## Learning Achievement Of Class III Students

- A Baseline Study Under SSt


Department of Educational Measurement and Evaluation NATIONA: COUNCIL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING

Sil Aurobindo Miorg. New Delhi-110016

## Draft Report

# Learning Achievement of Class III Students 

-A Baseline Study Under SSA

Prof. Avtar Sing (Coordinator)
Dr. Santosh Kumar, Reader
Dr. Veer Pal Singh, Reader


Department of Educational Measurement and Evaluation National Councll of Educational Research and Training

Sri Aurobindo Marg, New Delhi - 110016 2006
abrant a documertation cempm
Vational losticure of Education
Planaing and Adminitracion.
17-B. Sri Acrobindo Maty.
New Delhi-110016 $h-12969$
DOC, No.
"seci-n $5=2=2$

## Acknowledgement

The collection of data of such large magnitude could not have been possible without the active participation of States/UTs. Therefore, the contributions of all statc coordinators and other state personnel associated with this study is duly acknowleged. I am grateful to Prof. (Mrs.) Sarla Rajput. Head, all my colleagues and other supporting staff of the department for their support from time to time. The thanks are due to Prof. S.K.S. Gautam for his contribution to this study. I also thank Prof. Krishna Kumar, Director for his encouragement and continuous support to this research work. The interest shown and the financial support provided by MHRD in this study is duly acknowledged, Lastly I appreciate the efforts made by Mr. R.N. Sahoo, Mr. Pardeep Kumar Patnaik, Research Associates and Shri Parash Ram Kaushik, In-charge Computer Station in bringing out the report in present form.

Prof. Avtar Singh<br>Project Coodinator<br>DEME, NCERT, New Delhi

June 2006
New Delhi

## Content

Acknowledgement
Page No.

1. Introduction ..... 1
2. Development of Tools ..... 8
3. Sampling Design and Administration of Tools ..... 12
4. Plan of Data Analysis ..... 16
5. Profiles of Schools, Teachers and Pupils ..... 18
Schools ..... 18
Teachers ..... 28
Pupils ..... 33
6. Achievement of Students ..... 37
Language ..... 37
Mathematics ..... 47
Related Variables ..... 54
7. Major Findings ..... 62
References ..... 67
Annexures I to XII ..... 68


## Context

The Education is intended to develop basic learning skills, "3 Rs" i.e. reading, writing, arithmetic's and life skills necessary for the children to survive and improve the quality of life. During childhood developments in the domains of literacy and numeracy take place through acquisition of basic learning competencies (BLC). These competencies represent levels of learning in a particular subject comprising basic knowledge, understanding, skills, abilities, interests, attitudes and values. The competencies are essentially to be acquired by the end of a particular stage or standard of education. As far as the primary stage is concerned it is in fact the

foundation stage for the development of basic competencies.

Primary education in particular has remained a serious concern of the nation since independence. A large number of programmes and schemes have been initiated both by the union and state governments to realize the goal of the universalization of primary education. This has led to the opening of a large number of schools with emphasis on universal enrolment and retention with a focus on quality of education. Curriculum renewal and preparation of good books have been a continuous process. A large number of teacher training programmes, improvement in infrastructural facilities, upgradation of schools. and recruitment of teachers have been made on account of the recommendations of various commissions i.e. University Commission (1948). Secondary Education Commission (1952), Kothari Commission (1964-66). National Policy on Education (1986) and Programme of Action-1992. In the post independent period, a number of state and national institutes like NCERT, NIEPA, SCERTs, SIEs, SIEMATs, DIETs also came into existence as a support system to the expanding education system. An account of expansion in school education over past half a century can be gauged from the data* presented below in table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Data Regarding Increwase in Schools. Teachers and Students

| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classes | Schools | Teachers | Students (millions) | Female teacher/ 100 male teachers | Pupil Teacher Ratio | Schools | Teachers | Students (millions) | Femate tiacher/ 100 malc teacher | Pupil <br> Teacher <br> Ratio |
| Primary | 2,09,671 | 5,38,000 | 192 | 20 | 24:1 | 6,38,738 | 18,96,000 | 1138 | 55 | 43.1 |
| Upper Primary | 1,35,96 | 86,000 | 3.1 | 18 | 20:1 | 2,06.269 | 13,20,000 | 42.8 | 62 | 38:1 |
|  <br> Sr. Secondary | 7,416 | 1.27.000 | 1.5 | 19 | 21:1 | 1,26,047 | 17.61.000 | 27.6 | 49 | 32.1 |

Due to rise in enrolment, pupil teacher ratio has increased at all stages. The student enrolment increased about 18 times in secondary classes due to various initiatives taken for universalisation of primary education in the past. The ratio of female to male teachers have also increased about three times during the period (1951-2001).

The quantitative expansion seems to have over shadowed the quality of education. Research studies conducted both at national and state levels point out low level of learning in schools and the situation becomes worse as children move to higher classes. Poor level of achievement at primary level is a big demotivating factor resulting in repetition and drop out from the schools.

Quality in primary education is required to help individuals learn to know. to do, to be and to live together. Improving quality of education is one of the six goals in the Dakar 'Framework of Action' endorsed by 184 countries in the world. in April 2000 in Dakar, Senegal. The quality of education in terms of students' learning outcomes can be demonstrated and claimed only through assessment and monitoring.

Though there are a number of factors which determine the quality of education, the most vital one that attracts the attention of one and all is the level

[^0]:
of achievement. These levels of achievement for any nation are so important that they need to be known periodically to keep a tab on the general health of the education system. Such a requirement warrants the conduct of periodical achievement surveys at different stages of school education in order to initiate remedial measures to improve the quality of education. National Policy on Education (NPE) - 1986 recommended the conduct of periodical achievement surveys at all stages of school education. The surveys provide a large scale data to monitor and keep a track record of development of the system over the years with reference to the achievement of nationally agreed learning outcomes.

## Earlier NCERT Surveys

NCERT has been conducting periodical surveys to collect data regarding availability of schools, teachers, classrooms, infrastructural facilities, students enrolment. retention etc. for planning and assessment of various schemes. But information regarding improvement in the quality of learning and attainment levels of children is not available on a large scale. The systematic efforts have not been made in this direction. Very few achievement surveys in a truncated way have been conducted in our country.

Three major surveys were undertaken by NCERT to study the level of achievements of primary school children across states. The first ever All India Achievement Survey in Mathematics was conducted by Kulkarni (1970) at three levels i.e. at the end of primary stage (class IV), at the end of middle school (class VIII) and at the end of secondary school (class X). Common tests translated into 13 languages were administered on a sample of children drawn from 15 states. The number of children who appeared in the tests varied from 28,000 at primary stage to nearly 20,000 at secondary stage. It was found that state average at class IV varied from 26 to $48 \%$ which got reduced at middle and secondary stages. There were regional differences within the state also. The direction of differences between urban and rural students varied from state to state. Generally boys performed better than girls barring few exceptions in some states. At the same time, another study was conducted by International Association for Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IAEEA) in mother tongue and science also at three levels i.e. for children of age $10+, 14+$ and the last class of secondary schooling. This study was confined to states having Hindi as a medium of instructions. The study revealed that school related variables contributed more than home related variables.

Another study in NCERT was undertaken by Dave and others (1988) on achievement of children in Primary classes I to IV in 22 states/ union territories in the country. Although the objective of the study was to compare the impact of inputs made available under a special project, 'Primary Education Curriculum Renewal (PECR)', the findings of the study were quite relevant as far as the pupil's achievement in schools were concerned. The study focussed on three curricular areas i.e. Language, Mathematics and Environmental Studies I \& II. It was found that the achievement declined from Class I to Class IV in all subjects. Large variation in achievement across states was also observed. The variations were noticeable in the states of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan and Karnataka.

Research studies indicated that the acquisition of basic skills of reading. writing and numeracy in primary school children were very poor in some of the states. The quantitative expansion of primary education may have led to decline in its quality. Therefore, a third major achievement survey was undertaken at the end of class IV of Primary Schooling by Shukla and other in NCERT in (1990). According to the pupils enrolment data as reported in Fifth All India Education Survey, a sample of $0.7 \%$ of total enrolment was planned for the study. This sample varied from state to state (minimum 83 in Arunachal Pradesh to maximum 13,688 students in Uttar Pradesh) with a proportionate representation from rural and urban population. The survey was planned for 1 lakh students from 25 states and UT of Delhi, but the final data was collected from 65.861 students of class IV from 22 states and Delhi. Three states of Himachal Pradesh, Goa and Manipur could not participate and a part of $J \& K$ state was also dropped. Tests were administered in 15 languages. It was found that average score in reading comprehension was lower than Mathematics. There was no significant difference in achievement among children from rural and urban areas but in $80 \%$ states the difference evenly favoured one or the other group. The performance of children studying in cities was better than those studying in rural areas in most of states. It was also found that teachers' knowledge in the subjects was inadequate. A positive impact of in-service training programmes was also visible in this study. The report was published in 1994.

## District Primary Education Programine (DPEP)

In 1992, Central Advisory Board on Education (CABE) while reviewing the progress made under NPE-86, called for an integrated approach to primary education development at the district level. This resulted in a most intensive effort by Central Government to increase enrolment, retention and attainment in primary education. The project is popularly known as DPEP. The DPEP programme was initiated in 42 most backward districts having low literacy rate across seven states in 1994. To-day. 277 districts spread over 18 states have been covered under DPEP programme. This programme was district specific aimed at enhancing literacy rate and reducing the gender-wise, area-wise and category-wise differences in achievement. A base-line achievement survey (BAS) was conducted in DPEP districts to have a benchmark for measuring hike in achievement. Subsequently, mid-term achievement surveys (MAS) and terminal achievement surveys (TAS) were conducted in all DPEP districts.

## International Studies

Imparting quality education to children is a challenge before education planners and administrators in major parts of the world even today. This concern was expressed in the World Conference on 'Education for All' held at Jomtein. Thailand in March. 1990. Its article 4 states

Whether or not expanded educational opportunities will translate into meaningful development - for an individual or for society depends ultimately on whether people actually learn as a result of those opportunities, i.e. whether they incorporate useful knowledge. reasoning ability. skills and values.

The focus of basic education must, therefore, be on actual learning acquisition and outcome, rather than exclusively upon enrolment, continued participation in organized programmes and completion of certification requirements. Active and participatory approaches are particularly valuable in assuring learning acquisition and allowing learners to reach their fullest potential. It is, therefore, necessary to define acceptable levels of learning acquisition for educational programmes and to improve and apply systems of assessing learning achievement.

The recommendations of the conference were a landmark for the promotion of greater access and better quality in basic education worldwide. Consequentiy. a joint UNESCO-UNICEF project "Monitoring Learning Achievement" (MLA) was launched in 1992 in phased manner in 60 countries. India was not covered under the MLA project. Its objective was to improve the quality and quantity of education offered in an equitable and effective manner besides, promoting Monitoring Culture' which will keep the learner at the center of all educational initiatives. This monitoring project had a special and deliberate focus on minimum basic learning competencies (BLCs) in the domains of literacy, numeracy and life skills.

At the same time in 1995, IAEEA conducted third International Mathematics and Science Achievement Survey for grades III-IV, VII-VIII and for final year of secondary schooling. Another important study "Programme of International Students Assessment" (PISA) have been undertaken by Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries in 2000. The programme is aimed at in knowing the development of general skills in $15+$ age group students through education in reading literacy, mathematical literacy and scientific literacy. In the first phase survey in reading literacy has been conducted in 2000 in 28 OECD and four non-OECD countries. The second and third survey had been planned for mathematical literacy and scientific literacy in 2003 and 2006 respectively. These studies are to be repeated after a gap of three years.

## The Present Studies

With a focus on universalization of primary education, a number of schemes have been implemented by Government of India with the support of International agencies like World Bank. European Commission, UNESCO, etc. A positive impact in enrolment, retention and achievement has been indicated by research studies in selected districts. However no major study on all India basis has been undertaken since 1990. More than a decade has elapsed and a concern has been expressed both at the state and national level for conducting a large scale achievement survey to know the health of our education system. NCERT has also been thinking of institutionalizing periodic achievement surveys.

Realizing the positive impact of DPEP, the Government of India, launched a nation-wide programme 'Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan' (SSA) for universalisation of elementary education in the year 2001. This ambitious programme aims at enrolling all children in the age group 6-14 in schools by 2003 and ensuring the completion of class VII by 2010. The nation-wide achievement surveys initiated
by NCERT at the end of classes III, V and terminal stage of elementary education. class VII or VIII depending upon the pattern of the education system in the states are going to be the base-line studies for planning interventions and measuring the improvement in achievement under SSA programme.

The baseline achievement survey of class $V$ has covered 105 districts from 27 states and 3 Uts. The achievement tests in three main curricular areas i.e. Language, Mathematics and Environmental Studies were administered to 88271 students in the states and Uts. The data about factors affecting achievement were collected from 88271 students, 10796 teachers belonging to 4787 schools. The performance varied from state to state and the national average achievement was $45 \%$ in Mathematics, $50 \%$ in EVS and $58 \%$ in Language. The impact of various intervening variables on achievement was also studied.

The present study of Class III was planned with the following objectives.

- To study the level of achievement of children in Language and Mathematics at the end of Class III
- To study the differences in achievement, categorywise, areawise and genderwise.
- To study the influence of intervening variables like home, school and teacher on students achievement.

The study was initiated in November 2002. The syllabi of all States and UTs were analysed and comptencles common across States/UTs were identiffed. Multiple parallel tests on common competencies were developed in the NCERT. These were shared with state experts, modified/generated in regional languages and tried out in 22 States/UTs. On the basis of item analysis, one test each in Language and Mathematics were prepared in Hindi, English and all other regional Languages. Finally, data from 92407 students, 8533 teachers and 5293 schools was collected through the tests and questionnaires in the months of February to April, 2004.

## Role of States/UTs in Present the Study

It was decided to associate academic persons from State Council of Educational Research and Training (SCERTs) or State Institutes of Education (SIEs) or from State SSA Units as State Coordinator for sharing the responsibility of survey within each state. In few states, state coordinators were taken from Directorates of Education. State Coordinators participated in almost all activities from inception i.e. development of tools, tryout and validation of tools, sampling of districts. rural blocks, urban areas, schools and within schools teachers and students. Identification of district coordinators, field investigators and their training for collection of data was the responsibility of state coordinators. State Coordinators were given a four day orientation at NCERT. New Delhi. Further training to district coordinators was imparted by State Coordinators. Like-wise field investigators were given orientation by District Coordinators before the actual administration of tools. The participation of states/UTs has also helped in building the capacity of state personnel in this area.

## Organization of the Report

The first chapter deals with the details of earlier both national and international surveys and the need of present survey. The second chapter gives details of development and standardization of tools. The sampling procedure, actual sample. procedure of administration of tools and data collection is given in chapter 3. The analysis plan is given in chapter 4. The next chapter presents the profiles of schools, teachers and students in the chosen sample. The last chapter 6 presents the achievement of students both at national and state level. The tools employed in the survey are given in the appendices of the report.


Assessment of levels of learning of students in schools is one of the major concerns in school education, specifically in the context of quality. The learning can be captured by employing different kind of tools and techniques. For assessing a large population of students, standardised achievement tests are commonly used. A standardized achievement test has a fixed set of test items designed to measure clearly well defined domain with, specific direction for administering and scoring of tests. Standard content and procedure make it possible to give the same test/ identical test to individuals in different places at different times.
For development of achievements tests following steps were undertaken.

## Identification of Common Competencies

Syllabi from different states and UTs were collected. A detailed analysis was undertaken and competencies/concepts common across most of states and UTs were identified in the curricular areas of Mathematics and Language of class III.

## Designs of Achievement Tests

On the basis of content analysis, designs for different subjects covering common competencies/concepts were prepared. Weightages to different aspects were given. Both the tests were having 35 multiple choice tests, items.

## Design of Language Tests

The language test comprised of 35 multiple choice test items having two or four options with following weightages.

Table 2.1: Weightage to Different Content Areas

| Area | No. of Qs. | Weightage Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Language Elements | 20 | 57.14 |
| Comprehension | 15 | 42.86 |

Table 2.2: Weightage of Objectives

| Objectives | Knowledge | Comprehension | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marks | 20 | 15 | 35 |
| Percentage of Marks | 57.14 | 42.86 | 100 |

## Design of Mathematics Test

The Mathematics test comprised of 35 multiple choice test items with the following weightage to objectives.

Table 2.3: Weightage to Objectives

| Objectives | K | U | A | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marks | 15 | 15 | 5 | 35 |
| Percentage of Marks | 42.85 | 42.85 | 14.30 | 100 |

## Development of Achievement Tests

Three parallel achievement tests based on common competencies/concepts in Mathematics and Language in both Hindi and English medium were developed in the department. The Mathematics test contained 35 objective type items with four alternatives carrying one mark each. However, the Language tests comprised of two main components i.e. Usage \& Grammar and Reading Comprehension. Usage \& Grammar contained 20 objective type test items with two alternatives. In Reading Comprehension there were three comprehension paragraphs each having five objective type questions with four alternatives. Each question was of one mark.

A workshop for further discussion with state subject experts/persons was organized. In this workshop these tests were discussed, improved and refined, so as to make them functional across states and UTs. Subsequently, two more workshops of state coordinators and experts were held to generate and translate the tests in remaining Indian languages. In the language tests, the first 20 items of grammar \& usage were generated in the context of local state languages but based on same or similar competencies/concept as per the design of Language test. Fifteen questions based on three comprehension passages were simply translated into regional languages so as to maintain uniformity and comparability across states/UTs. In Mathematics, it was almóst translation in other Indian languages, barring very few items. In this way three parallel tests for try out were developed in each subject.

## Development of Guestionnaires

For studying the effect of other variables affecting the achievement of children, three questionnaires, (one each for the school, teacher and student) were developed. The school questionnaire mainly focused on facilities available in the schools. number of teachers and students and various schemes in vogue in the schools. The teacher questionnaire focused on teacher's qualification, experience, training etc. The Pupil questionnaire collected detailed information about his/her home background, parent's qualification, profession, sibling and support in the family etc.

## Validation of Tools

Three parallel tests in each subject containing 35objective items of one mark each were tried out in 22 states. From one district in each of these states, 15 schools were randomly selected such that the sample had 5 schools from urban areas, 5 from rural areas and remaining 5 schools were from semi-urban areas of a district. This was done to ensure that tests were tried out over children of varying abilities from all types of schools. About 20 students from each school were selected randomly so that the sample of 300 students from each state was chosen. Each student was administered one Language test and one Mathematics test out of three parallel tests in each subject.

The sample proposed and actual data collected from tried out states and UTs was as follows:

Table 2.4 : Students Sample for the Tryout of Tests

| Subject | Test Form | Number of Candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed | Actually <br> Examined |
| Language | I | 2200 | 1855 |
|  | II | 2200 | 1740 |
|  | III | 2200 | 1730 |
| Mathematics | I | 2200 | 1716 |
|  | II | 2200 | 1744 |
|  | III | 2200 | 1563 |

This data was processed and items analysis for different parameters was carried out. On the basis of these parameters, items having appropriate facility value (between 40 and 80) and discrimination indices (between 0.3 and 0.8 ) were selected for preparing the final tests. The co-efficient of reliability of tests was also taken into consideration while making one test out of three tests.

Three questionnaires one each for school. teacher and pupil were also tried out over a small sample in Delhi and Haryana State schools for validation. On the basis of feedback, these were revised and were made more specific and understandable. These were also translatedinto various regional languages by States/UTs.

## Competencies/Concepts Tested

## Mathematics

The Mathematics test contained test items based on the common competencies. The test items broadly covered number system, four fundamental operations and problems involving these operations, fractions, geometrical figures, units of measurement of length, time, etc.

The question-wise details of concepts tested are given below:

Table 2.5: Competencies/Concepts tested in Mathematics

| Competencies/Concepts | No. of <br> Items | Item <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Understanding Whole Nos (writing numbers, <br> place value, before, after ordering) | 8 | $1-8$ |
| Addition | 4 | $9-12$ |
| Subtraction | 4 | $13-16$ |
| Multiplication | 4 | $17-20$ |
| Division | 2 | $21-22$ |
| Money related problems | 3 | $23-25$ |
| Fraction | 2 | $26-27$ |
| Geometrical figures | 3 | $28-30$ |
| Time related problems | 2 | $31-32$ |
| Measurement (Length, Mass and Capacity) | 3 | $33-35$ |
| Total | 35 |  |

## Languages

As already mentioned the Language test had two parts. The first part contained 20 items, testing usage and grammar. A number of competencies such as use of appropriate vocabulary, use of correct spelling, tenses and proposition etc. were tested through these items. This portion of the test has been generated in different languages keeping in view the language taught in the state but adhering to the design of the test. It was ensured that the generated items were similar and of comparable difficulty level in the regional language.

The second part of the test focused on the reading comprehension of the students. There are three unseen passages which were not only interesting from students point of view but also value oriented. The questions on these passages were set to evaluate the students ability to locate information, grasp ideas and the theme of the passage. One of the passage is a story and other two are about concern for animals and environment. This part of the test was translated in different languages so as to keep the content of the texts same. This was also necessary to have comparability of tests. The details of competencies are given below in the table:

Table 2.6: Competencies/areas tested in Language

| Competencies | No. of <br> Items | Item <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spelling | 6 | $1-6$ |
| Vocabulary | 6 | $7-12$ |
| Tense | 4 | $13-16$ |
| Preposition | 4 | $17-20$ |
| Three Composition paragraphs (a story, animal. <br> environment) | 15 | $21-35$ |

To sum up the tests in each subject was. translated and printed into 18 Indian languages. Three questionnaires, one for schools, one for teacher and one for students were also printed in different languages. These questionnaires are as given in Anruzere-I.

## Sampling Design

Multistage stratified random sampling design was used for the selection of districts, blocks, urban areas, schools, teachers and pupils from States and Union Territory of the country.

## Selection of Districts

The total number of districts in states varied from 2 in Goa to 70 in Uttar Pradesh. It was planned to select $10 \%$ districts from each state. In many northern and north eastern states only one or two districts could have been selected on this criteria. Study confined to one or two districts would not have provided the true picture of the state. Therefore, after discussion it was decided to have a minimum of 4 districts from each state except Goa. For this, each state was divided into four or more geographical regions depending upon the number of districts to be selected. All districts of a region were arranged in an alphabetical order and randomly one district from each region was selected. Wherever possible, one tribal district was included among the selected districts. Also in view of the relatively composite composition of student population in the state capital, the district in which state capital was located was also included in the sample. Goa state had only two districts and both districts were selected. In case of UTs, the entire UT was treated as one district. Hence, areas were clubbed together for the selection of urban areas and rural blocks in the UT. Finally, 111 districts were selected for the survey. A list of selected districts from each state and UT is provided in the Annexure-II.

## Selection of Rural Blocks and Urban Areas

The rural blocks and the urban areas, were separated in each selected district. If the total number of blocks in a district was upto four, then all blocks were taken. If the total number of blocks was more than four, two separate lists of tribal and non-tribal rural blocks were prepared in an alphabetically order and proportionately four blocks were selected randomly. A minimum of one tribal block was included in the sample if it was there in a district. Similarly, all the urban areas in a district were arranged alphabetically and randomly three urban areas were selected if the total number of urban areas were more than three. If the number of urban areas were three or less than three, all areas were included in the sample.

## Selection of Schools

It was proposed to select 50 Government and Government aided schools from each district proportionately from four rural blocks and three urban areas using random tables. Lists of government and government aided schools (all primary. middle, secondary and sr. secondary schools) having class III were prepared blockwise and urban area-wise. Using random tables, 50 schools in proportion to total number of schools in sampled blocks and urban areas were selected from each district. A minimum of 10 schools from urban areas were necessarily included in the survey for better representation. In addition to this, a replacement list of 10 schools in the proportion of rural and urban number of sampled schools was also prepared for meeting out any exigencies.

## Selection of Teachers

A maximum of two teachers including Head teacher who taught Language and Mathematics to class III students were selected for filling in the teacher's questionnaire.

## Selection of Students

A maximum of 30 students and minimum of five students were selected from each sampled school. If the number of sections were more than one, then randomly one section was selected. All the students of this section were selected if the number of students were 30 or less than 30 . In case the number of students was more than 30, then the names of boys and girls were listed alternately from the class register and 30 students were finally selected using a random start.

## Actual Sample of Schools, Teachers and Students

The participation of all states and UTs was plannedi in tuis survey. But out of 35 states/UTs, two states A.P. and Bihar and four UTs i.e. Lakshadweep, Dadar and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands could not participate. Therefore, students, teachers and schools from remaining 27 states and 2 UTs participated in this survey. The actual sample of schools, teachers and pupils for this study is as follow:
Schools
A total data of 5293 schools from 111 districts of 29 States/UTs of the country could be used for analysis. The area-wise and management-wise distribution of sampled schools is presented in table below.

Table 3.1: Distribution of Schools on the basis of Management of School

| Area | Total School | State Govt./ Zila Parishad/ <br> Panchaya//Local Body/ <br> Municipal Corp./ Urban Body | Govt. Aided <br> Schools |  | EGS Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Rural | 3990 | 3588 | 89.92 | 363 | 9.1 | 39 | 0.98 |
| Urban | 1303 | 1107 | 84.96 | 186 | 14.27 | 10 | 0.77 |
| Tota! | 5293 | 4695 | 88.7 | 549 | 10.37 | 49 | 0.93 |

Out of total sampled schools, $75.38 \%$ schools were from rural areas and remaining $24.62 \%$ schools were from urban areas. Further, average number of schools per district was approximately 48.

## Teachers

A total 8533 teachers were selected from 5293 schools. The distribution of selected teachers is given in table below.

Table 3.2: Gender-wise and Area-wise Distribution of Sampled Teacher

| Area | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Rural | 3792 | 59.00 | 2622 | 41.00 | 6414 | 75.00 |
| Urban | 687 | 32.40 | 1432 | 67.60 | 2119 | 25.00 |
| Total | 4479 | 52.00 | 4054 | 48.00 | 8533 | 100.00 |

On an average 1.61 teachers per school were interviewed. However, the average for teachers in urban schools was marginally higher than teachers in rural schools. Further, in the sample the male and female teachers were approximately $52 \%$ and $48 \%$ respectively. Area wise the percentage of teachers in rural and urban schools was approximately $75 \%$ and $25 \%$ respectively. The percentage of female teachers was more than double the male teachers in urban areas.

## Students

The data of 92407 students who appeared in both the tests was analysed. Table 3.3 gives the account of the students genderwise and areawise.

Table 3.3: Genderwise and Areaswise distribution of Sampled Students

| State | Area | Boys |  | Girls |  | Student Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
|  | Rural | 34031 | 51.52 | 32029 | 48.48 | 66060 | 71.48 |
|  | Urban | 13245 | 50.27 | 13102 | 49.73 | 26347 | 28.52 |
|  | Total | 47276 | 51.16 | 45131 | 48.84 | 92407 | 100 |

The sample contained $71.48 \%$ students from rural areas and remaining $28.52 \%$ students from urban areas. In the total sample, $51.16 \%$ were boys and 48.84\% were girl students.

## Administration of Tools

A three days orientation programme for the conduct of achievement survey was organized for state coordinators at NCERT, New Delhi. For actual conduct of achievement survey in the selected schools in sampled districts, state coordinators identified district coordinators for each district to carry out the field activities in a responsible and systematic way. Subsequently, state coordinators, organized a 2 -day orientation programme for the district coordinators in their respective states to explain the procedure of the administration of tools and collection of data. The tentative schedule for the conduct of survey in different states/UTs was planned centrally and tools were administered in the months of February to March, 2004.

Further, each district coordinator appointed about 20 field investigators for administration of tests and questionnaires in the schools. The role of field investigators was most crucial as they were to collect actual data from the schools, teachers and students. Therefore, it was decided to engage unemployed trained/ untrained graduates capable of carrying out this task sincerely and efficiently. A reasonable honorarium for actual working days was given to them. In some states, students of District Institute of Education and Training (DIET) were engaged in the field activities. One week prior to the actual field work, district coordinators organized a two day training programme for the field investigators and worked out details regarding actual schedule of visits to the schools.

A team of two field investigators visited a school under the supervision of district coordinator and completed the task within two-days in one school. Each of the 10 teams so constituted were assigned 5 schools. Thus for each team. collection of data on an average took two to three week's time simultaneously in all selected districts of a state.

The responses given by class III children on question booklets were transferred to the response sheets by the field investigators. These were scrutinised by the district coordinators. The following materials were used by the field investigators during the field activities.

- Tests in Language and Mathematics
- Questionnaires for school, teacher and student
- Response sheets
- Field notes
- Random tables

The used and unused material was collected back by the district coordinators. The data collected was checked on sample basis by the district coordinators. The response sheets of tests, three questionnaires and field notes were sent to state coordinator who in turn sent these to NCERT for analysis and preparation of report.


## PLAN OF DATA

 ANALYSISKeeping in focus the objectives of the achievement survey a detailed 'Framework for Analysis of Data' was developed. This framework provided details regarding data entry, data cleaning, data verification, preparation of different files, format of various tables and use of various statistical techniques for getting the answer to the some basic questions often raised about the quality school education. Analysis was divided into the following heading and sub headings:

## Development of Profiles

The profiles of students, teachers and schools were developed. The briefs discussed under profile of students, teachers and schools are as under:

## School Profile

The data collected through school questionnaire regarding distribution of schools on the basis of their terminal stage, attachment of pre-primary schools with sampled schools, availability of physical facilities related to teaching-learning process, competency based teaching-learning material, various kinds of incentive schemes, infrastructural and other ancillary facilities available in the schools were analysed. The information regarding total instructional time, number of working days and involvement of various school educational committees were also collected and compiled for each State/U.T.

## Teacher Profile

In this section teachers responses obtained through the teacher questionnaire in the sampled schools were analysed with reference to their educational qualification, subjectwise qualification and professional qualification, teaching experience, availability of teaching aids, in service training programmes attended by them and the themes covered during the inservice training programme. The effectiveness of various training programmes in terms of utility of the knowledge gained and the improvement in teaching skills were studied. The sources from where teachers received academic assistance were also analysed.

## Students Profiles

For the development of students profiles, the relevant data were analysed in the light of medium of instructions in which they were studying and the language being spoken at home, regularity in the schools, educational status of parents.
occupations of parents and academic assistance provided by the family members after school hours.

These profiles are presented both for the states and for the nation at appropriate places in the reports.

## Achievement of Students

Knowing the level of achievements of students in school subjects, across areas, genders and categories is a matter of interest to one and all. For this achievement tests in Mathematics and Language were administered to students in sampled schools in States/UTs. The mean achievement and standard deviation were computed. For knowing the difference within the groups and between the groups of students, the values of critical ratio (CR) were computed. All these computed values are presented in the tabular form in the report. The achievements are also presented through graphs for comparison wherever necessary.

## Impact of Intervening variables on Achievement of Students

It is a well known fact that both school and home environment significantly contribute to the student's achievement. This was studied using multiple regression statistical technique. Various input variables like physical facilities provided in the school and home, teaching-learning processes adopted by teachers in schools were regressed against the student's achievement referred as two criterion variables i.e. Mathematics and Language. The achievement in both the subjects is considered as output and is the result of input provided by other variables. For this some of the similar variables were combined to give its impact on the student's achievement. These combined variables have been referred as composite indices. The detall of this analysis will be given in the second part of the report to be produced later on.


The data gathered through various questionnaires was analysed and presented in this chapter. In its sub-sections profiles of the sampled schools, teachers and pupils have been presented.

## School Profie

It is found that out of 5293 schools. 3990 ( $75 \%$ ) were rural schools and 1303 (25\%) were urban schools. Further, approximately 35\% (1415) of schools in rural areas and $34 \%$ (444) of schools in urban areas were having pre-school classes. Approximately $76 \%$ schools in rural areas and $68 \%$ schools in urban areas were primary schools in the sample. However, nearly $19 \%$ and $21 \%$ schools were elementary schools in rural and urban areas respectively. The percentage of secondary schools in rural and urban areas were $4 \%$ and $5 \%$ respectively whereas at higher secondary level $2 \%$ and $5 \%$ schools were from rural and urban areas respectively.

The distribution of schools on the basis of management reveals that approximately $89 \%$ schools were either state government or zila parishad/ panchayat/municipal corporation, whereas, $10 \%$ were government aided schools and the rest ( $1 \%$ ) were EGS schools.

## Students Distribution in Sampled Primary Schools

Detailed information about the sampled primary schools along with strength of Class III and total students in primary classes is given in table 5.1.

Table:5.1 Distribution of students in Sampled Schools

| Area | Number of Schools | Class III |  |  | Total Students in Primary Classes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Rural | 3990 | 59769 | 55668 | 115415 | 316175 | 295874 | 612049 |
| Urban | 1303 | 26890 | 25718 | 52608 | 151670 | 145032 | 296702 |
| Total | 5293 | 86659 | 81386 | 168023 | 467845 | 440906 | 908751 |

It is evident from table 5.1 that 115415 students were studying in 3990 rural schools and 52608 students were studying in 1303 urban schools. The number of boys in rural and urban schools was higher than girls.

## Class-wise Detention in Primary Schools

The percentage of repeaters in class III in sampled primary schools is presented in table 5.2

Table : 5.2 Classwise percentage of repeaters in Sampled Primary Schools in the Year 2003-2004

| Area | Class III <br> Enrol- <br> ment | Detained in <br> Class III |  | Primary <br> Classes <br> Enrol- <br> ment | Detained in <br> Primary Classes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | N | $\%$ | N | N |  |

Table 5.2 reveals that overall detention of students against total enroled students in primary schools was $9.05 \%$ whereas in rural and urban it was $9.50 \%$ and $8.16 \%$ respectively. In class III the percentage of detained with respect to enroled students in class III was $10.32 \%$, whereas in rural and urban it was $10.70 \%$ and $9.50 \%$ respectively. In general, the detention in class III was slightly higher than overall detention in primary classes.

## Primary Schools having Multigrade Teaching

The distribution of schools on the basis of multigrade teaching and number of schools inspected by the supervisor during last session is given in table 5.3.

| Area | No of schools having <br> multigrade Teaching |  | No of schools <br> Inspected during <br> last session |  | Total School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| Rural | 1976 | 49.52 | 2896 | 72.58 | 3990 |
| Urban | 471 | 36.15 | 945 | 72.52 | 1303 |
| Total | 2447 | 46.23 | 3841 | 72.57 | 5293 |

Table :5.3 Distribution of schools on the basis of Multigrade Teaching and Inspected by Supervisors
It is observed that the number of schools having multigrade teaching was more in rural areas ( $49.52 \%$ ) than urban areas (36.15\%). As far as number of schools inspected by supervisors are concerned it was almost same in rural and urban areas i.e. $72.58 \%$ and $72.52 \%$.

## Multigrade Teaching Across the States

Distribution of schools on the basis of Multigrade Teaching and inspected by supervisor during the last session across the states has been shown in table 5.4.

