chers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
7 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \\
& \text { Tea- } \\
& \text { chers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
10 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & More than 10 Teachers & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 51.9 & 18.5 & 11.2 & 10.0 & 4.7 & 1.5 & 0.9 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 44.5 & 31.8 & 11.4 & 5.5 & 2.9 & 1.7 & 0.9 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 27.1 & 35.0 & 17.0 & 7.3 & 5.1 & 3.2 & 1.9 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 39.3 & 30.8 & 13.4 & 6.9 & 4.0 & 2.2 & 1.3 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 0.6 & 3.4 & 19.6 & 18.5 & 16.4 & 14.6 & 10.6 & 7.3 & 4.4 & 4.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 0.5 & 1.4 & 5.9 & 15.0 & 15.4 & 10.0 & 18.2 & 7.7 & 6.8 & 5.0 & 14.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.6 & 0.6 & 1.0 & 19.7 & 30.7 & 17.4 & 9.3 & 10.0 & 4.7 & 2.7 & 3.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & 2.1 & 6.0 & 4.3 & 9.0 & 11.1 & 12.8 & 12.4 & 9.8 & 32.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.3 & 0.6 & 2.7 & 17.3 & 20.8 & 15.1 & 12.7 & 10.3 & 7.0 & 4.5 & 8.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 80.2 & 8.3 & 1.8 & 1.6 & 1.5 & 1.1 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 2.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 42.6 & 36.5 & 10.1 & 5.6 & 2.0 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.7 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 49.9 & 26.0 & 12.1 & 5.2 & 2.6 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.0 & - & 0.1 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 58.2 & 23.3 & 7.8 & 4.1 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 63.3 & 16.9 & 8.1 & 3.8 & 3.1 & 1.3 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 29.6 & 23.3 & 6.3 & 9.1 & 4.7 & 8.8 & 4.4 & 4.9 & 2.4 & 1.8 & 4.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 35.8 & 34.5 & 10.7 & 5.6 & 3.3 & 2.9 & 1.5 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 3.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 47.5 & 16.6 & 8.4 & 6.6 & 4.7 & 4.0 & 2.6 & 2.3 & 1.7 & 1.3 & 4.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 45.3 & 22.3 & 8.5 & 6.1 & 4.0 & 3.9 & 2.2 & 2.0 & 1.3 & 1.0 & 3.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 34.9 & 25.6 & 14.2 & 9.0 & 5.8 & 2.9 & 1.9 & 2.3 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 60.5 & 21.9 & 7.7 & 4.5 & 1.9 & 1.7 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 23.7 & 31.0 & 14.8 & 12.3 & 3.4 & 2.6 & 3.9 & 3.0 & 2.1 & 0.6 & 2.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 58.9 & 18.4 & 10.3 & 5.0 & 2.6 & 0.9 & 1.6 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 46.0 & 23.9 & 11.4 & 7.4 & 3.4 & 2.1 & 1.9 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 39.0 & 24.9 & 16.7 & 10.0 & 5.8 & 2.5 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 61.3 & 21.5 & 8.3 & 4.1 & 2.6 & 0.9 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 46.4 & 23.8 & 13.9 & 8.1 & 4.7 & 1.9 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Ambala} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 46.1 & 23.3 & 9.8 & 3.9 & 4.4 & 3.6 & 3.0 & 1.8 & 1.3 & 0.5 & 2.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 73.3 & 16.1 & 5.1 & 1.1 & 1.6 & 1.1 & 1.1 & - & 0.3 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 54.3 & 21.4 & 7.8 & 5.1 & 2.6 & 3.1 & 1.9 & 1.2 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 52.6 & 21.7 & 8.5 & 4.0 & 3.4 & 3.1 & 2.3 & 1.3 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 14.6 & 26.4 & 22.8 & 14.7 & 12.3 & 4.7 & 1.9 & 1.2 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 57.2 & 40.4 & 2.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 0.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 15.9 & 29.5 & 24.3 & 16.0 & 7.9 & 4.0 & 1.2 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 22.4 & 47.6 & 17.2 & 7.3 & 3.3 & 1.3 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 36.8 & 36.2 & 12.1 & 5.7 & 5.8 & 1.6 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 25.0 & 34.8 & 17.9 & 10.2 & 7.0 & 2.8 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 40.1 & 25.5 & 11.6 & 7.6 & 5.1 & 3.2 & 2.1 & 1.6 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE V(b)}

DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF TEACEHRS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Percentage of Higher Primary Sections/Classes Having} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
\text { Tea- }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& \text { Tea- } \\
& \text { chers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \\
& \text { Tea- } \\
& \text { chers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
4 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 6 \\
& \text { Tea- } \\
& \text { chers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
7 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \\
\text { Tea- } \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{9}{\text { Tea- }} \\
\text { chers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
10 \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & More than 10 Teachers & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 5.0 & 17.5 & 23.8 & 30.0 & 6.3 & 3.7 & 5.0 & 1.3 & - & 3.7 & 3.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 14.1 & 6.7 & 8.0 & 3.0 & 6.4 & 9.4 & 20.1 & 7.4 & 4.4 & 4.4 & 16.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 15.4 & 8.9 & 5.5 & 3.0 & 6.0 & 4.0 & 6.0 & 7.5 & 7.9 & 6.0 & 29.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 13.3 & 9.0 & 9.4 & 6.7 & 6.2 & 6.7 & 13.1 & 6.6 & 5.0 & 4.8 & 19.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Kerala 10.210 .010 .0} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 2.4 & 8.9 & 9.5 & 18.9 & 17.8 & 9.5 & 10.6 & 8.3 & 3.5 & 10.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & 5.4 & 4.5 & 6.4 & 7.3 & 11.8 & 9.1 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 40.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & 0.4 & 3.6 & 12.3 & 19.1 & 16.4 & 11.4 & 10.0 & 8.2 & 6.3 & 12.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.7 & 3.5 & 0.9 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 3.4 & 3.4 & 6.0 & 70.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.2 & 1.0 & 5.0 & 8.4 & 13.3 & 12.8 & 9.6 & 8.8 & 7.2 & 5.7 & 28.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Sehore & 10.1 & 5.4 & 18.9 & 20.9 & 9.5 & 8.8 & 5.4 & 2.0 & 0.7 & 3.4 & 14.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 5.1 & 7.7 & 2.6 & 17.9 & 24.8 & 23.9 & 8.6 & 3.4 & 1.7 & 0.9 & 3.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 10.6 & 12.8 & 25.5 & 31.1 & 6.7 & 2.8 & 2.2 & 1.1 & 1.7 & 0.5 & 5.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 9.0 & 9.0 & 17.3 & 24.3 & 12.4 & 10.3 & 4.9 & 2.0 & 1.3 & 1.6 & 7.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 16.0 & 23.6 & 23.1 & 16.0 & 4.7 & 3.8 & 1.4 & 2.4 & 1.9 & 1.4 & 5.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & - & 23.3 & 24.0 & 20.0 & 4.7 & 5.5 & 9.1 & 13.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 19.7 & 26.6 & 15.2 & 14.0 & 7.0 & 5.7 & 3.9 & 2.9 & 2.8 & 1.0 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 23.4 & 16.9 & 19.7 & 15.0 & 5.4 & 4.4 & 3.6 & 2.8 & 1.4 & 1.9 & 5.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 18.3 & 18.7 & 15.9 & 12.8 & 8.2 & 7.4 & 5.6 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.5 & 5.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Mysore } & \\ \text { M }\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Dharwar & 31.3 & 31.3 & 18.8 & 8.4 & 3.3 & 1.7 & 2.0 & 1.7 & 1.0 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 12.7 & 28.9 & 24.4 & 14.1 & 5.6 & 6.3 & 1.7 & 2.1 & 0.9 & 0.7 & 2.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 34.3 & 22.8 & 16.8 & 11.9 & 4.1 & 4.5 & 1.1 & 1.9 & - & 2.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 13.1 & 14.8 & 25.3 & 14.0 & 13.5 & 7.0 & 3.5 & 3.1 & 2.6 & 2 & 3.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 23.9 & 26.6 & 21.0 & 11.4 & 5.7 & 4.3 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Puri & 6.2 & 39.9 & 31.4 & 7.9 & 7.6 & 2.8 & 1.1 & 1.1 & 1.7 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 2.1 & 42.7 & 40.6 & 4.2 & 4.2 & 3.1 & 3.1 & 1 & 1.7 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.3 & 40.5 & 33.3 & 7.1 & 6.9 & 2.9 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 1.3 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Punjab } & \text { S.3 }\end{array}\)} \\
\hline & - & - & 10.4 & 26.4 & 21.8 & 8.0 & 10.4 & 5.7 & 6.9 & 3.5 & 6.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 2.9 & 13.4 & 17.5 & 15.2 & 8.8 & 5.3 & 9.4 & 7.0 & 4.7 & 4.7 & 11.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 20.0 & 16.0 & 20.0 & 12.0 & 8.0 & 4.0 & 8.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 1.7 & 11.8 & 18.5 & 18.5 & 15.1 & 10.1 & 9.2 & 0.8 & 1.7 & 2.5 & 10.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.2 & 13.3 & 17.8 & 16.8 & 11.4 & 7.3 & 8.9 & 4.8 & 3.5 & 3.8 & 10.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Meerut & 2.3 & 3.4 & 6.9 & 6.9 & 8.1 & 13.8 & 9.2 & 11.5 & 6.9 & 4.6 & 26.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 2.8 & 5.6 & 11.1 & 19.4 & 33.3 & 19.4 & 2.8 & - & 2.8 & 2.8 & , & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 2.0 & 5.9 & 24.3 & 22.4 & 9.9 & 15.8 & 6.6 & 4.6 & 1.3 & 5.2 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 6.6 & 4.4 & 9.9 & 7.7 & 19.8 & 20.8 & 14.3 & 6.6 & 3.3 & 6.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 15.9 & 14.2 & 17.7 & 28,3 & 6.2 & 4.4 & 2.7 & 2.6 & 1.8 & 1.8 & 4.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.3 & 7.1 & 15.9 & 17.9 & 12.3 & 14.0 & 7.3 & 5.4 & 2.9 & 4.4 & 6.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 14.1 & 17.5 & 16.8 & 12.7 & 8.7 & 7.5 & 5.8 & 3.7 & 2.8 & 2.6 & 7.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE V(c)
DISTRIBUTION OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF TEACHERS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Secondary Schools Having} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cotal} \\
\hline & \[
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\hline \text { Less than } \\
5 \\
\text { Teachers }
\end{array}\right|
\] & 5
Teachers & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
6 \\
T e a c h e r s
\end{gathered}\right.
\] & \[
\text { Teachers } \mid
\] & Teachers &  & \[
\begin{gathered}
10 \\
\text { Teachers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
11-12 \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
13-14 \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
15 \text { and } \\
\text { More } \\
\text { Teachers }
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 48.4 & 6.5 & 9.7 & 16.1 & 3.2 & 12.9 & - & 3.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 27.3 & 24.8 & 5.0 & 4.9 & 4.1 & 5.8 & - & 3.3 & 6.6 & 18.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 18.6 & 11.9 & 17.8 & 5.9 & 5.1 & 7.6 & 1.7 & 6.8 & 10.2 & 14.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 25.9 & 17.0 & 11.1 & 6.7 & 4.4 & 7.5 & 0.7 & 4.8 & 7.4 & 14.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 11.1 & 8.3 & 5.6 & - & 2.8 & 2.8 & 8.3 & 22.2 & 8.3 & 30.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 2.3 & 2.3 & 6.8 & - & - & 4.5 & 6.8 & 2.3 & 25.0 & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 5.9 & 2.9 & 2.9 & - & 5.9 & 2.9 & 8.8 & - & 3.0 & 67.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 7.1 & - & 4.8 & - & 4.8 & - & 2.4 & 4.8 & - & 76.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.4 & 3.2 & 5.1 & - & 3.2 & 2.6 & 6.4 & 7.1 & 9.6 & 56.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 17.4 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 17.4 & 8.7 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 8.8 & 8.7 & 21.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 12.5 & 8.3 & 8.3 & 16.7 & 4.2 & 8.3 & - & 8.4 & 12.5 & 20.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 10.4 & - - & 10.5 & 3.4 & 3.4 & - & 27.6 & 17.2 & 10.3 & 17.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 13.2 & 3.9 & 7.9 & 11.8 & 5.3 & 3.9 & 11.8 & 11.8 & 10.6 & 19.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 49.4 & 14.1 & 9.4 & 7.1 & 4.7 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 5.8 & 2.4 & 4.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - - & 13.5 & 28.1 & 10.9 & 12.5 & 6.3 & 13.0 & 6.3 & 9.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 23.7 & 9.9 & 6.1 & 7.6 & 5.4 & 3.8 & 7.6 & 5.4 & 20.6 & 9.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 17.1 & 11.8 & 9.6 & 6.4 & 4.8 & 7.5 & 4.8 & 8.6 & 2.6 & 26.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 17.6 & - 7.9 & 10.1 & 13.8 & 6.9 & 7.4 & 5.4 & 8.9 & 7.7 & 14.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 9.2 & 6.6 & 10.5 & 3.9 & 11.9 & 9.2 & 9.2 & 7.9 & 10.5 & 21.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 23.7 & 6.3 & 6.3 & 6.3 & 6.2 & 5.0 & 3.7 & 15.0 & 10.0 & 17.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 17.8 & 2.0 & 5.9 & 5.9 & 13.9 & 9.9 & 4.0 & 13.8 & 6.0 & 20.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 40.0 & - - & 12.0 & 8.0 & 8.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & - & - & 24.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 19.1 & 4.3 & 7.8 & 5.7 & 10.6 & 7.8 & 5.3 & 11.3 & 7.8 & 20.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & 4.0 & 12.1 & 20.2 & 16.2 & 8.1 & 5.1 & 24.2 & 4.0 & 6.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 23.8 & 14.2 & 33.3 & 9.5 & 4.8 & 4.8 & - & - & - & 9.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.2 & - 5.8 & - 15.9 & 18.3 & 14.2 & 7.5 & 4.2 & 20.0 & 3.3 & 6.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & 30.4 & 15.1 & 15.1 & 15.1 & 6.1 & - & 3.0 & 3.0 & 6.1 & 6.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 25.5 & \(5 \quad 10.7\) & 70.6 & 8.5 & 8.5 & - & 8.5 & 8.6 & 10.6 & 8.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 60.0 & . 0 - & 20.0 & - & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 25.0 & 25.0 & - 15.6 & 3.1 & - & 9.4 & 9.4 & 3.1 & - & 9.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 27.3 & 15.4 & -13.1 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 3.6 & 8.3 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 8.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 10.0 & - 1.7 & 8.3 & 3.3 & 6.7 & 3.3 & 11.7 & 10.0 & 6.6 & 38.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 8.3 & 3 - & 8.3 & 8.3 & 41.7 & 16.7 & - & 8.4 & - & 8.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 26.1 & 1 - & - & 4.3 & 4.3 & 4.4 & - & 13.1 & 8.7 & 39.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 5.6 & 11.1 & 11.1 & 19.4 & - & 5.5 & 11.1 & 2.8 & 5.6 & 27.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 16.7 & 8.3 & 38.3 & 4.2 & 8.3 & 8.3 & 8.3 & 4.2 & - & 33.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 12.3 & 34.6 & 6 7.7 & 7.7 & 7.7 & 5.8 & 8.4 & 7.7 & 5.2 & 32.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 17.3 & 3 - 8.2 & 8.2 9.8 & 9.5 & 7.2 & 6.4 & 5.3 & 9.1 & 7.3 & 19.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE VI(a)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS ACCORDING TO THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS THEY TEACH IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage of Teachers Teaching Students} \\
\hline & Below
10 & 10-14 & 15-19 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-69 & 70 and
Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 0.8 & 2.5 & 4.7 & 14.4 & 12.5 & 8.4 & 9.2 & 7.6 & 39.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.2 & 1.4 & 4.2 & 18.4 & 26.3 & 25.0 & 14.2 & 6.0 & 4.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 0.4 & 1.7 & 4.9 & 20.0 & 25.9 & 23.1 & 11.9 & 6.2 & 5.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 1.7 & 4.6 & 18.5 & 24.3 & 21.9 & 12.5 & 6.3 & 9.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 0.4 & 0.8 & 2.2 & 31.7 & 39.3 & 14.0 & 5.1 & 2.0 & 4.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & 0.1 & 0.1 & 7.7 & 22.7 & 12.2 & 4.6 & 11.9 & 40.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.1 & 0.6 & 1.5 & 56.0 & 28.4 & 7.0 & 3.3 & 0.5 & 2.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & 2.9 & 21.9 & 15.8 & 3.5 & 6.5 & 49.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.2 & 0.5 & 1.4 & 31.7 & 30.8 & 11.8 & 4.2 & 3.4 & 16.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 7.4 & 7.1 & 12.1 & 25.5 & 19.3 & 9.4 & 4.6 & 3.2 & 11.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 2.4 & 5.1 & 8.8 & 24.9 & 27.4 & 18.1 & 7.3 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 0.6 & 2.6 & 5.4 & 20.8 & 25.1 & 19.6 & 12.3 & 6.7 & 6.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.6 & 5.1 & 8.9 & 23.8 & 23.9 & 15.5 & 7.9 & 4.2 & 7.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.2 & 0.6 & 1.5 & 6.8 & 19.2 & 26.4 & 17.4 & 10.2 & 17.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 0.4 & 1.5 & 3.4 & 17.6 & 25.1 & 20.3 & 12.8 & 7.6 & 11.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.4 & 2.0 & 3.8 & 18.7 & 28.4 & 24.6 & 12.1 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 1.5 & 3.7 & 5.8 & 16.1 & 12.3 & 18.8 & 6.6 & 5.3 & 39.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.7 & 1.6 & 3.1 & 13.3 & 21.5 & 21.8 & 13.5 & 7.7 & 16.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 0.5 & 1.9 & 4.5 & 15.5 & 32.3 & 23.2 & 10.6 & 5.5 & 6.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 3.7 & 7.6 & 9.4 & 22.0 & 26.2 & 14.8 & 8.4 & 3.8 & 4.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.7 & 4.0 & 6.4 & 17.9 & 30.0 & 20.1 & 9.8 & 4.9 & 5.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 0.2 & 0.7 & 1.9 & 10.1 & 27.3 & 28.4 & 18.2 & 8.4 & 4.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.5 & 1.3 & 3.2 & 13.6 & 16.3 & 10.9 & 9.3 & 6.6 & 38.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 3.0 & 4.9 & 5.3 & 19.9 & 18.7 & 17.1 & 6.3 & 8.9 & 15.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 1.2 & 3.0 & 7.1 & 20.2 & 18.1 & 11.6 & 9.6 & 5.0 & 24.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.0 & 2.2 & 4.8 & 16.6 & 17.1 & 11.7 & 9.2 & 6.2 & 31.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 1.4 & 2.5 & 4.5 & 16.9 & 24.5 & 22.3 & 13.1 & 6.9 & 7.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 1.8 & 4.8 & 12.1 & 28.5 & 29.7 & 13.6 & 6.5 & 2.2 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 0.7 & 2.0 & 4.4 & 14.0 & 14.7 & 15.1 & 12.8 & 9.9 & 26.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 0.5 & 1.1 & 1.0 & 6.5 & 13.9 & 18.1 & 19.2 & 15.5 & 24.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 0.9 & 2.5 & 4.5 & 18.0 & 24.9 & 25.5 & 13.6 & 5.8 & 4.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.0 & 2.3 & 4.2 & 15.1 & 20.6 & 19.9 & 13.9 & 8.8 & 14.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 0.9 & 2.0 & 4.1 & 18.7 & 23.8 & 18.6 & 11.1 & 6.5 & 14.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE VI(b)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF CLASSSES THEY TE.ACH (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline - State/District & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { One } \\
& \text { Class }
\end{aligned}
\] & Two Classes & Three Classes & Four
Classes & Five Classes & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & 12.1 & 16.7 & 24.7 & 17.1 & 29.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 33.3 & 29.6 & 16.5 & 3.6 & 17.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 45.8 & 29.2 & 14.9 & 1.6 & 8.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 35.9 & 27.7 & 16.9 & 4.5 & 15.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 95.4 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 2.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 56.5 & 40.2 & 1.9 & 1.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 97.2 & 1.4 & 0.3 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 50.4 & 46.6 & 1.0 & 2.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 83.5 & 14.1 & 0.6 & 1.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 31.5 & 18.7 & 15.7 & 13.9 & 20.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 29.4 & 33.6 & 19.4 & 4.5 & 13.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 30.6 & 27.4 & 17.2 & 6.0 & 18.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 30.5 & 26.1 & 17.4 & 8.5 & 17.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 68.4 & 19.6 & 1.6 & 10.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 22.0 & 17.3 & 2.6 & 58.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 63.9 & 24.1 & 2.9 & 9.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 40.6 & 29.7 & 12.5 & 17.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 50.9 & 21.4 & 3.7 & 24.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 47.0 & 29.6 & 22.2 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 36.2 & 29.5 & 33.0 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 43.0 & 29.6 & 26.2 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 46.4 & 26.9 & 14.8 & 1.1 & 10.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 6.8 & 18.2 & 29.6 & 19.4 & 26.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 3.4 & 12.5 & 17.6 & 24.2 & 42.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 16.3 & 26.4 & 23.2 & 16.2 & 17.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 10.1 & 20.8 & 26.2 & 18.6 & 24.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 48.9 & 35.4 & 11.1 & 2.2 & 2.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 0.7 & 11.4 & 50.5 & 3.1 & 34.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 41.1 & 40.2 & 14.6 & 1.8 & 2.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 19.0 & 42.2 & 33.3 & 2.6 & 2.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 34.3 & 31.6 & 19.6 & 4.1 & 10.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 36.5 & 35.9 & 19.6 & 2.5 & 5.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 43.7 & 25.6 & 14.2 & 8.4 & 8.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VII
SIZE OF CLASSES/SECTIONS AT HIGHER PRIMARY AND SECONDARY STAGE, 1965
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Classes Having Students} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Class} \\
\hline & \(V I\) & VII & VIII & \(1 X\) & X & XI & XII \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
\hline & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Below 10 & 9.5 & 9.9 & 3.9 & 7.8 & 0.7 & 2.0 & 0.9 \\
\hline 10-14 & 7.9 & 8.9 & 5.3 & 1.3 & 2.0 & 4.6 & 2.2 \\
\hline 15-19 & 9.2 & 10.0 & 6.0 & 2.7 & 5.4 & 7.2 & 5.8 \\
\hline 20-24 & 10.0 & 10.1 & 6.6 & 3.4 & 6.7 & 10.1 & 7.5 \\
\hline 25-29 & 10.8 & 10.0 & 8.9 & 7.4 & 9.6 & 11.7 & 6.6 \\
\hline 30-34 & 11.2 & 12.9 & 12.2 & 9.2 & 11.4 & 10.1 & 5.8 \\
\hline & & & \(\mathrm{T}^{3}\) & & & & \\
\hline 35-39 & 12.4 & 13.5 & 13.5 & 12.6 & 14.5 & 9.4 & 9.3 \\
\hline 40-44 & 10.2 & 9.8 & 13.4 & 16.3 & 16.0 & 6.2 & 11.1 \\
\hline 45-49 & 5.6 & 4.7 & 10.9 & 15.2 & 13.9 & 9.2 & 14.1 \\
\hline 50-54 & 3.9 & 3.0 & 7.5 & 9.8 & 7.7 & 6.4 & 8.4 \\
\hline 55-59 & 1.9 & 1.7 & 4.0 & 3.8 & 3.3 & 4.4 & 8.0 \\
\hline 60-64 & 1.6 & 1.0 & 1.9 & 2.7 & 1.8 & 4.4 & 5.3 \\
\hline 65-69 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.6 & 3.1 \\
\hline 70 and Above & 5.2 & 4.0 & 5.3 & 5.8 & 6.0 & 12.7 & 11.9 \\
\hline Total & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(a)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS 1-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class 1} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 71.3 & 25.0 & 3.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 32.5 \\
\hline Nellore & 56.8 & 29.1 & 11.1 & 2.2 & 0.8 & 61.1 \\
\hline East Godavari & 51.5 & 28.2 . & 14.5 & 4.9 & 0.9 & 75.4 \\
\hline Total & 56.6 & 28.1 & 11.5 & 3.1 & 0.7 & 63.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 75.0 & 22.0 & 2.8 & 0.2 & - & 28.2 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 76.1 & 21.0 & 2.7 & 0.2 & - & 26.9 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 73.9 & 24.1 & 1.8 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 28.3 \\
\hline Quilon & 77.5 & 20.2 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 24.8 \\
\hline Total & 75.6 & 21.9 & 2.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 27.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 77.7 & 18.0 & 2.9 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 28.5 \\
\hline Satna & 57.5 & 32.6 & 7.5 & 1.8 & 0.6 & 55.5 \\
\hline Bhind & 72.0 & 23.5 & 3.8 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 33.3 \\
\hline Total & 69.1 & 24.7 & 4.7 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 39.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 85.6 & 14.1 & 0.3 & - & - & 14.7 \\
\hline Nagpur & 76.5 & 11.2 & 11.5 & 0.8 & - & 36.6 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 63.8 & 25.5 & 7.9 & 2.2 & 0.6 & 50.2 \\
\hline Poona & 69.1 & 21.0 & 6.9 & 2.3 & 0.7 & 44.5 \\
\hline Total & 71.8 & 19.5 & 6.6 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 39.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 58.1 & 25.8 & 10.8 & 3.8 & 1.5 & 64.7 \\
\hline Tumkur & 62.9 & 25.4 & 7.8 & 3.1 & 0.8 & 53.4 \\
\hline South Kanara & 72.2 & 22.4 & 4.1 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 34.5 \\
\hline Bidar & 64.1 & 29.5 & 5.5 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 43.3 \\
\hline Total & 63.1 & 25.2 & 8.1 & 2.8 & 0.8 & 53.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 77.2 & 19.1 & 3.0 & 0.7 & - & 27.3 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 55.2 & 25.2 & 14.0 & 5.6 & - & 70.0 \\
\hline Total & 69.0 & 21.4 & 7.1 & 2.5 & - & 43.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjcb} \\
\hline Ambala & 77.8 & 20.1 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 24.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 78.8 & 18.7 & 2.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 24.1 \\
\hline Barmer & 71.8 & 24.3 & 2.7 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 33.8 \\
\hline Udaipur & 71.4 & 24.2 & 3.6 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 33.8 \\
\hline Total & 74.7 & 21.8 & 2.9 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 29.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 76.4 & 20.0 & 3.0 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 27.9 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 79.6 & 18.0 & 2.1 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 23.1 \\
\hline Deoria & 84.5 & 14.1 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 17.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 71.4 & 22.9 & 4.8 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 35.3 \\
\hline Jhansi & 74.5 & 20.6 & 4.3 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 31.2 \\
\hline Total & 77.2 & 19.1 & 3.1 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 27.1 \\
\hline Grand Total & & 69.8 & 22.3 & . 0 & 0.4 & 40.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE VIII(b)}

STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS I-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { With Less } \\
& \text { than } 1 \text { Year }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { Years }}{1-2}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { Years }}{2-3}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3-4 \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 71.6 & 23.6 & 4.7 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 33.3 \\
\hline Nellore & 54.6 & 29.5 & 11.9 & 3.4 & 0.6 & 65.8 \\
\hline East Godavari
Total & 48.2 & 28.6 & 16.0 & 6.1 & 1.1 & 83.3 \\
\hline Kerala \({ }^{\text {Total }}\) & 53.0 & 28.4 & 13.4 & 4.4 & 0.8 & 71.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala 41.6} \\
\hline Malappuram & 74.9 & 22.4 & 2.5 & 0.2 & - & 27.9 \\
\hline Moovattuppuzha & 78.8 & 18.9 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 23.7 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 73.2 & 24.7 & 2.1
2.1 & 0.0 & \(\overline{0.0}\) & 23.7
28.9 \\
\hline Quilon & 78.5 & 19.5 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 23.7 \\
\hline Total & 76.1 & 21.6 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 26.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Madhya Pradesh } & 2.2 & 0.1\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Sehore & 81.8 & 15.5 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 22.5 \\
\hline Satna & 61.9 & 29.8 & 6.5 & 1.3 & 0.5 & 48.5 \\
\hline Bhind Total & 74.4 & 22.6 & 2.6 & 0.4 & - & 29.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 86.5 & 13.0 & 0.5 & - & - & 14.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 69.7 & 15.1 & 14.2 & 1.0 & - & 44.5 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 49.8 & 34.3 & 12.2 & 3.0 & 0.7 & 36.3 \\
\hline Poona & 67.1 & 21.1 & 7.4 & 3.2 & 1.2 & 50.0 \\
\hline Total & 63.9 & 23.6 & 9.4 & 2.4 & 0.7 & 52.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Dharwar & 52.3 & 28.0 & 12.6 & 5.2 & 1.9 & 76.4 \\
\hline Tumkur & 51.9 & 29.4 & 12.2 & 5.5 & 1.0 & 74.2 \\
\hline South Kanara
Bidar & 71.8 & 21.3 & 5.0 & 1.8 & 0.1 & 37.2 \\
\hline Bidar
Total & 60.2 & 32.8 & 5.8 & 1.2 & 0.0 & 48.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Puri & 75.2 & & & & & \\
\hline Kalahandi & 56.0 & 28.1 & 3.7
10.4 & 1.1 & - & 30.7 \\
\hline Total & 70.0 & 22.2 & 10.4
5.5 & 5.5
2.3 & - & 65.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab - 22.2 - 40.1} \\
\hline Ambala & 79.4 & 18.7 & 1.6 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 22.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Rajasthan } & \\ \\ \text { A }\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Jaipur & 81.4 & 16.4 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 22.1 \\
\hline Barmer & 76.5 & 20.6 & 2.4 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 27.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 77.7 & 19.8 & 2.3 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 25.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Sttar Pradesh & & 18.2 & 1.8 & 0.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Meerut & 82.4 & 14.7 & 2.4 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 21.1 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 90.0 & 8.8 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 11.5 \\
\hline Deoria & 90.5 & 8.8 & 0.6 & 0.1 & - & 10.3 \\
\hline Allahabad & 80.3 & 15.9 & 3.1 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 10.3
24.2 \\
\hline Jhansi & 78.3 & 17.3 & 3.7 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 27.0 \\
\hline Total & 84.4 & 13.1 & 2.0 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 18.5 \\
\hline Grand Total & 66.9 & 22.7 & 7.5 & 2.4 & 0.5 & 47.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(c) : STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS II (BOYS)
TABLE VIII(c)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS II-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class II} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & \[
\stackrel{1-2}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\stackrel{2-3}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3-4 \\
\text { Years }
\end{array}
\] & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 84.4 & 14.8 & 0.8 & - & \% & 16.4 \\
\hline Nellore & 66.5 & 25.5 & 7.0 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 42.9 \\
\hline East Godavari & 70.2 & 22.7 & 6.0 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 38.1 \\
\hline Total & 69.7 & 23.3 & 6.0 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 38.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 77.4 & 20.5 & 2.0 & 0.1 & - & 24.7 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 73.8 & 22.9 & 3.0 & 0.3 & - & 29.7 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 75.4 & 22.9 & 1.6 & 0.1 & - & 26.4 \\
\hline Quilon & 74.3 & 23.0 & 2.7 & 0.0 & - & 28.6 \\
\hline Total & 75.6 & 22.1 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 26.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 88.5 & 8.3 & 2.1 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 15.9 \\
\hline Satna & 87.6 & 10.9 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 14.1 \\
\hline Bhind & 91.2 & 8.3 & 0.5 & 0.0 & - & 9.4 \\
\hline Total & 89.1 & 9.3 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 12.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 91.2 & 8.7 & 0.1 & - & - & 8.9 \\
\hline Nagpur & 84.3 & 9.3 & 4.8 & 1.6 & - & 23.6 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 75.8 & 15.9 & 4.8 & 3.2 & 0.3 & 36.3 \\
\hline Poona & 79.8 & 15.5 & 3.8 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 26.0 \\
\hline Total & 81.4 & 13.3 & 3.7 & 1.5 & 0.1 & 25.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 67.8 & 23.4 & 6.8 & 1.7 & 0.3 & 43.4 \\
\hline Tumkur & 70.7 & 21.4 & 5.3 & 1.9 & 0.7 & 40.7 \\
\hline South Kanara & 79.8 & 17.3 & 2.6 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 23.4 \\
\hline Bidar & 77.2 & 20.4 & 2.3 & 0.1 & - & 25.4 \\
\hline Total & 72.1 & 21.2 & 5.1 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 36.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 78.4 & 18.7 & 2.6 & 0.3 & - & 24.6 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 56.4 & 30.0 & 12.3 & 1.3 & - & 58.7 \\
\hline Total & 72.8 & 21.6 & 5.1 & 0.5 & - & 33.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab 07.30 .50 .0} \\
\hline Ambala & 87.3 & 12.2 & 0.5 & 0.0 & - & 13.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan 20.80 .8} \\
\hline Jaipur & 79.8 & 16.6 & 2.8 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 24.6 \\
\hline Barmer & 74.2 & 21.1 & 3.8 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 31.8 \\
\hline Udaipur & 88.9 & 4.2 & 5.0 & 1.6 & 0.3 & 20.3 \\
\hline Total & 82.1 & 13.1 & 3.7 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 24.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 89.4 & 9.4 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 12.1 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 90.3 & 8.9 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 10.6 \\
\hline Deoria & 86.7 & 12.2 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 14.6 \\
\hline Allahabad & 87.6 & 10.5 & 1.7 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 14.6 \\
\hline Jhansi & 84.0 & 14.2 & 1.7 & 0.1 & - & 17.9 \\
\hline Total & 87.5 & 11.1 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 14.2 \\
\hline Grand Total & 78.8 & 16.8 & 3.5 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 26.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE VIII(d)}

STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS II-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class II} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 83.6 & 15.2 & 1.2 & 0.0 & \% & 17.7 \\
\hline Nellore & 64.4 & 27.7 & 6.9 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 44.7 \\
\hline East Godavari & 66.5 & 24.8 & 7.4 & 1.0 & 0.3 & 43.7 \\
\hline Total & 66.4 & 25.7 & 6.9 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 42.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala 42.9} \\
\hline Malappuram & 77.0 & 21.3 & 1.6 & 0.1 & - & 24.8 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 76.4 & 21.4 & 2.1 & 0.1 & - & 24.9 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 75.7 & 22.6 & 1.6 & 0.1 & - & 26.2 \\
\hline Quilon & 74.6 & 23.4 & 2.0 & 0.0 & - & 27.4 \\
\hline Total & 75.9 & 22.2 & 1.8 & 0.1 & - & 26.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh - 26.0} \\
\hline Sehore & 86.1 & 8.1 & 4.4 & 1.4 & - & 21.1 \\
\hline Satna & 89.5 & 9,2 & 1.2 & 0.1 & - & 12.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 91.1 & 8.6 & 0.3 & 0.0 & - & 9.3 \\
\hline Total & 89.0 & 8.7 & 1.8 & 0.5 & - & 13.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra - 13.8} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 91.1 & 8.6 & 0.3 & - & - & 9.1 \\
\hline Nagpur & 73.3 & 16.2 & 8.5 & 2.0 & - & 39.3 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 66.3 & 20.1 & 8.0 & 4.9 & 0.7 & 53.7 \\
\hline Poona & 80.9 & 14.1 & 3.9 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 25.3 \\
\hline Total & 75.6 & 15.9 & 5.9 & 2.3 & 0.3 & 35.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Mysore } & & \\ \text { M }\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Dharwar & 65.2 & 26.2 & 7.1 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 45.2 \\
\hline Tumkur & 65.0 & 25.6 & 6.8 & 2.0 & 0.6 & 47.8 \\
\hline South Kanara & 78.7 & 18.2 & 2.8 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 24.6 \\
\hline Bidar & 68.2 & 30.5 & 1.3 & - & . & 33.0 \\
\hline Total & 68.9 & 24.0 & 5.7 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 33.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Orissa } & \\ \\ \text { O }\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Puri & 73.7 & 23.7 & 2.4 & 0.2 & - & 29.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 44.8 & 30.1 & 15.9 & 9.2 & - & 89.5 \\
\hline Total & 69.7 & 24.6 & 4.3 & 1.4 & - & 38.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 87.9 & 11.6 & 0.5 & 0.0 & - & 12.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 81.2 & 14.8 & 2.9 & 0.3 & 0.8 & 24.6 \\
\hline Barmer & 78.2 & 16.8 & 3.6 & 1.4 & - & 28.1 \\
\hline Udaipur & 84.1 & 11.5 & 3.7 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 21.2 \\
\hline Total & 82.0 & 13.8 & 3.2 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 23.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh 43.7} \\
\hline Meerut & 89.2 & 8.4 & 1.8 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 13.8 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 92.4 & 6.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 13.8
9.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 87.0 & 11.9 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 14.4 \\
\hline Allahabad & 88.1 & 10.5 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 13.4 \\
\hline Jhansi & 85.4 & 13.0 & 1.5 & 0.1 & - & 16.3 \\
\hline Total & 87.7 & 10.6 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 14.3 \\
\hline Grand Total & 74.1 & 20.2 & 4.5 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 33.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VII(e) : STAGNATION OF PUPILS in CLASS III (bOYS)

TABLE VIII(e)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS III-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class III} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & \[
\stackrel{1-2}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\stackrel{2-3}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3-4 \\
& \text { Years }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhru Prc.desh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hydcrabad & 87.2 & 11.8 & 0.9 & 0.1 & - & 14.1 \\
\hline Nellore & 74.5 & 20.3 & 4.5 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 31.6 \\
\hline East Godavari & 77.5 & 18.6 & 3.3 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 27.0 \\
\hline Total & 77.0 & 18.8 & 3.6 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 27.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 78.5 & 19.5 & 1.9 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 23.7 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 74.7 & 22.8 & 2.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 28.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 76.0 & 21.8 & 2.1 & 0.1 & - & 25.3 \\
\hline Quilon & 75.4 & 22.1 & 2.4 & 0.1 & - & 27.2 \\
\hline Total & 76.5 & 21.2 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 26.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 89.4 & 7.7 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 0.7 & 16.0 \\
\hline Satna & 91.5 & 7.5 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 9.8 \\
\hline Bhind & 93.6 & 6.1 & 0.3 & 0.0 & - & 6.6 \\
\hline Total & 91.7 & 7.0 & 0.7 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 10.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 92.9 & 7.0 & 0.1 & - & - & 7.1 \\
\hline Nagpur & 85.0 & 4.8 & 7.7 & 2.0 & 0.5 & 28.3 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 78.2 & 15.2 & 4.1 & 2.0 & 0.5 & 55.6 \\
\hline Poona & 83.3 & 13.7 & 2.5 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 20.3 \\
\hline Total & 83.9 & 11.1 & 3.6 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 22.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 75.6 & 18.9 & 4.5 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 31.2 \\
\hline Tumkur & 77.6 & 16.2 & 3.7 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 32.2 \\
\hline South Kanara & 81.9 & 16.6 & 1.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 19.7 \\
\hline Bidar & 84.1 & 15.2 & 0.7 & 0.0 & - & 16.7 \\
\hline Total & 78.4 & 17.4 & 3.1 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 27.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 78.2 & 19.5 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 24.3 \\
\hline Kal handi & 52.6 & 28.6 & 18.3 & 0.5 & - & 66.8 \\
\hline Total & 72.5 & 21.5 & 5.8 & 0.2 & - & 33.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 90.4 & 9.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 & - & 10.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 78.1 & 11.0 & 7.9 & 2.4 & 0.6 & 36.5 \\
\hline Barmer & 5.7 & 15.1 & 6.6 & 1.7 & 0.9 & 37.3 \\
\hline Udaipur & 82.8 & 9.4 & 3.8 & 2.5 & 1.5 & 30.7 \\
\hline Total & 79.4 & 10.8 & 6.4 & 2.4 & 1.0 & 34.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 93.0 & 5.9 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 8.6 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 91.5 & 6.3 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 11.2 \\
\hline Deoria & 92.3 & 7.2 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 8.5 \\
\hline Allahabad & 93.0 & 6.2 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 8.2 \\
\hline Jhansi & 89.1 & 10.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 11.9 \\
\hline Total & 92.2 & 6.8 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 9.1 \\
\hline Grand Total & 8..3 & 14.0 & 2.9 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 22.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(f)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS III—1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class III} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Index of \\
Stagna- \\
tion \\
for \\
Girls
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { With Less } \\
& \text { than } 1 \text { Year }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\stackrel{1-2}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\stackrel{2-3}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { Years }}{3-4}
\] & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 88.6 & 9.4 & 1.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 13.6 \\
\hline Nellore & 72.7 & 22.5 & 4.1 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 32.8 \\
\hline East Godavari & 75.8 & 19.8 & 3.9 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 29.3 \\
\hline Total & 75.1 & 20.5 & 3.9 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 30.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Kerala } & \\ \end{array}\)} \\
\hline Malappuram & 80.8 & 17.3 & 1.8 & 0.1 & - & 21.3 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 76.2 & 21.8 & 2.0 & 0.0 & - & 25.9 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 73.8 & 23.5 & 2.5 & 0.2 & - & 28.9 \\
\hline Quilon & 78.8 & 19.2 & 2.0 & 0.0 & - & 23.3 \\
\hline Total & 77.7 & 20.2 & 2.0 & 0.1 & - & 24.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 89.6 & 6.0 & 2.4 & 1.7 & 0.3 & 17.2 \\
\hline Satna & 92.6 & 6.2 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 9.2 \\
\hline Bhind & 94.4 & 4.6 & 1.0 & . & 0.3 & 6.6 \\
\hline Total & 92.1 & 5.6 & 1.4 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 11.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra 0.3} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 90.8 & 9.0 & 0.2 & - & - & 9.4 \\
\hline Nagpur & 72.2 & 12.9 & 11.4 & 3.0 & 0.5 & 46.8 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 73.2 & 13.9 & 8.8 & 3.4 & 0.7 & 44.5 \\
\hline Poona & 82.8 & 13.9 & 2.7 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 21.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Mysore } & \\ \text { M }\end{array}\)}} \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Dharwar & 75.3 & 19.8 & 4.0 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 30.6 \\
\hline Tumkur & 74.7 & 18.8 & 4.0 & 1.9 & 0.6 & 34.8 \\
\hline South Kanara & 84.3 & 14.7 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 16.9 \\
\hline Bidar & 72.1 & 26.6 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 29.2 \\
\hline Total & 78.0 & 18.1 & 2.9 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 27.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Orissa } & & \\ \text { O }\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Puri & 78.2 & 19.4 & 2.2 & 0.2 & - & 24.5 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 66.6 & 20.2 & 8.0 & 5.2 & - & 51.7 \\
\hline Total & 76.9 & 19.5 & 2.8 & 0.8 & - & 27.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & 91.0 & 8.7 & 0.3 & 0.0 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Rajasthan & 0.7 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 1.0
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Jaipur & 76.9 & 11.1 & 6.0 & 4.6 & 1.4 & 42.5 \\
\hline Barmer & 75.7 & 12.3 & 8.1 & 3.0 & 0.9 & 40.9 \\
\hline Udaipur & 78.7 & 7.8 & 5.6 & 2.7 & 5.2 & 47.8 \\
\hline Total & 77.5 & 10.0 & 6.0 & 3.9 & 2.6 & 44.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh 2.6} \\
\hline Meerut & 90.7 & 6.1 & 1.5 & 1.3 & 0.4 & 14.8 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 94.1 & 4.3 & 1.4 & - & 0.2 & 7.9 \\
\hline Deoria & 90.4 & 9.0 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 10.4 \\
\hline Allahabad & 92.0 & 7.3 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 8.9 \\
\hline Jhansi & 91.2 & 8.4 & 0.4 & 0.0 & - & 8.9 \\
\hline Total & 91.0 & 7.4 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 11.5 \\
\hline Grand Total & 79.2 & 16.2 & 3.5 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 26.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(g)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS IV-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class IV} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & \[
\stackrel{1-2}{\text { Years }}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2-3 \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3-4 \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 86.7 & 12.5 & 0.8 & - & - & 14.1 \\
\hline Nellore & 79.5 & 17.0 & 3.0 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 24.5 \\
\hline East Godavari & 83.9 & 13.6 & 2.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 19.0 \\
\hline Total & 82.3 & 15.0 & 2.3 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 21.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & . 76.3 & 20.5 & 3.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 27.1 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 73.6 & 23.1 & 3.1 & 0.2 & - & 29.7 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 72.9 & 24.0 & 2.8 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 30.6 \\
\hline Quilon & 73.8 & 23.2 & 2.9 & 0.1 & - & 29.3 \\
\hline Total & 74.4 & 22.5 & 2.9 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 29.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 89.9 & 6.5 & 1.8 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 16.2 \\
\hline Satna & 93.1 & 6.5 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 7.5 \\
\hline Bhind & 94.6 & 5.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & - & 5.5 \\
\hline Total & 92.8 & 6.0 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 9.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 92.8 & 7.2 & 0.0 & - & - & 7.2 \\
\hline Nagpur & 75.9 & 12.6 & 8.8 & 2.2 & 0.5 & 38.4 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 73.9 & 18.7 & 4.8 & 2.4 & 0.2 & 36.2 \\
\hline Poona & 84.0 & 13.4 & 2.2 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 19.1 \\
\hline Total & 81.0 & 13.8 & 3.8 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 25.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 56.2 & 35.4 & 6.8 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 54.1 \\
\hline Tumkur & 85.1 & 10.4 & 1.9 & 1.4 & 1.2 & 23.1 \\
\hline South Kanara & 85.2 & 13.7 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 15.9 \\
\hline Bidar & 87.3 & 10.4 & 0.5 & 1.7 & 0.1 & 16.9 \\
\hline Total & 79.2 & 17.0 & 2.5 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 26.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 78.9 & 18.8 & 2.1 & 0.2 & - & 23.7 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 56.9 & 29.0 & 14.1 & - & - & 57.2 \\
\hline Total & 74.8 & 20.7 & 4.3 & 0.2 & - & 30.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 93.7 & 6.1 & 0.2 & 0.0 & - & 6.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 82.3 & 8.2 & 1.6 & 6.3 & 1.6 & 36.8 \\
\hline Barmer & 82.6 & 11.6 & 1.8 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 29.1 \\
\hline Udaipur & 81.8 & 7.8 & 2.0 & 6.6 & 1.8 & 39.0 \\
\hline Total & 82.2 & 8.3 & 1.8 & 6.0 & 1.7 & 36.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 95.1 & 4.6 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 5.6 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 92.2 & 4.8 & 1.6 & 1.4 & - & 12.4 \\
\hline Deoria & 95.0 & 4.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 5.8 \\
\hline Allahab d & 94.6 & 4.9 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 6.3 \\
\hline Jhansi & 93.0 & 6.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & - & 7.6 \\
\hline Total & 94.5 & 4.9 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 6.4 \\
\hline Grand Total & 83.2 & 13.3 & 2.3 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 21.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(h)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS IV-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class IV} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & \(1-2\) Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 90.8 & 8.6 & 0.6 & \% & \% & 9.7 \\
\hline Nellore & 78.5 & 18.2 & 2.9 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 25.1 \\
\hline East Godavari & 81.2 & 15.6 & 2.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 22.8 \\
\hline Total & 80.6 & 16.3 & 2.7 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 23.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala 23.2} \\
\hline Malappuram & 78.9 & 18.5 & 2.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 23.7 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 76.2 & 21.5 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 26.4 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 71.5 & 25.0 & 3.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 32.3 \\
\hline Quilon & 76.2 & 21.8 & 1.9 & 0.1 & - & 25.8 \\
\hline Total & 75.6 & 21.8 & 2.4 & - 0.2 & 0.0 & 27.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh 0.0} \\
\hline Schore & 89.7 & 6.5 & 1.0 & 1.2 & 1.6 & 18.5 \\
\hline Satna & 96.0 & 3.6 & 0.3 & 0.1 & - & 4.5 \\
\hline Bhind & 95.5 & 4.5 & - & - & - & 4.5 \\
\hline Total & 93.2 & 5.1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.7 & 10.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra \(0.7{ }^{\text {a }}\) - 0.3} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 91.5 & 8.5 & 0.0 & - & - & 8.6 \\
\hline Nagpur & 55.6 & 21.2 & 19.1 & 3.2 & 0.9 & 72.7 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 66.8 & 21.9 & 7.1 & 4.0 & 0.2 & 48.8 \\
\hline Poona & 86.0 & 11.9 & 1.8 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 16.6 \\
\hline Total & 73.7 & 16.7 & 7.3 & 2.0 & 0.3 & 38.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mysore & \\
\hline 16.7 & \\
\hline 10.5
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Dharwar & 81.3 & 15.7 & 2.6 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 22.1 \\
\hline Tumkur & 83.3 & 12.4 & 2.4 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 23.6 \\
\hline South Kanara & 87.4 & 11.9 & 0.7 & 0.0 & - & 13.4 \\
\hline Bidar & 84.1 & 13.9 & 0.6 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 19.6 \\
\hline Total & 84.2 & 13.4 & 1.7 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 19.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa 0.2} \\
\hline Puri & 82.1 & 16.0 & 1.7 & 0.2 & - & 20.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 72.0 & 24.0 & 4.0 & 0.2 & - & 31.9 \\
\hline Total & 81.1 & 16.8 & 1.9 & 0.2 & - & 21.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & 95.0 & 5.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & - & 5.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 81.4 & 7.5 & 3.2 & 4.8 & 3.1 & 40.5 \\
\hline Barmer & 66.2 & 17.2 & 4.0 & 8.6 & 4.0 & 66.9 \\
\hline Udaipur & 71.9 & 5.2 & 3.6 & 4.7 & 14.6 & 84.8 \\
\hline Total & 77.6 & 6.9 & 3.4 & 4.9 & 7.2 & 57.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 92.9 & 4.9 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 1.0 & 11.9 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 94.7 & 2.3 & 2.0 & 1.0 & - & 9.3 \\
\hline Deoria & 93.1 & 6.6 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 7.3 \\
\hline Allahabad & 93.8 & 5.8 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 6.9 \\
\hline Jhansi & 93.0 & 6.4 & 0.4 & 0.2 & - & 7.9 \\
\hline Total & 93.1 & 5.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 9.4 \\
\hline Grand Total & 80.4 & 15.2 & 3.2 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 25.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(i)
STAGNATION OF PUPLLS IN CLASS V-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class V} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 89.6 & 10.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & \% & 10.7 \\
\hline Nellore & 80.2 & 16.8 & 2.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 23.1 \\
\hline East Godavari & 84.7 & 12.8 & 2.0 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 18.5 \\
\hline Total & 83.3 & 14.3 & 2.0 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 19.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala 2.0 .6} \\
\hline Malappuram & 78.6 & 19.8 & 1.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 23.2 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 73.7 & 24.3 & 1.9 & 0.1 & - & 28.5 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 72.4 & 24.3 & 3.0 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 31.2 \\
\hline Quilon & 76.4 & 21.7 & 1.8 & 0.1 & - & 25.6 \\
\hline Total & 75.3 & 22.4 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 27.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 91.3 & 5.3 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 2.5 & 17.6 \\
\hline Satna & 95.5 & 4.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 5.2 \\
\hline Bhind & 96.0 & 3.9 & 0.1 & - & - & 4.1 \\
\hline Total & 94.5 & 4.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 8.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 92.3 & 7.7 & 0.0 & - & - & 7.7 \\
\hline Nagpur & 83.7 & 3.9 & 5.5 & 5.3 & 1.6 & 37.1 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 75.6 & 19.2 & 3.7 & 1.4 & 0.1 & 31.0 \\
\hline Poona & 85.0 & 12.6 & 1.9 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 18.0 \\
\hline Total & 83.3 & 13.5 & 2.3 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 21.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore 21.3} \\
\hline Dharwar & 88.2 & 10.5 & 1.2 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 13.4 \\
\hline Tumkur & 88.9 & 9.9 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 12.9 \\
\hline South Kanara & 83.8 & 15.4 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 17.2 \\
\hline Bidar & 89.2 & 9.1 & 0.2 & . & 1.5 & 8.2 \\
\hline Total & 86.7 & 12.1 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 15.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa 0} \\
\hline Puri & 87.4 & 11.1 & 1.1 & 0.4 & - & 14.4 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 82.4 & 15.8 & 1.8 & - & - & 19.3 \\
\hline Total & 82.4 & 15.8 & 1.8 & - & - & 15.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab 0.4} \\
\hline Ambala & 93.4 & 6.2 & 0.4 & 0.0 & - & 7.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaiput & 83.1 & 8.4 & 1.2 & 1.1 & 6.2 & 38.9 \\
\hline Barmer & 85.8 & 11.0 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 1.3 & 20.7 \\
\hline Udaipur & 88.8 & 6.6 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 3.6 & 23.4 \\
\hline Total & 85.0 & 8.1 & 1.1 & 0.8 & 5.0 & 32.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Prodesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 97.4 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 3.3 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 91.7 & 5.0 & 1.8 & 1.5 & 0.0 & 13.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 97.1 & 2.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 3.5 \\
\hline Allahabad & 96.4 & 3.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 4.5 \\
\hline Jhansi & 95.8 & 4.2 & 0.0 & - & - & 4.2 \\
\hline Total & 96.6 & 3.0 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 4.3 \\
\hline Grand Total & 86.4 & 12.1 & 1.2 & 0.3 & - & 16.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE VIII(j)}

STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS V-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class V} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index ol Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 95.8 & 4.2 & - & \% & - & 4.2 \\
\hline Nellore & 78.0 & 19.4 & 2.3 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 25.0 \\
\hline East Godavan & 81.9 & 15.2 & 2.2 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 21.8 \\
\hline Total & 80.8 & 16.5 & 2.2 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 22.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Kerala } & \\ \end{array}\)} \\
\hline Malappuram & 81.2 & 17.5 & 1.2 & 0.1 & - & 20.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 75.8 & 22.1 & 2.1 & . & - & 26.3 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 70.4 & 26.0 & 3.4 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 33.6 \\
\hline Quilon & 79.0 & 19.6 & 1.3 & 0.1 & - & 22.6 \\
\hline Total & 75.8 & 21.9 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 26.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh 26.6} \\
\hline Sehore & 95.5 & 1.9 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 2.3 & 12.0 \\
\hline Satna & 96.1 & 3.2 & 0.7 & - & - & 4.5 \\
\hline Bhind & 93.0 & 7.0 & - & - & - & 7.0 \\
\hline Total & 95.0 & 3.8 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.9 & 8.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra 8.3} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 91.8 & 8.2 & - & - & - & 8.2 \\
\hline Nagpur & 55.8 & 32.4 & 5.8 & 3.4 & 2.6 & 64.6 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 76.7 & 14.7 & 5.0 & 3.4 & 0.2 & 35.8 \\
\hline Poona & 86.0 & 12.0 & 1.8 & 0.2 & - & 16.3 \\
\hline Total & 82.5 & 13.2 & 2.8 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 23.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore 23.5} \\
\hline Dharwar & 90.9 & 8.3 & 0.8 & 0.0 & - & 10.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 92.3 & 6.7 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 9.3 \\
\hline South Kanara & 86.6 & 12.9 & 0.5 & 0.0 & - & 14.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 85.5 & 13.2 & 1.2 & - & 0.1 & 18.2 \\
\hline Total & 88.5 & 10.8 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 12.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa 12.4} \\
\hline Puri & 88.2 & 9.9 & 1.6 & 0.3 & - & 13.9 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 77.2 & 15.6 & 7.2 & 0.3 & - & 30.0 \\
\hline Total & 87.0 & 10.5 & 2.3 & 0.2 & - & 15.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab \(0.2{ }^{\text {a }}\)} \\
\hline Ambala & 95.4 & 4.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 & - & 4.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan 4.8} \\
\hline Jaipur & 81.3 & 5.6 & 2.8 & 3.7 & 6.6 & 48.8 \\
\hline Barmer & 86.8 & 11.3 & - & - & 1.9 & 18.9 \\
\hline Udaipur & 84.0 & 4.4 & 1.6 & 7.9 & 2.1 & 39.7 \\
\hline Total & 82.3 & 5.3 & 2.3 & 5.1 & 5.0 & 45.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh 2.3 .3} \\
\hline Meerut & 90.9 & 6.2 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 1.3 & 15.2 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 96.2 & 2.3 & 1.1 & 0.4 & - & 5.7 \\
\hline Deoria & 97.1 & 2.3 & 0.1 & - & - & 2.9 \\
\hline Allahabad & 97.1 & 2.6 & 0.2 & - & 0.1 & 3.3 \\
\hline Jhansi & 96.0 & 3.7 & - & - & 0.3 & 4.3 \\
\hline Total & 94.0 & 4.5 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
4.9 \\
\hline .1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Grand Total & 84.2 & 13.1 & 1.7 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 19.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(k)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS VI-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class VI} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 88.4 & 10.5 & 1.0 & 0.1 & - & 12.7 \\
\hline Nellore & 85.0 & 13.5 & 1.5 & 0.0 & - & 16.6 \\
\hline East Godavari & 90.3 & 8.8 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 11.0 \\
\hline Total & 88.1 & 10.7 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 13.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 78.9 & 18.8 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 23.6 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 73.9 & 24.3 & 1.8 & - & 0.0 & 27.9 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 76.0 & 21.4 & 2.5 & 0.1 & - & 26.6 \\
\hline Quilon & 76.1 & 21.7 & 2.1 & 0.1 & - & 26.1 \\
\hline Total & 76.3 & 21.4 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 26.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 87.5 & 10.8 & 0.5 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 9.6 \\
\hline Satna & 88.3 & 10.3 & 0.6 & 0.8 & - & 14.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 95.4 & 4.3 & 0.3 & - & - & 4.9 \\
\hline Total & 91.2 & 7.8 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 10.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 92.4 & 7.6 & 0.0 & - & - & 7.6 \\
\hline Nagpur & 93.4 & 1.9 & 2.6 & 2.1 & - & 13.5 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 81.3 & 14.7 & 3.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 23.6 \\
\hline Poona & 87.8 & 10.9 & 1.2 & 0.1 & - & 13.6 \\
\hline Total & 86.7 & 11.2 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 15.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 92.4 & 6.9 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 8.4 \\
\hline Tumkur & 90.2 & 9.0 & 0.4 & - & 0.4 & 11.4 \\
\hline South Kanara & 82.1 & 17.0 & 0.8 & 0.1 & - & 19.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 93.2 & 6.5 & 0.3 & - & - & 7.1 \\
\hline Total & 88.2 & 11.0 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 12.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 87.0 & 11.9 & 0.8 & 0.3 & - & 14.3 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 61.9 & 31.5 & 6.6 & - & - & 13.1 \\
\hline Total & 82.9 & 15.1 & 1.7 & 0.3 & - & 19.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 87.4 & 11.9 & 0.7 & - & - & 13.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 88.5 & 9.4 & 1.1 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 15.3 \\
\hline Barmer & 86.2 & 11.4 & 2.4 & - & - & 16.1 \\
\hline Udaipur & 89.5 & 9.3 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 12.3 \\
\hline Total & 88.7 & 9.6 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 14.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 95.4 & 4.3 & 0.3 & - & - & 4.9 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 95.0 & 4.8 & 0.2 & - & - & 5.3 \\
\hline Deoria & 96.3 & 3.7 & 0.0 & - & - & 3.8 \\
\hline Allahabad & 93.3 & 6.4 & 0.3 & - & - & 6.9 \\
\hline Jhansi & 95.9 & 4.1 & 0.0 & - & - & 4.2 \\
\hline Total & 95.2 & 4.6 & 0.2 & - & - & 4.9 \\
\hline Grand Total & 87.6 & 11.1 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 14.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(I)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS VI-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class VI} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 93.4 & 5.2 & 1.4 & - & - & 7.9 \\
\hline Nellore & 86.7 & 12.3 & 1.0 & - & - & 14.4 \\
\hline East Godavari & 90.4 & 8.7 & 0.8 & 0.1 & - & 10.5 \\
\hline Total & 89.2 & 9.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & - & 11.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 80.0 & 19.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & - & 21.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 77.8 & 20.9 & 1.3 & - & - & 23.5 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 77.4 & 20.6 & 1.9 & 0.1 & - & 24.8 \\
\hline Quilon & 79.2 & 19.4 & 1.3 & 0.1 & - & 22.2 \\
\hline Total & 78.5 & 20.0 & 1.4 & 0.1 & - & 23.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 95.9 & 4.1 & - & - & - & 4.1 \\
\hline Satna & 98.1 & 1.9 & - & - & - & 1.9 \\
\hline Bhind & 96.0 & 4.0 & - & - & - & 4.0 \\
\hline Total & 96.6 & 3.4 & - & - & - & 3.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 90.8 & 9.1 & 0.1 & - & - & 9.2 \\
\hline Nagpur & 64.5 & 15.8 & 11.8 & 7.9 & - & 63.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 84.0 & 12.1 & 2.4 & 1.4 & 0.1 & 21.4 \\
\hline Poona & 87.0 & 12.4 & 0.5 & 0.1 & - & 13.7 \\
\hline Total & 85.7 & 12.2 & 1.4 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 17.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 94.2 & 5.5 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & 6.1 \\
\hline Tumkur & 92.9 & 6.6 & 0.4 & - & 0.1 & 7.9 \\
\hline South Kanara & 82.1 & 16.9 & 1.0 & 0.0 & - & 19.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 93.0 & 7.0 & - & - & - & 7.0 \\
\hline Total & 87.7 & 11.7 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 13.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 68.7 & 20.1 & 10.8 & 0.4 & - & 42.8 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 58.2 & 37.3 & 4.5 & - & - & 46.2 \\
\hline Total & 67.3 & 22.5 & 9.9 & 0.3 & - & 43.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 92.1 & 7.5 & 0.4 & - & - & 8.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 84.0 & 6.4 & 1.7 & 1.7 & 6.2 & 39.8 \\
\hline Barmer & 90.2 & 9.8 & - & - & - & 9.8 \\
\hline Udaipur & 80.0 & 16.8 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 1.7 & 27.5 \\
\hline Total & 82.7 & 10.2 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 4.5 & 34.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 88.9 & 8.7 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 1.0 & 15.7 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 98.3 & 1.7 & - & - & - & 1.7 \\
\hline Deoria & 98.0 & 2.0 & - & - & - & 2.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 94.9 & 5.1 & - & - & - & 5.1 \\
\hline Jhansi & 93.2 & 6.6 & 0.2 & - & - & 7.1 \\
\hline Total & 90.0 & 8.5 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 12.7 \\
\hline Grand Total & 85.2 & 13.0 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 17.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(m)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS VII-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class VII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 88.0 & 11.3 & 0.7 & - & - & 12.7 \\
\hline Nellore & 87.1 & 11.4 & 1.5 & 0.0 & - & 14.5 \\
\hline East Godavari & 87.9 & 10.8 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 13.5 \\
\hline Total & 87.6 & 11.0 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 13.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala 10.7} \\
\hline Malappuram & 75.8 & 22.5 & 1.7 & 0.0 & - & 26.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 78.3 & 20.6 & 1.0 & 0.1 & - & 22.8 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 77.4 & 20.6 & 1.9 & 0.1 & - & 24.8 \\
\hline Quilon & 77.0 & 21.2 & 1.7 & 0.1 & - & 25.1 \\
\hline Total & 77.0 & 21.2 & 1.7 & 0.1 & - & 24.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh 21.2} \\
\hline Sehore & 91.3 & 7.9 & 0.7 & - & 0.1 & 9.6 \\
\hline Satna & 95.0 & 4.2 & 0.3 & 0.5 & - & 6.4 \\
\hline Bhind & 95.1 & 4.8 & 0.1 & - & - & 5.0 \\
\hline Total & 94.0 & 5.5 & 0.3 & 0.2 & - & 6.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 92.9 & 7.1 & 0.0 & - & - & 7.1 \\
\hline Nagpur & 67.5 & 20.5 & 9.3 & 2.7 & - & 47.1 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 87.8 & 9.7 & 1.9 & 0.6 & - & 15.2 \\
\hline Poona & 91.4 & 7.8 & 0.8 & 0.0 & - & 9.5 \\
\hline Total & 89.5 & 8.8 & 1.4 & 0.3 & - & 12.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 95.2 & 4.7 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 5.6 \\
\hline Tumkur & 90.0 & 9.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 11.5 \\
\hline South Kanara & 81.0 & 17.4 & 1.5 & 0.1 & - & 20.6 \\
\hline Bidar & 90.7 & 9.1 & 0.2 & - & - & 9.6 \\
\hline Total & 88.5 & 10.6 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 12.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 84.3 & 15.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 & - & 16.3 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 64.7 & 24.1 & 11.2 & - & - & 46.5 \\
\hline Total & 80.9 & 16.8 & 2.2 & 0.1 & - & 21.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 88.3 & 10.9 & 0.8 & - & - & 12.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 73.5 & 19.2 & 3.8 & 1.2 & 2.3 & 39.6 \\
\hline Barmer & 88.8 & 8.9 & 2.3 & - & - & 13.5 \\
\hline Udaipur & 90.8 & 8.2 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 11.0 \\
\hline Total & 83.6 & 12.8 & 2.0 & 0.5 & 1.1 & 22.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 93.1 & 6.1 & 0.6 & - & 0.2 & 8.1 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 93.5 & 6.5 & - & - & - & 6.5 \\
\hline Deoria & 95.4 & 4.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 & - & 4.8 \\
\hline Allahabad & 93.9 & 5.9 & 0.2 & - & - & 6.3 \\
\hline Jhansi & 95.0 & 4.9 & 0.1 & - & \(\cdots\) & 5.1 \\
\hline Total & 94.3 & 5.4 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 6.1 \\
\hline Grand Total & 88.0 & 10.7 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 13.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(n)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS VII-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class VII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { With Less } \\
& \text { than Y Year }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(1-2\) Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \(\%\) & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 94.3 & 5.7 & - & - & - & 5.7 \\
\hline Nellore & 89.2 & 9.9 & 0.9 & - & - & 11.7 \\
\hline East Godavari & 88.0 & 11.1 & 0.9 & - & - & 13.0 \\
\hline Total & 88.7 & 10.4 & 0.9 & - & - & 12.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 77.3 & 21.6 & 1.0 & 0.1 & - & 23.9 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 75.2 & 23.9 & 0.8 & 0.1 & - & 25.8 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 73.7 & 24.7 & 1.6 & 0.0 & - & 28.1 \\
\hline Quilon & 78.5 & 20.0 & 1.4 & 0.1 & - & 23.2 \\
\hline Total & 75.9 & 22.8 & 1.2 & 0.1 & - & 25.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 94.3 & 5.6 & - & 0.1 & - & 6.0 \\
\hline Satna & 99.6 & 0.4 & - & . & - & 0.4 \\
\hline Bhind & 95.1 & 4.9 & - & - & - & 4.9 \\
\hline Total & 96.1 & 3.8 & - & 0.1 & - & 4.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurancabad & 94.9 & 5.1 & - & - & - & 5.1 \\
\hline Nagpur & 56.5 & 19.8 & 21.4 & 2.3 & - & 69.4 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 85.8 & 10.5 & 2.5 & 1.2 & - & 19.0 \\
\hline Poona & 92.8 & 6.9 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & 7.5 \\
\hline Total & 89.9 & 8.2 & 1.5 & 0.4 & - & 12.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore 12.6} \\
\hline Dharwar & 96.3 & 3.5 & 0.2 & - & - & 3.9 \\
\hline Tumkur & 92.9 & 6.3 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 8.5 \\
\hline Sout \({ }_{\text {K Kanara }}\) & 78.8 & 18.9 & 1.4 & 0.9 & - & 24.5 \\
\hline Bidar & 93.6 & 6.4 & - & - & - & 6.4 \\
\hline Total & 86.4 & 12.2 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 15.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 75.1 & 16.7 & 7.9 & 0.3 & - & 33.3 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 62.2 & 29.4 & 8.4 & - & - & 46.1 \\
\hline Total & 74.0 & 17.8 & 7.9 & 0.3 & - & 34.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab 34.4} \\
\hline Ambala & 91.3 & 8.7 & - & - & - & 8.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 64.2 & 25.7 & 3.7 & 1.5 & 4.9 & 57.3 \\
\hline Barmer & 97.9 & 2.1 & - & - & - & 2.1 \\
\hline Udaipur & 74.6 & 22.5 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 1.7 & 32.2 \\
\hline Total & 69.9 & 23.9 & 2.4 & 1.1 & 3.6 & 46.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 87.5 & 8.5 & 2.9 & 0.3 & 0.8 & 18.2 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & 0.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 94.5 & 5.2 & 0.3 & - & - & 5.9 \\
\hline Allahabad & 91.3 & 8.7 & - & - & - . & 8.7 \\
\hline Jhansi & 97.5 & 2.5 & - & - & - & 2.5 \\
\hline Total & 91.8 & 6.4 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 10.7 \\
\hline Grand Total & 84.7 & 13.3 & 1.5 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 17.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(o)
STAGNATION OF PUPILS IN CLASS VIII-1965 (BOYS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class VIII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Boys} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 82.8 & 16.3 & 0.9 & - & - & 18.1 \\
\hline Nellore & 83.5 & 15.3 & 1.1 & 0.1 & - & 17.7 \\
\hline East Godavari & 80.4 & 17.6 & 1.9 & 0.1 & - & 21.6 \\
\hline Total & 81.8 & 16.7 & 1.5 & 0.0 & - & 19.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappurram & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 93.9 & 5.8 & 0.2 & - & 0.1 & 6.8 \\
\hline Satna & 93.2 & 6.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & - & 7.3 \\
\hline Bhind & 97.8 & 2.2 & 0.0 & - & - & 2.2 \\
\hline Total & 95.2 & 4.6 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 5.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 91.3 & 8.7 & - & - & - & 8.7 \\
\hline Nagpur & 73.7 & 21.0 & 5.3 & - & - & 31.6 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Poona & 89.8 & 9.1 & 1.1 & - & - & 11.3 \\
\hline Total & 89.6 & 9.4 & 1.0 & - & - & 11.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline South Kanara & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 89.4 & 9.3 & 0.9 & 0.4 & - & 12.3 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tctal & 89.4 & 9.3 & 0.9 & 0.4 & - & 12.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 91.4 & 8.0 & 0.6 & 0.0 & - & 9.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 87.5 & 3.5 & 6.8 & 0.8 & 1.4 & 25.2 \\
\hline Barmer & 92.7 & 6.1 & 1.2 & - & - & 8.5 \\
\hline Udaipur & 89.5 & 8.2 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 13.5 \\
\hline Total & 88.8 & 5.7 & 4.0 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 19.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 90.0 & 8.1 & 1.4 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 12.7 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 85.3 & 11.5 & 3.2 & - & - & 17.9 \\
\hline Deoria & 88.1 & 11.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & - & 13.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 89.0 & 9.3 & 1.5 & 0.2 & - & 12.9 \\
\hline Jhansi & 89.9 & 9.8 & 0.3 & 0.0 & - & 10.5 \\
\hline Total & 89.2 & 9.5 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 12.5 \\
\hline Grand Total & 88.6 & 9.9 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 13.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VIII(p)
STAGNATION OF PLPILS IN CLASS VIII-1965 (GIRLS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Enrolment in Class VIII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Index of Stagnation for Girls} \\
\hline & With Less than 1 Year & 1-2 Years & 2-3 Years & 3-4 Years & 4 Years and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 90.2 & 9.8 & - & - & - & 9.8 \\
\hline Nellore & 86.7 & 12.6 & 0.7 & - & - & 14.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 85.4 & 13.6 & 1.0 & - & - & 15.6 \\
\hline Total & 86.0 & 13.1 & 0.9 & - & - & 14.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 93.8 & 6.2 & - & - & - & 6.2 \\
\hline Satna & 98.9 & 1.1 & - & - & - & 1.1 \\
\hline Bhind & 97.4 & 2.6 & - & - & - & 2.6 \\
\hline Total & 95.7 & 4.3 & - & - & - & 4.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 93.2 & 6.8 & - & - & - & 6.8 \\
\hline Nagpur & 76.5 & 18.6 & 4.9 & - & - & 28.4 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Poona & 93.9 & 6.7 & 0.3 & - & - & 28.4 \\
\hline Total & 92.7 & 6.9 & 0.4 & - & - & 7.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline South Kanara & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 84.7 & 14.3 & 1.0 & - & - & 16.2 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 84.7 & 14.3 & 1.0 & - & - & 16.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 93.1 & 6.6 & 0.3 & - & - & 7.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 63.0 & 3.6 & 25.4 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 83.4 \\
\hline Barmer & 94.3 & 5.7 & - & - & - & 5.7 \\
\hline Udaipur & 71.6 & 25.9 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 1.5 & 34.5 \\
\hline Total & 67.0 & 12.1 & 15.4 & 1.9 & 3.6 & 62.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uftar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 85.9 & 9.6 & 2.1 & 0.3 & 2.1 & 22.1 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 85.7 & 14.3 & - & - & - & 14.3 \\
\hline Deoria & 94.7 & 4.9 & 0.4 & - & - & 5.7 \\
\hline Allahabad & 50.0 & 46.3 & 3.3 & 0.4 & -- & 54.1 \\
\hline Jhansi & 90.3 & 8.6 & 1.1 & - & - & 10.7 \\
\hline Total & 79.6 & 17.4 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 0.9 & 25.5 \\
\hline Grand Total & 87.0 & 10.5 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 16.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE IX(a)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN LOWER FRIMARY SCHOOLS/CLASSES BY PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Men} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Women} \\
\hline & Untrained & Training not Required & Trained & Total & Untrained & Training not Required & Trained & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyde abad & 38.5 & 2.1 & 59.4 & 100.0 & 30.1 & 4.1 & 65.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline N cllore & 0.1 & - & 99.9 & 10.0 & - & - & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & - & 0.1 & 99.9 & 100.0 & - & 0.2 & 99.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.8 & 0.3 & 93.9 & 100.0 & 2.2 & 0.4 & 97.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 10.7 & - & 89.3 & 100.0 & 8.6 & - & 91.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 8.6 & - & \({ }^{\text {¢ }} 1.4\) & 100.0 & 8.5 & - & 91.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 6.5 & - & \(9 ? .5\) & 100.0 & 7.3 & - & 92.7 & \(10) .0\) \\
\hline Quilon & 12.2 & - & 87.8 & 100.0 & 13.3 & - & 86.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 9.4 & - & 90.6 & 100.0 & 9.3 & - & 90.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 4.4 & 0.3 & 95.3 & 100.0 & 21.8 & 0.3 & 77.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 5.5 & - & 94.5 & 100.0 & 23.4 & - & 76.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 7.2 & 2.5 & 90.3 & 100.0 & 15.8 & 1.9 & 82.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.8 & 0.9 & 93.3 & 100.0 & 20.7 & 0.6 & 78.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 71.8 & - & 28.2 & 100.0 & 57.5 & - & 42.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 10.1 & - & 89.9 & 100.0 & 11.9 & - & \(\{9.0\) & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 15.1 & - & 84.9 & 100.0 & 31.8 & - & 68.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 42.1 & - & 57.9 & 100.0 & 23.0 & - & 77.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 33.8 & - & 66.2 & 100.0 & 24.8 & - & 75.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwer & 24.0 & 1.0 & 75.0 & \(1{ }^{\text {r }} 0.9\) & 15.9 & 1.5 & 83.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 39.6 & 2.7 & 57.7 & 100.0 & 39.5 & 1.0 & 59.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 4.5 & 0.8 & 94.7 & 100.0 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 98.7 & 100.9 \\
\hline Bidar & 49.8 & 1.4 & 43.8 & 100.0 & 61.7 & - & 38.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 30.0 & 1.5 & 68.5 & 100.0 & 13.3 & 0.6 & 86.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 26.9 & 2.0 & 71.1 & 100.) & 61.0 & 1.5 & 37.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 57.7 & 2.3 & 40.0 & 100.0 & 85.3 & 2.9 & 11.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 38.9 & 2.1 & 59.0 & 100.0 & 63.8 & 1.7 & 34.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 2.0 & 0.1 & 97.9 & 100.0 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 97.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Rejasthen} \\
\hline Jaipur & 9.6 & - & 90.4 & 100.0 & 45.3 & - & 54.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Earmer & 65.1 & - & 34.9 & 100.0 & 88.3 & - & 11.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 38.9 & - & 61.1 & 100.0 & 84.3 & - & 15.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 25.0 & - & 5.0 & 100.0 & 63.6 & - & 36.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 45.3 & 1.7 & 53.0 & 100.0 & 70.3 & 2.7 & 27.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 53.4 & - & 46.6 & 100.0 & 75.0 & - & 25.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 57.6 & 0.3 & 42.1 & 100.0 & 82.7 & - & 17.3 & 1000 \\
\hline Allahabad & 32.0 & 0.9 & 67.1 & 100.0 & 75.7 & 5.8 & 18.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 49.9 & - & 50.1 & 100.0 & 70.9 & - & 29.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 46.9 & 0.8 & 52.3 & 100.0 & 72.7 & 2.0 & 25.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 26.0 & 0.7 & 73.3 & 100.0 & 22.4 & 0.4 & 77.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE IX(b)
DISTR!BUTION OF TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/CLASSES BY PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (1955)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Men} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Women} \\
\hline & Untrained & Training not Required & Trained & Total & Untrained & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Training } \\
\text { not } \\
\text { Reauired }
\end{gathered}
\] & Trained & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pruedsh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 28.9 & 3.2 & 67.9 & 100.0 & \% & - & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 14.9 & 1.8 & 83.3 & 100.0 & 14.8 & 3.5 & 81.7 & 109.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 5.8 & 2.6 & 91.6 & 100.0 & 7.4 & C. 4 & 92.2 & 10.0 \\
\hline Total & 12.3 & 2.3 & 85.4 & 100.0 & 10.7 & 1.8 & 87.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Kerala 8} \\
\hline Malappuram & 13.8 & - & 86.2 & 100.0 & 16.6 & - & 83.4 & 103.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 20.3 & - & 79.7 & 100.) & 22.7 & - & 77.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 12.0 & - & 88.0 & 100.0 & 14.1 & - & 85.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 23.6 & - & 76.4 & 180.0 & 26.3 & - & 73.7 & 10 . 0 \\
\hline Total & 17.2 & - & 82.8 & 100.0 & 20.1 & - & 79.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 14.9 & 2.7 & 82.4 & 100.0 & 33.7 & 1.6 & 64.7 & 10.0 \\
\hline Satna & 19.9 & - & 80.1 & 10.0 & 25.0 & - & 75.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 31.0 & 1.0 & 68.0 & 10.0 & 32.6 & - & 67.4 & 10.0 \\
\hline & 22.3 & 1.3 & 76.4 & 100.0 & 32.2 & 1.1 & 66.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 45.5 & - & 54.5 & 100.0 & 43.8 & - & 56.2 & 10.9 \\
\hline Nagpur & 34.5 & - & 65.5 & 100.0 & 24.3 & - & 75.7 & 10.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 6.2 & - & 93.8 & 100.0 & 7.9 & - & 92.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 11.1 & - & 88.9 & 100.0 & 12.2 & - & 87.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 20.4 & - & 79.6 & 100.0 & 15.6 & - & 84.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 11.6 & 1.4 & 87.0 & 100.0 & 12.5 & - & 87.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 37.3 & 2.4 & 60.3 & 10.0 & 33.3 & 7.1 & 59.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.7 & 0.7 & 97.6 & 100.0 & 5.3 & - & 94.7 & 10.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 33.7 & 1.0 & 65.3 & 100.0 & - & - & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 22.1 & 1.5 & 76.4 & 100.0 & 16.2 & 1.7 & 82.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa 10.0} \\
\hline Puri & 72.1 & 0.5 & 27.4 & 100.0 & 38.8 & - & 61.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 79.9 & 0.5 & 19.6 & 100.0 & 54.5 & - & 45.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 74.6 & 0.5 & 24.9 & 100.0 & 41.7 & - & 58.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.9 & 3.1 & 95.0 & 100.0 & 1.7 & - & 98.3 & 103.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 25.1 & 5.8 & 69.1 & 100.0 & 47.8 & 0.7 & 51.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 34.8 & 5.4 & 59.8 & 100.0 & 56.3 & 6.2 & 37.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 28.2 & 4.9 & 66.9 & 100.0 & 74.3 & - & 25.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 26.9 & 5.5 & 67.6 & 100.0 & 57.0 & 0.9 & 42.1 & 103. \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 18.7 & 14.1 & 67.2 & 100.0 & 33.7 & 14.5 & 51.8 & 100.9 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 25.1 & 1.2 & 73.7 & 100.0 & 60.0 & 14.5 & 40.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 25.4 & 5.4 & 69.2 & 100.0 & 70.2 & - & 29.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 18.5 & 16.0 & 65.5 & 100.0 & 46.6 & 4.1 & 49.3 & 10.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 20.1 & 13.0 & 66.9 & 100.0 & 33.3 & 2.6 & 64.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 21.4 & 10.8 & 67.8 & 100.0 & 41.1 & 8.0 & 50.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 21.0 & 2.1 & 76.9 & 100.0 & 20.3 & 0.8 & 78.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE IX(c)}
dISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/CLASSES BY PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Men} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Women} & \multirow{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Untrained & Training not Required & Trained & Total & Untrained & Training not Required & Trained & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 39.3 & 1.3 & 59.4 & 100.0 & - & - & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 4.4 & 0.5 & 95.1 & 100.0 & 6.3 & - & 93.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.9 & 0.1 & 98.0 & 100.0 & 2.8 & 0.5 & 96.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.0 & 0.3 & 93.7 & 100.0 & 3.9 & 0.4 & 95.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Serala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 22.6 & - & 77.4 & 100.0 & 31.4 & - & 68.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 13.7 & - & 86.3 & 100.0 & 20.1 & - & 79.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 23.5 & - & 76.5 & 100.0 & 24.3 & - & 75.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 18.5 & - & 81.5 & 100.0 & 19.1 & - & 80.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 19.4 & - & 80.6 & 100.0 & 22.1 & - & 77.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 25.0 & 1.5 & 73.5 & 100.0 & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 37.2 & - & 62.8 & 100.0 & 23.8 & - & 76.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 64.0 & 3.7 & 32.3 & 100.0 & 41.2 & - & 58.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 43.1 & 1.9 & 55.0 & 100.0 & 43.1 & - & 56.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 31.5 & - & 68.5 & 100.0 & 32.9 & - & 67.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 35.3 & - & 64.7 & 100.0 & 22.6 & - & 77.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 24.1 & - & 75.9 & 100.0 & 27.0 & - & 73.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 24.6 & - & 75.4 & 100.0 & 9.9 & - & 90.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 27.9 & - & 72.1 & 100.0 & 17.1 & - & 82.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 27.5 & 4.0 & 68.5 & 100.0 & 13.9 & 1.5 & 84.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 43.0 & 7.3 & 49.7 & 100.0 & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 24.6 & 5.8 & 69.6 & 100.0 & 26.4 & 1.6 & 72.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 52.6 & 2.8 & 44.6 & 100.0 & 47.1 & - & 52.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 30.4 & 5.2 & 64.4 & 100.0 & 25.0 & 1.5 & 73.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 36.4 & 5.3 & 58.3 & 100.0 & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 46.4 & 9.3 & 44.3 & 100.0 & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 39.3 & 6.4 & 54.3 & 100.0 & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 8.1 & 2.5 & 89.4 & 100.0 & - & - & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 33.7 & 2.0 & 64.3 & 100.0 & 58.8 & - & 41.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 23.8 & - & 76.2 & 100.0 & 75.0 & - & 25.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 31.3 & - & 68.7 & 100.0 & 88.9 & - & 11.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 32.4 & 1.1 & 66.5 & 100.0 & 70.0 & - & 30.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 16.9 & 28.6 & 54.5 & 100.0 & 4.3 & 23.7 & 72.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 9.8 & 15.8 & 74.4 & 100.0 & 11.5 & 7.7 & 80.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 9.0 & 30.2 & 60.8 & 100.0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Allahabad & 14.4 & 23.2 & 62.4 & 100.0 & - & 6.3 & 93.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 13.3 & 18.6 & 68.1 & 100.0 & 10.5 & 7.9 & 81.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 14.2 & 25.5 & 60.3 & 100.0 & 5.9 & 17.8 & 76.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 24.0 & 4.8 & 71.2 & 100.0 & 18.6 & 1.5 & 79.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE X(a)
DISTRIBUTION OF MEN TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Less than 20 Years & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above
60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (3) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 3.4 & 34.0 & 28.7 & 20.4 & 9.5 & 2.2 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.3 & \% & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.4 & 9.9 & 18.1 & 23.0 & 16.2 & 11.5 & 9.6 & 7.2 & 4.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 0.6 & 9.2 & 14.9 & 18.9 & 14.6 & 11.5 & 13.0 & 10.4 & 6.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.0 & 13.2 & 18.3 & 20.8 & 14.4 & 10.1 & 9.8 & 7.6 & 4.8 & - & 109.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 0.4 & 9.3 & 21.4 & 18.4 & 14.2 & 12.0 & 8.5 & 11.5 & 4.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 0.4 & 12.5 & 29.6 & 19.9 & 11.6 & 7.9 & 6.4 & 8.5 & 3.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.4 & 3.3 & 10.8 & 15.0 & 12.1 & 16.1 & 13.5 & 18.4 & 10.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 0.2 & 4.5 & 17. & 23.4 & 17.5 & 13.4 & 9.4 & 9.8 & 4.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.3 & 7.0 & 18.1 & 18.1 & 13.8 & 13.2 & 10.1 & 13.2 & 6.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madiya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehor \({ }^{\text {- }}\) & 0.9 & 24.0 & 41.3 & 21.2 & 5.4 & 3.6 & 1.2 & 1.9 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 0.4 & 21.2 & 27.3 & 21.0 & 14.3 & 7.8 & 4.1 & 3.3 & 0.7 & - & 00.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 0.1 & 14.7 & 26.7 & 23.0 & 14.2 & 7.8 & 7.1 & 4.8 & 1.7 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 19.9 & 31.1 & 21.7 & 11.7 & 6.6 & 4.3 & 3.4 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 4.1 & 40.8 & 22.4 & 12.6 & 8.5 & 5.2 & 3.3 & 2.2 & 0.9 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 1.8 & 31.9 & 25.6 & 11.3 & 7.8 & 5.8 & 5.4 & 8.3 & 2.1 & - & 100.9 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 1.5 & 16.2 & 16.9 & 24.5 & 19.1 & 11.9 & 5.6 & 2.4 & 1.9 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Poona & 5.9 & 29.5 & 18.9 & 20.6 & 9.0 & 5.4 & 5.0 & 3.8 & 1.5 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.4 & 28.5 & 20.4 & 18.2 & 11.6 & 7.3 & 4.9 & 4.0 & 1.6 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.8 & 12.5 & 20.2 & 23.2 & ; 7.3 & 12.7 & 8.3 & 4.8 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 0.4 & 11.7 & 14.7 & 21.8 & 21.4 & 13.2 & 7.8 & 5.9 & 3.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.4 & 14.8 & 22.9 & 20.0 & 9.0 & 8.6 & 8.0 & 11.6 & 3.7 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 1.4 & 33.2 & 34.0 & 15.8 & 8.5 & 4.2 & 1.7 & 1.1 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.9 & 16.8 & 22.0 & 20.7 & 15.2 & 10.4 & 6.8 & 5.5 & 1.6 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 4.9 & 22.2 & 27.4 & 15.1 & 7.3 & 4.6 & 6.6 & 4.9 & 4.3 & 2.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 24.0 & 33.3 & 18.8 & 8.2 & 4.9 & 3.2 & 3.2 & 2.4 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 109.0 \\
\hline Total & 12.3 & 26.5 & 24.1 & 12.4 & 6.4 & 4.1 & 5.3 & 3.9 & 3.1 & 1.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.7 & 22.0 & 31.0 & 18.3 & 8.6 & 3.8 & 2.7 & 6.4 & 5.2 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan 0.6} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.6 & 27.1 & 35.0 & 17.6 & 8.6 & 4.8 & 3.1 & 2.5 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 103.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 11.4 & 49.9 & 29.4 & 5.7 & 2.2 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.2 & - & 120.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 6.3 & 39.4 & 34.1 & 11.4 & 4.4 & 1.8 & 1.1 & 1.1 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.6 & 33.5 & 34.2 & 14.3 & 6.5 & 3.4 & 2.1 & 1.8 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 103.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 3.4 & 30.9 & 20.5 & 11.9 & 10.8 & 8.7 & 4.6 & 4.5 & 3.5 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 9.1 & 36.9 & 25.4 & 17.5 & 6.5 & 2.7 & 0.9 & 0.7 & - & 0.3 & 109.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 3.8 & 31.5 & 25.1 & 13.2 & 11.5 & 5.3 & 3.4 & 2.7 & 3.0 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 2.9 & 21.6 & 19.6 & 8.9 & 13.2 & 10.4 & 6.6 & 6.2 & 8.4 & 2.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 6.8 & 30.5 & 22.7 & 13.0 & 8.3 & 5.3 & 3.9 & 2.3 & 5.2 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.2 & 29.5 & 22.1 & 12.2 & 10.8 & 7.3 & 4.4 & 3.8 & 4.4 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2.9 & 21.9 & 22.7 & 17.4 & 11.9 & 8.1 & 6.1 & 5.5 & 3.1 & 0.4 & 109.) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE X(b)
DISTRIBUTION OF WOMEN TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS'SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Less \\
than 20 \\
Years
\end{tabular} & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 5.2 & 22.8 & 25.4 & 18.6 & 13.0 & 10.4 & 3.1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.8 & 11.5 & 18.8 & 22.1 & 15.0 & 11.4 & 9.9 & 7.2 & 3.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.9 & 13.1 & 21.7 & 20.8 & 14.7 & 11.6 & 8.2 & 5.7 & 2.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.5 & 12.9 & 20.4 & 21.4 & 14.8 & 11.4 & 8.7 & 6.2 & 2.7 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 0.9 & 12.7 & 26.4 & 20.8 & 15.6 & 11.2 & 7.6 & 3.8 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 0.9 & 12.7 & 28.9 & 23.5 & 15.3 & 8.4 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.4 & 5.4 & 17.0 & 20.9 & 16.3 & 17.3 & 9.2 & 9.9 & 3.6. & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 0.2 & 10.5 & 19.1 & 23.3 & 18.5 & 13.4 & 6.5 & 6.1 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.6 & 9.8 & 22.1 & 22.0 & 16.5 & 13.1 & 7.2 & 6.5 & 2.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 0.3 & 30.6 & 33.8 & 20.5 & 8.8 & 3.8 & 1.5 & 0.5 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 2.4 & 42.7 & 21.8 & 10.5 & 13.7 & 8.1 & - & - & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 14.5 & 32.3 & 27.2 & 13.3 & 5.1 & 1.9 & 4.4 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.6 & 29.1 & 31.3 & 20.3 & 10.7 & 4.8 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 6.5 & 29.5 & 20.7 & 15.0 & 11.0 & 8.6 & 5.1 & 3.0 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 4.1 & 21.6 & 23.3 & 20.1 & 12.4 & 8.1 & 6.4 & 3.7 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 7.1 & 29.9 & 19.0 & 25.7 & 8.1 & 5.5 & 2.4 & 1.8 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 6.2 & 20.0 & 21.3 & 14.8 & 11.9 & 9.0 & 7.5 & 5.1 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.8 & 22.8 & 21.4 & 17.7 & 11.4 & 8.2 & 6.3 & 4.2 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 1.5 & 19.0 & 20.6 & 19.0 & 12.6 & 13.3 & 7.9 & 5.4 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 1.0 & 21.5 & 21.2 & 20.0 & 14.3 & 12.8 & 4.2 & 4.2 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.9 & 12.7 & 22.5 & 25.6 & 13.3 & 8.8 & 6.8 & 6.3 & 2.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 0.5 & 37.2 & 24.5 & 17.9 & 5.6 & 6.6 & 4.6 & 3.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.6 & 16.9 & 22.0 & 22.8 & 12.8 & 10.3 & 6.6 & 5.6 & 1.4 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 28.6 & 27.0 & 16.2 & 8.1 & 7.7 & 5.0 & 4.6 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahand & 55.9 & 17.7 & 17.7 & 2.9 & 2.9 & - & - & 2.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 31.7 & 25.9 & 16.4 & 7.5 & 7.2 & 4.4 & 4.1 & 1.4 & 1.0 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 4.9 & 34.6 & 31.2 & 10.2 & 6.6 & 3.9 & 4.1 & 3.3 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 4.4 & 23.5 & 31.0 & 15.1 & 11.1 & 5.8 & 5.1 & 3.3 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 10.0 & 18.3 & 36.6 & 15.0 & 10.0 & 5.0 & 1.7 & 1.7 & 1.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 6.9 & 28.3 & 25.9 & 20.4 & 9.3 & 5.3 & 2.3 & 1.4 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.7 & 25.1 & 29.3 & 17.2 & 10.3 & 5.6 & 3.8 & 2.4 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 10.9 & 31.3 & 23.8 & 13.9 & 7.2 & 5.8 & 2.5 & 2.8 & 1.5 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 18.2 & 36.4 & 20.4 & 6.8 & 4.5 & 2.3 & 9.1 & - & 2.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 24.0 & 33.5 & 18.4 & 9.2 & 5.3 & 1.1 & 2.8 & 1.1 & 3.2 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 10.1 & 30.1 & 19.6 & 11.1 & 6.9 & 7.9 & 8.5 & 3.7 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 7.7 & 21.6 & 27.5 & 14.7 & 10.3 & 6.4 & 4.1 & 4.1 & 3.3 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 12.2 & 29.8 & 23.3 & 13.0 & 7.4 & 5.4 & 3.5 & 2.8 & 2.1 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 4.1 & 19.1 & 22.9 & 19.1 & 12.5 & 9.3 & 6.2 & 4.8 & 1.7 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE X(c)}

DISTRIBUTION OF MEN TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS;'SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Less } \\
\text { than } 20 \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (1) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 0.9 & 30.3 & 30.6 & 21.8 & 9.6 & 4.1 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 3.7 & 13.7 & 25.0 & 18.2 & 14.5 & 7.4 & 7.2 & 5.4 & 4.9 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.0 & 7.1 & 23.9 & 21.5 & 13.3 & 9.1 & 9.9 & 8.7 & 5.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.2 & 12.5 & 25.1 & 20.1 & 13.4 & 7.8 & 7.8 & 6.4 & 4.6 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 0.4 & 9.1 & 28.1 & 22.4 & 17.0 & 9.0 & 5.7 & 5.5 & 2.9 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & 7.3 & 26.5 & 29.5 & 14.8 & 9.6 & 5.8 & 4.1 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.8 & 5.9 & 19.6 & 24.6 & 16.0 & 10.7 & 6.9 & 10.7 & 4.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 0.4 & 6.4 & 17.9 & 31.4 & 18.6 & 10.9 & 6.8 & 5.2 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.5 & 7.0 & 22.4 & 26.9 & 16.8 & 10.1 & 6.4 & 6.7 & 3.2 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 0.2 & 18.0 & 42.0 & 27.2 & 5.3 & 2.9 & 1.7 & 1.7 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 21.6 & 32.6 & 21.6 & 13.1 & 5.2 & 3.6 & 1.6 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 0.2 & 15.3 & 42.0 & 19.5 & 11.9 & 5.0 & 3.1 & 2.4 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 18.1 & 39.1 & 22.6 & 10.2 & 4.4 & 2.8 & 1.9 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 0.3 & 26.1 & 20.5 & 16.1 & 14.7 & 10.3 & 8.5 & 2.6 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 10.7 & 33.1 & 23.8 & 10.3 & 7.4 & 5.6 & 4.1 & 3.7 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 0.7 & 8.3 & 8.8 & 13.7 & 25.4 & 17.0 & 14.2 & 10.7 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 1.6 & 12.1 & 18.7 & 28.2 & 15.3 & 9.8 & 6.2 & 5.7 & 2.1 & 0.3 & 103.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.9 & 18.8 & 17.7 & 17.7 & 15.6 & 10.6 & 8.0 & 6.1 & 1.5 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.8 & 18.5 & 25.4 & 24.4 & 11.8 & 9.9 & 5.9 & 2.5 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 0.7 & 9.6 & 18.0 & 23.2 & 26.3 & 10.4 & 5.2 & 4.8 & 1.7 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.9 & 11.8 & 22.2 & 23.0 & 12.8 & 7.5 & 9.2 & 8.3 & 3.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 0.8 & 29.7 & 35.8 & 17.0 & 7.3 & 5.1 & 3.1 & 1.2 & - & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.9 & 16.4 & 24.2 & 22.6 & 16.0 & 8.9 & 5.7 & 3.9 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 19.8 & 32.6 & 17.5 & 9.5 & 8.8 & 2.3 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 2.3 & 3.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 32.0 & 40.7 & 11.0 & 6.7 & 5.3 & 1.4 & 2.4 & 0.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 23.8 & 35.2 & 15.3 & 8.6 & 7.7 & 2.0 & 2.2 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 2.2 & 13.9 & 26.6 & 23.3 & 10.2 & 5.2 & 5.5 & 5.8 & 4.5 & 2.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.5 & 16.2 & 25.9 & 23.2 & 11.6 & 8.6 & 8.0 & 4.4 & 1.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 3.6 & 25.0 & 35.7 & 14.3 & 9.8 & 3.6 & 5.3 & 1.8 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 0.7 & 15.5 & 29.7 & 26.5 & 14.9 & 6.3 & 3.0 & 2.9 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.8 & 16.5 & 28.0 & 23.8 & 12.6 & 7.4 & 6.0 & 3.7 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 0.9 & 18.7 & 20.8 & 20.2 & 15.4 & 7.8 & 6.1 & 4.7 & 3.7 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 10.2 & 7.2 & 10.2 & 22.1 & 29.9 & 9.0 & 6.0 & 4.2 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 3.4 & 11.5 & 17.3 & 20.3 & 17.3 & 11.0 & 6.3 & 5.7 & 5.7 & 1.5 & 109.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 4.5 & 14.6 & 14.2 & 13.1 & 10.6 & 10.3 & 11.9 & 10.6 & 8.6 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 1.9 & 25.7 & 18.1 & 12.3 & 12.7 & 10.8 & 6.3 & 3.5 & 6.1 & 2.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.6 & 17.2 & 18.4 & 17.1 & 14.3 & 9.8 & 7.4 & 5.8 & 5.7 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2.6 & 16.0 & 22.8 & 20.6 & 14.5 & 8.8 & 6.6 & 5.3 & 2.5 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE X(d)}
distribution of women teachers in higher primary schools/Sections by age-groups (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Less than \\
20 years
\end{tabular} & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \(\%\) & \% & \% & \% & \% & \(\%\) & \% & \% & \(\%\) & \% & \(\%\) \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & 28.6 & 42.8 & 28.6 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 4.5 & 26.2 & 25.8 & 17.8 & 8.4 & 5.9 & 5.9 & 1.0 & 4.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.7 & 26.0 & 29.9 & 21.6 & 9.1 & 3.5 & 4.7 & 2.2 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.0 & 26.1 & 28.2 & 20.0 & 8.6 & 4.5 & 5.2 & 1.6 & 2.5 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 1.4 & 16.3 & 35.7 & 24.9 & 12.3 & 4.9 & 2.8 & 1.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 0.6 & 12.3 & 28.7 & 28.5 & 15.5 & 7.8 & 3.2 & 2.4 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 2.4 & 13.1 & 25.7 & 23.6 & 14.3 & 10.8 & 4.9 & 4.3 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 0.8 & 12.0 & 25.0 & 25.7 & 16.0 & 9.4 & 4.9 & 5.6 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.3 & 13.1 & 27.9 & 25.6 & 14.7 & 8.7 & 4.2 & 3.8 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 26.2 & 42.8 & 19.3 & 9.1 & 2.1 & - & 0.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 2.5 & 35.0 & 25.0 & 7.5 & 7.5 & 10.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 2.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 25.6 & 44.2 & 13.9 & 9.3 & 2.3 & 4.7 & . & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 27.4 & 40.4 & 16.7 & 8.8 & 3.3 & 1.5 & 1.1 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 30.5 & 21.9 & 22.7 & 10.2 & 6.2 & 6.2 & 2.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 8.5 & 34.0 & 26.6 & 14.9 & 7.6 & 4.0 & 2.2 & 1.4 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 1.5 & 18.1 & 24.7 & 16.8 & 24.5 & 9.0 & 3.3 & 1.7 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 1.5 & 24.1 & 23.5 & 20.6 & 13.0 & 8.6 & 5.4 & 2.3 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.0 & 25.2 & 24.4 & 18.6 & 14.3 & 7.5 & 4.3 & 2.0 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.9 & 28.0 & 28.9 & 13.8 & 9.5 & 6.9 & 8.6 & 2.1 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 4.0 & 23.3 & 26.3 & 21.2 & 11.1 & 9.1 & 4.0 & 1.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 4.0 & 18.4 & 15.8 & 27.6 & 11.9 & 9.2 & 7.9 & 2.6 & 2.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.2 & 25.0 & 25.7 & 18.1 & 10.3 & 8.1 & 7.4 & 2.0 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 20.4 & 42.9 & 22.4 & 8.2 & 4.1 & - & - & - & 2.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 36.3 & 18.2 & 18.2 & 18.2 & - & - & - & - & 9.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 23.4 & 38.3 & 21.7 & 10.0 & 3.3 & - & - & - & 3.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 3.9 & 32.2 & 32.2 & 16.7 & 7.2 & 3.3 & 1.7 & 1.1 & 1.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 1.4 & 28.3 & 22.5 & 22.5 & 9.4 & 5.8 & 7.2 & 2.2 & - & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 37.5 & 37.5 & 25.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 5.4 & 33.8 & 36.4 & 13.5 & 6.7 & 1.4 & 1.4 & 1.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.6 & 30.7 & 28.2 & 19.7 & 7.9 & 3.9 & 4.8 & 1.8 & - & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 4.1 & 33.1 & 27.3 & 15.1 & 7.5 & 6.4 & 3.5 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 20.0 & 60.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 25.6 & 34.0 & 6.4 & 8.5 & 17.0 & - & 8.5 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 6.9 & 26.0 & 17.8 & 12.3 & 9.6 & 5.5 & 6.9 & 12.3 & - & 2.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 11.5 & 18.0 & 20.5 & 18.0 & 11.5 & 9.0 & 6.4 & 2.5 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 9.1 & 29.1 & 21.3 & 14.1 & 9.9 & 5.8 & 5.3 & 3.5 & 0.8 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2.8 & 22.1 & 26.7 & 20.5 & 12.8 & 7.2 & 4.4 & 2.5 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE X(c)}

DISTRIBUTION OF MEN TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Less than 20 Years & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 0.7 & 20.0 & 38.0 & 23.3 & 12.7 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 1.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.8 & 10.8 & 23.6 & 20.9 & 15.1 & 7.5 & 78 & 8.5 & 4.8 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 0.1 & 5.8 & 19.5 & 24.3 & 21.0 & 9.5 & 7.1 & 8.7 & 3.5 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 8.9 & 22.6 & 23.0 & 18.1 & 8.1 & 6.9 & 8.0 & 3.7 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 16.1 & 35.3 & 18.5 & 12.4 & 5.6 & 5.3 & 5.1 & 1.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 0.2 & 7.9 & 26.1 & 25.7 & 20.9 & 8.9 & 4.1 & 3.7 & 2.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & 22.1 & 24.6 & 20.3 & 15.1 & 6.5 & 4.2 & 4.8 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 0.2 & 9.3 & 23.2 & 27.4 & 19.2 & 9.5 & 5.7 & 2.4 & 2.6 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 13.7 & 26.9 & 23.2 & 17.1 & 7.8 & 4.8 & 3.9 & 2.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 11.2 & 28.4 & 34.7 & 10.8 & 6.3 & 3.0 & 3.7 & 1.5 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 19.5 & 33.8 & 27.3 & 11.7 & 4.7 & 1.7 & 0.9 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 3.7 & 26.3 & 37.4 & 17.8 & 7.7 & 4.0 & 1.0 & 1.4 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.4 & 19.2 & 33.3 & 26.3 & 9.9 & 5.0 & 1.9 & 2.0 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 1.5 & 14.9 & 21.3 & 27.1 & 12.7 & 9.8 & 6.8 & 5.4 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 4.6 & 27.4 & 18.9 & 18.5 & 12.4 & 7.6 & 4.9 & 3.5 & 2.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 1.4 & 21.0 & 22.3 & 18.3 & 11.9 & 7.8 & 7.1 & 5.7 & 3.8 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 0.1 & 31.8 & 18.6 & 14.3 & 13.0 & 9.4 & 5.7 & 4.6 & 1.9 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.8 & 26.0 & 20.0 & 17.7 & 12.5 & 8.5 & 6.0 & 4.7 & 2.4 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore 10.0} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.9 & 15.1 & 27.1 & 18.3 & 14.4 & 14.2 & 4.7 & 3.0 & 1.6 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 0.9 & 14.9 & 31.2 & 20.6 & 14.0 & 10.4 & 3.1 & 2.0 & 1.8 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 0.3 & 12.6 & 18.6 & 17.0 & 16.9 & 11.2 & 9.5 & 8.9 & 4.8 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 1.4 & 22.3 & 26.5 & 24.7 & 15.2 & 5.2 & 2.8 & 1.4 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.7 & 14.5 & 24.2 & 18.6 & 15.4 & 11.8 & 6.3 & 5.1 & 2.9 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 1.7 & 26.4 & 18.8 & 16.1 & 14.1 & 5.9 & 4.4 & 4.1 & 3.8 & 4.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 8.6 & 22.9 & 31.4 & 11.4 & 12.9 & 2.1 & 3.6 & 3.6 & 2.1 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.7 & 25.4 & 22.5 & 14.7 & 13.7 & 4.8 & 4.2 & 4.0 & 3.3 & 3.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & - & 8.1 & 28.4 & 21.8 & 14.2 & 5.6 & 8.1 & 5.1 & 6.6 & 2.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & 7.4 & 21.1 & 28.6 & 15.2 & 9.7 & 7.4 & 6.0 & 3.2 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 9.5 & 19.1 & 19.1 & 19.1 & 9.5 & 9.5 & 14.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & 7.7 & 25.7 & 21.5 & 25.7 & 7.7 & 5.7 & 3.9 & 2.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 7.6 & 23.1 & 25.2 & 19.8 & 8.9 & 6.7 & 5.3 & 2.6 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Unar Pradesh 10.6} \\
\hline Meerut & 0.6 & 16.7 & 21.2 & 19.7 & 18.4 & 9.2 & 4.7 & 4.8 & 3.7 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 1.2 & 17.1 & 34.1 & 19.5 & 12.2 & 3.7 & 6.1 & 3.7 & 2.4 & -- & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 2.4 & 20.9 & 16.8 & 13.2 & 23.0 & 9.9 & 7.8 & 4.2 & 1.5 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 0.7 & 11.3 & 19.2 & 18.2 & 17.7 & 10.4 & 7.6 & 6.9 & 7.1 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 1.2 & 16.1 & 20.4 & 16.4 & 18.9 & 8.0 & 8.4 & 5.3 & 5.0 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.1 & 16.2 & 20.4 & 17.7 & 18.9 & 9.1 & 6.5 & 5.2 & 4.2 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1.0 & 17.4 & 23.1 & 19.9 & 15.4 & 8.8 & 5.9 & 5.0 & 2.9 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE X(f)}

