

**PLANNING ATLAS**

**BIHAR**

**2010**

LOGO

OF

BIHAR

**DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT  
GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR, PATNA**

## **FOREWORD**

I am very happy that the Department of Planning & Development has brought out the publication “Planning Atlas of Bihar”. We can take credit for the fact that this publication is the first such attempt by the Department. This publication presents the data on various social – economic indicators across different sectors depicted through maps. I hope this book will be useful to the administrative departments, development professionals, public representatives and researchers.

**Smt. Sudha Srivastava**  
**Minister**  
**Department of Planning &**  
**Development**

## INTRODUCTION

While growth and economic development are matters of paramount concern, particularly of the developing societies, the complexities of the components and processes governing them and the indicators to measure progress are not easily understood. There is no universal unanimity on what constitutes development. The components also change from time to time, as the societies progress and value systems change. There have been historical shifts in the importance of market forces and government in the process of economic growth and development. At the present juncture, need for effective government intervention is being widely recognised, though the exact nature of intervention will vary from country to country. Planning has been one such government intervention in India.

As part of planning process, we have been analysing the present status, setting the objectives and goals, determining indicators, formulating strategies and finally measuring the progress. Along with growth, the societies also seek minimisation of inter-personal and inter-regional inequalities, so that all sections of society lead quality life and increase their access to resources, skill, knowledge, better health, services provisioned under different schemes, so on and so forth. Hence it is imperative to pay attention to drawing a linkage between the micro and macro determinates of growth and development, with simultaneous focus on equity and equality of opportunity. In spite of launching and implementation of various programmes and schemes aiming at equality in all spheres of life, the fact remains that regional disparities persist across states. There is wide Intra-State disparity of incomes also.

The goals of governments at various levels are set in a context. For example, a developing country looks at the attainments of the developed world; a backward state within the country seeks to achieve what has already been achieved by developed states more than a decade back or even the national average. Similarly, a backward district of a large state tends to compare its levels with the developed district in its neighbourhood. India is such a vast country that its states are compared to bigger countries. In such a situation, we should not be surprised if some of the Indian states strive to achieve and target the developed world. Another dimension of the issue is that a state or a district may be an achiever in some

indicators while at the same time lagging in certain other aspects. This is because all territories operate in a particular socio-cultural context.

To make the development and planning process more and more participative, efforts have recently been initiated to involve the communities in general and Panchayati Raj representatives in particular. To make the planning exercise intelligible to the common masses and public representatives, and to help them appreciate the regional dimensions of growth and development in its various facets, an effort has been made to capture various indicators in both figures and maps. The Planning Atlas has tried to measure the existing inequities at national, state and district level on important socio-economic and development indicators. It is hoped that it will provide a basis for better formulation of strategies and re-setting of priorities both at the state and district level.

The planning Atlas has two clearly discernible parts.

Part I : State -wise position on important indicators

Part II : District- wise position on important indicators

A total of 44 indicators have been selected for inter-state comparisons, whereas districts of Bihar have been ranked and categorized on 84 indicators. They do not capture the entire gamut, because any such attempt at documentation has to resort to prioritization, partly because of availability of comparable data but also because of limitations of space. Utmost care has been taken to provide updated, reliable & corrected information as far as possible.

Devinfo software has been used for making maps from the available data. Since the .SHP file (for making map) of Arwal district is not available, we have added the data of Arwal district in the data of Jehanabad district, as the mother district of Arwal was Jehanabad.

Data for this publication has been sourced from the Census of India, Agriculture Census, Economic Census and statistical Abstract of India published by Central Statistical Organization, All India Economic Census, District Level Household Survey – III, National Family Health Survey, Directorate of Economics and Statistics and several departments of the State Government.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Planning is such a vast exercise that there are infinite dimensions of works involved in facilitating the planning process. Inspiration for the preparation of the Planning Atlas came from some of the publications from other states like Karnataka and Uttar Pradesh; hence our first gratitude goes to teams working in the planning departments/Directorate of Economics and Statistics of these states. In fact, that was the beginning of the process that led to the birth of the document – Planning Atlas, BIHAR.

What expedited the process of the work was the ready availability of some of the vital statistics and the software to convert the data into maps, with Shri Brajesh Kumar Das, who has joined the Department of Planning as Facilitator, GoI – Joint UN Programme on Convergence. The team responsible for the collection of data was led pro – actively by Dr Harendra Prasad, deputy Director, Planning with technical support and inputs from all those whose names have been listed in the document as ‘Research and Technical Team’. I acknowledge the support of the Departments of the state government and Directorate of Economics and Statistics for furnishing the data on time and various crucial inputs/ suggestions given by other colleagues of the Department of Planning and Development.