Table 5.4: Distribution of Schools on the basis of Multigrade Teaching and Inspection by Supervisors

| States | Area | No of schools having Multigrade teaching |  | No of schools Inspected during last session |  | Total School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Arunachal Predesh | Rural | 59 | 34.91 | 120 | 71.01 | 169 |
|  | Urban | 4 | 17.39 | 18 | 78.26 | 23 |
|  | Total | 63 | 32.81 | 138 | 71.88 | 192 |
| Assam | Rural | 62 | 39.24 | 115 | 72.78 | 158 |
|  | Urban | 6 | 17.65 | 30 | 88.24 | 34 |
|  | Total | 68 | 35.42 | 145 | 75.52 | 192 |
| Chandigarh | Rural | 1 | 7.69 | 10 | 76.92 | 13 |
|  | Urban | 3 | 8.33 | 14 | 38.89 | 36 |
|  | Total | 4 | 8.16 | 24 | 48.98 | 49 |
| Chhatisgarh | Rural | 122 | 82.43 | 121 | 81.76 | 148 |
|  | Urban | 28 | 71.79 | 36 | 92.31 | 39 |
|  | Total | 150 | 80.21 | 157 | 83.96 | 187 |
| Delhi | Rural | 16 | 31.37 | 33 | 64.71 | 51 |
|  | Urban | 35 | 32.41 | 55 | 50.93 | 108 |
|  | Total | 51 | 32.08 | 88 | 55.35 | 159 |
| Goa | Rural | 27 | 34.62 | 59 | 75.64 | 78 |
|  | Urban | 4 | 18.18 | 15 | 68.18 | 22 |
|  | Total | 31 | 31 | 74 | 74 | 100 |
| Gujrat | Rural | 55 | 35.48 | 103 | 66.45 | 155 |
|  | Urban | 11 | 24.44 | 36 | 80 | 45 |
|  | Total | 66 | 33 | 139 | 69.5 | 200 |
| Haryana | Rural | 66 | 41.51 | 75 | 47.17 | 159 |
|  | Urban | 6 | 14.63 | 18 | 43.9 | 4) |
|  | Total | 72 | 36 | 93 | 46.5 | 200 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Rural | 83 | 54.25 | 102 | 66.67 | 153 |
|  | Urban | 17 | 36.17 | 37 | 78.72 | 47 |
|  | Total | 100 | 50 | 139 | 69.5 | 200 |
| J \& K | Rural | 66 | 42.31 | 126 | 80.77 | 156 |
|  | Urban | 10 | 24.39 | 35 | 85.37 | 41 |
|  | Total | 76 | 38.58 | 161 | 81.73 | 197 |
| Jharkhand | Rural | 123 | 79.87 | 122 | 79.22 | 154 |
|  | Urban | 35 | 76.09 | 39 | 84.78 | 46 |
|  | Total | 158 | 79 | 161 | 80.5 | 200 |
| Karnatak | Rural | 94 | 62.67 | 56 | 37.33 | 150 |
|  | Urban | 16 | 32 | 18 | 36 | 50 |
|  | Total | 110 | 55 | 74 | 37 | 200 |
| Kerala | Rural | 50 | 29.41 | 127 | 74.71 | 170 |
|  | Urban | 10 | 35.71 | 19 | 67.86 | 28 |
|  | Total | 60 | 30.3 | 146 | 73.74 | 198 |
| M P | Rural | 127 | 68.28 | 159 | 85.48 | 186 |
|  | Urban | 28 | 43.75 | 52 | 81.25 | 64 |
|  | Total | 155 | 62 | 211 | 84.4 | 250 |
| Maharashtra | Rural | 56 | 41.48 | 124 | 91.85 | 135 |
|  | Urban | 10 | 15.62 | 62 | 96.88 | 64 |
|  | Total | 66 | 33.17 | 186 | 93.47 | 199 |
| Manipur | Rural | 40 | 26.32 | 143 | 94.08 | 152 |
|  | Urban | 11 | 22.92 | 46 | 95.83 | 48 |
|  | Total | 51 | 25.5 | 189 | 94.5 | 200 |


| States | Area | No of schools having Multigrade teaching |  | No of schools Inspected during last session |  | Total School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Meghalaya | Rural | 35 | 25.55 | 24 | 17.52 | 137 |
|  | Urban | 8 | 20.51 | 11 | 28.21 | 39 |
|  | Total | 43 | 24.43 | 35 | 19.89 | 176 |
| Mizoram | Rural | 30 | 23.44 | 73 | 57.03 | 128 |
|  | Urban | 9 | 12.86 | 47 | 67.14 | 70 |
|  | Total | 39 | 19.7 | 120 | 60.61 | 198 |
| Nagaland | Rural | 29 | 30.53 | 86 | 90.53 | 95 |
|  | Urban | 8 | 25.81 | 25 | 80.65 | 31 |
|  | Total | 37 | 29.37 | 111 | 88.1 | 126 |
| Orissa | Rural | 131 | 79.39 | 140 | 84.85 | 165 |
|  | Urban | 26 | 74.29 | 30 | 85.71 | 35 |
|  | Total | 157 | 78.5 | 170 | 85 | 200 |
| Pondicherry | Rural | 6 | 18.75 | 23 | 71.88 | 32 |
|  | Urban | 2 | 11.11 | 12 | 66.67 | 18 |
|  | Total | 8 | 16 | 35 | 70 | 50 |
| Punjab | Rural | 110 | 79.14 | 98 | 70.5 | 139 |
|  | Urban | 14 | 58.33 | 19 | 79.17 | 24 |
|  | Total | 124 | 76.07 | 117 | 71.78 | 163 |
| Rajsthan | Rural | 96 | 60.76 | 126 | 79.75 | 158 |
|  | Urban | 20 | 48.78 | 36 | 87.8 | 41 |
|  | Total | 116 | 58.29 | 162 | 81.41 | 199 |
| Sikkim | Rural | 36 | 24.83 | 130 | 89.66 | 145 |
|  | Uituan | 1 | 6.67 | 12 | 80 | 15 |
|  | Total | 37 | 23.12 | 142 | 88.75 | 160 |
| TN | Rural | 54 | 50.47 | 84 | 78.5 | 107 |
|  | Urban | 41 | 44.09 | 70 | 75.27 | 93 |
|  | Total | 95 | 47.5 | 154 | 77. | 200 |
| Tripura | Rural | 16 | 10.19 | 109 | 69.43 | 157 |
|  | Urban | 4 | 9.76 | 34 | 82.93 | 41 |
|  | Total | 20 | 10.1 | 143 | 72.22 | 198 |
| UP | Rural | 187 | 70.57 | 229 | 86.42 | 265 |
|  | Urban | 57 | 67.06 | 71 | 83.53 | 85 |
|  | Total | 244 | 69.71 | 300 | 85.71 | 350 |
| Utranchal | Rural | 148 | 91.93 | 105 | 65.22 | 161 |
|  | Urban | 29 | 74.36 | 27 | 69.23 | 39 |
|  | Total | 177 | 88.5 | 132 | 66 | 200 |
| West Bengal | Rural | 51 | 44.74 | 74 | 64.91 | 114 |
|  | Urban | 18 | 50 | 21 | 58.33 | 36 |
|  | Total | 69 | 46 | 95 | 63.33 | 150 |

Table 5.4 reveals that the percentage of multigrade teaching schools was highest ( $88.5 \%$ ) in Uttaranchal and lowest ( $8.16 \%$ ) in Chandigarh. States like Chhatisgarh and Uttranchal were having multigrade teaching in more than $80 \%$ schools while Chandigarh and Tripura were having multigrade teaching in less than $11 \%$ schools. Out of 29 States/UTs, 16 States/UTs namely Himachal Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, Arunachal Pradesh. Assam, Delhi, Goa, Gujarat, Haryana, J \& K, Maharashtra, Kerala, Manipur, Meghalaya, Nagaland and Sikkim were having multigrade teaching 21-50\% schools. Further 51-60\% of schools in Karnataka and Rajasthan, 61-70\% schools in Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh, 71-80\% schools in Jharkhand, Orissa and Punjab were having multigrade teaching. However, in Mizoram and Pondicherry the percentage of multigrade teaching schools was $19.7 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively. Further, percentage of multigrade schools in rural areas was more than urban areas except in case of Chandigarh, Delhi. Kerala, and West Bengal where it was reverse.

As far as inspection of schools is concerned, Manipur was the state having highest ( $94.5 \%$ ) percentage of schools which were supervised during the last session and Meghalaya was at the bottom (19.89\%) while remaining states were lying between the two. In rural areas percentage of inspected school was more than urban areas in case of Chandigarh, Delhi, Goa. Haryana, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Nagaland, Pondicherry, Sikkim, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal while percentage of inspected schools was low in case of other states.

## Teachers Teaching to Primary Classes

Details about the number of teachers teaching primary classes in sampled schools are presented in table 5.5.

Table:5.5 Number of Teachers Teaching Primary Classes in Sampled Schools

| Area | School | No. of Regular Teachers |  |  | No. of Teachers working against leave vacancy/Temporary |  |  | No. of Para teachers |  |  | Total Teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Total \% | Male \% | Femal e \% | Total \% | Male \% | Femal <br> e \% | Total \% |  |
| Rural | 3990 | 42.53 | 39.99 | 82.52 | 5.01 | 5.08 | 10.09 | 3.65 | 3.73 | 7.39 | 21371 |
| Urban | 1303 | 24.25 | 60.19 | 84.44 | 2.77 | 5.13 | 7.89 | 3.37 | 4.29 | 7.67 | 10437 |
| Total | 5293 | 36.53 | 46.62 | 33.15 | 4.27 | 5.1 | 9.37 | 3.56 | 3.92 | 7.48 | 31808 |

Table No. 5.5 shows that $83.15 \%$ teachers were regular. and $7.48 \%$ were para teachers while rermaining teachers ( $9.37 \%$ ) stated that they were working against leave vacancy or as temporary teachers. Further, it was noticed that male regular teachers were more in rural areas (42.53\%) than the urban areas $(24.25 \%)$ whereas female regular teachers in urban areas were more ( $60.19 \%$ ) in comparison to rural areas (39.99\%). Besides, male temporary teachers were more in rural schools as compared to urban schools. However. the percentage of male and female teachers with each of the category of temporary and para teachers in rural schools was almost the same.

## Status of Teachers Across the States

The status of teachers holding posts under different capacities i.e. regular, against leave vacancy/temporary and para in different states in sampled schools is presented in Table 5.6.

Table 5.6: Number of Teachers in sampled schools across states

| States | Area | School | No. of regular Teachers |  |  |  |  |  | No. of Teachers working against leave vacancy/Temporary |  |  |  |  |  | No. of Para Teachers |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Teach ers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Ar.Pradesh | Rural | 169 | 518 | 59.27 | 192 | 21.97 | 710 | 81.24 | 59 | 6.75 | 54 | 6.18 | 113 | 12.93 | 41 | 4.69 | 10 | 1.14 | 51 | 5.84 | 874 |
|  | Urban | 23 | 80 | 31.87 | 129 | 51.39 | 209 | 83.27 | 3 | 1.2 | 24 | 9.56 | 27 | 10.76 | 2 | 0.8 | 13 | 5.18 | 15 | 5.98 | 251 |
|  | Total | 192 | 598 | 53.16 | 321 | 28.53 | 919 | 81.69 | 62 | 5.51 | 78 | 6.93 | 140 | 12.44 | 43 | 3.82 | 23 | 2.04 | 66 | 5.87 | 1125 |
| Assam | Rural | 158 | 299 | 55.06 | 189 | 34.81 | 488 | 89.87 | 20 | 3.68 | 18 | 3.31 | 38 | 7 | 10 | 1.84 | 7 | 1.29 | 17 | 3.13 | 543 |
|  | Urt | 34 | 54 | 26.47 | 138 | 67.65 | 192 | 94.12 | 2 | 0.98 | 7 | 3.43 | 9 | 4.41 | 1 | 0.49 | 2 | 0.98 | 3 | 1.47 | 204 |
|  | To | 192 | 3534 | 347.2 | 327 | 43.78 | 680 | 91.03 | 22 | 2.95 | 25 | 3.35 | 47 | 6.29 | 11 | 1.47 | 9 | 1.2 | 20 | 2.68 | 747 |
| Chandigarh | Rural | 13 | 22 | 15.28 | 97 | 67.36 | 119 | 82.64 | 12 | 8.33 | 11 | 7.64 | 23 | 15.97 | 2 | 1.39 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.39 | 144 |
|  | Urban | 36 | 73 | 12.61 | 369 | 63.73 | 442 | 76.34 | 44 | 7.6 | 54 | 9.33 | 98 | 16.93 | 13 | 2.25 | 26 | 4.49 | 39 | 6.74 | 579 |
|  | Total | 49 | 95 | 13.1 | 466 | 64.45 | 561 | 77.5 | 56 | 7.75 | 65 | 8.99 | 121 | 16.74 | 15 | 2.07 | 26 | 3.6 | 41 | 5.67 | 723 |
| Chhatisgarh | Rural | 148 | 2384 | 49.6 | 108 | 22.55 | 346 | 72.23 | 56 | 11.69 | 31 | 6.47 | 87 | 18.16 | 30 | 6.26 | 16 | 3.34 | 46 | 9.6 | 479 |
|  | Urban | 39 | 62 | 32.29 | 94 | 48.96 | 156 | 81.25 | 10 | 5.21 | 15 | 7.81 | 25 | 13.02 | 4 | 2.08 | 7 | 3.65 | 11 | 5.73 | 192 |
|  | Tot | 187 | 3004 | 944.71 | 202 | 30.1 | 502 | 74.81 | 66 | 9.84 | 46 | 6.86 | 112 | 16.6 | 34 | 5.07 | 23 | 3.43 | 57 | 8.49 | 671 |
| Delhi | Rural | 51 | 156 | 26.58 | 329 | 56.05 | 485 | 82.62 | 29 | 4.94 | 48 | 8.18 | 77 | 13.12 | 11 | 1.87 | 14 | 2.39 | 25 | 4.26 | 587 |
|  | Urban | 108 | 369 | 29.76 | 540 | 43.55 | 909 | 73.31 | 75 | 6.05 | 124 | 10 | 199 | 16.05 | 59 | 4.76 | 73 | 5.89 | 132 | 10.65 | 1240 |
|  | To | 159 | 525 | 28.74 | 869 | 47.56 | 1394 | 76.3 | 10 | 5.69 | 172 | 9.41 | 276 | 15.11 | 70 | 3.83 | 87 | 4.76 | 157 | 8.59 | 1827 |
| Goa | R | 78 | 45 | 14.33 | 258 | 82.17 | 303 | 96.5 | 3 | 0.96 | 8 | 2.55 | 11 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
|  | Urb | 22 | 3 | 1.76 | 156 | 91.76 | 159 | 93.53 | 1 | 0.59 | 10 | 5.88 | 11 | 6.47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
|  | To | 100 | 48 | 9.92 | 414 | 85.54 | 462 | 195.45 | 4 | 0.83 | 18 | 3.72 | 22 | 4.55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 |
| Gujrat | Rur | 155 | 378 | 35.2 | 486 | 45.25 | 864 | 80.45 | 53 | 4.93 | 57 | 5.31 | 110 | 10.2 | 43 | 4 | 57 | 5.31 | 100 | 9.31 | 1074 |
|  | Urb | 45 | 119 | 927.7 | 226 | 52.68 | 345 | 80.42 | 17 | 3.96 | 43 | 10.02 | 60 | 13.9 | 10 | 2.33 | 14 | 3.26 | 24 | 5.59 | 429 |
|  | Tota | 200 | 497 | 33.07 | 712 | 47.37 | 1209 | 80.44 | 70 | 4.66 | 100 | 6.65 | 170 | 11.31 | 53 | 3.53 | 71 | 4.72 | 124 | 8.25 | 1503 |
| Haryaná | Rural | 159 | 278 | 849.55 | 278 | 49.55 | 556 | 99.11 | 4 | 0.71 | 1 | 0.18 | 5 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 561 |
|  | Urb | 41 | 31 | 14.09 | 181 | 82.27 | 212 | 96.36 | 2 | 0.91 | 6 | 2.73 | 8 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
|  | To | 200 | 309 | 39.56 | 459 | 58.77 | 768 | 98.34 | 6 | 0.77 | 7 | 0.9 | 13 | 1.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 781 |
| HP | Rura | 153 | 244 | 39.67 | 285 | 46.34 | 529 | 86.02 | 20 | 3.25 | 46 | 7.48 | 66 | 10.73 | 7 | 1.14 | 13 | 2.11 | 20. | 3.25 | 615 |
|  | Urt | 47 | 29 | 10.25 | 215 | 75.97 | 244 | 86.22 | 11 | 3.89 | 20 | 7.07 | 31 | 10.95 | 2 | 0.71 | 6 | 2.12 | 8 | 2.83 | 283 |
|  | T | 200 | 273 | 30.4 | 500 | 55.68 | 773 | 86.08 | 31 | 3.45 | 66 | 7.35 | 97 | 10.8 | 9 | 1 | 19 | 2.12 | 28 | 3.12 | 898 |
| J \& K | Rur | 156 | 409 | 930.98 | 535 | 40.53 | 944 | 71.52 | 119 | 9.02 | 120 | 9.09 | 239 | 18.11 | 63 | 4.77 | 74 | 5.61 | 137 | 10.38 | 1320 |
|  | Urb | 41 | 57 | 14 | 306 | 75.18 | 363 | 89.19 | 16 | 3.93 | 24 | 5.9 | 40 | 9.83 | 1 | 0.25 | 3 | 0.74 | 4 | 0.98 | 407 |
|  | T | 197 | 466 | 626.98 | 841 | 48.7 | 1307 | 75.68 | 135 | 7.82 | 144 | 8.34 | 279 | 16.16 | 64 | 3.71 | 77 | 4.46 | 14 | 8.16 | 1727 |
| Jharkhand | Rura | 154 | 367 | 749.13 | 131 | 17.54 | 498 | 66.67 | 7 | 0.94 | 7 | 0.94 | 14 | 1.87 | 136 | 18.21 | 99 | 13.2 | 235 | 31.46 | 747 |
|  | Urba | 46 | 84 | 33.33 | 114 | 45.24 | 198 | 78.57 | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 2.38 | 7 | 2.78 | 9 | 3.57 | 38 | 15.08 | 47 | 18.65 | 252 |
|  | Total | 200 | 451 | 145.15 | 245 | 24.52 | 696 | 69.67 | 8 | 0.8 | 13 | 1.3 | 21 | 2.1 | 145 | 14.51 | 137 | 13.71 | 282 | 28.23 | 999 |
| Karnatak | Rura | 150 | 380 | O56.89 | 261 | 39.07 | 641 | 95.96 | 12 | 1.8 | 13 | 1.95 | 25 | 3.74 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 668 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 103 | 325.06 | 283 | 68.86 | 386 | 93.92 | 6 | 1.46 | 13 | 3.16 | 19 | 4.62 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.46 | 6 | 1.46 | 411 |
|  | Total | 200 | 483 | 44.76 | 544 | 50.42 | 1027 | 95.18 | 18 | 1.67 | 26 | 2.41 | 44 | 4.08 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0.74 | 8 | 0.74 | 1079 |
| Kerala | Rura | 170 | 363 | 26.97 | 920 | 68.35 | 1283 | 95.32 | 16 | 1.19 | 28 | 2.08 | 44 | 3.27 | 9 | 0.67 | 10 | 0.74 | 19 | 1.41 | 1346 |
|  | Urban | 28 | 56 | 22.67 | 190 | 76.92 | 246 | 99.6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
|  | Total | 198 | 419 | 26.3 | 1110 | 69.68 | 1529 | 95.98 | 16 | 1 | 29 | 1.82 | 45 | 2.82 | 9 | 0.56 | 10 | 0.63 | 19 | 1.19 | 15 |


| Sates | Area | School | No of Regilar Teactors |  |  |  |  |  | No. of Teaturs vorking agans leave vance Temprary |  |  |  |  |  | No of Para Teadus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male |  | Fande |  | Taral |  | Male |  | Forme |  | Tated |  | Male |  | Farak |  | Toat |  |  |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| MP | Rura | 186 | 310 | 427 | 232 | 32 | 542 | 74.76 | 41 | 5.66 | 2 | 7.17 | 93 | 1283 | 51 | 7.03 | 39 | 5.38 | 90 | 1241 | 75 |
|  | Utan | 64 | 7 | 229 | 17 | 513 | 256 | 74.2 | 19 | 5.51 | 48 | 13.91 | 67 | 19.42 | 13 | 37 | 9 | 261 | 2 | 638 | 345 |
|  | Total | 250 | 389 | 3636 | 409 | 382 | 788 | 74.58 | 60 | 5.61 | 100 | 935 | 160 | 14.95 | 64 | 5.98 | 48 | 4.49 | 112 | 10.47 | 100 |
| MH | Rural | 135 | 529 | 64.51 | 243 | 29.63 | 72 | 94.15 | 11 | 134 | 15 | 1.83 | 26 | 3.17 | 7 | 0.85 | 15 | 1.83 | 2 | 268 | 820 |
|  | Uban | 64 | 169 | 24.67 | 493 | 71.97 | 662 | 9664 | 9 | 1.31 | 9 | 131 | 18 | 263 | 2 | 0.29 | 3 | 0.44 | 5 | 0.73 | 685 |
|  | Tatal | 199 | 688 | 46.38 | 736 | 489 | 1434 | 95.28 | 20 | 133 | 24 | 1.99 | 44 | 292 | 9 | 0.6 | 18 | 12 | 27 | 1.79 | 1505 |
| Manipu | Reral | 152 | 601 | 50.13 | 340 | 28.36 | 941 | 78.48 | 104 | 867 | 99 | 826 | 213 | 1693 | 27 | 225 | 28 | 234 | 55 | 4.99 | 1199 |
|  | Unkan | 48 | 192 | 43.34 | 221 | 49.89 | 413 | 93.23 | 3 | 068 | 10 | 226 | 13 | 293 | 9 | 203 | 8 | 1.81 | 17 | 3.84 | 443 |
|  | Total | 200 | 793 | 4829 | 561 | 34.17 | 1354 | 8246 | 107 | 65 | 109 | 664 | 216 | 13.15 | 36 | 219 | 36 | 219 | 7 | 438 | 1642 |
| Megralaya | Reral | 137 | 177 | 34.24 | 268 | 51.84 | 445 | 86.07 | 16 | 3.09 | 26 | 5.03 | 42 | 8.12 | 13 | 251 | 17 | 329 | 30 | 5.8 | 517 |
|  | Uthan | 39 | 35 | 1699 | 170 | 80.57 | 206 | 97.16 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 284 | 6 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 |
|  | Tad | 176 | 212 | 29.12 | 438 | 60.16 | 650 | 8929 | 16 | 22 | 32 | 4.4 | 48 | 699 | 13 | 1.79 | 17 | 234 | 30 | 4.12 | 728 |
| Mexam | Rurd | 128 | 253 | 505 | 19 | 39.12 | 449 | 89.6 | 30 | 5.99 | 20 | 3.99 | 50 | 9.98 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 | 04 | 501 |
|  | Utan | 70 | 123 | 31.14 | 261 | 6608 | 384 | 97.2 | 2 | 0.51 | 5 | 127 | 7 | 1.71 | 2 | 0.51 | 2 | 0.51 | 4 | 1.01 | 395 |
|  | Tad | 198 | 376 | 41.8 | 457 | 51 | 833 | 9297 | 32 | 3.57 | 25 | 279 | 57 | 636 | 2 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.45 | 6 | 0.67 | 896 |
| Nagrand | Pral | 95 | 238 | 38.64 | 257 | 41.72 | 495 | 80.36 | 57 | 9.25 | 41 | 6.66 | 98 | 15.91 | 12 | 1.95 | 11 | 1.79 | 23 | 3.73 | 616 |
|  | Utian | 31 | 99 | 29.03 | 171 | 50.15 | 270 | 79.18 | 20 | 5.87 | 31 | 9.09 | 51 | 14.96 | 10 | 293 | 10 | 293 | 20 | 5.87 | 341 |
|  | Toal | 126 | 337 | 3521 | 428 | 44.72 | 765 | 79.94 | 7 | 8.05 | 72 | 7.5 | 149 | 15.57 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 219. | 43 | 4.49 | 957 |
| Orisa | Rural | 165 | 236 | 45.55 | 214 | 3664 | 480 | 8219 | 6 | 1.03 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 223 | 44 | 7.53 | 47 | 805 | 91 | 15.58 | 584 |
|  | Utan | 35 | 30 | 21.9 | 100 | 729 | 130 | 94.89 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.46 | 2 | 1.46 | 2 | 1.46 | 3 | 219 | 5 | 3.65 | 137 |
|  | Tata | 200 | 296 | 41.05 | 314 | 43.55 | 610 | 84.6 | 6 | 0.83 | 9 | 1.25 | 15 | 208 | 46 | 6.38 | 50 | 6.93 | 96 | 13.31 | 721 |
| Pundictray | Pural | 32 | 112 | 487 | 117 | 50.87 | 229 | 99.57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.43 | I | 0.43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 |
|  | UThan | 18 | 55 | 3293 | 111 | 6647 | 166 | 99.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.6 | 167 |
|  | Toal | 50 | 167 | 4207 | 288 | 57.43 | 395 | 99.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 025 | 1 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 | 397 |
| Purgab | Rura | 139 | 150 | 3667 | 218 | 53.3 | 368 | 89.98 | 15 | 3.67 | 16 | 3.91 | 31 | 7.58 | 4 | 0.8 | 6 | 1.47 | 10 | 244 | 409 |
|  | Urtan | 24 | 4 | 5.63 | 65 | 91.55 | 69 | 97.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.41 | 1 | 1.41 | 2 | 282 | 71 |
|  | Toal | 163 | 154 | 3208 | 283 | 5896 | 437 | 91.04 | 15 | 3.12 | 16 | 333 | 31 | 646 | 5 | 1.04 | 7 | 1.46 | 12 | 25 | 480 |
| Rajasthan | Peral | 158 | 320 | 5818 | 176 | 32 | 496 | 90.18 | 17 | 3.09 | 11 | 2 | 28 | 5.09 | 3 | 0.55 | 23 | 4.18 | $\underline{26}$ | 4.73 | 550 |
|  | Uliba | 41 | 67 | 43.51 | 84 | 54.55 | 151 | 8805 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.65 | 1 | 0.65 | 154 |
|  | Toal | 199 | 387 | 54.97 | 260 | 3693 | 647 | 91.9 | 17 | 241 | 13 | 1.85 | 30 | 426 | 3 | 0.43 | 24 | 3.41 | 27 | 3.84 | 704 |
| Sildim | Rura | 145 | 701 | 31.97 | 583 | 2658 | 1284 | 5855 | 237 | 10.81 | 246 | 11.2 | 483 | 220 | 207 | 9.44 | 219 | 9.99 | 42 | 19.43 | 2193 |
|  | Ultan | 15 | 7 | 10.4 | 17 | ${ }^{\mathbf{K} 6}$ | 249 | 37 | 18 | 267 | 10 | 1.49 | 28 | 4.16 | 188 | 2.42 | 188 | 29.42 | 39 | 58.84 | 673 |
|  | Toal | 160 | 711 | 269 | 762 | 2699 | 153 | 53.49 | 255 | 89 | 256 | 893 | 511 | 17.83 | 405 | 14.13 | 417 | 14.55 | 82 | 28.68 | 2866 |
| TN | Pural | 107 | 174 | 2609 | 475 | 71.21 | 649 | 973 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 225 | 15 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 045 | 3 | 0.45 | 667 |
|  | Ultan | 93 | 92 | 1098 | 721 | 86.04 | 813 | 97.0. | 4 | 0.48 | 19 | 227 | 23 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.24 |  | 0.24 | 838 |
|  | Tad | 200 | 266 | 17.67 | 1196 | 79.47 | 1462 | 97.14 | 4 | 027 | 34 | 226 | 38 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.33 | 5 | 033 | 1505 |
| Tripura | Rural | 157 | 82 | 63.09 | 412 | 31.62 | 1234 | 94.7 | 45 | 3.45 | 24 | 1.84 | 69 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1303 |
|  | Ultan | 41 | 172 | 3874 | 256 | 57.66 | 428 | 964 | 9 | 203 | 5 | 1.13 | 14 | 3.15 | 1 | 0.23 | 1 | 0.23 | 2 | 0.45 | 444 |
|  | Tota | 198 | 994 | 569 | 668 | 38.24 | 1662 | 9.13 | 54 | 3.09 | 29 | 1.66 | 83 | 4.75 | 1 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.06 | 2 | 011 | 1747 |
| UP | Para | 265 | 346 | 34.26 | 456 | 45.15 | 802 | 79.41 | 48 | 4.75 | 49 | 4.85 | 97 | 9.6 | 47 | 4.65 | 64 | 634 | 111 | 10.99 | 1010 |
|  | Utan | 85 | 123 | 33.06 | 182 | 4892 | 305 | 81.99 | 12 | 3.23 | 23 | 618 | 35 | 9.41 | 13 | 3.49 | 19 | 5.11 | 32 | 8.6 | 372 |
|  | Tatal | 350 | 469 | 33.94 | 638 | 46.16 | 1107 | 80.1 | 60 | 4.34 | 72 | 521 | 132 | 9.55 | 60 | 4.34 | 83 | 601 | 143 | 1035 | 1382 |
| Uurandal | Reral | 161 | 120 | 27.59 | 234 | 53.79 | 354 | 81.38 | 24 | 55 | 21 | 4.83 | 45 | 10.34 | 14 | 3.2 | 2 | 5.06 | 36 | 828 | 435 |
|  | Ultan | 39 | 35 | 25.55 | 7 | 562 | 112 | 81.75 | 5 | 3.65 | 18 | 13.14 | 23 | 1679 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.46 | 2 | 1.46 | 137 |
|  | Tata | 200 | 155 | 27.1 | 311 | 54.37 | 466 | 81.47 | 29 | 5.07 | 39 | 6.82 | 68 | 11.89 | 14 | 245 | 24 | 42 | 38 | 664 | 572 |
| W. Brgal | Rural | 114 | 273 | 8029 | 57 | 16.76 | 330 | 97.06 | 9 | 265 | 1 | 029 | 10 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340 |
|  | Uthan | 36 | 66 | 47.48 | 73 | 525 | 139 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
|  | Toal | 150 | 339 | 70.77 | 130 | 27.14 | 469 | 97.91 | 9 | 1.88 | 1 | 021 | 10 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 479 |

It can be observed from the table that majority of teachers were working in regular capacity ranging from $53.49 \%$ (in Sikkim) to $99.5 \%$ (in Pondicherry). Percentage of temporary teachers or working against leave vacncy was highest $(17.83 \%)$ in Sikkim and lowest ( $1.66 \%$ ) in Haryana while percentage of para teachers was highest in Sikkim (28.68\%) and lowest in Tripura (0.11\%). Further, there was no para teachers in Goa. Haryana and West Bengal.

Areawise, in rural areas, percentage of temporary teachers was high in Comparison to urban areas in Assam, Chhatisgarh, Jammu \& Kashmir, Kerala, Maharashtra, Manipur. Meghalaya, Mizoram. Nagaland, Orissa, Pondicherry. Punjab, Rajasthan, Sikkim, Tripura. Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal while it was reverse for rest of the states. In case of para teachers, in rural areas, percentage was high in comparison to urban areas for Assam, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu \& Kashmir, Jharkhand, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh. Maharashtra, Manipur, Meghalaya, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and Uttranchal while it was low in case of rest of the states.

## Instructional Time in Schools

Average instructional time across the states/UTs is presented in table 5.7 below.
Table: 5.7 State-wise Average Instructional Time

| S.No. | State | Number of <br> Working <br> Days | Number of <br> Periods Per <br> Week | Duration of <br> a period in <br> minutes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Arunachal Pradesh | 216 | 40 | 39 |
| 2. | Assam | 224 | 37 | 40 |
| 3. | Chhatisgarh | 215 | 34 | 40 |
| 4. | Delhi | 200 | 45 | 33 |
| 5. | Groa | 220 | 43 | 35 |
| 6. | Gujarat | 218 | 44 | 36 |
| 7. | Haryana | 190 | 45 | 41 |
| 8. | Himachal Pradesh | 232 | 44 | 35 |
| 9. | Jammu and Kashmir | 209 | 40 | 39 |
| 10. | Jharkhand | 233 | 39 | 41 |
| 11. | Karnataka | 228 | 44 | 40 |
| 12. | Kerala | 193 | 34 | 43 |
| 13. | Madhya Pradesh | 207 | 32 | 45 |
| 14. | Maharashtra | 224 | 44 | 34 |
| 15. | Manipur | 218 | 33 | 41 |
| 16. | Meghalaya | 208 | 27 | 42 |
| 17. | Mizoram | 194 | 31 | 40 |
| 18. | Nagaland | 189 | 38 | 32 |
| 19. | Orissa | 225 | 41 | 41 |
| 20. | Punjab | 225 | 43 | 36 |
| 21. | Rajasthan | 225 | 47 | 33 |
| 22. | Sikkim | 210 | 40 | 39 |
| 23. | Tamil Nadu | 221 | 35 | 44 |
| 24. | Tripura | 208 | 31 | 39 |
| 25. | Uttar Pradesh | 216 | 47 | 37 |
| 26. | Uttaranchal | 228 | 45 | 37 |
| 27. | West Bengal | 220 | 35 | 39 |
| 28. | Chandigarh | 244 | 45 | 39 |
| 29 | Pondicherry | 202 | 35 | 44 |
|  | Overall Averages | 215 | 39 | 39 |

The above table shows that average number of working days in schools was approximately 215 days. On an average, schools were having 39 periods in a week and each period was of 39 minutes duration. Further, it was observed that maximum number of working days (244) were in Chandigarh and minimum ( 190 days) were in Haryana. Except 4 states namely Haryana, Kerala, Mizoram and Nagaland remaining states/Uts had 200 or more working days.

## Teaching Facilities available and used by the teachers in Schools

Teaching Facilities available in rural and urban schools and their use have been depicted in table 5.8 and 5.9.

Table : 5.8 Teaching Aids Available in Schools

| Facility | Available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Teachers Guide | 3920 | 61.1 | 1309 | 61.8 | 5229 | 61.3 |
| Dictionary | 4303 | 67.1 | 1517 | 71.6 | 5820 | 68.2 |
| Charts | 5118 | 79.8 | 1780 | 84 | 6898 | 80.8 |
| Flash Cards | 3419 | 53.3 | 1276 | 60.2 | 4695 | 55 |
| Mathematics Kits | 3536 | 55.1 | 1314 | 62 | 4850 | 56.8 |
| Others | 1492 | 23.3 | 538 | 25.4 | 2030 | 23.8 |

The above table 5.8 indicates that all teaching aids were available in the range of $24 \%$ to $81 \%$ sampled school. In terms of the percentage, charts were most available and the others teaching aids (not covered under 1-5 categories) were least. The availability of remaining teaching aids was in the range of $55 \%$ to $68 \%$. In urban areas more teaching aids were available as compared to rural areas. The trend of availability of teaching aids was similiar in both rural and urban areas.

Table : 5.9 Use of Teaching Aids as stated by the Teachers

| Factily | Rural |  |  |  |  |  | Untan |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regularly |  | Sometines |  | Not at all |  | Regularly |  | Sometimes |  | Not at all |  | Regularly |  | Sometimes |  | Not at all |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Teachers Guide | 2242 | 57.19 | 1599 | 40.79 | 79 | 2.01 | 779 | 59.51 | 502 | 38.34 | 28 | 2.13 | 3021 | 57.77 | 2101 | 40.17 | 107 | 2.04 |
| Dictionary | 1538 | 35.74 | 2640 | 61.35 | 125 | 290 | 608 | 40.07 | 858 | 56.55 | 51 | 3.36 | 2146 | 36.87 | 3498 | 60.10 | 176 | 3.02 |
| Charts | 2972 | 58.06 | 2008 | 39.23 | 138 | 2.69 | 1053 | 59.15 | 667 | 37.47 | 60 | 3.37 | 4025 | 58.35 | 2675 | 38.77 | 198 | 2.87 |
| Flash Cards | 1719 | 50.27 | 1650 | 48.25 | 50 | 1.46 | 694 | 54.38 | 549 | 43.02 | 33 | 2.58 | 2413 | 51.39 | 2199 | 46.83 | 83 | 1.76 |
| Mathenratucs Kits | 1589 | 44.93 | 1816 | 52.20 | 101 | 285 | 673 | 51.21 | 605 | 46.04 | 36 | 2.73 | 2262 | 46.63 | 2451 | 50.53 | 137 | 2.82 |
| Others | 590 | 39.54 | 855 | 57.30 | 47 | 3.15 | 225 | 41.82 | 299 | 55.57 | 14 | 2.60 | 815 | 40.14 | 1154 | 56.84 | 61 | 3.00 |

It can be observed from table 5.9 that out of six teaching aidsthree aids namely teachers' guide, charts and flash cards were used regularly by more than half teachers ( $51 \%$ to $58 \%$ ) whereas remaining three aids were used regularly by $37 \%$ to $47 \%$ teachers. Besides, Dictionary, Mathematics kit and others were used by $51 \%$ to $60 \%$ teachers sometime. Remaining three aids were used by ( $39 \%$ to $47 \%$ ) teachers sometime. Only $3 \%$ or less teachers stated that they do not use any teaching aids. Almost similar trend may be observed for the use of various teaching aids by teachers in case of rural and urban areas.

## Various Incentive Schemes

The table 5.10 depicts the category-wise and gender-wise number of students availing various incentive schemes.

Table 5.10 Number of Students Availing Incentive Schemes

| Incentive Schemes | Area |  | Boys | Girls | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-day Meal | R | N | 268598 | 250754 | 519352 |
|  |  | \% | 84.95 | 84.75 | 84.85 |
|  |  | N | 104597 | 99506 | 204103 |
|  | U | \% | 68.96 | 68.61 | 68.79 |
|  | T | N | 373195 | 350260 | 723455 |
|  | T | \% | 79.77 | 79.44 | 79.61 |
| Free Uniform | R | N | 68587 | 86010 | 154597 |
|  |  | \% | 21.69 | 29.07 | 25.26 |
|  | U | N | 27310 | 31850 | 59160 |
|  |  | \% | 18.01 | 21.96 | 19.94 |
|  | T | N | 95897 | 117860 | 213757 |
|  |  | \% | 20.49 | 26.73 | 23.52 |
| Free Textbooks | R | N | 154651 | 179936 | 334587 |
|  |  | \% | 48.91 | 60.82 | 54.67 |
|  | U | N | 66218 | 72599 | 138817 |
|  |  | \% | 43.66 | 50.06 | 46.79 |
|  |  | N | 220869 | 252535 | 473404 |
|  | T. | \% | 46.21. | 57:28 | 52.09 |
| Scholarships | R | N | 67133 | 80183 | 147316 |
|  |  | \% | 21.23 | 27.10 | 24.07 |
|  | U | N | 19040 | 26213 | 45253 |
|  | - | \% | 12.55 | 18.07 | 15.25 |
|  | T | N | 86173 | 106396 | 192569 |
|  |  | \% | 18.42 | 24.13 | 21.19 |
| Other Schemes | R | N | 73392 | 76414 | 149806 |
|  |  | \% | 23.21 | 25.83 | 24.48 |
|  | U | N | 22632 | 22966 | 45598 |
|  |  | \% | 14.92 | 15.83 | 15.37 |
|  | T | N | 96024 | 99380 | 195404 |
|  |  | \% | 20.52 | 22.54 | 21.51 |

It can be concluded from the data presented in the table 5.10 that the most availed incentive scheme was mid-day meal ( $79.61 \%$ students) and least availed was scholarship ( $21.19 \%$ students). Further, rural boys and girls were availing more benefits of all incentive schemes than urban boys and girls. Mid-day meal facility was equally availed both by rural boys and girls. Likewise. it was equally availed by urban boys and girls. However, other facilities like free uniforms. free textbooks, scholarships and others schemes were better availed by girls than boys.

## Educational Committees

The number of sampled schools having educational committees is present in the following table 5.11.

Table: 5.11 Educational Committees in Schools

| No. of Sampled Schools |  |  | VEC/AEC |  |  | SMC |  |  | MTA |  |  | PTA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | R | U | T | R | U | T | R | U | T | R | U | T |
|  |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 3990 | 1303 | 5293 | 86 | 63 | 81 | 51 | 48 | 50 | 44 | 36 | 42 | 56 | 61 | 57 |

It was observed that in the sampled schools, the percentage of Village Education Committees/Area Education Committees, (VEC/AEC), School Management Committees, (SMC), Mother Teacher Association (MTA) and Parent Teacher Association (PTA) was $81 \%, 50 \%, 42 \%$ and $57 \%$ respectively. Further, in rural areas VEC/AEC, SMC and MTA's were more than in urban areas whereas in urban areas PTAs were more in comparison to rural areas.

## Teachers Profile

In this section profile of teachers serving in selected schools has been discussed.
It is found that out of 8553 teachers, 6414 (75\%) were teaching in rural areas while 2119 (25\%) were teaching in Urban areas. In rural areas. percentage of male and female teachers was 58.58 and 41.42 respectively while in urban areas there were $32.4 \%$ male teachers and $67.6 \%$ female teachers. Overall, $44.98 \%$ teachers belonging to general catogery and rest $55.02 \%$ were belong to SC, ST and OBC categories.

Educational Gualification
Educational qualification of teachers is presented in table 5.12.

Table : 5.12 Educational Qualification of Teachers

| Gender | $\|c\|$ <br>  <br>  |  | N | $\%$ | Class 10 |  | Class 12 |  | Graduate |  | PG |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 177 | 3.95 | 1041 | 23.24 | 1649 | 36.82 | 1154 | 25.76 | 458 | 10.23 | 4479 |  |  |
| Female | 149 | 3.68 | 1148 | 28.32 | 1146 | 28.27 | 1017 | 25.09 | 594 | 14.65 | 4054 |  |  |
| Total | 326 | 3.82 | 2189 | 25.65 | 2795 | 32.76 | 2171 | 25.44 | 1052 | 12.33 | 8533 |  |  |

The table 5.12 shows that $32.76 \%$ teachers were having $10+2$ qualification. However, the percentage of teachers who were having qualification class 10 and graduations were more or less same $(25.65 \%$ and $25.44 \%$ respectively). The percentage of teachers who were having P.G. qualification was about $12.33 \%$. More female teachers had class 10 and PG qualification than male teachers whereas more male teacher had senior secondary qualification than their counterparts. Besides, 3.82\% teachers had qualification less than class X .