DISTRIBUTION OF WOMEN TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Less than 20 Years & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 16.7 & 16.7 & 16.7 & 33.3 & 16.6 & - & - & & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 1.1 & 17.7 & 25.0 & 15.6 & 15.6 & 10.4 & 4.2 & 7.3 & 3.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 0.6 & 7.8 & 16.1 & 31.7 & 19.4 & 17.8 & 3.9 & 1.6 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.1 & 11.3 & 19.1 & 26.2 & 18.2 & 14.9 & 3.9 & 3.5 & 1.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 36.3 & 27.4 & 12.7 & 15.7 & 6.9 & - & - & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & 19.2 & 28.4 & 24.9 & 17.4 & 5.7 & 2.6 & 0.9 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 1.2 & 26.3 & 27.2 & 16.9 & 14.8 & 4.9 & 5.8 & 1.7 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & 22.0 & 35.2 & 24.0 & 13.3 & 3.7 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.3 & 24.0 & 30.5 & 21.1 & 15.0 & 4.9 & 2.4 & 0.9 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 21.9 & 34.4 & 28.1 & 9.3 & 4.7 & 1.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 38.1 & 33.3 & 23.8 & 4.8 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 29.4 & 41.2 & 23.5 & - & 5.9 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 26.5 & 35.2 & 26.5 & 6.9 & 3.9 & 1.0 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 24.7 & 13.7 & 26.0 & 16.4 & 5.5 & 12.3 & 1.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 10.1 & 18.5 & 18.5 & 19.1 & 14.2 & 9.2 & 4.9 & 3.3 & 2.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 1.1 & 36.0 & 24.7 & 12.4 & 13.5 & 7.9 & 2.2 & 2.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & - & 42.7 & 22.9 & 15.2 & 9.3 & 4.7 & 3.2 & 1.6 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.5 & 32.8 & 21.0 & 17.0 & 11.8 & 6.5 & 4.3 & 2.2 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 3.1 & 18.5 & 26.1 & 16.9 & 13.8 & 6.2 & 7.7 & 4.6 & 3.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & 25.0 & 75.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 0.5 & 19.9 & 29.6 & 14.0 & 14.5 & 11.3 & 3.8 & 6.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & 41.2 & 11.8 & 23.5 & 17.6 & 5.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.1 & 18.4 & 30.2 & 14.3 & 14.7 & 10.3 & 4.8 & 5.5 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 33.3 & 16.7 & 50.0 & - & - & - & -- & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & - & 32.3 & 35.5 & 16.1 & 6.4 & - & 9.7 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & 23.5 & 35.3 & 17.6 & 5.9 & 5.9 & 5.9 & - & 5.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & 50.0 & 25.0 & - & - & - & 25.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & 44.5 & 22.2 & 22.2 & 11.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 26.8 & 33.3 & 20.0 & 6.7 & 3.3 & 3.3 & 3.3 & 3.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 1.4 & 26.6 & 25.2 & 20.9 & 13.0 & 8.6 & 3.6 & - & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & 19.2 & 26.9 & 19.2 & 11.5 & 7.7 & 7.7 & 3.9 & 3.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Allahabad & - & - & 12.5 & 43.7 & 12.5 & 6.3 & 12.5 & 6.3 & 6.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & - & 15.8 & 26.3 & 21.1 & 18.4 & 10.5 & 2.6 & 5.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.9 & 21.9 & 24.6 & 22.4 & 13.7 & 8.7 & 4.6 & 1.8 & 1.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1.7 & 25.5 & 25.8 & 19.6 & 13.5 & 7.1 & 3.7 & 2.1 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XI(a)
distribution of untrained men teachers in lower primary schools/Sections by AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Less than \\
20 Years
\end{tabular} & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 6.5 & 56.1 & 23.3 & 8.0 & 3.6 & 1.2 & 0.5 & 0.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & - & - & 50.0 & - & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 6.5 & 55.9 & 23.4 & 7.9 & 3.8 & 1.2 & 0.5 & 0.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 2.3 & 9.1 & 21.0 & 17.8 & 13.6 & 12.7 & 8.5 & 10.8 & 4.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 4.1 & 12.2 & 28.6 & 18.4 & 12.2 & 8.2 & 6.1 & 6.1 & 4.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 5.4 & 10.1 & 11.4 & 14.8 & 9.4 & 13.4 & 12.1 & 12.7 & 10.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 1.7 & 4.2 & 17.5 & 21.6 & 16.7 & 12.5 & 10.8 & 10.0 & 5.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.0 & 8.7 & 18.8 & 17.9 & 13.1 & 12.5 & 9.5 & 10.7 & 5.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 29.1 & 38.2 & 20.0 & 3.6 & 5.5 & 1.8 & 1.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 1.1 & 27.2 & 37.0 & 10.9 & 4.3 & 5.4 & 7.6 & 5.4 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 16.5 & 43.7 & 19.4 & 4.8 & 4.8 & 4.9 & 4.9 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 23.2 & 40.0 & 16.4 & 4.4 & 5.2 & 5.2 & 4.4 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 5.2 & 49.0 & 23.0 & 10.2 & 5.6 & 3.2 & 1.9 & 1.2 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 6.2 & 45.9 & 17.2 & 4.9 & 8.2 & 8.6 & 4.1 & 3.7 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 8.0 & 54.6 & 18.9 & 8.2 & 4.7 & 1.9 & 2.3 & 1.2 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 12.4 & 51.2 & 16.4 & 8.4 & 3.2 & 3.7 & 3.0 & 1.0 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 8.4 & 50.4 & 19.6 & 8.9 & 4.7 & 3.5 & 2.5 & 1.3 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 3.1 & 28.1 & 35.9 & 17.2 & - 6.5 & 2.7 & 3.0 & 3.4 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 0.4 & 6.8 & 12.8 & 36.0 & 25.9 & 10.5 & 3.6 & 2.8 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 12.8 & 53.2 & 19.2 & 5.3 & 4.2 & 3.2 & 2.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 1.1 & 32.3 & 31.4 & 16.8 & 10.2 & 5.5 & 1.7 & 0.9 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.7 & 22.3 & 25.5 & 23.7 & 14.8 & 6.5 & 2.8 & 2.2 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 14.8 & 34.1 & 21.8 & 9.6 & 6.5 & 3.9 & 4.3 & 2.3 & 1.8 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 32.3 & 29.1 & 17.6 & 7.7 & 5.1 & 3.2 & 2.2 & 1.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 24.9 & 31.3 & 19.3 & 8.5 & 5.7 & 3.5 & 3.1 & 1.9 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 5.9 & 20.6 & 20.6 & 11.8 & 2.9 & 17.6 & 2.9 & 11.8 & 5.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 2.2 & 13.3 & 37.5 & 20.7 & 8.7 & 4.9 & 5.3 & 4.6 & 2.2 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 16.7 & 55.1 & 20.9 & 4.8 & 1.5 & 0.3 & - & 0.3 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 14.4 & 53.2 & 17.8 & 6.4 & 3.1 & 2.3 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 12.3 & 45.2 & 22.6 & 9.2 & 3.9 & 2.4 & 1.7 & 1.9 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 7.3 & 54.0 & 24.6 & 6.6 & 2.9 & 1.6 & 1.0 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 16.8 & 55.7 & 19.3 & 4.7 & 1.2 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 6.4 & 45.6 & 29.5 & 10.5 & 4.1 & 1.2 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 8.5 & 42.7 & 22.8 & 6.3 & 6.0 & 4.6 & 2.7 & 2.8 & 1.7 & 1.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 13.5 & 50.0 & 24.2 & 6.0 & 2.2 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 8.9 & 49.3 & 25.4 & 7.5 & 3.5 & 1.8 & 1.2 & 1.1 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 8.9 & 40.7 & 23.2 & 11.6 & 6.5 & 3.7 & 2.4 & 1.9 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XI(b)
DISTRUBUTION OF UNTRAINED WOMEN TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Less than 20 Years & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Above \\
60 \\
Years
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 5.2 & 25.9 & 34.5 & 6.9 & 13.8 & 10.3 & 3.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline East Godavari & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 5.2 & 25.9 & 34.5 & 6.9 & 13.8 & 10.3 & 3.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 2.9 & 11.5 & 24.0 & 22.1 & 15.4 & 9.6 & 6.7 & 4.9 & 2.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 10.0 & 12.5 & 26.2 & 20.0 & 13.7 & 7.5 & 3.8 & 5.0 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 5.2 & 15.5 & 18.1 & 15.5 & 11.2 & 12.9 & 9.5 & 9.5 & 2.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 1.2 & 11.7 & 19.1 & 21.0 & 16.0 & 13.0 & 10.5 & 5.6 & 1.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.1 & 12.8 & 21.2 & 19.7 & 14.3 & 11.2 & 8.2 & 6.3 & 2.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & 1.2 & 42.5 & 25.2 & 13.8 & 9.2 & 5.7 & 1.2 & 1.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 10.4 & 48.3 & 31.0 & - & - & 6.9 & - & - & 3.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & - & 40.0 & 32.0 & 12.0 & - & 8.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.8 & 36,2 & 29.1 & 14.2 & 7.8 & 5.0 & 2.1 & 1.4 & 1.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 5.3 & 38.9 & 23.7 & 12.4 & 9.5 & 5.6 & 3.5 & 1.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 17.7 & 32.3 & 16.9 & 11.6 & 10.8 & 5.4 & 1.5 & 2.3 & 1.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 16.2 & 48.2 & 17.3 & 10.7 & 3.6 & 1.5 & 0.5 & 1.5 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 13.5 & 31.0 & 19.4 & 11.2 & 8.7 & 7.6 & 4.8 & 3.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 12.4 & 36.1 & 19.8 & 11.4 & 8.3 & 5.8 & 3.3 & 2.6 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.8 & 43.1 & 25.4 & 8.5 & 11.5 & 4.6 & 2.3 & 3.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 1.2 & 24.4 & 26.9 & 25.0 & 12.5 & 3.8 & 4.4 & 1.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 25.0 & 40.0 & 20.0 & - & 10.0 & - & 5.0 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & 39.7 & 24.8 & 16.5 & 7.4 & 3.3 & 5.0 & 3.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.9 & 35.0 & 25.5 & 16.5 & 10.7 & 3.7 & 3.9 & 2.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 38.6 & 31.0 & 14.5 & 7.6 & 3.2 & 1.3 & 1.9 & 1.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 65.5 & 17.2 & 10.3 & 3.5 & - & - & - & 3.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 42.8 & 28.9 & 13.9 & 6.9 & 2.7 & 1.1 & 1.6 & 2.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & 21.1 & 36.8 & 10.5 & 10.5 & 10.5 & - & - & 5.3 & 5.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 7.0 & 24.0 & 31.0 & 15.1 & 8.9 & 6.6 & 4.3 & 2.7 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 11.3 & 20.8 & 35.8 & 15.1 & 11.3 & 3.8 & 1.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 7.7 & 30.8 & 28.3 & 18.7 & 7.1 & 4.9 & 1.4 & 1.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 7.8 & 27.4 & 29.9 & 17.0 & 8.1 & 5.5 & 2.5 & 1.6 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 14.6 & 37.3 & 24.4 & 13.1 & 4.8 & 2.8 & 1.2 & 0.9 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 12.1 & 39.4 & 21.2 & 9.1 & 6.1 & 3.0 & 9.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 29.1 & 37.2 & 18.8 & 6.4 & 3.4 & 1.3 & 2.1 & 0.4 & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 11.9 & 34.9 & 23.8 & 13.3 & 6.3 & 4.9 & 3.5 & 1.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 10.5 & 27.2 & 31.5 & 15.6 & 6.1 & 4.0 & 1.1 & 1.8 & 2.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 15.8 & 35.2 & 24.7 & 12.5 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 1.7 & 1.0 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 11.9 & 31.7 & 23.8 & 13.7 & 7.9 & 5.0 & 3.1 & 2.2 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XI(c)
DISTRIBUTION OF UNTRAINED MEN TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Less \\
than 20 \\
years
\end{tabular} & 20-25 & 25.30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6)) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 1.0 & 55.6 & 31.3 & 5.1 & 4.0 & 2.0 & - & 1.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 19.0 & 36.0 & 25.1 & 6.6 & 6.6 & 1.9 & 1.9 & 1.9 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 5.9 & 14.1 & 20.0 & 21.2 & 14.1 & 5.9 & 3.5 & 10.6 & 3.5 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 11.6 & 36.2 & 25.6 & 9.4 & 7.6 & 2.8 & 1.8 & 3.5 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 2.7 & 8.2 & 33.6 & 20.0 & 17.3 & 6.4 & 5.5 & 3.6 & 2.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & 7.2 & 32.8 & 24.8 & 20.0 & 8.0 & 5.6 & 0.8 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 2.6 & 6.9 & 24.1 & 15.5 & 14.7 & 12.1 & 8.6 & 9.5 & 6.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 1.9 & 7.9 & 18.1 & 32.1 & 15.8 & 11.2 & 5.6 & 5.1 & 2.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.8 & 7.6 & 25.6 & 24.7 & 16.8 & 9.7 & 6.2 & 4.8 & 2.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 31.0 & 46.0 & 13.8 & 1.1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & 1.2 & 2.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 36.6 & 50.0 & 5.3 & 3.6 & 0.9 & 1.8 & 0.9 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 17.1 & 56.1 & 18.5 & 3.9 & 1.5 & 1.9 & 1.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 25.5 & 52.2 & 13.9 & 3.2 & 1.5 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 0.6 & 42.4 & 27.6 & 11.3 & 7.3 & 6.4 & 2.9 & 1.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 29.5 & 31.2 & 36.7 & 2.6 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 8.5 & 48.3 & 12.7 & 7.6 & 9.3 & 6.8 & 2.6 & 4.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 10.9 & 50.8 & 18.6 & 12.0 & 2.7 & 2.3 & 1.9 & 0.4 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 17.6 & 38.7 & 29.3 & 6.8 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 1.3 & 47.4 & 35.7 & 9.7 & 3.2 & 1.3 & 0.7 & - & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 0.7 & 6.8 & 15.4 & 33.3 & 37.0 & 2.9 & 2.2 & 0.4 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 10.0 & 20.0 & 10.0 & 40.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 2.5 & 43.1 & 28.4 & 12.7 & 4.4 & 6.9 & 1.5 & 0.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.3 & 23.6 & 22.4 & 23.8 & 22.3 & 3.7 & 1.7 & 0.4 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 25.8 & 39.0 & 15.5 & 6.8 & 6.5 & 2.2 & 1.3 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 39.5 & 41.9 & 9.0 & 6.0 & 0.6 & 1.2 & 1.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 31.2 & 40.0 & 12.6 & 6.5 & 4.4 & 1.9 & 1.5 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 28.6 & 28.6 & 28.6 & 14.2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 1.9 & 17.5 & 29.7 & 18.4 & 9.4 & 9.9 & 6.1 & 5.7 & 1.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & 7.7 & 46.1 & 33.3 & 7.7 & 2.6 & 2.6 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 1.8 & 25.3 & 34.9 & 15.7 & 5.5 & 6.0 & 3.6 & 6.0 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.4 & 23.3 & 32.1 & 16.3 & 7.2 & 7.7 & 4.5 & 5.3 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 4.9 & 43.6 & 13.9 & 14.9 & 5.9 & 7.9 & 4.0 & 4.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 40.5 & 19.0 & 19.0 & 4.8 & - & 4.8 & 9.5 & 2.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 13.2 & 30.7 & 22.3 & 14.5 & 6.0 & 4.2 & 3.6 & 3.0 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 19.5 & 34.1 & 12.2 & 11.0 & 12.2 & 3.7 & 3.7 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 7.5 & 50.5 & 20.4 & 8.6 & 6.5 & 2.2 & 3.2 & - & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 13.8 & 36.8 & 18.2 & 12.0 & 6.6 & 4.6 & 4.1 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 11.0 & 30.1 & 26.9 & 13.7 & 8.9 & 4.0 & 2.5 & 1.9 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XI(d)
DISTRIBUTION OF UNTRAINED WOMEN TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Less than
20 Years & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50.55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nellore & 13.3 & 33.3 & 20.0 & 6.7 & 13.3 & 6.7 & 6.7 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 5.9 & 35.3 & 29.4 & 11.8 & 17.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 10.6 & 34.0 & 23.4 & 8.5 & 14.9 & 4.3 & 4.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 3.4 & 17.3 & 43.1 & 17.3 & 8.6 & 3.4 & 5.2 & 1.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 2.7 & 13.3 & 38.1 & 21.2 & 17.7 & 4.4 & 2.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 7.8 & 18.0 & 25.8 & 16.9 & 14.6 & 9.0 & 3.4 & 3.4 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 3.1 & 18.7 & 25.6 & 21.3 & 13.8 & 8.1 & 3.1 & 4.4 & 1.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.1 & 16.9 & 31.4 & 19.8 & 14.3 & 6.7 & 3.3 & 2.6 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 44.5 & 33.3 & 9.5 & 9.5 & 1.6 & & 1.6 & - & \(\cdots\) & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & 10.0 & 50.0 & 20.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 28.6 & 42.9 & 14.3 & 7.1 & - & 7.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.2 & 42.5 & 33.3 & 10.3 & 9.1 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 1.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 26.8 & 21.4 & 26.8 & 7.2 & 8.9 & 8.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 27.9 & 27.1 & 30.3 & 14.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 4.8 & 47.6 & 16.6 & 4.8 & 7.1 & 9.5 & 4.8 & 2.4 & 2.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 4.4 & 37.0 & 26.1 & 18.1 & 3.6 & 5.1 & 3.6 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 11.7 & 33.2 & 25.7 & 16.8 & 3.4 & 4.5 & 3.4 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 3.4 & 69.0 & 13.8 & 6.9 & 3.4 & 3.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 9.1 & 21.2 & 33.3 & 18.2 & 15.2 & 3.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & - & 50.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 6.1 & 43.9 & 24.3 & 13.6 & 9.1 & 3.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 42.1 & 31.6 & 26.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 16.7 & - & 33.3 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & 16.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 36.0 & 24.0 & 28.0 & 8.0 & - & - & - & - & 4.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 33.3 & 33.3 & 33.4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 1.6 & 32.3 & 22.6 & 19.3 & 8.1 & 9.7 & 4.8 & - & - & 1.6 & 10.00 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 55.6 & 22.2 & 22.2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 7.3 & 40.0 & 38.2 & 9.1 & 5.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.0 & 37.3 & 29.4 & 15.0 & 6.3 & 4.8 & 2.4 & - & - & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 10.4 & 46.5 & 22.4 & 10.4 & 5.2 & 3.4 & - & - & 1.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 33.3 & 66.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 36.3 & 39.4 & 9.1 & 6.1 & 9.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 11.7 & 38.2 & 23.5 & 5.8 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 8.8 & 3.0 & - & 3.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 34.6 & 30.8 & 23.1 & 3.8 & 7.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 20.8 & 40.9 & 19.5 & 7.1 & 5.8 & 1.9 & 1.9 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 9.0 & 30.3 & 27.6 & 15.3 & 8.6 & 4.5 & 2.7 & 1.2 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE XI(e)}

DISTRIBUTION OF UNTRAINED MEN TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & Less than 20 Years & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & Above 60 Years & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 1.7 & 45.8 & 35.6 & 13.5 & 3.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 17.3 & 48.3 & 20.7 & - & 6.9 & 3.4 & - & - & 3.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 5.6 & 27.8 & 22.2 & 16.6 & 5.6 & 11.1 & - & 11.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.6 & 43.4 & 29.2 & 10.5 & 4.7 & 2.8 & - & 1.9 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 46.2 & 34.4 & 9.7 & 3.2 & 1.1 & 3.2 & 2.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 1.5 & 39.4 & 30.3 & 7.6 & 9.1 & 4.6 & 3.0 & 1.5 & 3.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & 67.8 & 17.8 & 9.4 & 3.4 & 0.8 & - & - & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 1.0 & 31.7 & 25.7 & 16.8 & 16.8 & 4.0 & 3.0 & - & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.5 & 47.9 & 26.2 & 11.1 & 7.9 & 2.4 & 2.1 & 0.8 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 22.4 & 46.3 & 22.3 & 3.0 & 3.0 & - & - & 3.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 37.2 & 38.4 & 17.4 & 1.2 & 4.6 & 1.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 5.3 & 35.3 & 38.9 & 13.7 & 2.6 & 2.1 & 0.5 & 1.1 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.9 & 33.3 & 40.2 & 16.3 & 2.3 & 2.9 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 4.6 & 38.0 & 27.1 & 16.3 & 3.9 & 3.9 & 3.9 & 2.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 7.2 & 45.5 & 16.5 & 19.8 & 11.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 2.4 & 40.0 & 34.9 & 8.5 & 6.4 & 3.0 & 1.4 & 2.0 & 1.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 0.5 & 61.3 & 16.0 & 7.3 & 5.8 & 5.2 & 1.8 & 1.8 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.6 & 48.4 & 22.0 & 12.6 & 7.5 & 2.8 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 1.0 & 21.8 & 51.3 & 11.6 & 12.6 & 0.7 & - & 0.3 & 0.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 1.5 & 28.4 & 41.8 & 17.5 & 5.7 & 3.1 & 0.5 & 1.0 & - & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.0 & 39.1 & 32.4 & 12.1 & 9.0 & 0.7 & 2.7 & 2.0 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 1.8 & 38.8 & 32.4 & 16.2 & 7.2 & 3.6 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.1 & 31.0 & 40.7 & 13.6 & 9.3 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Puri & 4.0 & 50.0 & 16.1 & 8.1 & 11.3 & 4.9 & 1.6 & - & 1.6 & 2.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 18.5 & 40.0 & 21.5 & 4.6 & 9.3 & . & 1.5 & 3.1 & 1.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 9.0 & 46.5 & 18.0 & 6.9 & 10.6 & 3.2 & 1.6 & 1.0 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & - & 50.0 & 31.1 & - & - & 6.3 & 6.3 & 6.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & 14.4 & 34.8 & 18.6 & 9.3 & 8.5 & 8.5 & 1.7 & 3.4 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 20.0 & 20.0 & 40.0 & - & - & - & 20.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & 19.1 & 45.0 & 15.7 & 9.0 & 2.2 & 2.2 & 3.4 & 3.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 16.4 & 38.7 & 17.9 & 9.0 & 5.7 & 5.7 & 2.8 & 3.3 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 2.1 & 52.9 & 21.5 & 7.9 & 8.6 & 1.4 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garbwal & 12.5 & 12.5 & 37.5 & 25.0 & 12.5 & - & - & - & - & . & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 16.7 & 63.3 & 10.0 & 3.3 & 6.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 4.9 & 21.3 & 26.2 & 18.1 & 16.4 & 4.9 & 3.3 & - & 4.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 9.3 & 58.2 & 20.9 & 4.7 & 2.3 & 2.3 & - & - & 2.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.7 & 46.8 & 21.6 & 9.6 & 9.2 & 2.1 & 1.8 & 1.1 & 1.8 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2.9 & 40.3 & 29.8 & 12.7 & 7.8 & 2.6 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XI(f)
DISTRIBUTION OF UNTRAINED WOMEN TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/SECTIONS BY AGE-GROUPS (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Age-Group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Less \\
than 20 Years
\end{tabular} & 20-25 & 25-30 & 30-35 & 35-40 & 40-45 & 45-50 & 50-55 & 55-60 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
60 \\
\text { Years }
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nellore & 16.7 & 33.3 & 16.7 & 16.7 & 16.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & - & 20.0 & 20.0 & 40.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 9.0 & 27.3 & 18.2 & 27.3 & 18.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 59.3 & 25.0 & 6,3 & 3.1 & 6.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & 52.2 & 17.4 & 21.7 & 2.2 & 6.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 5.1 & 69.5 & 18.6 & 3.4 & 3.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & 48.5 & 37.9 & 12.1 & 1.5 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.5 & 57.1 & 25.6 & 10.8 & 2.5 & 2.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 40.6 & 37.5 & 9.4 & 9.4 & 3.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 80.0 & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 57.1 & 42.9 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 47.7 & 36.4 & 6.8 & 6.8 & 2.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 41.7 & 12.5 & 25.0 & 8.3 & - & 12.5 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & 8.4 & 48.2 & 41.0 & 2.4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & 58.3 & 33.3 & - & 4.2 & - & 4.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & - & 74.6 & 20.0 & 1.8 & 3.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.8 & 56.4 & 30.1 & 4.8 & 2.7 & - & 2.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 11.1 & 22.2 & 66.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & 50.0 & 50.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & - & 36.7 & 40.8 & 14.3 & 6.1 & 2.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & 75.0 & 12.5 & 12.5 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.5 & 30.9 & 48.5 & 11.7 & 5.9 & 1.5 & & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & 40.0 & 30.0 & 10.0 & - & - & 10.0 & - & 10.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & 66.7 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & 50.0 & 25.0 & 12.5 & 12.5 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 38.1 & 33.3 & 14.2 & 4.8 & - & 4.8 & - & 4.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & - & 50.0 & 33.3 & - & 16.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & - & - & - & - & 33.3 & - & 33.3 & 33.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Allahabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Jhansi & - & 50.0 & - & - & 25.0 & 25.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 38.4 & 15.4 & - & 15.4 & 15.4 & - & 7.7 & 7.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 25 & 51.0 & 30.6 & 8.8 & 4.0 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XII(a)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY GOVERNMENT ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs,} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
60 \text { and } \\
\text { Less }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 61- \\
& 80
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81- \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }_{120}^{10} 1\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 121- \\
& 140
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141- \\
& 160
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 161- \\
& 180
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181- \\
& 200
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Above } \\
& 200
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline Ardhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & - & - & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & - & 5.1 & 35.9 & 15.4 & - & 5.1 & 20.5 & 2.6 & 15.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & - & - & - & 70.0 & 20.0 & - & 10.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 4.1 & 28.6 & 26.6 & 4.0 & 4.1 & 18.4 & 2.0 & 12.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 3.0 & - & 11.5 & - & 83.7 & - & 1.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & 11.8 & - & 77.6 & - & 10.6 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 3.9 & - & 7.8 & - & 84.6 & - & 3.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 2.5 & - & 14.2 & - & 69.2 & - & 14.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.6 & - & 11.7 & - & 79.2 & - & 6.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh \({ }^{\text {a }}\) (00.0} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & 0.1 & 19.8 & 56.4 & 21.3 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 0.1 & 0.3 & 52.6 & 33.0 & 12.4 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 0.1 & 1.0 & 46.5 & 33.1 & 13.6 & 4.6 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 0.1 & 0.4 & 38.9 & 41.5 & 16.0 & 2.4 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Maharashtra 0.410 .0} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & - & 58.9 & 24.4 & 7.2 & 8.7 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & - & 33.3 & - & 33.3 & 33.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & - & 31.6 & 36.8 & 26.3 & 5.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 4.5 & 6.0 & 13.4 & 19.4 & 14.9 & 34.3 & 4.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 0.2 & 57.3 & 24.2 & 7.6 & 9.3 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Mysore 0} \\
\hline Dharwar & 7.1 & - & - & - & - & 7.1 & 57.2 & - & 28.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & 13.8 & 74.5 & 10.0 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & - & 0.5 & 5.9 & 82.8 & 9.2 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 0.2 & 0.1 & 4.9 & 78.0 & 9.3 & 3.1 & 0.9 & 3.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 0.1 & 10.3 & 76.1 & 9.8 & 1.8 & 0.6 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Puri & - & 17.7 & 36.1 & 15.3 & 9.4 & 21.5 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & 7.0 & 84.0 & 9.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 11.9 & 26.7 & 37.7 & 9.3 & 14.4 & - & - & & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & - & - & 0.0 & 27.6 & 50.2 & 13.7 & 0.8 & 1.5 & 6.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Rajasthan \(6.2{ }^{\text {a }} 10.8\)} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & 0.3 & 2.5 & 37.5 & 46.1 & 8.6 & 2.7 & 1.0 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & 47.0 & 42.4 & 9.8 & 0.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & - & 32.1 & 43.1 & 17.3 & 3.3 & 2.3 & 1.5 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 0.1 & 15.2 & 39.8 & 34.2 & 6.3 & 2.4 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Meerut & - & - & - & 10.0 & 10.0 & - & 25.0 & 5.0 & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & 10.0 & 10.0 & - & 25.0 & 5.0 & 50.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 0.5 & 0.3 & 13.7 & 38.3 & 35.6 & 7.6 & 2.4 & 0.7 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE XII(b)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED} BY LOCAL BODIES ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 60 and Less & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 61- \\
& 80
\end{aligned}
\] & 81- 100 & \(101-\)
120 & \({ }_{140}^{121-}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141- \\
& 160
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 161- \\
& 180
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181- \\
& 200
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
200
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 0.7 & 4.7 & 50.6 & 28.4 & 8.4 & 3.6 & 1.5 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.1 & 5.0 & 58.8 & 32.4 & 3.2 & 0.5 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 0.2 & 1.4 & 53.1 & 37.6 & 6.6 & 1.1 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.3 & 3.4 & 55.2 & 34.1 & 5.4 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Bhind & 50.0 & 16.7 & 16.7 & 16.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 50.0 & 16.7 & 16.7 & 16.6 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & 29.4 & 45.8 & 24.8 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & - & 42.6 & 28.9 & 18.6 & 8.3 & 1.6 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 1.2 & 0.9 & 50.4 & 27.8 & 15.1 & 4.4 & 0.2 & 0.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.5 & 0.3 & 42.2 & 33.0 & 18.9 & 4.5 & 0.6 & 0.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.3 & 0.6 & 37.0 & 37.3 & 22.2 & 1.3 & 0.8 & 0.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline South Kanara & 0.5 & 0.2 & 38.6 & 46.3 & 14.0 & 0.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 0.5 & 37.5 & 39.8 & 19.8 & 1.1 & 0.6 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 2.5 & 60.2 & 36.2 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & 0.6 & 96.6 & 2.8 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.8 & 44.6 & 52.0 & 1.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.3 & - & - & 26.6 & 32.9 & 11.4 & 7.6 & 6.3 & 13.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & - & 12.1 & 39.4 & 45.8 & 2.4 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 0.2 & 72.4 & 23.9 & 3.3 & 0.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & - & 45.8 & 45.0 & 8.8 & 0.4 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 0.0 & 31.3 & 40.1 & 27.0 & 1.4 & 0.2 & 0.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & - & 69.6 & 24.8 & 5.1 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & 94.0 & 6.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & - & 76.3 & 23.4 & 0.3 & - & 0.0 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 21.6 & 62.1 & 16.1 & 0.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 0.5 & 72.7 & 24.4 & 2.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.3 & 71.6 & 21.7 & 2.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1.5 & 24.8 & 39.6 & 22.8 & 9.4 & 1.5 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XII(c)
DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY PRIVATE BODIES ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 60 and Less & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 61- \\
& 80
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81- \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101- \\
& 120
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 121- \\
& 140
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141- \\
& 160
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 161- \\
& 180
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181- \\
& 200
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ahove } \\
200
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 10.5 & 3.4 & 22.8 & 33.5 & 8.8 & 7.0 & 3.5 & 1.7 & 8.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.2 & 5.7 & 53.7 & 27.7 & 10.9 & 1.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.1 & 1.1 & 47.4 & 42.3 & 4.2 & 3.3 & 0.3 & - & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.4 & 3.5 & 48.7 & 34.7 & 7.7 & 2.8 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 3.0 & - & 11.6 & - & 83.6 & - & 1.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 1.3 & - & 12.0 & - & 77.9 & - & 8.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 4.0 & - & 7.8 & - & 84.4 & - & 3.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 3.8 & - & 12.5 & - & 70.6 & - & 13.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.3 & - & 10.3 & - & 81.3 & - & 5.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & 109.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 14.3 & 14.3 & 14.3 & 42.9 & 14.2 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 11.8 & 11.8 & 11.8 & 41.1 & 23.5 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 3.3 & 47.4 & 22.9 & 17.6 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & 44.7 & 33.2 & 22.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & 46.3 & 51.4 & 2.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 10.7 & 7.0 & 33.1 & 21.7 & 16.0 & 6.3 & 1.4 & 2.9 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.3 & 18.5 & 36.1 & 20.2 & 11.2 & 4.2 & 1.1 & 1.8 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 5.2 & 42.1 & 36.9 & 8.9 & 3.2 & 2.3 & 0.7 & 0.7 & - & 103.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.2 & 0.4 & 14.6 & 61.9 & 21.0 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & 46.7 & 40.0 & 13.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.7 & 6.4 & 18.0 & 54.1 & 18.4 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & 4.3 & 90.0 & 5.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 4.3 & 90.0 & 5.7 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 18.8 & 13.4 & 33.1 & 20.4 & 8.7 & 3.2 & 0.8 & 1.1 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 3.0 & 6.0 & 35.6 & 38.1 & 14.3 & 1.2 & - & - & 1.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Udaipur & 10.3 & 19.0 & 46.6 & 19.0 & 3.4 & 1.7 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.9 & 9.3 & 38.5 & 33.2 & 11.5 & 1.3 & - & - & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 82.4 & 9.1 & 6.1 & 1.4 & 0.2 & - & 0.2 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 97.0 & 2.0 & 1.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 87.4 & 6.6 & 4.4 & 1.0 & 0.1 & - & 0.1 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 7.4 & 5.0 & 18.5 & 15.9 & 48.7 & 1.0 & 2.9 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XII(d)
DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS
(ALL MANAGEMENTS) BY ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 60 \text { and } \\
& \text { Less }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(61-\)
80 & \(81-\)
100 & \({ }_{120}^{101-}\) & \[
121-
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141- \\
& 160
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 161- \\
& 180
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
181- \\
200
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
200
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 1.0 & 4.8 & 49.8 & 28.4 & 8.4 & 3.7 & 1.6 & 1.9 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 0.2 & 5.0 & 58.3 & 31.9 & 3.7 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 0.2 & 1.3 & 52.6 & 38.0 & 6.5 & 1.4 & 0.0 & - & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.3 & 3.4 & 54.7 & 34.1 & 5.6 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 3.0 & - & 11.6 & - & 83.6 & - & 1.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 0.9 & - & 11.9 & - & 77.8 & - & 9.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 4.0 & - & 7.8 & - & 84.4 & - & 3.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 3.1 & - & 13.3 & - & 70.0 & - & 13.6 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.1 & - & 10.7 & - & 80.6 & - & 5.6 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & 0.1 & 19.7 & 56.5 & 21.3 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 0.1 & 0.3 & 52.6 & 33.0 & 12.4 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 0.3 & 0.3 & 1.2 & 46.3 & 32.8 & 13.5 & 4.5 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 38.9 & 41.5 & 15.9 & 2.3 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Moharashtra 0.3} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 0.3 & 57.9 & 24.3 & 8.1 & 8.4 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & 6.9 & 29.9 & 42.0 & 21.0 & - & 0.1 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & 2.5 & 43.1 & 27.4 & 17.6 & 7.9 & 1.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 3.6 & 2.5 & 44.8 & 26.7 & 15.6 & 5.2 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.3 & 3.1 & 43.4 & 29.8 & 15.9 & 5.3 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.7 & 4.1 & 36.9 & 34.8 & 20.5 & 1.4 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & 13.8 & 74.5 & 10.0 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 0.8 & 0.3 & 23.0 & 57.8 & 17.2 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 0.2 & 0.1 & 5.2 & 77.6 & 9.4 & 3.1 & 0.9 & 3.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.5 & 1.5 & 23.2 & 56.6 & 15.7 & 1.3 & 0.5 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 2.3 & 57.7 & 36.2 & 1.8 & 0.7 & 1.3 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & 0.7 & 89.4 & 9.2 & 0.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.7 & 42.1 & 50.7 & 3.8 & 0.7 & 1.0 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.0 & 1.1 & 1.2 & 26.9 & 47.8 & 13.2 & 1.1 & 1.6 & 6.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.1 & 0.3 & 9.4 & 38.6 & 44.6 & 4.7 & 1.2 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 0.2 & 66.5 & 28.3 & 4.7 & 0.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 0.2 & 0.4 & 41.4 & 43.8 & 11.5 & 1.3 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.2 & 0.4 & 25.8 & 39.7 & 29.0 & 3.1 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 7.3 & 64.0 & 23.0 & 4.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 1.9 & 92.2 & 5.9 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 2.8 & 74.1 & 22.8 & 0.3 & - & 0.0 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 24.2 & 60.0 & 15.6 & 0.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 0.5 & 72.7 & 24.4 & 2.3 & 0.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 8.0 & 68.6 & 20.9 & 2.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2.2 & 15.7 & 29.9 & 25.4 & 22.1 & 2.9 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XIII(a): DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY GOVERNMENT ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/ District} & \multicolumn{14}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 60 and Less & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 61- \\
& 80
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81- \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101- \\
& 120
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 121- \\
& 140
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141- \\
& 160
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 161- \\
& 180
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181- \\
& 200
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }_{220}^{201-}
\] & \[
\frac{221-}{240}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 241- \\
& 260
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 261- \\
& 280
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 281- \\
& 300
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
300
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Andhra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nellore & - & - & - & 14.3 & 32.1 & 25.0 & 10.7 & 3.6 & - & 3.6 & - & - & 3.6 & 7.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & - & 1.3 & 5.2 & 36.3 & 18.2 & 27.3 & 6.5 & 2.6 & 1.3 & - & - & - & 1.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 1.0 & 3.8 & 30.5 & 21.8 & 26.7 & 7.6 & 2.8 & 1.0 & 1.0 & - & - & 1.9 & 1.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 3.5 & - & 10.8 & - & 80.0 & - & - & 5.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 5.0 & - & 14.6 & - & 72.4 & - & - & 8.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 7.3 & - & 13.7 & - & 69.0 & - & - & 10.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 5.0 & - & 14.0 & - & 70.1 & - & - & 10.9 & - & - & - & -- & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.0 & - & 12.8 & - & 73.7 & - & - & 8.5 & - & - & -- & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & -. & - & - & 12.4 & 49.7 & 22.5 & 1.1 & 6.3 & 1.1 & 6.0 & 0.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & 31.8 & 32.0 & 13.0 & 6.8 & 4.9 & 2.7 & 5.0 & 3.6 & 0.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & - & - & 19.7 & 36.5 & 13.4 & 6.8 & 13.6 & 1.6 & 7.0 & 1.4 & -- & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & 20.5 & 40.2 & 16.7 & 4.6 & 8.4 & 1.8 & 6.0 & 1.8 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & - & 27.7 & 21.6 & 16.4 & 20.0 & 3.2 & 5.8 & 3.4 & 0.4 & 1.3 & 0.1 & - & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & - & 21.0 & 73.7 & 5.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & - & - & - & - & 20.0 & - & 40.0 & - & 40.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & --- & 2.9 & - & 22.8 & 14.3 & 14.3 & 5.7 & 2.9 & - & 8.6 & 11.4 & - & - & 17.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 0.1 & 25.6 & 21.0 & 16.3 & 21.0 & 3.4 & 5.7 & 3.1 & 1.0 & 1.8 & 0.1 & -- & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & 0.7 & 56.8 & 22.8 & 12.1 & 3.7 & 3.7 & 0.2 & - & - & -- & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kannara & - & 3.7 & - & 59.3 & 18.5 & - & 18.5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & 1.2 & 58.4 & 20.8 & 7.8 & 3.0 & 4.3 & 3.8 & 0.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 0.1 & 0.8 & 56.5 & 21.6 & 10.1 & 3.6 & 5.5 & 1.5 & 0.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & 8.9 & 8.1 & 11.7 & 19.3 & 41.9 & 0.8 & 9.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & - & 36.3 & 29.6 & 11.0 & 7.7 & 8.8 & 3.3 & 3.3 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 6.5 & 5.9 & 8.5 & 23.9 & 38.6 & 3.5 & 8.9 & 2.4 & 0.9 & 0.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Punjab & & & & & & & & & & 75 & 6.1 & 25 & 1.6 & 2.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Ambala & - & - & - & 8.8 & 36.5 & 10.7 & 3.0 & 14.7 & 6.1 & 7.5 & 6.1 & 2.5 & 1.6 & 2.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & 0.2 & 4.1 & 29.4 & 24.9 & 15.5 & 7.9 & 8.6 & 4.9 & 3.5 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & 20.3 & 32.0 & 18.8 & 10.9 & 6.3 & 6.3 & 2.3 & 2.3 & 0.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & - & - & 10.8 & 26.9 & 28.0 & 12.4 & 8.9 & 9.2 & 2.6 & 0.3 & 0.7 & - & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 0.1 & 7.7 & 28.7 & 25.5 & 14.1 & 8.1 & 8.6 & 3.9 & 2.3 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh 50.6} \\
\hline Meerut & - & 5.6 & 5.6 & 5.6 & 38.8 & 22.2 & 5.6 & - & 11.0 & - & 5.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Deoria & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Allahabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Jhansi & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 5.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & 5.6 & 5.6 & 5.6 & 38.8 & 22.2 & 5.6 & - & 11.0 & - & 5.6 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 0.9 & 0.3 & 6.6 & 24.4 & 36.5 & 13.1 & 4.0 & 7.9 & 2.2 & 2.5 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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TABLE XIII(b): DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY LOCAL BODIES ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} \\
\hline & 60 and Less & 61-80 & 81-100 & 101-120 & 121-140 & 141-160 & 161-180 & 181-200 & 201-220 & 221-240 & 241-260 & 261-280 & 281-300 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
300
\end{gathered}
\] & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Andra Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & -- & 0.3 & 28.3 & 29.7 & 16.8 & 12.0 & 5.4 & 5.4 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 2.2 & 18.1 & 20.2 & 23.5 & 17.3 & 12.8 & 1.9 & 3.2 & 0.8 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 3.1 & 12.4 & 29.2 & 24.7 & 18.8 & 10.1 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.3 & 13.6 & 24.9 & 24.8 & 17.8 & 11.6 & 1.8 & 2.5 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Mallappuram & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Movattupuzha & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tellicherry & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & - & - & 33.3 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & 33.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -- & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & 33.3 & 33.3 & - & - & - & - & 33.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\rightarrow\) & - \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & 1.2 & 14.3 & 33.5 & 33.5 & 13.8 & 1.7 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 0.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 0.2 & - & 30.9 & 28.4 & 22.9 & 10.5 & 7.0 & 0.1 & - & 0.0 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 0.9 & 2.1 & 3.1 & 21.2 & 33.3 & 29.6 & 8.5 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 0.9 & 13.6 & 20.4 & 25.7 & 22.7 & 12.5 & 3.0 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 2.7 & 21.5 & 27.7 & 23.7 & 23.3 & - & -- & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.3 & - & 13.4 & 56.1 & 26.3 & 2.5 & - & 0.4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & [0.5 & 0.3 & 2.4 & 10.6 & 22.2 & 23.9 & 20.2 & 19.9 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & - & - & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Udaipur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & - & 30.1 & 28.7 & 21.5 & 5.3 & 2.9 & 4.8 & 4.3 & 1.9 & - & - & 0.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & 16.1 & 10.6 & 41.0 & 13.7 & 6.8 & 8.7 & - & - & - & 3.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & - & 27.7 & 32.4 & 20.9 & 7.3 & 4.4 & 4.2 & 1.6 & 1.2 & - & - & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 8.4 & 41.6 & 34.2 & 13.5 & 2.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.0 & 30.0 & 29.5 & 21.7 & 6.6 & 3.4 & 3.9 & 1.5 & 0.8 & - & 0.4 & 0.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1.1 & 7.4 & 16.7 & 20.3 & 21.0 & 17.8 & 9.9 & 5.1 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XIII(c): DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS /DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY PRIVATE BODIES ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{14}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 60 and
Less & 61-80 & 81-100 & 101-120 & 121-140 & |141-160 & 161-180 & 181-200 & 201-220 & 221-240 & 241-260 & 261-280 & 281-300 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
300
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Andira Pradesh} \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\ldots\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nellore & 0.4 & 2.7 & 14.1 & 37.6 & 24.0 & 16.7 & 3.0 & 1.1 & 0.4 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.2 & 1.7 & 9.1 & 39.5 & 18.3 & 21.2 & 6.2 & 1.7 & 0.5 & 0.3 & - & - & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.9 & 2.1 & 11.1 & 38.8 & 20.5 & 19.5 & 4.9 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 0.1 & - & - & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 5.8 & - & 33.0 & - & 57.6 & - & - & 3.6 & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Movattupuzha & 5.4 & - & 34.6 & - & 53.7 & - & - & 6.2 & 0.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 8.2 & - & 21.6 & - & 66.2 & - & - & 4.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 6.9 & - & 31.6 & - & 53.8 & - & - & 7.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.8 & -- & 29.4 & - & 58.3 & - & - & 5.5 & 0.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & 40.0 & 40.0 & - & 20.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -- & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Bhind & - & - & - & - & - & --. & -- & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total \({ }^{\text {\% }}\) & - & - & 40.0 & 40.0 & - & 20.0 & -- & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 0.7 & 5.8 & 53.2 & 22.3 & 15.1 & 2.2 & 0.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & 5.2 & 37.9 & 20.1 & 9.1 & 20.6 & 2.6 & 2.0 & 1.6 & 0.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 4.5 & 6.5 & 4.5 & 48.0 & 16.2 & 8.1 & 6.5 & 4.9 & 0.4 & 0.4 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 3.6 & 4.7 & 7.6 & 12.8 & 26.2 & 16.0 & 7.3 & 8.5 & 5.5 & 2.7 & 1.4 & 0.8 & 1.2 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.8 & 1.9 & 3.4 & 13.5 & 31.2 & 17.4 & 7.9 & 14.4 & 3.4 & 2.0 & 1.4 & 0.7 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 3.5 & 1.4 & 7.8 & 26.2 & 13.5 & 12.1 & 12.1 & 19.9 & 3.5 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - - & - \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.0 & 0.3 & 13.5 & 49.7 & 25.3 & 7.1 & 3.1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 1.8 & 0.7 & 11.7 & 42.1 & 21.5 & 8.7 & 5.9 & 6.4 & 1.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 0.2 & 17.3 & 15.0 & 21.1 & 39.9 & 4.9 & 1.0 & 0.6 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & 56.5 & 31.0 & 12.5 & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.2 & 14.1 & 12.3 & 27.6 & 38.2 & 6.3 & 0.8 & 0.5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 8.2 & 13.4 & 13.4 & 25.8 & 13.4 & 8.2 & 9.3 & 5.2 & - & - & - & 1.0 & 2.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 2.6 & 3.8 & 22.3 & 22.9 & 24.8 & 8.3 & 5.1 & 5.1 & 1.9 & 3.2 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Udaipur & 9.2 & 1.0 & 15.4 & 21.4 & 30.6 & 6.1 & 5.1 & 4.1 & 3.1 & - & 2.0 & 2.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.1 & 2.7 & 19.6 & 22.4 & 27.0 & 7.4 & 5.1 & 4.7 & 2.4 & 2.0 & 0.8 & 0.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 7.5 & 16.5 & 28.9 & 20.1 & 8.9 & 6.8 & 3.5 & 3.5 & 2.1 & 0.8 & 0.6 & 0.8 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 100.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 63.9 & 24.1 & 12.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 15.9 & 65.2 & 14.5 & 3.4 & 1.0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 2.4 & 29.0 & 26.1 & 18.7 & 13.3 & 3.7 & 3.3 & 1.1 & 1.5 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 11.0 & 29.6 & 24.2 & 15.5 & 8.8 & 4.0 & 2.6 & 1.7 & 1.4 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.4 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 4.6 & 5.9 & 17.4 & 13.3 & 37.5 & 7.9 & 3.4 & 6.9 & 1.3 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XIII(d): DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS (BY ALL MANAGEMENTS) ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District /} & \multicolumn{14}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 60 and Less & 61-80 & 81-100 & 101-120 & 121-140 & 141-160 & 161-180 & 181-200 & 201-220 & 221-240 & 241-260 & 261-280 & 281-300 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Above } \\
& 300
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & 0.3 & 28.3 & 29.7 & 16.8 & 12.0 & 5.4 & 5.4 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 1.8 & 15.3 & 18.8 & 25.7 & 18.6 & 13.7 & 2.2 & 2.9 & 0.7 & 0.1 & - & 0.1 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 2.5 & 9.3 & 23.1 & 28.9 & 18.6 & 13.7 & 2.3 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & - & - & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.0 & 11.1 & 21.7 & 27.5 & 18.4 & 13.5 & 2.6 & 2.3 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & 4.7 & - & 22.6 & - & 68.1 & - & - & 4.6 & -.. & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 5.3 & - & 31.1 & - & 57.0 & - & - & 6.5 & 0.1 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 8.0 & - & 19.9 & - & 66.8 & -- & - & 5.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 6.4 & - & 26.7 & - & 58.3 & - & - & 8.6 & - & - & -- & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.3 & - & 24.7 & - & 62.6 & - & - & 6.4 & 0.0 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & 0.2 & 12.6 & 49.4 & 22.5 & 1.1 & 6.3 & 1.1 & 5.9 & 0.9 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & 31.7 & 31.8 & 13.1 & 7.0 & 4.8 & 2.7 & 5.0 & 3.6 & 0.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & - & - & 19.7 & 36.5 & 13.4 & 6.7 & 13.7 & 1.6 & 7.0 & 1.4 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & - & - & 0.1 & 20.5 & 40.0 & 16.8 & 4.7 & 8.3 & 1.7 & 6.0 & 1.8 & 0.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & 0.1 & 24.2 & 26.6 & 17.3 & 19.2 & 3.1 & 5.0 & 2.8 & 0.4 & 1.1 & 0.1 & - & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & 3.6 & 28.6 & 25.7 & 18.5 & 17.8 & 2.3 & 1.5 & 0.7 & 1.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 0.6 & 0.7 & 28.1 & 30.3 & 22.2 & 10.3 & 6.9 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & - & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 1.8 & 2.6 & 4.8 & 18.4 & 30.8 & 24.9 & 8.0 & 3.8 & 2.0 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.8 & 1.1 & 11.4 & 18.1 & 26.7 & 20.8 & 10.2 & 7.0 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 0.7 & 0.4 & 1.1 & 4.8 & 20.4 & 25.7 & 22.2 & 24.3 & 0.3 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & - & - & 0.7 & 56.8 & 22.8 & 12.1 & 3.7 & 3.7 & 0.2 & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.1 & 0.4 & 12.8 & 52.8 & 25.4 & 4.8 & 2.5 & 0.2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & 1.2 & 58.4 & 20.8 & 7.8 & 3.0 & 4.3 & 3.8 & 0.7 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.4 & 0.2 & 2.8 & 34.8 & 21.9 & 16.0 & 11.1 & 11.9 & 0.8 & 0.1 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & 16) \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 0.2 & 15.4 & 13.4 & 18.9 & 35.1 & 13.5 & 0.9 & 2.6 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & 37.8 & 32.7 & 18.2 & 3.6 & 2.6 & 2.9 & 1.1 & 1.1 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 12.2 & 10.7 & 22.8 & 34.6 & 14.5 & 1.5 & 2.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.5 & 2.4 & 2.9 & 11.8 & 32.2 & 10.2 & 4.1 & 12.9 & 5.0 & 6.1 & 5.0 & 2.2 & 1.7 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.4 & 0.7 & 6.7 & 28.4 & 24.9 & 14.5 & 7.5 & 8.1 & 4.4 & 3.5 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & 20.3 & 32.0 & 18.8 & 10.9 & 6.3 & 6.3 & 2.3 & 2.3 & 0.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 1.3 & 0.2 & 11.5 & 26.1 & 28.3 & 11.5 & 8.3 & 8.4 & 2.7 & 0.3 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.7 & 0.5 & 9.4 & 27.8 & 25.7 & 13.1 & 7.7 & 8.0 & 3.7 & 2.3 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 5.1 & 20.2 & 28.3 & 20.1 & 8.6 & 6.0 & 3.9 & 3.6 & 2.3 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 6.4 & 15.1 & 9.9 & 38.4 & 12.8 & 6.4 & 8.1 & - & - & - & 2.9 & - & - & -- & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 7.6 & 27.3 & 30.0 & 18.4 & 6.4 & 3.9 & 3.7 & 1.4 & 1.0 & 0.3 & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 11.4 & 51.1 & 26.3 & 9.5 & 1.7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 0.4 & 29.0 & 26.1 & 18.7 & 3.3 & 3.7 & 3.3 & 7.1 & 7.5 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & \[
6.5
\] & 29.6 & 26.7 & 18.5 & 7.9 & 3.9 & 3.2 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2.3 & 4.9 & 14.1 & 19.0 & 31.1 & 13.0 & 6.0 & 6.5 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XIV(a)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY GOVERNMENT ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 100 and Below & 101-160 & 161-220 & 221-280 & 281-340 & 341-400 & 401 and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \(\%\) \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \\
\hline Nellore & - & 30.8 & 46.1 & 15.4 & 7.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 1.1 & 26.6 & 47.9 & 14.9 & 8.4 & 1.1 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.9 & 27.1 & 47.7 & 15.0 & 8.4 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & 73.0 & 18.3 & 4.8 & 1.2 & 2.7 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & 56.0 & 28.9 & 11.4 & 2.5 & 1.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 0.4 & 66.3 & 23.1 & 7.8 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & - & 57.1 & 31.0 & 10.1 & 0.4 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 0.1 & 64.4 & 24.5 & 8.0 & 1.3 & 1.4 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & 37.0 & 27.2 & 8.9 & 26.0 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 10.6 & 36.1 & 20.8 & 31.7 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & - & 16.8 & 36.0 & 12.1 & 34.6 & - & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 3.4 & 51.1 & 19.5 & 17.3 & 6.7 & 2.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & -- & 64.7 & 32.4 & 2.9 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & - & - & - .-. & -- & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Poona & - & - & - & --- & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 3.1 & 45,3 & 17.3 & 22.7 & 9.6 & 2.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tumkur & 2.8 & 19.1 & 47.5 & 23.8 & 3.8 & 1.0 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 1.6 & 41.1 & 30.4 & 12.2 & 13.1 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 4.7 & 39.7 & 38.2 & 7.2 & 6.2 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.3 & 34.9 & 38.3 & 12.9 & 7.6 & 1.4 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & 4.8 & 32.0 & 26.6 & 16.6 & 17.0 & 3.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & 20.8 & 37.5 & 35.4 & 6.3 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.2 & 30.6 & 28.1 & 19.1 & 15.5 & 2.5 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & - & 2.8 & 37.8 & 37.8 & 4.5 & 11.4 & 5.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.7 & 30.0 & 21.7 & 13.6 & 20.5 & 3.6 & 9.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 40.0 & 12.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & - & 8.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 2.9 & 26.0 & 30.9 & 16.9 & 13.9 & 2.8 & 6.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.7 & 28.7 & 25.3 & 15.3 & 17.6 & 3.1 & 8.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & - & - & 14.3 & 34.4 & 45.7 & 2.8 & 2.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 3.3 & 34.8 & 40.2 & 13.0 & 6.5 & 1.1 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & - & 12.0 & 44.0 & 36.0 & 8.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & - & 9.6 & 19.2 & 57.7 & 13.5 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & - & 3.4 & 29.5 & 34.1 & 24.0 & 7.9 & 1.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.0 & 11.9 & 26.0 & 25.7 & 28.2 & 6.2 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1.3 & 36.5 & 28.6 & 15.2 & 14.2 & 2.4 & 1.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE XIV(b)}

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY LOCAL BODIES ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & 100 and Below & 101-160 & 161-220 & 221-280 & 281-340 & 341-400 & 401 and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & 5.1 & 57.7 & 28.9 & 6.4 & 1.3 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 4.0 & 21.5 & 57.5 & 13.9 & 0.9 & 1.4 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 14.4 & 50.0 & 30.6 & 4.3 & 0.4 & 0.3 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 9.5 & 40.3 & 40.5 & 8.1 & 0.6 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Kerala} \\
\hline Malappuram & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Tellicherry & 一 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Quilon & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Madhya Pradesh} \\
\hline Sehore & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Satna & - & - & 50.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 2.4 & 61.9 & 26.2 & 2.4 & 7.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.2 & 56.5 & 28.3 & 4.3 & 8.7 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & - & 12.4 & 72.9 & 13.5 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 1.4 & 36.3 & 50.8 & 6.5 & 1.4 & 3.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 14.4 & 30.5 & 40.7 & 10.2 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 2.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.6 & 25.6 & 34.9 & 9.3 & 18.3 & 5.1 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 15.2 & 35.2 & 32.0 & 8.0 & 8.0 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 9.9 & 46.9 & 16.0 & 18.6 & - & 3.7 & 4.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 3.3 & 51.8 & 29.0 & 6.0 & 5.0 & 3.6 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 7.3 & 46.9 & 27.6 & 8.5 & 4.9 & 3.0 & 1.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa} \\
\hline Puri & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Udaipur & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 2.3 & 34.9 & 34.9 & 20.9 & 4.7 & - & 2.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Deoria & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Allahabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Jhansi & 9.1 & - & 18.2 & 63.6 & 9.1 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.7 & 27.8 & 31.5 & 29.6 & 5.5 & - & 1.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 8.3 & 39.6 & 36.6 & 8.7 & 4.4 & 1.7 & 0.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XIV(c)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS MANAGED BY PRIVATE BODIES ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Statel District} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
100 \text { and } \\
\text { Below }
\end{gathered}
\] & 101-160 & 161-220 & 221-280 & 281-340 & 341-400 & 401 and Above & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \\
\hline Hyderabad & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nellore & 2.1 & 26.6 & 39.8 & 18.3 & 9.5 & 2.7 & 1.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 3.2 & 29.8 & 42.6 & 17.0 & 3.5 & 2.5 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.7 & 28.5 & 41.5 & 17.6 & 6.0 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Malappuram & 6.7 & 79.3 & 9.5 & 3.9 & 0.6 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 5.1 & 71.6 & 18.8 & 1.1 & 3.2 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 3.6 & 75.8 & 13.8 & 5.6 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 4.1 & 77.1 & 15.5 & 2.0 & 1.1 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.5 & 75.4 & 15.5 & 2.9 & 1.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Sehore & 18.2 & 27.3 & 36.3 & 18.2 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Bhind & 9.6 & 28.8 & 28.8 & 16.4 & 12.6 & 3.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 10.5 & 28.7 & 29.6 & 16.5 & 11.3 & 3.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 1.4 & 47.7 & 33.8 & 10.6 & 5.1 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & - & 8.7 & 68.1 & 19.4 & 3.4 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 6.0 & 55.1 & 27.7 & 6.9 & 2.5 & 1.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 6.6 & 32.5 & 32.0 & 14.4 & 7.2 & 3.4 & 3.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.3 & 29.4 & 24.5 & 27.7 & 9.3 & 3.0 & 1.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Dharwar & 3.8 & 31.5 & 37.8 & 13.3 & 9.5 & 2.2 & 1.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 11.9 & 57.7 & 11.9 & 11.1 & 5.2 & 1.4 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 3.2 & 42.5 & 35.6 & 7.8 & 7.2 & 2.1 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 23.1 & 57.7 & 15.3 & - & 1.3 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.0 & 43.7 & 30.5 & 9.2 & 7.0 & 2.0 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa 10.0} \\
\hline Puri & 8.3 & 71.0 & 11.8 & 7.4 & 1.3 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & 30.2 & 64.0 & 5.8 & - & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 7.2 & 65.6 & 18.6 & 7.2 & 1.2 & 0.2 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Ambala & 4.5 & 29.6 & 43.2 & 11.3 & 5.7 & 1.1 & 4.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Jaipur & 1.1 & 39.4 & 35.1 & 12.7 & 6.3 & 3.2 & 2.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & - & - & - & - & - & -2. & - \\
\hline Udaipur & - & 38.0 & 24.0 & 20.0 & 10.0 & 2.0 & 6.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Meerut & 4.0 & 28.7 & 33.8 & 24.2 & 4.6 & 2.7 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & - & 37.4 & 37.5 & 12.5 & 6.3 & 6.3 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 1.7 & 33.7 & 30.8 & 14.7 & 8.7 & 7.7 & 2.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 2.3 & 32.4 & 32.0 & 17.1 & 7.2 & 6.7 & 2.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 3.8 & 32.9 & 30.5 & 21.1 & 7.2 & 3.0 & 1.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.2 & 30.9 & 32.6 & 20.6 & 6.1 & 4.5 & 2.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 4.5 & 41.2 & 25.7 & 18.1 & 6.7 & 2.4 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE XIV(d)}

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS/DEPARTMENTS (BY ALL MANAGEMENTS) ACCORDING TO EMOLUMENTS PER MONTH (1965)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State/District} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Emoluments Per Month (in Rs.)} \\
\hline & 100 and Below & 101-160 & 161-220 & 221-280 & 281-340 & 341-400 & 401 and Above & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline Hyderabad & 5.1 & 57.8 & 28.9 & 6.4 & 1.2 & 0.6 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Nellore & 3.4 & 23.0 & 52.8 & 15.0 & 3.2 & 1.8 & 0.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline East Godavari & 10.5 & 43.2 & 34.9 & 8.2 & 1.9 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 7.5 & 36.8 & 41.0 & 10.5 & 2.3 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Kerala } & 7.5 & 36.8 & 41.0 & 10.5 & 2.3 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 100.0\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Malappuram & 2.3 & 75.2 & 15.2 & 4.5 & 1.0 & 1.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Moovattupuzha & 3.9 & 68.1 & 21.0 & 3.5 & 3.1 & 0.4 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Tellicherry & 2.5 & 72.6 & 16.9 & 6.4 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Quilon & 2.9 & 71.1 & 20.2 & 4.4 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 3.0 & 71.5 & 18.7 & 4.6 & 1.5 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Sehore & 0.6 & 36.6 & 27.5 & 9.2 & 25.2 & 0.9 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Satna & - & 10.3 & 36.4 & 20.9 & 31.6 & 0.8 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Bhind & 3.1 & 25.7 & 32.7 & 12.2 & 24.8 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.4 & 25.6 & 31.7 & 13.4 & 26.8 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Maharashtra 26.0} \\
\hline Aurangabad & 2.5 & 49.7 & 25.9 & 14.3 & 6.0 & 1.4 & 0.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline Nagpur & - & -- & 8.0 & 64.9 & 22.7 & 4.0 & 0.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jalgaon & 5.6 & 53.3 & 30.1 & 6.8 & 2.2 & 2.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Poona & 7.2 & 31.1 & 33.2 & 14.2 & 7.0 & 3.4 & 3.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 4.4 & 29.6 & 24.8 & 26.5 & 9.9 & 3.1 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mysore} \\
\hline Dharwar & 7.1 & 32.5 & 36.1 & 11.8 & 9.1 & 1.8 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tumkur & 9.5 & 46.8 & 20.9 & 15.4 & 4.0 & 1.7 & 1.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline South Kanara & 3.1 & 44.6 & 33.3 & 7.7 & 7.2 & 2.5 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline Bidar & 9.9 & 44.9 & 31.6 & 5.2 & 4.7 & 1.9 & 1.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5.8 & 42.8 & 31.3 & 9.7 & 6.7 & 2.1 & 1.6 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Orissa 10.8} \\
\hline Puri & 7.0 & 57.4 & 17.1 & 10.7 & 6.8 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Kalahandi & - & 26.7 & 54.8 & 16.3 & 2.2 & - & - & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 6.1 & 53.4 & 22.0 & 11.3 & 6.2 & 1.0 & - & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Punjab} \\
\hline Ambala & 1.8 & 13.2 & 39.9 & 27.6 & 4.8 & 7.4 & 5.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Rajasthan} \\
\hline Jaipur & 0.8 & 32.4 & 25.1 & 13.3 & 16.9 & 3.6 & 7.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Barmer & - & 40.0 & 12.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & - & 8.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Udaipur & 2.4 & 28.3 & 29.7 & 17.4 & 13.0 & 3.7 & 5.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 1.5 & 30.9 & 26.6 & 15.4 & 15.3 & 3.0 & 7.3 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Uttar Pradesh} \\
\hline Meerut & 3.8 & 27.7 & 33.2 & 24.5 & 6.1 & 2.6 & 2.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Tehri Garhwal & 2.8 & 35.2 & 39.8 & 13.0 & 6.5 & 1.8 & 0.9 & 100.0 \\
\hline Deoria & 1.5 & 31.1 & 29.4 & 17.0 & 10.8 & 7.7 & 2.5 & 100.0 \\
\hline Allahabad & 2.1 & 28.4 & 29.3 & 17.3 & 13.2 & 7.6 & 2.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Jhansi & 3.1 & 24.6 & 29.9 & 25.4 & 11.4 & 4.2 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 2.9 & 28.3 & 31.7 & 21.4 & 9.1 & 4.6 & 2.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Grand Total & 4.3 & 39.9 & 27.9 & 16.2 & 8.0 & 2.3 & 1.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN INDIA 1950-51 to 1965-66}

\section*{TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN INDIA 1950-51 TO 1965-66}

This study deals with the growth of educational expenditure in India during the post-independence period. Its first section deals with the various aspects of educational finance in the Indian Union as a whole for the years 1950-51 to 1965-66, while its second section relates to the educational expenditure in the states for the years 1956-57 to 1961-62. In this study we propose to examine the basic question of educational finance, viz., how much India spends on education.
2. Before we attempt to answer this question, it seems necessary to make one or two preliminary observations by way of clarifying the concepts. The first relates to the connotation of the term, 'total educational expenditure'. The expenditure which a society incurs on education consists of:
(i) The expenditure-both recurring and non-recurring-incurred on the maintenance of the formal system of education comprising educational institutions, students, teachers and administration;
(ii) The expenditure incurred by the guardians of the students (or by the students themselves) on their education. This includes the direct cost of such items as tuition fees, purchase of books and ancillary learning materials, school uniforms, boarding charges (when the student stays in a hostel) or the indirect cost of their maintenance when they stay at home; and
(iii) The 'opportunity costs', that is, the potential earnings foregone by the students on account of their education.