Patna

Rameshwar Singh  
Principal Secretary  
Department of Planning and Development  
Government of Bihar,  
Old Secretariat, Patna  
[secy-plandev-bih@nic.in](mailto:secy-plandev-bih@nic.in)

## RESEARCH AND TECHNICAL TEAM

SL NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	SRI BIJAY PRATAP SINGH	DIRECTOR - EVALUATION
2.	DR. HARENDRA PRASAD	DEPUTY DIRECTOR - PLANNING
3.	SRI PRAMOD KUMAR VERMA	DEPUTY DIRECTOR – PLANNING
4.	SRI AKHILESH SINHA	DEPUTY DIRECTOR – PLANNING
5.	SRI DEEPCHAND PRASAD	DEPUTY DIRECTOR – PLANNING
6.	SRI MOHAN PRASAD	DEPUTY DIRECTOR – PLANNING
7.	DR. INDRAJEET CHAURASIA	DEPUTY DIRECTOR – PLANNING
8.	SRI BRAJESH KUMAR DAS	FACILITATOR (GOI - JOINT UN PROGRAMME ON CONVERGENCE)
9.	SRI ONKAR NATH TRIPATHI	STATE PROJECT OFFICER (GOI – JOINT UN PROGRAMME ON CONVERGENCE)
10.	SRI NIRBHAY KUMAR SINGH	DEPUTY DIRECTOR - STATISTICS
11.	SRI BIPIN BIHARI CHOUDHARY	RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PLANNING
12.	SRI SUBODH KUMAR VERMA	RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PLANNING

## CONTENTS

### PART I: STATE WISE POSITION ON SELECTED INDICATORS

SL NO	INDICATOR	PAGE NO.
<b>A. INDIA AND BIHAR AT A GLANCE</b>		15 – 16
<b>B. DEMOGRAPHY</b>		
1	POPULATION – 2001	17 – 18
2	PROJECTED POPULATION 2009	19 – 20
3	POPULATION DENSITY – 2001	21 – 22
4	POPULATION DENSITY – RURAL 2001	23 – 24
5	PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION – 2001	25 – 26
6	LITERACY RATE 2001	27 – 28
7	FEMALE LITERACY RATE 2001	29 – 30
8	GENDER GAP IN LITERACY (MALE – FEMALE) 2001	31 – 32
9	HOUSEHOLD LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSE 2005 - 06	33 – 34
10	HOUSEHOLD WITH IMPROVED SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER 2005 - 06	35 – 36
11	HOUSEHOLD HAVING TOILET FACILITY 2005 – 06	37 – 38
12	PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE 2004	39 – 40
13	CRUDE BIRTH RATE 2006	41 – 42
14	DEATH RATE 2006	43 – 44
15	INFANT MORTALITY RATE 2006	45 – 46
16	MATERNAL MORTALITY RATE 2001 - 2003	47 – 48
17	LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH 2001	49 – 50
18	LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH: MALE 2001	51 – 52
19	LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH: FEMALE 2001	53 - 54

<b>C. HEALTH SECTOR</b>		
1	PERCENTAGE OF COUPLE USING ANY METHOD OF FAMILY PLANNING 2005 – 06	55 – 56
2	PERCENTAGE OF FULLY IMMUNIZED CHILDREN (AGE 12-23 MONTHS) 2005-06	57 – 58
<b>D. ECONOMIC DATA</b>		
1	WORK PARTICIPATION RATE 2001	59 – 60
2	WORK PARTICIPATION RATE: FEMALE 2001	61 – 62
3	GROWTH RATE OF ESTABLISHMENTS 1998 – 2005	63 – 64
4	GROWTH RATE OF WORKERS 1998 – 2005	65 – 66
5	PER CAPITA NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 - 07	67 – 68
6	TOTAL EMPLOYMENT IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS PER LAKH POPULATION 2003 – 04	69 – 70
<b>E. AGRICULTURE AND ALLIED SECTOR</b>		
1	PERCENTAGE OF NON AGRICULTURAL WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001	71 – 72
2	CROPPING INTENSITY 2003-04	73 – 74
3	TOTAL YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (KG/HECTARE) 2005 – 06	75 – 76
4	AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (NET) 2003 – 04	77 – 78
5	AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (GROSS) 2003 – 04	79 – 80
6	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FOREST TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA – 2003	81 – 82
<b>F. ELECTRICITY</b>		
1	PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES – 2005	83 – 84
2	SHARE OF ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IN AGRICULTURE 2004 – 05	85 – 86
<b>G. EDUCATION SECTOR</b>		
1	NUMBER OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION 2003 – 04	87 – 88
2	CHILDREN AGED 6 – 10 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS 2001	89 – 90
3	PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP OUT RATE (GRADE I – V) 2004 – 05	91 – 92

4	PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS 2004 – 05	93 – 94
5	GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE I – V) 2004 - 05	95 – 96
6	NUMBER OF UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION 2003 – 04	97 – 98
7	CHILDREN AGED 11 – 14 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS 2001	99 – 100
8	PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS 2004 – 05	101 – 102
9	GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE VI – VIII) 2004 – 05	103 – 104