## Professional Qualification

Professional qualification of the teachers is given in table 5.13.
Table : 5.13 Professional Qualifications oí teachers

| No of sampled Teachers | Gender wise | Teachers Professional Qualification |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Diploma/ Certificate in Primary/ Elem Education |  | B.Ed |  | M.Ed. |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 8533 | Male | 3865 | 87.09 | 573 | 12.91 | 41 | 0.92 | 4438 |
|  | Female | 3379 | 84,71 | 610 | 15.29, | 65 | 1.63 | 3989 |
|  | Total | 7244 | 84.89 | 1183 | 13.86 | 106 | 1.24 | 8533 |

It is clear from the above table that majority of teachers were having essential qualifications i.e. Diploma/Certificate in primary/elementary education (approximately 85\%). About $14 \%$ teachers were having B.Ed qualification and approximately $1 \%$ teachers were having M.Ed. qualification. The percentage of female teachers having B.Ed and M.Ed qualification was more than male teachers.

## Subjectwise Educational Gualification

The level upto which the subjects of Mathematics and Languages were studied by the teachers is presented in table 5.14.

Table: 5.i.4 The level upto which various subjects studied by teachers

| Subject | Gender | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below Class } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ |  | Class 10 |  | Class 12 |  | Graduate and above |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Maths | Male | 537 | 11.99 | 2998 | 66.93 | 700 | 15.63 | 244 | 5.45 | 4479 |
|  | Female | 803 | 19.81 | 2542 | 62.7 | 577 | 14.23 | 132 | 3.26 | 4054 |
|  | Total | 1340 | 15.7 | 5540 | 64.92 | 1277 | 14.97 | 376 | 4.41 | 8533 |
| Language | Male | 347 | 7.75 | 1309 | 29.23 | 1854 | 41.39 | 969 | 21.63 | 4479 |
|  | Female | 272 | 6.71 | 1437 | 35.45 | 1227 | 330.27 | 1118 | 27.58 | 4054 |
|  | Total | 619 | 7.25 | 2746 | 32.18 | 3081 | 36.11 | 2087 | 24.46 | 8533 |

It can be observed from the table that approximately $15 \%$ teachers studied Mathematics and $36 \%$ teachers studied Languages at +2 level. About $81 \%$ tearoher reported that they have studied Mathematics upto to class X while $42 \%$ teachers studied language upto class X. Further, teachers studied Mathematics and Languages upto graduation and above were $4.41 \%$ and $24.46 \%$ respectively.

## Teaching Experience and Status

Teaching experience and status of the sampled teachers is given in table 5.15.
Table : 5.15 Distribution of Teachers on the Basis of Experience and Status

| Status | Area | Teaching Experience in Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total No. of Teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than I year |  | 1 to Less than 3 year |  | 3 to less than 6 year |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to less than } 10 \\ \text { year } \end{gathered}$ |  | Above 10 year |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| Regular | Rural | 0 | 0 | 393 | 7.87 | 565 | 11.32 | 847 | 16.97 | 3186 | 63.83 | 4991 |
|  | Urban ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0 | 0 | 110 | 6.13 | 129 | 7.19 | 274 | 15.26 | 1282 | 71.42 | 1795 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 503 | 7.41 | 694 | 10.23 | 1121 | 16.52 | 4468 | 65.84 | 6786 |
| Temporary | Rual | 1 | 0.24 | 173 | 42.2 | 73 | 17.8 | 62 | 15.12 | 101 | 24.63 | 410 |
|  | Urbar: | 1 | 0.84 | 52 | 43.7 | 22 | 18.49 | 14 | 11.76 | 30 | 25.21 | 119 |
|  | Totai | 2 | 0.38 | 225 | 42.53 | 95 | 17.96 | 76 | 14.37 | 131 | 24.76 | 529 |
| Para Teacher | Rural | 0 | 0 | 629 | 62.09 | 143 | 14.12 | 156 | 15.4 | 85 | 8.39 | 1013 |
|  | Urban | 0 | 0 | 131 | 63.9 | 21 | 10.24 | 8 | 3.9 | 45 | 21.95 | 205 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 760 | 62.4 | 164 | 13.46 | 164 | 13.46 | 130 | 10.67 | 1218 |
| Total | Rural | 1 | 0.02 | 1195 | 18.63 | 781 | 12.18 | 1065 | 16.6 | 3372 | 52.57 | 6414 |
|  | Urbar2 | 1 | 0.05 | 293 | 13.83 | 172 | 8.12 | 296 | 13.97 | 1357 | 64.04 | 2119 |
|  | Total | 2 | 0.02 | 1488 | 17.44 | 953 | 11.17 | 1361 | 15.95 | 4729 | 55.42 | 8533 |

Out of 8533 teachers, 4468 teachers were permanent (approximately $66 \%$ ) and having more than 10 years of teaching experience whereas the number of temporary teachers and para teachers were $24.76 \%$ and $10.67 \%$ respectively. As per area-wise, 52.57\% rural school teachers and 64.04\% urban school teachers were having more than 10 years of teaching experience.

## Inservice Training

Training programmes organized by various agencies for teachers are presented in the following table.

Table:5.16 Number of Training Programmes Organised

| Number of Training Programmes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Complex |  | BRC |  | CRC |  | DIET |  | SCERT |  | Others |  | Total Programme |  |
| N | \% | N | \% | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | N | \% |
| 339 | 6.72 | 1908 | 37.85 | 423 | 8.39 | 1479 | 29.34 | 447 | 8.87 | 445 | 8.83 | 5041 | 100 |



It is evident from the above table that approximately $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of the total programmes had been conducted by Block Resource Centres (BRCs) whereas about $29.34 \%$ training programmes conducted by the DIETs. The percentage of training programmes conducted by school complexes, Cluster Resource Centres (CRCs) and SCERTs were relatively less.

## Training Programmes Organised Across the States

It can be observed that the percentage of inservice training programmes for teachers organized by different agencies was different for various states. BRCs and CRCs were having leading role in Chhatisgarh, Jharkand, Kerala and West Bengal; BRCs and SCERTs in Haryana, Maharashtra and Nagaland;

BRCs and DIET's in Assam, Delhi, Karnataka, Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and Uttranchal; DIETs and other agencies in Arunachal Pradesh, School Complex and DIETs in Goa and Punjab; CRCs and DIETs in Gujarat and $J$ \& K ; DIETs and SCERT in Mizoram; School Complexes and DIETs in Manipur; SCERTs and other agencies in Chandigarh; Meghalaya Pondicherry, Rajasthan and Sikkim, School Complexes, DIETs and SCERT in Tripura.

## Home Work

The following table discloses the percentage of teachers who gave homework to students.

Table:5.17 Number of Teachers who give Homework

| Area | Home work given |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not at all |  | Sometimes |  | Regularly |  |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| Rural | 133 | 2.07 | 1232 | 19.21 | 5049 | 78.72 | 6414 |
| Urban | 39 | 1.84 | 334 | 15.76 | 1746 | 82.40 | 2119 |
| Toial | 172 | 2.02 | 1566 | 18.35 | 6795 | 79.63 | 8533 |

The data indicates that $78.72 \%$ rural teachers and $82.4 \%$ urban teachers gave homework regularly, whereas $19.21 \%$ rural teachers and $15.76 \%$ urban teachers gave homework sometimes only. However, number of teachers who responded 'Not at all' was about $2 \%$.

## Evaluation Practices Adopted by the Teachers

Details about the type of test/examination conducted to assess students performance by the teacher is presented in table 5.18 and 5.19.

Tabie 5.18: Evaluation Practice

| Unit Test |  | Quarterly Test |  | Half Yearly Test |  | Annual <br> Examination |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| 6232 | 73.03 | 5210 | 61.06 | 7227 | 84.69 | 7585 | 88.89 | 8533 |

It may be observed from table 5.19 that various type of evaluation practices viz. unit test, quarterly test, half yearly test and annual examination were being used by $73.03 \%, 61.06 \%, 84.69 \%$ and $88.89 \%$ teachers respectively.

Table 5.19 : Tests and Examination conducted to assess students performance

| All four |  | Only Three |  | Only Two |  | Only One |  | Total N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| 3730 | 43.71 | 2974 | 34.85 | 967 | 11.33 | 478 | 5.6 | 8533 |

Further, table 5.19 shows that $43.71 \%$ teachers were conducting all the four type of tests/examination to assess students performance whereas $5.6 \%$ teachers were conducting only one type of examination i.e. annual examination.

## Pupil Profile

Profile of sampled students has been discussed in this section.

## Category-wise and Gender-wise Distribution

The distribution of students is as follows
Table : 5.20 Category-wise and Gender-wise Distribution of Sampled Students

| Gender | SC |  | ST |  | OBC |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | Total |
| Boys | 9102 | 19.25 | 9224 | 19.51 | 14671 | 31.03 | 14279 | 30.2 | 47276 |
| Girls | 8498 | 18.83 | 8144 | 18.05 | 14343 | 31.78 | 14146 | 31.34 | 45131 |
| Total | 17600 | 19.05 | 17368 | 18.8 | 29014 | 31.4 | 28425 | 30.76 | 92407 |

It may be observed from the above table that approximately $19 \% \mathrm{SC}$, $19 \%$ ST, $31 \%$ OBC and $31 \%$ others category students constituted the student sample of this study.

## Educational Level of Parents

Educational level of the parents of sampled students has been presented in the following table 5.21.

Table 5.21: Educational Level of Parents

| Educational Level | Father |  | Mother |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| 1.Illiterate | 16877 | 18.3 | 32026 | 34.7 |
| 2.Literate | 8773 | 9.5 | 9477 | 10.3 |
| 3.Primary | 19253 | 20.8 | 16446 | 17.8 |
| 4.Secondary | 28079 | 30.4 | 18300 | 19.8 |
| 5.Sr. Secondary | 7285 | 7.9 | 3242 | 3.5 |
| 6.Degree and above | 2953 | 3.2 | 1084 | 1.2 |
| 7.Donot Know/Cannot <br> say | 9187 | 9.9 | 11832 | 12.8 |
| Total | 92407 | 100 | 92407 | 100 |

It was found that about $18 \%$ fathers and $35 \%$ mothers of the sampled students were illiterate. About $30 \%$ fathers and $20 \%$ mothers have studied upto secondary level whereas about $3 \%$ fathers and $1 \%$ mothers were having degree qualification. The overall educational status of mothers was poorer than fathers.

## Occupational Status of Parents

The information regarding occupation of the parents is presented in the following table 5.22.

Table 5.22 Occupation of the Students' Parents

| Occupation | Father |  | Mother |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Household/Housewife | 743 | 0.8 | 70435 | 76.9 |
| Farmer | 21096 | 22.8 | 5505 | 6 |
| Poultry farming | 383 | 0.4 | 121 | 0.1 |
| Agricultural labour | 12497 | 13.5 | 5882 | 6.4 |
| Picking forest produce | 377 | 0.4 | 154 | 0.2 |
| Domestic Servent | 1641 | 1.8 | 2165 | 2.4 |
| Street Vender | 2072 | 2.2 | 490 | 0.5 |
| Manual unskilled worker | 9706 | 10.5 | 2255 | 2.5 |
| Skilled worker | 13906 | 15 | 1547 | 1.7 |
| Clerical worker | 2158 | 2.3 | 271 | 0.3 |
| Shopkeeper | 5435 | 5.9 | 638 | 0.7 |
| Employer | 5999 | 6.5 | 665 | 0.7 |
| Manager/Senior Officer | 2779 | 3 | 563 | 0.6 |
| Others | 13615 | 14.7 | 866 | 0.9 |
|  | 92407 | 100 | 91557 | 100 |

It was observed from the table 5.22 that majority of mothers ( $77 \%$ ) were housewives and $23 \%$ fathers were farmers. About $14 \%$ fathers and $6 \%$ mothers were agricultural labourers whereas approximately $15 \%$ fathers and $2 \%$ mothers were skilled workers. However, $3 \%$ fathers and less than $1 \%$ mothers were holding managerial/senior official positions.

## Detention of Sampled Students

Information regarding students detained in different classes has been presented in table 5.23.

Table 5.23: Percentage of repeaters in different classes

| Area | Class I |  | Class II |  | Class III |  | Sampled <br> students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Rural | 6361 | 9.62 | 6203 | 9.38 | 6559 | 9.92 | 66060 | 100 |
| Urban | 2043 | 7.75 | 1954 | 7.41 | 2207 | 8.37 | 26347 | 100 |
| Total | 8404 | 9.09 | 8157 | 8.82 | 8766 | 9.48 | 92407 | 100 |

Out of total sampled students, $9.09 \%, 8.82 \%$ and $9.48 \%$ students repeated in class I. II and III respectively. The percentage of repeaters in rural areas was higher than urban areas for all classes.
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## Academic Help Received from Family Members

The following table 5.24 represents the academic assistance received by the students from the family members.

Table 5.24: Number of Students Receiving Academic Assistance from Family Members.

| Family Member |  | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |  |
| Father/Guardian | N | 12816 | 12228 | 4875 | 4867 | 17691 | 17095 |
|  | $\%$ | 37.66 | 38.18 | 36.81 | 37.15 | 37.42 | 37.88 |
| Mother | N | 9245 | 9213 | 4194 | 4192 | 13439 | 13405 |
|  | $\%$ | 27.17 | 28.76 | 31.66 | 32.00 | 28.43 | 29.70 |
| Elder Brother/Sister | N | 11451 | 11223 | 4732 | 4603 | 16183 | 15826 |
|  | $\%$ | 33.65 | 35.04 | 35.73 | 35.13 | 34.23 | 35.07 |
| Others | N | 2278 | 2293 | 892 | 958 | 3170 | 3251 |
|  | $\%$ | 06.69 | 07.16 | 06.73 | 07.31 | 06.71 | 7.20 |
|  | N | 34029 | 32029 | 13245 | 13102 | 47274 | 45131 |
|  | $\%$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

The above table reveals that both boys and girls received almost the same ( $37 \%$ ) academic assistance from fathers/guardians whereas the mothers' assistance to girls was more ( $29.7 \%$ ) in comparison to boys ( $28.43 \%$ ). Similarly elder brother/sisters' assistance to girls was $35.07 \%$ and to boys was $34.23 \%$.

## Personal Tuition

The number of students receiving the personal tuition is given in table 5.25.
Table 5.25: Distribution of Students Receiving Private tuition

| Area | Total | Taking Tuition |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | N | $\%$ |
| Rural | 66060 | 12728 | 19.27 |
| Urban | 26347 | 8426 | 31.98 |
| Total | 92407 | 21154 | 22.89 |

It is evident from the table 5.25 that students taking private tuition in rural and urban areas were $19.27 \%$ and $31.98 \%$ respectively. Thus, personal tuition was more prevalent in urban areas than in rural areas.

## Students Attendance

The distribution of students on the basis of their attendance is given in table 5.26.


Table : 5.26 Distribution of Students on the Basis of their Attendance

| Attendance in percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ 50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 50 and less than 70 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \text { and less } \\ & \text { then } 90 \end{aligned}$ |  | 90 and above |  |  |
| N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1958 | 2.1 | 6654 | 7.2 | 47358 | 51.2 | 36437 | 39.4 | 92407 |

Approximately half of students had attendance between 70\%-90\%, while $39.4 \%$ students had attendance $90 \%$ and above. Nearly $9 \%$ students had less than $70 \%$ attendance of the total working days.

## . <br> ACHIEVEMENT OF STUDENTS

Students achievement in Mathematics and Language was analysed area-wise, gender-wise and category-wise separately and presented here under sub-heading 'Achievement in Language' and 'Achievement in Mathematics'.

## Achievement ny Language

Table 6.1 shows mean achievement of students in Language at States/UTs and National Levels.

Table No.6.1: Performance of Students Across the States in Language

| States | No. of Students | M \% | S.D. | Difference <br> in Mean with National Average | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mizoram | 2170 | 81.78 | 12.8 | 18.66 | 1 |
| Nagaland | 1588 | 76.08 | 17.87 | 12.96 | 2 |
| Manipur | 2577 | 73.21 | 19.2 | 10.09 | 3 |
| Karnatak | 3703 | 69.96 | 18.23 | 6.84 | 4 |
| Pondicherry | 1294 | 69.9 | 20.37 | 6.78 | 5 |
| West Bengal | 3282 | 68.36 | 21.23 | 5.24 | 6 |
| Meghalaya | 1979 | 68.27 | 16.23 | 5.15 | 7 |
| Delhi | 4652 | 68.19 | 19.9 | 5.07 | 8 |
| Tripura | 4457 | 66.85 | 18.34 | 3.73 | 9 |
| Tamil Nadu | 5382 | 66.51 | 24.72 | 3.39 | 10 |
| Assam | 2555 | 65.91 | 16.96 | 2.79 | 11 |
| Orissa | 3252 | 64.78 | 20.82 | 1.66 | 12 |
| Maharastra | 4578 | 64.33 | 22.46 | 1.21 | 13 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 6114 | 64.26 | 22.12 | 1.14 | 14 |
| Kerala | 5327 | 03.31 | 21.94 | 0.19 | 15 |
| Goa | 1672 | 63.19 | 19.4 | 0.07 | 16 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 3324 | 61.61 | 19.91 | -1.51 | 17 |
| Rajasthan | 2874 | 61.48 | 21.98 | -1.64 | 18 |
| J\& K | 2437 | 61.1 | 21.91 | -2.02 | 19 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 2506 | 60.34 | 19.96 | -2.78 | 20 |
| Jharkhand | 3905 | 59.97 | 22.26 | -3.15 | 21 |
| Harrana | 3232 | 59.33 | 22.02 | -3.79 | 22 |
| Guiarat | 4639 | 58.54 | 18.4 | -4.58 | 23 |
| Sikkim | 2921 | 58.1 | 17.37 | -5.02 | 24 |
| Punjab | 2172 | 54.29 | 21.43 | -8.83 | 25 |
| Uttranchal | 2174 | 53.95 | 21.3 | -9.17 | 26 |
| Chandigarh | 1410 | 53.14 | 18.42 | -9.98 | 27 |
| Chhatisgarh | 2485 | 50.69 | 23.52 | -12.43 | 28 |
| Madhra Pradesh | 3738 | 45.21 | 28.77 | -17.91 | 29 |
| Average | 3186 | 63.12 | 22.05 | - | - |

Mean Achievement of Students in Language


In language mean performance of students was $63.12 \%$ with SD 22.05 at national level. In grammar and usage the mean acheivement was $68.27 \%$ with SD 21.73 and in Reading Comprehension it was $56.26 \%$ with SD 28.64 .

## Variation Across the States

Out of 29 states and UTs, the mean scores of 16 states/UTs was higher than national mean. The highest mean scores was of Mizoram state ( $81.78 \%$ ) followed by Nagaland ( $76.08 \%$ ), Manipur ( $73.21 \%$ ), Karnataka ( $69.96 \%$ ) and Pondicherry (69.90\%). The mean achievement of Madhya Pradesh students was the lowest ( $45.21 \%$ ). Further, the mean achievement of Arunachal Pradesh, Chandigarh. Chhatisgarh. Gujarat. Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu \& Kashmir, Jharkhand. M.P., Punjab. Rajasthan. Sikkim and Uttaranchal was below national mean in language whereas, the mean achievement of Assam. Delhi, Goa, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Orissa, Pondicherry, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal was above national mean in language. Further, niean performance of 5 northern east states was above the national mean. Besides, mean performance of Southern States/ Uts was above national mean.

## Classification of States According to Mean of Achievement

Table 6.2: Number of States/UTs showing levels of Achievement in Different Ranges

| Range \% | No of <br> States | Name of State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90-100$ | 0 | - |
| $80-90$ | 1 | Mizoram |
| $70-80$ | 2 | Manipur, Nagaland |
| $60-70$ | 17 | Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Delhi, Goa, Himachal <br> Pradesh. Jammu \& Kashmir, Karnatak, Kerala, |
| Marastra, Meghalaya, Orissa, Pondicherry, Rajasthan. <br> Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal |  |  |
| $50-60$ | 8 | Chandigarh, Chhatisgarh, Gujrat. Haryana, Jharkhand. <br> Punjab, Sikkim, Uttranchal |
| $40-50$ | 1 | Madhya Pradesh |
| $0-40$ | 0 |  |

Table 6.2 shows that out of 29 states/UT, mean achievement of 28 states/ Uts students was above $50 \%$. Only the mean achievement of M.P. was below $50 \%$. The mean achievement of two states i.e. Manipur and Nagaland was above $70 \%$. The highest mean achievement was in 81-90\% range of Mizoram state in language.

## Achievement as per Range of Marks

Table No. 6.3: Distribution of Students on the basis of their Achievement Level

| Frequen | Achievement Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cr | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 60-70 | 70-80 | 80-90 | 90-100 |
| f | 1201 | 11683 | 4693 | 6214 | 12110 | 11070 | 16170 | 12573 | 16459 | 10226 |
| cf | 1201 | 2884 | 7577 | 13791 | 25901 | 36971 | 53141 | 65714 | 82173 | 92399 |
| cf( $\%$ ) | 1.3 | 3.12 | 8.2 | 14.93 | 28.03 | 40.01 | 57.51 | 71.12 | 88.93 | 100 |



Table 6.3 indicates that in language approximately $15 \%$ students secured upto $40 \%$ marks, $40 \%$ students secured upto $60 \%$ marks, $18 \%$ students secured marks in the range of $60-70 \%, 14 \%$ in the marks range $70-80 \%, 18 \%$ in marks range $80-90 \%$ and only $12 \%$ in marks range $90-100 \%$. Further, more than $60 \%$ students secured above $60 \%$ marks.

## Performance on Competencies

Table 6.4: Competencies of Class III Language Test

| Competency | No. of Items | Facility Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spelling | $1-6$ | 47.77 |
| Vocabulary | $7-12$ | 68.24 |
| Tense | $13-16$ | 65.16 |
| Preposition | $17-20$ | 68.02 |
| Three Parágraphs (a story, an <br> animal, on environment ) | $21-35$ | 56.26 |

Table 6.4 lists competencies tested and facility value for each competency. The facility value for competencies was in the range of 47.77 to 68.24. Further, it is observed that in three states less than $40 \%$ students responded correctly on two competencies namely: 'Spelling' and 'Comprehension' (paragraphs). On competency 'Spelling' only $29 \%$ students of Punjab state could respond items correctly. On comprehension (three paragraphs) approximately $38 \%$ of Chandigarh and Madhya Pradesh states and 39\% of Chhatisgarh state students could respond questions based on three paragraphs correctly. So, these two competencies need to be taken care of while teaching language for these states. Besides, out of 29 states/Uts, in 18 States/UT less than $50 \%$ student could respond correctly, items based on competency 'Spelling'. Similarly, less than 50\% students of Karnataka and Madhya Pradesh could respond correctly on items testing use of correct Tenses.

## Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievement of Students

Table 6.5 gives the Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievement of Students at National Level.

Table 6.5: Genderwise and Areawise Achievement of Class III Students

| Subject | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Mean Diff (21) | Total |  |  | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Language | Boys | 34026 | 62.81 | 21.88 | 13244 | 63.3 | 21.88 | 0.49 | 47270 | 62.94 | 21.88 | 2.19* |
|  | Girls | 32027 | 62.84 | 22.28 | 13102 | 64.45 | 22.04 | 1.61 | 45129 | 63.31 | 22.22 | 7.02** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.03 |  |  | -1.15 |  |  |  | -0.37 |  |  |
|  | Total | 66053 | 62.82 | 22.07 | 26346 | 63.87 | 21.97 | 1.05 | 92399 | 63.12 | 22.05 | 6.55** |
|  | CR Value |  | . 17 |  |  | 4.25** |  |  |  | 2.55* |  |  |
| Grammar \& Usage | Boys | 34026 | 67.85 | 21.47 | 13244 | 69 | 21.55 | 1.15 | 47270 | 68.17 | 21.5 | 5.22** |
|  | Girls | 32027 | 67.74 | 22.03 | 13102 | 69.91 | 21.72 | 2.17 | 45129 | 68.37 | 21.96 | 9.59** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.11 |  |  | -0.91 |  |  |  | -0.2 |  |  |
|  | Total | 66053 | 67.8 | 21.74 | 26346 | 69.46 | 21.64 | 1.66 | 92399 | 68.27 | 21.73 | 10.51** |
|  | CR Value |  | . 65 |  |  | 3.41** |  |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |
| Reading Comprehension | Boys | 34026 | 56.08 | 28.49 | 13244 | 55.69 | 28.57 | -0.39 | 47270 | 55.97 | 28.51 | 1.33 |
|  | Girls | 32027 | 56.3 | 28.8 | 13102 | 57.16 | 28.66 | 0.86 | 45129 | 56.55 | 28.76 | 2.89** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.22 |  |  | -1.47 |  |  |  | -0.58 |  |  |
|  | Total | 66053 | 56.19 | 28.64 | 26346 | 56.42 | 28.63 | 0.23 | 92399 | 56.26 | 28.64 | 1.1 |
|  | CR Value |  | . 99 |  |  | 4.17** |  |  |  | 3.08** |  |  |



## Areawise

Urban students mean was $63.87 \%$ S.D was 21.97 and rural students mean was $62.82 \%$ and SD was 22.07 in language. In grammar and usage mean performance of urban students was $69.46 \%$ with SD 21.64 and of rural students was $67.8 \%$ SD 21.74. Whereas in Reading Comprehension the mean performance was almost alike. Besides, in language and its component grammar and usages the mean difference between urban and rural was statically significant.

Gender-wise
Table 6.5 shows that girls performed slightly better than boys ( $63.31 \%$ vs $62.94 \%$ ) in language. Both in Grammar and usage and Reading Comprehension girls'

performance was slightly better than boys. However, mean difference between boys and girls in language and its component Reading Comprehension was statistically significant.

## Gender and Area-wise

Table 6.5 reveals that both boys and girls of urban areas performed signinicantly better than boys and girls of rural areas in language as well as its component i.e.

grammar and usage. However, in reading comprehension urban girls performed better than her counterpart in rural area. The mean difference between urban and rural girls was statistically significant. In urban area, the performance of girls was better than boys in language as well as in its components and the difference in mean was statistically significant.

## Across the States

The mean achievement of urban students was significantly better than rural students in majority of states (Annexure-III) . In Chandigarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh. Jammu and Kashmir, Nagaland, Orissa, Pondicherry, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu the mean difference was not found significant however the mean of urban students was slightly higher than rural students in most of these states.

The mean difference between urban boys and rural boys aŕs well as urban girls and rural girls was also found statically significant in the majority of States/ UTs. However. the mean difference between urban boys and rural boys was not found statistically significant in Chandigarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Maharashtra, Nagaland, Orissa, Pondicherry, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and Uttaranchal. Similarly the mear. difference between urban and rural girls was not observeci significant in Assam. Chandigarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Orissa. Pondicherry, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu. Further, in rural areas the mean achievement of girls was significantly better than boys in Goa, Haryana. Himachal Prajesh, Kerala, Mizoram, whereas in case of boys it was significant in Chhatisgarh, Maharashtra, Meghalaya, Sikkim and Uttar Pradesh in the remaining states/Uts the mean difference between boys and girls in rural areas was not significant.

In urban areas, the mean achievement of girls was better than boys only in Chhatisgarh, Goa, Haryana, Maharashtra, Nagaland and Punjab. But in the remaining states the difference in mean was insignificant.

## Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students

Table 6.6 indicates gender-wise and category-wise mean achievement and difference in mean of students in Language and its components.

Table 6.6: Genderwise and Categorywise Achievment of Students

| Subject | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M $\%$ | SD | N | M\% | SI) | N | M\% | SD |
| Language | Boys | 9102 | 60.36 | 22.39 | 9223 | 64.71 | 21.44 | 28945 | 63.19 | 21.79 |
|  | Girls | 8498 | 60.48 | 22.8 | 8144 | 64.58 | 21.83 | 28487 | 63.78 | 22.1 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 60.42 | 22.59 | 17367 | G4.65 | 21.62 | 57432 | 63.49 | 21.34 |
| Grammar \& Usage | Boys | 9102 | 65.95 | 22.18 | 9223 | 69.79 | 20.93 | 28945 | 68.36 | 21.4 |
|  | Girls | 8498 | 65.88 | 22.62 | 8144 | 69.53 | 21.5 | 28487 | 68.78 | 21.84 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 65.92 | 22.39 | 17367 | 69.67 | 21.2 | 57432 | 68.57 | 21.62 |
| Reading Comprehension | Boys | 9102 | 52.91 | 29.13 | 9223 | 57.94 | 27.56 | 28945 | 56.31 | 28.54 |
|  | Girls | 8498 | 53.27 | 29.38 | 8144 | 57.99 | 27.85 | 28487 | 57.12 | 28.76 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 53.09 | 29.25 | 17367 | 57.97 | 27.69 | 57432 | 56.71 | 28.65 |

Above table indicates that mean performance of ST students ( $64.65 \%$ ) was significantly better than SC ( $60.42 \%$ ) category students whereas, mean performance of others (including general and OBC (63.49\%) was also better than SC (60.42\%) students in language. The same trend may be observed in 'Grammar and Usage' as well as in 'Reading Comprehension'.


Performance of both boys and girls of ST students was better than boys and girls of SC category students in language and its components. Besides, girls of Others category performed significantly better than boys in grammar and usage, Reading Comprehension and overall Language.

Further, the difference in mean performance between Others category and SC category students was in the range of $2.41 \%$ to $3.85 \%$. Others and ST category students was $0.75 \%$ to $1.63 \%$ and between ST and SC students was $3.65 \%$ to $5.03 \%$ in language and its components.

## Across the states

Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement in Language across the states is shown in Annexure IV.

## Category-wise

Students of others category performed significantly better than SC category students in Goa, Haryana, H.P., J\&K, Jharkhand, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, Pondicherry, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh. Whereas, students of Others category performed better than ST category students in Assam, Goa, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Mizoram, Nagaland, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal states. Further, in J\&K, Manipur and Pondicherry ST category students performed better than SC category students. Whereas, SC category students performed better than ST category students in Gujarat, Karnataka,

Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Punjab. Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal states. In the remaining states/UT the difference in means between the two categories was insignificant.

## Category-wise and Gender-wise

Others category boys performed significantly better than SC category boys in Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh States (Annexure-IV). Others category girls performed significantly better than SC category girls in Goa, Haryana. Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Jharkhand, Kerala, Orissa, Rajasthan. Tamil Nadu, Tripura and Uttaranchal.

Further, others category boys performed significantly better than ST category boys in Gujarat, Karantaka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Mizoram, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh. . Further, Others category girls performed significantly better than ST girls in Assam, Goa, Karnataka, Kerala, MP, Maharashtra, Mizoram, Orissa, Rajasthan. Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. Besides, ST category boys performed significantly better than SC boys in H.P., J \& K, Manipur, Pondicherry and Rajasthan. But SC boys performed better than ST boys in Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, M.P., Mizoram, Nagaland, Punjab, Tamil Nadu and Uttar Pradesh. In Himachal Pradesh, J \&K and Manipur, ST girls performed better than SC girls. Whereas, SC girls performed better than ST girls in Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Mizoram, Nagaland, Punjab. Tamil Nadu and West Bengal.

## Area-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students

Table 6.7 shows the mean achievement of students in Language area-wise and category-wise

Table 6.7: Area-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students

| Subject | Area | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| Language | Rural | 12222 | 60.04 | 22.72 | 13693 | 64.05 | 21.64 | 40138 | 63.25 | 21.95 |
|  | Urban | 5378 | 61.28 | 22.26 | 3674 | 66.91 | 21.41 | 17294 | 64.03 | 21.9 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 60.42 | 22.59 | 17367 | 64.65 | 21.62 | 57432 | 63.49 | 21.94 |
| Grammar \& Usase | Rural | 12222 | 65.43 | 22.54 | 13693 | 69.1 | 21.14 | 40138 | 68.07 | 21.64 |
|  | Urban | 5378 | 67.02 | 22.01 | 3674 | 71.77 | 21.31 | 17294 | 69.72 | 21.53 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 65.92 | 22.39 | 17367 | 69.67 | 21.2 | 57432 | 68.57 | 21.62 |
| ReadingComprehension | Rural | 12222 | 52.84 | 29.29 | 13693 | 57.31 | 27.78 | 40138 | 56.83 | 28.65 |
|  | Urban | 5378 | 53.64 | 29.16 | 3674 | 60.42 | 27.24 | 17294 | 56.44 | 28.65 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 53.09 | 29.25 | 17367 | 57.97 | 27.69 | 57432 | 56.71 | 28.65 |

Urban students of all the three categories performed better than rural students in their respective category in language and its components except in reading comprehension in case of Others where rural areas students performed better than their counter parts in urban areas. The difference in mean between Others \& SC was $2.65 \%$ to $3.99 \%$, Others \& ST was $0.48 \%$ to $3.98 \%$.

The difference in mean between rural and urban of SC students was 0.80\% to $1.59 \%$, for ST students it was $2.67 \%$ to $3.11 \%$ and for Others students it was $0.39 \%$ to $1.65 \%$, in Language and its components.

## Across the States

Area-wise and category-wise mean achievement of students across the states in Language is provided in Annexure- $V$.

In rural areas, SC category students of Gujarat, Kerala, M.P., Maharashtra, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal did better than ST category students. Whereas, ST category situdents of rural areas in Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Jharkhand Manipur, Pondicherry and Uttranchal scored higher mean as compared to SC. Others category students in rural area performed better than SC students in Assam, Chandigarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, J \& K. Jharkhand, Karnataka, Kerala, Manipur, Orissa, Pondicherry, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu and Tripura. Others category students of rural areas performed better than ST category students in Arunachal Pradesn, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Mahashtra, Mizoram, Nagaland, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh.

In urban areas, SC category students of Arunachal Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Meghalaya Manipur, Nagaland and Punjab did better than ST category students. Whereas, ST students of urban area in Chhatisgarh did better than SC category students. Further Others category students of Chattisgarh, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, Goa, Haryana, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal did better than SC categories students in urban area. However, SC category students in urban area did better than SC category students in Assam, Gujarat, Karnataka, Manipur, Nagaland and Punjab.

Further, the mean achievement of SC, ST and Others category students of North-East states including Sikkim was $64.25 \%, 69.77 \%$ and $66.01 \%$ respectively. Whereas, the mean of SC, ST and Others category students of the remaining states (excluding North-East states) was $57.38 \%, 56.53 \%$ and $63.04 \%$ ) respectively. It indictes that the mean achievement of all the three category students in NorthEast states was higher as compared to mean achievement of remaining states (Annexure VI \& VII).

## Achievement in Mathematics

Students achievement in Mathematics has been present in this section. Table 6.8 shows achievement of students in Mathematics across the states.

Table 6.8 : Performance of Students Across the States in Mathematics

| States | No. of Students | M\% | S.D. | Difference <br> in Mean with <br> National Average | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manipur | 2577 | 72.27 | 20.09 | 14.02 | 1 |
| Karnataka | 3708 | 68.45 | 23.97 | 10.2 | 2 |
| Delhi | 4652 | 68.12 | 21.83 | 9.87 | 3 |
| Assam | 2555 | 68.1 | 18.97 | 9.85 | 4 |
| Nagaland | 1589 | 67.56 | 19.22 | 9.31 | 5 |
| Meghalaya | 1979 | 67.45 | 18.91 | 9.2 | 6 |
| Mizoram | 2170 | 66.89 | 18.69 | 8.64 | 7 |
| Tripura | 4457 | 66.58 | 19.1 | 8.33 | 8 |
| Gujarat | 4639 | 64.24 | 21.74 | 5.99 | 9 |
| Orissa | 3252 | 62.56 | 25.96 | 4.31 | 10 |
| West Bengal | 3282 | 62.02 | 22.69 | 3.77 | 11 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 2506 | 59.98 | 20.7 | 1.73 | 12 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 6114 | 58.21 | 25.27 | -0.04 | 13 |
| Goa | 1672 | 58.08 | 22.51 | -0.17 | 14 |
| Pondicherry | 1294 | 57.97 | 23.76 | -0.28 | 15 |
| Maharashtra | 4578 | 57.77 | 24.45 | -0.48 | 16 |
| J\& K | 2437 | 56.98 | 26.11 | -1.27 | 17 |
| Rajasthan | 2874 | 56.79 | 22.9 | -1.46 | 18 |
| Haryana | 3232 | 55.95 | 25.97 | -2.3 | 19 |
| Jharkhand | 3905 | 54.67 | 25.83 | -3.58 | 20 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 3324 | 54.42 | 22.28 | -3.83 | 21 |
| Punjab | 2172 | 53.89 | 24.71 | -4.30 | 22 |
| Tamil Nadu | 5382 | 53.48 | 22.52 | -4.77 | 23 |
| Kerala | 5327 | 51.36 | 21.27 | -6.89 | 24 |
| Sikkim | 2921 | 51.22 | 19.31 | -7.03 | 25 |
| Chandigarh | 1410 | 50.99 | 20.4 | -7.26 | 26 |
| Uttranchal | 2174 | 46.79 | 24.15 | -11.46 | 27 |
| Chhatisgarh | 2485 | 41.96 | 26.12 | -16.29 | 28 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 3738 | 36.94 | 30.22 | -21.31 | 29 |
| Average | 3186 | 58.25 | 24.85 | - | - |

The overall mean achievement of students in Mathematics was $58.25 \%$ with SD 24.89. The highest achievement (72.27\%) was of Manipur state whereas lowest was of Madhya Pradesh (36.94\%).


## Variation Across the States

The mean achievement of Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Delhi, Gujarat, Karnataka, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Orissa, Tripura and West Bengal was above the national mean in Mathematics. Whereas, the mean achievement of

Chandigarh, Chhattisgarh, Goa, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Jharkhand, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Pondicherry. Punjab. Rajasthan, Sikkim, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and Uttaranchal was below national mean in Mathematics.

Further, the mean achievement of all North-East states was above the National mean in Mathematics.

## Classification of States According to Mean of Achievement

Table 6.9 shows number of states in different range of marks.
Table No. 6.9: Number of States/UTs Showing Levels of Achievement in Different Ranges

| Range \% | No of States | Name of the States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90-100 | 0 |  |
| 80-90 | 0 |  |
| 70-80 | 1 | Manipur |
| 60-70 | 10 | Assam. Delhi. Gujrat, Karnatak. Meghalaya. Mizoram, Nagaland. Orissa, Tripura. West Bengal |
| 50-60 | 15 | Arunachal Pradesh, Chandigarh. Goa, Haryana. Himachal Pradesh, Jammu \& Kashmir, Jharkhand, Kerala, Maharastra, Pondicherry. Punjab. Rajasthan. Sikkim. Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh |
| 40-50 | 2 | Chhatisgarh, Uttranchal |
| 0-40 | 1 | Madhya Pradesh |

It may be observed from the table 6.9 that out of 29 States/UTs mean achievement of only three states chhatisgarh, MP and Uttranchal was in the range of 0-50\%. Further the MP state stood at bottom range of marks i.e. $0-40 \%$. Whereas performance of students of Manipur state was best in the range 70-80\%. Remaining States/UTs stood in 50-70\% marks range.