When we speak of the total educational expenditure in the country, we generally refer to the first of these three categories for which alone detailed statistics are available. Although, the second and the third categories of expenditure are also very significant, but since the relevant data on these categories are not available, we shall, in this study, use the expression, 'total educational expenditure' only to denote the expenditure of the first category,
i.e. the total expenditure incurred on the maintenance of the educational system.
3. We may, however, add that the available statistics do not account for the total expenditure on education. For instance, they exclude the expenditure on the Central Ministry of Education, the U.G.C., the Departments of Education in the States and various other organisations which do not manage educational institutions directly. Further, they relate only to 'recognised' institutions and do not cover the 'unrecognised' institutions whose number is not negligible. Even in respect of recognised institutions, not all expenditure is reported. For instance, in government institutions the expenditure on 'pensions' paid to retired employees of government (inclusive of teaching and non-teaching staff) is not shown. In private institutions also, the expenditure on the administration and other expenditure of societies conducting educational institutions is not reported. It is, however, necessary to note that the net effect of these deficiencies is not appreciable and that in spite of these shortcomings, our statistics of educational expenditure are fairly reliable and compare favourably with those of other countries.

\section*{SECTION I}

\section*{Educational Expenditure in India. 1950-51 то 1965-66}
4. Total Educational Expenditure in India: The statistics of total educational expenditure, enrolment, national income and population along with their indices and average annual rates of growth for the period 1950-51 to 1965-66 are given in Table 1.

The total educational expenditure in India has increased from Rs. 1,144 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 1,897 million in 1955-56 (or the end of the first Plan) and further to Rs. 3,444 million in 1960-61 (or the end of the second Plan) and is estimated to further rise to Rs. 6,000 million in 1965-66 (or the end of third Plan). The average annual rate of increase has been 10.6 per cent during the first

TABLE I
TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN INDIA
(1950-51 TO 1965-66)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & 1950-51 & 1955-56 & 1960-61 & \[
\underset{\text { (Estimated) }}{\text { 1965-66 }}
\] \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) \\
\hline 1. Total expenditure on education (Rs. in 000's) & 1,143,822 & 1,896,610 & 3,443,801 & 6,000,000 \\
\hline 2. Total enrolment (in 000 's) & 25,543 & 33,924 & 47,964 & 69,780 \\
\hline 3. Total national income (Rs. in 000 's) & 95,300,000 & 99,800,000 & 141,400,000 & 210,000,000 \\
\hline 4. Total population (in 000's) & 361,130 & 395,900 & 439,235 & 494,781 \\
\hline 5. Expenditure on education per head of population (Rs.) & 3.2 & 4.8 & 7.8 & 12.1 \\
\hline 6. National income per head of population (Rs.) & 266.5 & 255.0 & 325.7 & 424.4 \\
\hline 7. Percentage of expenditure on education to national income & 1.2 & 1.9 & 2.4 & 2.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{8. Index of growth} \\
\hline (i) Total educational expenditure & 100 & 166 & 301 & 525 \\
\hline (ii) Total enrolment & 100 & 133 & 188 & 273 \\
\hline (iii) Total national income & 100 & 105 & 148 & 220 \\
\hline (iv) Educational expenditure per capita & 100 & 150 & 244 & 378 \\
\hline (v) National income per capita & 100 & 96 & 122 & 159 \\
\hline (vi) Population & 100 & 110 & 122 & 137 \\
\hline 9. Average annual rate of growth & First Plan & Second Plan & Third Plan All & he Three Plans \\
\hline (i) Total educational expenditure & 10.6 & 12.7 & 11.7 & 11.7 \\
\hline (ii) Total enrolment & 5.8 & 7.2 & 7.8 & 6.9 \\
\hline (iii) Total national income & 0.9 & 7.2 & 8.2 & 5.4 \\
\hline (iv) Per capita expenditure on education & 8.4 & 10.2 & 9.2 & 9.3 \\
\hline (v) Per capita national income & (decrease) & 5.0 & 5.4 & 3.2 \\
\hline (vi) Population & 1.9 & 1.8 & 2.4 & 2.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Plan, 12.7 per cent during the second Plan and 11.7 per cent during the third Plan. The average annual rate of increase during the three Plan periods has been 11.7 per cent.
5. Total Educational Expenditure per Head of Population: The growth of the total educational ex?enditure in India as indicated above has been very rapid in the first three Plans and its doubling period is about 6 years. But simultaneously, the population of the country has also been increasing and if we exrmine the growth of total educational ex enditure in India per head of population, (i.e. by taking into consideration the growth in popu-
lation also) the picture loses some of its colour.
It will be seen from Table I that the total educational expenditure per head of population has multiplied between 1950 and 1965, by 3 -fold, as against a 5 -fold increase in the total educational expenditure in absolute terms. This implies an average annual rate of increase of only 9.3 per cent in the per capita expenditure, as against an average annual increase of 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure in absolute figures. This is because a part of the increase in the total educational expenditure is offset by the increase in population. It is, nevertheless, true that the rate of increase in
the educational expenditure has been far greater than that in population.
6. Proportion of National Income (at Current Prices) Spent on Education (1950-51-1965-66): There is still another way of looking at the total increase in educational expenditure. We may compare it to the national income or the national dividend at current prices which shows the extent to which education is given priority vis-a-vis other claimants on the national exchequer.

It will be seen that the percentage of the total national income, which is now devoted to education, has only increased from 1.2 per cent in 1950-51 to 2.9 in 1965-66. This implies an average annual rate of increase of only 6.1 per cent, as against an increase of 9.3 per cent in the per capita expenditure on education or 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure in absolute figures.
7. Total Educational Expenditure in Relation to Growth in Enrolment: There is still another angle from which the growth in total educational expenditure can be studied, namely, the increase of the total educational expenditure in relation to the growth in enrolment. There is, however, one great limitation in this comparison, and it is that while the increase in enrolment is absolute, the increase in expenditure is at current prices, which implies that this increase includes the effect of rise in prices. As it is, the increase in the total educational expenditure is always greater than that in enrolment.

The average annual rate of increase in enrolment was \(5.8 \%\) during the first Plan, \(7.2 \%\) during the second Plan; and \(7.8 \%\) during the third Plan, as against an increase of \(10.6,12.7\) and 11.7 per cent respectively in total educational expenditure during the same periods. Taking the three Plans together, the average annual increase in enrolment was only 6.9 per cent, while that in total educational expenditure was 11.7 per cent.

So far we have studied the growth of the total expenditure on education. But it will be interesting to study separately the growth of direct and indirect expenditure.
8. Direct and Indirect Expenditure on Education: The distribution of the total expenditure into
direct and indirect is given in Table II. It will be seen that the rate of increase in direct expenditure has generally been less than that in indirect expenditure. The average rate of increase has been 11.2 per cent in the direct expenditure, while it was 13.2 per cent in the indirect expenditure during the period 1950-65.

\section*{9. Proportion of Direct to Indireet Expenditure:} The proportion of direct educational expenditure to total expenditure on education is given in the table below:
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Percentage of Direct Expenditure \\
to Total Expenditure on Education
\end{tabular} \\
\(1950-51\) & 79.6 \\
\(1955-56\) & 76.4 \\
\(1960-61\) & 74.7 \\
\(1965-66\) & 74.9
\end{tabular}

It will be seen from the above table that the proportion of direct expenditure to total educational expenditure has been steadily decreasing. It was 79.6 per cent in 1950-51 and 74.9 per cent in 1965-66, which implies that items which are included under indirect expenditure are relatively getting more funds. In the next section we shall discuss in detail which of the indirect items received priority, but, as a general trend, this increase in the preportion of indirect expenditure is a healthy sign.

Looking at the indices of growth in Table I, it will be seen that during the period under review, the total educational expenditure increased ty 425 per cent, as against an increase of 173 per cent in enrolment and only 120 per cent in the total national income. Even the increase in the per capita \(\epsilon \mathbb{x}\) penditure on education ( 278 per cent) has been more

\footnotetext{
N.B.: The total educational expenditure is divided into two major categories-direct and indirect. Direct expenditure includes all expenditure incurred directly on the running of the school plant from the regular school budget (except expenditure on scholarships, etc. and hostels met from the school budget). Indirect expenditure includes: expenditure on (1) direction and inspection; (2) buildings; (3) scholar-ships; (4) hostel charges; and (5) miscellaneous items. As a matter of fact, it would have been better if the expenditure on scholarships, buildings and hostels had also been classified as direct. It is, however, good that this reform in the classification of educational expenditure has already been done by the Ministry of Education and that future data will be collected on that basis.
}

\section*{TABLE II}

\section*{EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY SOURCES} (1956-51 TO 1965-66)
(Rs. in 000's)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sources} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
1965-66 \\
(\text { Esti- } \\
\text { mated })
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth during} \\
\hline & & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
1950-51 \\
\text { to } \\
1955-56
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1955-56 \\
\text { to } \\
1960-61
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1960-61 \\
t o \\
1965-66
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1950-51 \\
t 0 \\
1965-66
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Direct Expenditure} \\
\hline Central Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
24,611 \\
(2.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
67,324 \\
(4.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
140,259 \\
(5.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
298,984 \\
(6.7)
\end{array}
\] & 22.3 & 15.8 & 16.3 & 18.1 \\
\hline State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
474,369 \\
(52.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
768,687 \\
(53.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,503,646 \\
(58.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,723,800 \\
(60.6)
\end{array}
\] & 10.1 & 14.4 & 12.6 & 12.4 \\
\hline District board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
69,128 \\
(7.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
87,770 \\
(6.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
105,288 \\
(4.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
128,099 \\
(2.8)
\end{array}
\] & 4.9 & 3.7 & 4.0 & 4.2 \\
\hline Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
41,870 \\
(4.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
57,429 \\
(4.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
91,911 \\
(3.6)
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
209,264
\] \\
(4.7)
\end{tabular} & 6.5 & 9.9 & 17.4 & 11.4 \\
\hline Fees & \[
\begin{array}{r}
216,736 \\
(23.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
352,806 \\
(24.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
550,852 \\
(21.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
872,847 \\
(19.4)
\end{array}
\] & 10.2 & 9.3 & 9.6 & 9.7 \\
\hline Other sources & \[
\begin{array}{r}
83,825 \\
(9.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
114,053 \\
(7.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
181,631 \\
(7.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
261,926 \\
(5.8)
\end{array}
\] & 6.4 & 9.8 & 7.6 & 7.8 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 910,539 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,448,069 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,573,588 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,494,920 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 9.8 & 12.2 & 11.8 & 11.2 \\
\hline Indirect Expenditure Central Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10,636 \\
(4.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
54,170 \\
(12.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
155,519 \\
(17.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
301,016 \\
(20.0)
\end{array}
\] & 38.5 & 23.5 & 14.1 & 25.0 \\
\hline State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
143,062 \\
(61.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
281,869 \\
(62.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
541,489 \\
(62.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
948,200 \\
(63.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.5 & 14.0 & 11.9 & 13.4 \\
\hline District board funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
9,474 \\
(4.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,228 \\
(2.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,048 \\
(1.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
15,200 \\
(1.0)
\end{array}
\] & 3.5 & 3.1 & 3.1 & 3.2 \\
\hline Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
4,514 \\
(1.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
7,121 \\
(1.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
14,667 \\
(1.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
25,437 \\
(1.7)
\end{array}
\] & 9.5 & 15.5 & 11.6 & 12.2 \\
\hline Fees & \[
\begin{gathered}
16,536 \\
(7.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
26,226 \\
(5.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
39,406 \\
(4.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
45,153 \\
(3.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 9.7 & 8.5 & 2.8 & 6.9 \\
\hline Other sources & \[
\begin{array}{r}
49,061 \\
(21.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
67,927 \\
(15.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
106,084 \\
(12.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
170,074 \\
(11.3)
\end{array}
\] & 6.7 & 9.3 & 9.9 & 8.6 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 233,282 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 448,542 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
870,214 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,505,080 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.0 & 14.2 & 11.6 & 13.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total Expenditure \\
(Direct \& Indirect)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Central Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35,247 \\
(3.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
121,494 \\
(6.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
295,778 \\
(8.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
600,000 \\
(10.0)
\end{array}
\] & 28.0 & 19.5 & 15.2 & 20.8 \\
\hline State Governments funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
617,431 \\
(54.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,050,556 \\
(55.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,045,136 \\
(59.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,672,000 \\
(61.2)
\end{array}
\] & 11.2 & 14.3 & 12.4 & 12.6 \\
\hline District board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
78,602 \\
(6.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
98,998 \\
(5.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
118,336 \\
(3.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
143,299 \\
(2.4)
\end{array}
\] & 4.7 & 3.6 & 3.9 & 4.1 \\
\hline Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
46,385 \\
(4.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
64,550 \\
(3.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
106,578 \\
(3.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
234,701 \\
(3.9)
\end{array}
\] & 6.8 & 10.6 & 17.3 & 11.4 \\
\hline Fees & \[
\begin{gathered}
233,272 \\
(20.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
379,033 \\
(20.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
590,258 \\
(17.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
918,000 \\
(15.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & 10.2 & 9.3 & 9.2 & 9.6 \\
\hline Other sources & \[
\begin{array}{r}
132,885 \\
(11.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
181,980 \\
(9.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
287,715 \\
(8.4)
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
432,000
\] \\
(7.2)
\end{tabular} & 6.5 & 9.6 & 8.5 & 8.2 \\
\hline Total & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,143,822 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,896,610 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,443,801 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,000,000 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.6 & 12.7 & 11.7 & 11.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
than the increase in the national income and in population. In short, it can be safely said that during the post-independence period education did receive its due share of the national resources.

\section*{Total Educational Expenditure by Sources}
10. Sources of Educational Finance: The next point which deserves examination is the study of sources from which the total educational expenditure is met. At present, the total educational expenditure is classified under six different sources: (1) Central Government funds; (2) State Government funds; (3) District board funds; (4) Municipal board funds; (5) Fees; and (6) Endowments and other sources.* Very naurally, each of these six sources plays a different role in the financing of education and the rate of increase in expenditure also varies from one source to another. It is this aspect of the problem that provides an interesting field for study.
11. Total Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1965-66): It will be seen from Table II that the bulk of the educational expenditure is provided by state governments and their contribution is increasing steadily. In 1950-51, they spent Rs. 617 million or 54 per cent of the total educational expenditure, while in 1965-66, the expenditure from state funds is estimated to have risen to Rs. 3,672 million or 61.2 per cent of the total expenditure. Next in importance is the expenditure from fees. In 1950-51, this source provided a total revenue of Rs. 233 million and it is expected to rise to Rs. 918 million by 1965-66. In spite of this increase, however, the proportion of the income from fees to total educational expenditure fell down, during the same period, from 20.4 percent in 195051 to 15.3 per cent in 1965-66, which shows that the rate of increase in expenditure through fees has been less than the rate of increase in total expenditure. Third in order of importance is the expenditure from the funds of the Central Government. In 1950-51, this expenditure stood at Rs. 35 million or 3.1 per cent of the total educational expenditure and in 1965-66 it is likely to rise to Rs. 600 million or 10 per cent of the total educational expenditure. Fourth in order of importance is the expenditure

\footnotetext{
*Endowments and other sources are in fact two sources for which separate figures are available, but for the purpose of this discussion, these have been combined.
}
from 'endowments and other sources' which rose from Rs. 133 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 432 million in 1965-66, though its proportion to total educational expenditure fell, during the same period, from 11.6 per cent to 7.2 per cent. The local bodies came last and made the least, although significant contribution. In 1950-51 the municipal boards contributed Rs. 46 million or 4 per cent of the total educational expenditure and in 1965-66, their contribution rose to Rs. 235 million or 3.9 per cent of the total expenditure. The contribution of the district boards, which are in charge of rural areas, has not been so elastic. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 79 million or 6.9 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61, Rs. 143 million or 2.4 per cent of the total educational expenditure. The average a nnual rate of increase during this period has been the greatest in Central Government funds ( \(20.8 \%\) ), followed by state government funds ( \(12.6 \%\) ), municipal board funds ( \(11.4 \%\) ), fees ( \(9.6 \%\) ), endowments and other sources ( \(8.2 \%\) ) and district board funds ( \(4.1 \%\) ).
12. Total Direct Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1965-66): In the case of total direct expenditure the picture appears essentially the same as in total educational expenditure. The rate of increase has been the greatest in respect of Central Government funds ( \(18.1 \%\) ), followed by state governments ( \(12.4 \%\) ), municipal board funds ( \(11.4 \%\) ), fees \((9.7 \%)\), endowments and other sources \((7.8 \%)\) and district board funds ( \(4.2 \%\) ).
13. Total Indirect Educational Expenditure by Sources (1950-51 to 1960-61): With regard to total indirect educational expenditure, there appears to be a good deal of change in the over-all picture. Here the Central Government funds play a more important role, particularly because the Government of India incurs a large expenditure on scholarships and buildings. The proportion of indirect expenditure from Central Government funds to total indirect expenditure has increased from 4.6 per cent in 1950-51 to 20.0 per cent in 1965-66. Similarly, the income from endowments and other sources also played a comparatively more significant role, especially in the field of scholarships. Fees naturally played a minor role and still less important is the role played by district boards and municipalities who do very little in respect of direction and inspection, scholarships or hostels.
14. Now we shall discuss the role played by each source of educational finance separately.
15. Central Government TFunds: The total educational expenditure incurred from Central Government funds during the period under review is given in Table II. As was pointed out earlier, one clarification has to be made in this context. The expenditure which the Government of India incurs on education can be divided into two categories: (i) expenditure from Central Government funds on institutions maintained or aided by the Central Government; and (ii) grants-in-aid given by the Central Government to the state governments.

From the statistics given in Table II it will be seen that the expenditure of the Government of India on education showed a phenomenal increase during 1950-65. In absolute terms, it multiplicd 17 -fold within 15 years. During the first Plan period, the average annual rate of increase was as high as 28.0 per cent (as against 10.6 per cent in the total educational expenditure from all sources). During the second five-year Plan, this tempo of expansion seems to have gone down to some extent: the average rate of increase in the second Plan was 19.5 per cent--but still it was higher than the rate of increase in the total educational expenditure which was 12.7 per cent. During the third five-year Plan also, although the rate of increase fell down to \(15.2 \%\), it was still higher than the rate of increase in total educational expenditure which was 11.7 per cent from all sources but was lessthan the rate of increase during the first two Plans. This decline is mainly due to a change in policy. In the first five-year Plan, there was a greater emphasis on the Central sector in education and the funds provided for grant-in-aid were comparatively less. In the second and third five-year Plans, the expansion of the Central sector in education was comparatively restricted, although the funds for grant-in-aid to the state governments were substantially increased. In spite of this decline in the rate of growth, the total educational expenditure from Central Government funds during the first three five-year Plans shows an annual increase of 20.8 per cent which is nearly twice as large as the rate of growth in total educational expenditure during the same period ( \(11.7 \%\) ). This larger initiative taken by the Central Government in the
financing of education is a very welcome development indeed. Further, the rate of increase has been higher in indirect expenditure than the rate of increase in the direct expenditure.
16. State Government Funds: During the first five-year Plan, the average annual rate of increase in the state government expenditure was 11.2 per cent, as against an increase of 10.6 per cent in the total educational expenditure. In the second Plan, the tempo of expenditure from the state government funds increased considerably to 14.2 per cent, as against 12.7 per cent in the total expenditure. During the third five-year Plan the rate of increase in state government funds was 12.4 per cent, as against 11.7 per cent in the total expenditure. Taking the period of the three five-year Plans together, the annual rate of increase in expenditure from state government funds was 12.6 per cent as against an increase of 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure. The proportion of expenditure from state government funds to total expenditure also improved from 54.0 per cent to 61.2 per cent. In this case also the indirect expenditure received a better treatment than direct expenditure.
17. District Board Funds: In so far as total educational expenditure from district board funds during the first three five-year Plans is concerned, there has not been any appreciable increase in the contribution from this source. Their contribution to total expenditure has gone down from 6.9 per cent in \(1950-51\) to 2.4 per cent in 1965-66. The revenues of the district boards are derived mainly from the local fund cess on land revenue. The collection of this cess fluctuates very greatly, depending upon crop conditions. In some years, part of the cess is remitted or suspended, while in others even arrears are recovered and this leads to large fluctuations of income which are purely administrative in character. But taking the over-all view of the period, it will be seen that the increase in the contribution of district board funds to educational expenditure averaged 4.7 per cent per year in the first five-year Plan, 3.6 per cent per year in the second five-year Plan, 3.9 per cent per year during the third five-year Plan and 4.1 per cent for the three Plans put together. The conclusion is in-evitable-this source of revenue is very inelastic and the potentialities of its expansion are getting rapidly exhausted.
18. Municipal Board Funds: The details about the contribution made by municipal boards to the total educational expenditure during the period under review are given in the same table. These present a much better picture than the district boards. To begin with, there has been no decrease in the rates of increase. Their total contribution has risen from Rs. 46 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 235 million in 1965-66. In the first five-year Plan, the average annual increase in the contribution of the municipal boards was 6.9 per cent as against an increase of 10.6 per cent in the total educational expenditure and only 4.7 per cent in the contribution of the district boards. Similarly in the second Plan, the contribution of the municipal boards has increased at anannual rate of 19.6 per cent as against 12.7 per cent in the total expenditure and only 3.6 per cent in the contribution of the district boards. But during the third five-year Plan, the municipal boards made a great effort and the rate of increase rose to 17.3 per cent, as against 11.7 per cent in total expenditure and 3.9 per cent in the district board expenditure on education. Taking the three Plans together, the municipal boards show an average rate of increase of 11.4 per cent which is significant. In so far as proportion of expenditure through this source to total expenditure is concerned, municipal boards after going down a bit during first and second Plan periods regained their position during the third Plan. There are still very large potentialities for expansion of this source of finance due to two reasons: (1) there is a large number of municipalities which are not yet financing education adequately; and (2) the rapid pace of urbanisation is increasing their resources.
19. Fees: Fees form a very important source of educational finance. During the British priod, they made a major contribution to educational expenditure; and, although the emphasis on fees has been considerably reduced in the post-independence period and delibrate policies of reducing fees or providing free education have been adopted on a fairly large scale, they still play an important part in the financing of education. During the first five-year Plan, the annual rate of increase in the contribution of fees to total educational expenditure was 10.2 per cent, as against an increase of 10.6 per cent in total educational expenditure and during. the second and third five-year Plans, the annual
increase in the contribution of fees was 9.3 per cent and 9.2 per cent as against an increase of 12.7 per cent and 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure. The policy of reducing fees or providing free education had been largely adopted during the first five-year Plan and was continued in the second and third Plans. As such the proportion of expenditure throughfees to total expenditure on education has been decreasing continuously. But still the income from fees has been steadily increasing. This is mainly due to the fact that there has been tremendous increase in enrolment during this period.
20. It is, however, important to note that not all income which is shown under fees comes from private sources. In several states, there are schemes under which the fees of certain categories of students are reimbursed by government. In such cases, the amount reimbursed as fees is debited under 'scholarships' or 'other financial assistance' by the states. In the school accounts, however, these receipts are shown under fees. It is, therefore, necessary to remember that some proportion of the income from fees comes really from the public sector, although it is very difficult to identify the exact amount or proportion of this share of public funds.
21. About the revenue from fees, one or two points are particularly important. The first refers to the role played by fees at different levels of education and in different types of educational institutions. The information in Table III will be found interesting from this point of view.
22. Of the total amount of fees collected, a very large proportion (Rs. 63 million or 10.6 per cent) is realised in boards of secondary and intermediate education and represents purely examination fee. Among other institutions, the largest contribution to the total fee income is made by high/higher secondary schools--they contribute as much as Rs. 270 million or 45.8 per cent of the total fee collected. Next in order come universities and institutions of higher education which contribute as much as Rs. 155 million or 26.2 per cent of the total fees collected. The higher primary schools, where fees should really be abolished as far as practicable, still contribute a fairly large proportion -Rs. 32 million or 5.4 per cent of the total amount of fees collected-it is even more than the amount

TABLE III
EXPENDITURE THROUGH FEES ON DIFFERENT TYPES OF INSTITUTIONS (1960-61)
\(\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Amount of } \\ \text { Fees } \\ \text { Collected }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { (in 000's) } \\ \text { to Total } \\ \text { Amount of } \\ \text { Fees }\end{array}\right\}\)
of fees collected in colleges of professional education -which stands at Rs. 30 million or 5.1 per cent of the total amount of fees. At the lower primary stage, there are a number of private schools which charge fees and, therefore, primary/junior Basic schools contribute Rs. 17 million or 2.9 per cent of the total fees collected and almost a similar amount is contributed by the schools of vocational education (their precise contribution is Rs, 31.6 million or 2.3 per cent of the total amount of fees collected). It will be seen that the largest income from fees is at the secondary stage; but as secondary education becomes gradually tuition-free, income on this account is likely to decline to a negligible
amount during the fourth five-year Plan or soon thereafter. At the university stage also, the total receipts from fees are considerable at present; but even these are dwindling because of the expansion of students from poor families entering university education and the incapability of the state governments or universities to increase fees.
23. There is still another point which needs attention, namely, the extent of free studentships awarded and the amount of fees collected. Table IV shows the total enrolment in institutions of different types and levels as well as the number and proportion of students paying fees.

TABLE IV
PROPORTION OF FEE-PAYING STUDENTS, 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of Institution & Total Enrolment & Number of Fee-Paying Students & \begin{tabular}{l}
Percentage \\
of Students \\
Paying Fees \\
to Total \\
Enrolment
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) \\
\hline 1. Pre-primary schools & 121,184 & 93,914 & 77.5 \\
\hline 2. Lower primary schools & 26,642,348 & 1,044,050 & 3.9 \\
\hline 3. Higher primary schools & 10,610,878 & 1,742,908 & 16.4 \\
\hline 4. Secondary schools & 7,311,514 & 4,867,003 & 64.8 \\
\hline 5. Schools for teacher traini & ing 110,502 & 22,057 & 20.0 \\
\hline 6. Schools for vocational training (excluding teacher training) & 290,772 & 209,414 & 72.2 \\
\hline 7. Schools for special education & 1,689,651 & 169,821 & 10.0 \\
\hline 8. Universities and higher education institutions (general education) & 767,965 & 655,846 & 85.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
(a) Universities & 73,381 & 66,149 & 90.1 \\
(b) Research institutions & 2,952 & 2,547 & 86.3 \\
(c) Colleges for general & & & \\
& education & 691,632 & 587,150 \\
& 84.9
\end{tabular}
9. Colleges for teacher training \(50,735 \quad 17,596 \quad 34.7\)
10. Colleges for professional education (excluding teacher training)
11. Colleges for special edu\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { cation } & 25,297 & 13,267 & 52.4\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
All Institutions & \(47,964,402\) & \(8,962,055\) & 18.7
\end{tabular}
24. It will be seen that the proportion of feepaying students varies from stage to stage and from one type of educational institution to another. This is obviously the lowest ( 3.9 per cent) in lower primary schools. It is also not very high in schools for special education ( 10 per cent) or in higher primary schools ( 16.4 per cent), although fees in these institutions also should, by and large, disappear. In the colleges of teacher training where no fees should really be charged, as many as 34.7 per cent of the students pay fees. In the colleges of special education the proportion of fee-paying students is 52.4 per cent. But it is still greater in universities and higher education institutions ( 85.4 per cent) and in colleges for professional education ( 87.9 per cent). In schools for vocational education, about 72.2 per cent of the students pay fees and this proportion increases to 77.5 per cent in pre-primary schools which are still largely in the private sector.
25. In the absence of information about the actual rates of fees charged at each level, it may be interesting to find out the average amount of fees collected per student. The following table (Table V) shows how this varies at different levels and in different types of institutions.
26. A word must be said about fees per student in the universities. In the universities, a large amount is received by way of 'examination fees' and since in the available data it is not posible to separate this amount from that of 'tuition fees' with which we are concerned, this item has been ignored. In other cases, the amount of fees will appear to be extremely reasonable, and if any thing, on the low side. There is a very great possibility of getting additional resources for education through raising of fees and this avenue will have to be explored in spite of the obvious difficulties involved.
27. Endowments and Other Sources: The contribution of 'endowments and other sources' in absolute figures is rising steadily, through slowly. It stood at Rs. 133 million in \(1950-51\) and rose to Rs. 432 million in 1965-66. The rate of annual increase during the first Plan period was 6.5 per cent and that during the second and third five-year Plan periods 9.6 per cent and 8.5 per cent and for the three Plans put together 8.2 per cent. Owing to the large part played by voluntary educational organizations, these sources still continue to have an important part in educational finance-

TABLE V
AVERAGE FEE PER STUDENT 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of Institution & Amount of Fee per Student on the Basis of Total Enrolment & Amount of Fee per Student on the Number of such Students as Pay Fees \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) \\
\hline & Rs. & Rs. \\
\hline 1. Pre-primary schools & 18.0 & 23.3 \\
\hline 2. Primary and junior Basic schools & 0.6 & 16.4 \\
\hline 3. Middle and senior Basic schools & 3.0 & 18.2 \\
\hline 4. High/higher secondary schools & 36.0 & 55.6 \\
\hline 5. Schools for teacher training & - 16.8 & 84.2 \\
\hline 6. Schools for vocational education (excluding teacher training) & 46.8 & 65.0 \\
\hline 7. Schools for special education & 0.8 & 8.1 \\
\hline 8. Universities and institutions of higher education (general education) & 201.4 & 235.9 \\
\hline (a) Universities & 721.4* & 800.2* \\
\hline (b) Research institutions & 126.9 & 147.1 \\
\hline (c) Colleges of general education & 146.6 & 172.7 \\
\hline 9. Colleges for teacher training & 54.4 & 156.9 \\
\hline 10. Colleges for professional education (excluding teacher training) & 211.4 & 240.5 \\
\hline 11. Colleges for special edution & 57.2 & 109.0 \\
\hline All Institutions & 11.0 & 58.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
*The amount of fees includes the examiation fee charged from all the students who appear in examinations conducted by the universities. But the enrolment relates to only students studying in university teaching departments or constituent colleges.
}
their total contribution is in fact larger than that of the local bodies (district and municipal boards put together). What is even more important, it is possible to tap larger funds in this source if the right approach is made; and, in all probability, this source has a still larger elasticity at present than the revenues of the district boards.
total Educational Expenditure by Objects
(1950-51 то 1965-66)
28. Type of Institutions/Objects: We now turn to the discussion of educational expenditure by types of institutions and by objects. There are about 64 different types of institutions for which separate data are available but in order to keep the discussion within limits we have divided all the different types of institutions and objects, into nineteen categories each of which will be discussed separately. In this grouping, institutions with some common denominator have been combined into a separate category. For instance, schools for vocational education cover some ten types of institutions, such as schools for agriculture, arts and crafts, commerce, engineering, forestry, medicine, physical education, veterinary science, industry and technology. The colleges for professional education also cover almost the same types of institutions at the college level as vocational schools cover at the school level. Similarly, schools for special
education include schools for the handicapped, schools for music, dancing and other fine arts, schools for oriental studies, schools for social workers, schools and centres for adult education and reformatory schools, etc., and colleges for special education mainly include music colleges, and those for oriental studies and for social work.

Table VI shows the total educational expenditure incurred during the first three five-year Plans on these 19 categories of institutions and objects. It may be clarified at the very outset that each of the different types of institutions is regarded as a single unit for the purpose of reporting educational expenditure. That is to say, the expenditure figures of higher primary schools which generally have lower primary sections attached to them represent the total expenditure on the whole institution including the lower primary section. This has been accepted because it is not possible to break up the expenditure by sections. This applies to all types of institutions which have attached classes.

TABLE VI
EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY OBJECTS, 1950-51 TO 1965-66
(Rs. in 000's)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Type of Institutions/Objects} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1965-66} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Annual Growth Rate during} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All the Three Plans} \\
\hline & & & & & Ist Plan & 2nd Plan & 3rd Plan & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Direct Expenditure}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. Pre-schools & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,198 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2,499 \\
& (0.1)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5,873 \\
& (0.2)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,000 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & 15.8 & 18.6 & 13.4 & 15.9 \\
\hline 2. Lower primary schools & \[
\begin{array}{r}
364,843 \\
(31.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
537,272 \\
(28.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
734,461 \\
(21.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,220,500 \\
(20.3)
\end{array}
\] & 8.0 & 6.5 & 10.7 & 8.4 \\
\hline 3. Higher primary schools & \[
\begin{array}{r}
76,990 \\
(6.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
154,050 \\
(8.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
429,219 \\
(12.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
717,500 \\
(12.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.9 & 22.7 & 10.8 & 16.0 \\
\hline 4. Secondary schools & \[
\begin{array}{r}
230,450 \\
(20.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
376,144 \\
(19.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
689,117 \\
(20.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,181,000 \\
(19.7)
\end{array}
\] & 10.3 & 12.9 & 11.4 & 11.5 \\
\hline 5. Schools for teacher training & \[
\begin{array}{r}
15,229 \\
(1.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
19,757 \\
(1.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34,811 \\
(1.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
57,400 \\
\text { (1.0) }
\end{array}
\] & 5.3 & 12.0 & 10.5 & 9.2 \\
\hline 6. Schools for vocational and technical education & \[
\begin{array}{r}
21,715 \\
(1.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34,751 \\
(1.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
79,280 \\
(2.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
192,600 \\
(3.2)
\end{array}
\] & 9.9 & 17.9 & 19.4 & 15.7 \\
\hline 7. Schools for special education & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23,335 \\
(2.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,529 \\
(1.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
31,997 \\
(0.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
39,920 \\
(0.7)
\end{array}
\] & 2.6 & 3.8 & 4.5 & 3.6 \\
\hline 8. Universities & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 49,052 \\
& (4)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
79,804 \\
(4.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
141,388 \\
(41)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
270,000 \\
(4.5)
\end{array}
\] & 10.2 & 12.1 & 13.8 & 12.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline 9. Boards of intermediate/secondary education & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,338 \\
(0.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,240 \\
(0.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
24,133 \\
(0.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
45,000 \\
(0.8)
\end{array}
\] & 19.3 & 12.8 & 13.3 & 15.3 \\
\hline 10. Research institutions & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,256 \\
(0.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,904 \\
(0.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,986 \\
(0.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
65,000 \\
(1.1)
\end{array}
\] & 17.3 & 14.2 & 19.2 & 16.9 \\
\hline 11. Arts and science colleges & \[
\begin{array}{r}
71,714 \\
(6.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
116,474 \\
(6.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
209,153 \\
(6.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
327,500 \\
(5.5)
\end{array}
\] & 10.2 & 12.4 & 9.4 & 10.7 \\
\hline 12. Colleges for teacher training & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,547 \\
(0.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,566 \\
(0.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
21,514 \\
(0.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
49,200 \\
(0.8)
\end{array}
\] & 13.1 & 26.8 & 18.0 & 19.2 \\
\hline 13. Colleges for professional education (excluding T.T. colleges) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
38,647 \\
(3.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
63,442 \\
(3,4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
136,527 \\
(4.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
300,800 \\
(5.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.4 & 16.6 & 17.1 & 14.7 \\
\hline 14. Colleges for special education & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,224 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,635 \\
(0,2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9,125 \\
(0.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
17,500 \\
(0.3)
\end{array}
\] & 10.3 & 20.1 & 13.9 & 14.7 \\
\hline Total Direct Expenditure & \[
\begin{array}{r}
910,539 \\
(79.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,448,069 \\
(76.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,573,587 \\
(74.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,494,920 \\
(74.9)
\end{array}
\] & 9.7 & 12.2 & 11.8 & 11.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Indirect Expenditure} \\
\hline 15. Direction and inspection & \[
\begin{array}{r}
27,364 \\
(2,4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
40,006 \\
(2.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
70,123 \\
(2.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
114,009 \\
(1.9)
\end{array}
\] & 7.9 & 11.9 & 10.2 & 10.0 \\
\hline 16. Buildings & \[
\begin{array}{r}
99,270 \\
(8.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
196,358 \\
(10.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
428,158 \\
(12.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
666,055 \\
1111
\end{array}
\] & 14.6 & 16.9 & 9.2 & 13.5 \\
\hline 17. Scholarships and other financial concessions & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34,456 \\
(3.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
82,172 \\
(4.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
200,222 \\
(5.8)
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
420,035 \\
(7.0)
\end{tabular} & 19.0 & 19.5 & 16.0 & 18.1 \\
\hline 18. Hostel charges & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18,264 \\
(1.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,610 \\
(1.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
43,149 \\
(1.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
95,463 \\
(1.6)
\end{array}
\] & 7.8 & 10.2 & 17.2 & 11.7 \\
\hline 19. Miscellaneous & \[
\begin{array}{r}
53,928 \\
(4.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
103,395 \\
(5.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
128,562 \\
(3.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
209,518 \\
(3.5)
\end{array}
\] & 13.9 & 4.5 & 10.3 & 9.5 \\
\hline Total Indirect Expenditure & \[
\begin{array}{r}
233,282 \\
(20.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
448,541 \\
(23.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
870,214 \\
(25.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,505,080 \\
(25.1)
\end{array}
\] & 14.0 & 14.2 & 11.6 & 13.2 \\
\hline Grant Total & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,143,822 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,896,610 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,443801 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
6,000,000 \\
(100,0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 10.6 & 12.7 & 11.7 & 11.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
29. Table VI brings out many significant points some of which are mentioned below:
(1) The proportion of expenditure on the lower primary schools has, by and large, been decreasing during this period. It decreased from 31.9 per cent in 1950-51 to 20.3 per cent in 1965-66. This decrease is partly due to the reclassification in 1958-59 of elementary schools in a few states into higher primary schools and partly due to a substantial increase in the total expenditure with which the expenditure on lower primary schools has not kept pace.
(2) The higher primary schools have received somewhat better treatment but the increase in the percentage of expenditure on these institutions to the total expenditure on education from 6.7 per cent in \(1950-51\) to 12.0 per cent in 1965-66 was, to some extent, also due to the reclassification of elementary schools in some states as higher primary schools as mentioned above.
(3) The proportion of expenditure on the secondary schools has remained fairly constant throughout the period under review.
(4) The expenditure on higher education has slightly improved in proportion to total educational expenditure from 15.5 per cent in \(1950-51\) to 18.0 per cent in 1965-66.
(5) Significant improvement is observed in the case of technical education.
(6) The share of direction and inspection in the total expenditure was never very high-it has been steadily decreasing-from 2.4 per cent in 1950-51 to 1.9 per cent in \(1965-66\)-which is not a very happy situation.
(7) The expenditure on buildings has increased very considerably-from 8.7 per cent in 1950-51 to 11.1 per cent in 1965-66. Similarly, the expenditure on scholarships and other financial
concessions to students has also increased from 3.0 per cent in 1950-51 to 7.0 per cent in 1965-66. These are the two objects which have gained materially during the period under review.
(8) As a result of the trends mentioned above, the total direct expenditure on education has fallen from 79.6 per cent in \(1950-51\) to 74.9 per cent in 1965-66. Correspondingly, the total indirect expenditure on education has increased from 20.4 per cent in 1950-51 to 25.1 per cent in 1965-66-a fact to which reference has already been made.
(9) In absolute terms, the greatest annual increase in expenditure during 1950-65 has been reported by colleges for teachers' training followed by scholarships, research institutions, higher primary schools, pre-primary schools and schools for voca-
tional education. All these are desirable items. On the other extreme, schools for special education reported the lowest rate of growth of expenditure which few will regret. Notable among other desirable items which have not received the necessary financial support are the lower primary schools.
30. With these preliminary observations, we now proceed on to examine the expenditure incurred on each object separately. Here we shall confine our discussion up to the year 1960-61, the latest year for which the detailed expenditure by objects is available.
31. Pre-Primary Schools: Detailed statistics regarding the total expenditure on pre-primary schools in India, according to sources, during the first two five-year Plans are given in Table VII.

TABLE VII: EXPENDITURE ON PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOLS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950.51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955.56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960.61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both the Plans \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(i) Central Government funds} & \(\cdots\) & 22 & 187 & - & 53.4 & -- \\
\hline & (0.0) & (0.9) & (3.2) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(ii) State Government funds} & 311 & 620 & 1,387 & 14.8 & 17.5 & 16.1 \\
\hline & (25.9) & (24.8) & (23.6) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(iii) District board funds} & 1 & 7 & 185 & 47.6 & 92.5 & 68.6 \\
\hline & (0.1) & (0.3) & (3.1) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(iv) Municipal board funds} & 66 & 71 & 346 & 1.5 & 37.3 & 18.0 \\
\hline & (5.5) & (2.8) & (5.9) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(v) Fees} & 541 & 1,187 & 2,184 & 17.0 & 13.0 & 15.0 \\
\hline & (45.2) & (47.5) & (37.2) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(vi) Other sources} & 280 & 592 & 1,584 & 16.2 & 21.7 & 18.9 \\
\hline & (23.3) & (23.7) & (27.0) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total expenditure} & 1,198 & 2,499 & 5,873 & 15.8 & 18.6 & 17.2 \\
\hline & (100.0) & (100.0) & (100.0) & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 2. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) & 792 & 1,447 & 3,705 & 12.8 & 20.7 & 16.7 \\
\hline 3. Total enrolment & 21,640 & 45,828 & 121,184 & 16.2 & 21.4 & 18.8 \\
\hline 4. Total number of teachers & 866 & 1,880 & 4,006 & 16.8 & 16.3 & 16.6 \\
\hline 5. Number of pupils per teacher & 25 & 24 & 30 & & & \\
\hline 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure & 66.1 & 57.9 & 63.1 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 7. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) & 914.3 & 769.5 & 924.7 & & & \\
\hline 8. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) & 55.4 & 54.5 & 48.5 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.

It will be seen therefrom that pre-primary education is becoming rapidly popular and the expenditure is increasing at a much faster rate than in several other sectors of education. The total expenditure on these institutions which stood at Rs. 1.2 million in \(1950-51\) increased to Rs. 5.9 million by \(1960-61\). This shows an average annual increase of 17.2 per cent, as against an average annual increase of 11.7 per cent only in the total educational expenditure. The increase has been more during the second five-year Plan ( 18.6 per cent), than during the first five-year Plan ( 15.8 per cent).
32. Pre-primary education is still largely the responsibility of private enterprise. The state governments have started taking interest therein only recently by maintaining a few pre-primary schools and by assisting training institutions for pre-primary teachers. Very naturally, therefore, the funds provided by the Central Government and the state governments play a very minor role in this sector. In 1960-61 for instance, the funds provided by the Central Government formed only 3.2 per cent of the total expenditure. The state governments contributed only 23.6 per cent of the total expenditure -which was even less than that contributed in 1950-51 which formed 25.9 per cent of the total expenditure. The local bodies did even less. The district boards contributed only 3.1 per cent of the total expenditure. The municipalities fared better (pre-primary education is more popular in urban areas) and contributed 5.9 per cent of the total expenditure. The bulk of the expenditure on preprimary education, therefore, came from fees and other sources which contributed as much as 68.5 per cent in 1950-51 and 64.2 per cent in 1960-61.
33. It may also be observed, incidentally, that the statistics given here relate only to recognized pre-primary schools which are not many in number. A large number of pre-primary schools do not apply for recognition to government, because no grant-in-aid generally is given to them. These schools are mostly located in urban areas, and no data are available regarding them. In addition, the Community Development Administration and the Central Social Welfare Board run a number of balwadis in rural areas. The expenditure on these institutions is met from the budgets of these organizations. But as these institutions are not recognized by the education departments, their statistics, whether of
enrolment or of expenditure, are not reflected in the figures given above. If the expenditure on these pre-primary schools is also taken into account, the total expenditure on pre-primary education in India would be much more-perhaps about three times of what is shown in the above statistics.
34. It may be interesting to analyse the reasons for this increase in the total expenditure on preprimary schools. These could be (1) increase in enrolment, (2) a change in the pupil-teacher ratio, (3) rise in the average salary of the teacher and (4) an increase in the ratio of non-teacher costs to teacher costs.
(1) The increase in enrolment at the pre-primary stage has been very rapid-at 18.8 per cent per year-as compared to 6.5 per cent per year in the total enrolment. By and large, the increase in the second Plan has been far more rapid than in the first Plan.
(2) The number of teachers has not increased in proportion to enrolment-the increase in their number is only 16.6 per cent per year, as against 18.8 per cent in enrolment. This has resulted in the increase of the pupil-teacher ratio from 25 in 195051 to 30 in 1960-61.
(3) The total expenditure also has not increased in proportion to enrolment -the increase in this case being only 17.2 per cent per year. This brings down the cost per pupil from Rs. 55.4 to Rs. 48.5 which is not a very happy situation. In the circumstances there is little possibility of quality having been improved.
(4) The average annual salary of a teacher has also not altered very appreciably. In 1950-51, it was Rs. 914.3, which declined to Rs. 769.5 in 1955-56 and increased a little to Rs. 924.7 in 1960-61. If allowance is made for the rise in the cost of living, it is obvious that the teachers in pre-primary schools were drawing a much lower wage in 1960-61, in real terms, than they did ten years previously. This is mainly because the pre-primary schools generally do not receive state assistance and do not have the financial resources to give a reasonable wage to teachers. The situation is further complicated by the large unemployment amongst pre-primary trained teachers in urban areas. This compels them to accept jobs on very low remuneration.
35. Lower Primary Schools: The growth of the
total expenditure on lower primary schoolsaccording to sources during the first two five-year Plans is given in Table VIII. It will be seen therefrom that the total expenditure on these schools increased from Rs. 365 million in 1950-51 to its double i.e. the figure of Rs. 734 million in 1960-61, which gives an annual rate of increase of 7.3 per cent only, as against an annual increase of 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure. The implication is obvious: the growth of primary education has not received the priority it deserves and, by and large, it has been, comparatively speaking, neglected during this period.
36. Considering the individual sources of fina nce, it may be said that here, as in pre-primary education, the Government of India plays a very minor role. In 1950-51, it contributed only Rs. 0.47
million or 0.1 per cent of the total expenditure; and even in 1960-61 its contribution did not go beyond Rs. 18 million or 2.4 per cent of the total expenditure. It is, however, significant to note that the contribution of the Central Government increased immensely during the first five-year Plan-the average annual rate of increase being 108.6 per cent-but during the second Plan, the contribution of the Central Government decreased from Rs. 18.5 million to Rs 17.9 million. This is because during the first five-year Plan the Central Government gave specificpurpose grants but during the second Plan it gave lump-sum grants which resulted in the funds being diverted to other sectors of education.

The state governments naturally bear the lion's share of the burden. In 1950-51, they contributed

TABLE VIII: EXPENDITURE ON LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.

Rs. 249 million or 68.2 per cent of the total expenditure and in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 573 million or 78.1 per cent. The average annual increase in the contribution from the state government funds was 8.7 per cent, which ranked next only to the increase in the contribution of the Government of India.

The district boards made a small contribution which stood at Rs. 57 million or 15.5 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 60 million or 8.2 per cent in 1960-61. The average annual increase in the contribution of the district boards has been negligible- 0.6 per cent-which shows the inelasticity of their revenues. The municipal boards fared better. They contributed Rs. 35 million or 9.5 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 47 million or 6.3 per cent in 1960-61, thereby showing an average annual increase of 3 per cent.

Fees, even at this stage of education, which should ordinarily be wholly free, play a fairly significant role, due mainly to the prevalence and growing popularity of private enterprise in urban areas. In 1950-51, fees contributed Rs. 8.6 million or 2.3 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 17 million or 2.4 per cent of the total expenditure, the average annual increase in the contribution from this source being as high as 7.1 per cent. The contribution of other sources was only marginal and nothing better can be expected. They contributed Rs. 16 million or 4.4 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 19 million or 2.6 per cent in 1960-61, the annual increase in the contribution from this source being only 2.0 per cent.

On the whole, it may be said that primary education is financed mainly by the state governments ( 78 per cent), the balance of the expenditure being made up mostly by the contribution of local bodies ( 14.5 per cent), fees and other sources ( 5.0 per cent) and the Central Government ( 2.4 per cent).
37. As in pre-primary education, the reasons for the increase in the total expenditure on primary schools are to be sought in (1) enrolment, (2) pupilteacher ratio, (3) average salary of teachers and (4) proportion of teacher costs to total expenditure. The following points will emerge from these statistics:
(1) The enrolment in lower primary schools has increased very slowly. The rate of increase was
4.6 per cent during the first Plan and 3.1 per cent during the second Plan. At no time has the expansion of enrolment in primary schools been greater than the expansion in total enrolment. The average annual increase during the period as a whole has been 3.8 per cent only, as against an over-all increase of 6.5 per cent in the total educational enrolment. The impression that the development of primary education has been emphasized or overemphasized in the post-independence period seems to be contradicted by these facts and figures.
(2) The number of teachers has not increased in proportion to enrolment-the rate of annual increase being only 3.3 per cent. Consequently, the pupil-teacher ratio has increased from 34 in 1950-51 to 36 in 1960-61.
(3) The increase in expenditure on lower primary education has been far larger than that in enrolment -the annual increase being 7.3 per cent. This is a happy sign and it is mainly responsible for increasing the cost per pupil from Rs. 19.9 in 1950-51 to Rs. 27.6 in 1960-61.
(4) The primary teachers were extremely low paid on the eve of the attainment of independence. Very naturally, there was a great emphasis on the improvement of their salaries. It will be seen from Table VIII that the total expenditure on teachers' salaries has increased annually at the rate of 8.3 per cent which is even higher than the rate of increase in total expenditure on primary schools (7.3 per cent). The average annual salary of a primary teacher has increased, during this period, from Rs. 544.5 in \(1950-51\) to Rs. 872.8 in 1960-61. Even if allowance is made for the increase in the cost of living, it is expected that the primary teachers did get a higher remuneration in 1960-61, in real terms, than what they received ten years previously.
(5) It will also be noticed that the proportion of teacher costs to total expenditure has consistently increased throughout the period under review. It was 80.3 per cent in \(1950-51\) and in 1960-61 it stood at 88.1 per cent. The obvious implication is that our primary schools are becoming impoverished in the amenities they provide. This shows that we do very little at present for our primary schools beyond providing a teacher. It may be pointed out that, in the advanced countries, the proportion between teacher costs and non-teacher costs is generally \(50: 50\) or at least \(60 ; 40\).
38. Higher Primary Schools: The statistics of the total expenditure on higher primary schools during the first two five-year Plans, according to sources, are given in Table IX. It will be seen therefrom that the general picture is rather different from that of lower primary schools. In 1950-51, the total expenditureon higher primary schools was Rs. 77 million and in 1960-61 it increased to Rs. 429 million, which implies an average annual increase of 18.7 per cent as against an increase of 11.7 per cent only in the totaleducational expenditure. As already indicated, part of this increase is due merely to a reclassification. In some states, schools offering teaching in classes I to VII were classified as lower primary. In 1958-59, a decision
was taken to adopt a uniform basis of classification and to regard these schools as higher primary schools. This reclassification led to a sudden and purely statistical increase in the expenditure of higher primary schools (which implies a corresponding decrease in the expenditure on the primaryschools). But even after an allowance is made for this consideration, it goes without saying that, by and large, the higher primary schools have received a fair share of expenditure and have done well during the first two five-year Plans. This is mainly due to the fact that there is a great public demand for higher primary schools in rural areas and that the tendency to stay in schools has also been continuously increasing.

TABLE IX : EXPENDITURE ON HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline (1) & (3) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline (i) Central Government funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
308 \\
(0.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,570 \\
(1.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,914 \\
(2.8)
\end{array}
\] & 38.6 & 50.0 & 44.2 \\
\hline (ii) State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
38,923 \\
(50.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
95,290 \\
(61.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
306,162 \\
(71.3)
\end{array}
\] & 19.6 & 26.3 & 23.0 \\
\hline (iii) District board funds & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8,557 \\
& (11.1)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
14,288 \\
(9.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
22,115 \\
(5.1)
\end{array}
\] & 10.8 & 9.1 & 10.0 \\
\hline (iv) Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
2,882 \\
(3.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5,626 \\
(3.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
32,036 \\
(7.5)
\end{array}
\] & 14.3 & 41.6 & 27.3 \\
\hline (v) Fees & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18,413 \\
(23.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
24,878 \\
(16.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
31,677 \\
(7.4)
\end{array}
\] & 6.2 & 5.0 & 5.6 \\
\hline (vi) Other sources & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7,907 \\
& (10.3)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,398 \\
(8.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
25,315 \\
(5.9)
\end{array}
\] & 9.4 & 15.4 & 12.3 \\
\hline Total expenditure & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 76,990 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
154,050 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
429,219 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.9 & 22.7 & 18.7 \\
\hline 2. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) & 58,342 & 120,020 & 365,066 & 15.5 & 24.9 & 20.1 \\
\hline 3. Total enrolment & 2,072,508 & 3,812,952 & 10,610,878 & 13.0 & 22.8 & 17.7 \\
\hline 4. Total number of teachers & 85,496 & 148,894 & 345,228 & 11.7 & 18.4 & 15.0 \\
\hline 5. Number of pupils per teacher & 24 & 26 & 31 & & & \\
\hline 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure & 75.8 & 77.9 & 85.1 & & & \\
\hline 7. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) & 682.4 & 808.8 & 1,057.5 & & & \\
\hline 8. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) & 37.1 & 40.4 & 40.5 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
}
39. Considering the different sources of finance, the over-all picture of expenditure on higher primary schools, though similar to that of lower primary schools, makes some significant departures from it. In both, the Government of India plays a minor role. It contributed, to the total expenditure on higher primary schools, Rs. 0.3 million or 0.4 per cent in 1950-51, and even in 1960-61 its contribution was only Rs. 12 million or 2.8 per cent of the total expenditure. The state governments again bore the lion's share of the burden; they contributd Rs. 39 million or 50.6 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 306 million or 71.3 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. The average annual increase in the contribution of the state governments is also high ( 23.0 per cent) and is much higher than that for lower primary education ( 8.7 per cent) and even pre-primary education ( 16.5 per cent). The district boards put in a much better effort for higher primary schools. They contributed Rs. 8.6 million or 11.1 per cent of the total expenditure in \(1950-51\) and Rs. 22 million or 5.1 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase in their contribution being 10 per cent. The municipal boards, which have better resources and within whose jurisdiction the desire for higher primary school education is spreading rapidly, gave a good account of themselves during this period. As against their contribution of Rs. 2.9 million or 3.7 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51, they spent Rs. 32 million or 7.5 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase in their contribution being as high as 27.3 per cent. Fees and other sources also did fairly well. Tiney contributed Rs. 26 million or 34.2 per cent of the total expenditure in \(1950-51\) and Rs. 57 million or 13.3 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being 5.6 per cent in fees and 12.3 per cent in other sources. At the higher primary school stage, the proportion of feecharging schools is still very large in some states and private enterprise often plays a more imporiant role at this stage than at the lower primary stage. The contribution of fees and other sources is, therefore, much more significant at the higher primary school stage than at the lower primary school stage. As compulsory education gets extended to the age of 14 , more and more free schools will have to be provided, and when that happens, the contribution
of fees and other sources is likely to diminish at this stage also.
40. Now, we shall turn to the discussion of the various factors which impinge upon the total expenditure in higher primary schools such as enrolment, pupil-teacher ratio, average salary of teachers and proportion of teacher costs to total expenditure. The following interesting points emerge from these statistics:
(i) The enrolment in higher primary schools has increased very rapidly-at 17.7 per cent as against 6.5 per cent for the total educational enrolment. This shows how keen the demand is for the establishment of higher primary schools, especially in rural areas. It also shows that the extent of wastage at this stage is diminishing largely.
(ii) The number of teachers in these schools has not increased in proportion to the enrolmentthe annual increase in this case being only 15 per cent. Consequently, the pupil-teacher ratio has increased from 24 in 1950-51 to 31 in 1960-61. Also, the expenditure on higher primary schools has increased almost at the same rate as the total enrolment (17.7 per cent). The cost per pupil, therefore, has varied only slightly during this periodfrom Rs. 37.1 in \(1950-51\) to Rs. 40.5 in 1960-61.
(iii) The average annual salary of a higher primary school teacher has gone up considerablyfrom Rs. 682.4 in 1950-51 to Rs. 1,057.3 in 1960-61. Even allowing for the rise in the cost of living, it appears that the higher primary school teachers were getting, in real terms, better remuneration in 1960-61 than what they got ten years previously. In spite of this improvement, however, the over-all salaries of higher primary school teachers (and this is even more true of lower primary teachers) were still very low.
(iv) As in the case of lower primay schools, the percentage of teacher costs to total expenditure has gone up-from 75.8 per cent in 1950-51 to 85.1 per cent in 1960-61. The implication is precisely the same, that is, we are doing little more than providing a teacher in the higher primary schools and that the physical amenities provided in them are much less today than in the past. For instance, the non-teacher cost per teacher-unit was Rs. 218.1 in \(1950-51\) and only Rs. 185.8 in 1960-61. If we take into account the increase in
prices, the non-teacher expenditure per pupil in 1960-61 would be even less.
41. Secondary Schools (including Post-Basic Schools): The statistics of the total expenditure incurred on secondary schools are given in Table X. It will be seen that, by and large, schools for secondary education (general) have been neglected during this period. The total expenditure on them was Rs. 230 million in 1950-51 and it increased to Rs. 689 million in 1960-61, thus showing an average annual increase of 11.6 per cent which is equal to the rate of increase in the total educational expenditure.
42. The Central Government funds play a very
minor role in this sector. They contributed only Rs. 2.2 million or 1.0 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-5l and only Rs. 6.4 million or 0.9 per cent of the expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase in their contribution being only 11.1 per cent as compared to 11.7 per cent from all the sources. The state governments bear, very naturally, the highest share of the burden; but they cannot be said to have contributed as much for the maintenance of secondary schools as for other sectors of education. For instance, they contributed only Rs. 82 million or 35.5 per cent of the total educational expenditure in 1950-51. This is broadly in keeping with the policy under the British rule in accordance

TABLE X: EXPENDITURE ON SECONDARY SCHOOLS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline (i) Central Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,229 \\
(1.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3,428 \\
(0.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,412 \\
(0.9)
\end{array}
\] & 9.0 & 13.3 & 11.1 \\
\hline (ii) State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
81,784 \\
(35.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
146,539 \\
(39.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
324,620 \\
(47.1)
\end{array}
\] & 12.4 & 17.2 & 14.8 \\
\hline (iii) District board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,376 \\
(1.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10,643 \\
(2.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
22,075 \\
(3.2)
\end{array}
\] & 26.0 & 15.7 & 20.7 \\
\hline (iv) Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,192 \\
(1.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5,136 \\
(1.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10,032 \\
(1.5)
\end{array}
\] & 10.0 & 14.3 & 12.1 \\
\hline (v) Fees & \[
\begin{array}{r}
116,118 \\
(50.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
175,614 \\
(46.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
270,394 \\
(39.2)
\end{array}
\] & 8.6 & 9.0 & 8.8 \\
\hline (vi) Other sources & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23,751 \\
(10.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
34,785 \\
(9.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
55,585 \\
(8.1)
\end{array}
\] & 7.9 & 9.8 & 8.9 \\
\hline Total expenditure & \[
\begin{array}{r}
230,450 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
376,145 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
689,118 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.3 & 12.9 & 11.6 \\
\hline 2. Expenditure on salaries of teachers & 159,179 & 270,760 & 498,087 & 11.2 & 13.0 & 12.1 \\
\hline 3. Total enrolment & 3,159,501 & 4,713,557 & 7,511,514 & 8.4 & 9.8 & 9.0 \\
\hline 4. Total number of teachers & 126,504 & 189,794 & 296,305 & 8.5 & 9.3 & 8.9 \\
\hline 5. Number of pupils per teacher & 25 & 25 & 25 & & & \\
\hline 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure & 69.1 & 72.0 & 72.3 & & & \\
\hline 7. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) & 1,258.3 & 1,426.6 & 1,681.0 & & & \\
\hline 8. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) & 72.9 & 79.8 & 91.7 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
}
with which the Government bore only one-third of the total expenditure on secondary education. There has been a change in this policy during the first two five-year Plans and the Government of India is now assuming a larger share in the expenditure. But even in 1960-61, the state governments contributed only Rs. 325 million or 47.1 per cent of the total expenditure, the average annual increase in their contribution being 14.8 per cent.

The best performance in this sector is that of the district boards. Prior to independence, the general idea was that the district boards have an almost exclusive responsibility to provide elementary education and that they should not incur any expenditure on secondary education. This view was gradually given up when secondary education began to spread to rural areas and the demand for it came to be put forward very strongly by the village people themselves. Consequently, district boards have been permitted to conduct secondary schools and in several areas, particularly in Madras, they are conducting large number of secondary schools. Consequently, the contribution from this source increased fast during this period. In 1950-51, they contributed only Rs. 3.4 million or 1.5 per cent of the total expenditure. In 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 22 million or 3.2 per cent of the total expenditure-the average annual increase being as high as 20.7 per cent. The municipal boards rank next in effort. Their contribution increased from Rs. 3.2 million or 1.4 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 to Rs. 10 million or 1.5 per cent of the expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being 12.1 per cent.

The contribution of fees as a source of educational finance is diminishing very considerably, partly because children from the weaker and poorer sections of the community are now coming to the secondary schools and partly because of a deliberate policy adopted by the state governments to make secondary education as much free (or as less costly) as possible. In 1950-51, the income from fees contributed Rs. 116 million or 50.4 per cent of the total expenditure; in 1960-61 it was only Rs. 270 million or 39.2 per cent of the total expenditure, the average annual increase being only 8.8 per cent.

The role of the last source-endowments and other sources-has been similar, though even less significant. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 23.8
million or 10.2 per cent of the total expenditure; but in 1960-61 their contribution stood at Rs. 55.6 million or 8.1 per cent of the expenditure, the average annual increase being 8.9 per cent. On the whole, two things become clear: (1) the secondary schools of general education have not received the financial support they deserve or in proportion to their expansion; and (2) since the significance of fees and endowments is diminishing rapidly as a source of their financial support, larger burdens are being assumed in their maintenance by the state governments and the local bodies.
43. It may be interesting to analyse the increase in the total expenditure on secondary schools in terms of other relevant factors such as enrolment, pupil-teacher ratio, average annual salary per teacher and proportion of teacher, costs to total expenditure. The following points emerge from a study of these statistics:
(1) The total enrolment in secondary schools has increased veryfast-the average annual increase being 9 per cent, as against 6.5 per cent for total educational enrolment.
(2) The increase in the number of teachers is almost in the same proportion as that in enrolment i.e. 8.9 per cent. That is why the average pupilteacher ratio has remained fairly constant throughout this period.
(3) The rate of increase in expenditure is higher than that in enrolment and stands at 11.6 per cent.
(4) The increase in the total expenditure on teachers' salaries is slightly greater and stands at 12.1 per cent.
(5) The average annual salary of teachers increased from Rs. \(1,258.3\) in 1950-51 to Rs. 1,681 in 1960-61. If the increase in the cost of living is taken into consideration, the average salary of a teacher in 1960-61 may perhaps still be slightly better than that in 1950-51. It is, however, obvious that the increase in salary at this stage is much less as compared to that at the lower primary or higher primary school stages. It will be seen later that it is also less in comparison with the increase in salaries at the university stage. By and large, therefore, an average secondary teacher has not received a fair deal in the post-independence period.
(6) The percentage of teacher costs to total expenditure was 69.1 in \(1950-51\) and it rose to 72.3
in 1960-61, which shows that under financial pressures the provision of amenities in secondary schools is suffering to some extent. This proportion between teacher and non-teacher costs ought to be about \(50: 50\) or at the most \(60: 40\).
(7) The cost per pupilshows a small increasefrom Rs. 72.9 in 1950-51 to Rs. 91.7 in 1960-61. Since the pupil-teacher ratio has remained fairly constant, this may be said to be due almost entirely to the increase in the salaries of teachers.
44. Teacher Training Schools: We shall now turn to a consideration of the total expenditure on teacher training schools, i.e. schools meant for the training of teachers for elementary schools. At the beginning of the first five-year Plan, the position regarding the training of teachers was not very happy, the provision of facilities was inadequate, the percentage of trained teachers was low, and the quality of the programme left a good deal to be desired. It was, therefore, necessary, in the interest of improving the standard of education, to concentrate on thedevelopment of teacher training schools. Unfortunately, this was not done with the result that the growth of expenditure on teacher training schools does not present, by and large, a happy picture.

Detailed statistics on this subject will be found in Table XI.

It will be seen from this table that the total expenditure on teacher training schools, which stood only at Rs. 15 million in 1950-51, increased to only Rs. 34.8 million in \(1960-61\) showing an average annual increase of 8.6 per cent, as against an increase of 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure. There has, however, been a significant increase in the expenditure during the second Plan. This was due to substantial grants by the Government of India to the state governments on a hundred per cent basis for the expansion of teacher training facilities.
45. Going by sources, it will be seen that the Central Government played a very minor role in the beginning. In 1950-51, it had hardly any programme in teacher training. During the first five-year Plan, a Centrally sponsored sector was started for assis-
ting the development of teacher education; but even in 1955-56, the Central Government contributed only 0.1 million or 0.7 per cent of the total expenditure. By 1960-61, a number of new teacher training institutions were started in almost all the states with the help of Central assistance. Consequently, the expenditure of the Central Government on thisprogramme increased to Rs. 1.8 million or 5.1 percent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. The programme was discontinued in the third five-year Plan so that the role of the Centre has again become a minor one. The state governments have assumed almost total responsibility for teacher training from the very early days. The Indian Education Commission of 1882 recommended that the training of teachers should be the responsibility of the state governments and this position continues to this day. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 12.9 million or 84.5 per cent of the total expenditure, which in 1960-61, increased to Rs. 29.7 million or 85.3 per cent of the total expenditure. This gives an average annual increase of 8.7 per cent.

The district boards, which were doing something for the cause at the beginning of the planning in 1951 have now almost completely absolved themselves of this responsibility. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 0.2 million or 1.3 per cent of the total expenditure. Since then, their expenditure has come down to Rs. 12,000 only, thus showing an annual decrease of 24.3 per cent. The contribution of municipal boards, on the other hand, has slightly increased in absolute terms, though not in proportion. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 75,000 or 0.5 per cent of the expenditure, but in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 98,000 or 0.3 per cent of the expenditure, the annual increase in the expenditure being only 2.7 per cent.

Fees in teacher training schools cannot be expected to play any significant role. In fact, it is a surprise that they exist at all. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 0.7 million or 4.7 per cent of the expenditure, but in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 1.9 million or 5.3 per cent. This gives the average annual increase of 10 per cent. It should be noted, however, that the fees of several teachers under training are reimbursed by the state governments so that a very large part of this expenditure is indirectly borne from the state revenues.

TABLE XI
EXPENDITURE ON TEACHER TRAINING SCHOOLS (1950-51 TO 1968-69)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.

The situation with regard to endowments and other sources is also similar. Their contribution was Rs. 1.4 million both in 1950-51 and 1960-61 which constituted 9 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and 4 per cent in 1960-61. There are a few private training schools in some states, but the trend now is for the state governments to assume this responsibility direct. Consequently, the financial burden of this sector is now being assumed almost wholly by the state governments.
46. If we look at this financial picture in a broader context, the following points emerge:
(1) The enrolment in teacher training schools shows only a small increase from 69,416 in 1950-51 to 110,502 in 1960-61 which gives an average annual rate of increase of 4.8 per cent only.
(2) The number of teacher-educators has increased at a slightly higher rate- 6.0 per cent per year. Consequently, the pupil-teacher ratio in the training schools has improved slightly-from 14 in 1950-51 to 13 in 1960-61.
(3) The average annual salary of teacher-educators worsened during this period. It was Rs.

1,930 in 1950-51 and it went down to Rs. 1,593.2 in 1955-56, thus bearing witness to the general neglect of teacher education in the first five-year Plan.Things improved somewhat in the second five-year Plan and the average annual salary rose to Rs. \(2,000.6\) in 1960-61 which is almost the same as in 1950-51. If allowance is made for the increase in the cost of living, the teacher-educators may be said to have been getting, in 1960-61, much less emoluments in real terms, than what they did in 1950-51. This is another important factor which has a bearing upon the quality of our teacher training programmes.
(4) The percentage of teacher costs to total educational expenditure on these institutions has fallen during this period - it was 60.8 in 1950-51
and only 49.3 in 1960-61. This is a trend in the right direction and it implies that more attention is being paid to the physical amenities in training institutions. But unfortunately, as was pointed out earlier, this improvement has taken place at the cost of the teacher-educators.
(5) The cost per pupil shows an increasefrom Rs. \(219.4 \mathrm{~m} 1950-51\) to Rs. 315 in 1960-61. This is mainly due to the provision of better physical amenities and stipends and thus indicates some improvement in the quality of the programme.
47. Vocational and Technical Schools: The growth of educational expenditure in these institutions has been given in Table XII. It will be seen

TABLE XII: EXPENDITURE ON VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION
(Excluding Teacher Training Schools) (1950-51 TO 1960-61)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
from that table that this group of institutions, on the whole, has received considerable attention and has done much better than any other category of institutions at the second level. This is mainly due to the establishment of industrial training institutes (I.T.I.'s) for the vocational and technical training of labour under the Ministry of Labour and Employment, functioning in cooperation with the state governments, and to the increasing emphasis that has been placed, in the first two five-year Plans, on the development of engineering and medical schools of all types. In 1950-51, the total expenditure on these institutions was only Rs. 22 million. In 196061 , it rose to Rs. 79 million, the average annual increase being as high as 13.8 per cent.
48. The Government of India plays an important role in this sector, particularly in the financing of industrial training institutes. We, therefore, find that its contribution which stood at Rs. 1.7 million or 7.9 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51, increased to Rs. 9.2 million or 11.7 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being as high as 18.3 per cent (which is higher than that in any other source of finance). The major part of the financial responsibility was, however, borne by the state governments. In 1950-51 they contributed Rs. 13 million or 60.9 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 49.8 million or 62.9 per cent of the total expenditure, showing an annual increase of 14.2 per cent.

The local bodies-district boards and munici-palities-did very little in this sector. Their total contribution was only Rs. 0.6 million or 2.8 per cent in 1950-51 and it remained almost steady at Rs. 0.6 million in \(1960-61\). Fees played a fairly significant part in this sector and especially in some types of institutions like schools for commerce. In 1950-51, the total amount of fees raised was Rs. 3.6 million or 16.7 per cent of the total expenditure. This increased to Rs. 13.6 million or 17.2 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 14.2 per cent.
49. Income from endowments and other sources in this category of institutions, as in most other sectors, reported a declining trend. These sources contributed Rs. 2.5 million or 11.7 per cent of the
total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 6 million or 7.6 per cent of the expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being only 9 per cent. It is clear, therefore, that vocational schools -and particularly some types of vocational schools like the I.T.I.'s are coming into prominence and receiving considerable attention at present. This is a trend in the right direction, although one would wish that the increase could be even faster.
50. The following are some of the other significant developments that have taken place in this sector during the decennium under report:
(1) The enrolment in professional and vocational schools shows a slightly higher rate of increase- 9.5 per cent per year-than that in general schools of secondary education.
(2) The rate of increase in the number of teachers has been more than that in the number of students ( 10.6 per cent). Consequently, the pupilteacher ratio has decreased from 17 in 1950-51 to 16 in 1960-61.
(3) The average annual salary of a teacher in this category of institutions has risen considerably. It stood at Rs. \(1,546.2\) in \(1950-51\) and rose to Rs. \(2,059.6\) in 1960-61. The increase is mainly due to the establishment of the I.T.I.'s where teachers have to be paid better scales of pay.
(4) It will also be seen that the proportion of the teacher costs to total expenditure has remained fairly constant throughout the period and has varied only between 46 and 48 per cent. This is as it should be.
(5) The cost per pupil shows an increase of about 50 per cent-from Rs. 184.4 in 1950-51 to Rs. 272.7 in 1960-61.
51. Schools for Special Education: The statistics of the total expenditure on schools for special education, classified according to sources, during the period of the first two five-year Plans, have been given in Table XIII. It will be seen therefrom that this is, by and large, a neglected sector and has received insufficient attention. The total expenditure on these institutions was Rs. 23 million in 1950-51 and it rose only to Rs. 32 million in 1960-61, thus showing an annual increase of 3.2 per cent

TABLE XIII
EXPENDITURE ON SCHOOLS FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION (1950-51 TO 1960-61)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
only as against an increase of 11.7 per cent in the total educational expenditure. Most of this increase has occurred in the funds of the Central Government, due largely to the fact that the Government of India has adopted a liberal policy in the development of education for the handicapped children. In 195051 , the Central Government spent only a small sum of Rs. 104,000 or 0.5 per cent of the total expenditure on these institutions; but in 1960-61 this expenditure rose to Rs. 1 million or 3.4 per cent of the total expenditure-thus showing an average annual increase of 26.5 per cent. The state governments bore a large portion of the burden no doubt-they contributed Rs. 13.9 million or 59.4 per cent of the total expenditure in \(1950-51\) and Rs. 20.7 million or 64.7 per cent in \(1960-61\)-but the increase in their contribution to this section has been very small—only 4.1 per cent per year. In fact, all other
sources have done better.

The municipalities contributed Rs. 300,000 or 1.3 per cent in \(1950-51\) and Rs. 534,000 or 1.7 per cent in 1960-61, showing an annual increase of 5.9 per cent. The district boards contributed Rs. 122,000 or 0.5 per cent in \(1950-51\) and Rs. 383,000 or 1.2 per cent in \(1960-61\), showing an annual increase of 12.1 per cent, and even the contribution of fees increased from Rs. 568,000 or 2.4 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 to Rs. 1.4 million or 4.3 per cent of the expenditure in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 9.2 per cent. The receipts from endowments and other sources actually show a fall-which is not a very happy sign-from Rs. 8.4 million or 35.9 per cent of the total expenditure in \(1950-51\) to Rs. 7.9 million or 24.7 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. It is
evident that this group of institutions is still very largely dependent on public charity. The sources of this charity seems to be drying up and probably the only way to meet the situation would be for the state governments to come forward boldly to share a larger burden than in the past.
52. Universities: We shall now turn to the discussion of the total educational expenditure on the different categories of institutions imparting higher education. The universities, both Central and State, form the first important category and the expenditure thereon classified, according to sources,
is given in Table XIV. It will be seen therefrom that the total expenditure on universities stood at Rs. 49 million in 1950-51 and that it increased to Rs. 141 million in 1960-61, thus showing an average increase of 11.2 per cent per year which is slightly less than the average annual increase of 11.7 per cent in total educational expenditure. The implication is that although the institutions for higher education have received priority in the post-independence period, the universities have received less than their due share of the increase in educational expenditure. But still the demand to establish new universities is very strong and a number of

TABLE XIV: EXPENDITURE ON UNIVERSITIES (1950-51 TO 1960-61)


\footnotetext{
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
}
new institutions are coming into existence almost every year. There is thus a steady increase in the expenditure on universities.
53. An analysis of the total expenditure on universities according to sources show some interesting points. For instance, the Central Government plays a very important role in this sector. In 1950-51, it contributed Rs. 5 million or 10.4 per cent of the total expenditure, but in 1960-61, its contribution rose to Rs. 26 million or 18.2 per cent of the total expenditure, thus showing an average annual increase of 17.6 per cent which is higher than that in any other source. This large share of the financial burden borne by the Central Government is due to two reasons: (1) a large number of Central universities and institutes maintained by the Government of India, and (2) the developmental grants which are now being given to other universities also through the University Grants Commission. The state governments bore, as compared to other important types of institutions, a smaller burden of the total cost of the universities. They contributed Rs. 15 million or 30.3 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 39.5 million or 28.0 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase in their contribution being 10.3 per cent.

The contribution of the district and municipal boards was extremely small and negligible. But fees played a very significant role. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 17 million or 35.3 per cent of the total expenditure; and in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 53 million or 37.4 per cent of the total expenditure, the average annual increase being 11.8 per cent. It must be remembered here that most of the universities are affiliating universities and that they receive large revenues by way of examination fees. It is this factor, raher than any other, which gives such a significant role to fees in the financing of universities.

Endowments and other sources also play a very important role. A university has now become a status institution and there is a general desire to contribute funds for their establishment. Whenever new universities are established, a certain amount of popular contribution generally comes forward.

In 1950-51, the total revenue from this source was Rs. 12 million or 24.0 per cent of the total expenditure. In 1960-61, it almost doubled and increased to Rs. 23 million or 16.4 per cent of the total expenditure.
54. We shall now study the growth of the total expenditure on universities against the background of other important developments particularly in regard to enrolment, pupil-teacher ratio, average annual salaries of teachers, and proportion of teacher costs to total expenditure.
(1) The total enrolment in universities has increased at an average rate of 8.9 per cent per year, as against 6.5 per cent for the total educational enrolment. This indicates the intensity of demand for higher education and the consequential development of the universities in the post-independence period.
(2) The number of teachers has not increased at the same rate as enrolment-the average annual increase in teachers being only 6.1 per cent. Consequently, the number of pupils per teacher has increased from 10 in 1950-51 to 13 in 1960-61.
(4) There has been considerable improvement in the average annual salary of a university teacherit has increased from Rs. 3,758.4 per annum to Rs. \(5,474.7\) per annum. Even if allowance is made for the increase in the cost of living, it appears that there will be some increase in the salaries of university teachers in real terms. It is mainly due to the revised scales of pay introduced by the University Grants Commission.
(4) On account of the large share which the examination fees have in the revenue of the universities, the proportion of the expenditure on salaries of teachers to the total expenditure is very low-it was 23.6 per cent in 1950-51 and it dropped to 21.6 per cent in \(1960-61\). This shows how the major activity in many universities is still the holding of examinations and not teaching or research.
55. The cost per pupil in the universities has been very high-it has increased from Rs. 1,570.6 in 1950-51 to Rs. \(1,926.8\) in 1960-61. This is, however, an exaggerated figure. The total expenditure
of the universities contains, as already stated, a large slice spent on examinations, which, according to the available statistics, cannot be separated so that the exependiture incurred on teaching alone cannot be ascertained. In order, therefore, to find out the cost per student in the universities, the problem should be studied separately.
56. Research Institutions: The financial data about research institutions are given in Table XV. It will be seen therefrom that the total expenditure on research institutions was only Rs. 6.3 million in 1950-51 and that it increased to Rs. 27 million in 1960-61-showing an annual rate of increase of 15.8 per cent.
57. Of all sources, we find that the Central Government spent the Jargest proportion of expenditure on these institutions. In 1950-51, it contributed 5.4 million or 86 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61, Rs. 22.6 million or 83.6 per cent of the expenditure. The other important sources of revenues are only two-the state governments which contributed Rs. 0.3 million or 4.6 per cent of the expenditure in 1950-51, and Rs. 1.9 million or 7.2 per cent of the expenditure in 1960-61; and endowments and other sources which contributed Rs. 0.5 million or 8.5 per cent in \(1950-51\), and Rs. 1.8 million or 6.8 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. Fees accounted for only a very small part of the expenditure ( 0.9 per cent in 1950-51

TABLE XV
EXPENDITURE ON RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)


\footnotetext{
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
}
and 1.4 per cent in 1960-61). It may, therefore, be said that in financial terms, this programme by and large is a responsibility of the Centre.
58. Other significant points that emerge from the data in Table XV are as follows:
(1) The total number of research students in these institutions rose from 634 in 1950-51 to 2,952 in 1960-61, thus showing an average annual increase of 16.6 per cent.
(2) The number of teachers (these comprise those members of the staff who guide research or conduct teaching in research institutions) did not increase in proportion to students-its annual rate of increase being only 9.4 per cent. The number of students per teacher thus increased from 3 in 1950-51 to 5 in 1960-61.
(3) The cost per pupil is very high. But this is not a dependable figure. It is not possible, under the present system of statistics, to separate the expendi-
ture incurred on the teaching programmes as apart from the research programmes of these institutions.
(4) The percentage of expenditure on the salaries of teachers to the total expenditure of these institutions is very low-it was 39.3 per cent in 1950-51 and it declined to 20.6 per cent in 1960-61. This is due to the fact that teaching is only a small part of the total activity of these institutions which concentrate on research.
(5) The average annual salary of teachers was Rs. \(9,792.8\) in 1950-51 and Rs. \(9,027.6\) in 1960-61. In so far as educational institutions are concerned, the staff of the research institutions is the best paid.
59. Boards of Secondary/Intermediate Education: The statistics of expenditure on boards of secondary/intermediate education in India, classified according to sources, is given in Table XVI. It will be seen therefrom that the total expenditure on these boards has increased from Rs. 5.3 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 24.1 million in 1960-61, showing an

TABLE XVI
EXPENDITURE ON BOARDS OF SECONDARY/INTERMEDIATE EDUCATION (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(1)} & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline (i) & Central Government funds & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-
\] & \[
(-)
\] & - & - & \\
\hline (ii) & State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
233 \\
(43)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
126 \\
(1.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
194 \\
(0.8)
\end{array}
\] & - & 9.0 & Decrease \\
\hline (iii) & District board funds & \[
(\square)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] \\
\hline (iv) & Municipal board funds & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & - & - & - \\
\hline (v) & Fees & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5,096 \\
(95.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,805 \\
(96.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23,342 \\
(96.7)
\end{array}
\] & 20.2 & 12.8 & 16.5 \\
\hline (vi) & Other sources & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
309 \\
(2.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
597 \\
(2.5)
\end{array}
\] & 102.8 & 14.1 & 52.2 \\
\hline & Total expenditure & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,338 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13,240 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24,133 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 20.0 & 12.8 & 16.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
annual rate of increase of 16.3 per cent. It will be seen that all these boards generally maintain themselves on examination fees and have hardly any other source of revenue, except small amounts by way of endowments. It is not possible, in view of the inadequate data available, to study the expenditure on these boards in detail.
60. Colleges for Arts and Science: The expenditure incurred, during the first two five-year Plans, on colleges of arts and science is given in Table XVII.

It will be seen therefrom that the total expenditure on arts and science colleges which stood at Rs. 72 million in 1950-51 has increased to Rs. 209 million in 1960-61, showing an annual increase of 11.3 per cent as against an increase of 11.7 per cent for total educational expenditure at all levels. It will thus b: seen that, by and large, the colleges of arts and and acience are institutions comparatively less cared for at higher education level. The increase in expenditure in the second five-year Plan has been larger than that in the first.

TABLE XVII
EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR ARTS AND SCIENCE (1951-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's)} \\
\hline (i) Central Government funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
1,106 \\
(1.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,834 \\
(1.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10,687 \\
(5.1)
\end{array}
\] & 10.7 & 42.2 & 25.4 \\
\hline (ii) State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,366 \\
(36.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
38,102 \\
(32.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
71,371 \\
(34.1)
\end{array}
\] & 7.6 & 13.4 & 10.5 \\
\hline (iii) District board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
37 \\
(0.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
24 \\
(0.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
78 \\
(0.0)
\end{array}
\] & - & 27.1 & 8.0 \\
\hline (iv) Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
140 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
100 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
138 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & - & 6.7 & - \\
\hline (v) Fees & \[
\begin{array}{r}
36,640 \\
(51.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
63,511 \\
(54.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
101,384 \\
(48.5)
\end{array}
\] & 11.6 & 9.8 & 10.7 \\
\hline (vi) Other sources & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7,425 \\
& (10.4)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,903 \\
(11.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
25,495 \\
(12.2)
\end{array}
\] & 11.7 & 14.6 & 13.1 \\
\hline Total expenditure & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 71,714 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
116,474 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
209,153 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.2 & 12.4 & 11.3 \\
\hline 2. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) & 41,283 & 73,101 & 130,091 & 12.1 & 12.2 & 12.2 \\
\hline 3. Total enrolment & 310,123 & 522,530 & 691,632 & 11.0 & 5.8 & 8.4 \\
\hline 4. Total number of teachers & 15,312 & 23,812 & 35,555 & 9.3 & 8.4 & 8.8 \\
\hline 4. Number of pupils per teacher & 20 & 22 & 19 & & & \\
\hline 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure & 57.6 & 62.8 & 62.2 & & & \\
\hline 7. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) & 2,696.1 & 3,069.9 & 3,658.9 & & & \\
\hline 8. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) & 231.2 & 222.9 & 302.4 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
61. According to sources, we find that the Government of India plays a very minor role in this field. In 1950-51, it contributed Rs. 1.1 million or 1.5 per cent of the total expenditure and this contribution rose to Rs. 10.7 million or 5.1 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. The annual increase in the Central contribution is, however, very large- 25.4 per cent-which is the highest rise among all the sources of finance in this category of institutions. The state governments bear about a third of the total expenditure. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 26.4 million or 36.8 per cent of the total expenditure; and in 1960-61 their contribution rose to Rs. 71.4 million or 34.1 per cent of the total expenditure, thus showing an average annual increase of 10.5 per cent.

The district boards and the municipalities incur only a very small expenditure (mostly on the provision of scholarships or stipends) which may be ignored. Fees form the main support of the colleges of arts and science. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 36.6 million or 51.1 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61 Rs. 101.4 million or 48.5 per cent of the total expenditure, thereby showing an average annual increase of 10.7 per cent. As a large number of colleges are conducted by private enterprise, endowments and other sources also play a significant role. Their contribution stood at Rs. 7.4 million or 10.4 per cent in 1950-51 and rose to Rs. 25.5 million or 12.2 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being as high as 13.1 per cent. The increase in the revenues of this source shows that colleges are now becoming prestige institutions and a good deal of financial support is coming forward for their establishment. At one time, even primary schools were scarce in rural areas and a good deal of support came forth for the establishment of primary schools. Later on, the primary schools became general and stopped eliciting public support and the demand was transferred to secondary schools which were still scarce. As secondary schools began to be more general, the public demand and support has now been transferred to the next higher stage-the colleges of arts and science and universities.
62. The following are some of the other points that emerge from Table XVII:
(1) The enrolment in colleges of arts and science
has increased fairly rapidly, the average annual increase being 8.4 per cent as compared to 6.5 per cent for total educational enrolment. It will be noticed that, by and large, there was large expansion in the first five-year Plan, while the rate of expansion dropped during the second five-year Plan. It is not possible to explain this fall; but it may be incidentally observed that the rate of expansion has gone up again in the third five-year Plan.
(2) The number of teachers has kept pace with the growth of enrolment and has been rising steadily at the annual rate of 8.8 per cent. Consequently, the pupil-teacher ratio has remained fairly constant and has decreased from 20 to 19.
(3) The average salary of the college teachers has increased from Rs. \(2,696.1\) in 1950-51 to Rs. 3,658.9 in 1960-61. Even after allowing for the increase in the cost of living, there will still be some gain, in real terms, in the remuneration of college teachers during this period. It is obvious that this increase is less than what has taken place in the case of university teachers. This is mainly due to the fact that the revised scales of pay introduced by the UGC have not, by and large, been adopted, at the collegiate stage.
(4) The proportion of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure has remained fairly steady during this period and has varied only from 57.6 per cent in 1950-51 to 62.2 per cent in 1960-61. If allowance is made for the rise in prices, this will imply that our expenditure on the amenities in colleges has not improved during this period. This is not a satisfactory position.
(5) The cost per pupil has shown an improve-ment-from Rs. 231.2 in 1950-51 to Rs. 302.4 in 1960-61. But this is still low, and for improvement in quality, there is a great need for increase in the non-teacher costs.
63. Colleges for Teacher Training: The total expenditure incurred on col eges for teacher training which train teachers mainly for secondary schools is given in Table XVIII. It will be seen from this table that the total expenditure on training colleges rose from Rs. 3.5 million in \(1950-51\) to Rs. 21.5 million in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 19.8 per cent, which is very high. It

TABLE XVIII
EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR TEACHER TRAINING (1950-51 TO 1960-61)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages. shows that the training of secondary teachers has received considerable emphasis in the post-independence period.
64. As regards the sources of this expenditure, we find that the Central Government plays a minor role. In 1950-51, it contributed Rs. 0.1 million or 3.8 per cent of the total expenditure and its contribution increased to Rs. 1.1 million or 5.1 per cent, in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 23.2 per cent. As may be anticipated, the state governments provide the largest proportion of the financial support to these institutions. This position is analogous to that of the training institutions for elementary school teachers. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 2.8 million or 78.1 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61 their contribution
stood at Rs. 15.7 million or 72.8 per cent of the total expenditure, the average annual increase being 18.9 per cent. The district boards and municipalities contributed nothing. Fees, which should not exist in these institutions at all, played a significant role. They contributed Rs. 0.3 million or 8.8 per cent of the total expenditure in \(1950-51\) and Rs. 2.8 million or 12.8 per cent in 1960-61, thus showing as high a rate of annual increase as 24.4 per cent during the entire period. Since a large number of institutions are conducted by private enterprise, endowments and other sources also made a significant contribution. They provided Rs. 0.3 million or 9.3 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51, and Rs. 2 million or 9.3 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, thereby showing an average annual increase of 19.8 per cent.
65. Some further important statistics about training colleges for secondary teachers are given below:
(1) The enrolment in these institutions shows a very high rate of growth, 29.1 per cent per year, as compared to 6.5 per cent for total educational enrolment. Against this rate of increase in enrolment the rate of increase in expenditure is only 19.8 per cent, thus showing that this sector has not received the proportionate increase in finance. This has led to the cost per pupil to decline from Rs. 899.5 in \(1950-51\) to Rs. 424.0 in \(1960-61\). This is rather a disturbing feature, because most of this decrease is in non-teacher costs, which is not a happy situation.
(2) The proportion of teacher costs to total expenditure has also remained fairly constant and decreased from 55.6 per cent in 1950-51 to 55.5 per cent in 1960-61.
66. Colleges for Professional Education (excluding Teacher Training Colleges): The total expenditure on colleges for professional education, excluding those for teacher training is given in Table XIX. It will be seen from this table that the total expenditure which stood at Rs. 38.6 million in 1950-51 increased to Rs. 136.5 million in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 13.5 per cent. By and large the increase during the second five-year Plan has been much larger than that in the first Plan. This was due mainly to the greater emphasis that

TABLE XIX

\section*{EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION EXCLUDING TEACHER TRAINING}
(1950-51 TO 1960-61)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
came to be placed, on technical education during the first Plan.
67. As regards sources of expenditure, we find that the Central Government played a much more important role here than in the colleges of arts and science. In 1950-51, it contributed Rs. 7.9 million or 20.5 per cent of the expenditure, while in 196061 its share increased to Rs. 30.3 million or 22.2 per cent of the total expenditure, thereby showing an average annual increase of 14.3 per cent. The state governments met about half of the total expenditure on these institutions. They provided Rs. 19.2 million or 49.8 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 64.7 million or 47.4 per cent in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 12.9 per cent. The local boards contributed almost nothing. The municipal boards did make a small contribution which stood at 0.7 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and 1.1 per cent in 1960-61. Fees contributed about a fifth of the total expenditure and played a lessimportant role than incolleges of arts and science. They provided Rs. 8.4 million or 21.8 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 30.3 million or 22.2 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being 13.6 per cent. A large number of these institutions are conducted by government, but there are a few private institutions also. Consequently, endowments and other sources played a minor but significant role. They contributed Rs. 2.8 million or 7.2 per cent of the expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 9.7 million or 7.1 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being 13.5 per cent.
68. The following are some of the other important points about these colleges:
(1) The enrolment in these institutions has increased at an annual rate of 11.1 per cent, as against 6.5 per cent for total educational enrolment.
(2) The proportion of teachers' salaries to total cost is lower in these institutions than that in colleges of arts and science for obvious reasons. During the period under review, it varied from 45.0 per cent in 1950-51 to 46.8 per cent in 1960-61.
(3) The cost per pupil also shows a slight in-crease- from Rs. 769.7 in 1950-51 to Rs. 951.0 in
in 1960-61. It will be noticed that the cost in these institutions is far higher than that in the colleges of arts and science.
69. Colleges for Special Education: The total expenditure incurred on colleges for special education during the first two five-year Plans, is given in Table XX. It will be seen therefrom that the expenditure on these institutions, which stood at Rs. 2.2 million in 1950-51 rose to Rs. 9.1 million in 1960-61, showing an annual increase of 15.2 per cent.
70. According to sources, we find that the district boards and municipalities have hardly any role to play. The Central Government contributed Rs. 0.1 million or 5.7 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 which increased to Rs. 1.4 million or 15.1 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. The state governments provide the largest financial support to these institutions. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 1.0 million or 43.2 per cent of the total expenditure and it increased to Rs. 4.5 million or 19.8 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. Fees accounted for Rs. 0.3 million or 11.9 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51, and Rs. 1.4 million or 15.9 per cent in 1960-61. The contribution of endowments and other sources has also been very significant. Their contribution in 1950-51 was Rs. 0.8 million or 37.9 per cent of the total expenditure which rose to Rs. 1.7 million or 18.8 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61.
71. Indirect Expenditure: The foregoing review completes our examination of the direct expenditure on education by objects and sources. We shall now turn to an examination of the indirect expenditure. In so far as the total indirect expenditure by sources is concerned, a brief discussion has already been made in an earlier section. Now we shall examine the indirect expenditure on education by different items and by sources.
72. As was pointed out earlier, the total indirect expenditure consists of five different items:
(i) Direction and inspection;
(ii) Buildings;
(iii) Scholarships and other financial concessions;
(iv) Hostel charges; and
(v) Miscellaneous items.

TABLE XX : EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline (i) Central Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
127 \\
(5.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
232 \\
(6.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,378 \\
& (15.1)
\end{aligned}
\] & 12.8 & 42.9 & 26.9 \\
\hline (ii) State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
961 \\
(43.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,585 \\
(43.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4.546 \\
& (49.8)
\end{aligned}
\] & 10.5 & 23.4 & 16.8 \\
\hline (iii) District board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
19 \\
(0.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
14 \\
(0.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
32 \\
(0.3)
\end{array}
\] & Decrease & 18.0 & 5.4 \\
\hline (iv) Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
7 \\
(0.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & Do & 45.4 & 6.4 \\
\hline (v) Fces & \[
\begin{array}{r}
264 \\
(11.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
546 \\
(15.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,447 \\
& (15.9)
\end{aligned}
\] & 15.6 & 21.5 & 18.5 \\
\hline (vi) Other sources & \[
\begin{array}{r}
845 \\
(37.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1,256 \\
(34.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,709 \\
(18.7)
\end{array}
\] & 8.3 & 6.4 & 7.3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total expenditure} & 2,224 & 3,635 & 9,125 & 10.3 & 20.1 & 15.2 \\
\hline & (100.0) & (100.0) & (100.0) & & & \\
\hline 2. Expenditure on salaries of teachers (Rs. in 000's) & 1,497 & 2,344 & 5,799 & 9.4 & 20.0 & 14.5 \\
\hline 3. Total enrolment & 7,381 & 13,315 & 25,297 & 12.5 & 13.7 & 13.1 \\
\hline 4. Total number of teachers & 904 & 1,299 & 2,556 & 7.5 & 14.5 & 11.0 \\
\hline 5. Number of pupils per teacher & 8 & 10 & 10 & & & \\
\hline 6. Percentage of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure & 67.3 & 64.5 & 63.6 & & & \\
\hline 7. Average annual salary per teacher (Rs.) & 1,656.0 & 1,804.5 & 2,268.8 & & & \\
\hline 8. Average annual cost per pupil (Rs.) & 301.3 & 273.0 & 360.7 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.

TABLE XXI: EXPENDITURE ON DIRECTION AND INSPECTION (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{I. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000's)} \\
\hline (i) & Central Government funds & (0.0) & \[
(0.0)
\] & \[
673
\] & - & 178.7 & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(ii)} & State Government funds & 26,295 & 37,405 & 67,370 & 7.3 & 12.5 & 9.9 \\
\hline & & (96.1) & (93.5) & (96.1) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(iii)} & District board funds & 526 & 961 & 1,071 & 12.8 & 2.2 & 7.4 \\
\hline & & (1.9) & (2.4) & (1.5) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(iv)} & Municipal board funds & 464 & 672 & 828 & 7.7 & 4.3 & 6.0 \\
\hline & & (1.7) & (1.7) & (1.2) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(v)} & Fees & 6 & 963 & 46 & 176.2 & - & 22.6 \\
\hline & & (0.0) & (2.4) & (0.1) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{(vi)} & Other sources & 73 & 1 & 135 & - & 166.7 & 6.4 \\
\hline & Total expenditure & 27,364 & 40,006 & 70,123 & 7.9 & 11.9 & 9.9 \\
\hline & & (100.0) & (100.0) & (100.0) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.

We shall now examine each of these objects separately.
73. Direction and Inspection: The details of the expenditure incurred during the first two fiveyears Plans on direction and inspection, classified according to sources, are given in Table XXI. It will be seen that this expenditure has increased from Rs. 27 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 70 million in 1960-61, thereby showing an average annual increase of 9.9 per cent, as against annual increase of 11.7 per cent in total educational expenditure. It is true that the overhead charges-which direction and inspection broadly represent-should be reduced, but still there is reason to believe that we are being miserly about the expenditure on direction and inspection. A reference to Table VI (page 285) will show that the proportion of the expenditure on direction and inspection to total educational expenditure was 2.4 per cent in \(1950-51\) and that declined to 2.0 per cent in 1960-61. This implies that the question of strengthening the education departments has been unduly neglected making it difficult for them
to discharge their responsibilities for expansion and improvement of education satisfactorily. This is an important area in which our policies probably need a revision.
74. The analysis of the total expenditure on direction and inspection by sources shows that most of the expenditure is met by the state governments. In 1950-51, the state governments contributed Rs. 26 million or 96.1 per cent of the total expenditure. In 1960-61, their contribution rose, in absolute terms, to Rs. 67.4 million, but it represented the same proportion of total expenditure ( 96.1 per cent). Tine other sources, namely, Central funds, the funds of the district boards and municipalities, fees and even endowments and other sources made small contributions.
75. Buildings: The total expenditure on educational buildings during the first two five-year Plans, classified according to sources, is given in Table XXII*. It will be seen that this expenditure has increased from Rs. 99 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 428

TABLE XXII
EXPENDITURE ON BUILDINGS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Aterage Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both Plans \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(1)} & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{I. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline & Central Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
8,278 \\
(8.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,701 \\
(13.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
111,447 \\
(26.0)
\end{array}
\] & 26.3 & 33.0 & 29.7 \\
\hline (ii) & State Government funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
49,280 \\
(49.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
109,561 \\
(55.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
222,189 \\
(51.9)
\end{array}
\] & 17.3 & 15.2 & 16.3 \\
\hline (iii) & District board funds & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,752 \\
(5.8)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
7,321 \\
(3.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
8,275 \\
(1.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & 4.9 & 5.5 & 3.7 \\
\hline (iv) & Municipal board funds & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,582 \\
(2.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3,737 \\
& (1.9)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
10,701 \\
(2.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & 7.7 & 23.4 & 15.3 \\
\hline (v) & Fees & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,979 \\
(5.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,196 \\
(3.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10,306 \\
(2.4)
\end{array}
\] & 4.5 & 10.7 & 7.5 \\
\hline (vi) & Other sources & \[
\begin{gathered}
28,399 \\
(28.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
42,841 \\
(21.8)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
65,239 \\
(15.2)
\end{array}
\] & 8.6 & 8.8 & 8.7 \\
\hline & Total expenditure & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 99,270 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
196,358 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
428,158 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.6 & 16.9 & 15.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
* This total expenditure includes the expenditure on buildings at all stages of education. It is not possible, on the basis of existing data, to break up this total expenditure according to the different stages of education.
}
million in 1960-61. This shows an average annual increase of 15.8 per cent, as against the increase of 11.7 per cent in total educational expenditure. This shows that the building programmes have received a high priority.

Considering the expenditure by sources, we find that the Central Government bore a large share of the total burden. In 1950-51, it contributed Rs. 8.3 million or 8.3 per cent of the total expenditure. But in 1960-61, this contribution rose to Rs. 111 million or 26 per cent of the total expenditure. The annual increase in the expenditure of the Central Government on buildings is very high- 29.7 per cent. It is, in fact, much higher than the rise in any other source financing the expenditure on buildings. There is no dearth of speeches on the need to observe austerity in expenditure and on the futility of spending money on 'brick and mortar'. But when it comes to practical programme of construction of buildings, the Central Government itself sets up lavish standards which others follow, and ultimately the expenditure on buildings goes on mounting up. The state governments generally bear about half the total expenditure on buildings. Their contribution was Rs. 49 million or 49.6 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51; and it rose to Rs. 222 million or 51.9 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, the average annual increase being 16.3 per cent. The district boards show the smallest increase relatively. In 1950-51 they contributed Rs. 5.8 million or 5.8 per cent of the total expenditure and in 1960-61 their contribution rose to Rs. 8.3 million or 1.9 per cent of the total expenditure, showing an average annual increase of 3.7 per cent only. The municipal boards also spent something on this account as there is a general trend in urban areas to spend more on buildings. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 2.6 million or 2.6 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61, Rs. 10.7 million or 2.5 per cent of the total expenditure, the average annual increase being 15.3 per cent. Fees, endowments and other sources have made a significant contribution, especially with regard to the construction of buildings of private organisations. It will be seen that these sources, taken together, contributed Rs. 33.4 million or 33.6 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51. In 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 75.5 million or 17.6 per cent of the total expenditure-the average
annual increase being 7.5 per cent in fees and 8.7 per cent in endowments and other sources. There is a considerable willingness among people to contribute to the non-recurring expenditure on education and, particularly, for the construction of buildings. If proper steps are taken, this source is likely to yield even better results in future.
76. Scholarships and Other Concessions : The total expenditure on scholarships and other financial concessions during the first two five-year Plans, classified according to sources, has been given in Table XXIII. It will be seen that the total expenditure has increased from Rs. 34.5 million in 1950-51 to Rs. 200 million in 1960-61, thereby showing an average annual increase of 19.3 per cent as against an increase of 11.7 per cent in total educational expenditure. On the whole, the annual increase has been very high. This is the one programme where the largest expansion has taken place in the post-independence period.
77. The distribution of expenditure by sources shows that the Central Government incurred a significant part of the total expenditure on scholarships. In 1950-51, it contributed Rs. 1.8 million or 5.1 per cent of the total expenditure, and in 1960-61, its contribution rose to Rs. 32.5 million or 16.2 per cent of the total expenditure, showing an average annual increase of 33.8 per cent. The state governments provide the largest amount and proportion of funds for this programme. They contributed Rs. 25.7 million or 74.7 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 and Rs. 153 million or 76.6 per cent in 1960-61, thus showing an average annual increase of 19.5 per cent in their contribution. It may be incidentally mentioned here that these amounts include large subventions from Central funds. The local boards and fees played only a marginal role. Endowments and other sources, however, fared somewhat better. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 5 million or 14.8 per cent of the total expenditure. This contribution rose to Rs. 11.9 million or 5.9 per cent of the expenditure in \(1960-61\), thereby showing an average annual increase of 8.9 per cent.
78. Hostels: The total expenditure on hostels incurred during the first two Plans, classified according to sources, is given in Table XXIV. It may

TABLE XXIII
EXPENDITURE ON SCHOLARSHIPS AND OTHER FINANCIAL CONCESSIONS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.

TABLE XXIV: EXPENDITURE ON HOSTEL CHARGES (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Ifem}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
First \\
Plan
\end{tabular} & Second Plan & Both the Plans \\
\hline & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{1. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(i) Central Government funds} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
158 \\
(0.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
439 \\
(1.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,206 \\
(2.6)
\end{array}
\] & 22.7 & 20.3 & 21.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(ii) State Government funds} & \[
\begin{gathered}
7,519 \\
(41.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,873 \\
(44.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,702 \\
(29.4)
\end{array}
\] & 9.6 & 1.4 & 5.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(iii) District board funds} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
193 \\
(1.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
118 \\
(0.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
217 \\
(0.5)
\end{array}
\] & - & 12.9 & 2.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(iv) Municipal board funds} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
24 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
394 \\
(1.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
557 \\
(1.3)
\end{array}
\] & 75.0 & 7.2 & 37.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(v) Fees} & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,372 \\
(29.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
9,425 \\
(35.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
18,177 \\
(42.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & 11.9 & 14.1 & 13.0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(vi)} & Other sources & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4,999 \\
& (27.4)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4,362 \\
& (16.4)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
10,389 \\
(24.1)
\end{array}
\] & - & 19.0 & 7.6 \\
\hline & Total expenditure & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18,264 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26,610 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 43,149 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 7.8 & 10.2 & 9.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
be incidentally pointed out that this expenditure includes only the maintenance charges on hostels and that it does not include the food charges paid by students residing in them or the capital expenditure on hostel buildings, etc. It is unfortunately not possible to break this total expenditure according to the different levels of education.
79. It will be seen that the total expenditure on hostels, which stood at Rs. 18 million in 1950-51 increased to Rs. 43 million in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 9 per cent, as against an increase of 11.7 per cent in total educational expenditure. The Government of India contributed a very small part of the total cost. In 195051 , its share was Rs. 0.2 million or 0.9 per cent of the total expenditure; but in 1960-61, it increased to Rs. 1.2 million or 2.6 per cent of the total expenditure showing an annual increase of 21.4 per cent. The state governments contributed less than a third of the total expenditure. In 1950-51, they provided Rs. 7.5 million or 41.2 per cent of the total cost. In 1960-61 their contribution rose, in absolute figures, to Rs. 12.7 million; but its proportion to the total expenditure declined to 29.4 per cent. The average annual increase in the contribution of the state governments was also comparatively small5.4 per cent. The contribution of the district
boards should have been large because hostels are really needed for rural students; but they made a very negligible contribution, mainly because their over-all resources are very scanty and inelastic. The municipal boards, however, did much better. They contributed Rs. 24,000 or 0.1 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51, but in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 0.6 million or 1.3 per cent of the total expenditure showing an average annual increase of 37.0 per cent-the highest amongst all sources financing this object. It is interesting to know that fees are bearing a very significant part of the total expenditure on hostels. In 1950-51, they contributed Rs. 5.4 million or 29.4 per cent of the total expenditure; but in 1960-61, their contribution rose to Rs. 18 million or 42.1 per cent of the total expenditure. Similarly, endowments and other sources also made a significant contribution as this is a programme where private charity takes considerable interest. In 1950-51, these sources contributed Rs. 5 million or 27.4 per cent of the total expenditure. This increased to Rs. 10.4 million or 24.1 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 7.6 per cent. It, therefore, appears that, if this activity is to expand in future-as it should be-it would be possible to draw upon fees and the voluntary contributions of people to a substantial extent.

TABLE XXV: EXPENDITURE ON MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1950-51} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1955-56} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1960-61} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & & & & First Plan & Second Plan & Both the Plans \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (6) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{I. Expenditure by sources (Rs. in 000 's)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(i) Central Government funds} & 431 & 7,237 & 9,815 & 75.8 & 6.3 & 36.7 \\
\hline & (0.8) & (7.0) & (7.6) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(ii) State Government funds} & 34,243 & 71,490 & 85,941 & 15.9 & 3.8 & 9.6 \\
\hline & (63.5) & (69.1) & (66.8) & & & \\
\hline (iii) District board funds & 2,326 & 2,153 & 2,454 & - & 2.7 & 0.6 \\
\hline & (4.3) & (2.1) & (1.9) & & & \\
\hline (iv) Municipal board funds & 982 & 2,043 & 2,358 & 15.8 & 2.9 & 9.2 \\
\hline & (1.8) & (2.0) & (1.8) & & & \\
\hline (v) Fees & 5,443 & 7,542 & 9,526 & 6.8 & 4.8 & 5.8 \\
\hline & (10.1) & (7.3) & (7.4) & & & \\
\hline (vi) Other sources & 10,503 & 12,931 & 18,469 & 4.3 & 7.4 & 5.8 \\
\hline & (19.5) & (12.5) & (14.4) & & & \\
\hline Total Expenditure & 53,928 & 103,396 & 128,562 & 13.9 & 4.5 & 9.1 \\
\hline & (100.0) & (100.0) & (100.0) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentages.
80. Miscellaneous Items: The details about the total expenditure incurred, during 1950-60 on miscellaneous items, classified according to sources, are given in Table XXV. It will be seen that the total expenditure on these items has increased from Rs. 53.9 million in \(1950-51\) to Rs. 128.6 million in 1960-61, showing an average annual increase of 9.1 per cent. The most important source from which this expenditure has been met is the state governments who provided about two-thirds of the total expenditure. A little more than a fifth of the total cost is contributed by fees and other sources. The Central Government provided about one-fourteenth of the total expenditure and the district and municipal boards, a little less than 4 per cent.

\section*{Educational Expenditure by Different Sources of Educational Finance on Objects}
81. In the preceding paragraphs we discussed the role played by different sources of educational finance in supporting each level/object of education by considering one object at a time. We shall now consider the relative support received by different objects through each source of educational finance.
82. It will be seen from Table XXVI that the pattern of expenditure from almost all the sources has undergone considerable changes during the period under study. With the exception of district boards and fees, all other sources spent proportionately more on indirect expenditure, thus increasing the percentage of indirect expenditure from 20.4 per cent in 1950-51 to 25.3 per cent in 1960-61. The biggest lead in this direction has been given by Central Government. In \(1960-61,52.6\) per cent of the expenditure from Central Government funds was spent on indirect expenditure as compared to 30.2 per cent in 1950-51; this was followed by municipal boards and state governments. The major increases have been observed in the expenditure on buildings and scholarships. The expenditure on direction and inspection has decreased from 2.4 per cent in 1950-51 to 2.0 per cent in 1960-61 which is not a healthy development.
83. So far as direct expenditure is concerned, the higher primary schools received the maximum priority followed by schools and colleges for vocational education and colleges for teacher training. The schools for special education which received
2.0 per cent of the total expenditure in 1950-51 received only 0.9 per cent in 1960-61.