## Achievement in Mathematics as per Range of Marks

Table No. 6.10: Distribution of Students on the Basis of their Achievement Level

| Frequency | Achievement Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | $0-10$ | $10-20$ | $20-30$ | $30-40$ | $40-50$ | $50-60$ | $60-70$ | $70-80$ | $80-90$ | $90-100$ |
| of | 3322 | 3679 | 7655 | 7261 | 11395 | 9734 | 14512 | 11301 | 15028 | 8515 |
| $\mathrm{cf} \%$ | 3001 | 14656 | 21917 | 33312 | 43046 | 57558 | 68859 | 83857 | 92405 |  |



Table 6.10 shows that approximately $24 \%$ students secured less than $40 \%$ marks, $47 \%$ secured upto $60 \%$ marks, only $15 \%$ got scores in the range of $60-$ $70 \%, 13 \%$ in the range of $70-80 \%, 16 \%$ in marks range of $80-90 \%$ and approximately $9.0 \%$ in the range of $90-100 \%$.

## Performance on Competencies

Table 6.11 shows that a total ten main competencies were tested in Mathematics Test. Questions based on only two competencies namely 'Problems Based on Money' and 'Fraction' could be responded correctly by less than $50 \%$ students. The questions dealing with 'Problems Based on Money' and 'Fractions' were responded correctly by $47.35 \%$ and $48.24 \%$ respectively. The better performance is observed on items testing additions of numbers ( $69 \%$ ) etc..

Table No.6.11: Competencies of Class III Mathematics Test

| Competency | No. of <br> Items | FV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Understanding Whole Nos. (writing numbers, <br> place value, before, after, ordering) | $1-8$ | 60.37 |
| Addition | $9-12$ | 68.73 |
| Subtraction | $13-16$ | 63.27 |
| Multiplication | $17-20$ | 63.88 |
| Division | $21-22$ | 51.34 |
| Problems based on Money | $23-25$ | 47.35 |
| Fraction | $26-27$ | 48.24 |
| Geometry | $28-30$ | 51.48 |
| Problems based on Time | $31-32$ | 57.4 |
| Measurement (Length, Mass and Capacity) | $33-35$ | 53.99 |

Further, the 'Problem Based on Money' seven states and on 'Fraction' five states, on 'Division and Geometry' four states, on 'Measurement' three states, on
'Problem based on Time' two states, less than $40 \%$ students responded questions correctly. However, in MP state less than $40 \%$ students responded correctly on all competencies except 'Multiplication'

Further, States/UTs whose students responded correctly on above stated competencies in the range of $32 \%$ to $39 \%$ are Chandigarh on 'Problems based on Money', 'Fraction', 'Geometry' and 'Measurement', H.P. on 'Problem based on Money', and 'Fraction'; Jharkhand on 'Fraction'; Kerala on 'Problem based on Money', Uttranchal on 'Division', 'Problem based on Money', 'Fraction' and 'Measurement' and West Bengal on 'Geometry',

The states having performance, less than $40 \%$ have to put in more efforts to improve the performance of their students on these competencies.

## Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievement of Students

Table 6.12 gives the Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievement of Students at national level.

Table 6.12: Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievement in Mathematics of Class III Students

| Subject | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Mean Diff (2-1) | Total |  |  | $\begin{gathered} C R \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Mathematics | Boys | 34029 | 58.56 | 24.86 | 13245 | 58.49 | 24.37 | -0.07 | 47274 | 58.54 | 24.72 | . 28 |
|  | Girls | \|32029 | 57.7 | 25.25 | 13102 | 58.56 | 24.59 | 0.86 | 45131 | 57.95 | 25.06 | 3.35** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.86 |  |  | -0.07 |  |  |  | 0.59 |  |  |
|  | Total | 66058 | 58.14 | 25.05 | 26347 | 58.52 | 24.48 | 0.38 | 92405 | 58.25 | 24.89 | $2.12 *$ |
|  | CR value |  | 4.41** |  |  | . 23 |  |  |  | 3.6** |  |  |

Overall, mean achievement of students in Mathematics was $58.25 \%$ with SD 24.89 , whereas of urban students mean achievement was $58.52 \%$ with SD 24.48 and of rural students it was $58.14 \%$ with SD 25.05 . The mean difference between rural and urban as well as between boys and girls was statistically significant. Further, Mean performance of rural boys ( $58.56 \%$ with SD 24.86) was slightly better than urban boys ( $58.49 \%$ with SD 24.37 ). But the difference in mean was not found statistically significant. The mean performance of urban

girls was ( $58.56 \%$, SD 24.59 ) better than rural girls ( $57.7 \%$, SD 25.25 ) and the mean difference was statistically significant. However, the mean achievement of boys and girls was approximately $58.54 \%$ to $57.95 \%$ respectively.


Further, in rural areas boys pertormed statistically signiticantly better than girls. Whereas in urban area at seems that girls performed better than boys.

## Across the States

Gender-wise and Area-wise achievement in Mathematics of Students across the stats is shown in Annexure VIII.

In Mathematics, students of rural areas performed better than students of urban areas in Arunachal Pradesh, Chandigarh, Delhi, Gujarat, Kerala, M.P. Maharashtra, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Tamil Nadu. The same trend was observed almost in case of rural and urban boys as well as rural girls and urban girls in these states.

In Mathematics, students of urban areas performed better than rural areas in Assam, Chattisgarh, Haryana, Uharkhand, Karnataka, Punjab, Tripura and West Bengal.

In rural areas, boys performed better than girls in Chhatisgarh, H.P., Meghalaya, Sikkim, U.P. and Uttranchal.

In urban areas, boys performed better than girls in H.P. and Sikkim whereas, girls performed significantly better than boys in Chhatisgarh, Delhi and Goa.

## Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students

Gender-wise and Category-wise achievement of Students in Mathematics is shown in table 6.13.

Table 6.13: Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students

| Subject | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $(1)$ |  |  | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ |
|  |  | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathe- <br> matics | Boys | 9102 | 55.31 | 25.49 | 9224 | 59.55 | 23.47 | 28948 | 59.24 | 24.79 |
|  | Girls | 8498 | 53.84 | 25.95 | 8144 | 59.3 | 23.76 | 28489 | 58.79 | 25.03 |
|  | Total | 17600 | 54.6 | 25.72 | 17368 | 59.43 | 23.6 | 57437 | 59.01 | 24.91 |

The mean performance of ST category students was better than SC category students in mathematics. The mean achievement of ST, Others and SC students was $59.43 \%, 59.01 \%$ and $54.6 \%$ respectively. Further, there was difference in mean achievement of boys and girls of SC category and Others category. Moreover, Others and ST category student performed better than SC category students.

Besides, boys did better than girls both in SC and Others category in mathematics.

## Across the States

Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students in Mathematics in the sample states is presented in Annexure IX. SC category girls performed better than SC category boys in Assam and Mizoram whereas in Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Tripura and U.P. States it was reverse. In ST category, girls of Delhi and $J \& K$ states did better than boys. Whereas in Meghalaya and Rajasthan boys did better than girls in ST category. In Others category, in Assam, Himachal Pradesh, Sikkim and UP boys and in Delhi and Goa Girls did better than their counterparts. Only in Nagaland and Punjab SC category students did better than Others category students. Out of remaining 27 States/UTs in 14 States/UTs Others category students did better than SC category students. Out of remaining 25 States/UTs, in 14 States/UTs Others category students did better than ST category students in mathematics. Besides, ST category students performed better than SC category students in Goa, H.P., J\&K, Manipur, Pondicherry and Uttranchal in Mathematics. Whereas, SC category students did better than ST category students in Kerala, M.P., Mizoram, Nagaland, Punjab, Tamil Nadu, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal in mathematics.

## Area-wise and Category wise Achievement of Students

Area-wise and Category-wise achievement of students in Mathematics is present in table 6.14 below.

Table No. 6.14: Areawise and Categorywise Achievement of Students

| Area | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1)$ |  |  | $(2)$ |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD |
| Rural | 12222 | 54.4 | 25.77 | 13693 | 59.24 | 24.08 | 40143 | 58.91 | 25.05 |
| Urban | 5378 | 55.07 | 25.61 | 3675 | 60.14 | 21.74 | 17294 | 59.25 | 24.57 |
| Total | 17600 | 54.6 | 25.72 | 17368 | 59.43 | 23.6 | 57437 | 59.01 | 24.91 |

Overall, ST category students performed better than SC category students in Mathematics. Also. ST students of both rural and urban areas did better than SC category students. Similarly, Others category students did better than SC students both in rural and urban areas in Mathematics. Further, their was difference in mean performance of rural and urban areas SC category as well as of Others category.

## Across the States

Area-wise and Category-wise achievement of students in mathematics across the states has been presented in Annexure-X. In Delhi, Goa H.P., J\&K, Jharkhand, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orrisa, Pondicherry, Rajasthan. Tamil Nadu, Tripura and U.P., Others category students performed better than SC category students in Mathematics. Further, in Delhi, Jharkhand, Kerala, M.P. Maharashtra, Nagaland. Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Sikkim, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, U.P. and West Bengal Others category students performed better than ST category students, in Mathematics. In Goa, H.P., J\&K, Manipur, Pondicherry and Uttranchal ST category students performed significantly better than SC category students. Whereas, in Kerala, M.P., Mizoram, Nagaland, Punjab, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, U.P. and West Bengal SC category students performed better than ST category students in Mathematics. Further, the mean achievement of SC, ST and Other category students of North-Eastern states including Sikkim was $52.63 \%$ and $65.18 \%$ respectively. Whereas, mean achievement of SC, ST and Others category students in excluding North-East for SC, ST and Others category was $53.28 \%, 50.47 \%$ and $63.39 \%$ (Annexure XI \& XII. It is evident that in all the three category the mean achievement in North-Eastern states was higher as compared to mean achieement of remaining states in Mathematics.

## Students Mean Achievement vis-a-vis School, Teachers and Pupils Variables

In this section students achievement is analysed in the light of school, teacher and pupil variables. These are as follows:

## School Related Variables

Teacher Pupil Ratio
Table No. 6.15: Achievement of Students with respect to Teacher Pupil Ratio

| Teacher <br> Pupil Ratio | Mathematics |  |  |  | Language |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than <br> 20 | 20349 | 59.73 | 24.17 | 20349 | 64.47 | 21.66 |  |
| Upto 30 | 19594 | 58.13 | 24.06 | 19594 | 63.43 | 21.38 |  |
| Upto 40 | 19463 | 58.84 | 24.74 | 19462 | 63.87 | 21.8 |  |
| Above 40 | 32988 | 57.07 | 25.83 | 32983 | 61.67 | 22.73 |  |

The highest mean scores in Mathematics and Language was of schools where pupil were less than 20 per teacher and it was lowest where the pupil number was 40 or more than 40 per teacher.

Multigrade and Non-Multigrade Tearhing
The mean performance of students studying in multigrade and non-multigrade system of schooling is shown in the table below.

Table No. 6.16: Achievement of class III Students in Multigrade and Non Multigrade School

| Subject | Area | Mulitgrade |  |  | Non-Multigrade |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Diff(2-1) } \end{gathered}$ | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  |  |
| Mathematics | Rural | 29185 | 56.3 | 25.92 | 36873 | 59.61 | 24.24 | 3.31 | 16.77** |
|  | Urban | 8404 | 56.94 | 25.03 | 17943 | 59.26 | 24.18 | 2.32 | 7.09** |
|  | Total | 37589 | 56.44 | 25.73 | 54816 | 59.49 | 24.22 | 3.05 | 18.13** |
| Language | Rural | 29184 | 61.29 | 22.66 | 36869 | 64.03 | 21.52 | 2.74 | 15.78** |
|  | Urban | 8403 | 62.17 | 22.35 | 17943 | 64.67 | 21.74 | 2.5 | 8.54** |
|  | Total | 37587 | 61.49 | 22.6 | 54812 | 64.24 | 21.6 | 2.75 | 18.5** |

The difference in students mean performance between multigrade and nonmultigrade schools was statistically significant and mean difference was in favour of students of non-multi grade schooling. So, students of non-multigrade system of schooling performed better than multigrade system of schooling both in Language and Mathematics.

## Teachers Related Variables

## Professional Qualification

Table No. 6.17: Achievement of Students in Mathematics and Language on the basis of Teachers Professional Qualification

| Teacher's Professional | Mathematics |  |  | Language |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qualification | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD |
| Certificate/Diploma | 82175 | 58.41 | 24.88 | 74011 | 63.02 | 21.92 |
| Degree \& above | 15327 | 58.3 | 24.76 | 13603 | 62.85 | 21.94 |

The performance of students who were taught by the teachers having professional qualification certificate/Diploma was at par with those taught by the teachers who had degree or above professional qualification. Therefore it seems that teacher's higher professional qualification did not help in improving the students achievement at class III level.

## Educational Qualification

Table No. 6.18: Achievement of Students in Mathematics and Language on the basis of Teachers Educational Qualification

| Teachers Qualification | Mathematics |  |  | Language |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in Language | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD |
| Below 10 | 3188 | 58.85 | 24.35 | 2943 | 63.34 | 22.4 |
| Upto 10+2 | 54871 | 58.51 | 24.37 | 51282 | 63.71 | 21.55 |
| Graduate | 25796 | 59.15 | 25.18 | 21817 | 62.95 | 22.2 |
| PG | 13647 | 56.38 | 26.17 | 11572 | 59.82 | 22.6 |

It was observed that students taight by teachers who had educational qualification upto graduation did better both in Language and Mathematics than those having post graduation qualification.

## Status of Teachers

Table No. 6.19: Achievement of Students According to
Status and Experience of the Teachers

| Status | Experience | Mathemaics |  |  | Language |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N\% | M\% | SD |
| Regular | less than 1 year | 3429 | 57.82 | 23.86 | 3244 | 64.81 | 21.2 |
|  | 1 to 3 years | 4602 | 59.54 | 25.19 | 3992 | 66.91 | 22.01 |
|  | Above 3 to 10 years | 22638 | 58.76 | 24.68 | 21058 | 63.18 | 22.22 |
|  | Above 10 years | 49195 | 58.13 | 24.46 | 42981 | 63.14 | 21.7 |
|  | Total | 79864 | 58.37 | 24.54 | 71275 | 63.44 | 21.87 |
| Leave vacancy | Less than l year | 1661 | 65.74 | 23.57 | 1681 | 66.24 | 19.41 |
|  | 1 to 3 years | 992 | 58.99 | 23.69 | 1030 | 62.27 | 20.95 |
|  | Above 3 to 10 years | 1633 | 56.4 | 26.11 | 1244 | 61.64 | 20.64 |
|  | Above 10 years | 1028 | 59.01 | 23.34 | 1041 | 62.53 | 20.92 |
|  | Total | 5314 | 60.31 | 24.65 | 4996 | 63.5 | 20.45 |
| Para Teacher | Less than 1 year | 6567 | 60.41 | 25.54 | 6285 | 61.52 | 21.15 |
|  | 1 to 3 years | 1792 | 61.9 | 24.18 | 1669 | 62.43 | 22.3 |
|  | Above 3 years | 3965 | 51.33 | 28.96 | 3389 | 55.9 | 24.84 |
|  | Total | 12324 | 57.71 | 26.86 | 11343 | 59.97 | 22.64 |

The performance of students who were taught by 'para teachers' was poorer as compared to those taught by 'Temporary' or 'Regular' teachers in both subjects. Further, in both Language and Mathematics the mean achievement of students taught by teachers having one to three years of teaching experience was highest as compared to less or more experiences that in case of permanent teachers and para teachers. Whereas in case of temporary teachers the highest mean achievement of students in both subjects was found where students were taught by teachers having less than one year of experience. Overall the mean achievement of students taught by temporary teachers was highest as compared to students taught by permanent or para teachers in both subjects.

## Pupil Related Variables

## Medium of Instruction

Table No. 6.20: Achievement of Students in Mathematics and Language on the Basis of their Medium of Instruction

| Medium of <br> Instruction | Mathematics |  |  | Language |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD | N | $\mathrm{M} \%$ | SD |
| Mother Tongue | 66692 | 59.03 | 25.1 | 66687 | 64.14 | 22.23 |
| Other Language | 25713 | 56.24 | 24.22 | 25712 | 60.48 | 21.34 |

It is evident from the table that students having mother tongue as medium of instructions scored better both in Language and Mathematics as compared to those getting instructions in other language.

## Parents Education

Table No. 6.21 : Achievement of Students according to Educational Levels of Parents

| Educational Level | Father |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Maths |  |  | Language |  |  | N | Maths |  |  | Language |  |  |
|  |  | M\% | SD | Rank | M\% | SD | Rank |  | M \% | SD | Rank | M\% | SD | Rank |
| 1 | 16877 | 55.78 | 25.42 | 7 | 60.02 | 22.41 | 7 | 32026 | 55.34 | 25.53 | 7 | 59.93 | 22.57 | 7 |
| 2 | 8773 | 57.15 | 24.92 | 6 | 61.79 | 22.18 | 6 | 9477 | 58.09 | 24.79 | 6 | 62.91 | 21.93 | 6 |
| 3 | 19253 | 57.81 | 24.66 | 5 | 62.87 | 21.81 | 5 | 16446 | 59.01 | 24.3 | 5 | 63.83 | 21.47 | 5 |
| 4 | 28079 | 58.74 | 24.43 | 4 | 64.43 | 21.83 | 3 | 18300 | 59.28 | 23.78 | 4 | 65.65 | 21.41 | 3 |
| 5 | 7285 | 60.47 | 24.85 | 2 | 64.98 | 21.93 | 2 | 3242 | 61.02 | 24.31 | 3 | 66.71 | 21.29 | 2 |
| 6 | 2953 | 65.04 | 23.73 | 1 | 68.69 | 21.27 | 1 | 1084 | 66.01 | 22.78 | 1 | 70.72 | 19.73 | 1 |
| 7 | 9185 | 59.35 | 25.48 | 3 | 63.35 | 21.91 | 4 | 11830 | 62.17 | 25.08 | 2 | 65.35 | 21.71 | 4 |
| Total | 92405 | 58.25 | 24.89] |  | 63.12 | 22.05 |  | 92405 | 58.25 | 24.89 |  | 63.12 | 22.05 |  |

Educational Level Codes: Illiterate-1. Literate-2. Primary-3. Secondary-4. Sr. Secondary-5. Degree and above-
6. Do not Know/ Cannot say-7

Mean achievement of children whose parents had educational qualification graduation and above was the highest and that of illiterate parents children was the lowest in both Language and Mathematics. On the basis of parents educational qualification, the achievement of their wards in Language can be ranked in decreasing order as Degree and above 1) Sr. Secondary 2) Secondary (3) Do not know/can't say (4) Primary (5) Literate (6) and Illiterate (7). Further, in Mathematics
with respect to fathers educational qualification this order was Degree and above (1), Sr. Secondary (2), Do not know/cannot say (3), Secondary (4), Primary (5). Literate (6) and illiterate (7). Whereas, on the basis of mothers educational qualification it was almost the same as it was observed with respect to fathers' educational qualification except with a little deviation at second and third place.

## Parents Occupation

In language, children of parents engaged in clerical work scored the highest, whereas children of 'Street Vendor' fathers and 'Domestic Servant' mothers scored lowest. The mean achievement in language on the basis of fathers occupation in the decreasing order was:- Clerical Worker (1), Employer (2) Manager/Senior Officer (3), Shopkeeper (4), Others (not specified) (5) Poultry Farming (6) House Hold Worker (7) Domestic Servant (8) Agricultural Labour (9) Farmer (10) Skilled Worker (11) Manual Unskilled Work (12) Picking Forest Produce (13) and Street Vendor (14).

The mean achievement of children in language on the basis of mothers occupation in the decreasing order was Clerical Worker (1), Manager/Senior Officer (2), Employer (3) Shopkeeper (4) Poultry Farming (5) Others (6) Farmer (7) Picking Forest Produces (8) Housewife (9) Street Vendor (10) Skilled worker (11) Agricultural Labour (12) Manual Unskilled Worker (13) and Domestic Servant (14).

Table No. 6.22: Achievements of Students according to Occupation of Parents

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occup } \\ & \text { ation } \end{aligned}$ | Father |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Maths |  |  | Language |  |  | N | Maths |  |  | Language |  |  |
|  |  | M\% | SD | Rank | M\% | SD | Rank |  | M\% | SD | Rank | M\% | SD | Rank |
| Q1 | 657 | 57.96 | 24.94 | 8 | 63.4 | 21.64 | 7 | 68339 | 58.14 | 24.78 | 8 | 62.81 | 22.08 | 9 |
| 02 | 21096 | 57.9 | 24.81 | 9 | 62.15 | 22.03 | 10 | 5505 | 59.75 | 23.96 | 4 | 64.09 | 21.71 | 7 |
| 03 | 383 | 58.72 | 26.4 | 6 | 64.05 | 23.85 | 6 | 121 | 58.54 | 24.42 | 7 | 66 | 21.93 | 5 |
| 04 | 12497 | 56.26 | 25.77 | 12 | 62.31 | 23.26 | 9 | 5882 | 54.59 | 26.04 | 13 | 61.84 | 23.62 | 12 |
| 05 | 377 | 54 | 25.87 | 14 | 61.63 | 23.42 | 13 | 154 | 53.97 | 24.64 | 14 | 63.32 | 22.68 | 8 |
| 06 | 1641 | 58.05 | 25.13 | 7 | 62.79 | 21.36 | 8 | 2165 | 55.59 | 25.3 | 10 | 61.48 | 21.84 | 14 |
| 07 | 2072 | 56.74 | 24.98 | 11 | 60.91 | 22.13 | 14 | 490 | 55.13 | 24.32 | 11 | 62.2 | 21.4 | 10 |
| 08 | 9706 | 54.43 | 25 | 13 | 51.76 | 22.56 | 12 | 2255 | 55.06 | 25.32 | 12 | 61.82 | 22.1 | 13 |
| 09 | 13906 | 56.94 | 24.61 | 10 | 61.97 | 21.9 | 11 | 1547 | 55.83 | 24.97 | 9 | 62.15 | 22.33 | 11 |
| 10 | 2158 | 62.24 | 23.25 | 3 | 68 | 20.74 | 1 | 271 | 63.39 | 23.31 | 2 | 69.76 | 19.97 | 1 |
| 11 | 5435 | 60.67 | 23.97 | 4 | 64.29 | 21.34 | 4 | 638 | 62.41 | 23.71 | 3 | 66.84 | 19.66 | 4 |
| 12 | 5999 | 62.57 | 22.78 | 2 | 66.97 | 20.69 | 2 | 665 | 59.57 | 22.78 | 5 | 67.45 | 20.06 | 3 |
| 13 | 2779 | 64.06 | 23.65 | 1 | 66.66 | 21.05 | 3 | 563 | 66.77 | 23.26 | 1 | 68.98 | 20.96 | 2 |
| 14 | 13613 | 60.31 | 25.22 | 5 | 64.21 | 21.56 | 5 | 866 | 59.38 | 24.56 | 6 | 65.09 | 21.17 | 6 |
| Total | 92405 | 58.25 | 24.89 |  | 63.12 | 22.05 |  | 191555 | 58.14 | 24.88 |  | 63.07 | 22.07 |  |

Occupation Codes: Household/Housewife-01. Farmer-02. Poultry farming-03. Agricultural labour-04, Picking forest produce-05. Domestic Servent-06. Street Vender-07. Manual unskilled worker-08, Skilled worker-09. Clerical worker-10. Shopkeeper-11. Employer-12. Manager/Senior Officer-13. Others-14

In Mathematics the mean achievement of wards of parents working as 'Manager/Senior Officers' was the highest $64 \%$ and $67 \%$ for fathers and mothers respectively. It was the lowest for wards of parents engaged in 'picking forest produce.

The mean achievement in Mathematics on the basis of father's occupation in descending rank order was Manager/Senior officer (1). Employer (2). Clerical Work (3), Shopkeeper (4), Other occupations (5), Poultry Farming (6), Domestic Servant (7). Household Worker (8), Farmer (9), Skilled Worker (10), Street Vendor (11), Agricultural Labour (12). Manual Unskilled Worker (13) and Picking Forest Produce (14).

Whereas, the mean achievement of children in mathematics in relation to mothers occupation in descending rank order was different except at highest and lowest position order of Manager/Senior Officer (1), Clerical Work (2), Shopkeeper (3). Farmer (4), Employer (5), Other Occupation (6), Poultry Farming (7). House Wife (8), Skilled Worker (9), Domestic Servant (10). Street Vendor (11), Manual Unskilled Worker (12), Agricultural Labour (13) and Picking Forest Produce (14).

## Getting Help and Not-Getting Help at Home

Table No. 6.23 : Performance of Students in Mathematics and Language According to Help Received at Home

| Subject | Area | Getting Help |  |  | Not Getting Help |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Diff(2-1) } \end{gathered}$ | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  |  |
| Mathematics | Rural | 47307 | 61.49 | 23.39 | 18751 | 55.54 | 25.73 | -5.95 | 27.48** |
|  | Urban | 19654 | 61.45 | 23.31 | 6693 | 57.97 | 24.77 | -3.48 | 10.07** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.04 |  |  | -2.43 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 66961 | 61.48 | 23.37 | 25444 | 56.18 | 25.5 | -5.3 | 28.87** |
|  | CR Value |  | . 2 |  |  | 6.82** |  |  |  |
| Language | Rural | 47307 | 61.61 | 23.3 | 18749 | 60.04 | 22.92 | -1.57 | 7.9** |
|  | Urban | 19654 | 61.59 | 23.23 | 6693 | 62.51 | 22.55 | 0.92 | 2.86** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.02 |  |  | -2.47 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 66961 | 61.6 | 23.28 | 25442 | 60.69 | 22.85 | -0.91 | $5.38{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | . 1 |  |  | 7.66** |  |  |  |

Data provided in above table shows that mean achievement of students in both Mathematics and Language who got help from their family members was significantly higher than students were not getting help at home, except for urban students. In case of Language the trend was reverse. In general the mean difference was in favour of group 'getting help' in both subjects.

Further, within group of 'getting help' the difference in mean achievement of rural and urban students was insignificant. Whereas the difference in mean achievement of rural and urban in the group of 'Not getting help' was significant and was in favour of urban students, in both Mathematics and Language.

## Repeaters and Non Repeaters

Table No. 6.24 : Performance of Students According to Repeaters and Non Repeaters in class III

| Subject | Area | Detained in Class III |  |  | Not Detained in Class HI |  |  | MeanDiff(2-1)M $\%$ | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  |  |
| Mathematics | Rural | 6559 | 55.4 | 24.62 | 59499 | 58.45 | 25.08 | 3.05 | 9.5** |
|  | Urban | 2207 | 54.98 | 24.52 | 24140 | 58.85 | 24.45 | 3.87 | 7.1** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.42 |  |  | -0.4 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 8766 | 55.3 | 24.6 | 83639 | 58.56 | 24.9 | 3.26 | 11.79** |
|  | CR Value |  | 7 |  |  | 2.13* |  |  |  |
| Language | Rural | 6559 | 60.01 | 21.81 | 59494 | 63.13 | 22.08 | 3.12 | 10.98** |
|  | Urban | 2207 | 60.85 | 22.13 | 24139 | 64.15 | 21.93 | 3.3 | 6.71** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.84 |  |  | -1.02 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 8766 | 60.22 | 21.89 | 83633 | 63.42 | 22.04 | 3.2 | 13.01** |
|  | CR value |  | 1.55 |  |  | $6.08{ }^{* *}$ |  |  |  |

Table 6.23 shows the performance of repeaters vis-à-vis non-repeaters in class III (promoted to class III $1^{\text {st }}$ time) in Mathematics and Language. The mean achievement of non-repeaters students was significantly better than repeater students. The similar trend was also observed among rural and urban students. Further, the difference in performance of repeaters between rural and urban students was not significant, but in contrast to this, the mean difference between rural and urban non-repeaters was significant and it was in favour of urban students.

Taking Tuition or Not Taking Tuition
Table No. 6.25: Performance of students According to Taking Tuition and Not Taking Tuition

| Subject | Area | Taking Tuition |  |  | Not Taking Tuition |  |  | MeanDiff(2-1) | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\%. | SD. |  |  |
| Mathematics | Rural | 12728 | 62.48 | 23.31 | 53330 | 57.11 | 25.34 | -5.37 | 22.95** |
|  | Urban | 8426 | 61.1 | 23.63 | 17921 | 57.31 | 24.77 | -3.79 | 11.95** |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.38 |  |  | -0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 21154 | 61.93 | 23.45 | 71251 | 57.16 | 25.2 | -4.77 | 25.53** |
|  | CR <br> Value |  | 4.18** |  |  | . 93 |  |  |  |
| Language | Rural | 12726 | 65.89 | 20.67 | 53327 | 62.09 | 22.23 | -3:8 | 18.34** |
|  | - Urban | 8425 | 65.62 | 21.23 | 17921 | 63.05 | 22.26 | -2.57 | 9.02** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.27 |  |  | -0.96 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 21151 | 65.78 | 20.9 | 71248 | 62.33 | 22.32 | -3.45 | 20.75** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C R \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 92 |  |  | 4.99** |  |  |  |


Table 6.24 shows that mean achievement of students' who were taking private tuition was significantly better than those who were not taking tuitions both in Mathematics and Language. This trend was true in both rural and urban areas students. However, between rural and urban areas in case of students taking tuition, students of rural area did significantly better than urban students in Mathematics. Whereas the mean difference between rural and urban in the group of 'not taking tuition' was significant in language only. Further, difference in performance of rural and urban students in case of taking tuition in Language and not taking tuition in Mathematics was insignificant.


## Profiles

## ;

In the sample of schools, the representation of rural and urban schools was in the ratio 3:1.

- Majority of schools (89\%) were managed either by state government or Zila Parishad/Panchayat/Municipal Corporation.
- Percentage of multigrade teaching schools was highest (88.5\%) in Uttranchal and lowest (8.16\%) in Chandigarh.
- Percentage of multigrade schools in rural areas was more in romparison to urban areas expect Chandigarh, Delhi, Kerala and West Bengal.
- Number of schools inspected by the supervisors was almost the same ( $73 \%$ approximately) in rural and urban areas.
- Percentage of inspected schools was highest in Manipur (94.5\%) and lowest in Meghalaya (19.89\%).
- In the sampled schools. $83.15 \%$ teachers were regular and the remaining were either working against leave vacancy/temporary or as para teachers etc.
- Percentage of regular teachers across the states ranged from 53.49\% (Sikkim) to 99.5\% (Pondicherry).
- Number of male regular teachers was more in rural areas than urban areas where as female teachers were more in urban areas in comparison to rural areas.
- Percentage of para teachers in rural and urban schools was almost the same.
- Percentage of teachers working against leave vacancy/temporary was highest in Sikkim and lowest in Haryana.
- In case of para teachers, Sikkim (28.68\%) was at top and Tripura (0.11\%) at bottom.
- There was no para teachers in Goa, Haryana and West Bengal.
- Number of working days for different states varied from 190 day in Haryana to 244 days in Chandigarh. The national average was 215 days.
- Number of periods per week and duration of a period were also varying from state to state with average 39 periods of 39 minutes.
- Most availed and used facility for teaching in schools was charts.
- More than 50\% teachers were using teachers guide, charts and flash cards 'Regularly' in their teaching. Other three are Dictionary. Math kit and others were used sometimes.
- Three or less than three percent teachers were not using any kind of teaching facilities.
- Maximum students were availing Mid-day Meal Scheme as compared to other schemes.
- The percentage of boys getting Mid-day meal was more their ; counterparts.
- VEC/AEC were found in more than $80 \%$ schools. PTA was in $57 \%$ schools. It was more in urban schools than rural schools.
- Percentage of female teachers belonging to Other category was more than male teachers.
- In urban areas, Percentage of OBC and others category female teachers was more than SC \& ST female teachers.
- The percentage of SC and ST teachers together was $31.36 \%$ in the sample.
- Approximately $25 \%$ and $12 \%$ teachers were Graduates and Post graduates respectively.
- More female teachers had post graduate qualification than male teachers.
- Majority of teachers (approximately $85 \%$ ) were possessing diploma/ certificate in primary/elementary education as an essential qualification while approximately $14 \%$ were having B.Ed degree and remaining ( $1 \%$ ) were possessing M.Ed as professional qualifications.
- Percentage of female teachers possessing B.Ed and M.Ed degrees was more in comparison to male teachers.
- Approximately $65 \%$ teachers studied Mathematics upto class X, 15\% percent upto +2 level and $4 \%$ upto graduation while remaining studied less than class X.
- Number of male teachers who studied Mathematics upto graduation was more than female teachers.
- Percentage of teachers who studied language upto class $X,+2$ level and graduation was approximately $32 \%, 36 \%, 24 \%$ respectively.
- Majority of teachers were possessing more than 10 years teaching experience and their percentage was higher in urban areas than rural areas.
- Approximately 53\% training programmes were being organised by BRCs and DIETs and rest of the programmes by school complex, TRCs, CRCs, SCERTs and other agencies.
- Most of the inservice training programmes were organized by school complexes in Tripura; by BRC's in Haryana, Jharkhand. Karnataka, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and Uttaranchal; West Bengal DIET's in Aurunachal pradesh, Delhi, Goa, Gujarat, Himachal pradesh, Jarnmu \& Kashmir, Madhya Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Orissa, Pondicherry and Punjab; by SCERT"s in Maharashtra; and by other agencies in Chandigarh. Rajasthan and Sikkim.
- Evaluation practices like unit test quarterly test, half yearly test and annual examination were in vogue in most of schools and these were being used by most of the teachers. $44 \%$ teachers uses all four types tests for asessing the performance of students.
- The percentage of different categories of students viz. SC, ST, OBC and others in the sample was $19.05 \%, 18.8 \%, 31.4 \%$ and $30.75 \%$ respectively.
- Percentage of urban teachers was more than rural teachers who gave regular home work to students.
- Educational status of mothers was poorer than fathers.
- Approximately $77 \%$ mothers were housewives and $23 \%$ fathers were farmers. Approximately 3\% fathers and less than $1 \%$ mothers were holding managerial/senior positions.
- Detention in class III was more in urban areas (35.57\%) than rural areas $(34.20 \%)$, however, the percentage of total detained students from classes I to III was more for rural areas than urban areas.
- Private/personal tuition was more prevalent in urban areas (32\%) than rural areas (19\%).
- Majority of the students attended school 70-90\% of working days.


## Achievement

## Language

- Mean achievement of students in language was $63.12 \%$.
- Mizoram state students scored highest and Madhya Pradesh state students accord lowest in language.
- Overall performance of students in 'Grammar and its Usage' was better than Reading Comprehension in language
- Urban students performed better than rural students in Grammar and its Usage.
- Girls performed better than boys in language.
- Urban girls performed better than rural girls in language and its components.
-- Urban boys performed better than rural boys in language and its component Grammar and its Usage.
- Performance of ST category students was better than SC category students in language and its components
- Performance of both boys and girls of ST category students was better than boys and girls of SC students in language.
- Others category students performed better than SC category students in language and its components.
- In general, urban students of all the three categories students performed better than rural students of their respective category.
- Performance of north-east states students of all category was better than students of states remaining states.


## Mathematics

- Manipur state stood at top whereas MP state stood at bottom on the basis of mean scores in mathematics.
- Boys performed better than girls in rural area. Overall Boys performed better than girls.
- Less than $50 \%$ students could respond correctly on two competencies namely 'Problem based on money' and 'Fraction'.
- Questions on 'addition' were responded correctly by maximum students (69\%).
- Questions dealing with 'Problem based on Money' and 'Fraction' were responded correctly below $40 \%$ by seven and five states respectively.
- There was no difference in mean performance of boys in both areas. however there was significant difference in the performance of rural and urban areas girls was in favour of urban girls.
- Only in six states, rural area boys performed significantly better than girls of rural area in mathematics. In remaining states there was no significant difference in mean performance between boys and girls in rural areas.
- In urban areas, boys performed better than girls in H.P. and Sikkim. Where girls performed significantly better than boys in Chhatisgarh. Delhi and Goa.
- ST category students performed better than SC students in mathematics.

Others category students performed better than SC category students. Boys and girls of Others category and ST category performed significantly better than SC category boys and girls in their respective groups.

Boys achievement in mathematics was better than girls, in SC and Others category.

- ST category students of urban area did better than SC category students of urban areas.
- Mean achievement of students of north-east states was better than students of remaining states.
- Both in rural and urban areas Others category students did better than SC category students in Mathematics.


## Achievement with Respect to Related variables

- The mean achievement of students studying in mother tongue was better than those studying in other languages.
- Mean achievement of children whose parents qualification was degree and above was higher, whearas , mean achievement of wards of illiterate parents was the lowest.
- Mean achievement of children of highly educated parents was better as compared to illiterate or less educated parents
- Professional qualification of teacher did not make any difference in the performance of students in Language and Mathematics.
- Students taught by the teachers performed better in subjects than those were taught by post graduate teachers.
- The mean achievement of students was poor in case of students taught by 'Para Teachers' as compared to students who taught by 'temporary' or 'permanent' teachers.
- Students taking private tuition performed significantly better than those students who were not taking tuition in both rural and urban areas.
- The mean achievement of Non-detained students was better than detained students.
- The performance of students 'Getting help' from their family members was significantly better than students who 'did not get help' in their studies from family members.
- Mean achievement of students studying in non-multigrade school system was significantly better than the students studying in multi grade schools
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## BASELINE ACHIEVEMENT SURVEY AT THE END OF CLASS III

Remarks of District Coordinator (if any) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## General Instruction

1. If prior information is passed to the Head Master of the school to keep the following records ready, it would facilitate the filling up of the school information questionnaire
(i) Incentive scheme register
(ii) Community participation record
(iii) Operation Black Board Scheme register
(iv) Students attendance register
(v) Teacher attendance register
2. Enter all codes in the appropriate boxes.
3. School questionnaire should be handed over the headmaster/head teacher for filling the necessary informations.

## C. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT SCHOOL

IMPORTANT NOTE: BYMEANS OF THIS SCHEDULE, WE ARE SEEKING DETAILED INFORMATION REGARDING THESCHOOL. IF FOR SOME REASON, INFORMATION SOUGHT IS ABSOLUTELY NOT AVAILABLE, USE THE NON RESPONSE CODE 'g' TO FILL UP THE BOXES. THE CODE '0' IS TO BE USED STRICTLY TO INDICATE 'NOT APPLICABLE'.

1. Type of School
1: State Government/Zila Parishad/Panchayat/

| Local body/Municipal Committee/ |
| :--- |
| Urban Local Body | (1-3) $\quad \square$

2: Govt. aided

## 3: Education Gurantee School (EGS)

2 . Is pre-primary school attached to your school? 1: Yes 2: No $\square$
3. Indicate upto what class is your school?

4. Whether the school has been inspected in the year(2003-2004)

1: Yes 2: No

5. Number of students in Primary classes

6. Number of students in Class III

Boys


Girls $\square$
7. Number of Class Rooms in the School

8. Number of repeater students in 2003-2004 Class $\square$ Class II $\square$ Class III $\square$ Class IV
 Class V $\square$
9. Is there multigrade teaching in the school?

1: Yes 2: No $\square$

## D. TEACHERS

10. Number of teachers teaching Primary classes on roll as on the day of Survey
(a) Regular teachers including Head Teacher

(b) Temperary Teacher

Male $\square$ Female

(c) Para-teachers

$\square$

## E. INSTRUCTIONAL TIME

11. Number of working days in the year 2003-2004

12. 

Total number of periods in a week

13.

Average duration of a period (in minutes)


## 72

## F. SCHOOL FACILITIES

14. State whether you have the following:

Yes No

1. Maps

12

2. Globe

1
2

3. Charts (Health, Social Studies, Language etc.)

1
2

4. Play material \& Toys
5. Sports and games material
6. Primary Science Kit

1
2


12
12

7. Mathematics Kit
8. Books for Library
9. Musical instruments

12
10. Mats and furniture for students (1. For all, 2. Some, 3. None)
11. Blackboard

1
2
12. Safe drinking water

1
2
13. Toilet facilities for boys

1
2
14. Separate toilet facilities for girls

15. Electric connection for the school 1 2 $\square$
16. Playground facilities

1
2
17. Annual medical check up for children 1

1
2
18. First aid kit

1
2

(i STUDENT INCENTIVE SCHEMES
15. Number of children receiving the facilities in the school for primary classes under incentive schemes in the year 2003-04.


## H. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

Do you have

|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16. | Village Education Committee/ <br> School Development and <br> Monitoring Committee? | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |
| 17. | School Management Committee? | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |
| 18. | MTA for your School? | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |
| 19. | PTA for your School? | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |

## BASELINE ACHIEVEMENT SURVEY AT THE END OF CLASS III



## A. CLASSIFICATORY DATA

(a) Name of the State $\qquad$
(b) Name of the District
(c) Name and Address of School: $\qquad$
(d) Name of the Teacher

## B. PARTICULARS OF FIELD OPERATIONS

(a) Name of Field Investigator $\qquad$
(a1) Code Number $\square$
(a2) Date of Survey
From $\qquad$ To $\qquad$

Signature $\qquad$
(b) Name of District Coordinator $\qquad$
(bl) Date of Scrutiny $\qquad$
Remarks of the District Coordinator (if any) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## Important Instructions for filling up Teacher's Questionnaire

1. At the most 2 teachers who are teaching sampled students of Class III will be interviewed.
2. The teachers are to be interviewed singly and not in a group.
3. The code for the Teachers will be as follows:

## Code

(a) Teacher who is teaching class III Mathematics only 1
(b) Teacher who is teaching class III Language only2
(c) Teacher who is teaching class III Maths \& Language 3
4. All boxes must be filled with appropriate codes by the Field Investigator.
C. RESPONDENT DETAILS

| Codes for | Enterthe |
| :--- | :--- |
| Selection | selected code |

1. Sex: 1: Male 2: Female
(1-2)

2. Category:
3. S.C.
(1-4)

4. S.T.
5. O.B.C.
6. Others
7. Highest Educational Qualification:


1: Middle
2: Secondary
3: Higher/Senior Secondary
4: Graduation
5: Post-graduation
4. Teacher studied Mathematics upto $\square$
1: Middle
2: Secondary
3: Higher/Senior Secondary
4: Degree level
5. Teacher studied Language (being tested) upto
$\square$
1: Middle
2: Secondary
3: Higher/Senior Secondary
4: Degree level
6. Highest Professional Qualifications:


1: Primary/Elementary Teaching Certificate/Diploma/JBT/BTC

2: Graduate Training (B.Ed. /LT etc.)
3: M.Ed. and above

## D. TEACHING EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING

7. Total teaching experience to Primary classes (in years) $\square$
8. What is your employment status in this school?
(Adhoc, Temp., permanent etc.) $\square$
1: Regular full time
2: Against leave vacancy/temporary
3: Para/Shiksha Karmi/ Shiksha mitra etc.
9a. Whether you have attended any training during last three years?
1: Yes 2: No $\square$

9b. If yes than mention In-service training programmes attended during the last three years starting from the most recent one (Put ' 00 ' or ' 0 ' for 'Not Applicable')

## USE THE CODES GIVEN BELOW to complete the table

 Theme/Subject codes1: General Training Programme
2: Contentenrichment
3: Production of instructional material
4: Use of instructional material
5: Assessment of pupil learning
6. Competency Based Teaching-Learning
7. Activity Based Joyful Learning

8: Other (Specify $\qquad$ )
Codes for 'who provided training'
1: School Complex
2: Block Resource Centre
3: Teacher Resource Centre
4. Cluster Resource Centre

5: DIET
6: SCERT/SIE
7: Any other (Specify $\qquad$

10. Which subjects do you teach (Put '0' for Not Applicable)

1. Language only
2. Mathematics only
3. Both Language \& Maths

## E. TEACHING AND EVALUATION PRACTICES

11. Availability of teaching facilities and the extent of their use:

| Facility | Whether Available |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(1:$ Yes $2: \mathrm{No})$ | Extent of use |
|  | (1: Regularly 2: Sometime 3: Never) |

1. Teacher's guides

$\square$
2. Dictionary


3. Charts

$\square$
4. Flash Cards

$\square$
5. Mathematics Kit $\square$
$\square$
6. Other (Specify $\qquad$ $\square$ $\square$
7. Do you give homework to children?
$\square$

1: Not at all
2: Sometimes
3: Regularly
13. Whether Teacher's Diary/Manual is maintained
$\square$
14. Type of tests/examination conducted to assess students performance during academic session.

|  | Yes | No |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Unit Test/Monthly Test | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |
| 2. Quarterly Test | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |
| 3. Half Yearly Test | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |
| 4. Annual Examination | 1 | 2 | $\square$ |

BASELINE ACHIEVEMENT SURVEY AT THE END OF CLASS III

## PUPIL QUESTIONNAIRE



Student Sr.No. (01-30)
 Code (5-7) (01-50)

## A. CLASSIFICATORY DATA

(a) Name of the State
(b) Name of the District
:
(c) Name and Address of School:
(d) Name of the Student : $\qquad$

## B. PARTICULARS OF FIELD OPERATIONS

(a) Name of Field Investigator $\qquad$
(a1) Code Number
(a2) Date of Sur
(a2) Date of Survey
From $\qquad$ To $\qquad$
Signature $\qquad$
(b) Name of District Coordinator $\qquad$
(bl) Date of Scrutiny $\qquad$
Remarks of the District Coordinator (if any) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## Important Instructions for filling up Student Questionnaire

1. This questionnaire is to be filled by the Field Investigators with the help of student.
2. Enter the relevant State code, District code, Block code, School code and Student code.
3. For Student Questionnaire, the selected student serial number must match with the serial number written on the Mathematics and Language Test booklets.
4. Maximum Questionnaires are to be filled for 30 students of Class III through the interview method.
5. Do not leave any question blank. Enter the most applicable code.
6. When you ask the questions, ask it correctly so that the student understands what are you asking for.
7. If you have made a mistake cross-out the box and draw them again next to the original boxes and enter the code.
8. If you have forgotten to record an answer enter 9 . If the child does not know the answer or refuses to answer enter 7 .

## C. RESPONDENT DETAILS

1. Name :
2. Father's Name:
3. Gender : 1. Boy 2. Girl
4. Age (in completed years):

5. Category

1: SC
2: ST
3: OBC
4: Others
6. Is the language used at home same as medium of instruction in the school?

1: Yes
2: No

## D. EDUCATIONAL STATUS OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS

7. Write the EDUCATIONAL STATUS of the father/guardian/mother using the codes given below.

CODE FOR EDUCATIONAL STATUS

1: Illiterate
2: Literate (no formal schooling but can read and/or write)
3.: Primary (classes 1 to 4/5)

4: Secondary (Classes 5/6 to 10)
5: Higher/Senior Secondary (Classes 11 to 12 )
6: Degree and above
7: Do not know/can not say

|  | Sex <br> 1: Male <br> 2. Female | Educational Status Code <br> $(1-7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Father |  | $\square$ |
| Mother |  | $\square$ |
| Guardian | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## E. OCCUPATION OF PARENTS/GUARDIANS

8. Only main occupation is to be entered. Use the appropriate code given below

00: If father or mother is not alive or information not applicable
01: Household/Housewife
02: Farmer (Cultivates own land)
03: Poultry farming
04: Agricultural labour
05: Picking forest produce
06: Domestic servant
07: Street vendor
08: Manual unskilled worker
09: Skilled worker
10: Clerical worker
11: Shopkeeper
12: Employer/Businessman (employing wage workers)
13: Manager/Senior officer/Professional/Teacher
14: Other: (Specify)
a. Father
b. Mother
c. Guardian
(00-14)

(00-14)

(00-14)


## F. SCHOOLING AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

9. Details of failure/detention if any:

| Class | Whether Detained <br> (1:Yes 2: No) | Number of times <br> detained |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| II | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| III | $\square$ | $\square$ |

10. Who helps you with your Studies at home? (Enter ' 0 ' if not applicable)

$$
1: \text { Yes 2: No }
$$

1. Father/Guardian

1
2

2. Mother

1
2
3. Elder brotherisister

1
2 $\square$
4. Other (Specify $\qquad$ 1 2 $\square$
11. Do you take private tuitions? 1: Yes

2: No $\square$

## G. TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS

12. Does your Teacher come to class

1: Rarely
(1-4) $\square$
2: Sometimes
3: Most of the days
4: Everyday
13. Does your teacher give you dictation?
(1-3) $\square$
1: Never
2: Sometimes
3: Most often
14. Does your teacher give you arithmetic problems (1-3) to solve in the class?


1: Never
2: Sometimes
3: Most often
15. Is the classwork assigned to you being checked? (1-2) $\square$
1: Yes 2: No
16. Does your teacher give you homework?


1:Yes 2: No
17. Does your teacher correct your homework?


1: Never
2: Sometimes
3: Most often

## H. ATTENDANCE

18. Total Attendance of the pupil (from class register for academic year 2003-2004)


Selected Districts and Number of Schools, Teachers and Students

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | States/ UTS | No. of Districts | Names of Sampled Districts | No. of Sampled <br> Schools$\|$No. of Sampled <br> Teachers |  | No. of Sampled Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | R | $\mathbf{M} \quad \mathbf{F}$ | B $\quad$ G |
| 1. | Arunachal Pradesh | 15 | Tawang, East Kameng, West Siang. Tirat | $\begin{gathered} 192 \\ (169+23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 333 \\ (252+81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2506 \\ (1282+1224) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | Assam | 23 | Berpeta, kamrup laxhimpur.Tinsukia | $\begin{gathered} 192 \\ (158+34) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 315 \\ (190+125) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2555 \\ (1286+1269) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | Chandigarh | 1 | Chandigarh | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ (13+36) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ (12+56) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1410 \\ (749+661) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4. | Chhatisgarh | 16 | Bastar,kawardha, Raipur. Sarguia, | $\begin{gathered} 187 \\ (148+39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 232 \\ (161+71) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2485 \\ (1368+1117) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. | Delhi | 9 | North East. North West. South West, South Delhi | $\begin{gathered} 159 \\ (51+108) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 202 \\ (133+69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4652 \\ (2310+2342) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6. | Goa | 2 | North Goa, South Goa, | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (78+22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ (18+127) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1672 \\ (846+826) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7. | Gujarat | 25 | Ahmedabad,Mahesana, Navsari, Rajkot | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (155+45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 228 \\ (74+154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4639 \\ (2386+2253) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 8. | Haryana | 19 | Panchkula, Panipat, Rewari.Sirsa | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (159+41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 209 \\ (93+116) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3232 \\ (1631+1601) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 12 | Hamipur, Kinnaur, kullu, Shimla | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (153+47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 209 \\ (85+124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3324 \\ (1689+1635) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10. | J\& K | 14 | Srinagar, Anantnag. Jammu. Baramulla | $\begin{gathered} 197 \\ (156+41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 381 \\ (180+201) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2437 \\ (1146+1291) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 22 | Palarmu, Ranchi, Bokaro.Godda | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (154+46) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ (186+114) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3905 \\ (2106+1799) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 12. | Karnatak | 27 | Belgaum, Gulbarga, Udibi, Bangalore | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (150+50) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 293 \\ (130+163) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3708 \\ (1908+1800) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 13. | Kerala | 14 | Thiruvanthapuram, Idukki, palakkad, Wayanad | $\begin{gathered} 198 \\ (170+28) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (102+282) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5327 \\ (2789+2538) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 14. | Madłya Pradesh | 45 | Bhopal, Dhaar, Gwalior, Riwa. Seoni. | $\begin{gathered} 250 \\ (186+64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 322 \\ (179+143) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3738 \\ (1927+1811) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 15. | Maharastra | 35 | Gadchiroli, Jalgaon,Kolhapur, Mumbai | $\begin{gathered} 199 \\ (135+64) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 357 \\ (221+136) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4578 \\ (2368+2210) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 16. | Manipur | 9 | Churachandpur.Imphal West. Thoubal. Ukhrul | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (152+48) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 400 \\ (275+125) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2577 \\ (1273+1304) \end{gathered}$ |
| 17. | Meghalaya | 7 | East hhasi Hills,Jaintia Hills,Raibhoi. West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} 176 \\ (137+39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 302 \\ (144+158) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1979 \\ (959+1020) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 18. | Mizoram | 8 | AiZwal,Kolasib,Langlei.Serchhip. | $\begin{gathered} 198 \\ (128+70) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 371 \\ (183+188) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2170 \\ (1240+930) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 19. | Nagaland | 8 | Drmapur,Mokokchung.Mon, Wokha | $\begin{gathered} 126 \\ (95+31) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 191 \\ (109+82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1589 \\ (886+703) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 20. | Orisa | 30 | Jagatsingpur. Khurda, Mayurbhanj, Nuapada, | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (165+35) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 340 \\ (158+182) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3252 \\ (1760+1492) \end{gathered}$ |
| 21. | Pondicherry | 1 | Pondicherry | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (32+18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ (33+51) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1294 \\ (618+676) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 22. | Pumjab | 17 | Jalandhar. <br> Ferozepur.Sangrur. Hoshiarpur | $\begin{gathered} 163 \\ (139+24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 489 \\ (370+119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2172 \\ (1068+1104) \end{gathered}$ |

$\therefore$ :

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | States/ UTs | No. of Districts | Names of Sampled Districts | No. of Sampled <br> Schools <br> R | No. of Sampled Teachers | No. of Sempled Students <br> B <br> G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23. | Rajasthan | 32 | Bikaner, Jaipur, Pali, Udaipur | $\begin{gathered} 199 \\ (158+41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 372 \\ (249+123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2874 \\ (1508+1366) \end{gathered}$ |
| 24. | Sikkim | 4 | East.North. South. West | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ (145+15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 277 \\ (142+135) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2923 \\ (1506+1417) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 25. | Tamil Nadu | 29 | Chernai,Salem,Macurai,Kanyaku mar | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (107+93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 322 \\ (53+269) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5382 \\ (2722+2660) \end{gathered}$ |
| 26. | Tripura | 4 | West Tripura, South Tripura, Dhalai, North Thipura | $\begin{gathered} 198 \\ (157+41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 393 \\ (267+126) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4457 \\ (2216+2241) \end{gathered}$ |
| 27. | Uttar Pradesh | 70 | Agra,Bareli, Dearia,fatehpur,luckn ow,Saharanpur, Sanbhadra | $\begin{gathered} 350 \\ (265+85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 510 \\ (250+260) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6114 \\ (3060+3054) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 28. | Uttranchal | 13 | Chamoli,Dehradum. Nainital,Pithoragarh | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (161+39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 225 \\ (78+147) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2174 \\ (1004+1170) \end{gathered}$ |
| 29. | West Bengal | 19 | Murshidabad,Purulia,North 24 Paraganas | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (114+36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 279 \\ (216+63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3282 \\ (1665+1617) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total |  | Total Sampled Districts $=111$ | $\begin{gathered} 5293 \\ (3990+1303) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8533 \\ (4543+3990) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92407 \\ (47276+45131) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievment of Class III Students - Language

| States | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Mean Diff (2 1) | Total |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Arunachal pradesh | Boys | 1008 | 61.92 | 19.76 | 274 | 52.96 | 18.98 | -8.96 | 1282 | 60 | 19.93 | 6.87** |
|  | Girls | 916 | 62.52 | 20.16 | 308 | 55.28 | 18.5 | -7.24 | 1224 | 60.7 | 20 | 5.81** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.6 |  |  | -2.32 |  |  |  | -0.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1924 | 62.21 | 19.95 | 582 | 54.19 | 18.75 | -8.02 | 2506 | 60.34 | 19.96 | 8.91** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 66 |  |  | 1.49 |  |  |  | . 88 |  |  |
| Assam | Boys | 1004 | 65.42 | 17.16 | 282 | 68.89 | 14.43 | 3.47 | 1286 | 66.18 | 16.65 | 3.42** |
|  | Girls | 982 | 65.3 | 17.81 | 287 | 66.75 | 15.2 | 1.45 | 1269 | 65.63 | 17.26 | 1.37 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.12 |  |  | 2.14 |  |  |  | 0.55 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1986 | 65.36 | 17.48 | 569 | 67.81 | 14.85 | 2.45 | 2555 | 65.91 | 16.96 | 3.33** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 15 |  |  | 1.72 |  |  |  | . 82 | 4 |  |
| Chandigarh | Boys | 174 | 54.42 | 21.11 | 575 | 51.83 | 17.13 | -2.59 | 749 | 52.43 | 18.15 | 1.48 |
|  | Girls | 189 | 55.39 | 22.92 | 472 | 53.37 | 16.73 | -2.02 | 661 | 53.94 | 18.71 | 1.1 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.97 |  |  | -1.54 |  |  |  | -1.51 |  |  |
|  | Total | 363 | 54.92 | 22.05 | 1047 | 52.52 | 16.96 | -2.4 | 1410 | 53.14 | 18.42 | 1.89 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 42 |  |  | 1.47 |  |  |  | 1.53 |  |  |
| Chhatisgarh | Boys | 1011 | 49.76 | 23.43 | 357 | 53.29* | 20.98 | 3.53 | 1368 | 50.68 | 22.86 | 2.65** |
|  | Girls | 743 | 45.99 | 23.51 | 374 | 60.08* | 23.2 | 14.09 | 1117 | 50.71 | 24.33 | 9.54** |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.77 |  |  | -6.79 |  |  |  | -0.03 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1754 | 48.16 | 23.53 | 731 | 56.77 | 22.38 | 8.61 | 2485 | 50.69 | 23.52 | $8.61^{\circ *}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | 3.32** |  |  | 4.15** |  |  |  | . 03 |  |  |
| Deihi | Boys | 704 | 72.94 | 19.42 | 1606 | 64.77 | 19.9 | -8.17 | 2310 | 67.26 | 20.11 | 9.24** |
|  | Girls | 736 | 72.1 | 17.67 | 1606 | 67.75 | 20.37 | -4.35 | 2342 | 69.11 | 19.66 | 5.27** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.84 |  |  | -2.98 |  |  |  | -1.85 |  |  |
|  | Tolui | 1480 | 72.51 | 18.54 | 3212 | 66.26 | 20.19 | -6.25 | 4652 | 68.19 | 19.9 | 10.34** |
|  | CR Value |  | . 86 |  |  | 4.19** |  |  |  | 3.17** |  |  |
| Goa | Boys | 619 | 59.99* | 18.18 | 227 | $63.89 *$ | 21.88 | 3.9 | 846 | 61.03 | 19.31 | $2.4 *$ |
|  | Girls | 601 | 64.05* | 18.57 | 225 | 69.03* | 20.59 | 4.98 | 826 | 65.4 | 19.26 | 3.18** |
|  | Diff. |  | -4.06 |  |  | -5.14 |  |  |  | -4.37 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1220 | 61.99 | 18.48 | 452 | 66.45 | 21.38 | 4.46 | 1672 | 63.19 | 19.4 | $3.92{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3.86** |  |  | 2.57* |  |  |  | 4.63** |  |  |
| Gujarat | Boys | 1761 | 59.7 | 18.15 | 625 | 56.27 | 18.3 | -3.43 | 2386 | 58.8 | 18.25 | 4.03** |
|  | Girls | 1755 | 58.86 | 18.7 | 498 | 56.2 | 17.93 | -2.66 | 2253 | 58.27 | 18.56 | 2.89** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.84 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  | 0.53 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3516 | 59.28 | 18.43 | 1123 | 56.24 | 18.13 | -3.04 | 4639 | 58.54 | 18.4 | 4.87** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.35 |  |  | . 06 |  |  |  | . 98 |  |  |
| Haryana | Boys | 1256 | 57.58* | 21.73 | 375 | 57.5* | 21.53 | -0.08 | 1631 | 57.56 | 21.68 | . 06 |
|  | Girls | 1214 | 60.88* | 22.86 | 387 | 61.93* | 20.06 | 1.05 | 1601 | 61.14 | 22.21 | . 87 |
|  | Diff. |  | -3.3 |  |  | -4.43 |  |  |  | -3.58 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2470 | 59.2 | 22.35 | 762 | 59.75 | 20.9 | 0.55 | 3232 | 59.33 | 22.02 | . 62 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3.67** |  |  | 2.94** |  |  |  | 4.64** |  |  |
| Himachal Pradesh | Boys | 1235 | 60.9** | 20.02 | 454 | 61.85 | 20.09 | 0.95 | 1689 | 61.15 | 20.04 | . 86 |
|  | Girls | 1176 | $62.65{ }^{\circ}$ | 19.98 | 459 | 60.62 | 19.16 | -2.03 | 1635 | 62.08 | 19.77 | 1.9 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.75 |  |  | 1.23 |  |  |  | -0.93 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2411 | 61.75 | 20.02 | 913 | 61.23 | 19.63 | -0.52 | 3324 | 61.61 | 19.91 | . 68 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.15* |  |  | . 95 |  |  |  | 1.35 |  |  |


| States | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { Diff } \\ (2-1) \end{array}$ | Total |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| J\&K | Boys | 930 | 59.64 | 21.51 | 216 | 64.42 | 22.15 | 4.78 | 1146 | 60.54 | 21.71 | 2.87** |
|  | Girls | 1052 | 61.59 | 21.96 | 239 | 61.59 | 22.65 | 0 | 1291 | 61.59 | 22.08 | 0 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.95 |  |  | 2.83 |  |  |  | -1.05 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1982 | 60.68 | 21.77 | 455 | 62.93 | 22.43 | 2.25 | 2437 | 61.1 | 21.91 | 1.94 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.99* |  |  | 1.35 |  |  |  | 1.18 |  |  |
| Jharkhand | Boys | 1609 | 58.29 | 21.4 | 497 | 67.01 | 21.6 | 8.72 | 2106 | 60.35 | 21.76 | 7.88** |
|  | Girls | 1405 | 58.05 | 22.45 | 394 | 64.86 | 23.38 | 6.81 | 1799 | 59.54 | 22.83 | 5.15** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.24 |  |  | 2.15 |  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3014 | 58.18 | 21.89 | 891 | 66.06 | 22.42 | 7.88 | 3905 | 59.97 | 22.26 | 9.27** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 3 |  |  | 1.41 |  |  |  | 1.13 |  |  |
| Kamatak | Boys | 1347 | 67.83 | 18.17 | 558 | 73.96 | 16.6 | 6.13 | 1905 | 69.63 | 17.94 | 7.13** |
|  | Girls | 1202 | 68.72 | 19.32 | 596 | 73.52 | 16.39 | 4.8 | 1798 | 70.31 | 18.53 | 5.5** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.89 |  |  | 0.44 |  |  |  | -0.68 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2549 | 68.25 | 18.72 | 1154 | 73.73 | 16.49 | 5.48 | 3703 | 69.96 | 18.23 | 8.97** |
|  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | 1.19 |  |  | . 45 |  |  |  | 1.13 |  |  |
| Kerala | Boys | 2382 | 62.79 | 21.53 | 407 | 57.04 | 24.05 | -5.75 | 2789 | 61.95 | 22.01 | 4.52** |
|  | Girls | 2202 | 66.01 | 21.28 | 336 | 56.96 | 23.35 | -9.05 | 2538 | 64.81 | 21.77 | 6.69** |
|  | Diff. |  | -3.22 |  |  | . 0.08 |  |  |  | -2.86 |  |  |
|  | Total | 4584 | 64.34 | 21.47 | 743 | 57 | 23.72 | -7.34 | 5327 | 63.31 | 21.94 | 7.93** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 5.09** |  |  | . 05 |  |  |  | 4.76** |  |  |
| Madhy Pradesh | Boys | 1348 | 47.3 | 29.89 | 579 | 40.76 | 26.21 | -6.54 | 1927 | 45.34 | 28.98 | 4.81** |
|  | Girls | 1248 | 47.33 | 29.13 | 563 | 40.07 | 26.52 | -7.26 | 1811 | 45.07 | 28.54 | 5.23** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.03 |  |  | 0.69 |  |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2596 | 47.32 | 29.53 | 1142 | 40.42 | 26.35 | -6.9 | 3738 | 45.21 | 28.77 | 7.1** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 03 |  |  | . 44 |  |  |  | . 29 |  |  |
| Manarashtra | Boys | 1596 | 64.6 | 22.79 | 772 | 63.95* | 21.56 | -0.65 | 2368 | 64.39 | 22.4 | . 67 |
|  | Girls | 1424 | 62.48 | 23.1 | 786 | 67.47* | 21.1 | 4.99 | 2210 | 64.26 | 22.53 | 5.14** |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.12 |  |  | -3.52 |  |  |  | U.ij |  |  |
|  | Total | 3020 | 63.6 | 22.96 | 1558 | 65.73 | 21.39 | 2.13 | 4578 | 64.33 | 22.46 | 3.11** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.53* |  |  | 3.26** |  |  |  | . 2 |  |  |
| Manipur | Boys | 952 | 73.65 | 19.22 | 321 | 70.82 | 18.65 | -2.83 | 1273 | 72.94 | 19.11 | $2.33^{*}$ |
|  | Girls | 981. | 73.85 | 19.3 | 323 | 72.34 | 19.28 | -1.51 | 1304 | 73.48 | 19.3 | 1.22 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.2 |  |  | -1.52 |  |  |  | -0.54 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1933 | 73.75 | 19.26 | 644 | 71.58 | 18.97 | -2.17 | 2577 | 73.21 | 19.2 | 2.5* |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 23 |  |  | 1.02 |  |  |  | . 71 |  |  |
| Meghalaya | Boys | 638 | 70.69 | 15.31 | 321 | 66.47 | 17.14 | -4.22 | 959 | 69.28 | 16.06 | 3.73** |
|  | Girls | 682 | 67.77 | 16.03 | 338 | 66.43 | 16.92 | -1.34 | 1020 | 67.32 | 16.33 | 1.21 |
|  | Diff |  | 2.92 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |  | 1.96 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1320 | 69.18 | 15.75 | 659 | 66.45 | 17.02 | -2.73 | 1979 | 68.27 | 16.23 | 3.45** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C R \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3.38** |  |  | . 03 |  |  |  | 2.69** |  |  |
| Mizoram | Boys | 770 | 80.42* | 14.01 | 470 | 81.94 | 11.56 | 1.52 | 1240 | 81 | 13.15 | $2.07{ }^{*}$ |
|  | Girls | 538 | 82.37* | 12.4 | 392 | 83.44 | 12.01 | 1.07 | 930 | 82.82 | 12.24 | 1.32 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.95 |  |  | -1.5 |  |  |  | -1.82 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1308 | 81.22 | 13.4 | 862 | 82.62 | 11.78 | 1.4 | 2170 | 81.78 | 12.8 | $2.56{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.65** |  |  | 1.86 |  |  |  | $3.32 *$ |  |  |


| States | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Diff } \\ (2-1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Nagaland | Boys | 668 | 75.42 | 18.99 | 217 | $75.01^{*}$ | 16.3 | -0.41 | 885 | 75.32 | 18.36 | 31 |
|  | Girls | 516 | 76.57 | 18.23 | 187 | $78.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 13.89 | 1.73 | 703 | 77.03 | 17.19 | 1.34 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.15 |  |  | -3.29 |  |  |  | -1.71 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1184 | 75.92 | 18.67 | 404 | 76.53 | 15.31 | 0.61 | 1588 | 76.08 | 17.87 | . 65 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.06 |  |  | $2.19{ }^{*}$ |  |  |  | 1.91 |  |  |
| Orissa | Boys | 1444 | 64.73 | 21.29 | 316 | 64.25 | 18.61 | -0.48 | 1760 | 64.65 | 20.83 | 4 |
|  | Girls | 1179 | 64.56 | 21.54 | 313 | 66.41 | 17.84 | 1.85 | 1492 | 64.95 | 20.83 | 1.56 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.17 |  |  | -2.16 |  |  |  | -0.3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2623 | 64.65 | 21.4 | 629 | 65.32 | 18.25 | 0.67 | 3252 | 64.78 | 20.82 | . 8 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 2 |  |  | 1.49 |  |  |  | . 41 |  |  |
| Pondicherry | Boys | 429 | 70.43 | 18.91 | 189 | 70.31 | 23.32 | -0.12 | 618 | 70.39 | 20.34 | . 06 |
|  | Girls | 402 | 70.64 | 17.55 | 274 | 67.71 | 23.92 | -2.93 | 676 | 69.45 | 20.41 | 1.73 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.21 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |
|  | Total | 831 | 70.53 | 18.25 | 463 | 68.77 | 23.69 | -1.76 | 1294 | 69.8 | 20.37 | 1.39 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 17 |  |  | 1.17 |  |  |  | . 83 |  |  |
| Punjab | Boys | 880 | 53.69 | 20.72 | 188 | 61.11 | 18.89 | 7.42 | 1068 | 55 | 20.6 | 4.8** |
|  | Girls | 868 | 52.9 | 22.27 | 236 | 56.19 | 21.77 | 3.29 | 1104 | 53.61 | 22.19 | $2.05^{\circ}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.79 |  |  | 4.92 |  |  |  | 1.39 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1748 | 53.3 | 21.5 | 424 | 58.37 | 20.67 | 5.07 | 2172 | 54.29 | 21.43 | 4.50 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 77 |  |  | 2.49* |  |  |  | 1.51 |  |  |
| Rajasthan | Boys | 1226 | 61.89 | 22.6 | 282 | 61.17 | 19.54 | -0.72 | 1508 | 61.75 | 22.06 | . 54 |
|  | Girls | 1018 | 61.15 | 22.96 | 348 | 61.22 | 18.48 | 0.07 | 1366 | 61.17 | 21.9 | 06 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.74 |  |  | -0.05 |  |  |  | 0.58 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2244 | 61.56 | 22.76 | 630 | 61.19 | 18.95 | -0.37 | 2874 | 61.48 | 21.98 | . 41 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 77 |  |  | . 03 |  |  |  | . 71 |  |  |
| Sikkim | Boys | 1305 | 59.47 | 16.87 | 199 | 55.2 | 16.31 | -4.27 | 1504 | 58.91 | 16.85 | 3.42** |
|  | Girls | 1211 | 57.97 | 18.32 | 206 | 53.01 | 14.23 | -4.96 | 1417 | 57.25 | 17.86 | $4.42^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.5 |  |  | 2.19 |  |  |  | 1.66 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2516 | 58.75 | 17.59 | 405 | 54.09 | 15.31 | -4.66 | 2921 | 58.1 | 17.97 | 5.56** |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CR } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ |  | $2.13^{*}$ |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  | 2.58* |  |  |
| Tamil Nadu | Boys | 1526 | $66.19^{\circ}$ | 25.08 | 1196 | 65.75 | 24.07 | -0.44 | 2722 | 66 | 24.64 | 46 |
|  | Girls | 1477 | $67.42^{\circ}$ | 25.18 | 1183 | 66.54 | 24.32 | -0.88 | 2660 | 67.03 | 24.8 | 91 |
|  | Dili. |  | -1.23 |  |  | -0.79 |  |  |  | -1.03 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3003 | 66.79 | 25.13 | 2378 | 66.15 | 24.2 | -0.64 | 5382 | 66.51 | 24.72 | . 95 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.34 |  |  | . 8 |  |  |  | 1.53 |  |  |
| Tripura | Boys | 1727 | 65.67 | 17.74 | 489 | 68.96 | 18.88 | 3.29 | 2216 | 66.39 | 18.04 | $3.45^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 1770 | 66.55 | 18.71 | 471 | 70.07 | 18.08 | 3.52 | 2241 | 67.29 | 18.63 | $3.73^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.88 |  |  | -1.11 |  |  |  | -0.9 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3497 | 66.12 | 18.24 | 960 | 69.51 | 18.49 | 3.39 | 4457 | 66.85 | 18.34 | 5.05* |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.43 |  |  | . 93 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |
| UP | Boys | 2447 | 65.42 | 21.88 | 613 | 65.68 | 20.56 | 0.26 | 3060 | 65.47 | 21.62 | 28 |
|  | Girls | 2382 | 62.05 | 22.8 | 672 | 66.57 | 21.29 | 4.52 | 3054 | 63.04 | 22.55 | $4.788^{\circ *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.37 |  |  | -0.89 |  |  |  | 2.43 |  |  |
|  | Total | 4829 | 63.76 | 22.4 | 1285 | 66.15 | 20.94 | 2.39 | 6114 | 64.26 | 22.12 | 3.58** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | $5.24{ }^{\circ *}$ |  |  | . 76 |  |  |  | 4.3** |  |  |
| Uttranchal | Boys | 768 | 52.89 | 20.51 | 236 | 55.38 | 22.85 | 2.49 | 1004 | 53.47 | 21.1 | 1.5 |
|  | Girls | 914 | 53.15 | 21.26 | 256 | 58.67 | 21.73 | 5.52 | 1170 | 54.36 | 21.48 | $3.61^{\circ}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.26 |  |  | -3.29 |  |  |  | -0.89 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1682 | 53.03 | 20.92 | 492 | 57.09 | 22.31 | 4.06 | 2174 | 53.95 | 21.3 | 3.6** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C R \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 25 |  |  | 1.63 |  |  |  | . 97 |  |  |
| West Bengal | Boys | 1262 | 66.54 | 20.42 | 403 | 73.65 | 19.59 | 7.11 | 1665 | 68.26 | 20.44 | $6.28^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 1244 | 66.34 | 21.48 | 373 | 75.56 | 22.31 | 9.22 | 1617 | 68.47 | 22.01 | $7.06{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.2 |  |  | -1.91 |  |  |  | -0.21 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2506 | 66.44 | 20.95 | 776 | 74.57 | 20.95 | 8.13 | 3282 | 68.36 | 21.23 | $9.45^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 24 |  |  | 1.26 |  |  |  | . 28 |  |  |