We shall now discuss separately the relative support provided to different objects of education by different sources of finance.
84. Central Government Funds: As already observed, expenditure from this source has varied widely in its distribution over different institutions/ objects during the period from 1950-51 to 1960-61. In 1950-51, Central Government funds did not play any part in so far as pre-primary schools, teacher training schools and direction and inspection were concerned. In 1960-61, there was no aspect of education in which Central Government funds did not play any role. But the most significant contribution is for buildings and scholarships. In 1960-61, these two objects claimed about half of the total expenditure from Central Government funds \((48.7 \%\) ) as against \(28.5 \%\) in 1950-51. Central Government funds also played increasing role in the first level of education and correspondingly the proportion of its expenditure on the second level of education went down. The proportion of expenditure devoted to universities and institutions for higher education including colleges for professional education has also gone down considerably, but in spite of this the Central Government has been devoting a much larger proportion of its expenditure to higher education than any other source.
85. State Government Funds: The distribution of the expenditure from state government funds broadly shows the same trends as by Central Government funds. However, in this case higher priority has been given to higher primary and secondary schools. Of the total direct expenditure from state government funds, about 87 per cent was spent on school education in 1960-61, the corresponding figure for \(1950-51\) was 86 per cent. The main change has, however, been in the internal distribution between lower primary schools, higher primary schcols and secondary schools, in which the latter two categories have received higher priority. The state governments have also tried to devote a slightly larger portion of indirect expenditure to buildings and scholarships. Their experditure on directicn and inspection, which is their sole responsibility has,

TABLE XXVI
PERCENTAGE OF EXPENDITURE BY SOURCES ON DIFFERENT OBJECTS (1950-51 TO 1960-61)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Type of Institution} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Central Government} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{State Governments} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{District Boards} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Municipal Boards} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Fees} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other Sources} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} \\
\hline & 50-51 & 60-61 & 50-51 & 60-61 & 50-51 & 60-61 & 50-51 & 60-61 & 50-51 & 60-61 & 50-51 & 60-61 & 50-51 & 60-61 \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{Direct Expenditure} \\
\hline 1. Pre-primary schools & - & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\
\hline 2. Lower primary schools & 1.3 & 6.1 & 40.3 & 28.0 & 72.0 & 50.8 & 74.6 & 43.7 & 3.7 & 2.9 & 12.0 & 6.7 & 31.9 & 21.3 \\
\hline 3. Higher primary schools & 0.9 & 4.0 & 6.3 & 15.0 & 10.9 & 18.7 & 6.2 & 30.1 & 7.9 & 5.4 & 5.9 & 8.8 & 6.7 & 12.5 \\
\hline A. Total first level education & 2.2 & 10.2 & 46.6 & 43.1 & 82.9 & 69.7 & 80.9 & 74.1 & 11.8 & 8.6 & 18.2 & 16.1 & 38.7 & 24.0 \\
\hline 4 Secondary schools & 6.3 & 2.2 & 13.2 & 15.9 & 4.3 & 18.7 & 6.9 & 9.4 & 49.8 & 45.8 & 17.9 & 19.3 & 20.1 & 20.0 \\
\hline 5. Teacher training schools & - & 0.6 & 2.1 & 1.5 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 1.3 & 1.0 \\
\hline 6. Schools for vocational education (excluding teacher training) & 4.9 & 3.1 & 2.1 & 2.4 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 1.5 & 2.3 & 1.9 & 2.1 & 1.9 & 2.3 \\
\hline 7. Schools for special education & 0.3 & 0.4 & 2.2 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 6.3 & 2.7 & 2.0 & 0.9 \\
\hline \(B\). Total second level education & 11.5 & 6.8 & 19.7 & 20.8 & 5.0 & 19.2 & 8.5 & 10.3 & 51.9 & 48.7 & 27.1 & 24.6 & 25.4 & 24.3 \\
\hline 8. Universities and institutions for higher general education & 32.9 & 19.9 & 6.8 & 5.5 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 25.4 & 30.2 & 14.8 & 17.8 & 11.6 & 11.7 \\
\hline 9. Colleges for teacher education & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.8 & - & - & - & - & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\
\hline 10. Colleges for professional education excluding teacher training & 22.5 & 10.2 & 3.1 & 3.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.5 & 3.6 & 5.1 & 2.1 & 3.4 & 3.4 & 0.6
4.0 \\
\hline 11. Colleges for special education & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\
\hline C. Total third level education & 56.1 & 31.1 & 10.5 & 9.7 & 0.1 & \[
0.1
\] & 0.9 & 1.8 & 29.2 & 36.0 & 17.8 & 22.4 & 15.5 & 16.5 \\
\hline Total Direct Expenditure & 69.8 & 47.4 & 76.8 & 73.5 & 87.9 & 89.0 & 90.3 & 86.2 & 92.9 & 93.3 & 63.1 & 63.1 & 79.6 & 16.5
74.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{Indirect Expenditure} \\
\hline 12. Direction and inspection & - & 0.2 & 4.3 & 3.3 & 0.7 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 2.4 & 2.0 \\
\hline 13. Buildings & 23.5 & 37.7 & 8.0 & 10.9 & 7.3 & 7.0 & 5.6 & 10.0 & 2.1 & 1.7 & 21.4 & 22.7 & 8.7 & 12.4 \\
\hline 14. Scholarships and stipends & 5.0 & 11.0 & 4.2 & 7.5 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 3.8 & 4.1 & 3.0 & 5.8 \\
\hline 15. Hostel charges & 0.4 & 0.4 & 1.2 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 2.3 & 3.1 & 3.6 & 3.6 & 1.6 & 1.3 \\
\hline 16. Miscellaneous & 1.2 & 3.3 & 5.5 & 4.2 & 3.0 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 2.2 & 2.4 & 1.6 & 7.9 & 6.4 & 4.7 & 3.7 \\
\hline Total Indirect & 30.2 & 52.6 & 23.2 & 26.5 & 12.1 & 11.0 & 9.7 & 13.8 & 7.1 & 6.7 & 36.9 & 36.9 & 20.4 & 25.3 \\
\hline Grand Total & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
however, suffered a setback which should be rectified as early as possible.
86. District Board Funds: About nine-tenths of the funds provided by district boards are spent as direct expenditure and bulk of it is on the first level of education. During the period under review district boards have taken an increasing interest in the second level of education. The secondary schools received 18.7 per cent of expenditure in 1960-61 as compared to 4.3 per cent in 1950-51. So far as the third level is concerned, hardly 0.1 per cent of the total expenditure from district board funds is at this level. Among the indirect expenditure, buildings have been claiming a bigger share. The most noticeable changes in the allocaticn of expenditure has been the increased emphasis on secordery and higher primary schools with corresponding reduction in the allocation for lower primary schools.
87. Municipal Board Funds: Expenditure from municipal board funds follows clesely the pattern of expenditure from district board funds-in both cases bulk of expenditure is on direct expenditure mostly at the school stage. Municipal boards have, however, shown much greater interest in higher primary schools as against secondary schools in the case of district board funds. They have also shown a greater preference for professional colleges and buildings.
88. Fees: Fees have been playing a major role in financing expenditure on pre-primary schools, secondary schools, universities and institutions of higher education and colleges for professional education. Over the years the role of fees has considerably gone down in so far as institutions at the first level are concerned as a result of introduction of programmes of free education. Among indirect items, hostel charges have been increasingly dependent on the income from fees.
89. Other Sources: The proportion of expenditure from other sources to direct and indirect expenditure has remained almost constant at 63.1 per cent and 36.9 per cent. Over the period 1950-51 to 1960-61, the proportion of expenditure from other sources has shown a downward tendency for the first and the second level of education and has given relatively more support to the third level of education. Lower primary schools received only 6.7 per cent in 1960-61 as against 12.0 per cent in 1950-51.

The schools for special education received the greatest setback and the percentage decreased from 6.3 to 2.7. The schools for higher primary education, secondary education, institutions for higher education received a greater proportion of expenditure in 1960-61. This is due to the greater interest which the general public has started taking in highereducation.

\section*{SECTION II}

\section*{Educational Expenditure in the States of the Indian Union \\ (1956-57 to 1961-62)}
90. After having studied the growth of the tctal educational expenditure in the Indian Unicn as a whole for the pericd from 1950-51 to 1965-66, we may now take up the study of the growth of the educational expenditure in the states. Unfortunately. it is not possible to cover the same period in this part of the study because the reorganization of states, which was carricd out in November, 1956, makes it almost impossible to have strictly comparable state data for the pericds before and after that date. Further, the latest data available with the Ministry of Education in respect of educational expenditure in states pertain to 1961-62. In the circumstances, this study is confined to a a peric of 5 years from 1956-57 to 1961-62.

\section*{Total Educational Expenditure by States 1956-61}
91. Average Annual Rate of Increase in the Total Educational Expenditure: It will be seen from Table XXVII that the average annual rate of growh in the total educational experditure during 1956-61 was 14 per cent for the Indian Union as a whole. In the different states, however, this rate varied from 10.1 per cent in Uttar Pradesh to 19.8 per cent in Rajasthan. Of all the 15 states this rate was lower than the national average of 14 per cent in seven states and more than the national average in the remaining eight states.

It is obvious that the rate of increase in the absolute educational expenditure figures is not a prefectly reliable indicator of the effort made by a state to promote education in its area, because a smaller effort in an educationally backward state may show a higher rate of growth than a bigger effort made in an educationally advanced state. This is exactly what has happencd during this period when Rajasthan, with a comparatively small

TABLE XXVII
EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE BY STATES (1956-57 AND 1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Total Expenditure on Education (Rs. in 000 's)} & \multirow{3}{*}{Average Annual Rate of Growth in Total Expenditure} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1956-57} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1961-62} & \\
\hline & Direct & Indirect & Total & Direct & Indirect & Total & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \[
\begin{array}{r}
122,150 \\
(82.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
25,265 \\
(17.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
147,415 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
215,093 \\
(78.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
57,647 \\
(21.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
272,740 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 13.1 \\
\hline Assam & \[
\begin{gathered}
34,428 \\
(71.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
13,938 \\
(28.8)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 48,366 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
78,387 \\
(75.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
25,628 \\
(24.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
104,015 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 16.6 \\
\hline Bihar & \[
\begin{array}{r}
87,450 \\
(63.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
50,224 \\
(36.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
137,674 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
164,909 \\
(66.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
84,656 \\
(33.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
249,565 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 12.6 \\
\hline Gujarat & \[
\begin{gathered}
95,728 \\
(73.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
34,321 \\
(26.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
130,049 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
170,297 \\
(79.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
44,021 \\
(20.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
214,318 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.5 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9,566 \\
& (76.4)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,949 \\
(23.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,515 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23,372 \\
(77.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6,752 \\
& (22.4)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 30,124 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 19.2 \\
\hline Kerala & \[
\begin{array}{r}
84,091 \\
(73.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
29,843 \\
(26.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
113,934 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
172,356 \\
(78.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
47,950 \\
(21.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
220,306 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.1 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & \[
\begin{gathered}
87,071 \\
(79.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
23,050 \\
(20.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
110,121 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
202,354 \\
(81.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
46,577 \\
(18.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
248,931 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 17.7 \\
\hline Madras & \[
\begin{array}{r}
140,330 \\
(74.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
50,270 \\
(26.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
193,600 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
258,063 \\
(66.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
128,575 \\
(33.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
386,638 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 14.9 \\
\hline Maharashtra & \[
\begin{array}{r}
212,339 \\
(85.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
36,876 \\
(14.8)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
249,215 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
394,785 \\
(70.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
166,488 \\
(29.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
561,273 \\
(1 \sim n, 0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 17.6 \\
\hline Mysore & \[
\begin{gathered}
85,520 \\
(79.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
22,142 \\
(20.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
107,661 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
155,108 \\
(71.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
62,619 \\
(28.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
217,727 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 15.1 \\
\hline Orissa & \[
\begin{array}{r}
28,962 \\
(63.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
16,419 \\
(36.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 45,382 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
56,121 \\
(67.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
24,509 \\
(32.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 80,630 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 12.2 \\
\hline Punjab & \[
\begin{gathered}
97,409 \\
(85.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
15,936 \\
(14.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 113,345 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
154,080 \\
(71.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
61,234 \\
(28.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
215,314 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 13.7 \\
\hline Rajasthan & \[
\begin{gathered}
52,951 \\
(88.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
6,747 \\
(11.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
59,698 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
121,078 \\
(82.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
26,521 \\
(17.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
147,599 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 19.8 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & \[
\begin{array}{r}
225,497 \\
(81.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
52,166 \\
(18.8)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
277,663 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
352,612 \\
(78.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
96,708 \\
(21.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
449,320 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.1 \\
\hline West Bengai & \[
\begin{array}{r}
168,368 \\
(72.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
65,327 \\
(28.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
233,694 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
276,475 \\
(72.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
104,790 \\
(27.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
381,265 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 10.3 \\
\hline INDIA & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,596,355 \\
(77.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
466,587 \\
(22.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,062,941 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,933,123 \\
(74.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,030,351 \\
(26.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,963,474 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 14.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages of direct and indirect expenditure to total state expenditure.
educational base, in 1956-57 shows the highest rate of growth of educational expenditure during the next 5 years. In order, therefore, to measure the educational effort by the different states on a more reliable basis, one has to look out for some other indices. One or two such indices could be (a) the percentage of state income devoted to education and (b) the per capita expenditure on education.
92. Total Educational Expenditure as Percentage of State Income: Unfortunately, data about income of states are not available for the years 1956-57 and 1961-62. The National Council of Applied Economic Research has, however, recently published data on state income for the year 1960-61. The behaviour of the educational expenditure in the different states during 1960-61 in relation to the state income for that year has been studied in another section. Here, it will suffice to point out that Kerala devoted the highest percentage of its income to education-3.6 per cent, and Orissa the lowest-1.5 percent.
93. Total Educational Expenditure Per Head of Population: Table XXVIII gives the expenditure on education per head of population for the years 1956-57 and 1961-62 together with the average annual growth rate in this expenditure. It will be seen from this table that in 1956-57 the total educational expenditure per head of population was only Rs. 5.3 in the Indian Union as a whole. The highest educational expenditure per capita was incurred in West Bengal (Rs. 8.2) and the lowest in Jammu and Kashmir (Rs. 2.7). Of all the 15 states, nine states reported lower percentage than the national average of Rs. 5.3 and the remaining six states higher than this national average.

The position changed a good deal in the following five years. In 1961-62, the total educational expenditure per head of population increased to Rs. 8.8 in the Indian Union as a whole. The highest per capita expenditure was incurred in Maharashtra (Rs. 13.9) and the lowest in Orissa (Rs. 4.5). Eight of the 15 states reported lower per capita expenditure than the national average of Rs. 8.8 and seven states above it. Mysore is the only state which jumped the national average from the lower to the higher group during this period. Moreover, some of the top states changed their positions. For instance, West Bengal surrendered its first position to Maha-

TABLE XXVIII
EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION PER CAPITA (1956-57 AND 1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Educational Expenditure per Capita} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Rate of Growth} \\
\hline & 1956-57 & 1961-62 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(1)} & (2) & (3) & (4) \\
\hline & Rs. & Rs. & Percentage \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 4.3 & 7.5 & 11.8 \\
\hline Assam & 4.8 & 8.4 & 11.9 \\
\hline Bihar & 3.3 & 5.2 & 9.5 \\
\hline Gujarat & 7.0 & 10.1 & 7.6 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmi & 2.7 & 8.4 & 25.5 \\
\hline Kerala & 7.4 & 12.7 & 11.4 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 4.0 & 7.4 & 13.1 \\
\hline Madras & 5.9 & 11.4 & 14.1 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 7.0 & 13.9 & 14.7 \\
\hline Mysore & 5.0 & 9.0 & 12.5 \\
\hline Orissa & 3.0 & 4.5 & 8.5 \\
\hline Punjab & 6.6 & 10.3 & 9.3 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 3.4 & 7.1 & 15.9 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 4.1 & 6.0 & 7.9 \\
\hline West Bengal & 8.2 & 10.6 & 5.3 \\
\hline INDIA & 5.3 & 8.8 & 10.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
rashtra and occupied the fourth place and the old Bombay State (Maharashtra \& Gujarat) gave its third position to Madras. Kerala and Punjab, however, maintained their second and fifth positions respectively.

The average annual rate of growth of per capita expenditure on education was the highest in Jammu \& Kashmir ( 25.5 per cent) and the lowest in West Bengal ( 5.3 per cent). The national average was 10.7 per cent. Ten states were above this average and only five below it.
94. Direct Expenditure on Education: Taking the Indian Union as a whole, the total direct expenditure on education was 77.4 per cent of the total expenditure in \(1956-57\) and it decreased to 74.0 per cent in 1961-62. The decrease is mainly due to the fact that the expenditure on some of the itcms
of indirect expenditure such as scholarships, buildings, etc. increased substantially, as it should. Cols. (2) and (5) of Table XXVII will show how the total direct expenditure on education varied from state to state during the period under review.

It will be seen that Bihar incurred the lower proportion ( 63.5 per cent and 61.1 per cent) on 'direct' expenditure and Rajasthan the highest ( 88.7 per cent and 82.1 per cent) in both the years 1956-57 and 1961-62.
95. Indirect Expenditure on Education: The details about the total indirect expenditure on education incurred by the states can be seen from Cols. (3) and (6) of Table XXVII. The position of the states in respect of indirect expenditure was exactly the opposite of that in respect of direct expenditure.
96. Total Educational Expenditure by Sources (1956-57 to 1961-62): We shall now proceed to study the manner in which the total educational expenditure was met from various sources in all the states of the Indian Union. Detailed statistics in this regard are given in Table XXIX. The following points emerge from these statistics:
(a) In 1956-57, the proportion of \(\epsilon \lambda\). enditure from government funds to total educational expenditure was 62.8 per cent. It rose to 68.6 per cent in 1961-62. The general trend, therefore, was for the government to assume an increasing share of responsibility for financing education. This trend was perceptible in the case of almost all the states.
(b) The proportion of the contribution of district boards to total educational expenditure fell from 5.2 per cent in 1956-57 to 3.5 per cent in 196162. This was partly due to the fact that the resources of these local bodies are inelastic and partly to the fact that, in some states (as in Punjab and Kerala), government relieved themselves of their responsibility for the administration of primary education.
(c) The proportion of the contribution of municipal boards to the total expenditure showed a small decline from 3.4 per cent in 1956-57 to 3.1 per cent in 1961-62. This was also mainly due to their being relieved of the responsibility for financing primary education in some states as in Punjab and Kerala.
(d) The contribution of fees was also on the decline. They formed 19.4 per cent of the total expenditure in 1956-57 and only 16.5 per cent in 1961-62. The picture, however, is not uniform; there was a slight increase in the proportional contribution of fees to total expenditure in some states and a decrease in others.
(e) The contribution of endowments and other sources also decreased from 9.2 per cent in 1956-57 to 8.3 per cent in 1961-62. Small increases have been observed here and there, but in most states it has declined.
97. We shall now discuss the contribution of each source of educational finance to the total educational expenditure separately. This will be done mainly from two points of view, viz., (i) the average annual rate of increase of educational expenditure from each source during this period, and (ii) the proportion which the expenditure from that source bore to the total educational expenditure in 1961-62. For both these points, reference may be made to Table XXIX.
98. Educational Expenditure from Government Funds: It will be seen that the average annual rate of growth of government expenditure in India as a whole was 16 per cent. The state of Gujarat showed the lowest rate of growth- 10.6 per cent per year-due mainly to its pre-occupation with other programmes of development and Punjab, the highest -22.5 per cent. Gujarat and five other states, namely, Orissa ( 11.5 per cent), West Bengal (11.8 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 12.4 per cent), Andhra Pradesh (14.4 per cent) and Mysore ( 15.2 per cent) were below the national average, and 9 states, viz., Kerala ( 16.1 per cent), Madhya Pradesh and Madras ( 17.8 per cent each), Assam ( 17.9 per cent), Jammu \& Kashmir (19.4 per cent), Maharashtra (19.9 per cent), Bihar (20.1 per cent), Rajasthan (20.3 per cent) and Punjab ( 22.5 per cent) were above the national average. In some of these states, such as Rajasthan, the high rate of increase was due to the over-all development of educaticn and in states like Punjab, it was only partially due to the over-all development, but mainly due to the taking over of primary schools by the government.

There were considerable variations even in respect of the proportion of the educaticnal expenditure which the government met in the states.

This proportion was the highest in Jammu \& Kashmir ( 92.5 per cent) where education is free at all levels and the private effort is small. At the other extreme is the state of Uttar Pradesh, where the government contributed only 58.9 per cent of the total educational expenditure. This was due to the fact that a good deal of reliance was placed on the contribution of local bodies, fees and 'other sources' usually raised by private enterprise. Between these two extremes, lay other states, of which 4 were below the national average of 68.6 per cent and 9 above it. As pointed out earlier, the trend now is for the Central and state governments to assume ever-increasing responsibility for the support of education.
99. Educational Expenditure from District Board Funds: It would be seen that in the state of Jammu \& Kashmir, the local bodies made no contribution at all to education. In the remaining states, the district boards contributed a total amount of Rs. 106.7 million in \(1956-57\) which increased to Rs. 139.6 million in 1961-62. But the picture was not uniform in all the states. In five statesBihar, Kerala, Orissa, Punjab and Rajasthanthere were actual decreases due mainly to the policy of the state governments to take over greater responsibilities in respect of primary schools. In the other states, there were increases which varied from 1.1 per cent per year in West Bengal to 21.3 per cent per year in Gujarat. The over-all rate of growth in the expenditure from district board funds was very small- 5.5 per cent per year- as against 16.0 per cent in the case of government funds and 14.0 per cent in the case of the total expenditure on education.

The extent to which the total educational expenditure was met from district board funds also varied from state to state. As stated earlier, in Jammu and Kashmir, district boards did not playany role in the field of education and in Orissa, Kerala, Punjab, Rajasthan and Assam also, their role was negligible. Their highest contribution was made in Andhra Pradesh ( 10.8 per cent), to be followed by Madras ( 9.0 per cent) and Uttar Pradesh (4.6 per cent) which were above the national average in respect of the contribution of district boards to educational expenditure. In the remaining states, their contribution was below the national average. The
present is definitely a declining trend in the proportional contribution from this source, although it has still some potentialities for growth.
100. Educational Expenditure from Municipal Board Funds: It will be seen that, taking all the states together, the contribution of the municipalities to educational expenditure increased from Rs. 69.4 million in 1956-57 to Rs. 122.2 million in 1961-62, the over-all annual rate of increase being 12.0 per cent. As in the case of the district boards, the picture was not uniform in all the states. In Jammu \& Kashmir, the municipalities made no contribution to education, while in Bihar, Kerala and Punjab their contribution decreased. This was mainly because these states decided to take over the responsibility of primary education from the local boards.

The municipal boards, however, gave a better account of themselves than the district boards. During the period under review, the annual increase in the contributions to education from municipal funds averaged 12.0 per cent, as against 5.5 per cent from the district boards in the Indian Union as a whole. This is, therefore, a more promising source of educational finance, specially as the urban areas are more education-conscious and richer. The highest rate of increase in the contribution from the municipalities was in Orissa (19.1 per cent). The rate of increase in this state and five other states was above the national average and in the remaining 9 states less than th at.

In 1961-62, the municipal boards contributed 3.1 per cent of the total educational expenditure in the Indian Union as a whole. The highest contribution from this source was in Maharashtra (7.4 per cent), which was followed by Madras ( 4.5 per cent), Gujarat ( 3.4 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 2.6 per cent), Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh ( 2.0 per cent each), Mysore ( 1.4 per cent), West Bengal ( 1.3 per cent), Bihar ( 0.6 per cent) and Orissa ( 0.5 per cent). In the states of Assam, Punjab and Rajasthan the contribution of municipal boards to educational expenditure is negligible and it did not exist at all in Jammu \& Kashmir and Kerala.
101. Educational Expenditure from Fees.: Table XXIX also gives the detailed statistics about the contribution of fees towards educational expenditure in the different states.

TABLE
(Rs. in 000's)
EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION BY SOURCES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{1956-57} & & \\
\hline & Government Funds & District Board Funds & Municipal Board Funds & Fees & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
Sources
\end{tabular} & Total & Government Funds & \begin{tabular}{l}
District \\
Board Funds
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & \[
\begin{gathered}
91,874 \\
(62.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
16,500 \\
(11.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2,991 \\
(2.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
21,314 \\
(14.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
14,735 \\
(10.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
147,415 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
179,807 \\
(65.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
29,524 \\
(10.0)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Assam & \[
\begin{gathered}
34,974 \\
(72.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
223 \\
(0.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
94 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
9,204 \\
(19.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,872 \\
(8.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
48,366 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
79,778 \\
(76.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
526 \\
(0.5)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Bihar & \[
\begin{array}{r}
68,866 \\
(50.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,214 \\
(19.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3,601 \\
(2.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
25,370 \\
(18.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,623 \\
(9.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
137,674 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
171,860 \\
(68.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,831 \\
(1.9)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Gujarat & \[
\begin{array}{r}
90,370 \\
(69.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,297 \\
(1.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5,052 \\
(3.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
20,840 \\
(16.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,490 \\
(8.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
130,019 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
149.570 \\
(69.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
6,023 \\
(2.8)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & \[
\begin{gathered}
11,468 \\
(91.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
(-)
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
503 \\
(4.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
544 \\
(4.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12,515 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
27,867 \\
(92.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
(-)
\] \\
\hline Kerala & \[
\begin{array}{r}
87,476 \\
(76.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,769 \\
(3.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
395 \\
(0.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
16,583 \\
(14.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
571 \\
(5.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
113,934 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
184,184 \\
(83.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
162 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & \[
\begin{array}{r}
91,211 \\
(82.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,135 \\
(3.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,601 \\
(2.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
6,952 \\
(6.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,221 \\
(4.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
110,121 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
206,380 \\
(829)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,483 \\
(2.6)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Madras & \[
\begin{array}{r}
111,020 \\
(57.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18,625 \\
(9.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9,796 \\
(5.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
31,185 \\
(16.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
22,973 \\
(11.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
193,600 \\
(100,0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
251,394 \\
(65.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34,604 \\
(9.0)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Maharashtra & \[
\begin{array}{r}
138,371 \\
(60.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,914 \\
(2.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
20,747 \\
(6.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
63,195 \\
(22.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
20,987 \\
(8.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
249,215 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
342,489 \\
(61.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
8,158 \\
(1.5)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Mysore & \[
\begin{array}{r}
79,508 \\
(73.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,316 \\
(4.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2,443 \\
(2,3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,689 \\
(10.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
9,704 \\
(9.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
107,661 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
161,355 \\
(74.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,936 \\
(2.3)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Orissa & \[
\begin{gathered}
36,823 \\
(81.1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
586 \\
(1.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
166 \\
(0.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
4,039 \\
(8.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3,768 \\
(8.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 45,382 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
63,483 \\
(78.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
(0.0)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Punjab & \[
\begin{array}{r}
56,100 \\
(49.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6,227 \\
(5.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,560 \\
(4.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
32,560 \\
(28.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,898 \\
(12.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
113,345 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
154,619 \\
(71.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
108 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Rajasthan & \[
\begin{gathered}
49,671 \\
(83.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
444 \\
(0.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
98 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5,488 \\
(9.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3,997 \\
(6.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 59,698 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
125,122 \\
(84.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
344 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & \[
\begin{array}{r}
47,401 \\
(53.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,054 \\
(4.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
8,015 \\
(2.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
73,622 \\
(26.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35,571 \\
(12.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
277,663 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
264,636 \\
(58.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
20,722 \\
(4.6)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline West Bengal & \[
\begin{array}{r}
139,899 \\
(59.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,260 \\
(1.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3,861 \\
(1.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
66,668 \\
(28.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
19,005 \\
(8.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
233,694 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
243,793 \\
(63.9)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,489 \\
(1.2)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline INDIA & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,295,616 \\
(62.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
106,735 \\
(5.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
69,424 \\
(3.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
401,002 \\
(19.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
190,165 \\
(9.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2,062,941 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2,718,317 \\
(68.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
139,638 \\
(3.5)
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

XXIX
IN STATES (1956-57 AND 1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1961-62} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Average Annual Rate of Growth during the Period} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Municipal } \\
\text { Board Funds }
\end{gathered}
\] & Fees & Other Sources & Total & Government Funds & District Board Funds & Municipal Board Funds & Fees & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
Sources
\end{tabular} & [Total "뭉 \\
\hline (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) & (19) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
5,406 \\
(2.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
39,011 \\
(14.3)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18,992 \\
(7.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
272,740 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 14.4 & 12.3 & 12.6 & 12.9 & 5.2 & 13.1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
176 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
17,410 \\
(16.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
6,125 \\
(5.9)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
104,015 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 17.9 & 18.7 & 13.3 & 13.6 & 9.6 & 16.6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
1,542 \\
(0.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
49,002 \\
(19.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
22,330 \\
(9.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 249,565 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 20.1 & Decrease & Decrease & 14.1 & 10.4 & 12.6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
7,314 \\
(3.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 36,157 \\
& (16.9)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
15,254 \\
(7.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
214,318 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 10.6 & 21.3 & 7.7 & 11.7 & 5.8 & 10.3 \\
\hline \[
(-
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1,440 \\
(4.8)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
817 \\
(2.7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 30,124 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 19.4 & - & - & 23.4 & 8.5 & 19.2 \\
\hline \[
(-)
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
21,149 \\
(9.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
14,811 \\
(6.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
220,306 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 16.1 & Decrease & Decrease & 5.0 & 21.0 & 14.1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
4,866 \\
(2.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
19,194 \\
(7.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,002 \\
(4.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
248,931 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 17.8 & 9.4 & 13.3 & 22.6 & 18.1 & 17.7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
17,538 \\
(4.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
45,017 \\
(11.6)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
38,085 \\
(9.9)
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
386,638 \\
(100.0)
\end{tabular} & 17.8 & 13.2 & 12.4 & 7.6 & 10.6 & 14.9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
41,646 \\
(7.4)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
124,257 \\
(22.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
44,723 \\
(8.0)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
561,273 \\
(100.0)
\end{gathered}
\] & 19,9. & 6.6 & 15.0 & 14.5 & 16.4 & 17.6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
3,125 \\
(1.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
21,370 \\
(9.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
26,941 \\
(12.4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
217,727 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 15.2 & 2.7 & 5.0 & 12.8 & 22.7 & 15.1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
398 \\
(0.5)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8,525 \\
& (10.6)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
8,223 \\
(10.2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 80,630 \\
& (100.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 11.5 & Decrease & 19.1 & 16.1 & 16.9 & 12.2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
560 \\
(0.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
41,287 \\
(19.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18,740 \\
(8.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
215,314 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 22.5 & Decrease & Decrease & 4.9 & 6.2 & 13.7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
166 \\
(0.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,852 \\
(8.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9,115 \\
(6.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
147,599 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 20.3 & Decrease & 11.1 & 18.6 & 17.9 & 19.8 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
11,655 \\
(2.6)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
97,558 \\
(4.7)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
54,749 \\
(12.2)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
449,320 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 12.4 & 9.7 & 7.8 & 5.8 & 9.0 & 10.1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,896 \\
(1.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
102,032 \\
(26,8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26,055 \\
(6.8)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
381,265 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 11.8 & 1.1 & 4.9 & 8.9 & 6.5 & 10.3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
122,233 \\
(3.1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
656,066 \\
(16.5)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
327,220 \\
(8.3)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,963,474 \\
(100.0)
\end{array}
\] & 16.0 & 5.5 & 12.0 & 10.3 & 11.5 & 14.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen that the average annual increase in the contribution of fees to total educational expenditure was 10.3 per cent for the Indian Union as a whole during this period. The highest increase had taken place in Jammu \& Kashmir ( 23.4 per cent) although the total volume of fees collected in this state is extremely small even now. Then comes Madhya Pradesh ( 22.6 per cent) followed by Rajasthan ( 18.6 pr cent), Orissa ( 16.1 per cent) Maharashtra ( 14.5 per cent), Bihar (14.1 per cent), Assam ( 13.6 per cent), Andhra Pradesh (12.9 per cent), Mysore (12.8 per cent), Gujarat (11.7 per cent)all above the national average. The remaining 5 states fall below this average.

In 1961-62, fees contributed 16.5 per cent of the total educational expenditure. The highest contribution was in West Bengal ( 26.8 per cent). The other states above the national average in this respect were Maharashtra ( 22.1 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 21.7 per cent), Bihar ( 19.6 per cent), Punjab ( 19.2 per cent), Gujarat ( 16.9 per cent) and Assam ( 16.7 per cent). The remaining 8 states were below the national average. The amount of fees collected is increasing every year due mainly to increase in enrolment, but its contribution to the total educational expenditure is decreasing because of more rapid increase in the contribution from other sources to the educational expenditure especially from state government funds.
102. Educational Expenditure from Endowments and Other Sources: The last source of educational finance is endowments and other sources.

It will be seen from Table XXIX that, taking the Indian Union as a whole, endowments and other sources showed an average annual increase of 11.5 per cent during the period 1956-57 to 1961-62. The highest increase took place in Mysore (22.7 per cent), which was followed by Kerala ( 21.0 per cent), Mysore (22.7 per cent), which was followed by Kerala ( 21.0 per cent), Madhya Pradesh ( 18.1 per cent), Rajasthan ( 17.9 per cent), Orissa ( 16.9 per cent), Maharashtra ( 16.4 per cent)-all above the national average and Madras (10.6 per cent), Bihar (10.4 per cent), Assam ( 9.6 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 9.0 per cent), Jammu \& Kashmir ( 8.5 per cent), West Bengal ( 6.5 per cent), Punjab ( 6.2 per cent), Gujarat (5. per cent) and Andhra Pradesh ( 5.2 per cent) below the national average.

The contribution from endowments and other sources decreased to 8.3 per cent of the total educational expenditure in 1961-62. The highest contribution was in Mysore ( 12.4 per cent) and the least in Jammu \& Kashmir ( 2.7 per cent). Of the remaining, 5 states were above the national average and 8 states below it. This source which includes income from endowments or trusts meant for educational purposes, subscriptions and contributions of the public and private managements, is required to be tapped further so that it could be of greater use in financing the increasing demand of education.

\section*{Educational Expenditure According to Objects}

We shall now study some major developments in different sectors of education and compare the achievements of different states therein.
103. Proportion of the Total Expenditure on Different Objects: Table XXX gives the proportion of the total expenditure on different educational objects in the year 1961-62.

It will be seen that states' emphasis on different sectors of education varied from state to state. For example, while Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh devoted 29.7 per cent of their total educational expenditure to lower primary schools, Maharashtra spent only 13.4 per cent on this sector. The proportion of expenditure on this sector in the case of other states varied within this range. The all-India average was 20.9 per cent. As against this, Andhra Pradesh, with the exception of West Bengal, allocated the lowest proportion of its expenditure, 6.4 per cent, to higher primary schools and Gujarat the highest, 23.3 per cent. The all-India average was 12.3 per cent. In India as a whole, 20.0 per cent of the total educational expenditure was devoted to secondary schools, while in the states its proportion varied from 12.7 per cent in Mysore to 27.3 per cent in Jammu and Kashmir. On higher education, the country as a whole spent 16.5 per cent of its total educational expenditure, whereas in the states its range extended from 12.2 per cent in Assam to 23.2 per cent in Uttar Pradesh.

Direction and inspection remained a neglected sector and only 2.0 per cent of the total educational expenditure was devoted to it in the Indian Union as a whole. The highest proportion of expenditure

TABLE XXX
PROPORTION OF EXPENDITURE ON DIFFERENT OBJECTS/TYPES OF INSTITUTIONS BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Object/Type of Institution & A.P. & Assam & | Bihar & Gujarat & t J\&K & Kerala & M.P. & |Madras & ( \(\begin{gathered}\text { Maha- } \\ \text { rashtra }\end{gathered}\) & Mysore & Orissa & Punjab & | \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Rajas- } \\ & \text { than }\end{aligned}\) & U.P. & W.B. & India \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{Direct Expenditure} \\
\hline Pre-schools & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.6 & - & 0.0 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 & - & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\
\hline Lower primary schools & 29.7 & 26.1 & 19.4 & 13.8 & 14.0 & 26.5 & 29.7 & 20.5 & 13.4 & 19.3 & 28.7 & 18.7 & 18.7 & 24.6 & 21.0 & 20.9 \\
\hline Higher primary schools & 6.4 & 11.5 & 11.5 & 23.3 & 12.7 & 16.8 & 13.5 & 13.1 & 18.1 & 20.0 & 10.2 & 8.8 & 15.0 & 6.7 & 4.4 & 12.3 \\
\hline Secondary schools & 21.8 & 22.1 & 14.0 & 19.0 & 27.3 & 22.4 & 16.6 & 18.4 & 19.7 & 12.7 & 13.2 & 22.5 & 20.8 & 23.0 & 22.2 & 20.0 \\
\hline Teacher training schools & 1.3 & 0.8 & 2.6 & 1.2 & 2.6 & 0.5 & 1.9 & 0.1 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 0.4 & 2.2 & 1.4 & 0.2 & 1.0 \\
\hline Vocational and technical schools (excluding teacher training schools) & 2.2 & 2.2 & 2.0 & 3.5 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 2.0 & 1.8 & 2.7 & 3.0 & 2.1 & 2.8 & 1.6 & 1.8 & 2.6 & 2.2 \\
\hline Schools for special education & 0.8 & 0.4 & 1.6 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 1.7 & 0.6 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 1.4 & 0.9 \\
\hline Universities & 5.2 & 3.7 & 2.5 & 5.0 & 3.7 & 2.3 & 2.2 & 2.4 & 2.9 & 2.3 & 2.0 & 5.4 & 1.7 & 11.7 & 4.8 & 4.2 \\
\hline Research institutions & - & - & 0.1 & 0.7 & - & - & - & - & 0.4 & 2.2 & - & - & - & 2.5 & 0.8 & 0.7 \\
\hline Boards of education & 0.6 & - & 1.5 & 0.5 & - & - & 1.1 & 0.5 & 0.7 & - & 0.6 & - & 1.4 & 1.9 & 0.8 & 0.8 \\
\hline Colleges for arts and science & 6.5 & 5.3 & 6.9 & 6.2 & 8.0 & 5.6 & 6.2 & 4.4 & 5.2 & 4.4 & 6.1 & 7.5 & 8.0 & 4.8 & 7.3 & 5.9 \\
\hline Colleges for teacher training & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 1.2 & 0.4 & 2.4 & 1.2 & 0.4 & 1.5 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\
\hline Colleges for professional education (excluding teacher training) & 3.7 & 2.9 & 3.7 & 4.1 & 4.8 & 2.2 & 4.4 & 3.6 & 4.6 & 4.3 & 3.2 & 4.0 & 4.5 & 1.8 & 5.5 & 4.1 \\
\hline Colleges for special education & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline Total direct expenditure & 78.9 & 75.4 & 66.1 & 79.5 & 77.6 & 78.2 & 81.3 & 66.7 & 70.3 & 71.2 & 69.6 & 71.6 & 82.0 & 78.5 & 72.5 & 74.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{Indirect Expenditure} \\
\hline Direction and inspection & 1.5 & 2.8 & 3.3 & 1.1 & 3.7 & 2.3 & 2.3 & 1.7 & 1.2 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 2.6 & 1.7 & 2.4 & 1.5 & 2.0 \\
\hline Buildings & 10.7 & 11.3 & 16.4 & 6.3 & 14.5 & 10.4 & 8.7 & 13.6 & 8.7 & 14.1 & 16.8 & 18.8 & 8.5 & 9.1 & 15.3 & 11.8 \\
\hline Scholarships, etc. & 7.9 & 6.3 & 7.4 & 7.5 & 1.4 & 3.6 & 5.2 & 4.8 & 14.6 & 3.9 & 9.3 & 4.1 & 2.4 & 5.4 & 4.5 & 6.6 \\
\hline Hostel charges & 0.9 & 0.7 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 6.2 & 1.0 & 0.7 & 1.8 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 1.4 \\
\hline Miscellaneous & 0.1 & 3.5 & 5.7 & 4.7 & 2.5 & 4.8 & 2.0 & 7.0 & 4.2 & 7.6 & 2.2 & 2.0 & 5.1 & 3.4 & 5.2 & 4.2 \\
\hline Total indirect expenditure & 21.1 & 24.6 & 33.9 & 20.5 & 22.4 & 21.8 & 18.7 & 33.3 & 29.7 & 28.8 & 30.4 & 28.4 & 18.0 & 21.5 & 27.5 & 26.0 \\
\hline Grand Total (Direct \& Indirect) & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.01 & 100.010 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.01 & 100.0 & 100.01 & 100.01 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(3.7 per cent) was incurred in Jammu \& Kashmir and the lowest ( 1.1 per cent) in Gujarat.

On educational buildings we spent 11.8 per cent of the total expenditure in the Indian Union as a whole. Punjab incurred the highest proportion of its expenditure ( 18.8 per cent) on this item and Gujarat the lowest ( 6.3 per cent).

In the case of scholarships and other financial concessions, Maharashtra was at the top with 14.6 per cent of its expenditure incurred on this sector, while Jammu \& Kashmir with 1.4 per cent was at the bottom. This was due mainly to the introduc-
tion of the scheme of economically backward class concessions in Maharashtra under which the children of parents whose annual income is less than Rs. 1200 get financial concessions. In Jammu \& Kashmir, however, since education is free at all levels, less amount is directly spent on providing more scholarships and concessions.

More detailed discussion on each object is given below.
104. Pre-Primary Schools: Table XXXI below gives the main statistics relating to this stage of education.

TABLE XXXI
EXPENDITURE ON PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOLS BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure (Rs. in 000's)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Exp.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\underset{\substack{\text { Pupil-Teacher } \\ \text { Ratio }}}{ }
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline & & & & & & Rs. & & Rs. \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 208 & 138 & 4,831 & 144 & 66.4 & 958.8 & 34 & 43.0 \\
\hline Assam & 84 & 59 & 2,728 & 75 & 70.7 & 790.6 & 36 & 30.7 \\
\hline Bihar & 115 & 71 & 1,407 & 53 & 62.1 & 1,341.6 & 27 & 81.4 \\
\hline Gujarat & 1,349 & 732 & 29,975 & 823 & 54.3 & 889.5 & 36 & 45.0 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Kerala & 98 & 57 & 2,775 & 109 & 58.2 & 522.6 & 25 & 35.3 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 801 & 530 & 14,229 & 516 & 66.2 & 1,028.0 & 28 & 56.3 \\
\hline Madras & 245 & 173 & 2,961 & 106 & 70.4 & 1,629.8 & 28 & 82.8 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 1,882 & 1,141 & 35,814 & 1,364 & 60.6 & 836.3 & 26 & 52.5 \\
\hline Mysore & 636 & 454 & 18,008 & 558 & 71.3 & 813.4 & 32 & 35.3 \\
\hline Orissa & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Punjab & 31 & 21 & 298 & 16 & 65.9 & 1,282.8 & 19 & 104.5 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 305 & 225 & 2,891 & 111 & 73.6 & 2,022.9 & 26 & 105.6 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 933 & 557 & 10,067 & 559 & 59.7 & 996.0 & 18 & 92.7 \\
\hline West Bengal & 556 & 363 & 6,548 & 330 & 65.5 & 1,099.0 & 20 & 84.9 \\
\hline INDIA & 7,491 & 4,692 & 148,866 & 4,895 & 62.6 & 958.5 & 30 & 50.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen that the expenditure on this sector is extremely small in all the states and forms a negligible proportion of the total educational expenditure. From qualitative point of view, Rajasthan probably paid the best salary to its teachers with an average annual salary of Rs. \(2,022.9\) but it was at the expense of non-teacher costs because the percentage of the expenditure incurred on non-teacher costs was only 26.4 per cent. The average annual cost per pupil was also highest (Rs. 106.6). On the other extreme, Kerala paid an average annual salary of Rs. 522.6 only. The average annual cost per pupil was also very low. The lowest cost per student was in Assam -Rs. 30.7 only. So far as proportional expenditure on these schools is concerned, Gujarat incurred the
highest proportion- \(\mathbf{0 . 6}\) per cent of its total educational expenditure in this sector.
105. Lower Primary Schools: The relevant statistics about lower primary schools in the different States of the Indian Union are given in Table XXXII.

It will be seen that the average annual salary of teachers was the highest in Maharashtra-Rs. 1260.6. Other states where the average salary was more than Rs. 1,000 are: PunjatRs. 1,247.1, Gujarat - Rs. 1,167.7, Kerala -Rs. 1,806.5, Rajasthan - Rs. 1,051.8. and Mysore - Rs. 1,021.7. Some of the states at the other end of the scale are: Orissa - Rs. 547.1, Uttar Pradesh-Rs. 606.8 and Bihar -Rs. 704.8.

TABLE XXXII
EXPENDITURE ON LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure \\
(Rs. in 000's)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolnent} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(|\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Percentage of \\
Exp. on \\
Salaries of \\
Teachers to \\
Total Exp.
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PupilTeacher Ratio} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline & & & & & & Rs. & & Rs. \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 81,033 & 73,875 & 3,064,409 & 77,002 & 91.2 & 959.4 & 40 & 26.4 \\
\hline Assam & 27,157 & 24,877 & 1,136,317 & 27,980 & 91.6 & 889.1 & 41 & 23.9 \\
\hline Bihar & 48,441 & 45,104 & 2,854,805 & 63,998 & 93.1 & 704.8 & 45 & 17.0 \\
\hline Gujarat & 29,631 & 24,255 & 785,801 & 20,771 & 81.9 & 1,167.7 & 38 & 37.7 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 4,209 & 3,761 & 158,049 & 4,606 & 89.4 & 816.6 & 34 & 26.6 \\
\hline Kerala & 58,429 & 53,678 & 1,841,079 & 49,405 & 91.9 & 1,086.5 & 37 & 31.7 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 73,852 & 60,470 & 1,840,190 & 60,499 & 81.9 & 999.5 & 30 & 40.1 \\
\hline Madras & 79,368 & 70,595 & 2,614,257 & 75,978 & 88.9 & 929.9 & 34 & 30.4 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 75,483 & 65,349 & 1,865,595 & 51,838 & 84.2 & 1,260.6 & 36 & 40.5 \\
\hline Mysore & 42,089 & 39,998 & 1,438,563 & 39,147 & 95.0 & 1,021.7 & 37 & 29.3 \\
\hline Orissa & 23,156 & 22,032 & 1,407,620 & 40,277 & 95.2 & 547.1 & 35 & 16.5 \\
\hline Punjab & 40,291 & 37,713 & 1,376,383 & 30,240 & 93.6 & 1,247.1 & 46 & 29.3 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 36,317 & 32,567 & 977,616 & 30,964 & 89.7 & 1,051.8 & 32 & 37.1 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 94,357 & 68,385 & 4,723,419 & 112,703 & 72.5 & 606.8 & 42 & 20.0 \\
\hline West Bengal & 82,835 & 75,962 & 2,843,302 & 89,769 & 91.7 & 846.2 & 32 & 29.1 \\
\hline INDIA & 826,691 & 726,060 & 29,476,314 & 794,758 & 87.8 & 913.6 & 37 & 28.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The percentage of teacher costs to total expenditure was highest in Orissa, 95.2 per cent, which means that the physical facilities in the schools in this state were comparatively the poorest. This position appears to be the best in Uttar Pradesh where teachers' salaries account for 72.5 per cent of the total expenditure. This leaves a bigger margin for providing physical facilities in schools no doubt, but in U.P. that margin may not be great, due to the fact that the teachers' salaries were meagre there.

With regard to the pupil-teacher ratio, the position seems to be the best in Madhya Pradesh-30:1 and the worst in Punjab-46:1. Regarding the average annual cost per pupil, the situation appears
to be the best in Maharashtra - Rs. 40.5, and the worst in Orissa - Rs. 16.5. If these indices are any guide, Maharashtra on the whole should have a uniformly good system of lower primary schcols, where teacher salary is the highest, where ccmparatively a fair proportion of direct expenditure is spent on providing physical facilities to their schools, where pupil-teacher ratio is not abnormally high and where the cost per pupil is the highest. On on the same standards, Orissa is on the opposite end.
106. Higher Primary Schools: The relevant statistics about higher primary schools in the different states of the Indian Union are given in Table XXXIII.

TABLE XXXIII
EXPENDITURE ON HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOLS BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Expenditure (Rs. in 000's)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average \\
Annual \\
Salary Per \\
Teacher
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PupilTeacher Ratio} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost Per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andbra Pradesh & 17,432 & 14,826 & 378,247 & 14,060 & 85.0 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
1,054.5
\end{gathered}
\] & 27 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
46.1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Assam & 11,962 & 10,193 & 215,051 & 9,642 & 85.2 & 1,057.2 & 22 & 55.6 \\
\hline Bihar & 28,778 & 24,816 & 902,806 & 26,891 & 86.2 & 922.8 & 34 & 31.9 \\
\hline Gujarat & 50,062 & 41,999 & 1,738,227 & 41,636 & 83.9 & 1,008.7 & 42 & 28.8 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 3,828 & 3,001 & 94,424 & 3,197 & 78.4 & 938.6 & 30 & 40.5 \\
\hline Kerala & 37,033 & 33,828 & 841,496 & 31,250 & 91.3 & 1,082.5 & 27 & 44.0 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 33,490 & 27,319 & 561,171 & 24,996 & 81.6 & 1,092.9 & 22 & 59.7 \\
\hline Madras & 50,913 & 43,477 & 1,399,653 & 45,178 & 85.4 & 962.3 & 31 & 36.4 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 101,510 & 85,036 & 2,646,299 & 68,196 & 83.8 & 1,246.9 & 39 & 38.4 \\
\hline Mysore & 43,544 & 39,873 & 1,396,975 & 39,018 & 91.6 & 1,021.9 & 36 & 31.2 \\
\hline Orissa & 8,178 & 6,674 & 123,960 & 6,236 & 81.6 & 1,070.3 & 20 & 66.0 \\
\hline Punjab & 19,003 & 16,937 & 434,448 & 12,291 & 89.1 & 1,378.0 & 35 & 43.7 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 22,156 & 18,636 & 369,209 & 15,031 & 84.1 & 1,239.8 & 25 & 60.0 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 29,909 & 22,265 & 601,232 & 24,695 & 74.4 & 901.6 & 24 & 49.7 \\
\hline West Bengal & 16,766 & 13,399 & 249,787 & 11,675 & 79.9 & 1,147.7 & 21 & 67.1 \\
\hline INDIA & 488,418 & 415,343 & 12,136,018 & 382,021 & 85.0 & 1,087.2 & 32 & 40.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen that the best average annual salary of higher primary school teachers was given in Punjab-Rs. 1,378.0 which was followed by Maha-rashtra-Rs. 1,246.9, Rajasthan-Rs. 1,239.8 and West Bengal-Rs. 1,157.7. The three states offering the lowest salaries were Uttar PradeshRs. 901.6, Bihar-Rs. 922.8 and Jammu \& Kashmir -Rs. 938.6. The percentage of teacher costs to total expenditure was the lowest in Uttar Pradesh-74.4 per cent, which was followed by Jammu \& Kashmir -78.4 per cent and West Bengal-79.9 per cent. The three states on the other extreme with the highest percentage of teacher costs to total expenditure were Mysore-91.6 per cent, Kerala-91.3 per cent and Punjab-89.1 per cent. The pupil-teacher ratio was the most favourable in Orissa-20:1, which was followed by West Bengal -21:1 and Madhya Pradesh and Assam-22:1 each. The three states
with the highest pupil-teacher ratios were Gujarat42:1, Maharashtra-39:1 and Mysore-36:1. The average annual cost per pupil was the highest in West Bengal-Rs. 67.1 which was followed by OrissaRs. 66. The two states with the lowest cost per pupil per annum were Gujarat-Rs. 28.8 and Mysore -Rs. 31.2. From these indices, West Bengal, on the whole, appears to be having a uniformly good system of higher primary schools, where the teacher salary is comparatively good, where a substantial part of the direct expenditure is incurred on providing physical facilities in schools, where pupil-teacher ratio is fairly low and the cost per pupil fairly high. Likewise, situation appears to be bad in Mysore.
107. Secondary Schools: The relevant statistics regarding these institutions are given below in Table XXXIV.

TABLE XXXIV: EXPENDITURE ON SECONDARY SCHOOLS BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure (Rs. in 000's)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Number of \\
Teachers
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Percentage of Exp. \\
on Salaries \\
of Teachers \\
to Total \\
Expenditure
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher Rs.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pupil- \\
Teacher Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost per Pupil
Rs.} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 59,517 & 45,392 & 642,798 & 28,296 & 76.3 & 1,604.2 & 23 & 92.6 \\
\hline Assam & 22,965 & 18,007 & 251,166 & 10,124 & 78.4 & 1,781.6 & 25 & 91.4 \\
\hline Bihar & 34,996 & 27,493 & 573,583 & 18,944 & 78.6 & 1,451.3 & 30 & 61.0 \\
\hline Gujarat & 40,799 & 26,333 & 409,554 & 15,747 & 64.6 & 1,672.3 & 26 & 99.6 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 8,223 & 3,151 & 100,217 & 4,287 & 38.3 & 735.0 & 23 & 82.0 \\
\hline Kerala & 49,360 & 40,468 & 776,720 & 30,393 & 82.0 & 1,331.5 & 26 & 63.5 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 41,325 & 28,643 & 326,669 & 16,001 & 69.3 & 1,790.1 & 20 & 126.5 \\
\hline Madras & 70,997 & 54,123 & 759,522 & 32,849 & 76.2 & 1,647,6 & 23 & 93.5 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 110,738 & 71,566 & 935,100 & 37,714 & 64.6 & 1,897.6 & 25 & 118.4 \\
\hline Mysore & 27,724 & 20,401 & 279,110 & 11,685 & 73.6 & 1,746.0 & 24 & 99.3 \\
\hline Orissa & 10,664 & 7,953 & 114,169 & 5,422 & 74.6 & 1,466.8 & 21 & 93.4 \\
\hline Punjab & 48,464 & 41,919 & 819,396 & 23,368 & 86.5 & 1,793.9 & 35 & 59.1 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 30,701 & 22,164 & 242,888 & 11,205 & 72.2 & 1,978.0 & 22 & 126.4 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 103,319 & 69,756 & 1,021,489 & 39,193 & 67.5 & 1,779.8 & 26 & 101.1 \\
\hline West Bengal & 84,505 & 58,179 & 855,285 & 31,762 & 68.8 & 1,831.7 & 27 & 98.8 \\
\hline INDIA & 791,185 & 569,994 & 8,429,721 & 330,559 & 72.0 & 1,724.3 & 26 & 93.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen from the above table that the highest average annual salary per teacher in a secondary school was in Rajasthan-Rs. 1,978.0, which was followed by Maharashtra-Rs. 1,897.6 and West Bengal-Rs. 1,831.7. The states with the lowest average salaries per teacher were: Jammu \& Kashmir-Rs. 735, Kerala-Rs. 1,331.5 and Bihar-Rs. 1,451.3. With regard to the proportion of teacher cost to total expenditure, the most favourable position was in Jammu \& Kashmir where teacher cost formed only 38.3 per cent of the total expenditure. The big proportion of direct expenditure spent on the provision of physical facilities in secondary schools in this state may not necessarily mean a substantial expenditure on that account because of the low salaries paid to teachers. At the other end was Punjab, where the expendtiure on the salaries of teachers was as high as 86.5 per cent of the total expenditure. In so far as the pupil-teacher ratio is concerned, the position was the best in Madhya Pradesh-20:1, and the least favourable in Punjab-35:1. The highest cost per pupil was in Madhya Pradesh-Rs. 126.5
and the lowest in Punjab-Rs. 59.1.
Judged on the basis of these indices, the position of secondary education, on the whole, seems to be very good in Maharashtra, where the average salary of a secondary school teacher, comparatively speaking, is fairly high, where a good part of the direct expenditure is spent on providing physical facilities in secondary schools and where the pupilteacher ratio is not abnormally high and consequently the cost per pupil is substantial. On similar grounds, secondary schcols secm to be pcor in Bihar.
108. Teacher Training Schools: The position relating to this sector varied considerably from state to state . The total expenditure on teacher training schools averaged 1.0 per cent of the total educational expenditure on India as a whole. The highest proportion of expenditure- 2.6 per cent was incurred in Bihar and Jammu \& Ke.shmir and the lowest-0.1 per cent in Madras. Some other important statistics relating to teacher training schools are given in Table XXXV.

TABLE XXXV: EXPENDITURE ON SCHOOLS FOR TEACHER TRAINING BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure \\
(Rs. in 000's)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher
Rs.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Ppupil- \\
Teacher \\
Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average Annual Cost per Pupil \\
Rs.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 3,519 & 1,821 & 16,905 & 910 & 51.8 & 2,001.2 & 19 & 208.1 \\
\hline Assam & 831 & 515 & 2,157 & 193 & 62.0 & 2,669.0 & 11 & 385.1 \\
\hline Bihar & 6,241 & 2,059 & 19,431 & 928 & 33.0 & 2,218.8 & 21 & 321.2 \\
\hline Gujarat & 2,591 & 1,358 & 9,082 & 731 & 52.4 & 1,857.7 & 12 & 285.3 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 785 & 220 & 613 & 88 & 28.0 & 2,494.6 & 7 & 1,280.3 \\
\hline Kerala & 1,025 & 737 & 7,480 & 439 & 71.9 & 1,677.8 & 17 & 137.0 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 4,734 & 2,512 & 5,444 & 524 & 52.6 & 4,793.9 & 10 & 876.9 \\
\hline Madras & 323 & 220 & 2,593 & 108 & 68.2 & 2,037.1 & 24 & 124.5 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 5,710 & 3,444 & 20,294 & 1,852 & 60.3 & 1,859.5 & 11 & 281.4 \\
\hline Mysore & 1,044 & 357 & 946 & 95 & 34.2 & 3,759.9 & 10 & 1,103.2 \\
\hline Orissa & 832 & 573 & 5,917 & 349 & 68.9 & 1,643.2 & 17 & 140.7 \\
\hline Punjab & 784 & 641 & 4,799 & 256 & 81.8 & 2,505.4 & 19 & 163.3 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 3,187 & 1,207 & 5,907 & 477 & 37.9 & 2,530.7 & 12 & 539.6 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 6,140 & 3,621 & 15,653 & 1,643 & 59.0 & 2,204.5 & 10 & 392.3 \\
\hline West Bengal & 888 & 609 & 3,119 & 265 & 68.5 & 2,296.4 & 12 & 284.6 \\
\hline INDIA & 39,704 & 20,221 & 121,652 & 8,990 & 50.9 & 2,249.3 & 14 & 326.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen from the above table that the average annual salary per member of the teaching staff in these institutions was the highest in Madras -Rs. 4,793.9 and the lowest in Orissa-Rs. 1,643.2. The percentage of the teacher costs to total expenditure was the lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir- 28.0 per cent and the highest in Punjab-81.8 per cent. The pupil-teacher ratio showed considerable variation from 7:1 in Jammu \& Kashmir to 24:1 in Madras. The average annual cost per student teacher was the highest in Jammu \& KashmirRs. \(1,280.3\) and the lowest in Madras-

Rs. 124.5 .
109. Vocational and Technical Schools (excluding Schools for Teacher Training): The vocational and technical schools (other than teacher training) accounted for 2.2 per cent of the total educational expenditure in the country as a whole. The highest proportion of the expenditure- 3.5 per cent was incurred on this sector in Gujarat and the lowest -1.2 per cent in Kerala. Some other important statistics about this sector are given below in Table XXXVI.