[^1]Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Class III Students Language

| States | Gender | Sc |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | $\mathbf{N}$ | M\% | SD | N | M\% | S0 |
| Ar.Pradesh | Boys | 21 | 59.59 | 14.94 | 1053 | 60.01 | 20.04 | 208 | 60.03 | 19.86 |
|  | Girls | 6 | 63.33 | 23.31 | 1035 | 60.52 | 20.06 | 183 | 61.64 | 19.6 |
|  | Diff. |  | -3.74 |  |  | -0.51 |  |  | -1.61 |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 60.42 | 16.69 | 2088 | 60.26 | 20.05 | 391 | 60.78 | 19.73 |
| Assam | Boys | 136 | 64.12 | 17.76 | 93 | 64.82 | 17.95 | 1057 | 66.56 | 16.38 |
|  | Girls | 121 | 64.89 | 19.92 | 94 | 62.37 | 16.85 | 1054 | 66.01 | 16.95 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.77 |  |  | 2.45 |  |  | 0.55 |  |
|  | Total | 257 | 64.48 | 18.78 | 187 | 63.59 | 17.4 | 2111 | 66.28 | 16.66 |
| Chandigarh | Boys | 156 | 52.78 | 19.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 52.33 | 17.85 |
|  | Giris | 143 | 52.49 | 22.08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 54.35 | 17.67 |
|  | DIf. |  | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  | -2.02 |  |
|  | Total | 299 | 52.64 | 20.64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 53.27 | 17.79 |
| Chhatisgarh | Boys | 218 | 49.42 | 20.64 | 372 | 52.45 | 23.83 | 778 | 50.18 | 22.96 |
|  | Girls | 171 | 51.76 | 23.47 | 320 | 49.3 | 25.54 | 626 | 51.14 | 23.92 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.34 |  |  | 3.15 |  |  | -0.96 |  |
|  | Total | 389 | 50.45 | 21.93 | 692 | 51 | 24.67 | 1404 | 50.61 | 23.39 |
| Delhi | Boys | 291 | 69.05 | 19.94 | 72 | 68.25 | 22.33 | 1947 | 66.95 | 20.04 |
|  | Girls | 349 | 68.5 | 19.96 | 58 | 68.42 | 18.78 | 1935 | 69.24 | 19.64 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.55 |  |  | -0.17 |  |  | -2.29 |  |
|  | Total | 640 | 68.75 | 19.94 | 130 | 68.33 | 20.74 | 3882 | 68.09 | 19.87 |
| Gor | Boys | 17 | 52.27 | 24.01 | 35 | 60.33 | 17.1 | 794 | 61.25 | 19.27 |
|  | Girls | 22 | 54.03 | 22.62 | 51 | 59.55 | 16.99 | 753 | 66.13 | 19.15 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.76 |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | -4.88 |  |
|  | Total | 39 | 53.26 | 22.94 | 86 | 59.87 | 16.94 | 1547 | 63.63 | 19.36 |
| Gujarat | Boys | 202 | 61.98 | 17.87 | 356 | 55.29 | 16.78 | 1828 | 59.14 | 18.47 |
|  | Girls | 158 | 59.17 | 18.13 | 357 | 58.16 | 15.77 | 1738 | 58.21 | 19.13 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.81 |  |  | -2.87 |  |  | 0.93 |  |
|  | Total | 360 | 60.75 | 18.01 | 713 | 56.73 | 16.33 | 3566 | 68.69 | 18.8 |
| Haryana | Boys | 571 | 56.89 | 20.53 | 24 | 53.81 | 23.72 | 1036 | 58.01 | 22.25 |
|  | Girls | 525 | 58.79 | 23.18 | 14 | 55.71 | 20.53 | 1062 | 62.37 | 21.66 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.9 |  |  | -1.9 |  |  | -4.36 |  |
|  | Total | 1096 | 57.8 | 21.85 | 38 | 54.51 | 22.33 | 2098 | 60.22 | 22.05 |
| H.P | Boys | 559 | 58.62 | 20.17 | 136 | 63.47 | 19.73 | 994 | 62.26 | 19.88 |
|  | Girls | 566 | 58.64 | 19 | 146 | 66.18 | 20.79 | 923 | 63.54 | 19.78 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.02 |  |  | -2.71 |  |  | -1.28 |  |
|  | Total | 1125 | 58.63 | 19.58 | 282 | 64.87 | 20.29 | 1917 | 62.88 | 19.84 |
| J\$K | Boys | 200 | 53.03 | 22.5 | 100 | 65.97 | 20.09 | 846 | 61.68 | 21.3 |
|  | Giris | 235 | 57.31 | 22.64 | 79 | 78.48 | 17.46 | 977 | 61.25 | 21.67 |
|  | Diff. |  | -4.28 |  |  | -12.51 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
|  | Total | 435 | 55.34 | 22.65 | 179 | 71.49 | 19.92 | 1823 | 61.45 | 21.49 |
| Jharkhand | Boys | 352 | 58.38 | 24.35 | 588 | 60.21 | 18.85 | 1166 | 61.01 | 22.28 |
|  | Girls | 269 | 55.78 | 26.32 | 457 | 58.64 | 20.39 | 1073 | 60.87 | 22.76 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.6 |  |  | 1.57 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
|  | Total | 621 | 57.26 | 25.23 | 1045 | 59.52 | 19.54 | 2239 | 60.94 | 22.51 |
| Karnataka | Boys | 484 | 70.26 | 18.76 | 139 | 65.88 | 18.54 | 1282 | 69.8 | 17.52 |
|  | Girls | 389 | 70.12 | 20.28 | 122 | 66.93 | 16.45 | 1287 | 70.69 | 18.15 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.14 |  |  | -1.05 |  |  | -0.89 |  |
|  | Total | 873 | 70.2 | 19.44 | 261 | 66.37 | 17.57 | 2569 | 70.24 | 17.84 |


| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | （1） |  |  | （2） |  |  | （3） |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\％ | SD | N | M\％ | SD | N | M\％ | SD |
| Kerala | Boys | 336 | 60.09 | 22.43 | 162 | 51.9 | 19.75 | 2291 | 62.93 | 21.91 |
|  | Girls | 297 | 62.45 | 22.09 | 172 | 52.39 | 19.87 | 2069 | 66.18 | 21.53 |
|  | Diff． |  | －2．36 |  |  | －0．49 |  |  | －3．25 |  |
|  | Total | 633 | 61.2 | 22.28 | 334 | 52.16 | 19.79 | 4360 | 64.48 | 21.79 |
| M．P | Boys | 401 | 45.75 | 29.31 | 346 | 38.31 | 25.31 | 1180 | 47.26 | 29.58 |
|  | Girls | 358 | 47.18 | 28.2 | 269 | 37.63 | 24.83 | 1184 | 46.12 | 29.18 |
|  | Diff． |  | －1．43 |  |  | 0.68 |  |  | 1.14 |  |
|  | Total | 759 | 46.43 | 28.78 | 615 | 38.01 | 25.09 | 2364 | 46.69 | 29.38 |
| Maharnetra | Boys | 406 | 61.44 | 22.59 | 307 | 58.22 | 24.64 | 1655 | 66.26 | 21.63 |
|  | Girls | 390 | 65.7 | 21.27 | 291 | 55.92 | 23.64 | 1529 | 65.48 | 22.3 |
|  | Diff． |  | －4．26 |  |  | 2.3 |  |  | 0.78 |  |
|  | Total | 796 | 63.53 | 22.04 | 598 | 57.1 | 24.16 | 3184 | 65.88 | 21.95 |
| Manipur | Boys | 51 | 68.96 | 21.63 | 509 | 77.7 | 16.67 | 713 | 69.82 | 19.85 |
|  | Girls | 48 | 64.11 | 25.27 | 528 | 79.38 | 16.9 | 728 | 69.82 | 19.36 |
|  | Diff． |  | 4.85 |  |  | －1．68 |  |  | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 99 | 68.61 | 23.47 | 1037 | 78.58 | 16.8 | 1441 | 69.82 | 19.6 |
| Meghalaya | Boys | 21 | 72.93 | 11.34 | 933 | 69.26 | 16.05 | 5 | 57.14 | 29.62 |
|  | Girls | 11 | 73.25 | 13.17 | 1006 | 67.28 | 16.36 | 3 | 60.95 | 16.74 |
|  | Diff． |  | －0．32 |  |  | 1.98 |  |  | －3．81 |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 73.04 | 11.79 | 1939 | 68.23 | 16.24 | 8 | 58.57 | 24.2 |
| Misoram | Boys | 6 | 88.57 | 3.61 | 1211 | 80.87 | 13.23 | 23 | 85.84 | 7.92 |
|  | Girls | 5 | 91.43 | 4.52 | 916 | 82.72 | 12.29 | 9 | 88.25 | 7.21 |
|  | Diff． |  | －2．86 |  |  | －1．85 |  |  | －2．41 |  |
|  | Total | 11 | 89.87 | 4.11 | 2127 | 81.67 | 12.86 | 32 | 86.52 | 7.69 |
| Nagaland | Boys | 23 | 86.09 | 13.71 | 792 | 74.7 | 18.51 | 70 | 78.78 | 16.59 |
|  | Girls | 24 | 84.17 | 11.73 | 627 | 76.51 | 17.58 | 52 | 80 | 13.34 |
|  | Diff． |  | 1.92 |  |  | －1．81 |  |  | －1．22 |  |
|  | Total | 47 | 85.11 | 12.64 | 1419 | 75.5 | 18.12 | 122 | 79.3 | 15.24 |
| Orisea | Boys | 335 | 61.5 | 21.61 | 426 | 62.61 | 20.79 | 999 | 66.57 | 20.38 |
|  | Girls | 257 | 61.21 | 22.08 | 254 | 62.46 | 21.41 | 981 | 66.57 | 20.16 |
|  | Dif． |  | 0.29 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 592 | 61.38 | 21.8 | 880 | 62.56 | 21.01 | 1980 | 66.57 | 20.27 |
| Pondi－ こちたごす | Boys | 144 | 69.07 | 20.51 | 1 | 77.14 | 0 | 473 | 70.78 | 20.32 |
|  | Girls | 170 | 66.99 | 20.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 70.28 | 20.49 |
|  | Diff． |  | 2.08 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |
|  | Total | 314 | 67.94 | 20.24 | 1 | $77.14^{*}$ | 0 | 979 | $70.52^{\circ}$ | 20.4 |
| Puajab | Boys | 636 | 56.24 | 20.38 | 50 | 46.8 | 19.8 | 382 | 54.02 | 20.81 |
|  | Girls | 658 | 55.67 | 21.92 | 63 | 46.44 | 19.76 | 383 | 51.23 | 22.6 |
|  | Diff． |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.36 |  |  | 2.79 |  |
|  | Total | 1294 | 55.95 | 21.17 | 113 | 46.6 | 19.69 | 765 | 52.62 | 21.76 |
| Rajasthan | Boys | 298 | 55.23 | 23.17 | 248 | 60.26 | 19.97 | 962 | 64.16 | 21.8 |
|  | Giri＇s | 246 | 56：17 | 23．19 | 16C | 55.32 | ．25：44 | 960 | 6.3 .34 | 20.54 |
|  | Diff． |  | －0．94 |  |  | 4.44 |  |  | 0.82 |  |
|  | Total | 544 | 55.66 | 23.16 | 408 | 58.52 | 22.35 | 1922 | 63.75 | 21.18 |
| Slikim | Boys | 99 | 59.83 | 16.45 | 585 | 57.95 | 17.4 | 820 | 59.48 | 16.49 |
|  | Girls | 95 | 59.58 | 15.99 | 537 | 57.62 | 18.2 | 785 | 56.71 | 17.84 |
|  | Diff． |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 2.77 |  |
|  | Total | 194 | 59.71 | 16.19 | 1122 | 57.79 | 17.78 | 1605 | 58.12 | 17.21 |
| T．N | Boys | 769 | 59.07 | 24.34 | 70 | 45.8 | 21.87 | 1883 | 69.57 | 23.89 |
|  | Girls | 750 | 58.72 | 24.85 | 48 | 48.93 | 26.12 | 1862 | 70.84 | 23.71 |
|  | Diff． |  | 0.35 |  |  | －3．13 |  |  | －1．27 |  |
|  | Total | 1519 | 58.9 | 24.59 | 118 | 47.07 | 23.63 | 3745 | 70.2 | 23.81 |
| Tripura | Boys | 573 | 65.22 | 18.09 | 396 | 62.95 | 18.49 | 1247 | 68.03 | 17.69 |
|  | Girls | 638 | 64.97 | 19.11 | 319 | 62.53 | 18.48 | 1284 | 69.63 | 18.06 |
|  | Diff． |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | －1．6 |  |
|  | Total | 1211 | 65.09 | 18.63 | 715 | 62.77 | 18.48 | 2531 | 68.84 | 17.89 |


| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| U.P | Boys | 1112 | 64.64 | 22.01 | 89 | 57.01 | 23.77 | 1859 | 66.38 | 21.17 |
|  | Girls | 962 | 62.54 | 22.09 | 76 | 57.74 | 22.02 | 2016 | 63.48 | 22.77 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.1 |  |  | -0.73 |  |  | 2.9 |  |
|  | Total | 2074 | 63.66 | 22.07 | 165 | 57.35 | 22.92 | 3875 | 64.87 | 22.06 |
| Uttranchal | Boys | 318 | 54.21 | 20.86 | 51 | 58.99 | 18.73 | 635 | 52.66 | 21.34 |
|  | Girls | 321 | 51.87 | 23.2 | 82 | 53.94 | 19.37 | 767 | 55.45 | 20.87 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.34 |  |  | 5.05 |  |  | -2.79 |  |
|  | Total | 639 | 53.04 | 22.08 | 133 | 55.88 | 19.22 | 1402 | 54.18 | 21.12 |
| W.B | Boys | 367 | 67.78 | 20.69 | 79 | 68.25 | 18.95 | 1219 | 68.41 | 20.48 |
|  | Girls | 314 | 70.53 | 19.43 | 63 | 60.5 | 22.35 | 1240 | 68.35 | 22.52 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.75 |  |  | 7.75 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
|  | Total | 681 | 69.05 | 20.15 | 142 | 64.81 | 20.81 | 2459 | 68.38 | 21.63 |

Areawise and Categorywise Achievement of Class III Students - Language

|  | Arse | - |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ T |  |  | others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (11) |  |  | 121 |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M* | so | $N$ | M* | so | $N$ | M* | so |
| Ar.pradas | Rural | 23 | $\underline{58.51}$ | 16.39 | 1692 | 61.93 | 19.9 | 209 | 64.86 | 20.49 |
|  | Urban | 4 | 71.43 | 15.98 | 396 | 53.15 | 18.11 | 182 | 56.08 | 17.71 |
|  | Diff. |  | . 12.92 |  |  | 8.78 |  |  | 8.8 |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 00.42 | 10.68 | 2088 | -0. 20 | 20.08 | 301 | $\bigcirc 0.76$ | 10.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.03 | 17.17 |
| As.am | Urben | ${ }^{85}$ | 71.97 | 14.3 | 31 | 65.25 | ${ }^{17.83}$ | 453 | 67.2 | 1.68 |
|  | Dilic. |  | - 11.19 |  |  | -1.89 |  |  | -1.17 |  |
|  | Telal | 257 | 84.48 | 10.78 | 187 | 63.68 | 17.4 | 2111 | -6. 28 | 10.68 |
|  | - Rural | ${ }^{202}$ | 49.6 <br> 54.1 | - ${ }^{23.94}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{266}$ | $\frac{56.86}{5214}$ | $\frac{21.03}{16.49}$ |
|  | Difi. |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.72 |  |
|  | Total | 298 | 52.64 | 20.64 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1111 | 83.27 | 17.78 |
|  | Rural | 234 | 49.63 | $\frac{20.66}{}$ | 582 | 49.22 | 24.81 | 938 | 47.08 | 23.36 |
| Chbutie. serh | Urban | 155 | 51.39 | 23.75 | 110 | 60.38 | 21.7 | 466 | 57.7 | 21.81 |
|  | Oifl. |  | -1.56 |  |  | 11.17 |  |  | 10.61 |  |
|  | Toral | $\frac{380}{255}$ | $\frac{30.48}{7517}$ | 21.93 | ${ }^{0.92}$ | 81 | 24.47 | 1404 | 60.61 | 23.39 |
| Delai |  | 255 | 75.37 | 17.18 | 35 | 71.18 | 21.2 | 1150 | 71.91 | 18.7 |
|  | Urban | 385 | 64.36 | 20.44 | 95 | 67.28 | 20.58 | 2732 | 66.49 | 20.13 |
|  | Diff. |  | 11.01 |  |  | 3.9 |  |  | 5.42 |  |
|  | Total | 0.40 | 68.78 | 10.84 | 130 | 8 Be .93 | 20.74 | 3082 | 66.09 | 19.87 |
| co. | Rural | 34 | 56.64 | 22.47 | ${ }^{6} 6$ | 59.87 | 16.94 | 1100 | 62.32 | 18.44 |
|  | Urben | 5 | $\frac{30.29}{2635}$ | 8.71 | 0 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 447 | 66.85 | 21.14 |
|  | Dim. |  | 26.35 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.53 |  |
|  | Tolat | 30 | $\frac{83.26}{}$ | 22.8 | ${ }^{6} 6$ | 39.87 | 10.04 | 1547 | ${ }^{83} 5$ | 10.36 |
|  | Rural | 224 | 61.01 | 178 | 594 | 58.12 | 15.92 | 2698 | 59.39 | 18.97 |
| cajras | Urion | 136 | 60.32 | 16,31 | 119 | 49.77 | 16.86 | ${ }^{668}$ | 56.48 | 18.08 |
|  | Dific. |  | 0.69 |  |  | 8.35 |  |  | 2.91 |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { rotal }}{\text { Rura }}$ | $\frac{360}{780}$ | $\frac{00.75}{5781}$ | $\frac{18.01}{22.14}$ | $\frac{713}{35}$ | $\frac{86.73}{54.37}$ | $\frac{16.33}{22.08}$ | $\frac{3588}{1655}$ | $\frac{58.69}{59.96}$ | $\frac{18.8}{22.43}$ |
| Harane |  | $\frac{780}{316}$ |  | $\frac{22.14}{21.15}$ | $\frac{35}{3}$ | 54.37 | $\frac{22.08}{30.55}$ | $\frac{1655}{143}$ | 59.96 <br> 61.18 | $\frac{22.43}{20.59}$ |
|  | $\frac{\text { Urion }}{\text { Difl }}$ | 316 | $\frac{57.78}{0.03}$ | 21.15 | 3 | $\frac{56.19}{.182}$ | ${ }^{30.55}$ | 443 | $\frac{61.18}{-1.22}$ | 20.59 |
|  | Tolat | 1096 | 87.8 | 21.68 | 38 | 64.61 | 22.33 | 2098 | 60.22 |  |
|  | Rural | 872 | 58.57 | 19, 197 | 252 | 65.03 | 20.45 | 1287 | $\frac{63.26}{}$ | $\frac{12.06}{19.76}$ |
| HP | Urban | 253 | S8.81 | 18.62 | 30 | 63.52 | 19.2 | 630 | -62.1 | 19.98 |
|  | Otrl. |  | -0.24 |  |  | 1.51 |  |  | 1.16 |  |
|  | Total | 1128 | 68.63 | 10.58 | 282 | 64.87 | 30.29 | 1017 | 62.68 | 19.04 |
|  | Rural | 359 | 53.66 | 22.88 | 159 | 73.55 | 19.02 | 1464 | 61 | 21.05 |
| J** | Urban | 76 | 63.27 | 18.31 | 20 | 55.14 | 198 | 359 | 63.29 | 23.15 |
|  | Dilf. |  | 9.61 |  |  | 18.41 |  |  | 229 |  |
|  | Toral | : 188 | 88.36 |  | $\frac{178}{82}$ | $\frac{71.49}{5129}$ | $\frac{19.92}{18}$ | $\frac{1823}{1721}$ | 0.48 |  |
| Jharubent | Rural | 470 | 54.97 64.39 | $\frac{25.17}{24.14}$ | $\frac{323}{222}$ | 58.29 <br> 64.09 | $\frac{18.48}{22.51}$ | $\frac{1721}{518}$ | - 67.39 | $\frac{22.36}{21.8}$ |
|  | Oiff. |  | $\frac{.9 .42}{9}$ |  |  | -5.8 |  |  | -8.39 |  |
|  | Total | 621 | 87.28 | 28.23 | 1045 | 69.82 | 10.54 | 2230 | 60.04 | 22.51 |
|  | Rural | 520 | 66.21 | 21.15 | 205 | 65.97 | 17.56 | 1824 | 69.09 | $\frac{18.05}{}$ |
| K.rna- | Urbin | 353 | 76.07 | 14.78 | 56 | 67.86 | 17.69 | 745 | 73.07 | 17 |
|  | Diff. |  | -9. 86 |  |  | 1.89 |  |  | 3.98 |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{673}$ | 70.2 |  |  |  | 17.37 |  |  |  |
|  | Rural | 536 | 63.01 | $\frac{21.92}{}$ | 322 | $\frac{51.79}{}$ | 1942 | $\frac{3726}{}$ | 65.61 | $\frac{17.24}{21.22}$ |
| xerole | Urban | 97 | 51.16 | -21.72 | 12 | 61.9 | 27.22 | 634 | 57.8 | 23.65 |
|  | Diff. |  | 11.85 |  |  | 10.11 |  |  | 7.81 |  |
|  | Toral | ${ }^{533}$ | 61.2 | 22.28 | 334 | 62.16 | 10.70 | 4360 | 64.48 | 21.79 |
| * $\mathbf{P}$ | Rural | 515 | 18.93 | ${ }^{30} 2.06$ | 514 |  | 25.26 | ${ }^{1567}$ | 49.43 | 30.2 |
|  | $\frac{\text { Urban }}{\text { Difi }}$ | 244 | $\frac{1.15}{7.78}$ | 25.07 | 101 | $\frac{31.65}{7.61}$ | 23.27 | 797 | $\frac{41.3}{8.13}$ | 26.92 |
|  | Toral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rural | ${ }^{358}$ | 62.88 | $\frac{26.75}{21.75}$ | ${ }^{4} 86$ | $\frac{57.21}{}$ | 24.2 | $\frac{2367}{2177}$ | 65.15 | $\frac{30.38}{22.62}$ |
| Munc.日tu电 | Urbin | 436 | 64.06 | 22.29 | 113 | 56.64 | 2412 | 1007 | 67.46 | 20.35 |
|  | Difit. |  | 1.18 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  | 2.33 |  |
|  | Total | 796 | 03.58 | 22.04 | 598 | 87.1 | 24.10 | 3184 | 65.68 | 21.95 |
| Mantpur | Rural | 70 | $\frac{60.98}{802}$ | 24.89 | 905 | $\frac{79.09}{14}$ | $\frac{1689}{1574}$ | $\frac{958}{183}$ | -69.64 | 19.45 |
|  | $\frac{\text { Urban }}{\text { Difi }}$ | 29 | $\frac{80.2}{.19 .22}$ | 11.45 | 132 | $\frac{74.87}{4.22}$ | 15.74 | 483 | 70.17 <br> 0.53 | 19.69 |
|  | Tolal | 0 | 00.01 | 23.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rurel | 14 | 74.29 | 11.61 | 1301 | $\frac{69.2}{}$ | 15.7 | $\frac{5}{5}$ | 49.71 | $\frac{19.0}{24.96}$ |
| Neghalay | Urban | 16 | 72.06 | 12.02 | 638 | 66.26 | 17.12 | 3 | 73.33 | 16.74 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.23 |  |  | 2.94 |  |  | -23.62 |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 73.04 | 11.78 | 1939 |  | 16.24 |  | 80.67 |  |
| H60rem | Rural | 11 | 89.87 | 4.11 | 1273 | 61.06 | 1319 | 24 | 86.07 | 8.18 |
|  | Urban | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{8} 51$ | $\frac{82.57}{.151}$ | 11.81 | 8 |  | 6.25 |
|  | Tolal | 11 | 30.87 | $\frac{4.11}{18}$ | 2127 | 81.07 | 12.80 | 32 | 00.82 |  |
|  | Rura! | ${ }^{35}$ | 8408 | 13.28 | 1058 | 75.32 | 1899 | 91 | 79.72 | -153 |
| N-4.is.od | Urban | 12 | ${ }^{68} 8.1$ | 10.47 | 361 | 76.02 | 15.32 | 31 | 78.06 | 15.27 |
|  | Diff. |  | 4.02 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  | 1.66 |  |
|  | Total | ${ }_{17}{ }^{13}$ | $\frac{85.11}{61.45}$ | $\frac{12.84}{22.71}$ | $\frac{1419}{615}$ | 75. 6 | $\frac{18.12}{2,48}$ | $\frac{122}{1569}$ | -79.3 | - 15.24 |
| orios | Uruan | 153 | ${ }_{6}^{6,16}$ | 19.01 | 65 | 66.33 | ${ }_{15} 16$ | 111 | 66.72 | 18.17 |
|  | Difl. |  | 029 |  |  | 4.17 |  |  | 0.19 |  |
|  | Total | 592 | 61.38 | 21.8 | e80 | 02.55 | 2101 | 1980 | 60.57 | 20.27 |


|  | Arce | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Othera |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (t) |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| Punjab | Rural | 999 | 53.82 | 21.28 | 75 | 52.04 | 17.88 | 674 | 52.68 | 22.19 |
|  | Urban | 295 | 63.17 | 19.13 | 38 | 35.86 | 18.88 | 91 | 52.21 | 18.36 |
|  | Diff. |  | -9.35 |  |  | 16.18 |  |  | 0.47 |  |
|  | Total | 1294 | 85.95 | 21.17 | 113 | 46.6 | 19.68 | 765 | 82.62 | 21.76 |
| Rajathan | Rural | 423 | 55.76 | 24.39 | 334 | 58.7 | 22.78 | 1487 | 63.85 | 21.91 |
|  | Urtan | 121 | 55.3 | 18.32 | 74 | 57.72 | 20.43 | 435 | 63.42 | 18.46 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
|  | Total | 544 | E6.66 | 23.16 | 408 | 58.52 | 22.35 | 1822 | 68.76 | 21.18 |
| Slkidm | Rural | 153 | 61.05 | 16.66 | 1047 | 58.11 | 17.7 | 1316 | 58.99 | 17.6 |
|  | Urban | 41 | 54.7 | 13.32 | 75 | 53.41 | 18.36 | 289 | 54.18 | 14.74 |
|  | Diff. |  | 6.35 |  |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.81 |  |
|  | Total | 194 | 89.71 | 16.19 | 1122 | 87.79 | 17.78 | 1608 | 88.12 | 17.21 |
| T N | Rural | 787 | 59.85 | 24.72 | 103 | 44.6 | 22.61 | 2113 | 70.46 | 24.3 |
|  | Urban | 732 | 57.87 | 24.42 | 15 | 64 | 24.33 | 1632 | 69.88 | 23.16 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.98 |  |  | -19.4 |  |  | 0.58 |  |
|  | Total | 1819 | 58.9 | 24.69 | 118 | 47.07 | 28.63 | 9746 | 70.2 | 23.81 |
| Tipura | Rural | 932 | 64.29 | 18.21 | 630 | 62.55 | 18.43 | 1935 | 68.16 | 17.92 |
|  | Urtan | 279 | 67.77 | 19.74 | 85 | 64.37 | 18.84 | 596 | 71.05 | 17.64 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.48 |  |  | -1.82 |  |  | -2.89 |  |
|  | Total | 1211 | 65.09 | 18.63 | 715 | 02.77 | 18.48 | 2531 | 68.84 | 17.89 |
| $\mathbf{U P}$ | Pural | 1634 | 63.65 | 22.28 | 144 | 56.98 | 22.21 | 3051 | 64.13 | 22.43 |
|  | Urtan | 440 | 63.71 | 21.3 | 21 | 59.86 | 27.77 | 824 | 67.61 | 20.43 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.06 |  |  | -2.88 |  |  | -3.48 |  |
|  | Total | 2074 | 63.68 | 22.07 | 165 | 87.35 | 22.92 | 3878 | 64.87 | 22.06 |
| Uttranchat | Rural | 488 | 52 | 21.95 | 127 | 55.97 | 19.17 | 1067 | 53.16 | 20.61 |
|  | Urban | 151 | 56.4 | 22.22 | 6 | 53.81 | 22.09 | 335 | 57.46 | 22.41 |
|  | Diff. |  | -4.4 |  |  | 2.16 |  |  | -4.3 |  |
|  | Tocal | 639 | 83.04 | 22.08 | 133 | 85.88 | 19.22 | 1402 | 54.18 | 21.12 |
| West Bengal | Rural | 530 | 69 | 20.17 | 140 | 64.55 | 20.75 | 1836 | 65.85 | 21.14 |
|  | Urban | 151 | 69.2 | 20.18 | 2 | 82.86 | 24.24 | 623 | 75.84 | 20.95 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.2 |  |  | -18.31 |  |  | -9.99 |  |
|  | Total | 681 | 69.05 | 20.15 | 142 | 64.81 | 20.81 | 2459 | 68.38 | 21.53 |

Areawise and Categorywise Achievement of Class III Students excluding North－
East states－Language

| Statee | Area | Sc |  |  | 8 t |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | （1） |  |  | （2） |  |  | （3） |  |  |
|  |  | $N$ | M \％ | SD | N | M\％ | SD | N | M\％ | SD |
| Chaodigarb | Rural | 97 | 49.6 | 23.94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 56.86 | 21.03 |
|  | Urban | 202 | 54.1 | 18.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 845 | 52.14 | 16.49 |
|  | Difr． |  | －4．5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.72 |  |
|  | Total | 290 | 52.64 | 20.64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 83.27 | 17.79 |
| Cbhatiagorh | Rural | 234 | 49.83 | 20.66 | 582 | 49.22 | 24.81 | 938 | 47.69 | 23.36 |
|  | Urban | 155 | 51.39 | 23.75 | 110 | 60.39 | 21.7 | 466 | 57.7 | 21.81 |
|  | Diff． |  | －1．56 |  |  | ． 11.17 |  |  | －10．61 |  |
|  | Total | 389 | 50.45 | 21.63 | 692 | 81 | 24.67 | 1404 | 80.61 | 23.38 |
| Delbl | Rural | 255 | 75.37 | 17.19 | 35 | 71.18 | 21.2 | 1150 | 71.91 | 18.7 |
|  | Urban | 385 | 64.36 | 20.44 | 95 | 67.28 | 20.58 | 2732 | 66.49 | 20.13 |
|  | Diff． |  | 11.01 |  |  | 3.9 |  |  | 5.42 |  |
|  | Total | 640 | 68.75 | 10.94 | 130 | 68.33 | 20.74 | 3882 | 68.09 | 29.87 |
| Gom | Rural | 34 | 56.64 | 22.47 | 86 | 59.87 | 16.94 | 1100 | 62.32 | 18.44 |
|  | Urban | 5 | 30.29 | 8.71 | 0 | O | 0 | 447 | 66.85 | 21.14 |
|  | Diff． |  | 26.35 |  |  |  |  |  | －4．53 |  |
|  | Total | 30 | 53.26 | 22.94 | 66 | 58.87 | 16.04 | 1847 | 83.63 | 10.36 |
| Gujrat | Rural | 224 | 61.01 | 17.87 | 594 | 58.12 | 15.92 | 2698 | 59.39 | 18.97 |
|  | Urban | 136 | 60.32 | 18.31 | 118 | 49.77 | 16.66 | 868 | 56.48 | 18.08 |
|  | Dim． |  | 0.69 |  |  | 8.35 |  |  | 2.91 |  |
|  | Total | 360 | 60．78 | 18.01 | 713 | 86.73 | 10.33 | 3866 | 58.69 | 18.8 |
| Haryana | Rural | 780 | 57.81 | 22.14 | 35 | 54.37 | 22.08 | 1655 | 59.96 | 22.43 |
|  | Urban | 316 | 57.78 | 21.15 | 3 | 56.19 | 30.55 | 443 | 61.18 | 20.59 |
|  | Dim． |  | 0.03 |  |  | －1．82 |  |  | －1．22 |  |
|  | Total | 3098 | 57．8 | 31.85 | 38 | 54.51 | 22.33 | 2098 | 80.22 | 22.05 |
| H P | Rural | 872 | 58.57 | 19.87 | 252 | 65.03 | 20.45 | 1287 | 63.26 | 19.76 |
|  | Urban | 253 | 58.81 | 18.62 | 30 | 63.52 | 19.2 | 630 | 62.1 | 19.08 |
|  | Diff |  | －0．24 |  |  | 1．5： |  |  | 1.16 |  |
|  | Total | 1125 | 58.63 | 19.58 | 282 | 64.67 | 20.29 | 1917 | 62.88 | 19.84 |
| J A K | Rural | 359 | 53.66 | 22.98 | 159 | 73.55 | 19.02 | 1464 | 61 | 21.05 |
|  | Urbar | 76 | 63.27 | 19.31 | 20 | 55.14 | 19.8 | 359 | 63.29 | 23.15 |
|  | Diff． |  | ． 9.61 |  |  | 18.41 |  |  | －2．29 |  |
|  | Total | 435 | \＄5．34 | 22.65 | 170 | 71.49 | 19.92 | 1823 | 61.48 | 21.48 |
| Jharkhand | Rural | 470 | 54.97 | 25.17 | 823 | 58.29 | 18.48 | 1721 | 59 | 22.36 |
|  | Urban | 151 | 64.39 | 24.14 | 222 | 64.09 | 22.51 | 518 | 67.39 | 21.8 |
|  | Diff． |  | －9．42 |  |  | －5．8 |  |  | －8．39 |  |
|  | Total | 621 | 87.26 | 25.23 | 1045 | 59.52 | 19.54 | 2239 | 60.94 | 22.51 |
| Earnataka | Rural | 520 | 66.21 | 21.15 | 205 | 65.97 | 17.56 | 1824 | 69.09 | 18.05 |
|  | Urban | 353 | 76.07 | 14.78 | 56 | 67.86 | 17.69 | 745 | 73.07 | 17 |
|  | Diff． |  | －9．86 |  |  | －1．89 |  |  | －3．98 |  |
|  | Total | 873 | 70.2 | 19.44 | 261 | 66.37 | 17.57 | 2669 | 70.24 | 17.84 |
| terala | Rural | 536 | 63.01 | 21.92 | 322 | 51.79 | 19.42 | 3726 | 65.61 | 21.22 |
|  | Urban | 97 | 51.16 | 21.72 | 12 | 61.9 | 27.22 | 634 | 57.8 | 23.85 |
|  | DIM． |  | 11.85 |  |  | －10．11 |  |  | 3.81 |  |
|  | Toral | 6.33 | 81.2 | 22.28 | 334 | 52.16 | 19.78 | 4360 | 64.48 | 21.79 |
| M P | Rural | 515 | 48.93 | 80.08 | 514 | 39.26 | 25.26 | 1567 | 49.43 | 30.2 |
|  | Urban | 244 | 41.15 | 25.07 | 101 | 31.65 | 23.27 | 797 | 41.3 | 26.92 |
|  | Dinf． |  | 7.78 |  |  | 7.61 |  |  | 8.13 |  |
|  | Totel | 759 | 46.43 | 28.78 | 618 | 38.01 | 28.09 | 2364 | 46.68 | 29.38 |
| Meharstra | Rurel | 358 | 62.88 | 21.75 | 485 | 57.21 | 24.2 | 2177 | 65.15 | 22.62 |
|  | Urban | 438 | 64.06 | 22.29 | 113 | 56.64 | 24.12 | 1007 | 67.48 | 20.35 |
|  | Dilf． |  | ． 1.18 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  | －2．33 |  |
|  | Total | 706 | 63.53 | 22.04 | 508 | 57.1 | 24.18 | 3184 | 65.88 | 21.05 |
| Ostene | Rural | 439 | 61.45 | 22.71 | 615 | 62.16 | 21.48 | 1569 | 66.53 | 20.79 |
|  | Urban | 153 | 61.16 | 19.01 | 65 | 66.33 | 15.46 | 411 | 66.72 | 18.17 |
|  | Diff． |  | 0.29 |  |  | －4．17 |  |  | －0．19 |  |
|  | Total | 502 | 63.38 | 21.8 | 680 | 62.55 | 22.01 | 1080 | 66.57 | 20.27 |
| Pondtcteery | Rural | 258 | 68.38 | 17.95 | 1 | 77.14 | 0 | 572 | 71.49 | 18.34 |
|  | Urban | 56 | 65.92 | 28.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 69.16 | 22.94 |
|  | Diff． |  | 2.46 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.33 |  |
|  | Total | 314 | 67.04 | 20.24 | 1 | 77.14 | 0 | 979 | 70.52 | 20.4 |
| Puajab | Rural | 999 | 53.82 | 21.28 | 75 | 52.04 | 17.88 | 674 | 52.68 | 22.19 |
|  | Urban | 295 | 63．17 | 19.13 | 38 | 35.86 | 18.88 | 91 | 52.21 | 18.36 |
|  | Diff． |  | －9．35 |  |  | 16.18 |  |  | 0.47 |  |
|  | Total | 1294 | 55．95 | 21.17 | 113 | 46.6 | 10.69 | 768 | 52.62 | 21.76 |
| Rajatban | Rural | 423 | 55.76 | 24.39 | 334 | 58.7 | 22.78 | 1487 | 63.85 | 21.91 |
|  | Urban | 121 | 55.3 | 18.32 | 74 | 57.72 | 20.43 | 435 | 63.42 | 18.46 |
|  | Diff． |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
|  | Total | 544 | 55.66 | 23.16 | 408 | 58.52 | 22.35 | 1822 | 63.75 | 21.18 |
| T N | Rural | 787 | 59.85 | 24.72 | 103 | 44.6 | 22.61 | $2!13$ | 70.46 | 24.3 |
|  | Uruan | 732 | 57.87 | 24.42 | 15 | 64 | 24.33 | 1632 | 69.88 | 23.16 |
|  | Oiff： |  | 1.98 |  |  | －19．4 |  |  | 0.58 |  |
|  | Tasal | 1519 | 58.9 | 24.50 | 118 | 47.07 | 23.63 | 3745 | 70.2 | 23.81 |
| U P | Rural | 1634 | 63.65 | 22.28 | 144 | 56.98 | 22.21 | 3051 | 64.13 | 22.43 |
|  | Urban | 440 | 63.71 | 21.3 | 21 | 59.86 | 27.77 | 824 | 67.61 | 20.43 |
|  | DIf1 |  | －0．06 |  |  | 2.88 |  |  | ． 3.48 |  |
|  | Total | 2074 | 63.66 | 22.07 | 165 | 57.35 | 22.82 | 3875 | 64.87 | 22.06 |
| Utrimachal | Rural | 48 H | 52 | 21.95 | 127 | 55.97 | 19.17 | 1067 | 53.16 | 20.61 |
|  | Urban | 151 | 56.4 | 22.22 | 6 | 53.81 | 22.09 | 335 | 57.46 | 22.4 |
|  | Diff． |  | ． 4.4 |  |  | 2.16 |  |  | 4.3 |  |
|  | Total | 639 | 53.04 | 22.08 | 133 | 55.88 | 10.22 | 1402 | 54.18 | 21.12 |
| Wext Bengal | Rural | 539 | 643 | 20.17 | 140 | 64.55 | 20.75 | 1836 | 65.85 | 21.14 |
|  | Uiban | ： 51 | 692 | 20.18 | ？ | 82.86 | 2424 | 653 | 75.84 | 2095 |
|  | 0 mf |  | 92 |  |  | $1 \times 31$ |  |  | 9.94 |  |
|  | rotal | －81 | 69.05 | 20.15 | 142 | 64.81 | 20.81 | 2459 | 68.38 | 21.53 |
| Aッジ8 |  |  | 57.38 |  |  | 56.53 |  |  | 63.04 |  |

Annexure-VII

Areawise and Categorywise Achievement of Class III Students of North- East states - Language

| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| Assam | Boys | 136 | 64.12 | 17.76 | 93 | 64.82 | 17.95 | 1057 | 66.56 | 16.38 |
|  | Girls | 121 | 64.89 | 19.92 | 94 | 62.37 | 16.85 | 1054 | 66.01 | 16.95 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.77 |  |  | 2.45 |  |  | 0.55 |  |
|  | Total | 257 | 64.48 | 18.78 | 187 | 63.59 | 17.4 | 2111 | 66.28 | 16.66 |
| Manipur | Boys | 51 | 68.96 | 21.63 | 509 | 77.7 | 16.67 | 713 | 69.82 | 19.85 |
|  | Girls | 48 | 64.11 | 25.27 | 528 | 79.38 | 16.9 | 728 | 69.82 | 19.36 |
|  | Diff. |  | 4.85 |  |  | -1.68 |  |  | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 99 | 66.61 | 23.47 | 1037 | 78.56 | 16.8 | 1441 | 69.82 | 19.6 |
| Meghalaya | Boys | 21 | 72.93 | 11.34 | 933 | 69.26 | 16.05 | 5 | 57.14 | 29.62 |
|  | Cirls | 11 | 73.25 | 13.17 | 1006 | 67.28 | 16.36 | 3 | 60.95 | 16.74 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.32 |  |  | 1.98 |  |  | -3.81 |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 73.04 | 11.79 | 1939 | 68.23 | 16.24 | 8 | 58.57 | 24.2 |
| Mizoram | Boys | 6 | 88.57 | 3.61 | 1211 | 80.87 | 13.23 | 23 | 85.84 | 7.92 |
|  | Girls | 5 | 91.43 | 4.52 | 916 | 82.72 | 12.29 | 9 | 88.25 | 7.21 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.86 |  |  | -1.85 |  |  | -2.41 |  |
|  | Total | 11 | 89.87 | 4.11 | 2127 | 81.67 | 12.86 | 32 | 86.52 | 7.69 |
| Nagaland | Boys | 23 | 86.09 | 13.71 | 792 | 74.7 | 18.51 | 70 | 78.78 | 16.59 |
|  | Girls | 24 | 84.17 | 11.73 | 627 | 76.51 | 17.58 | 52 | 80 | 13.34 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.92 |  |  | -1.81 |  |  | -1.22 |  |
|  | Total | 47 | 85.11 | 12.64 | 1419 | 75.5 | 18.12 | 122 | 79.3 | 15.24 |
| Sikkim | Boys | 99 | 59.83 | 16.45 | 585 | 57.95 | 17.4 | 820 | 59.48 | 16.49 |
|  | Girls | 95 | 59.58 | 15.99 | 537 | 57.62 | 18.2 | 785 | 56.71 | 17.84 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 2.77 |  |
|  | Total | 194 | 59.71 | 16.19 | 1122 | 57.79 | 17.78 | 1605 | 58.12 | 17.21 |
| Tripura | Boys | 573. | 65.22 | 18.09 | 396. | 62.95 | 18.49 | 1247 | 68:03 | 17.69 |
|  | Girls | 638 | 64.97 | 19.11 | 319 | 62.53 | 18.48 | 1284 | 69.63 | 18.06 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | -1.6 |  |
|  | Total | 1211 | 65.09 | 18.63 | 715 | 62.77 | 18.48 | 2531 | 68.84 | 17.89 |
| Ar.Pradesh | Boys | 21 | 59.59 | 14.94 | 1053 | 60.01 | 20.04 | 208 | 60.03 | 19.86 |
|  | Girls | 6 | 63.33 | 23.31 | 1035 | 60.52 | 20.06 | 183 | 61.64 | 19.6 |
|  | Diff. |  | -3.74 |  |  | -0.51 |  |  | -1.61 |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 60.42 | 16.69 | 2088 | 60.26 | 20.05 | 391 | 60.78 | 19.73 |

Gender-wise and Area-wise Achievement of Students-Mathematics

| States | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Mean Diff (21) | Total |  |  | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Boys | 1008 | 62.98 | 20.69 | 274 | 50.65 | 19.85 | -12.33 | 1282 | 60.35 | 21.12 | 9.03** |
|  | Girls | 916 | 62.26 | 20.49 | 308 | 51.64 | 17.24 | -10.62 | 1224 | 59.59 | 20.25 | 8.9** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.72 |  |  | -0.99 |  |  |  | 0.76 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1924 | 62.64 | 20.59 | 582 | 51.17 | 18.51 | -11.47 | 2506 | 59.98 | 20.7 | 12.75** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 77 |  |  | . 64 |  |  |  | . 92 |  |  |
| Assam | Boys | 1004 | 67.58 | 19 | 282 | 71.94 | 16.46 | 4.36 | 1286 | 68.53 | 18.56 | 3.79** |
|  | Girls | 982 | 67.23 | 19.72 | 287 | 69.17 | 18.06 | 1.94 | 1269 | 67.67 | 19.37 | 1.57 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.35 |  |  | 2.77 |  |  |  | 0.86 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1986 | 67.4 | 19.36 | 569 | 70.54 | 17.32 | 3.14 | 2555 | 68.1 | 18.97 | 3.71** |
|  | CR <br> Value |  | . 4 |  |  | 1.91 |  |  |  | 1.15 |  |  |
| Chandi-gar | Boys | 174 | 54.83 | 22.07 | 575 | 50.46 | 19.98 | -4.37 | 749 | 51.47 | 20.55 | 2.34* |
|  | Girls | 189 | 55.74 | 21.76 | 472 | 48.34 | 19.19 | -7.4 | 661 | 50.45 | 20.22 | $4.08^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.91 |  |  | 2.12 |  |  |  | 1.02 |  |  |
|  | Total | 363 | 55.3 | 21.89 | 1047 | 49.5 | 19.65 | -5.8 | 1410 | 50.89 | 20.4 | 4.46** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 4 |  |  | 1.75 |  |  |  | . 94 |  |  |
| Chhatis-gar | Boys | 1011 | 41.26 | 25.36 | 357 | 43.83 | 25.69 | 2.57 | 1368 | 41.93 | 25.46 | 1.63 |
|  | Girls | 743 | 37.42 | 25.22 | 374 | 51.07 | 27.9 | 13.65 | 1117 | 41.99 | 26.92 | 7.96** |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.84 |  |  | -7.24 |  |  |  | -0.06 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1754 | 39.64 | 25.36 | 731 | 47.54 | 27.07 | 7.9 | 2485 | 41.96 | 26.12 | $6.75^{* *}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3.14** |  |  | 3.65** |  |  |  | . 06 |  |  |
| Delhi | Boys | 704 | 71.42 | 23.2 | 1606 | 65.43 | 21.36 | -5.99 | 2310 | 67.26 | 22.1 | 5.85** |
|  | Girls | 736 | 70.39 | 21.29 | 1606 | 68.31 | 21.62 | -2.08 | 2342 | 68.96 | 21.54 | $2.18{ }^{*}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.03 |  |  | -2.88 |  |  |  | -1.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1440 | 70.89 | 22.24 | 3212 | 66.87 | 21.54 | -4.02 | 4652 | 68.12 | 21.83 | 5.76** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 88 |  |  | 3.8** |  |  |  | 2.66** |  |  |
| Goa | Boys | 619 | 57.47 | 20.98 | 227 | 55.72 | 26.58 | -1.75 | 846 | 57 | 22.62 | . 89 |
|  | Girls | 601 | 57.9 | 20.92 | 225 | 62.62 | 25.52 | 4.72 | 826 | 59.18 | 22.35 | 2.48* |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.43 |  |  | -6.9 |  |  |  | -2.18 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1220 | 57.68 | 20.94 | 452 | 59.15 | 26.26 | 1.47 | 1672 | 58.08 | 22.51 | 1.07 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline C R \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 36 |  |  | 2.82** |  |  |  | 1.98* |  |  |
| Gujarat | Boys | 1761 | 65.3 | 21.38 | 625 | 61.57 | 22.74 | -3.73 | 2386 | 64.32 | 21.8 | $3.58{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | Girls | 1755 | 64.78 | 21.64 | 498 | 61.95 | 21.64 | -2.83 | 2253 | 64.16 | 21.67 | $2.58{ }^{*}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.52 |  |  | -0.38 |  |  |  | 0.16 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3516 | 65.04 | 21.51 | 1123 | 61.74 | 22.25 | -3.3 | 4639 | 64.24 | 21.74 | 4.36** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 72 |  |  | . 29 |  |  |  | . 25 |  |  |
| Haryana | Boys | 1256 | 55.61 | 25.32 | 375 | 56.74 | 25.44 | 1.13 | 1631 | 55.87 | 25.35 | . 76 |
|  | Girls | 1214 | 54.93 | 27.22 | 387 | 59.5 | 24.27 | 4.57 | 1601 | 56.03 | 26.6 | $3.13 *$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.68 |  |  | -2.76 |  |  |  | -0.16 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2470 | 55.28 | 26.27 | 762 | 58.14 | 24.87 | 2.86 | 3232 | 55.95 | 25.97 | 2.74** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 64 |  |  | 1.53 |  |  |  | . 17 |  |  |


| States | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Mean Diff ${ }^{2}$ 1) | Total |  |  | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Himachal <br> Pradesh | Boys | 1235 | 55.66 | 22.19 | 454 | 56.36 | 22 | 0.7 | 1689 | 55.85 | 22.14 | 58 |
|  | Girls | 1176 | 53.35 | 23.13 | 459 | 51.93 | 20.15 | -1.42 | 1635 | 52.95 | 22.34 | 1.23 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.31 |  |  | 4.43 |  |  |  | 2.9 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2411 | 54.53 | 22.68 | 913 | 54.14 | 21.2 | -0.39 | 3324 | 54.42 | 22.28 | . 46 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.5* |  |  | 3.17** |  |  |  | 3,76** |  |  |
| J\&K | Boys | 930 | 56.97 | 26.85 | 216 | 59.44 | 23.47 | 2.47 | 1146 | 57.44 | 26.26 | 1.35 |
|  | Girls | 1052 | 56.3 | 26.23 | 239 | 57.78 | 24.88 | 1.48 | 1291 | 56.57 | 25.98 | . 82 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.67 |  |  | 1.66 |  |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1982 | 56.61 | 26.52 | 455 | 58.57 | 24.21 | 1.96 | 2437 | 56.98 | 26.11 | 1.53 |
|  | CR Value |  | . 56 |  |  | . 73 |  |  |  | . 82 |  |  |
| Jharkhand | Boys | 1609 | 52.99 | 25.66 | 497 | 61.77 | 22.62 | 8.78 | 2106 | 55.07 | 25.25 | 7.32** |
|  | Girls | 1405 | 52.75 | 27.01 | 394 | 59.41 | 23.88 | 6.66 | 1799 | 54.21 | 26.5 | $4.75{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.24 |  |  | 2.36 |  |  |  | 0.86 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3014 | 52.88 | 26.3 | 891 | 60.73 | 23.2 | 7.85 | 3905 | 64.67 | 25.83 | 8.6** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 25 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  | 1.03 |  |  |
| Kamatak | Boys | 1350 | 66.83 | 24.24 | 558 | 72.71 | 21.42 | 5.88 | 1908 | 68.55 | 23.6 | 5.24** |
|  | Girls | 1204 | 66.89 | 25.33 | 596 | 71.27 | 21.98 | 4.38 | 1800 | 68.34 | 24.35 | 3.78** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.06 |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  | 0.21 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2554 | 66.86 | 24.76 | 1154 | 71.97 | 21.71 | 5.11 | 3708 | 68.45 | 23.97 | $6.35{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 06 |  |  | 1.13 |  |  |  | . 27 |  |  |
| Kerala | Boys | 2382 | 52.59 | 21.57 | 407 | 46.49 | 21.14 | -6.1 | 2789 | 51.7 | 21.61 | $5.36{ }^{\text {** }}$ |
|  | Girls | 2202 | 51.93 | 20.96 | 336 | 44.84 | 19.27 | -7.09 | 2538 | 50.99 | 20.88 | $6.21^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.66 |  |  | 1.65 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |
|  | Total | 4584 | 52.28 | 21.28 | 743 | 45.74 | 20.32 | -6.54 | 5327 | 51.36 | 21.27 | 8.08** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.05 |  |  | 1.11 |  |  |  | 1.22 |  |  |
| MadhyPradesh | Boys | 1348 | 38.94 | 30.93 | 579 | 31.87 | 28.5 | -7.07 | 1927 | 36.82 | 30.38 | 4.86** |
|  | Girls | 1248 | 39.58 | 30.97 | 563 | 31.47 | 27.16 | -8.11 | 1811 | 37.06 | 30.06 | $5.62{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.64 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | -0.24 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2596 | 39.25 | 30.94 | 1142 | 31.68 | 27.83 | -7.57 | 3738 | 36.94 | 90.22 | 7.4** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 53 |  |  | . 24 |  |  |  | . 24 |  |  |
| Maharshtr | Boys | 1596 | 58.84 | 25.23 | 772 | 55.58 | 22.83 | -3.26 | 2368 | 57.78 | 24.52 | 3.15** |
|  | Girls | 1424 | 58.55 | 24.82 | 786 | 56.33 | 23.5 | -2.22 | 2210 | 57.76 | 24.38 | $2.08{ }^{*}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.29 |  |  | -0.75 |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3020 | 58.7 | 25.03 | 1558 | 55.96 | 23.17 | -2.74 | 4578 | 57.77 | 24.45 | 3.69** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 32 |  |  | . 64 |  |  |  | . 03 |  |  |
| Manipur | Boys | 952 | 73.43 | 20.37 | 321 | 68.45 | 21.45 | -4.98 | 1273 | 72.17 | 20.75 | $3.64{ }^{\text {*** }}$ |
|  | Girls | 981 | 73.4 | 21.06 | 323 | 69.25 | 20.76 | -4.15 | 1304 | 72.38 | 21.05 | 3.1********** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.03 |  |  | -0.8 |  |  |  | -0.21 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1933 | 73.42 | 20.72 | 644 | 68.85 | 21.09 | -4.57 | 2577 | 72.27 | 20.9 | 4.78** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 03 |  |  | . 48 |  |  |  | . 26 |  |  |
| Meghalay ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Bays | 638 | 70.32 | 17.79 | 321 | 65.88 | 17.07 | -4.44 | 959 | 68.84 | 17.67 | $3.75{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 682 | 66.56 | 19.65 | 338 | 65.28 | 20.45 | -1.28 | 1020 | 66.14 | 19.92 | . 95 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.76 |  |  | 0.6 |  |  |  | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1320 | 68.38 | 18.86 | 659 | 65.58 | 18.87 | -2.8 | 1979 | 67.45 | 18.91 | $3.11^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3.65** |  |  | . 41 |  |  |  | 3.19** |  |  |
| Mizoram | Boys | 770 | 68.71 | 18.01 | 470 | 64.12 | 17.16 | -4.59 | 1240 | 66.97 | 17.82 | $4.48{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 538 | 68.18 | 20.78 | 392 | 64.85 | 18.24 | -3.33 | 930 | 66.78 | 19.81 | $2.59^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.53 |  |  | -0.73 |  |  |  | 0.19 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1308 | 68.49 | 19.19 | 862 | 64.45 | 17.65 | -4.04 | 2170 | 66.89 | 18.69 | $5.04{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | . 48 |  |  | . 6 |  |  |  | . 23 |  |  |


| States | Gender | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Diff (2 } \\ 1) \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  | CR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |  | N | M\% | SD |  |
| Orissa | Boys | 1444 | 63.08 | 26.36 | 316 | 61.74 | 23.98 | -1.34 | 1760 | 62.84 | 25.95 | 88 |
|  | Girls | 1179 | 61.63 | 26.66 | 313 | 64.48 | 23.12 | 2.85 | 1492 | 62.23 | 25.98 | 1.87 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.45 |  |  | -2.74 |  |  |  | 0.61 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2623 | 62.43 | 26.5 | 629 | 63.1 | 23.58 | 0.67 | 3252 | 62.56 | 25.96 | . 62 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.39 |  |  | 1.46 |  |  |  | . 67 |  |  |
| Pondicherry | Boys | 429 | 58.14 | 22.55 | 189 | 58.76 | 26.27 | 0.62 | 618 | 58.33 | 23.73 | . 28 |
|  | Girls | 402 | 58.94 | 22.73 | 274 | 55.72 | 25.22 | -3.22 | 676 | 57.64 | 23.81 | 1.7 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.8 |  |  | 3.04 |  |  |  | 0.69 |  |  |
|  | Total | 831 | 58.53 | 22.63 | 463 | 56.96 | 25.67 | -1.57 | 1294 | 57.97 | 23.76 | 1.1 |
|  | CR <br> Value |  | . 51 |  |  | 1.24 |  |  |  | . 52 |  |  |
| Punjab | Boys | 880 | 52.86 | 25.06 | 188 | 61.64 | 20.99 | 8.78 | 1068 | 54.4 | 24.62 | 5.02** |
|  | Girls | 868 | 51.72 | 25.5 | 236 | 59.55 | 21.02 | 7.83 | 1104 | 53.39 | 24.81 | 4.84** |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.14 |  |  | 2.09 |  |  |  | 1.01 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1748 | 52.29 | 25.28 | 424 | 60.48 | 21.01 | 8.19 | 2172 | 53.89 | 24.71 | $6.91^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 94 |  |  | 1.02 |  |  |  | . 95 |  |  |
| Rajasthan | Boys | 1226 | 55.81 | 23.25 | 282 | 59.32 | 21.02 | 3.51 | 1508 | 56.47 | 22.88 | $2.48^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 1018 | 57.34 | 22.69 | 348 | 56.53 | 23.62 | -0.81 | 1366 | 57.14 | 22.93 | . 56 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.53 |  |  | 2.79 |  |  |  | -0.67 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2244 | 56.51 | 23.01 | 630 | 57.78 | 22.52 | 1.27 | 2874 | 56.79 | 22.9 | 1.24 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.57 |  |  | 1.57 |  |  |  | . 78 |  |  |
| Sikkirn | Boys | 1305 | 52.38 | 19.46 | 199 | 53.77 | 18.24 | 1.39 | 1504 | 52.57 | 19.3 | . 99 |
|  | Girls | 1211 | 49.79 | 19.6 | 206 | 49.85 | 16.82 | 0.06 | 1417 | 49.8 | 19.22 | . 05 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.59 |  |  | 3.92 |  |  |  | 2.77 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2516 | 51.13 | 19.57 | 405 | 51.77 | 17.62 | 0.64 | 2921 | 51.22 | 19.31 | . 67 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 3.32** |  |  | 2.25* |  |  |  | 3.89** |  |  |
| Tamil Nadu | Boys | 1526 | 54.81 | 29.51 | 1196 | 52 | 26.99 | -2.81 | 2722 | 53.58 | 28.46 | 2.59** |
|  | Girls | 1477 | 55.7 | 29.74 | 1183 | 50.5 | 26.79 | -5.2 | 2660 | 53.39 | 28.58 | 4.74** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.89 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  | 0.19 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3003 | 55.25 | 29.62 | 2379 | 51.25 | 25.3 | - | 5382 | 55.85 | 28.52 | $5.16^{* *}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 82 |  |  | 1.36 |  |  |  | . 24 |  |  |
| Tripura | Boys | 1727 | 66.68 | 18.04 | 489 | 68.95 | 18.41 | 2.27 | 2216 | 67.18 | 18.15 | $2.42^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 1770 | 65.18 | 20.1 | 471 | 69.01 | 19.27 | 3.83 | 2241 | 65.99 | 19.98 | 3.8** |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.5 |  |  | -0.06 |  |  |  | 1.19 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3497 | 65.92 | 19.12 | 960 | 68.98 | 18.83 | 3.06 | 4457 | 66.58 | 19.1 | $4.45{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.32* |  |  | . 05 |  |  |  | 2.08* |  |  |
| UP | Boys | 2447 | 60.25 | 24.78 | 613 | 58.92 | 23.75 | -1.33 | 3060 | 59.98 | 24.58 | 1.23 |
|  | Girls | 2382 | 56.19 | 26.04 | 672 | 57.29 | 25.09 | 1.1 | 3054 | 56.43 | 25.83 | 1 |
|  | Diff. |  | 4.06 |  |  | 1.63 |  |  |  | 3.55 |  |  |
|  | Total | 4829 | 58.25 | 25.49 | 1285 | 58.07 | 24.47 | -0.18 | 6114 | 58.21 | 25.27 | .23 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 5.55** |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 5.5** |  |  |
| Uttranchal | Boys | 768 | 47.73 | 23.81 | 236 | 46.04 | 25.02 | -1.69 | 1004 | 47.34 | 24.1 | . 92 |
|  | Girls | 914 | 45.35 | 23.82 | 256 | 49.77 | 25.27 | 4.42 | 1170 | 46.31 | 24.2 | $2.5 *$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.38 |  |  | -3.73 |  |  |  | 1.03 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1682 | 46.44 | 23.84 | 492 | 47.98 | 25.19 | 1.54 | 2174 | 46.79 | 24.15 | 1.21 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.04* |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  | . 99 |  |  |
| West Bengal | Boys | 1262 | 60.03 | 23.21 | 403 | 69.22 | 20.84 | 9.19 | 1665 | 62.25 | 22.99 | $7.49^{\circ \bullet}$ |
|  | Girls | 1244 | 59.26 | 22.37 | 373 | 70.2 | 20.32 | 10.94 | 1617 | 61.78 | 22.38 | $8.91^{\circ}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.77 |  |  | -0.98 |  |  |  | 0.47 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2506 | 59.65 | 22.79 | 776 | 69.69 | 20.58 | 10.04 | 3282 | 62.02 | 22.69 | $11.57^{\circ}$ |

Gender-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Class III Students

| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |  |  | Others vs ST |  | ST vs SC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  | Others vs SC |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| Ar.Pradesh | Boys | 21 | 66.26 | 23.12 | 1053 | 60.57 | 20.55 | 208 | 58.64 | 23.55 | -7.62 | 1.44 | -1.93 | 1.1 | -5.69 | 1.12 |
|  | Girls | 6 | 65.71 | 8.85 | 1035 | 59.05 | 20.05 | 183 | 62.47 | 21.37 | -3.24 | . 82 | 3.42 | 2.01* | -6.66 | 1.82 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.55 |  |  | 1.52 |  |  | -3.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 66.14 | 20.64 | 2088 | 59.81 | 20.31 | 391 | 60.43 | 22.61 | -5.71 | 1.38 | 0.62 | . 51 | -6.33 | 1.58 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 09 |  |  | 1.71 |  |  | 1.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assam | Boys | 136 | 65.78 | 18.4 | 93 | 67.5 | 19.38 | 1057 | 68.98 | 18.49 | 3.2 | 1.91 | 1.48 | . 71 | 1.72 | . 67 |
|  | Girls | 121 | 70.96 | 19.81 | 94 | 66.84 | 17.18 | 1054 | 67.36 | 19.48 | -3.6 | 1.9 | 0.52 | . 28 | -4.12 | 1.63 |
|  | Diff. |  | -5.18 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 1.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 257 | 68.22 | 19.21 | 187 | 67.17 | 18.26 | 2111 | 68.17 | 19.01 | -0.05 | . 04 | 1 | . 72 | -1.05 | . 59 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.16* |  |  | . 25 |  |  | $1.96{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chandigarh | Boys | 156 | 50.13 | 22.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 51.83 | 20.15 | 1.7 | . 87 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Girls | 143 | 49.11 | 21.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 50.82 | 19.94 | 1.71 | . 86 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 299 | 49.64 | 21.62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 51.36 | 20.05 | 1.72 | 1.24 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | . 41 |  |  |  |  |  | . 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chhatisgarh | Boys | 218 | 42.5 | 23.8 | 372 | 41.47 | 25.19 | 778 | 41.99 | 26.06 | -0.51 | . 27 | 0.52 | . 32 | -1.03 | . 5 |
|  | Girls | 171 | 45.16 | 30.21 | 320 | 41.54 | 26.1 | 626 | 41.36 | 26.35 | -3.8 | 1.5 | -0.18 | . 1 | -3.62 | 1.32 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.66 |  |  | -0.07 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 389 | 43.67 | 26.8 | 692 | 41.5 | 25.6 | 1404 | 41.71 | 26.18 | -1.96 | 1.28 | 0.21 | . 18 | -2.17 | 1.3 |
|  | CR <br> Value |  | . 94 |  |  | . 04 |  |  | . 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delhi | Boys | 291 | 66.24 | 21.93 | 72 | 60.04 | 23.14 | 1947 | 67.67 | 22.05 | 1.43 | 1.04 | 7.63 | 2.75** | -6.2 | $2.06^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 349 | 64.88 | 22.45 | 58 | 70.1 | 19.63 | 1935 | 69.67 | 21.35 | 4.79 | 3.7*** | -0.43 | . 16 | 5.22 | 1.84 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.36 |  |  | -10.06 |  |  | -2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 640 | 65.5 | 22.21 | 130 | 64.53 | 22.14 | 3882 | 68.67 | 21.72 | 3.17 | 3.36** | 4.14 | 2.1* | -0.97 | . 46 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C R \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 77 |  |  | 2.68** |  |  | 2.87** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goa | Boys | 17 | 45.21 | 19.93 | 35 | 61.88 | 23.7 | 794 | 57.03 | 22.56 | 11.82 | $2.41{ }^{\circ}$ | -4.85 | 1.19 | 16.67 | $2.66^{* *}$ |
|  | Girls | 22 | 47.53 | 24.14 | 51 | 58.21 | 24.3 | 753 | 59.59 | 22.1 | 12.06 | $2.32^{*}$ | 1.38 | . 39 | 10.68 | 1.73 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.32 |  |  | 3.67 |  |  | -2.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 39 | 46.52 | 22.15 | 86 | 59.7 | 23.99 | 1547 | 58.28 | 22.36 | 11.76 | 3.27** | -1.42 | . 54 | 13.18 | $3^{* *}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 33 |  |  | . 7 |  |  | 2.25* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gujarat | Boys | 202 | 63.79 | 21.24 | 356 | 61.74 | 21.66 | 1828 | 64.88 | 21.87 | 1.09 | . 69 | 3.14 | $2.5 *$ | -2.05 | 1.09 |
|  | Girls | 158 | 63.4 | 21.97 | 357 | 64.37 | 19.15 | 1738 | 64.18 | 22.13 | 0.78 | . 43 | -0.19 | . 17 | 0.97 | . 48 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.39 |  |  | -2.63 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 360 | 63.62 | 21.54 | 713 | 63.06 | 20.47 | 3566 | 64.54 | 22 | 0.92 | . 77 | 1.48 | 1.74 | -0.56 | . 41 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | . 17 |  |  | 1.72 |  |  | . 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  | Others vs SC |  | Others vs ST |  | ST us SC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| Haryana | Boys | 571 | 54.59 | 25.44 | 24 | 60.71 | 22.94 | 1036 | 56.46 | 25.34 | 1.87 | 1.41 | -4.25 | . 9 | 6.12 | 1.27 |
|  | Girls | 52.5 | 52.78 | 27.73 | 14 | 56.53 | 31.02 | 1062 | 57.64 | 25.84 | 4.86 | 3.36** | 1.11 | . 13 | 3.75 | . 45 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.81 |  |  | 4.18 |  |  | -1.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1096 | 653.72 | 26.56 | 38 | 59.17 | 25.87 | 2098 | 57.06 | 25.59 | 3.34 | 3.42** | -2.11 | . 5 | 5.45 | 1.28 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | 1.12 |  |  | . 44 |  |  | 1.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H.P | Boys | 559 | 53.91 | 22.42 | 136 | 60.21 | 21.81 | 994 | 56.34 | 21.93 | 2.43 | 2.07* | -3.87 | 1.94 | 6.3 | $3^{* * *}$ |
|  | Girls | 566 | 50.56 | 21.82 | 146 | 55.68 | 21.82 | 923 | 53.98 | 22.63 | 3.42 | 2.89** | -1.7 | . 87 | 5.12 | 2.53 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.35 |  |  | 4.53 |  |  | 2.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1125 | 52.22 | 22.17 | 282 | 57.86 | 21.89 | 1917 | 55.21 | 22.29 | 2.89 | 3.58** | -2.65 | 1.89 | 5.64 | 3.86** |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline C R \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2.54* |  |  | 1.74 |  |  | 2.32* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J\&K | Boys | 200 | 44.09 | 26.12 | 100 | 64.31 | 23.4 | 846 | 59.78 | 25.61 | 15.69 | 7.67** | -4.53 | 1.81 | 20.22 | 6.78** |
|  | Girls | 235 | 45.28 | 23.55 | 79 | 75.8 | 17.04 | 977 | 57.73 | 25.96 | 12.45 | $7.13^{* *}$ | $18.07$ | 8.65** | 30.52 | 12.42*4 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.19 |  |  | -11.49 |  |  | 2.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 435 | 44.73 | 24.74 | 179 | 69.39 | 21.55 | 1823 | 58.68 | 25.81 | 13.95 | 10.48** | $10.71$ | 6.23*** | 24.66 | $12.33^{\text {c6 }}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | . 5 |  |  | 3.8** |  |  | 1.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jharkhand | Boys | 352 | 53.58 | 26.27 | 588 | 53.23 | 22.58 | 1166 | 56.44 | 26.12 | 2.86 | 1.79 | 3.21 | $2.66{ }^{\text {+* }}$ | -0.35 | . 21 |
|  | Girls | 269 | 51.34 | 30.5 | 457 | 53.35 | 23.9 | 1073 | 55.29 | 26.43 | 3.95 | 1.95 | 1.94 | 1.41 | 2.01 | . 93 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.24 |  |  | -0.12 |  |  | 1.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 621 | 52.61 | 28.18 | 1045 | 53.28 | 23.16 | 2239 | 55.89 | 26.27 | 3.28 | 2.6** | 2.61 | 2.88** | 0.67 | . 5 |
|  |  |  | . 96 |  |  | . 08 |  |  | 1.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Karnataka | Boys | 484 | 70.12 | 22.86 | 139 | 64.44 | 24.8 | 1285 | 68.4 | 23.7 | -1.72 | 1.4 | 3.96 | 1.8 | -5.68 | $2.42^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 389 | 66.9 | 24.93 | 122 | 69.81 | 20.54 | 1289 | 68.63 | 24.51 | 1.73 | 1.2 | -1.18 | . 6 | 2.91 | 1.29 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.22 |  |  | -5.37 |  |  | -0.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 873 | 68.69 | 23.85 | 261 | 66.95 | 23.02 | 2574 | 68.52 | 24.11 | -0.17 | . 18 | 1.57 | 1.05 | -1.74 | 1.06 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Velue } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $1.97{ }^{4}$ |  |  | 1.91 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerala | Boys | 336 | 50.48 | 21.78 | 162 | 42.84 | 20.76 | 2291 | 52.51 | 21.5 | 2.03 | 1.6 | 9.67 | 5.72** | -7.64 | 3.79 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 297 | 47.24 | 22.15 | 172 | 40.4 | 18.97 | 2069 | 52.41 | 20.53 | 5.17 | $3.8{ }^{* *}$ | 12.01 | 7.93** | -6.84 | $3.53{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Difin |  | 3.24 |  |  | 2.44 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 633 | 48.96 | 22 | 334 | 41.58 | 19.87 | 4360 | 52.46 | 21.04 | 3.5 | $3.76{ }^{* *}$ | 10.88 | 9.6** | -7.38 | 5.29** |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1.85 |  |  | 1.12 |  |  | . 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.P | Boys | 401 | 39.1 | 30.31 | 346 | 28.23 | 27.17 | 1180 | 38.56 | 30.89 | -0.54 | . 31 | 10.33 | 6.02** | $10.87$ | $5.17^{\circ 04}$ |
|  | Giris | 358 | 38.8 | 29.77 | 269 | 29.94 | 26.75 | 1184 | 38.15 | 30.65 | -0.65 | . 36. | 3.21 | 4.42** | -8.86 | 3.9.1** |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.3 |  |  | -1.71 |  |  | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 759 | 38.96 | 30.03 | 615 | 28.88 | 26.98 | 2364 | 38.36 | 30.76 | -0.6 | . 48 | 9.38 | 7.45** | -9.98 | $6.48^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | . 14 |  |  | . 78 |  |  | . 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maharastra | Boys | 406 | 52.99 | 23.54 | 307 | 54.93 | 25.53 | 1655 | 59.48 | 24.37 | 6.49 | 4.94** | 4.55 | $2.89^{\circ *}$ | 1.94 | 1.04 |
|  | Girls | 390 | 54.68 | 24.13 | 291 | 52.61 | 26.73 | 1529 | 59.53 | 23.76 | 4.85 | 3.55** | 6.92 | 4.12** | -2.07 | 1.04 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.69 |  |  | 2.32 |  |  | -0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 796 | 53.82 | 23.83 | 598 | 53.8 | 26.13 | 3184 | 59.5 | 24.07 | 5.68 | 6** | 5.7 | 4.95** | -0.02 | . 01 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | 1 |  |  | 1.08 |  |  | . 06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manipur | Boys | 51 | 69.69 | 21.71 | 509 | 74.67 | 18.62 | 713 | 70.56 | 21.94 | 0.87 | . 28 | -4.11 | 3.53*** | 4.98 | 1.58 |
|  | Girls | 48 | 65.89 | 23.77 | 528 | 76.11 | 18.78 | 728 | 70.09 | 22 | 4.2 | 1.19 | -6.02 | 5.21** | 10.22 | $2.9{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.8 |  |  | -1.44 |  |  | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 99 | 67.85 | 22.7 | 1037 | 75.41 | 18.71 | 1441 | 70.32 | 21.97 | 2.47 | 1.05 | -5.09 | $6.21{ }^{\circ}$ | 7.56 | $3.21{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | . 83 |  |  | 1.24 |  |  | . 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meghalaya | Boys | 21 | 69.52 | 16.69 | 933 | 68.87 | 17.68 | 5 | 59.43 | 20.94 | -10.09 | 1 | -9.44 | 1.01 | -0.65 | 18 |
|  | Girls | 11 | 62.08 | 20.41 | 1006 | 66.16 | 19.93 | 3 | 73.33 | 15.74 | 11.25 | 1.03 | 7.17 | . 79 | 4.08 | 66 |
|  | Diff. |  | 7.44 |  |  | 2.71 |  |  | -13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 66.96 | 18.08 | 1939 | 67.46 | 18.93 | 8 | 64.64 | 19.31 | -2.32 | . 31 | -2.82 | . 41 | 0.5 | 16 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | 1.04 |  |  | $3.17 *$ |  |  | 1.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  | Others vs SC |  | Others vs ST |  | STvs SC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | $N$ | M\% | SD | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| Nagaland | Boys | 23 | 83.85 | 11.12 | 793 | 66.83 | 19.21 | 70 | 68.29 | 17.16 | -15.56 | 5.03** | 1.46 | . 68 |  | 7.04** |
|  | Girls | 24 | 76.55 | 17.99 | 627 | 66.97 | 19.6 | 52 | 73.41 | 15.84 | -3.14 | . 73 | 6.44 | $2.76{ }^{\text {cet }}$ | -9.58 | $2.55^{*}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 7.3 |  |  | -0.14 |  |  | -5.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 47 | 80.12 | 15.32 | 1420 | 66.89 | 19.37 | 122 | 70.47 | 16.74 | -9.65 | 3.57** | 3.58 | $2.24{ }^{*}$ | $13.23$ | 5.77** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.68 |  |  | . 13 |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orissa | Boys | 335 | 57.36 | 25.9 | 426 | 58.55 | 27.02 | 999 | 66.5 | 24.9 | 9.14 | 5.64** | 7.95 | 5.2** | 1.19 | . 62 |
|  | Girls | 257 | 58.7 | 26.24 | 254 | 57.46 | 27.21 | 981 | 64.39 | 25.33 | 5.69 | 3.12** | 6.93 | 3.67** | -1.24 | . 52 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.34 |  |  | 1.09 |  |  | 2.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 592 | 57.94 | 26.04 | 680 | 58.14 | 27.08 | 1980 | 65.45 | 25.13 | 7.51 | 6.21** | 7.31 | 6.18** | 0.2 | . 13 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | . 62 |  |  | . 51 |  |  | 1.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pondicherry | Boys | 144 | 56.83 | 23.4 | 1 | 97.14 | 0 | 473 | 58.71 | 23.8 | 1.88 | . 84 | $38.43$ | 35.12** | 40.31 | $20.67^{* 6}$ |
|  | Girls | 170 | 52.57 | 25.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 59.34 | 23.13 | 6.77 | 3.1** |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diff. |  | 4.26 |  |  |  |  |  | -0.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 314 | 54.52 | 24.39 | 1 | 97.14 | 0 | 979 | 59.03 | 23.45 | 4.51 | 2.88** | $38.11$ | $50.85^{\circ}$ | 42.62 | 30.96** |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1.55 |  |  |  |  |  | . 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Punjab | Boys | 636 | 55.73 | 24.27 | 50 | 42.86 | 20.57 | 382 | 53.71 | 25.3 | -2.02 | 1.25 | 10.85 | 3.41** | - | 4.2*******) |
|  | Girls | 658 | 55.76 | 24.15 | 63 | 47.03 | 19.05 | 383 | 50.38 | 26.25 | -5.38 | 3.28** | 3.35 | 1.22 | -8.73 | 3.39** |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.03 |  |  | -4.17 |  |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1294 | 455.74 | 24.2 | 113 | 45.18 | 19.76 | 765 | 52.04 | 25.82 | -3.7 | 3.22** | 6.86 | 3.3** | $10.56$ | $5.34^{* *}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | . 02 |  |  | 1.11 |  |  | 1.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rajasthan | Boys | 298 | 50.86 | 24.73 | 248 | 53.01 | 21.8 | 962 | 59.1 | 22.14 | 8.24 | 5.15** | 6.09 | 3.91* | 2.15 | 1.08 |
|  | Girls | 246 | 51.61 | 22.8 | 160 | 45.66 | 25.93 | 960 | 60.46 | 21.52 | 8.85 | $5.49^{* *}$ | 14.8 | 6.84** | -5.95 | 2.37* |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.75 |  |  | 7.35 |  |  | -1.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 544 | 51.2 | 23.85 | 408 | 50.13 | 23.75 | 1922 | 59.78 | 21.84 | 8.58 | 7.54** | 9.65 | 7.56** | -1.07 | 69 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | . 37 |  |  | 2.97*4 |  |  | 1.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sikkim | Boys | 99 | 53.74 | 17.86 | 585 | 50.72 | 19.73 | 820 | 53.74 | 19.08 | 0 | 0 | 3.02 | 2.87** | -3.02 | 1.53 |
|  | Girls | 95 | 48.75 | 18.27 | 537 | 49.91 | 19.64 | 785 | 49.85 | 19.06 | 1.1 | . 55 | -0.06 | . 06 | 1.16 | . 56 |
|  | Diff |  | 4.99 |  |  | 0.81 |  |  | 3.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 194 | 51.3 | 18.19 | 1122 | 50.33 | 19.68 | 1605 | 51.84 | 19.16 | 0.54 | . 39 | 1.51 | 1.99** | -0.97 | . 68 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline C R \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1.92 |  |  | . 69 |  |  | 4.09** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T.N | Boys | 769 | 45.55 | 27.95 | 70 | 29.8 | 18.62 | 1883 | 57.74 | 27.84 | 12.19 | 10.2** | 27.94 | 12.06** | $15.75$ | 6.45** |
|  | Girls | 750 | 43.23 | 27.12 | 48 | 33.63 | 21.89 | 1862 | 57.99 | 28.03 | 14.76 | 12.46** | 24.36 | 7.55** | -9.6 | $2.9{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.32 |  |  | -3.83 |  |  | -0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1519 | 944.4 | 27.56 | 118 | 31.36 | 20.01 | 3745 | 57.86 | 27.93 | 13.46 | 15.99** | 26.5 | 13.96* | $13.04$ | 6.61** |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1.64 |  |  | . 99 |  |  | . 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tripura | Boys | 573 | 66.02 | 18.65 | 396 | 63.53 | 17.97 | 1247 | 68.87 | 17.76 | 2.85 | 3.07** | 5.34 | 5.17** | -2.49 | $2.09^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 638 | 63.57 | 20.22 | 319 | 61.34 | 20.32 | 1284 | 68.34 | 19.44 | 4.77 | 4.93** | 7 | 5.55** | -2.23 | 1.6 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.45 |  |  | 2.19 |  |  | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1211 | 164.73 | 19.53 | 715 | 62.55 | 19.07 | 2531 | 68.6 | 18.63 | 3.87 | 5.76** | 6.05 | $7.53{ }^{\circ}$ | -2.18 | $2.4 *$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | $2.19{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 1.51 |  |  | . 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.P | Bovs | 1112 | 58.45 | 25.08 | 89 | 51.52 | 26.93 | 1859 | 61.3 | 24.04 | 2.85 | 3.04** | 9.78 | $3.36{ }^{\circ}$ | -6.93 | $2.35{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Girls | 962 | 54.48 | 26.27 | 76 | 52.41 | 27.54 | 2016 | 57.52 | 25.5 | 3.04 | 2.98** | 5.11 | 1.59 | -2.07 | . 63 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.97 |  |  | -0.89 |  |  | 3.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 2074 | 456.61 | 25.71 | 165 | 51.93 | 27.13 | 3875 | 59.33 | 24.88 | 2.72 | $3.93 * 1$ | 7.4 | 3.44** | -4.68 | $2.14{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 3.5** |  |  | . 21 |  |  | $4.75{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  | Others vs SC |  | Others vs ST |  | ST vs SC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| Uttranchal | Boys | 318 | 47.07 | 23.87 | 51 | 57.09 | 22.41 | 635 | 46.69 | 24.21 | -0.38 | . 23 | -10.4 | 3.17** | 10.02 | 2.94** |
|  | Girls | 321 | 45.71 | 25.74 | 82 | 51.18 | 17.62 | 767 | 46.04 | 24.12 | 0.33 | 2 | -5.14 | 2.41* | 5.47 | 2.26** |
|  | DIIf. |  | 1.36 |  |  | 5.91 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 639 | 46.39 | 24.82 | 133 | 53.45 | 19.73 | 1402 | 46.33 | 24.15 | -0.06 | . 05 | -7.12 | 3.89** | 7.06 | 3.58** |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | . 69 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W.B | Boys | 367 | 61.26 | 23.62 | 79 | 57.29 | 23.95 | 1219 | 62.88 | 22.71 | 1.62 | 1.16 | 5.59 | 2.02* | -3.97 | 1.34 |
|  | Girls | 314 | 63.14 | 20.61 | 63 | 52.47 | 24.11 | 1240 | 61.91 | 22.63 | -1.23 | . 93 | 9.44 | 3.04** | $10.67$ | 3.28** |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.88 |  |  | 4.82 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 681 | 62.13 | 22.28 | 142 | 55.15 | 24.06 | 2459 | 62.39 | 22.67 | 0.26 | . 27 | 7.24 | 3.5** | -6.98 | 3.18** |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{C R} \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1.11 |  |  | 1.19 |  |  | 1.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area-wise and Category-wise Achievement of Students in Mthematics