TABLE XXXVI
EXPENDITURE ON VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL SCHOOLS (EXCLUDING TEACHER TRAINING) BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Expenditure } \\
& \text { (Rs. in } 000 \text { 's) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pupil- \\
Teacher Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average \\
Cost per \\
Pupil
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of
Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline & & & & & & Rs. & & Rs. \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 6,108 & 3,023 & 12,662 & 1,220 & 49.5 & 2,477.5 & 10 & 482.9 \\
\hline Assam & 2,239 & 1,174 & 6,734 & 414 & 52.4 & 2,836.4 & 16 & 332.5 \\
\hline Bihar & 5,000 & 2,415 & 15,787 & 1,074 & 48.3 & 2,248.6 & 15 & 316.7 \\
\hline Gujarat & 7,403 & 4,071 & 37,005 & 1,667 & 55.0 & 2,442.1 & 22 & 200.0 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 742 & 163 & 464 & 54 & 22.0 & 3,018.5 & 9 & 1,599.1 \\
\hline Kerala & 2,591 & 1,639 & 11,413 & 907 & 63.2 & 1,807.0 & 13 & 227.0 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 5,016 & 2,172 & 6,026 & 664 & 43.3 & 3,270.3 & 9 & 832.4 \\
\hline Madras & 7,093 & 4,002 & 44,312 & 2,143 & 56.4 & 1,867.4 & 21 & 160.1 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 15,201 & 6,433 & 40,893 & 3,421 & 42.3 & 1,880.6 & 12 & 371.7 \\
\hline Mysore & 6,535 & 3,697 & 34,823 & 1,711 & 56.6 & 2,160.7 & 20 & 187.7 \\
\hline Orissa & 1,712 & 1,284 & 3,621 & 360 & 75.0 & 3,567.9 & 10 & 472.8 \\
\hline Punjab & 6,077 & 3,558 & 15,093 & 1,364 & 58.5 & 2,608.4 & 11 & 402.7 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 2,291 & 959 & 2,361 & 364 & 41.9 & 2,634.2 & 6 & 970.2 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 8,053 & 4,088 & 17,371 & 1,666 & 50.8 & 2,453.8 & 10 & 463.6 \\
\hline West Bengal & 9,997 & 5,422 & 44,021 & 2,384 & 54.2 & 2,274.3 & 18 & 227.1 \\
\hline INDIA & 88,261 & 45,457 & 297,391 & 20,049 & 51.5 & 2,267.3 & 15 & 296.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen from the above table that the average annual salary of a teacher was the highest in Orissa-Rs. 3,567.9 and the lowest in Kerala -Rs. 1,807.0. The proportion of teacher cost to total expenditure was the lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir-22.0 per cent and the highest again in Orissa- 75.0 per cent. The pupil-teacher ratio varied from 6:1 in Rajasthan to 22:1 in Gujarat; and the average annual cost was the highest in Jammu \& Kashmir-Rs. 1,599.1 and the lowest
in Madras-Rs. 160.1.
110. Schools for Special Education: This is a comparatively smaller sector. The average proportion of expenditure on schools for special education in the country as a whole was 0.9 per centthe highest ( 1.7 per cent) was incurred in Orissa and the lowest ( 0.1 per cent) in Jammu \& Kashmir. Table XXXVII gives detailed statistics about it.

TABLE XXXVII
EXPENDITURE ON SCHOOLS FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure \\
( \(R s\). in \(000^{\prime}\) s)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pupil- \\
Teacher Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline & & & & & & Rs. & & Rs. \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 2,191 & 1,388 & 54,196 & 804 & 63.8 & 1,726.3 & 68 & 40.4 \\
\hline Assam & 395 & 293 & 30,167 & 593 & 74.2 & 494.1 & 51 & 13.1 \\
\hline Bihar & 3,988 & 2,226 & 318,731 & 2,519 & 55.8 & 883.7 & 127 & 12.5 \\
\hline Gujarat & 1,706 & 845 & 183,828 & 7,125 & 45.0 & 118.6 & 26 & 10.2 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 22 & 10 & 31 & 8 & 43.6 & 1,194.2 & 4 & 706.6 \\
\hline Kerala & 509 & 337 & 2,090 & 186 & 66.1 & 1,809.5 & 11 & 243.5 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 1,186 & 855 & 40,125 & 525 & 72.1 & 1,629.0 & 76 & 29.5 \\
\hline Madras & 1,411 & 939 & 24,783 & 460 & 66.6 & 2,042.1 & 54 & 56.9 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 3,937 & 1,016 & 1,277,237 & 851 & 25.8 & 1,194.1 & 150 & 3.1 \\
\hline Mysore & 1,189 & 674 & 79,926 & 5,026 & 56.7 & 134.0 & 16 & 14.9 \\
\hline Orissa & 1,366 & 895 & 102,346 & 2,931 & 65.5 & 305.4 & 35 & 13.4 \\
\hline Punjab & 1,228 & 481 & 19,840 & 679 & 39.1 & 708.2 & 29 & 61.9 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 1,719 & 731 & 144,694 & 690 & 42.5 & 1,059.5 & 210 & 11.9 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 5,366 & 3,823 & 74,686 & 5,801 & 71.2 & 659.1 & 13 & 71.8 \\
\hline West Bengal & 5,228 & 2,837 & 236,976 & 4,558 & 54.3 & 622.4 & 52 & 22.1 \\
\hline INDIA & 34,567 & 19,148 & 2,625,997 & 34,335 & 55.4 & 557.7 & 76 & 13.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen that the average annual teacher salaries were the best in Madras-Rs. 2,042.1 and the poorest in Gujarat-Rs. 118.6. The percentage of teacher costs to total expenditure was the least in Maharashtra- 25.8 per cent and thehighest in Assam-74.2 per cent. The cost per pupil also showed similar variations-from Rs. 706.6 in Jammu \& Kashmir to Rs. 3.1 in Maharashtra. It is difficult to explain these variations on an aggregate basis. This group of schools contains miscellaneous types of institutions from a literacy class to a school for music or for the handicapped children. In Maharashtra, there was a big movement for making adults literate at very little cost to government, and it was because of this that we find higher
enrolment and a very small cost per pupil per year. In Jammu \& Kashmir, on the other hand, the institutions were few and of a different type altogether so that the cost per pupil per year was comparatively very high.
111. Universities (including Their Teaching Departments): Of the total educational expenditure, 4.2 per cent was incurred in India as a whole on universities and their teaching departmentsthe highest proportion being in Uttar Pradesh11.7 per cent and the lowest in Rajasthan-1.7 per cent. Some other important statistics regarding this sector are given in Table XXXVIII.

From the available statistics it is not possible to

TABLE XXXVIII
EXPENDITURE ON UNIVERSITIES BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure \\
(Rs. in 000's)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pupil- \\
Teacher Ratio
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) \\
\hline & & & & & Rs. & \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 14,270 & 2,328 & 3,543 & 456 & 5,105.3 & 8 \\
\hline Assam & 3,862 & 943 & 1,510 & 120 & 7,861.3 & 13 \\
\hline Bihar & 6,233 & 1,782 & 5,306 & 288 & 6,187.5 & 18 \\
\hline Gujarat & 10,637 & 170 & 563 & 57 & 2,985.9 & 10 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 1,132 & 185 & 262 & 31 & 5,961.9 & 9 \\
\hline Kerala & 5,098 & 318 & 224 & 39 & 8,150.1 & 6 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 5,486 & 1,552 & 2,771 & 202 & 7,683.1 & 14 \\
\hline Madras & 9,411 & 2,369 & 3,988 & 368 & 6,434.0 & 11 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 16,194 & 1,726 & 3,182 & 326 & 5,293.2 & 10 \\
\hline Mysore & 4,933 & 623 & 1,176 & 133 & 4,684.5 & 9 \\
\hline Orissa & 1,615 & 276 & 321 & 40 & 6,895.9 & 8 \\
\hline Punjab & 11,644 & 1,435 & 1,693 & 201 & 7,138.4 & 8 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 2,499 & 461 & 1,032 & 85 & 5,418.2 & 12 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 52,471 & 13,664 & 33,126 & 2,263 & 6,038.0 & 15 \\
\hline West Bengal & 18,167 & 6,497 & 14,193 & 1,164 & 5,581.8 & 12 \\
\hline INDIA & 168,658 & 35,994 & 75,011 & 6,022 & 5,977.1 & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
find out the expenditure on the university teaching departments. The total direct expenditure figures given in the above table relate to the total expenditure on the establishment of the universities and their teaching departments, while the enrolment, teachers and expenditure on salaries of teachers relate to university teaching departments only. On account of this limitation the proportion of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure and average annual cost per pupil cannot be studied accurately. In so far as the average annual salary per teacher is concerned, it was the highest in Kerala-Rs. 8,150.1 followed by Assam-Rs. 7,861.3 and Madhya Pradesh-Rs. 7,683.1. The states with the lowest salary per teacher were: Gujarat-Rs. 2,985.9, Mysore-Rs. 4,684.5 and Andhra Pradesh-Rs.

5,105.3. As regards student-teacher ratio, Kerala again was at the top amongst all the states with 6 pupils per teacher, followed by Andhra Pradesh, Orissa and Punjab-8:1 each. The largest number of students per teacher was in Bihar-18:1 and Uttar Pradesh-15:1.
112. Colleges for Arts and Science: In India as a whole, 5.9 per cent of the total educational expenditure was incurred on arts and science colleges. The states of Jammu \& Kashmir and Rajasthan allocated the highest proportion of their expenditure, 8.0 per cent, on this sector, while Madras and Mysore allocated the lowest- 4.4 per cent. Some important statistics about arts and science colleges are given below in Table XXXIX.

TABLE XXXIX
EXPENDITURE ON ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGES BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure (Rs. in 000's)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Number of Teachers
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pupil- \\
Teacher Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 17,727 & 10,943 & 43,753 & 3,256 & 61.7 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
3,361.0
\end{gathered}
\] & 13 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
405.2
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Assam & 5,468 & 3,973 & 27,752 & 935 & 72.7 & 4,249.1 & 30 & 197.0 \\
\hline Bihar & 17,219 & 11,902 & 82,678 & 3,236 & 69.1 & 3,678.1 & 26 & 208.3 \\
\hline Gujarat & 13,325 & 6,479 & 33,883 & 1,836 & 48.6 & 3,529.0 & 18 & 293.3 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 2,426 & 1,402 & 7,978 & 461 & 57.8 & 3,179.2 & 18 & 304.1 \\
\hline Kerala & 12,396 & 7,793 & 41,739 & 2,336 & 62.9 & 3,336.0 & 18 & 297.0 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 15,406 & 8,846 & 34,517 & 2,258 & 57.4 & 3,917.6 & 15 & 446.3 \\
\hline Madras & 16,926 & 10,172 & 44,276 & 3,087 & 60.1 & 3,295.2 & 14 & 382.3 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 29,264 & 14,890 & 86,936 & 4,194 & 50.9 & 3,550.5 & 21 & 336.6 \\
\hline Mysore & 9,561 & 6,648 & 34,692 & 2,103 & 69.5 & 3,161.3 & 16 & 275.6 \\
\hline Orissa & 4,935 & 2,602 & 12,864 & 737 & 52.7 & 3,530.3 & 17 & 383.6 \\
\hline Punjab & 16,108 & 10,588 & 46,197 & 2,600 & 65.7 & 4,072.2 & 18 & 348.7 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 11,818 & 6,543 & 25,829 & 1,654 & 55.4 & 3,956.1 & 16 & 457.5 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 21,646 & 13,874 & 71,982 & 3,690 & 64.1 & 3,760.0 & 20 & 300.7 \\
\hline West Bengal & 27,953 & 18,458 & 105,960 & 5,365 & 66.0 & 3,440.4 & 20 & 263.8 \\
\hline INDIA & 233,815 & 142,907 & 724,861 & 39,474 & 61.1 & 3,620.3 & 18 & 322.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

From the above table it will be seen that the highest average salary per teacher in colleges for arts and science was in Assam-Rs. 4,249.1. Next came Punjab-Rs. 4,072 and Rajasthan-Rs. 3,956.1. On the other end, teachers were paid the least in Mysore-Rs. 3,161.3 and Jammu \& Kashmir-Rs. 3,179.2. The percentage of teacher cost to total expenditure varied from 48.6 per cent in Gujarat to 72.7 per cent in Assam. In so far as the number of pupils per teacher is concerned, the most favourable position was in Andhra Pradesh-13:1, followed by Madras-14:1 and Madhya Pradesh 15:1. The states having the highest number of pupils per teacher were Assam-30:1, Bihar-26:1 and Maharashtra-21:1. Average annual cost per pupil varied from state to state. The highest cost
per pupil was in Rajasthan-Rs. 457.5, followed by Madhya Pradesh-Rs. 446.3 and Andhra Pradesh-Rs. 405.2. Assam spent the minimum per student-Rs. 197.0 followed by Bihar-Rs. 208.3.
113. Colleges for Teacher Training: The colleges for teacher training, which train teachers for secondary schools, accounted for 0.6 per cent of the total educational expenditure in the country as a whole. The highest proportion of the expenditure on this sector was incurred by Madhya Pradesh2.4 per cent and the lowest by Bihar- 0.1 percent. Some other important statistics about colleges for teacher training are given below in Table XL.

TABLE XL
EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR TEACHER TRAINING BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure \\
(Rs. in 000's)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Teachers' Salaries to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost Per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 827 & 526 & 1,041 & 63.6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& 794.0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Assam & 327 & 60 & 86 & 18.3 & 3,407.0 \\
\hline Bihar & 338 & 186 & 696 & 55.2 & 485.7 \\
\hline Gujarat & 626 & 314 & 873 & 50.2 & 717.5 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 350 & 93 & 246 & 26.5 & 1,423.7 \\
\hline Kerala & 896 & 477 & 2,096 & 53.2 & 427.5 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 5,951 & 3,609 & 7,166 & 60.7 & 830.4 \\
\hline Madras & 4,693 & 3,197 & 22,546 & 68.1 & 208.2 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 2,182 & 1,208 & 7,038 & 55.4 & 310.1 \\
\hline Mysore & 3,192 & 1,404 & 6,430 & 44.0 & 496.4 \\
\hline Orissa & 376 & 237 & 1,068 & 62.9 & 352.4 \\
\hline Punjab & 1,764 & 1,144 & 5;369 & 64.8 & 328.6 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 659 & 269 & 755 & 40.9 & 873.5 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 1,513 & 730 & 1,790 & 48.2 & 845.3 \\
\hline West Bengal & 1,256 & 767 & 1,659 & 61.1 & 757.1 \\
\hline INDIA & 25,760 & 16,945 & 59,583 & 65.8 & 432.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As the number of teachers in colleges for teacher training is not available, the average annual salary per teacher and pupil-teacher ratio cannot be discussed. The proportion of teacher cost to total expenditure in these colleges varied considerably from state to state. It ranged from 18.3 per cent in Assam to 68.1 per cent in Madras. The average annual cost per student was the highest in AssamRs. \(3,407.0\) followed by Jammu and KashmirRs. 1,423.7. The lowest cost was in Madras-

Rs. 208.2.
114. Colleges for Professional Education (Other than Teacher Training): In the case of colleges for professional education other than teacher training, the proportion of expenditure on this sector to total expenditure was 4.1 per cent for the whole country. It was the highest- 5.5 per cent in West Bengal and the lowest- 1.8 per cent in Uttar Pradesh. Some other important statistics about this sector are given in Table XLI.

TABLE XLI
EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION (EXCLUDING TEACHER TRAINING)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure \\
(Rs. in 000's)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Teachers' Salries to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost per Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) \\
\hline & & & & & Rs. \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 10,012 & 5,540 & 11,441 & 55.3 & 875.1 \\
\hline Assam & 3,085 & 1,730 & 2,930 & 56.1 & 1,052.9 \\
\hline Bihar & 9,332 & 4,423 & 13,170 & 47.4 & 708.5 \\
\hline Gujarat & 8,864 & 4,597 & 15,776 & 51.9 & 561.9 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 1,444 & 575 & 1,054 & 39.8 & 1,370.2 \\
\hline Kerala & 4,754 & 2,853 & 5,856 & 60.0 & 811.8 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 10,981 & 4,666 & 10,879 & 42.5 & 1,009.4 \\
\hline Madras & 13,782 & 7,962 & 12,395 & 57.8 & 1,111.9 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 25,523 & 10,191 & 32,067 & 39.9 & 795.9 \\
\hline Mysore & 9,438 & 5,078 & 14,450 & 53.8 & 653.1 \\
\hline Orissa & 2,579 & 1,505 & 2,785 & 58.4 & 926.0 \\
\hline Punjab & 8,484 & 4,200 & 7,067 & 49.5 & 1,200.5 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 6,691 & 2,782 & 5,648 & 41.6 & 1,184.6 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 8,054 & 3,711 & 6,921 & 46.1 & 1,163.7 \\
\hline West Bengal & 21,146 & 9,198 & 18,599 & 43.5 & 1,136.9 \\
\hline INDIA & 160,782 & 72,002 & 165,445 & 44.8 & 971.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For these institutions also the number of teachers is not available and as such the average salary per teacher and the pupil-teacher ratio cannot be discussed. A look at the above table will reveal that the proportion of expenditure on salaries of teachers to total expenditure was by and large 50:50 which was good for quality. Jammu \& Kashmir spent only 39.8 per cent and Kerala, on the other end, spent 60.0 per cent of its total educational expenditure on these institutions. The average annual cost per pupil was the highest in Jammu \& Kashmir —Rs. 1,370.2, followed by Punjab-Rs. 1,200.5.

Gujarat spent the minimum-Rs. 561.9 preceded by Mysore-Rs. 653.1.
115. Colleges for Special Education: The expenditure on the colleges for special education was comparatively less. On this sector only 0.2 per cent of the total educational expenditure was incurred in the country as a whole and in the states its range varied from negligible in Assam to 0.7 per cent in Jammu \& Kashmir. Other important statistics regarding this sector are given in Table XLII.

TABLE XLII
EXPENDITURE ON COLLEGES FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure (Rs. in 000's)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Enrolment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Number of Teachers} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percentage of Exp. on Salaries of Teachers to Total Expenditure} & \multirow{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pupil- \\
Teacher Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \multirow{2}{*}{Average Annual Pupil} \\
\hline & Total & Salaries of Teachers & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 561 & 556 & 1,067 & 237 & 84.1 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
2,345.4
\end{gathered}
\] & 5 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
619.6
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Assam & 11 & 9 & 18 & 4 & 78.1 & 2,190.0 & 5 & 623.5 \\
\hline Bihar & 330 & 260 & 581 & 83 & 78.7 & 3,129.6 & 7 & 568.3 \\
\hline Gujarat & 933 & 536 & 1,599 & 160 & 57.5 & 3,352.5 & 10 & 583.3 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 210 & 146 & 839 & 79 & 69.3 & 1,844.2 & 11 & 250.6 \\
\hline Kerala & 166 & 130 & 706 & 60 & 78.2 & 2,162.3 & 12 & 234.9 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 1,219 & 760 & 4,463 & 345 & 62.4 & 2,203.0 & 13 & 273.1 \\
\hline Madras & 806 & 548 & 1,782 & 203 & 68.0 & 2,701.1 & 9 & 452.4 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 946 & 516 & 2,098 & 225 & 44.9 & 2,293.2 & 9 & 547.7 \\
\hline Mysore & 337 & 218 & 2,465 & 123 & 64.7 & 1,778.7 & 20 & 136.7 \\
\hline Orissa & 263 & 197 & 591 & 76 & 75.0 & 2,598.5 & 8 & . \\
\hline Punjab & 202 & 156 & 1,299 & 76 & 77.2 & 2,052.6 & 17 & \\
\hline Rajasthan & 719 & 485 & 2,280 & 229 & 67.4 & 2,118.5 & 10 & 315.5 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 876 & 417 & 2,026 & 171 & 47.6 & 2,440.3 & 12 & 432.6 \\
\hline West Bengal & 973 & 561 & 3,460 & 390 & 57.6 & 1,437.8 & 9 & 281.1 \\
\hline INDIA & 9,414 & 5,895 & 26,348 & 2,745 & 62.6 & 2,147.5 & 10 & 357.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A general study of this table reveals large variations from state to state. This was due to the variety of institutions included in this category, which included such diverse types of colleges as colleges for oriental studies, colleges for music, dancing and other fine arts, etc. The average annual salary per teacher varied from Rs. 3,352.5 in Gujarat to Rs. \(1,437.8\) in West Bengal. As regards the percentage of expenditure on teachers' salaries to the total expenditure, Maharashtra spent the least44.9 per cent followed by Uttar Pradesh-47.6 per cent. Andhra Pradesh, on the other hand, spent the highest-84.1 per cent. Pupil-teacher ratio varied from 5:1 in Andhra Pradesh and Assam to 20:1 in Mysore. Average annual cost per pupil
was the highest in A.ssam-Rs. 623.5 and the lowest in Mysore—Rs. 136.7.
116. Indirect Expenditure: The 'indirect' expenditure covers such items as direction and inspection; buildings and equipment; scholarships, stipends and other financial concessions; hostel charges (excluding mess charges); and some miscellaneous items of non-recurring nature. The total expenditure on this sector in the country as a whole formed 26.0 per cent of total educational expenditure. Bihar incurred the highest- 33.9 per cent and Rajasthan the lowest - 18.0 per cent. Table XLIII gives the statistics of major items of indirect expenditure for the year 1961-62.

TABLE XLIII
INDIRECT EXPENDITURE BY STATES (1961-62)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure on Direction and Inspection} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure on Buildings} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure on Scholarships} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenditure on Hostel Charges} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Amount \\
(Rs. in thousands)
\end{tabular} & Percentage of Total Expenditure & Amount (Rs. in thousands) & Percentage of Total Expenditure & Amount (Rs, in thousands) & Percentage of Total Expenditure & Amount (Rs. in thousands) & Percentage of Total Expenditure \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 4,220 & 1.5 & 29,072 & 10.7 & 21,660 & 7.9 & 2,436 & 0.9 \\
\hline Assam & 2,957 & 2.8 & 11,742 & 11.3 & 6,547 & 6.3 & 737 & 0.7 \\
\hline Bihar & 8,128 & 3.3 & 40,952 & 16.4 & 18,446 & 7.4 & 2,766 & 1.1 \\
\hline Gujarat & 2,350 & 1.1 & 13,570 & 6.3 & 16,163 & 7.5 & 1,872 & 0.9 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 1,109 & 3.7 & 4,354 & 14.5 & 442 & 1.4 & 78 & 0.3 \\
\hline Kerala & 5,049 & 2.3 & 22,888 & 10.4 & 7,897 & 3.6 & 1,444 & 0.7 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 5,661 & 2.3 & 21,593 & 8.7 & 12,988 & 5.2 & 1,248 & 0.5 \\
\hline Madras & 6,341 & 1.6 & 52,732 & 13.6 & 18,668 & 4.8 & 23,917 & 6.2 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 6,454 & 1.2 & 48,756 & 8.7 & 82,028 & 14.6 & 5,575 & 1.0 \\
\hline Mysore & 5,380 & 2.5 & 30,767 & 14.1 & 8,362 & 3.8 & 1,553 & 0.7 \\
\hline Orissa & 2,407 & 3.0 & 10,416 & 12.9 & 9,366 & 11.6 & 1,146 & 1.4 \\
\hline Punjab & 5,686 & 2.6 & 404,443 & 18.8 & 8,897 & 4.1 & 1,951 & 0.9 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 2,453 & 1.7 & 12,572 & 8.5 & 3,560 & 2.4 & 497 & 0.3 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 10,627 & 2.4 & 40,929 & 9.1 & 24,397 & 5.4 & 5,580 & 1.2 \\
\hline West Bengal & 5,657 & 1.5 & 58,434 & 15.3 & 17,247 & 4.5 & 3,652 & 1.0 \\
\hline INDIA & 78,697 & 2.0 & 467,438 & 11.8 & 263,805 & 6.6 & 55,245 & 1.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen from the above table that the proportional expenditure on directionand inspection was quite meagre- 2.0 per cent for the country as a whole. In the states, it ranged from 1.1 per cent in Gujarat to 3.7 per cent in Jammu \& Kashmir. The proportion of expenditure on buildings and equipment was the highest in Punjab- 18.8 per cent, and the lowest again in Gujarat-6.3 per cent with an all-India average of 11.8 per cent. In the case of scholarships, stipends and other financial concessions, the all-India average was 6.6 per cent, while, among the states, Maharashtra topped with 14.6
per cent and Jammu \& Kashmir was at the bottom with 1.4 per cent. Rajasthan and Kerala also spent small proportions on this activity with 2.4 per cent and 3.6 per cent respectively. To provide better facilities to the needy and meritorious students, it is imperative that this activity should get larger allocation of funds in all the states.

On hostel charges (excluding expenditure on mess) proportional expenditure in the Indian Union as a whole was 1.4 per cent only. In the states, this proportion varied from 0.3 per cent in Jammu \& Kashmir to 6.2 per cent in Madras.

PART V

\section*{EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN INDIA 1960-61}

\title{
EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN INDIA 1960-61
}

\author{
A Comparative Study of Educational Development in States and Districts
}

The object of this study is to examine the inequalities in educational opportunities and development in different parts of the country. In this study we propose to discuss the ability of various states to support education as measured by their income per capita, their 'effort' made for the development of education as measured by educational expenditure incurred by them, their achievements-quantitative and qualitative-in different sectors of education during the year 1960-61 as against their background of the natural advantages which they enjoyed or the handicaps from which they suffered. It is, however, readily conceded that the educational situation in 1960-61 is just not the result of the 'effort' made during 1960-61 alone, but is the cumulative result of the 'effort' made and the educational policy pursued and priority accorded to education or to different sectors in education during the past. The object of this discussion, therefore, is to attempt to identify some of the directions in which inter-state differences exist in the sphere of education and to quantify the magnitude of these differences, so that it could be the starting point for making earnest efforts to bridge the gaps and reduce the inequalities to the absolute minimum in the country. This, however, does not imply that we should aim at making the educational situation identical in all the states. This, in a democracy, is neither feasible nor necessary. The states being autonomous, some will always be more advanced than others in some directions, while others in some other directions. What is really important is to ensure that in all essential aspects no state falls below a certain minimum standard of educational attainment.
2. This problem of unequal development of education in the country can and should be studied at several levels. At the local level, it will be worthwhile to examine the extent to which the different families in the local community or the different strata in a habitation or panchayat areas have equali-
ty of educational opportunity. At the district level, one could examine the differences in the educational facilities provided in one local area as compared to those in another local area of the same district. Likewise, at the state level, an attempt could be made to compare the educational development in one district with that in another district of the same state. Finally, the problem could also be examined at the national level to compare the educational development in one state with that in another state. This study has been limited to an examination of variations in educational opportunities between states and districts only.
3. Scope of the Study: The study is confined to the year \(1960-61\) and has two parts. The first part deals with the 15 states of the Indian Union and the second with the 312 districts in these 15 states. Nagaland, which was created as a state in 1963, naturally gets excluded. The union territories were also excluded because the problems in relation to them would need to be examined from a different point of view.
4. In comparing educational developments in the different states in Part I, the total field of comparison has been divided into four parts: (i) Natural advantages and handicaps of the areas on account of physical, demographic and social factors which affect educational development; (ii) Capacity for educational development as measured in terms of state income; (iii) Effort for educational development as indicated by the amount of educational expenditure incurred by each state; (iv) Achievements(a) quantitative-as indicated by such factors as the percentage of literacy, enrolment ratios at various stages of education, teachers, etc., and (b) qualitative -as measured in terms of outputs, the size of wastage and stagnation, and such other factors as have an indirect relationship with quality and admit of measurement in statistical terms.
4.2. In Part II, the treatment is, more or less, on the same lines as in Part I, subject, of course, to the availability of data.
5. Sources of Information: Information from the following sources has been used in this study :
(i) The Census of India, 1961;
(ii) Educational statistics of the 15 states for 1960-61; collected in Form A by the Ministry of Education and for the Indian Union as a whole (including union territories) consolidated and published by the Ministry of Education;
(iii) District-wise statistics collected in Form A-2 by the Central Planning Group of the Ministry of Education (and published by the National Council of Educational Research and Training).
5.2. The lastof these statistics have been collected for the first time and form an extremely valuable source of information.

\section*{SECTION I : STATES}

\section*{Natural Advantages and Handicaps}
6. The following are some of the major natural advantages or handicaps of states which may have affected the development of education:
(i) Density of Population: When the density of population is large and there are more people per given area, it is possible to organise bigger, economic and efficient schools with comparative ease. This is, therefore, a natural advantage. On the other hand, where the density of population is low and the people live in small and scattered hamlets and in inaccessible areas, the organisation of educational facilities becomes difficult and costly. It is, therefore, a natural handicap.
(ii) Urbanisation: The extent to which a state is urbanised has also an important bearing on educational development. In urban areas, it is easier and more economic to provide educational facilities at all levels, especially in secondary and higher education. A large proportion of the urban population is, therefore, an advantage, while a large proportion of rural population is a handicap.
(iii) Population of Backward Classes: The backward classes present difficult problems for the development of education in their midst. A large proportion of backward class population is thus a handicap, while comparatively small proportion of such population may be regarded as an advantage.
(iv) Traditional Opposition to the Education of Girls: In certain areas, there is a great traditional opposition to the education of girls due partly to historical circumstances and prevalence of systems like the purdah or child-marriage and partly to a comparatively lower status accorded to women in society. Such traditions, therefore, are obviously a handicap to educational progress, and their absence could be a great natural advantage.
(v) Proportion of Children to be Educated to Population in Age-Group 15-59: The proportion of children to be educated to the total labour force varies from area to area, depending upon the birth rate, the child mortality rate and the longevity of population. Where this proportion is large, a comparatively smaller number of adults have to maintain and educate a proportionately larger number of children-a social situation which may be described as a handicap. On the other hand, the smaller the proportion of children to be educated to the total labour force, the better it is for educational development.
(vi) Historical Circumstances: In certain areas, the development of education began early and progressed faster due to historical circumstances (for example, in most of the "British' Indian provinces). In other areas, the development of modern education started late and also remained comparatively restricted (e.g., in most of the 'Indian' princely states). Such historical factors also create advantages and handicaps in the race for educational progress.
7. Table I makes a comparison between the fifteen states on the basis of all the factors mentioned above except the fourth and the sixth which cannot be measured in statistical terms.
8. Density of Population: It will be seen from col. (2) of this table that in six states the density of population per sq. km is more than the all-India average of 138 . Kerala with 435 tops all the states

TABLE I
NATURAL ADVANTAGES AND HANDICAPS OF STATES IN INDIA 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Density of Population per sq. km.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentge of Villages with less than 500 Persons to Total No. of Villages} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Population in Such Villages to Total Rural Population} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Percentage of Persons Belonging to Backward Classes to Total Population} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Urban Population to Total Population} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Percentage \\
of Children in Age-Group 6-13 to Total Labour Force Age-Group 15-59
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & & Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes & All Backward
Classes & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 131 & 39.9 & 7.4 & 13.8 & 3.7 & 17.5 & 17.4 & 33.8 \\
\hline Assam & 97 & 71.4 & 33.5 & 6.2 & 17.4 & 23.6 & 7.7 & 41.8 \\
\hline Bihar & 268 & 62.7 & 21.6 & 14.1 & 9.1 & 23.2 & 8.4 & 38.6 \\
\hline Gujarat & 112 & 45.8 & 14.3 & 6.6 & 13.4 & 20.0 & 25.8 & 39.6 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & r 26 & 70.0 & 33.9 & 7.5 & - & 7.5 & 16.7 & 35.4 \\
\hline Kerala & 435 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 8.4 & 1.2 & 9.6 & 15.1 & 37.5 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 74 & 75.3 & 41.8 & 13.2 & 20.6 & 33.8 & 14.3 & 34.9 \\
\hline Madras & 259 & 14.5 & 2.2 & 18.0 & 0.8 & 18.8 & 26.7 & 31.2 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 129 & 47.7 & 15.0 & 5.6 & 6.1 & 11.7 & 28.2 & 36.1 \\
\hline Mysore & 123 & 55.2 & 19.2 & 13.2 & 0.8 & 14.0 & 22.3 & 35.8 \\
\hline Orissa & 113 & 77.8 & 41.7 & 15.8 & 24.1 & 39.9 & 6.3 & 35.2 \\
\hline Punjab & 166 & 51.1 & 15.3 & 20.4 & 0.1 & 20.5 & 20.1 & 41.9 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 55 & 67.0 & 28.7 & 16.7 & 11.5 & 28.2 & 16.3 & 39.2 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 250 & 61.9 & 24.4 & 20.9 & - & 20.9 & 12.9 & 35.2 \\
\hline West Bengal & 394 & 58.0 & 19.2 & 19.9 & 5.9 & 25.8 & 24.5 & 36.5 \\
\hline INDIA & 138 & 62.1 & 21.0 & 14.7 & 6.8 & 21.5 & 18.0 & 36.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source; Office of the Registrar General of India. followed by West Bengal-394, Bihar-268 and Madras-259. The three states with lowest density are Jammu \& Kashmir-26, Rajasthan-59 and Madhya Pradesh-74.
9. Number of Small Villages: The number of villages with less than 500 persons and the population therein shows the extent to which people live in small and scattered habitations. A large number of small villages in a state and a sizeable population in such villages are handicaps. A comparison between the different states from this point of view is given in cols. (3) and (4) of Table I. It will be seen from these that Kerala is in the most advantageous position in this regard, the percentage of
villages with less than 500 population being only 0.4 and the percentage of population in such villages is negligible. Next in order comes the State of Madras followed by Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Punjab, Mysore and West Bengal. All these states hold the same rank on both the bases. On the basis of the number of small villages Uttar Pradesh ranks ninth and Bihar tenth, while these positions are reversed if the population in the villages is taken as the basis of comparison. Rajasthan occupiesithe eleventh position on both counts. Assam, Jammu \& Kashmir, Madhya Pradesh and Orissa hold the last four positions. By and large, it may be said that the states which have large forest areas (Madhya Pradesh and Orissa) or which comprise
large hilly tracts (Assam and Jammu \& Kashmir) figure lower down in the list. Uttar Pradesh and Bihar present a mixed position. The portion of these states which lies in the Gangetic Plain is densely populated and, if this alone were to be considered, both these states would rank very high indeed, probably next to Kerala. But the presence of large hilly tracts, where the density of population is very low, reduces them to the ninth and the tenth positions. The case of Rajasthan is peculiar. The western part of the state is a desert where the density of population is very low and it is the presence of this desert area that gives the eleventh rank to the state. If this area were to be excluded, the position of this state would also be fairly high. Such variations within the state itself will have to be taken into consideration while planning equality of educational opportunity at the state level; but they are outside the scope of this study at the national level.
10. Population of Backward Classes: The population of the backward* classes is another indicator of social conditions which inhibit educational growth, a large proportion of this population being a handicap. A comparison of different states from this point of view can be made from cols. (5) to (7) of Table I. It will be seen that the State of Jammu \& Kashmir has the least disadvantage with a total backward class population of 7.5 per cent only (all scheduled castes and no scheduled tribes). Kerala is another state where the total backward class population ( 9.6 per cent-scheduled castes 8.4 per cent and scheduled tribes 1.2 per cent) is small and is, therefore, less of a handicap. It is followed by Maharashtra with a total backward class populalation of 11.7 per cent (scheduled castes 5.6 per cent and scheduled tribes 6.1 per cent). It must be pointed out, however, that the factual situation in Maharashtra is a little worse. A large number of scheduled caste persons became Buddhists and have thus ceased to be classified as scheduled castes; but this religious transformation has not, in any way, altered their educational, social or economic condition.
10.2. The states which have sizable proportion

\footnotetext{
*These include only scheduled castes and scheduled tribes and exclude 'other backward classes'.
}
of backward class population, say, more than the all-India average of 21.5 per cent, are: Orissa (39.9 per cent - 15.8 per cent scheduled castes and 24.1 per cent scheduled tribes), Rajasthan (28.2 per cent- 16.7 per cent scheduled castes and 11.5 per cent scheduled tribes), West Bengal (25.8 per cent-19.9 per cent scheduled castes and 5.9 per cent scheduled tribes), Assam (23.6 per cent6.2 per cent scheduled castes and 17.4 per cent scheduled tribes), and Bihar ( 23.2 per cent- 14.1 per cent scheduled castes and 9.1 per cent scheduled tribes). It may, however, be mentioned that with the special attention paid by Government during the last two decades or so, the educational situation of the scheduled castes is comparatively better than that of scheduled tribes. In the case of the scheduled castes who live with the general community and as such are close to the educational facilities, the question is only of bringing their children to schools, but in the case of scheduled tribes who live in small pockets in inaccessible areas, the very provision of educational facilities is a problem. This problem is made much more diffcult by the prevalence of a large numbr of underdeveloped dialects having in most cases no script. In the circumstances, the presence of a larger population of scheduled tribes may be considered to be a more serious handicap than that of scheduled castes.
11. Considering the position of the scheduled castes and scheduled tribes separately, we find that in so far as the scheduled castes are concerned, their population in the Union as a whole is 14.7 per cent. The states where the handicap is really significant are those which are above the national average, namely, Orissa, Rajaasthan, Madrus, West Bengal and Uttar Pradesh. The case of Maharashtra, which is below the national average, has already been explained. With regard to scheduled tribes, the ranking of the states is a little different. Two states-Jammu \& Kashmir and Uttar Pradeshdo not have any scheduled tribes. Their population is extremely small in Punjab ( 0.1 per cent), Madras ( 0.8 per cent), Mysore ( 0.8 per cent), and Kerala (1.2 per cent). The states which have a serious handicap in this regard include Orissa (24.1 per cent), M.P. (20.6 per cent), Assam(17.4 per cent), Gujarat (13.4 per cent), Rajasthan (11.5 per cent) and Bihar (9.1 per cent).
12. Urbanisation: The extent of urbanisation varies considerably from state to state. It is obvious that the greater the urbanisation, the easier it is to provide educational facilities and larger are the resources available for the purpose. The extent of urbanisation in the different states of the Indian Union is shown in col. (8) of Table I. It will be seen that the largest extent of urbanisation is in Maharashtra ( 28.2 per cent), then come Madras ( 26.7 per cent) and Gujarat ( 25.8 per cent). Other states where the extent of urbanisation is greater than the all-India average are West Bengal (24.5 per cent), Mysore (22.3 per cent) and Punjab (20.1 per cent).
12.2. On the other end, the predominantly rural states, where the proportion of urban population is less than 10 per cent, include Orissa (with an urban population of 6.3 per cent), Assam ( 7.7 per cent) and Bihar ( 8.4 per cent).
13. Proportion of Educable Children to Total Labour Force: Another aspect in which the different states of the Union could be compared by way of determining the extent of natural advantage/ handicap to which these are subjected, is the proportion of children in the age-group 6-13 (which represents the educational load on the state) to the total working labour force (age-group 15-59) which represents the adult working population. If this proportion is large, as often happens in areas where the birth-rate is large and increasing, it is a handicap because a given number of persons in the labour force will have to maintain and educate a proportionately larger number of children. On the other hand, a small percentage of these children may be regarded as an advantage. Col. (9) in Table I gives the position in this regard for the different states on the basis of the 1961 Census.
13.2. It will be seen that the proportion of children to the total labour force is the least in Madras (31.2 per cent) and that it is the highest in Punjab ( 41.9 per cent). Between these, the states with a smaller proportion of children than the national average are Andhra Pradesh ( 33.8 per cent), Madhya Pradesh (34.9 per cent), Orissa ( 35.2 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 35.2 per cent), Jammu \& Kashmir ( 35.4 per cent), Mysore ( 35.8 per cent) and Maharashtra (36.1 per cent).

\section*{Ability and Effort to Support Education}
14. We shall now turn to a discussion of the ability of each state to support programmes of educational development and the effort they are making to support education. The statistics showing the variations in states on account of these factors are given in Table II.
15. State Income Per Head of Population: The state income is a good measure of the financial ability of the state to support a programme of edu. cational development. We may, therefore, compare the state income per head of population and rate of growth of state income over given periods. The data relating to the distribution of national income by states for the year 1960-61 have been recently compiled and published by the National Council of Applied Economic Research, New Delhi.
15.2. The income per capita varies considerably from state to state. In 1960-61, it was the lowest in Bihar (Rs. 220.7) and the highest in Maharashtra (Rs. 468.5). The position regarding the other states can be seen from col. (2) of Table II. It will be seen that the richer states (those whose income per head is above the national average) include Gujarat (Rs. 393.4), Punjab (Rs. 451.3), West Bengal (Rs. 464.6) and Maharashtra (Rs. 468.5).
16. State Income Per Child: It may be interesting to compare how the state income varies per child in the age-group 6-13. It will be seen from col. (3) of Table II that Bihar has the lowest income per child to be educated (Rs. 1,089.9), while Maharashtra has the highest (Rs. 2,376.1). The states of Rajasthan (Rs. 1,297.0), Orissa (Rs. 1,426.6), Jammu \& Kashmir (Rs. 1,488.0), Madhya Pradesh (Rs. 1,507.2), Andhra Pradesh (Rs. 1,522.9), Uttar Pradesh (Rs. 1,553.5), Kerala (Rs. 1,577.5), are below the national average of Rs. 1,706.6.
16.2. On the other hand, the states of Madras (Rs. 1,849.6), Gujarat (Rs. 1,891.9), Punjab (Rs. \(2,116.7\) ) and West Bengal (Rs. 2,365.6) are above the national average.
17. Rate of Growth of Economy: It will be seen from col. (4) of Table II that the total growth of economy during the first two Plans put together was

TABLE II
ABILITY AND EFFORT TO SUPPORT EDUCATION IN STATES IN INDIA 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline State & Income Per Head of Population & Income Per Child in AgeGroup (6-13) & Total Growth of Economy during 1 st and 2nd Plans & Index of Total Growth Rate of Economy in Relation to Population Growth & Total State Revenue Per Head of Population & Percentage
of State
Revenue to
Total
State
Income & Percentage of Expenditure on Education to Total State Income & Percentage
of
Expendi-
ture from
Govt. Funds
to Total
State
Revenue & \begin{tabular}{l}
Expendi- \\
ture on Education Per Head of Population
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) \\
\hline Andbra Pradesh & 287.0 & 1,522.9 & 18.6 & 119.2 & 22.5 & 7.8 & 2.5 & 18.0 & 7.1 \\
\hline Assam & 333.3 & 1,573.2 & 15.9 & 46.2 & 29.7 & 8.9 & 2.3 & 15.9 & 7.6 \\
\hline Bihar & 220.7 & 1,089.9 & 37.4 & 188.9 & 17.0 & 7.7 & 2.2 & 19.5 & 4.9 \\
\hline Gujarat & 393.4 & 1,891.9 & 40.5 & 150.6 & 26.9 & 6.8 & 2.3 & 20.9 & 9.2 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 289.0 & 1,488.0 & N.A. & N.A. & 40.8 & 14.1 & 2.0 & 13.1 & 5.7 \\
\hline Kerala & 314.9 & 1,564.1 & 27.3 & 110.1 & 26.8 & 8.5 & 3.6 & 34.9 & 11.5 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 285.4 & 1,507.2 & 56.3 & 232.6 & 223 & 7.8 & 2.2 & 22.0 & 6.2 \\
\hline Madras & 334.1 & 1,849.6 & 45.2 & 383.1 & 27.6 & 8.3 & 2.8 & 19.8 & 9.4 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 468.5 & 2,376.1 & 78.7 & 333.5 & 28.4 & 6.1 & 2.6 & 23.4 & 12.4 \\
\hline Mysore & 304.7 & 1,577.5 & 24.0 & 111.1 & 34.7 & 11.4 & 2.5 & 13.1 & 7.5 \\
\hline Orissa & 276.2 & 1,426.6 & 18.5 & 93.4 & 20.9 & 7.6 & 1.5 & 14.2 & 4.3 \\
\hline Punjab & 451.3 & 2,116.7 & 46.6 & 179.9 & 30.2 & 6.7 & 2.1 & 18.9 & 9.3 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 267.4 & 1,297.0 & 26.9 & 102.7 & 21.8 & 8.2 & 2.4 & 23.2 & 6.3 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 297.4 & 1,553.5 & 22.6 & 135.3 & 19.7 & 6.6 & 1.8 & 12.5 & 5.4 \\
\hline West Bengal & 464.6 & 2,365.6 & 30.9 & 94.2 & 27.3 & 5.9 & 2.1 & 19.1 & 9.8 \\
\hline INDIA & 334.5 & 1,706.6 & 35.1 & 163.3 & 24.4* & 7.4* & * 2.4 & 19.0* & 7.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N.A. \(=\) Not Available.

Source: 1. Distribution of National Income by States 1960-61, National Council of Applied Economic Research, New Delhi, for cols. 2, 3, 4 and 5.
2. Resport of the Third Finance Commission for col. 6
3. Form A of the Ministry of Education for cols. 8, 9 and 10.
* All States only.
35.1 per cent in the Indian Union as a whole. The lowest growth was in Assam ( 15.9 per cent) and the highest in Maharashtra ( 78.7 per cent). The states of Orissa ( 18.5 per cent), Andhra Pradesh (18.6 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 22.6 per ent), Mysore ( 24.0 per cent), Rajasthan ( 26.9 per cent), Kerala
(27.3 per cent) and West Bengal ( 30.9 per cent) were below the national average; while the states of Bihar ( 37.4 per cent), Gujarat ( 40.5 per cent), Madras ( 45.2 per cent), Punjab ( 46.6 per cent) and Madhya Pradesh ( 56.3 per cent) were above the national average.
18. It is not enough to compare the rate of growth in the economy. It is essential to relate it to the growth of population also, because it is the increase in income per head of population that matters. For this purpose, an index of development was devised on the following basis:
\[
\text { Index }=\frac{\text { Total growth rate of economy }}{\text { Total growth rate of population }} \times 100
\]
18.2. This index has been given in column (5) of Table II. It will be seen therefrom that the ranking of the states is a little different than when the rate of growth of economy alone is considered. The first position is now taken, not by Maharashtra, but by Madras with an index of 383.1, but the lowest position is continued to be taken by Assam with an idex of 46.2 only. The remaining states in a descending order are: Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Punjab, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Mysore, Kerala, Rajasthan, West Bengal and Orissa.
19. Total State Revenue Per Head of Population: The average state revenue per head of population is only Rs. 24.4 and the first place is taken by Jammu \& Kashmir where the totalstate revenues are as high as Rs. 40.8 per head of population. This is followed in a descending order by Mysore (Rs. 34.7), Punjab (Rs. 30.2), Assam (Rs. 29.7), Maharashtra (Rs. 28.4), Madras (Rs. 27.6), West Bengal (Rs. 27.3), Gujarat (Rs. 26.9) and Kerala (Rs. 26.8) which are all above the national average. The remaining six states, viz., Andhra Pradesh (Rs. 22.5), Madhya Pradesh (Rs. 22.3), Rajasthan (Rs. 21.8), Orissa (Rs. 20.9), Uttar Pradesh (Rs. 19.7) and Bihar (Rs. 17.0) are below the national average.
20. State Revenue as Percentage of Total State Income: The total state revenue forms 7.4 per cent of the total income of the states. The statistics for various states are given in col. (7) of Table II.
20.2. It will be seen that the total revenues bear the highest proportion to total state income in Jammu \& Kashmir (14.1 per cent). This is followed, in a descending order, by Mysore (11.4 per cent), Assam ( 8.9 per cent), Kerala ( 8.5 per cent), Madras ( 8.3 per cent), Rajasthan ( 8.2 per cent), Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh (7.8 per cent),

Bihar ( 7.7 per cent) and Orissa ( 7.6 per cent)-all of which are above the national average. The remaining four states, viz., |Gujarat ( 6.8 per cent), Punjab ( 6.7 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 6.6 per cent), Maharashtra (6.1 per cent) and West Bengal (5.9 per cent) are below the national average.
21. Expenditure on Education: The total educational expenditure in a state per head of population and its percentage to total state income are good measures of its effort to develop education. Secondly, we may also compare the educational expenditure from Government funds to total revenue of the state as another measure of its effort to develop education. The detailed comparison on the basis mentioned above is given below.
22. Expenditure on Education as Percentage of Total State Income: The total educational expenditure formed 2.4 per cent of the total national income in 1961. The position in the states, however, shows considerable variations. The highest percentage is recorded in Kerala ( 3.6 per cent) which is followed, in a descending order, by Madras ( 2.8 per cent), Maharashtra ( 2.6 per cent), Andhra Pradesh (2.5 per cent), Mysore ( 2.5 per cent), and Rajasthan ( 2.4 per cent), which are equal to or above the national average. The remaining nine states, viz., Gujarat ( 2.3 per cent), Assam ( 2.3 per cent), Bihar ( 2.2 per cent), Madhya Pradesh ( 2.2 per cent), West Bengal ( 2.1 per cent), Punjab ( 2.1 per cent), Jammu \& Kashmir ( 1.9 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 1.8 per cent) and Orissa ( 1.5 per cent) are below the national average.
23. Total Educational Expenditure from State Funds in Relation to State Revenues: Another way to compare the effort of the states for educational development would be on the basis of the proportion of total educational expenditure from state funds to total state revenues from all sources. The details of these are given in col. (9) of Table II.
23.2. It will be seen from this column that, taking all the states together, the expenditure from state funds is 19.0 per cent of the total state revenues from all sources. The Kher Committee (1950) recommended that the states should spend about 20 per cent of their revenues on education, and, in a way, the target may be said to have been reached.

There are, however, considerable variations from state to state. Kerala stands first with 34.9 per cent of its total revenues being spent on education. This is followed, in a descending order, by Maharashtra ( 23.4 per cent), Rajasthan ( 23.2 per cent), Madhya Pradesh ( 22.0 per cent), Gujarat (20.9 per cent), Madras (19.8 per cent), Bihar (19.5 per cent) and West Bengal (19.1 per cent) which are above the national average. The remaining seven states, viz., Punjab (18.9 per cent), Andhra Pradesh ( 18.0 per cent), Assam (15.9 per cent), Orissa (14.2 per cent), Mysore ( 13.1 per cent), Jammu \& Kashmir (13.1 per cent) and Uttar Pradesh (12.5 per cent) are below the national average.
24. Educational Expenditure Per Head of Population: It will be seen from col. (10) of Table II that in the year \(1960-61\), Rs. 7.8 per head of population were spent on education in India as a whole. Six states, viz., Gujarat (Rs. 9.2), Kerala (Rs. 11.5), Madras (Rs. 9.4), Maharashtra (Rs. 12.4), Punjab (Rs. 9.3) and West Bengal (Rs. 9.8) spent more than the national average, while the remaining nine states spent less than the national average. Maharashtra spent the maximum, while Orissa (Rs. 4.3), Bihar (Rs. 4.9) and U.P. (Rs. 5.4) spent the least.

\section*{Achievements-Quantitative and Qualitative}
25. Educational Levels of the Population: The Census of 1961 gives data about the educational level of the total population divided in four categories: (1) Illiterate; (2) Literate (without educational level); (3) Persons who have passed primary or junior Basic school; and (4) Persons who have passed matriculation or higher examination. These data are given in Table III.
26. While using these data, it should be borne in mind that these indices are based on the total population of the states including infants and small children between the ages 0 and 5 or 6 or even 7 years, in whose case the question of literacy and, for that matter, of higher qualifications just does not arise. The taking into consideration of this segment of population-which is quite substantialwhile working out these indices depresses the position of literates and of educated persons. These indices may, therefore, be taken as indicative of the relative position of different states, rather than as an appraisal of the exact position.
27. It will be seen that among men, the lowest number of illiterates per thousand of population is in Kerala (450), which happens to be the only state where the literates outnumber the illiterates. It is followed by Madras (555) and Maharashtra (580). The three states in which the illiteracy is the highest among men are Madhya Pradesh (730), Rajasthan (763) and Jammu \& Kashmir (830). Among women, Kerala again has the lowest number of illiterates ( 611 women per thousand of population) which is followed by Gujarat (809) and Mysore (813). The three states with the highest proportion of illiterates among women are again Madhya Pradesh (933), Rajasthan (942) and Jammu \& Kashmir (957). Thus, Kerala and Jammu \& Kashmir present the picture in contrast.
28. With regard to the number of persons who have received secondary and higher education, it will be seen that, in so far as men are concerned, Punjab stands first ( 48 persons per thousand population). This is followed by West Bengal (47 persons per thousand population), and Kerala (41 persons per thousand population). The four states with the lowest proportion of persons with secondary and higher education are Assam and Rajasthan (19 persons per thousand population each), Madhya Pradesh (18 persons per thousand population), and Orissa ( 12 persons per thousand population). In so far as women are concerned, Kerala stands first ( 19 persons per thousand population) and is followed by Punjab (11 persons per thousand population) and Maharashtra ( 10 persons per thousand population). The three states with the lowest number of women per thousand population who have received secondary or higher education are Rajasthan and Bihar ( 2 persons per thousand population) and Orissa (l person per thousand population).
29. Lower Primary School Education: Let us now compare the achievements of the different states in lower primary education. The detailed statistics for this will be found in Table IV.
(a) Enrolment: Cols. (2) to (4) give the enrolment in classes \(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V}\) as percentage of the population of children in the age-group 6-10. With regard to boys, the percentage of enrolment to their population in India as a whole is 82.6 while the same in respect of girls is as low as 41.4 . These all-India averages cover wide state variations. The three
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TABLE IV
STATISTICS OF LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN STATES 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Enrolment in Classes I-V as Percentage of Population in Age-Group 6-10}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Number of Students who Reached Class IVIV in 1961 from 100 Pupils who Began in Class 1 Four or Five Years Earlier}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Teachers} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Number of Pupils per Teacher} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Expenditure} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Percentage} & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Percentage of Teacher Cost to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Average Annual Salary Per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Average Annual Cost Per Pupil} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total Direct Expenditure on Primary Schools Per Capita} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{of Trained Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
of \\
Women Teachers
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\text { of } \\
\text { Matricu- } \\
\text { lates } \\
\text { and } \\
\text { Above }
\end{array}\right|
\]} & & & & & \\
\hline & Boys & Girls & Total & Boys & Girls & Total & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 84.3 & 52.2 & 68.3 & 34.8 & 24.4 & 30.5 & 82.7 & 18.1 & 33.2 & 36 & 91.1 & \[
\begin{gathered}
R s . \\
938.2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
R s . \\
28.4
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
R s . \\
2.1
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Assam & 84.7 & 50.4 & 67.7 & 29.6 & 23.2 & 27.1 & 39.3 & 14.0 & 7.3 & 40 & 88.5 & 747.7 & 21.3 & 1.9 \\
\hline Bihar & 76.0 & 24.1 & 50.7 & 33.3 & 23.6 & 31.2 & 71.2 & 8.6 & 24.6 & 45 & 94.2 & 700.6 & 16.4 & 1.0 \\
\hline Gujarat & 90.1 & 52.9 & 72.1 & 30.8 & 21.6 & 27.1 & 35.6 & 19.2 & 29.3 & 37 & 83.4 & 1,242.3 & 40.4 & 1.4 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 71.0 & 20.7 & 46.6 & 56.9 & 50.8 & 55.6 & 54.1 & 17.0 & 62.9 & 34 & 78.8 & 680.1 & 25.7 & 1.1 \\
\hline Kerala & 115.4 & 100.0 & 108.2 & 70.4 & 70.2 & 70.3 & 90.8 & 43.0 & 51.6 & 39 & 92.0 & 1,091.1 & 30.6 & 3.3 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 75.0 & 22.4 & 49.2 & 31.8 & 30.4 & 31.5 & 51.0 & 10.7 & 28.5 & 29 & 81.6 & 888.8 & 36.9 & 1.9 \\
\hline Madras & 104.8 & 65.9 & 85.5 & 49.1 & 41.1 & 46.0 & 95.9 & 31.8 & 33.7 & 34 & 89.2 & 875.9 & 29.2 & 2.2 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 95.1 & 58.4 & 77.3 & 30.8 & 21.6 & 27.1 & 49.8 & 20.1 & 28.7 & 35 & 87.2 & 1,199.7 & 38.9 & 1.8 \\
\hline Mysore & 91.9 & 55.3 & 73.8 & 31.3 & 19.8 & 26.5 & 43.4 & 16.5 & 32.5 & 33 & 93.8 & 963.9 & 30.8 & 1.7 \\
\hline Orissa & 89.3 & 39.0 & 63.7 & 45.5 & 22.3 & 39.3 & 38.5 & 2.2 & 6.0 & 36 & 91.2 & 504.0 & 15.2 & 1.2 \\
\hline Punjab & 65.0 & 34.7 & 50.8 & 52.0 & 40.5 & 48.3 & 92.1 & 26.8 & 68.5 & 41 & 84.4 & 1,146.8 & 36.1 & 1.8 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 64.0 & 16.3 & 40.9 & 50.5 & 43.4 & 48.9 & 50.8 & 10.2 & 74.6 & 31 & 89.6 & 923.9 & 33.3 & 1.5 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 68.8 & 19.5 & 44.7 & 41.2 & 34.1 & 39.7 & 74.8 & 11.8 & 22.3 & 40 & 78.8 & 624.4 & 19.8 & 1.1 \\
\hline West Bengal & 83.7 & 45.9 & 64.9 & 32.1 & 22.0 & 28.2 & 38.1 & 9.6 & 64.6 & 31 & 92.5 & 782.7 & 26.9 & 2.0 \\
\hline INDIA & 82.6 & 41.4 & 62.4 & 38.3* & 29.4* & 35.1* & 64.1 & 17.1 & 36.3 & 36 & 88.1 & 872.8 & 27.6 & 1.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Form 'A' of the Ministry of Education.
*Percentage of enrolment in class V in 1960-61 to enrolment in class I in 1956-57.
(b) Wastage and Stagnation: Cols. (5) to (7) show the extent of wastage and stagnation. It will be seen therefrom that these evils are the least in Kerala (29.7 per cent) and Jammu \& Kashmir ( 44.4 per cent). They are very high in Gujarat and Maharashtra ( 72.9 per cent) and Mysore ( 73.5 per cent).
(c) Teachers: The information regarding general education and professional training of teachers as well as proportion of women teachers in lower primary schools is given in cols. (8) to (10) of Table IV. It will be seen that the percentage of trained teachers is the highest in Madras (95.9), Punjab (92.1) and Kerala (90.8) while it is the lowest in Orissa (38.5), West Bengal (38.1) and Gujarat (35.6). As regards general qualification of primary teachers, out of 100 teachers in each state, the number of matriculate teachers was 75 in Rajasthan, 69 in Punjab, and 65 in West Bengal. On the other end of the scale come Orissa with 6 matriculate teachers out of 100 primary teachers and Assam with 7. The proportion of women teachers is the highest in Kerala ( 43.0 per cent), Madras ( 31.8 per cent) and Punjab ( 26.8 per cent), while it is the lowest in West Bengal ( 9.6 per cent), Bihar ( 8.6 per cent) and Orissa ( 2.2 per cent).