| States | Ares | sc |  |  | ST |  |  | Othert |  |  | Otbers visc |  | Others vist |  | ST vesc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | $N$ | M\% | SD | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ar. } \\ \text { Pradesh } \end{gathered}$ | Rural | 23 | 68.94 | 20.37 | 1692 | 62.07 | 20.16 | 209 | 66.56 | 23.42 | -2.38 | . 52 | 4.49 | 2.65** | -6.87 | 1.61 |
|  | Urban | 4 | 50 | 15.56 | 396 | 50.17 | 18.05 | 182 | 53.39 | 19.43 | 3.39 | 43 | 3.22 | 1.89 | 0.17 | . 02 |
|  | Diff. |  | 18.94 |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 13.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 66.14 | 20.64 | 2088 | 69.81 | 20.31 | 391 | 60.43 | 22.61 | -5.71 | 1.38 | 0.62 | . 51 | -6.33 | 1.58 |
|  | CR Valae |  | $2.14{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 11.54** |  |  | $6.08{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ascam | Rural | 172 | 64.72 | 20.23 | 156 | 65.53 | 18.66 | 1658 | 67.86 | 19.31 | 3.14 | 1.95 | 2.33 | 1.49 | 0.81 | . 38 |
|  | Urban | 85 | 75.29 | 14.69 | 31 | 75.39 | 13.58 | 453 | 69.32 | 17.82 | -5.97 | 3.32** | -6.07 | $2.35{ }^{*}$ | 0.1 | . 03 |
|  | Diff. |  | -10.57 |  |  | -9.86 |  |  | -1.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 257 | 68.22 | 18.21 | 187 | 67.17 | 18.26 | 2111 | 68.17 | 19.01 | -0.05 | . 04 | 1 | . 72 | -1.05 | 59 |
|  | CR Value |  | $4.77^{*}$ |  |  | 3.45** |  |  | 1.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chandigarh | Rural | 97 | 50.46 | 23.28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 57.07 | 21.13 | 6.61 | $2.45{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Urban | 202 | 49.25 | 20.82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 845 | 49.56 | 19.37 | 0.31 | . 19 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.21 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 299 | 49.64 | 21.62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 51.36 | 20.05 | 1.72 | 1.24 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CR Value |  | . 44 |  |  |  |  |  | $5.15{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chhatiscarh | Rural | 234 | 40.32 | 25 | 582 | 40.42 | 25.18 | 938 | 38.98 | 25.57 | -1.34 | . 73 | -1.44 | 1.08 | 0.1 | 05 |
|  | Urban | 155 | 48.74 | 28.66 | 110 | 47.22 | 27.1 | 466 | 47.21 | 26.56 | -1.53 | 59 | -0.01 | 0 | -1.52 | 44 |
|  | Diff. |  | -8.42 |  |  | -6.8 |  |  | -8.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 389 | 43.67 | 26.8 | 692 | 41.5 | 25.6 | 1404 | 41.71 | 26.18 | -1.96 | 1.28 | 0.21 | . 18 | -2.17 | 1.3 |
|  | CR Value |  | $2.98{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | $2.44^{*}$ |  |  | 5.54** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dethi | Rural | 255 | 69.98 | 21.18 | 35 | 75.27 | 18.31 | 1150 | 70.96 | 22.57 | 0.98 | . 66 | -4.31 | 1.36 | 5.29 | 1.57 |
|  | Urban | 385 | 62.53 | 22.4 | 95 | 60.57 | 22.2 | 2732 | 67.7 | 21.29 | 5.17 | $4.27^{\circ 0}$ | 7.13 | 3.08** | -1.96 | . 77 |
|  | Diff. |  | 7.45 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  | 3.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 640 | 65.5 | 22.21 | 130 | 64.53 | 22.14 | 3882 | 68.67 | 21.72 | 3.17 | 3.36* | 4.14 | $2.1{ }^{\circ}$ | -0.97 | 46 |
|  | CR Value |  | $4.26^{\circ}$ |  |  | 3.83** |  |  | $4.18{ }^{\circ *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goe | Rural | 34 | 51.09 | 19.82 | 86 | 59.7 | 23.99 | 1100 | 57.72 | 20.7 | 6.63 | 1.92 | -1.98 | 74 | 8.61 | $2.02^{\circ}$ |
|  | Urban | 5 | 15.43 | 5.92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 59.64 | 25.99 | 44.21 | 15.15** |  |  |  |  |
|  | Difit |  | 35.66 |  |  |  |  |  | -1.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 38 | 46.52 | 22.15 | 86 | 59.7 | 23.99 | 1547 | 58.28 | 22.36 | 11.76 | 3.2709 | -1.42 | . 54 | 13.18 | 3** |
|  | CR Value |  | 8.28** |  |  |  |  |  | 1.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gujarst | Rural | 224 | 65.83 | 20.26 | 594 | 65.27 | 19.45 | 2698 | 64.92 | 22.04 | -0.91 | . 64 | -0.35 | 39 | -0.56 | .36 |
|  | Urban | 136 | 59.98 | 23.11 | 119 | 52 | 21.9 | 868 | 63.35 | 21.82 | 3.37 | 1.59 | 11.35 | $5.3{ }^{\circ}$ | -7.98 | 2.83** |
|  | Diff. |  | 5.85 |  |  | 13.27 |  |  | 1.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 360 | 63.62 | 21.54 | 713 | 63.06 | 20.47 | 3566 | 64.54 | 22 | 0.92 | . 77 | 1.48 | 1.74 | -0.56 | . 41 |
|  | CR Value |  | ${ }^{2.444^{-}}$ |  |  | $6.14^{* *}$ |  |  | 1.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haryana | Rural | 780 | 53.17 | 26.61 | 35 | 59.92 | 26.4 | 1655 | 56.17 | 26.06 | 3 | 2.61 ** | -3.75 | . 83 | 6.75 |  |
|  | Urban | 316 | 55.08 | 26.43 | 3 | 50.48 | 20.27 | 443 | 60.37 | 23.52 | 5.29 | 2.84** | 9.89 | . 84 | -4.6 |  |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.91 |  |  | 9.44 |  |  | -4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1096 | 53.72 | 26.56 | 38 | 59.17 | 25.87 | 2098 | 57.06 | 25.59 | 3.34 | 3.42** | -2.11 | . 5 | 5.45 |  |
|  | CR Value |  | 1.08 |  |  | . 75 |  |  | 3.26*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H P}$ | Rural | 872 | 52.25 | 22.2 | 252 | 58.22 | 22.55 | 1287 | 55.35 | 22.89 | 3.1 | 3.14** | -2.87 | 1.84 | 5.97 | $3.71{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Urban | 253 | 52.12 | 22.11 | 30 | 54.86 | 15.24 | 630 | 54.91 | 21.04 | 2.79 | 1.72 | 0.05 | . 02 | 2.74 | . 88 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.13 |  |  | 3.36 |  |  | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1125 | 52.22 | 22.17 | 282 | 57.86 | 21.89 | 1917 | 55.21 | 22.29 | 2.99 | $3.58{ }^{\circ}$ | -2.65 | 1.89 | 5.64 | $3.86{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | . 08 |  |  | 1.08 |  |  | . 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J \& E | Rural | 359 | 42.7 | 24.57 | 159 | 70.33 | 21.52 | 1464 | 58.54 | 26.13 | 15.84 | 10.81** | -11.79 | 6.41 ** | 27.63 | ${ }^{12.89}{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Urban | 76 | 54.32 | 23.39 | 20 | 61.86 | 20.77 | 359 | 59.28 | 24.51 | 4.96 | 1.67 | -2.58 | . 54 | 7.54 | 1.41 |
|  | Diff. |  | -11.62 |  |  | 8.47 |  |  | -0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 436 | 44.73 | 24.74 | 179 | 69.39 | 21.55 | 1823 | 58.68 | 26.81 | 13.95 | 10.48** | -10.71 | 6.23** | 24.66 | $12.33^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | 3.8** |  |  | 1.71 |  |  | . 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jharkhand | Rural | 470 | 50.13 | 28.92 | 823 | 51.54 | 22.89 | 1721 | 54.28 | 26.98 | 4.15 | $2.8{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.74 | $2.66^{* *}$ | 1.41 | 91 |
|  | Urban | 151 | 60.32 | 24.25 | 222 | 59.76 | 23.03 | 518 | 61.26 | 22.99 | 0.94 | . 42 | 1.5 | . 81 | -0.56 | 22 |
|  | Diff. |  | -10.19 |  |  | -8.22 |  |  | -6.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 621 | 52.61 | 28.18 | 1045 | 53.28 | 23.16 | 2239 | 55.89 | 26.27 | 3.28 | 2.6** | 2.61 | $2.88^{\circ}$ | 0.67 | . 5 |
|  | CR Value |  | $4.28{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | $4.73{ }^{*}$ |  |  | $5.81{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnataka | Rural | 520 | 65.26 | 25.51 | 205 | 67.09 | 23.72 | 1829 | 67.28 | 2465 | 2.02 | 1.61 | 0.19 | 11 | 1.83 | . 92 |
|  | Urban | 353 | 73.74 | 20.14 | 56 | 66.43 | 20.47 | 745 | 71.54 | 22.44 | -2.2 | 1.63 | 5.11 | 1.79 | . 7.31 | $2.49^{\circ}$ |
|  | Diff |  | -8.48 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | -4.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 873 | 68.69 | 23.85 | 261 | 66.95 | 23.02 | 2574 | 68.52 | 24.11 | -0.17 | . 18 | 1.57 | 1.05 | -1.74 | 1.06 |
|  | CR Value |  | 5.47** |  |  | . 21 |  |  | $4.24{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerala | Rural | 536 | 50.03 | 22.62 | 322 | 41.46 | 19.79 | 3726 | 53.53 | 20.91 | 3.5 | $3.38^{\circ}$ | 12.07 | 10.45** | -8.57 | $5.82{ }^{\circ+0}$ |
|  | Unan | 97 | 43.06 | 17.1 | 12 | 45 | 22.6 | 634 | 46.16 | 2072 | 3.1 | 1.61 | 1.16 | 18 | 1.94 | 29 |
|  | Diff |  | 6.97 |  |  | - 3.54 |  |  | 737 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 633 | 48.96 | 22 | 334 | 41.58 | 19.87 | 4360 | 52.46 | 21.04 | 3.5 | 3.76** | 10.88 | 9.6** | -7.38 | 5.29** |
|  | CR Value |  | 3.5.0 |  |  | . 54 |  |  | $8.27^{\bullet}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M $\mathbf{P}$ | Rural | 515 | 40.92 | 30.73 | 514 | 30.28 | 27.24 | 1567 | 41.64 | 3163 | 0.72 | 46 | 11.36 | 7.87** | 10.64 | 5.88** |
|  | Urtan | 244 | 34.81 | 28.13 | 101 | 22.35 | 2467 | 797 | 31.9 | 279 | -2.91 | 1.42 | 955 | 3.61* | 12.4010 | $4.09^{*}$ |
|  | Dith |  | 6.11 |  |  | 793 |  |  | 3.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 759 | 38.96 | 30.03 | 615 | 28.98 | 26.98 | 2364 | 38.36 | 30.76 | 0.6 | . 48 | 9.38 | 7.45* | -9.98 | $6.48^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | $2.71^{\circ}$ |  |  | $2.9{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 7.66** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| States | Area | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  | Others ve SC |  | Others os ST |  | ST ${ }^{\text {vs SC }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | So | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| Maharshtra | Raural | 358 | 54.52 | 24.6 | 485 | 52.29 | 25.64 | 2177 | 60.82 | 24.64 | 6.3 | 4.49** | 8.53 | $6.67^{*}$ | -2.23 | 1.2 |
|  | Untan | 438 | 53.25 | 23.19 | 113 | 60.28 | 27.27 | 1007 | 56.65 | 22.55 | 3.4 | $2.58{ }^{\circ}$ | -3.63 | 1.36 | 7.03 | 2.52 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.27 |  |  | -7.99 |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 796 | 53.82 | 23.83 | 598 | 53.8 | 26.13 | 3184 | 59.5 | 24.07 | 5.68 | ${ }^{* *}$ | 5.7 | 4.95** | -0.02 | . 01 |
|  | CR Value |  | . 74 |  |  | $2.84{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | $4.71{ }^{\circ *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manlpur | Raral | 70 | 62.04 | 23.68 | 905 | 76.04 | 18.73 | 958 | 71.77 | 21.81 | 9.73 | 3.34** | -4.27 | 4.54** | 14 | 4.83 |
|  | Urban | 29 | 81.87 | 11.54 | 132 | 71.08 | 18 | 483 | 67.46 | 22.01 | -14.41 | 6.0900 | -3.62 | 1.95 | - 79 | ${ }^{4.06}$ |
|  | Diff. |  | -19.83 |  |  | 4.96 |  |  | 4.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 99 | 67.85 | 22.7 | 1037 | 75.41 | 18.71 | 1441 | 70.92 | 21.97 | 2.47 | 1.05 | -5.09 | 6.21** | 7.56 | ${ }^{2} \cdot{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Valuc |  | 5.500-9 |  |  | $2.94{ }^{+0}$ |  |  | 3.5200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meghalaye | Rural | 14 | 71.84 | 16.77 | 1301 | 6836 | 18.89 | 5 | 62.86 | 19.38 | -8.98 | . 92 | -5.5 | 63 | -3.48 | . 77 |
|  | Urban | 18 | 63.17 | 18.62 | 638 | 65.63 | 18.89 | 3 | 67.62 | 23.09 | 4.45 | 32 | 1.99 | 15 | 2.46 | . 55 |
|  | Diff. |  | 8.67 |  |  | 2.73 |  |  | -4.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 68.96 | 18.08 | 1989 | 67.46 | 18.83 | 8 | 64.84 | 19.31 | -252 | 31 | -2.82 | 41 | 0.5 | 16 |
|  | CR Value. |  | 1.38 |  |  | $290^{\circ}$ |  |  | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mizoram | Pural | 11 | 81.82 | 16.33 | 1273 | 68.3 | 19.17 | 24 | 72.38 | 19.39 | -9.44 | 1.49 | 4.08 | 1.02 | $-9$ | $2.73^{\circ}$ |
|  | Untan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 854 | 64.37 | 17.69 | 8 | 72.86 | 11.53 |  |  | 8.49 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | Dff. |  |  |  |  | 3.93 |  |  | -0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 11 | 81.82 | 16.33 | 2127. | 66.73 | 18.69 | 32 | 72.5 | 17.58 | -9.32 | 1.6 | 5.77 | 1.84 | $15.0$ | $3.05^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Value |  |  |  |  | 4.860 |  |  | . 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nagaland | Farral | 35 | 80.65 | 15.45 | 1058 | 67.05 | 20.48 | 91 | 72.34 | 17.51 | -8.31 | 2.640 | 5.29 | 2.73** | -13.6 | ${ }^{5.06}$ |
|  | Uuban | 12 | 78.57 | 15.48 | 362 | 66.42 | 15.73 | 31 | 64.98 | 12.95 | -13.59 | $2.7{ }^{\circ}$ | -1.44 | . 58 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} -125 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $2.67^{\circ}$ |
|  | Dif. |  | 2.08 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 7.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 47 | 80.12 | 15.32 | 1420 | 66.69 | 19.57 | 122 | 70.47 | 16.74 | -9.65 | 3.57** | 3.58 | $2.24 *$ | $\begin{gathered} -7 \\ 13.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $5.77^{\circ}$ |
|  | Cr Valme |  | 4 |  |  | $\underline{1}$ |  |  | $2 \times 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orisea | Rural | 439 | 58.4 | 26.79 | 615 | 57.46 | 27.54 | 1509 | 65.49 | 25.56 | 7.05 | 4.920 | 8.03 | 6.250 | -0.98 | . 58 |
|  | Urban | 153 | 56.49 | 23.76 | 65 | 64.57 | 21.37 | 411 | 65.33 | 23.44 | 8.84 | 3.94*- | 0.76 | 26 | 8.88 | 2:47 |
|  | Dif. |  | 1.95 |  |  | -7.11 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 592 | 57.94 | 28.04 | es | 5814 | 27.08 | 1200 | C- | 24.13 | 7.5i | $6.21^{\text {0 }}$ | 7.31 | 6.18** | 0.2 | 13 |
|  | CR Vatue |  | . 85 |  |  | 247 |  |  | . 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pondicherry | Faral | 258 | 54.33 | 23.26 | 1 | 97.14 | 0 | 572 | 60.35 | 22.07 | 6.02 | $3.51{ }^{\text {m }}$ | 36.79 | $39.87{ }^{\circ}$ | 42.81 | 9.5 |
|  | Urtan | 56 | 55.41 | 29.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 57.18 | 25.17 | 1.77 | 43 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.08 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 314 | 54.52 | 24.39 | 1 | 87.14 | 0 | 379 | 50.03 | 23.45 | 4.51 | 2.88* | 38.11 | 50.85** | $\frac{4261}{2}$ | ${ }^{330.90}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | $2.04{ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pumjab | Rural | 999 | 53.3 | 24.77 | 75 | 46.97 | 21.57 | 674 | 51.39 | 26.3 | -1.91 | 1.49 | 4.42 | 1.64 | -6.33 | 2.42 |
|  | Urban | 295 | 64.03 | 20.08 | 38 | 41.65 | 15.23 | 91 | 56.83 | 21.39 | -7.2 | 2.85* | 15.18 | 4.55** | 22.38 | 8.19 |
|  | Dfff. |  | -10.73 |  |  | 5.32 |  |  | -5.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1294 | 55.74 | 24.2 | 113 | 45.18 | 19.76 | 765 | 52.04 | 25.82 | 3.7 | 3.22** | 6.86 | 3.3** | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10.5 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5.34^{\circ}$ |
|  | CR Value |  | $7.62^{\circ}$ |  |  | 1.52 |  |  | $2.21{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rajsthan | Raral | 423 | 51.13 | 23.94 | 334 | 49.79 | 23.4 | 1487 | 59.54 | 22.04 | 8.41 | 6.49-* | 9.75 | 6.95** | -1.34 | 77 |
|  | Urban | 121 | 51.45 | 23.66 | 74 | 51.62 | 25.36 | 435 | 60.58 | 21.13 | 9.13 | 3.84** | 8.96 | $2.87{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.17 | . 05 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.32 |  |  | 1.83 |  |  | -1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 544 | 51.2 | 23.85 | 408 | 50.13 | 23.75 | 1922 | 59.78 | 21.84 | 8.58 | 7.54** | 9.65 | 7.56** | -1.07 | 63 |
|  | CR Value |  | . 13 |  |  | . 57 |  |  | . 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sikidm | Raral | 153 | 52.47 | 18.75 | 1047 | 50.03 | 19.66 | 1316 | 51.86 | 19.56 | -0.61 | . 38 | 1.83 | $2.25{ }^{\circ}$ | -2.44 | 1.49 |
|  | Urban | 41 | 46.9 | 15.33 | 75 | 54.59 | 19.65 | 289 | 51.74 | 17.27 | 4.84 | 1.86 | 2.85 | 1.15 | 7.69 | $2.33^{\circ}$ |
|  | Dff. |  | 5.57 |  |  | 4.56 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 194 | 51.3 | 18.19 | 1122 | 50.33 | 19.68 | 1605 | 51.84 | 19.16 | 0.54 | . 39 | 1.51 | $1.99^{*}$ | -0.97 | 68 |
|  | CR Value |  | $1.97^{\circ}$ |  |  | 1.94 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| State | Ares | sc |  |  | ST |  |  | Other |  |  | Others rasc |  | Others ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ST |  | ST sa SC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $N$ | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | $N$ | M\% | SD | (3-1) | CR | (3-2) | CR | (2-1) | CR |
| T N | Rural | 787 | 45.89 | 29.33 | 103 | 28.99 | 17.44 | 2113 | 60.02 | 28.69 | 14.13 | $11.6^{-0}$ | 31.03 | $16.97 *$ | -16.9 | $8.4{ }^{-0}$ |
|  | Urban | 732 | 42.8 | 25.44 | 15 | 47.62 | 28.4 | 1632 | 55.08 | 26.67 | 12.28 | 10.69** | 7.46 | 1.01 | 4.82 | . 65 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.09 |  |  | -18.63 |  |  | 4.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1818 | 4.4 | 27.58 | 118 | 31.36 | 20.01 | 3745 | 57.86 | 27.93 | 13.46 | 15.8900 | 26.5 | 13.98** | -13.04 | $6.61 \cdots$ |
|  | Cr Value |  | $2.2{ }^{2}$ |  |  | $2.47^{\circ}$ |  |  | 6.44** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tripara | Rural | 932 | 64.2 | 19.21 | 630 | 61.72 | 19.03 | 1935 | 68.11 | 18.8 | 3.91 | $5.14{ }^{\circ \circ}$ | 6.39 | $7.34{ }^{\circ}$ | -2.48 | $2.52^{\circ}$ |
|  | Urtan | 279 | 66.49 | 20.47 | 85 | 68.71 | 18.37 | 596 | 70.18 | 17.99. | 3.69 | $2.58{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.47 | . 69 | 2.22 | 95 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.29 |  |  | -6.99 |  |  | -2.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1211 | 64.73 | 19.53 | 718 | 62.58 | 19.07 | 2531 | 68.6 | 18.63 | 3.87 | $8.780^{\circ}$ | 6.05 | 7.53** | -2.18 | $2.4{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Cr Value |  | 1.66 |  |  | 3.28* |  |  | $2.43^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U P | Rural | 1634 | 57.31 | 25.65 | 144 | 51.77 | 27.59 | 3051 | 59.05 | 25.25 | 1.74 | $2.22^{\circ}$ | 7.28 | $3.11{ }^{*}$ | -5.54 | 2.320 |
|  | Urban | 440 | 53.99 | 25.78 | 21 | 53.06 | 24.3 | 824 | 60.38 | 23.45 | 6.39 | $4.33^{\circ}$ | 7.32 | 1.36 | -0.93 | 17 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.32 |  |  | -1.29 |  |  | -1.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 2074 | 56.61 | 25.71 | 165 | 81.03 | 27,13 | 3875 | 59.39 | 24.88 | 2.72 | 3.93** | 7.4 | 3.44* | -6.68 | $2.14^{\circ}$ |
|  | Crvelue |  | $2.4{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 22 |  |  | 1.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uttranchal | Rural | 488 | 45.66 | 24.1 | 127 | 53.88 | 19.65 | 1067 | 45.91 | 24.04 | 0.25 | 19 | -7.97 | $4.21^{\circ}$ | 8.22 | $4{ }^{-1}$ |
|  | Urban | 151 | 48.76 | 26.95 | 6 | 44.29 | 20.82 | 335 | 47.69 | 24.49 | -1.07 | 42 | 3.4 | 4 | -4.47 | 51 |
|  | Diff. |  | -3.1 |  |  | 9.59 |  |  | $\underline{1.78}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 698 | 46.99 | 24.82 | 133 | 63.45 | 10.73 | 1402 | 46.39 | 24.15 | -0.06 | . 05 | .7.12 | 3.89** | 7.06 | 3.58 |
|  | CR Vatue |  | 1.27 |  |  | 1.11 |  |  | 1.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { west } \\ & \text { Bengel } \end{aligned}$ | Rural | 530 | 61.61 | 22.02 | 140 | 55.02 | 24.13 | 1836 | 59.43 | 22.86 | -2.18 | $1.99^{\circ}$ | 4.41 | $2.09{ }^{\circ}$ | -6.59 | $2.93{ }^{\circ 00}$ |
|  | Uratan | 151 | 63.94 | 23.17 | 2 | 64.29 | 22.22 | 623 | 71.1 | 19.68 | 7.16 | $3.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.81 | 43 | 0.35 | . 02 |
|  | Diff: |  | -2.33 |  |  | -9.27 |  |  | -11.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 681 | 62.13 | 22.29 | 142 | 85.15 | 24.06 | 2459 | 62.39 | 22.67 | 0.26 | 27 | 7.24 | 3.5** | -6.98 | 3.180 |
|  | CR Value |  | 1.1 |  |  | 59 |  |  | 12.26** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Areawise and Categorywise Achievement of Class III Students excluding NorthEast states - Mathematics

| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| Chandigarh | Boys | 156 | 50.13 | 22.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 51.83 | 20.15 |
|  | Girls | 143 | 49.11 | 21.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 50.82 | 19.94 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.01 |  |
|  | Total | 299 | 49.64 | 21.62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 51.36 | 20.05 |
| Chhatisgarh | Bays | 218 | 42.5 | 23.8 | 372 | 41.47 | 25.19 | 778 | 41.99 | 26.06 |
|  | Girls | 171 | 45.16 | 30.21 | 320 | 41.54 | 26.1 | 626 | 41.36 | 26.35 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.66 |  |  | -0.07 |  |  | 0.63 |  |
|  | Total | 389 | 43.67 | 26.8 | 692 | 41.5 | 25.6 | 1404 | 41.71 | 26.18 |
| Delhi | Boys | 291 | 66.24 | 21.93 | 72 | 60.04 | 23.14 | 1947 | 67.67 | 22.05 |
|  | Girls | 349 | 64.88 | 22.45 | 58 | 70.1 | 19.63 | 1935 | 69.67 | 21.35 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.36 |  |  | -10.06 |  |  | -2 |  |
|  | Total | 640 | 65.5 | 22.21 | 130 | 64.53 | 22.14 | 3882 | 68.67 | 21.72 |
| Goa | Boys | 17 | 45.21 | 19.93 | 35 | 61.88 | 23.7 | 794 | 57.03 | 22.56 |
|  | Girls | 22 | 47.53 | 24.14 | 51 | 58.21 | 24.3 | 753 | 59.59 | 22.1 |
|  | Diff. |  | -2.32 |  |  | 3.67 |  |  | -2.56 |  |
|  | Total | 39 | 46.52 | 22.15 | 86 | 59.7 | 23.99 | 1547 | 58.28 | 22.36 |
| Gujarat | Boys | 202 | 63.79 | 21.24 | 356 | 61.74 | 21.66 | 1828 | 64.88 | 21.87 |
|  | Girls | 158 | 63.4 | 21.97 | 357 | 64.37 | 19.15 | 1738 | 64.18 | 22.13 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.39 |  |  | -2.63 |  |  | 0.7 |  |
|  | Total | 360 | 63.62 | 21.54 | 713 | 63.06 | 20.47 | 3566 | 64.54 | 22 |
| Haryana | Boys | 571 | 54.59 | 25.44 | 24 | 60.71 | 22.94 | 1036 | 56.46 | 25.34 |
|  | Girls | 525 | 52.78 | 27.73 | 14 | 56.53 | 31.02 | 1062 | 57.64 | 25.84 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.81 |  |  | 4.18 |  |  | $-1.18$ |  |
|  | Total | 1096 | 53.72 | 26.56 | 38 | 59.17 | 25.87 | 2098 | 57.06 | 25.59 |
| H.P | Boys | 559 | 53.91 | 22.42 | 136 | 60.21 | 21.81 | 994 | 56.34 | 21.93 |
|  | Girls | 566 | 50.56 | 21.82 | 146 | 55.68 | 21.82 | 923 | 53.98 | 22.63 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.35 |  |  | 4.53 |  |  | 2.36 |  |
|  | Total | 1125 | 52.22 | 22.17 | 282 | 57.86 | 21.89 | 1917 | 55.21 | 22.29 |
| J\&K | Boys | 200 | 44.09 | 26.12 | 100 | 64.31 | 23.4 | 846 | 59.78 | 25.61 |
|  | Girls | 235 | 45.28 | 23.55 | 79 | 75.8 | 17.04 | 977 | 57.73 | 25.96 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.19 |  |  | -11.49 |  |  | 2.05 |  |
|  | Total | 435 | 44.73 | 24.74 | 179 | 69.39 | 21.55 | 1823 | 58.68 | 25.81 |
| Jharkhand | Boys | 352 | 53.58 | 26.27 | 588 | 53.23 | 22.58 | 1166 | 56.44 | 26.12 |
|  | Girls | 269 | 51.34 | 30.5 | 457 | 53.35 | 23.9 | 1073 | 55.29 | 26.43 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.24 |  |  | -0.12 |  |  | 1.15 |  |
|  | Total | 621 | 52.61 | 28.18 | 1045 | 53.28 | 23.16 | 2239 | 55.89 | 26.27 |
| Karnataka | Boys | 484 | 70.12 | 22.86 | 139 | 64.44 | 24.8 | 1285 | 68.4 | 23.7 |
|  | Girls | 389 | 66.9 | 24.93 | 122 | 69.81 | 20.54 | 1289 | 68.63 | 24.51 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.22 |  |  | -5.37 |  |  | -0.23 |  |
|  | Total | 873 | 68.69 | 23.85 | 261 | 66.95 | 23.02 | 2574 | 68.52 | 24.11 |
| Kerala | Boys | 336 | 50.48 | 21.78 | 162 | 42.84 | 20.76 | 2291 | 52.51 | 21.5 |
|  | Girls | 297 | 47.24 | 22.15 | 172 | 40.4 | 18.97 | 2069 | 52.41 | 20.53 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.24 |  |  | 2.44 |  |  | 0.1 |  |
|  | Total | 633 | 48.96 | 22 | 334 | 41.58 | 19.87 | 4360 | 52.46 | 21.04 |
| M.P | Boys | 401 | 39.1 | 30.31 | 346 | 28.23 | 27.17 | 1180 | 38.56 | 30.89 |
|  | Girls | 358 | 38.8 | 29.77 | 269 | 29.94 | 26.75 | 1184 | 38.15 | 30.65 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.3 |  |  | -1.71 |  |  | 0.41 |  |
|  | Total | 759 | 38.96 | 30.03 | 615 | 28.98 | 26.98 | 2364 | 38.36 | 30.76 |
| Maharastra | Boys | 406 | 52.99 | 23.54 | 307 | 54.93 | 25.53 | 1655 | 59.48 | 24.37 |
|  | Girls | 390 | 54.68 | 24.13 | 291 | 52.61 | 26.73 | 1529 | 59.53 | 23.76 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.69 |  |  | 2.32 |  |  | -0.05 |  |
|  | Total | 796 | 53.82 | 23.83 | 598 | 53.8 | 26.13 | 3184 | 59.5 | 24.07 |
| Orissa | Bovs | 335 | 57.36 | 25.9 | 426 | 58.55 | 27.02 | 999 | 66.5 | 24.9 |
|  | Girls | 257 | 58.7 | 26.24 | 254 | 57.46 | 27.21 | 981 | 64.39 | 25.33 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.34 |  |  | 1.09 |  |  | 2.11 |  |
|  | Total | 592 | 57.94 | 26.04 | 680 | 58.14 | 27.08 | 1980 | 65.45 | 25.13 |
| Pondicherry | Bors | $1+4$ | 56.83 | 23.4 | 1 | 97.14 | 0 | 473 | 58.71 | 23.8 |
|  | Girls | 170 | 52.57 | 25.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 59.34 | 23.13 |
|  | Dilf |  | 4.26 |  |  |  |  |  | -0.63 |  |
|  | Total | 314 | 54.52 | 24.39 | 1 | 97.14 | 0 | 979 | 59.03 | 23.45 |


| States | Gender | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Ohers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| Pugiab | Boys | 636 | 55.73 | 24.27 | 50 | 42.86 | 20.57 | 382 | 53.71 | 25.3 |
|  | Cirls | 658 | 55.76 | 24.15 | 63 | 47.03 | 1905 | 383 | 50.38 | 26.25 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.03 |  |  | -4.17 |  |  | 3.33 |  |
|  | Total | 1294 | 55.74 | 24.2 | 113 | 45.18 | 19.76 | 765 | 5204 | 2582 |
| Rajasthan | Boys | 298 | 50.86 | 24.73 | 248 | 53.01 | 21.8 | 962 | 59.1 | 22.14 |
|  | Girls | 246 | 51.61 | 22.8 | 160 | 45.66 | 25.93 | 960 | 60.46 | 21.52 |
|  | Diff. |  | -0.75 |  |  | 7.35 |  |  | -1.36 |  |
|  | Total | 544 | 51.2 | 23.85 | 408 | 50.13 | 23.75 | 1922 | 59.78 | 2184 |
| T.N | Boys | 769 | 45.55 | 27.95 | 70 | 29.8 | 18.62 | 1883 | 57.74 | 27.84 |
|  | Giris | 750 | 43.23 | 27.12 | 48 | 33.63 | 21.89 | 1862 | 57.99 | 28.03 |
|  | Diff. |  | 2.32 |  |  | -3.83 |  |  | -0.25 |  |
|  | Total | 1519 | 44.4 | 27.56 | 118 | 31.36 | 20.01 | 3745 | 57.86 | 27.93 |
| U.P | Boys | 1112 | 58.45 | 25.08 | 89 | 51.52 | 26.93 | 1859 | 61.3 | 24.04 |
|  | Girls | 962 | 54.48 | 26.27 | 76 | 5241 | 27.54 | 2016 | 57.52 | 25.5 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.97 |  |  | -0.89 |  |  | 3.78 |  |
|  | Total | 2074 | 56.61 | 25.71 | 165 | 51.93 | 27.13 | 9875 | 59.33 | 24.88 |
| Uuranchal | Boys | 318 | 47.07 | 23.87 | 51 | 57.09 | 22.41 | 635 | 46.69 | 24.21 |
|  | Girls | 321 | 45.71 | 25.74 | 82 | 51.18 | 17.02 | 767 | 46.04 | 24.12 |
|  | Diff. |  | 1.36 |  |  | 5.91 |  |  | 0.65 |  |
|  | Total | 639 | 46.39 | 24.82 | 133 | 53.45 | 19.73 | 1402 | 46.33 | 24.15 |
| W.B | Boys | 367 | 61.26 | 23.62 | 79 | 57.29 | 23.95 | 1219 | 6288 | 22.71 |
|  | Cirls | 314 | 63.14 | 20.61 | 63 | 52.47 | 24.11 | 1240 | 61.91 | 22.63 |
|  | Diff. |  | -1.88 |  |  | 4.82 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
|  | Total | 681 | 62.13 | 22.29 | 142 | 55.15 | 24.06 | 2459 | 62.39 | 2267 |

Areawise and Categorywise Achievement of Class III Students of North- East states-Mathematics

| States | Carider | SC |  |  | ST |  |  | Ouhers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |  |  | (3) |  |  |
|  |  | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD | N | M\% | SD |
| Assam | Boys | 136 | 65.78 | 18.4 | 93 | 67.5 | 19.38 | 1057 | 68.98 | 18.49 |
|  | Girls | 121 | 70.96 | 19.81 | 94 | 66.84 | 17.18 | 1054 | 67.36 | 19.48 |
|  | Diff. |  | -5.18 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 1.62 |  |
|  | Total | 257 | 68.22 | 19.21 | 187 | 67.17 | 18.26 | 2111 | 68.17 | 19.01 |
| Manipar | Boys | 51 | 69.69 | 21.71 | 509 | 74.67 | 18.62 | 713 | 70.56 | 21.94 |
|  | Girls | 48 | 65.89 | 23.77 | 528 | 76.11 | 18.78 | 728 | 70.09 | 22 |
|  | Diff. |  | 3.8 |  |  | -1.44 |  |  | 0.47 |  |
|  | Total | 99 | 67.85 | 22.7 | 1037 | 75.41 | 18.71 | 1441 | 70.32 | 21.97 |
| Mcghalaya | Boys | 21 | 69.52 | 16.69 | 933 | 68.87 | 17.68 | 5 | 59.43 | 20.94 |
|  | Girls | 11 | 62.08 | 20.41 | 1006 | 66.16 | 19.93 | 3 | 73.33 | 15.74 |
|  | Diff. |  | 7.44 |  |  | 2.71 |  |  | -13.9 |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 66.96 | 18.08 | 1939 | 67.46 | 18.93 | 8 | 64.64 | 19.31 |
| Mizoram | Boys | 6 | 74.29 | 19.46 | 1211 | 66.79 | 17.78 | 23 | 74.29 | 18.44 |
|  | Girls | 5 | 90.86 | 2.39 | 916 | 66.64 | 19.83 | 9 | 67.94 | 15.17 |
|  | Diff. |  | -16.57 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 6.35 |  |
|  | Total | 11 | 81.82 | 16.33 | 2127 | 66.73 | 18.69 | 32 | 72.5 | 17.58 |
| Nugaland | Boys | 23 | 83.85 | 11.12 | 793 | 66.83 | 19.21 | 70 | 68.29 | 17.16 |
|  | Girls | 24 | 76.55 | 17.99 | 627 | 66.97 | 19.6 | 52 | 73.41 | 15.84 |
|  | Diff. |  | 7.3 |  |  | -0.14 |  |  | -5.12 |  |
|  | Total | 47 | 80.12 | 15.32 | 1420 | 66.89 | 19.37 | 122 | 70.47 | 16.74 |
| Sikkim | Boys | 99 | 53.74 | 17.86 | 585 | 50.72 | 19.73 | 820 | 53.74 | 19.08 |
|  | Girls | 95 | 48.75 | 18.27 | 537 | 49.91 | 19.64 | 785 | 49.85 | 19.06 |
|  | Diff. |  | 4.99 |  |  | 0.81 |  |  | 3.89 |  |
|  | Total | 194 | 51.3 | 18.19 | 1122 | 50.33 | 19.68 | 1605 | 51.84 | 19.16 |
| Thipura | Boys | 573 | 66.02 | 18.65 | 396 | 63.53 | 17.97 | 1247 | 68.87 | 17.76 |
|  | Cirls | 638 | 63.57 | 20.22 | 319 | 61.34 | 20.32 | 1284 | 68.34 | 19.44 |
|  | Diff. |  | 245 |  |  | 2.19 |  |  | 0.53 |  |
|  | Total | 1211 | 64.73 | 19.53 | 715 | 62.55 | 19.07 | 2531 | 686 | 18.63 |
| Ar.Pradestr | Boys | 21 | 66.26 | 23.12 | 1053 | 60.57 | 20.55 | 208 | 58.64 | 23.55 |
|  | Girls | 6 | 65.71 | 8.85 | 1035 | 59.05 | 20.05 | 183 | 62.47 | 21.37 |
|  | Diff. |  | 0.55 |  |  | 1.52 |  |  | -3.83 |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 66.14 | 20.64 | 2088 | 59.81 | 20.31 | 391 | 60.43 | 22.61 |
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