It will also be seen from col. (11) that the average number of pupils per teacher is the lowest in Madhya Pradesh (29), which is followed by Rajasthan and West Bengal ( 31 each). The four states with the highest pupil-teacher ratios are Assam and Uttar Pradesh (40) each, Punjab (41) and Bihar (45).
(d) Financial Aspects of Primary Education: The statistics about financial aspects of lower primary education can be seen in cols. (12) to (15) of Table IV.

The percentage of teacher costs to total direct expenditure in lower primary schools is 88.1 for the country as a whole. It is the lowest in Uttar Pradesh ( 79.8 per cent) and the highest in Bihar ( 94.2 per cent). It only shows that in lower primary schools we provide hardly anything except the teacher.

It will be seen from col. (13) that the average annual salary of primary teacher is the highest in Gujarat (Rs. 1,242.3), which is followed by Maha-
rashtra (Rs. 1,199.7) and Punjab (Rs. 1,146.8). The three states with the lowest salary are Jammu \& Kashmir (Rs. 680.1), Uttar Pradesh (Rs. 624.4) and Orissa (Rs. 504.0). The latter group of states pay to their teachers practically half of what the states in the former group pay which themselves are not very high salaries by any standard.

The average annual cost per pupil in lower primary schools is Rs. 27.6 for the country as a whole. It is the highest in Gujarat (Rs. 40.4) and the lowest in Orissa (Rs. 15.2).

The total direct expenditure on lower primary schools per head of population is Rs. 1.7 only for the country as a whole. This is the highest in Kerala (Rs. 3.3), which is followed by Madras (Rs. 2.2) and Andhra Pradesh (Rs. 2.1). The three states with the lowest expenditure on lower primary schools per head of population are Jammu \& Kashmir and Uttar Pradesh (Rs. 1.1 each) and Bihar (Rs. 1.0).
30. Higher Primary School Education: Detailed statistics relating to the development of higher primary school education in different states of the Indian Union are given in Table \(\mathbf{V}\).
(a) Enrolment: It will be seen from cols. (2) to (4) that the enrolment in classes VI-VIII was 22.5 per cent of the population in the agegroup 11-13 ( 33.2 per cent in the case of boys and 11.3 per cent in the case of girls) for the country as a whole. Out of 100 boys in this age-group in each state, Kerala enrolled about 68 while Orissa enrolled only 16, Rajasthan 24 and Madhya Pradesh 26. Among girls the gap was much wider. Out of 100 girls in the same age-group in each state, Kerala enrolled 49, while Orissa enrolled only 2, Rajasthan 4 and Uttar Pradesh 5.
(b) Wastage and Stagnation: The details about wastage and stagnation at the higher primary school stage are given in cols. (5) to (7) in Table V. It will be seen therefrom that the wastage at this stage is the lowest in Assam ( 7.4 per cent) and the highest in Maharashtra ( 51 per cent).
(c) Teachers: It will be seen from col. (8) that the percentage of trained teachers for the country as a whole was 65.5 . It was the highest in Madras

TABLE V
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Enrolment in Classes VI- \\
VIII as Percentage of Population in Age-Group 11-13
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Number of Students who Reached Class VII/VIII in 1961 from 100 Pupils who Began in Class V/VI Three Years Earlier}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Teachers} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { Pupiis } \\
\text { Per } \\
\text { Teacher }
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Expenditure} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & Percentage & & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Percentage of Teacher Cost to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Average Annual Salary Per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Average Annual Cost Pupil} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Direct \\
Exp.on \\
Primary \\
Schools \\
Per \\
Capita
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{of
Trained Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{of Matriculates and Above} & & & & & \\
\hline & Boys & Girls & Total & Boys & Girls & Total & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 26.1 & 7.6 & 16.8 & 79.7 & 70.2 & 77.5 & 77.0 & 24.1 & 59.1 & 26 & 80.9 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
1,607.6
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
47.1
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
R s . \\
0.5
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Assam & 36.4 & 14.6 & 25.8 & 91.2 & 96.8 & 92.6 & 26.0 & 12.3 & 51.3 & 23 & 78.3 & 869.0 & 49.2 & 0.8 \\
\hline Bihar & 29.3 & 3.7 & 17.1 & 91.2 & 86.9 & 90.7 & 63.4 & 8.3 & 64.7 & 33 & 88.4 & 935.5 & 32.5 & 0.6 \\
\hline Gujarat & 36.6 & 15.2 & 26.3 & 49.6 & 47.3 & 49.0 & 54.3 & 29.6 & 40.2 & 39 & 91.1 & 1,049.9 & 29.7 & 2.2 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 37.9 & 9.5 & 24.4 & 68.2 & 93.3 & 71.5 & 56.7 & 11.3 & 80.8 & 27 & 86.7 & 1,133.7 & 48.3 & 0.9 \\
\hline Kerala & 67.7 & 49.1 & 58.3 & 87.9 & 82.0 & 85.3 & 77.9 & 42.9 & 63.4 & 27 & 88.6 & 1,050.1 & 44.1 & 2.0 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 25.6 & 5.4 & 15.5 & 83.4 & 73.3 & 81.8 & 50.8 & 12.4 & 58.4 & 22 & 80.7 & 946.2 & 52.6 & 0.8 \\
\hline Madras & 44.4 & 19.1 & 31.6 & 76.4 & 71.7 & 75.0 & 96.5 & 39.5 & 42.1 & 30 & 85.2 & 973.3 & 37.7 & 1.2 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 39.2 & 15.3 & 27.8 & 49.6 & 47.3 & 49.0 & 72.8 & 24.2 & 36.6 & 38 & 85.0 & 1,240.6 & 38.5 & 2.4 \\
\hline Mysore & 32.3 & 12.5 & 22.4 & 82.9 & 57.4 & 74.4 & 61.3 & 20.5 & 47.5 & 34 & 89.2 & 981.5 & 32.3 & 0.5 \\
\hline Orissa & 16.1 & 2.0 & 9.0 & 73.4 & 60.3 & 71.9 & 33.9 & 6.3 & 80.6 & 19 & 83.2 & 936.0 & 57.9 & 0.2 \\
\hline Punjab & 44.3 & 12.6 & 29.4 & 84.4 & 89.8 & 85.4 & 90.6 & 27.6 & 72.2 & 31 & 83.2 & 1,378.6 & 54.1 & 0.8 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 24.1 & 4.1 & 14.5 & 78.7 & 75.1 & 78.2 & 50.3 & 16.6 & 79.0 & 23 & 85.9 & 1,121.8 & 56.3 & 0.9 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 27.1 & 5.1 & 16.6 & 88.4 & 86.2 & 88.1 & 77.8 & 18.1 & 58.4 & 24 & 73.5 & 863.2 & 49.7 & 0.3 \\
\hline West Bengal & 31.3 & 11.5 & 21.7 & 67.3 & 77.0 & 69.4 & 14.8 & 15.6 & 91.4 & 21 & 80.3 & 1,118.1 & 67.1 & 0.4 \\
\hline INDIA & 33.2 & 11.3 & 22.5 & 81.2* & 74.4* & 79.6* & 66.5 & 24.2 & 52.7 & 31 & 85.1 & 1,057.5 & 40.5 & 1.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Form ' \(A\) ' of the Ministry of Education.
*Percentage of enrolment in class VIII in 1960-61 to enrolment in class VI in 1958-59.
( 96.5 per cent) and was followed by Punjab (90.6 per cent) and Kerala (77.9) per cent. The three states with the lowest percentage of trained teachers were Orissa ( 33.9 per cent), Assam ( 26.0 per cent) and West Bengal (14.8 per cent).

With regard to the qualifications of teachers, the proportion of matriculate (and above) teachers was the highest in West Bengal ( 91.4 per cent) which was followed by Jammu \& Kashmir ( 80.8 per cent) and Orissa ( 80.6 per cent). The last three states in this regard were Madras ( 42.1 per cent), Gujarat ( 40.2 per cent) and Maharashtra ( 36.6 per cent).

With regard to the proportion of women teachers, it will be seen that the best position was in Kerala ( 42.9 per cent) and the least satisfactory in Orissa (6.3 per cent).
(d) Financial Aspects of Higher Primary Education: Statistics regarding financial aspects of higher primary school education will be seen in cols. (12) to (15) of Table \(\mathbf{V}\).

The percentage of teacher cost to total direct expenditure on these schools is the lowest in Uttar Pradesh ( 73.5 per cent) and is followed by Assam ( 78.3 per cent) and West Bengal ( 80.3 per cent). The states where this percentage is the highest are Kerala (88.6 per cent), Mysore ( 89.2 per cent) and Gujarat (91.1 per cent).

From col. (13) it will be seen that teachers in higher primary schools get the highest salaries in Punjab (Rs. 1,378.6) and the lowest in Uttar Pradesh (Rs. 863.2) . The pupil-teacher ratio is the lowest in Orissa (19) and the highest in Gujarat (39).

The average annual cost per pupil in these schools was Rs. 40.5 for the country as a whole. It was the highest in West Bengal (Rs. 67.1) which was followed by Orissa (Rs. 57.9) and Rajasthan (Rs. 56.3). It was the lowest in Bihar (Rs. 32.5), Mysore (Rs. 32.3) and Gujarat (Rs. 29.7). From col. (15) it will be seen that the total direct expenditure on higher primary schools per head of population was in Maharashtra (Rs. 2.4) which was followed by Gujarat (Rs. 2.2) and Kerala (Rs. 2.0). At the other end were West Bengal (Rs. 0.4), Uttar Pradesh (Rs.0.3), and Orissa (Rs.0.2), where this expenditure
was ridiculously low. In other words, Orissa spent on middle school education per capita less than one-tenth of what comparatively advanced states were spending on the same type of education.
31. Secondary School Education: We shall now turn to a discussion of the salient features of secondary education in the different states of the Indian Union. The detailed data on this subject are given in Table VI.
(a) Enrolment: Cols. (2) to (4) give the proportion of the total enrolment in classes IX-XI to the total population of the age-group 14-16. It will be seen that out of one hundred children in the age-group 14-16 years, only 11 were enrolled in secondary education in the country as a whole. The sexwise break-up of this figure was 17 boys out of a hundred boys and only 4 girls out of a hundred girls. The enrolment of boys was the highest in Assam ( 25.5 per cent of the age-group) followed by Bihar ( 21.5 per cent) and Maharashtra ( 20.3 per cent) and the lowest in Orissa ( 7.5 per cent). The enrolment of girls was the highest in Kerala (12.6 per cent of the age-group) and the lowest in Orissa ( 0.7 per cent). In other words, in Orissa just one girl out of 140 or 150 girls was pursuing secondary education. Taking boys and girls together, Assam stood first ( 16.5 per cent) and Orissa last (4.2 per cent).
(b) Output of Matriculates: From cols. (5) to (7), it will be seen that the output of matriculates for the country as a whole was 14.2 per 10,000 of population ( 22.0 for boys and 5.8 for girls). With regard to the boys, the output was the highest in Punjab (44.5) and the lowest in Orissa (9.2). With regard to the girls, the output was the highest in Kerala (20.2) and the lowest again in Orissa (0.8). Taking boys and girls together, it was the highest in Punjab (32.0) and the lowest in Orissa (5.0).
(c) Teachers: From col. (8), it will be seen that the percentage of trained teachers in secondary schools was 64.1 for the country as a whole. This was the highest in Madras ( 92.0 per cent) which was followed by Punjab ( 82.7 per cent) and Andhra Pradesh ( 80.5 per cent). The last three states were Bihar ( 39.6 per cent), West Bengal ( 35.4 per cent) and Assam (14.9 per cent). The proportion of

TABLE VI
STATISTICS OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN STATES \(\mathbf{1 9 6 0 - 6 1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Enrolment in Classes IX-XI as Percentage of Population in Age-Group 14-16}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Output of Matriculates Per 10,000 of Population in 1961}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Teachers} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number
of Pupils
Per
Teacher} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Expenditure} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percen-
tage of
Trained
Teachers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Percen- } \\
\text { tage } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { Toamen } \\
\text { Teachers }
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percenof Matriculates and Above} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percen-
tage of
Tacher
Cost
to Total
Expendi-
ture} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary Per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost Per Pupil} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Direct Exp. on Secondary Schools Per Head of Population} \\
\hline & Boys & Girls & Total & Boys & Girls & Total & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Rs. & Rs. & Rs. \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 14.4 & 2.7 & 8.6 & 16.3 & 2.7 & 9.6 & 80.5 & 16.6 & 79.7 & 22 & 76.2 & 1,641.6 & 97.1 & 1.5 \\
\hline Assam & 25.5 & 7.0 & 16.5 & 18.1 & 4.5 & 11.7 & 14.9 & 12.3 & 81.2 & 25 & 73.2 & 1,518.5 & 83.3 & 1.6 \\
\hline Bihar & 21.5 & 1.6 & 11.9 & 20.4 & 1.6 & 11.0 & 39.6 & 5.9 & 96.0 & 30 & 75.4 & 1,355.1 & 60.6 & 0.7 \\
\hline Gujarat & 19.0 & 6.2 & 12.9 & 23.0 & 6.6 & 15.1 & 59.2 & 15.8 & 94.7 & 26 & 65.5 & 1,628.7 & 96.8 & 1.7 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 14.5 & 4.7 & 9.8 & 19.4 & 6.3 & 13.3 & 67.4 & 21.5 & 84.1 & 26 & 74.4 & 1,344.3 & 69.6 & 1.8 \\
\hline Kerala & 20.2 & 12.6 & 16.3 & 35.9 & 20.2 & 28.0 & 75.2 & 38.3 & 87.3 & 26 & 83.9 & 1,346.4 & 62.4 & 2.5 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 11.2 & 2.0 & 6.7 & 14.5 & 2.5 & 8.6 & 47.6 & 20.1 & 92.9 & 20 & 68.6 & 1,532.5 & 110.2 & 0.9 \\
\hline Madras & 19.5 & 6.3 & 12.8 & 20.2 & 5.6 & 12.9 & 92.0 & 24.3 & 83.5 & 24 & 76.3 & 1,627.3 & 90.1 & 1.8 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 20.3 & 6.7 & 13.9 & 24.4 & 9.0 & 16.9 & 63.1 & 24.6 & 93.5 & 25 & 65.4 & 1,902.2 & 117.7 & 2.4 \\
\hline Mysore & 17.4 & 4.8 & 11.1 & 24.6 & 5.4 & 15.2 & 64.6 & 19.7 & 92.2 & 24 & 73.6 & 1,648.9 & 93.7 & 1.0 \\
\hline Orissa & 7.5 & 0.7 & 4.2 & 9.2 & 0.8 & 5.0 & 51.9 & 7.9 & 89.3 & 21 & 75.8 & 1,380.0 & 87.0 & 0.5 \\
\hline Punjab & 19.8 & 4.7 & 12.7 & 44.5 & 17.5 & 32.0 & 82.7 & 24.2 & 79.8 & 34 & 86.3 & 1,772.3 & 61.0 & 2.2 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 10.3 & 1.1 & 5.9 & 20.2 & 2.6 & 11.8 & 43.7 & 12.1 & 91.6 & 21 & 71.9 & 1,864.6 & 124.2 & 1.2 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 13.2 & 1.8 & 7.8 & 22.8 & 4.6 & 14.1 & 68.9 & 16.2 & 96.3 & 25 & 68.5 & 1,800.7 & 103.9 & 1.3 \\
\hline West Bengal & 15.1 & 4.3 & 10.0 & 21.3 & 7.7 & 15.0 & 35.4 & 20.2 & 96.2 & 26 & 72.5 & 1,867.0 & 97.2 & 2.2 \\
\hline INDIA & 16.6 & 4.1 & 10.5 & 22.0 & 5.8 & 14.2 & 64.1 & 21.0 & 89.9 & 25 & 72.3 & 1,681.0 & 91.7 & 1.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
teachers who were matriculates (or above) was 89.9 per cent for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Uttar Pradesh ( 96.3 per cent) which was followed by West Bengal ( 96.2 per cent) and Bihar ( 96.0 per cent). The last three states were Assam (81.2 per cent), Punjab (79.9 per cent) and Andhra Pradesh (79.7 per cent).

The proportion of women teachers was the highest in Kerala ( 38.3 per cent) which was followed by Maharashtra ( 24.6 per cent) and Madras ( 24.3 per cent). The lowest three states were Rajasthan ( 12.1 per cent), Orissa ( 7.9 per cent) and Bihar (5.9 per cent).

The average pupil-teacher ratio in secondary schools was 25 for the country as a whole. It was the lowest in Madhya Pradesh (20) and the highest in Punjab (34).
(d) Expenditure: The percentage of teacher cost to total expenditure was the lowest in Maharashtra (65.4 per cent) and the highest in Punjab (86.3 per cent).

From col. (13) it will be seen that the average annual salary of teachers in secondary schools was Rs. 1681.0 for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Maharashtra (Rs. 1,902.2) which was followed by West Bengal (Rs. 1,867.0) and Rajasthan (Rs. 1,864.6). The last three states were Bihar (Rs. 1,355.1), Kerala (Rs. 1,346.4) and Jammu \& Kashmir (Rs. 1,344.3).

The average annual cost per student was Rs. 91.7 for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Rajasthan (Rs. 124.2) and the lowest in Bihar (Rs. 60.6).

The total direct expenditure on secondary schools per head of population was only Rs. 1.6 for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Kerala (Rs. 2.5) and the lowest in Orissa (Rs. 0.5).
32. Higher Education: Information about higher education in the different states of the Indian Union is given in Tables VII and VIII.
(a) Total Enrolment in Higher Education: Table VII gives the total enrolment in higher education per 10,000 of population, the proportion of enrolment in postgraduate and research, proportion of
enrolment in professional and special education courses and percentage of science students at different levels of education. It will be seen from cols. (2) to (4) that the enrolment in higher education stood at 25 per ten thousand of population for the country as a whole ( 40 for boys and 9 for girls). With regard to the boys, it was the highest in West Bengal (59) which was followed by U.P. (58) and Punjab (47). At the other end were the states of Rajasthan (27), Madhya Pradesh (25) and Orissa (15). With regard to girls, it was the highest in West Bengal (20), which was followed by Kerala (15) and Maharashtra and Punjab (13 each). At the other end were the states of Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh (4 each), Bihar (3) and Orissa (2). Taking boys and girls together, it was the highest in West Bengal (40), which was followed by Uttar Pradesh (34) and the lowest in Madhya Pradesh (15) and Orissa (8).
(b) Enrolment at the Postgraduate Stage and Research: Col. (5) gives the enrolment at the postgraduate stage and research as proportion of the total enrolment in higher general education. It was 6.2 per cent for the country as a whole. In the states it was the highest in Rajasthan ( 10.3 per cent) and Madhya Pradesh ( 9.5 per cent) and the lowest in Kerala ( 3.0 per cent) and Jammu \& Kashmir (2.1 per cent).
(c) Higher Education in Different Faculties: Cols. (6) to (13) give the percentages of enrolment in various faculties to total enrolment in higher education. For the country as a whole a substantial part of this enrolment ( 73.8 per cent) was in general education so that the enrolment in professional and technical education was a paltry figure of 26.2 per cent. The percentage of enrolment in general education was the lowest in Madhya Pradesh ( 47.5 per cent) and the highest in Jammu \& Kashmir (90.1 per cent). Agriculture attracted only 1.4 per cent of the total enrolment in the country as a whole. It was the highest in Madhya Pradesh (2.8 per cent) followed by Rajasthan and Orissa (2.7 per cent each) and was the lowest in Kerala ( 0.6 per cent) after West Bengal and Jammu \& Kashmir which had practically no enrolment in this faculty. In colleges of engineering and technology, the total enrolment was 4.4 per cent only for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Mysore

ENROLMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION IN STATES 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Enrolment in Higher Education Per 10,000 of Total Population}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Percentage of Enrolment in Postgraduate and Research to Total Enrolment in Higher General Education} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Enrolment in Selected Faculties as Percentage of Enrolment to Higher Education} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Students in Science to Total Number of Students at}} \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{General Education} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Agricul- } \\
\text { ture }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Engg. \& Technology} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Medicine} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Teacher Training} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other Professional} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Special Courses} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { Interme- } \\
\text { diate }
\end{array}
\] & Degree Stage & Post-
graduate Stage \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 28 & 4 & 16 & 4.7 & 68.5 & 1.6 & 6.6 & 8.0 & 2.0 & 11.7 & 1.6 & 100.0 & 75.7 & 55.5 & 48.5 \\
\hline Assam & 38 & 7 & 23 & 3.8 & 83.8 & 1.1 & 2.1 & 2.8 & 0.7 & 9.5 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 28.2 & 17.7 & 26.9 \\
\hline Bihar & 37 & 3 & 20 & 6.0 & 80.1 & 0.8 & 4.3 & 2.4 & 0.7 & 11.1 & 0.6 & 100.0 & 31.1 & 24.6 & 20.3 \\
\hline Gujarat & 38 & 9 & 24 & 6.1 & 64.3 & 2.0 & 7.2 & 5.0 & 2.0 & 16.8 & 2.7 & 100.0 & 48.5 & 29.8 & 25.0 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 37 & 12 & 25 & 2.1 & 90.1 & - & - & 2.0 & 2.6 & 3.2 & 2.1 & 100.0 & 57.5 & 47.6 & 17.8 \\
\hline Kerala & 38 & 15 & 26 & 3.0 & 77.5 & 0.6 & 4.9 & 3.7 & 4.6 & 7.5 & 1.2 & 100.0 & N.A. & 74.7 & 41.3 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 25 & 4 & 15 & 9.5 & 47.5 & 2.8 & 6.2 & 4.9 & 14.7 & 14.8 & 9.1 & 100.0 & 46.4 & 37.8 & 33.6 \\
\hline Madras & 33 & 9 & 21 & 5.0 & 56.1 & 1.4 & 8.5 & 6.2 & 17.2 & 7.9 & 2.7 & 100.0 & N.A. & 65.8 & 52.9 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 43 & 13 & 28 & 6.6 & 67.1 & 1.7 & 3.8 & 4.5 & 4.8 & 16.4 & 1.7 & 100.0 & 46.8 & 40.1 & 26.5 \\
\hline Mysore & 36 & 7 & 22 & 3.7 & 62.5 & 1.3 & 9.7 & 4.9 & 8.7 & 9.5 & 3.4 & 100.0 & 76.1 & 57.4 & 61.1 \\
\hline Orissa & 15 & 2 & 8 & 5.6 & 73.3 & 2.7 & 3.1 & 4.6 & 5.9 & 6.4 & 4.0 & 100.0 & 48.2 & 42.5 & 24.9 \\
\hline Punjab & 47 & 13 & 31 & 3.7 & 83.0 & 1.9 & 3.1 & 3.5 & 4.9 & 2.1 & 1.5 & 100.0 & 42.6 & 24.2 & 6.2 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 27 & 4 & 16 & 10.3 & 61.3 & 2.7 & 4.8 & 4.7 & 1.6 & 18.3 & 6.6 & 100.0 & 44.8 & 33.1 & 29.4 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 58 & 9 & 34 & 7.5 & 85.4 & 1.9 & 1.8 & 1.5 & 2.0 & 6.6 & 0.8 & 100.0 & 66.2 & 32.5 & 20.8 \\
\hline West Bengal & 59 & 20 & 40 & 4.3 & 76.7 & 0.0 & 4.3 & 3.0 & 1.6 & 12.0 & 2.4 & 100.0 & 37.5 & 37.4 & 25.8 \\
\hline INDIA & 40 & 9 & 25 & 6.2 & 73.8 & 1.4 & 4.4 & 3.7 & 4.3 & 10.1 & 2.3 & 100.0 & 27.7 & 39.9 & 25.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(9.7 per cent), followed by Madras ( 8.5 per cent) and Gujarat ( 7.2 per cent). At the other end were the states of Assam ( 2.1 per cent), Uttar Pradesh ( 1.8 per cent) and Jammu \& Kashmir where the one and the only engineering college was established subsequently. In colleges of medicine, the total enrolment was 3.7 per cent for the countryas a whole. It was the highest in Andhra Pradesh ( 8.0 per cent), which was followed by Madras ( 6.2 per cent) and Gujarat ( 5.0 per cent). It was the lowest in Bihar ( 2.4 per cent), Jammu \& Kashmir ( 2.0 per cent) and Uitar Pradesh ( 1.5 per cent). In colleges of
teacher education, the proportion of enrolment was 4.3 per cent for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Madras (17.2 per cent), followed by Madhya Pradesh (14.7 per cent) and Mysore (8.7 per cent). At the other end were the states of Rajasthan and West Bengal (1.6 per cent each) and Assam and Bihar ( 0.7 per cent each).
(d) Science Students : The proportion of science students to total enrolment at the intermediate, degree and postgraduate stages is given in cols. (14) to (16) of Table VIII. It will be seen

TABLE VIII
EDUCATION IN COLLEGES FOR ARTS AND SCIENCE IN STATES 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{State} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of Pupils Per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of Teacher Cost to Total Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Salary Per Teacher} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average Annual Cost Per Pupil} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Output of Graduates Per \\
10,000 of Population
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Output of Postgraduates Per 10,000 of Population} \\
\hline & & & & & Boys & Girls & Total & Boys & Girls & Total \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 14 & 62.0 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
3,219.5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
R_{s .} \\
371.3
\end{gathered}
\] & 2.79 & 0.52 & 1.67 & 0.25 & 0.06 & 0.15 \\
\hline Assam & 28 & 68.0 & 3,669.0 & 194.0 & 2.16 & 0.48 & 1.38 & 0.34 & 0.08 & 0.22 \\
\hline Bihar & 26 & 68.8 & 3,667.9 & 205.2 & 2.16 & 0.42 & 1.30 & 0.76 & 0.07 & 0.42 \\
\hline Gujarat & 20 & 53.6 & 3,747.3 & 347.9 & 3.27 & 0.88 & 2.11 & 0.47 & 0.14 & 0.31 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 24 & 53.2 & 2,585.4 & 204.6 & 3.21 & 0.90 & 2.13 & 0.32 & 0.13 & 0.23 \\
\hline Kerala & 17 & 67.7 & 3,634.1 & 312.8 & 3.98 & 1.56 & 2.75 & 0.39 & 0.18 & 0.29 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 15 & 58.7 & 3,730.7 & 421.4 & 2.03 & 0.54 & 1.30 & 0.78 & 0.19 & 0.49 \\
\hline Madras & 14 & 62.2 & 3,333.6 & 381.6 & 2.40 & 0.65 & 1.53 & 0.45 & 0.13 & 0.29 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 21 & 53.0 & 3,664.2 & 325.8 & 2.93 & 1.87 & 2.42 & 0.67 & 0.31 & 0.50 \\
\hline Mysore & 17 & 67.6 & 3,278.7 & 284.2 & 2.96 & 0.88 & 1.94 & 0.49 & 0.10 & 0.30 \\
\hline Orissa & 18 & 61.9 & 3,800.2 & 347.5 & 1.32 & 0.14 & 0.73 & 0.23 & 0.03 & 0.13 \\
\hline Punjab & 21 & 65.3 & 3,933.5 & 281.4 & 5.43 & 2.36 & 4.01 & 1.33 & 0.46 & 0.93 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 16 & 59.6 & 3,564.7 & 365.0 & 2.26 & 0.58 & 1.46 & 0.92 & 0.31 & 0.63 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 20 & 64.1 & 3,760.0 & 300.7 & 3.32 & 0.95 & 2.20 & 1.66 & 0.47 & 1.09 \\
\hline West Bengal & 23 & 62.9 & 3,667.4 & 251.8 & 4.41 & 2.19 & 3.37 & 0.73 & 0.39 & 0.57 \\
\hline INDIA & 19 & 62.2 & 3,658.9 & 302.4 & 3.12 & 1.15 & 2.12 & 0.82 & 0.24 & 0.54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Form 'A' of the Ministry of Education.
therefrom that, for the country as whole, the proportion of science students to the total at the intermediate stage was 27.7 per cent. It was the highest in Mysore ( 76.1 per cent) and the lowest in Assam ( 28.2 per cent). At the degree stage, the percentage of science students for the country as a whole was 39.9 per cent. It was the highest in Kerala (74.7 per cent) and the lowest in Assam ( 17.7 per cent). At the postgraduate stage, the proportion of science students for the country as a whole was 25.3 per cent. It was the highest in Mysore ( 61.1 per cent) and the lowest in Punjab ( 6.2 per cent).
33. Colleges of Arts and Science: As we have seen above, higher education consists mainly of general education which is imparted in arts and science colleges. The quality of these colleges will, therefore, by and large, determine the quality of higher education in each state and in the country as a whole.
(a) Quality of education, in turn, depends upon a number of factors. It is generally observed that in good colleges teachers are well-paid, the proportion of non-teacher cost to teacher cost is high and the number of pupils per teacher is low, with the result that the cost per student is high. With these inputs, the output (of graduates and postgraduates) is normally expected to be better, both in quality as well as in numbers. Data regarding these inputs and outputs of higher education in the different states are given in Table VIII. Since the data represent the 'average' of the state, it may not be quite in order to particularise the inferences drawn from them in regard to individual institutions. In spite of this limitation, the data throw significant light on the kind of higher education that the states are having.

It will be seen from Table VIII that the pupilteacher ratio in colleges of arts and science was 19 for the country as a whole. It was the lowest in Andhra Pradesh and Madras (14 each) and the highest in Bihar (26) and Assam (28). The percentage of expenditure on the salaries of teachers to total expenditure was 62.2 for the country as a whole. It was the lowest in Maharashtra ( 53.0 per cent) and the highest in Bihar ( 68.8 per cent). The average annual salary per teacher was Rs. 3,658.9 for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Punjab (Rs. \(3,933.5\) ) and the lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir
(Rs. 2,585.4). The average annual cost per pupil was Rs. 302.4 for the country as a whole. It was the highest in Madhya Pradesh (Rs. 421.4) and Madras (Rs. 381.6) and the lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir (Rs. 204.6) and Assam (Rs. 194).
(b) Output of Graduates: The data regarding the output of graduates are given in cols. (6) to (8) of Table VIII. It will be seen therefrom that this output was the highest in Punjab ( 5.43 per 10,000 of population for boys, 2.36 for girls and 4.01 in total) and the lowest in Orissa ( 1.32 per 10,000 of population for boys, 0.14 for girls and 0.73 in total).
(c) The output of postgraduates is given in cols. (9) to (11) of the same table. It will be seen therefrom that the output of M.A./M.Sc.'s per 10,000 of population was the highest in Uttar Pradesh ( 1.66 for boys, 0.47 for girls and 1.09 in total), followed by Punjab ( 1.33 for boys, 0.46 for girls and 0.93 in total) and the lowest in Orissa ( 0.23 for boys, .08 for girls and 0.13 in total).
34. Indirect Expenditure on Education: Indirect expenditure includes expenditure on direction and inspection, scholarships, buildings, hostel charges and other items. Table IX gives the details of indirect expenditure in the various states. The total indirect expenditure was as high as 25.3 per cent of the total educational expenditure in India as a whole. This proportion was the highest in Orissa ( 35.5 per cent), Bihar ( 34.4 per cent) and Madras ( 28.4 per cent). It was the lowest in Madhya Pradesh (19.2 per cent), Kerala ( 18.9 per cent) and Jammu \& Kashmir (14.3 per cent).
(a) Direction, Inspection and Administration: It will be seen from col. 2 of Table IX that the total expenditure on direction, inspection and administration was 2 per cent of the total educational expenditure in 1960-61. It was the highest in Jammu \& Kashmir (4.2 per cent), Bihar ( 3.6 per cent) and Assam (3.1 per cent) and the lowest in Maharashtra ( 1.3 per cent), West Bengal (1.1 per cent) and Gujarat ( 1.0 per cent).
(b) Scholarships: It will be seen from col. (3) of the same table that the expenditure on scholarships stood at 5.8 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. It was the highest in Orissa (10.3
per cent), Maharashtra ( 9.2 per cent) and Gujarat 7.5 per cent) and the lowest in Kerala ( 3.5 per cent), Rajasthan ( 2.4 per cent) and Jammu \& Kashmir (1.7 per cent).
(c) Buildings: Taking India as a whole, the expenditure on buildings was 12.4 per cent of the total expenditure in 1960-61. It was the highest in Orissa ( 18.6 per cent), Punjab ( 18.0 per cent) and Bihar ( 17.8 per cent) and the lowest in Kerala (9.2 per cent), Gujarat ( 6.9 per cent) and Jammu \& Kashmir (4.8 per cent).

\section*{Section II : DISTRICTS}
35. In the preceding section we examined the quantitative and qualitative differences in the development of education in the different states of the Indian Union during the year 1960-61 and the differences in the various physical, economic and social factors which are responsible to some extent for these imbalances in the educational development. These factors were studied by taking the state as a unit. But what is the magnitude of differences in

TABLE IX
INDIRECT EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION IN STATES 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Percentage of Indirect Expenditure on Education to Total Expenditure on Education} \\
\hline & Direction and Inspection & Scholarships and Stipends & Buildings & Other Items & Total Indirect \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 2.2 & 6.8 & 12.7 & 1.3 & 23.0 \\
\hline Assam & 3.1 & 7.0 & 13.3 & 3.1 & 26.5 \\
\hline Bihar & 3.6 & 6.3 & 17.8 & 6.7 & 34.4 \\
\hline Gujarat & 1.0 & 7.5 & 6.9 & 4.6 & 20.0 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 4.2 & 1.7 & 4.8 & 3.6 & 14.3 \\
\hline Kerala & 2.5 & 3.5 & 9.2 & 3.7 & 18.9 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 2.2 & 5.2 & 9.3 & 2.5 & 19.2 \\
\hline Madras & 1.5 & 5.3 & 12.6 & 9.0 & 28.4 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 1.3 & 9.2 & 10.2 & 6.5 & 27.2 \\
\hline Mysore & 2.6 & 3.9 & 10.1 & 6.9 & 23.5 \\
\hline Orissa & 2.2 & 10.3 & 18.6 & 4.4 & 35.5 \\
\hline Punjab & 2.3 & 4.3 & 18.0 & 1.2 & 25.8 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 2.5 & 2.4 & 10.9 & 5.7 & 21.5 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 2.5 & 5.3 & 10.5 & 4.5 & 22.8 \\
\hline West Bengal & 1.1 & 4.8 & 15.6 & 6.4 & 27.9 \\
\hline INDIA & 2.0 & 5.8 & 12.4 & 5.1 & 25.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Form ' \(A\) ' of the Ministry of Education.
some of these respects when we go down to the district level will be studied in this section.

We begin with demographic factors, such as the density of population and the extent of urbanisation in the districts and then pass on to the financial factor that is, the expenditure on education per head of population. We will conclude with an analysis of the educational situation in respect of different types and levels in the districts which is the result of these and other factors.
36. Density of Population: It will be seen from Table \(X\) that there are large variations in density of population per sq. mile from district to district. It varies from 2 persons per square mile in Ladakh to 73,642 persons per square mile in Calcutta Corporation area. It is less than 50 in seven districts and more than 2,000 in six districts. The five districts with the lowest density of population and five districts with the highest density are given below:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Districts with the Lowest Density & Districts with the Highest Density \\
\hline \[
\text { District } \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Density } \\
\text { Per Sq. Mile }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{cc}
\hline & \text { Density } \\
\text { District } & \text { PerSq. Mile }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Ladakh
(Jammu \& Kashmir) & Calcutta Cor- 73,642 poration (West Bengal) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Lahaul \& Spiti \\
(Punjab)
\end{tabular} & Madras Corpora- 35,339 tion (Madras) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Jaisalmer & 9 \\
(Rajasthan)
\end{tabular} & Greater Bombay 24,568 (Maharashtra) \\
\hline Mizo Hills \(\quad 33\)
(Assam) & Howrah
(West Bengal) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Kutch & 42 \\
(Gujarat)
\end{tabular} & Alleppey \(\quad 2,558\)
(Kerala) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
37. Urban Population : It will be seen from Table XI that in 42 districts the percentage of population in urban areas to total population in the district is less than 5. More than half the number of districts had each less than 15 per cent of population in urban areas and consequently had more than 85 per cent of rural population. In this regard, extreme positions are taken by four districts-one each in Gujarat (Dangs), Punjab (Lahaul \& Spiti) and two in Uttar Pradesh (Pithoragarh and Chamoli) with nil urban population, and 3 districts-Madras Corporation, Greater Bombay and Calcutta Cor-
poration-with hundred per cent urban population. The names of 5 districts with the lowest and 5 districts with the highest percentage of urban population (excluding the seven districts at the extreme ends mentioned above) are given below:

38. Educational Expenditure (Direct) Per Head of Population: With regard to the educational expenditure per capita (direct expenditure), Kerala spends the highest amount (Rs. 11.2) and Orissa the lowest (Rs. 2.8). There are 17 districts which spend less than Rs. 2.00 and 25 districts which spend more than Rs. 10 per head of population. The five districts with the highest and five districts with the lowest expenditure per head of population on education are the following:


TABLE X
DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO DENSITY OF POPULATION PER SQ. MILE 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{14}{|c|}{Number of Districts with Density of Population} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
No. of Districts
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{State Average} \\
\hline & Below
50 & 50-149 & 150-249 & 250-349 & 350-449 & 450-549 & 550-649 & 650.749 & 750-849 & 850-949 & 9 50-1049 & \begin{tabular}{|c}
\(1050-\) \\
1449
\end{tabular} & 1050- & \(\left|\begin{array}{c}2000 \\ \& \\ \text { Above }\end{array}\right|\) & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & - & - & 6 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & - & --- & - & \(\cdots\) & - & ---- & 20 & 339 \\
\hline Assam & 2 & 2 & --- & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & - & --- & - & --. & - & - & - & 11 & 251 \\
\hline Bihar & - & - & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & - & - & 17 & 694 \\
\hline Gujarat & 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & - & - & -- & -- & - & - & 17 & 290 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & r 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & --- & - & -- & 9 & 66 \\
\hline Kerala & - & - & - & - & -.. & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & - & 2 & 9 & 1127 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & - & 9 & 23 & 9 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & --- & - & - & 43 & 192 \\
\hline Madras & - & - & - & - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -- & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 13 & 672 \\
\hline Maharashtra & - & 1 & 4 & 10 & 8 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 26 & 334 \\
\hline Mysore & - & - & 6 & 7 & 4 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 19 & 319 \\
\hline Orissa & - & 1 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & -- & - & - & - & - & 13 & 292 \\
\hline Punjab & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 19 & 429 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 2 & 6 & 11 & 5 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & -. & - & - & 26 & 153 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & - & - & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 9 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 7 & - & - & 49 & 648 \\
\hline West Bengal & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 4 & - & 3 & 1 & - & 4 & 1 & 2 & 216 & 1021 \\
\hline Total & 7 & 22 & 66 & 54 & 31 & 22 & 21 & 21 & 17 & 13 & 7 & 19 & 1 & 6 & - 307 & 358* \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Average for India as a whole
39. Lower Primary Stage (Classes 1-V): At the lower primary stage, to achieve universal enrolment of children in the age-group 6-10, the provision of facilities has to be at least 10 per cent in excess of the age-group population for accommodating over-age and under-age children. In the context of the age-structure of our population, this level of enrolment is reached if for each one thousand of population the enrolment at this stage is 142 . As against this target, there is a wide spectrum of achievement in the states-the range of variation
being 55 in Rajasthan to 141 in Kerala among both boys and girls, while that in the case of girls alone being 23 in Rajasthan to 130 in Kerala. The mean total enrolment of all states was 74 with standard deviation as high as 24.6 . The mean for girls was 46.7 with 23.8 as the standard deviation. The variation between districts is even larger. It varies from 21 in Barmer (Rajasthan) to 158 in Quilon (Kerala) in the case of total enrolment, and from 5 in Barmer to 151 in Quilon in the case of girls. The names of districts with the lowest and the highest

TABLE XI
DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION TO TOTAL POPULATION 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Number of Districts having Percentage of Urban Population} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State Average} \\
\hline & Below 5 & 5-15 & 15-25 & 25-35 & 35-45 & 45-55 & 55-65 & 65 and Above & Total No. of Districts District & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & - & 11 & 8 & - & - & - & 1 & - & 20 & 17.4 \\
\hline Assam & 3 & 7 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 11 & 7.7 \\
\hline Bihar & 6 & 8 & 2 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 17 & 8.4 \\
\hline Gujarat & 1 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 & - & 1 & - & 17 & 25.8 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 1 & 6 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 9 & 16.7 \\
\hline Kerala & - & 4 & 4 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 9 & 15.1 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 5 & 27 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & 43 & 14.3 \\
\hline Madras & - & 1 & 7 & 3 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 13 & 26.7 \\
\hline Maharashtra & - & 12 & 7 & 4 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 26 & 28.2 \\
\hline Mysore & - & 6 & 10 & 2 & - & 1 & - & - & 19 & 22.3 \\
\hline Orissa & 6 & 6 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 13 & 6.3 \\
\hline Punjab & 2 & 3 & 9 & 4 & - & 1 & - & - & 19 & 20.1 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 1 & 15 & 5 & 3 & 2 & - & - & - & 26 & 16.3 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 16 & 22 & 12 & - & 2 & 2 & - & - & 54 & 12.9 \\
\hline West Bengal & 1 & 9 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 16 & 24.5 \\
\hline Total & 42 & 140 & 80 & 26 & 11 & 7 & 3 & 3 & 312 & 18.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XII
DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE (DIRECT) PER HEAD OF POPULATION 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{State} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of Districts Having Per Capita Expenditure} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{l}
\text { Total } \\
\text { Number } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { Districts }
\end{array}\right|
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
State Average
\(\qquad\) \\
Rs.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & - Below & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 2.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 2.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 3.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 3.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 4.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 4.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 5.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 5.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 6.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 6.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 7.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 7.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 8.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 8.9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Rs. } 9.0 \text { to } \\
\text { Rs. } 9.9
\end{array}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } 10.0 \\
\text { and } \\
\text { Above }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & - & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 20 & 6.9 \\
\hline Assam & - & - & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 11 & 5.5 \\
\hline Bihar & 1 & 11 & 1 & 2 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 17 & 3.1 \\
\hline Gujarat & - & 1 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 7.1 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & - & - & - & - & Not av & ilable & - & - & - & - & - & -- \\
\hline Kerala & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 7 & 9 & 11.2 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & - & 4 & 17 & 8 & 4 & 4 & - & 1 & 1 & 4 & 43 & 5.2 \\
\hline Madras & - & - & 1 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 2 & - & 1 & 13 & 6.7 \\
\hline Maharashtra & - & - & 4 & 2 & 5 & 7 & 3 & 1 & - & 4 & 26 & 9.0 \\
\hline Mysore & - & 2 & 4 & 5 & 4 & - & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & 19 & 5.8 \\
\hline Orissa & 3 & 7 & 3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 13 & 2.8 \\
\hline Punjab & 1 & - & - & 5 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 19 & 6.9 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 1 & 4 & 10 & 4 & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & - & 1 & 26 & 4.9 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 11 & 19 & 10 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & 3 & 54 & 3.8 \\
\hline West Bengal & - & - & 5 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 16 & 7.0 \\
\hline Total & 17 & 49 & 61 & 43 & 38 & 32 & 18 & 14 & 6 & 25 & 303 & 5.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Data supplied by State Governments.

DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF CHILDREN ENROLLED AT THE LOWER PRIMARY STAGE (CLASSES I-V) PER THOUSAND OF POPULATION 1960-61

enrolment per 1,000 of population are given below:


Table XIII shows the extent of backwardness of the districts from the goal of universal primary education.
40. Higher Primary Stage \(\dagger\) (Classes VI-VIII): At the higher primary stage, the picture is similar to that at the lower primary stage, although the task that yet remains to be done is far greater. At the state level, the highest total enrolment was 41 per

\footnotetext{
\(\dagger\) Target: Here also if allowance is to be made for under-age and over-age children, the enrolment should be at least 110 per cent of the population in the age-group 11-13 years which, in the context of the age-structure of our population means an enrolment of 75 per 1,000 population.
}
thousand of population in Kerala and the lowest was 6 per thousand of population in Orissa. In respect of girls, the highest enrolment was 35 per thousand of population again in Kerala, while it was the lowest-1 per thousand of population in Orissa, 2 per thousand in Bihar and 3 per thousand in Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. The mean and standard deviation for all states were 13.7 and 8.3 in the case of all children and 6.7 and 7.3 in the case of girls. The range of variation between the districts, which is wider, is given below :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Lowest Total Enrolment} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Highest Total Enrolment} \\
\hline District & Enrolment Per 1000 of Population & District & Enrolment Per 1000 of Population \\
\hline Kalahandi (Orissa) & 2 & Alleppey (Kerala) & 59 \\
\hline Koraput (Orissa) & 2 & Quilon (Kerala) & 53 \\
\hline Barmer (Rajasthan) & 3 & Kottayam (Kerala) & 48 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Bastar \\
(Madhya Pra
\end{tabular} & \[
\text { adesh) }{ }^{3}
\] & Trivandrum (Kerala) & 46 \\
\hline Bolangir (Orissa) & \[
3
\] & Trichur (Kerala) & 44 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Lowest Enrolment of Girls} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Highest Enrolment of Girls} \\
\hline District & Enrolment Per 1000 of Population & District & Enrolment Per 1000 of Population \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Sidhi \\
(Madhya Pr
\end{tabular} & radesh) & Alleppey (Kerala) & 53 \\
\hline Kalahandi (Orissa) & 0.2 & Quilon (Kerala) & 46 \\
\hline Jalore (Rajasthan) & 0.3 & Kottayam (Kerala) & 45 \\
\hline Barmer (Rajasthan) & 0.3 & Trivandrum (Kerala) & 41 \\
\hline Jaisalmer (Rajasthan) & 0.5 & Trichur (Kerala) & 37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table XIV shows the backwardness of the districts from the goal of universal primary education. At present, even the enrolment of 15 per thousand
distribution of districts according to number of children enrolled at the higher primary stage (CLASSES VI-VIII) PER THOUSAND OF POPULATION \(1960-61\)

(one-fifth of the target) has not been reached by more than 60 per cent of the districts.
41. Secondary Stage (Classes IX-XI): Kerala again stands first with an enrolment of 11 per thousand of population for the total enrolment and 8 per thousand of population for girls, while Orissa again stands last with an enrolment of only 2 per thousand of population for all children and practically nil enrolment per thousand population of girls. The mean of total enrolment for all states was 6.29 (with a standard deviation of 3.5) and that for girls 2.21 (with a standard deviation of 2.8). At the district level, the differences are even greater as the following figures will show:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Lowest Total Enrolment} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Highest Total Enrolment} \\
\hline District & \begin{tabular}{l}
Enrolment \\
Per 1000 \\
of Popu- \\
lation
\end{tabular} & District & \begin{tabular}{l}
Enrolment \\
Per 1000 \\
of Popu- \\
lation
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Kalahandi (Orissa) & 1 & Greater Bombay (Maharashtra) & 23 \\
\hline BaudhKhondmal (Orissa) & 1 & Dehra Dun (Uttar Pradesh) & 21 \\
\hline Sidhi (Madhya Pradesh) & - 1 & Kanyakumari (Madras) & 20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Bastar \\
(Madhya Pradesh)
\end{tabular}} & Alleppey (Kerala) & 18 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ladakh \\
(Jammu \& K
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
\text { ashmir) }
\end{array}
\] & Ambala (Punjab) & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Lowest Enrolment of Girls} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Highest Enrolment of Girls} \\
\hline District & \(\left|\begin{array}{c}\text { Enrolment } \\ \text { Per 1,000 } \\ \text { of Popu- } \\ \text { lation }\end{array}\right|\) & District & \begin{tabular}{l}
Enrolment \\
Per 1,000 \\
of Popu- \\
lation
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ladakh \\
(Jammu \& Kashmir)
\end{tabular} & 0.01 & Greater Bombay (Maharasht & a) 20 \\
\hline Sidhi (Madhya Pradesh) & 0.02 & Kanyakumar (Madras) & 15 \\
\hline Jalore (Rajasthan) & 0.03 & Dehra Dun (Uttar Prad & sh) 14 \\
\hline Kalahandi (Orissa) & 0.06 & Madras Corporation & 13 \\
\hline Barmer (Rajasthan) & 0.07 & Calcutta Cor poration & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Target for 1986 is 27 per 1000 of population. Table XV shows the extent of effort needed to reach this target in all the districts in a period of 25 years or so. At present more than 95 per cent of the districts are not even half way through the target for 1986.
42. Higher Education \(\dagger\) : In higher education, the differences are even wider. The highest expansion is reached in West Bengal which had an enrolment of 40 per 10,000 of population and the lowest is reached in Orissa which had an enrolment of only 8 in the same population. The differences at the district level are even sharper. There are 30 districts with an enrolment of less than one per 10,000 of population, while 4 have an enrolment of more than 100. The following table shows how the five districts with the lowest enrolment (excluding the districts which have no institution of higher education at all) compare with five districts with the highest enrolment:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Lowest Enrolment} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Districts with the Highest Enrolment} \\
\hline District & \begin{tabular}{l}
Enrolment \\
Per 10,000 \\
of Popu- \\
lation
\end{tabular} & District & \begin{tabular}{l}
Enrolment \\
Per 10,000 \\
of Popu- \\
lation
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Chittorgarh (Rajasthan) & 0.02 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Calcutta (We \\
Bengal)
\end{tabular} & 278 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Hamirpur \\
(Uttar \\
Pradesh)
\end{tabular} & 0.30 & Greater Bombay (Maharashtr & 126 \\
\hline Kalahandi (Orissa) & 0.40 & Lucknow (Uttar Prade & ch) 113 \\
\hline Raibarelli (Uttar Pradesh) & 0.50 & Madras Corporation are (Madras) & 110 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mahbubnagar \\
(Andhra \\
Pradesh)
\end{tabular} & 0.50 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Indore \\
(Madhya \\
Pradesh)
\end{tabular} & 110 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
43. Vocational Education: In respect of enrolment in vocational education also, the inter-district variation is abnormally large. The proportion of
\(\dagger\) Target for 1986 is 38 per 10,000 of population. Although this target has already been surpassed by about 12 per cent of the districts, about 75 per cent of them are still not even half way through.

TABLE XV
DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED AT SECONDARY STAGE (CLASSES IX-XI/XII) PER THOUSAND OF POPULATION 1960-61


TABLE XVI
DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF STUDENTS PER 10,000 OF POPULATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline State & Below 1 & 1-10 & 11-20 & 21-30 & 31-40 & 41-50 & 51-60 & 61-70 & 71-80 & 81-90 & 91-100 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Above } \\
100
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
No. of Districts
\end{tabular} & State Average \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 1 & 10 & 6 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & 20 & 16 \\
\hline Assam & 1 & 4 & 2 & 2 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & 11 & 23 \\
\hline Bihar & - & 6 & 7 & 1 & 2 & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & 17 & 19 \\
\hline Gujarat & 3 & 8 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & - & 1 & -- & - & - & - & 17 & 24 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & 3 & 4 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 9 & 23 \\
\hline Kerala & - & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & -- & - & - & 9 & 26 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & - & 33 & 4 & 2 & - & 1 & - & - & 2 & 1 & - & - & 43 & 15 \\
\hline Madras & - & 3 & 6 & 3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 13 & 21 \\
\hline Maharashtra & 3 & 11 & 7 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 26 & 28 \\
\hline Mysore & - & 9 & 5 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 19 & 23 \\
\hline Orissa & 1 & 10 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 13 & 8 \\
\hline Punjab & - & 3 & 5 & 4 & 2 & - & 1 & 3 & - & - & - & - & 19 & 28 \\
\hline Rajasthan & 5 & 12 & 4 & - & - & 5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 26 & 16 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 13 & 25 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 5 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 54 & 15 \\
\hline West Bengal & - & 4 & 7 & 2 & 2 & -- & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & 1 & 16 & 40 \\
\hline Total & 30 & 143 & 66 & 25 & 11 & 14 & 5 & 8 & 2 & 4 & - & 4 & 312 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean:
17.7

Target to be Reached by 1986: 38 Per 10,000 of Population
Source: Data supplied by State Governments.

DISTRIBUTION OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL COURSES (EXCLUDING TEACHER TRAINING) ENROLLED PER THOUSAND OF STUDENTS IN SECONDARY CLASSES (IX TO XI OR XII) 1960-61
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline State & Below
20 & 20-40 & 40-60 & 60-80 & 80-100 & 100-120 & 120-140 & 140-160 & 160-180 & 180-220 & 220-260 & 260-300 & 300 \&
Above & Total Number of Districts & State Average \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) \\
\hline Andhra Pradesh & 5 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 20 & 52 \\
\hline Assam & 2 & 5 & 2 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 11 & 38 \\
\hline Bihar & 2 & 8 & 2 & 3 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & 17 & 49 \\
\hline Gujarat & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 17 & 96 \\
\hline Jammu \& Kashmir & . & . & . & . & . & Not Avail & able & . & - & . & \(\cdots\) & . & -• & \(\cdots\) & . \\
\hline Kerala & 1 & 3 & 1 & - & 2 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & 9 & 58 \\
\hline Madhya Pradesh & 15 & 8 & 6 & 3 & - & 5 & 3 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 42 & 40 \\
\hline Madras & -- & - & 1 & 1 & - & 5 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 13 & 161 \\
\hline Maharashtra & - & 2 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & - & 26 & 164 \\
\hline Mysore & - & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & - & 2 & 2 & - & 1 & 19 & 178 \\
\hline Orissa & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 13 & 97 \\
\hline Punjab & . & - & -• & -• & . & Not Avail & able & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline Rajasthan & 20 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 26 & 31 \\
\hline Uttar Pradesh & 37 & 11 & 3 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 54 & 34 \\
\hline West Bengal & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5 & - & 2 & 1 & 3 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 16 & 193 \\
\hline Total & 85 & 49 & 29 & 32 & 15 & 20 & 20 & 12 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 283 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```


[^0]:    1. Eric Ashby, Tachnology and the Academies, London, MacMillar, 1963
[^1]:    2. Scientific Societies in India, Survey Report No. 3, Survey and Planning of Scientific Research Unit, CSIR, New Delhi
    *How far the call to boycott the English education affected science has yet to be evaluated. It is, however, interesting to note that the educational institutions established as a result of this boycott were not modern in outlook and had no place for science
[^2]:    $\dagger$ In Assam, Manipur, etc, classes $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{I}, \ldots$. . have been treated as classes I, II, III. ....

[^3]:    Note : Figures in parentheses give the percentage distribution of expenditure in each column by sources/by management.

[^4]:    Note: Figures in parentheses give the distribution of expenditure in each column by sources and by management.

[^5]:    Note: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentages to total in each column.

[^6]:    *Includes industry
    Note: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentages to total expenditure in each column.

[^7]:    educational statistics in india (195)-51 to 1961-62)

[^8]:    * Including enrolment in 'other fine arts' courses.

[^9]:    Note: Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage to total expenditure in each column

[^10]:    * Includes expenditure on schools for adults.

[^11]:    * There are two sessions per day-one in the morning and another in the afternoon.