## PART II: DISTRICT WISE POSITION OF BIHAR ON SELECTED INDICATORS

SL NO	INDICATOR	PAGE NO.
A.	DIVISIONS OF BIHAR	106
B.	TABLE ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC INDICATORS	107 – 123
<b>C. DEMOGRAPHY</b>		
1	POPULATION 2001	125 – 126
2	POPULATION DENSITY 2001	127 – 128
3	AREA 2001	129 – 130
4	DECADAL GROWTH RATE OF POPULATION 2001	131 – 132
5	PERCENTAGE OF RURAL POPULATION 2001	133 – 134
6	PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION 2001	135 – 136
7	PROPORTION OF SC POPULATION 2001	137 – 138
8	SEX RATIO 2001	139 – 140
9	LITERACY RATE: 2001	141 – 142
10	FEMALE LITERACY RATE 2001	143 – 144
11	MALE LITERACY RATE 2001	145 – 146
12	GENDER GAP IN LITERACY 2001	147 – 148

<b>13</b>	TOTAL FERTILITY RATE 2001	149 – 150
<b>14</b>	CRUDE BIRTH RATE 2001	151 – 152
<b>15</b>	PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSE 2007 – 08	153 – 154
<b>16</b>	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD BELOW POVERTY LINE	155 – 156
<b>D. DISTRICT INCOME, ECONOMY AND CLASSIFICATION OF WORKERS</b>		
<b>1</b>	SHARE OF DISTRICTS IN NDSP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 – 07	157 – 158
<b>2</b>	PER CAPITA NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 – 07	159 – 160
<b>3</b>	PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS {NDDP AT CONSTANT – 1999 – 2000) PRICES (2006 – 07)}	161 – 162
<b>4</b>	PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS (NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 – 07)	163 – 164
<b>5</b>	INVESTED CAPITAL PER INDUSTRIAL WORKER 2006 – 07	165 – 166
<b>6</b>	NUMBER OF FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07	167 – 168
<b>7</b>	NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT IN FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07	169 – 170
<b>8</b>	TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS 2005	171 – 172
<b>9</b>	DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT 2005	173 – 174
<b>10</b>	DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURE ENTERPRISES 2005	175 – 176
<b>11</b>	DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NON – AGRICULTURE ENTERPRISES 2005	177 – 178
<b>13</b>	DISTRICT WISE NUMBER OF TOTAL WORKERS 2005	179 – 180
<b>14</b>	PERCENTAGE OF MAIN WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001	181 – 182
<b>15</b>	PERCENTGAE OF TOTAL WORKERS TO TOTAL POPULATION 2001	183 – 184
<b>16</b>	PERCENTGAE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN HH INDUSTRY TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001	185 – 186

<b>E. AGRICULTURE &amp; ALLIED SECTORS</b>		
<b>1</b>	PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07	187 – 188
<b>2</b>	PERCENTAGE OF BARREN AND UNCULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	189 – 190
<b>3</b>	PERCENTAGE OF CURENT FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	191 – 192
<b>4</b>	PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE WASTE LAND OTHER THAN FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	193 – 194
<b>5</b>	PERCENTAGE OF LAND UNDER MISCELLANEOUS TREE AND GROVE NOT INCLUDING IN THE NET AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	195 – 196
<b>6</b>	PERCENTAGE OF LAND PUT UNDER NON AGRICULTURAL USES TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	197 – 198
<b>7</b>	PERCENTAGE OF OTHER FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	199 – 200
<b>8</b>	PERCENTAGE OF PERMANENT PASTURE LAND AND OTHER GRAZING LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	201 – 202
<b>9</b>	PERCENTAGE OF WATER LOGGED AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006 – 07	203 – 204
<b>10</b>	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FORESTS TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07	205 – 206
<b>11</b>	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER COMMERCIAL CROPS TO GROSS CROPPED AREA 2006-07	207 – 208
<b>12</b>	PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA SOWN TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07	209 – 210
<b>13</b>	CROPPING INTENSITY 2006-07	211 – 212
<b>14</b>	PERCENTAGE OF GROSS IRRIGATED AREA TO GROSS AREA SOWN 2006-07	213 – 214
<b>15</b>	PERCENTAGE OF NET IRRIGATED AREA TO NET AREA SOWN 2006 – 07	215 – 216
<b>16</b>	CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZER IN KG/HECTARE OF GROSS AREA SOWN 2006-07	217 – 218
<b>17</b>	AVERAGE YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS 2006-07 (KG/HA)	219 – 220
<b>18</b>	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER BHADAI CROPS	221 – 222
<b>19</b>	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER AGAHANI CROPS	223 – 224
<b>20</b>	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER GARMA CROPS	225 – 226
<b>21</b>	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER RABI CROPS	227 – 228
<b>22</b>	NORMAL RAINFALL	229 - 230

<b>23</b>	ACTUAL RAINFALL 2008	231 - 232
<b>24</b>	NO. OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS AS PER AGRICULTURAL CENSUS 1995-96	233 – 234
<b>25</b>	AVERAGE SIZE OF OPERATIONAL HOLDING (IN HECTARE) 1995-96	235 – 236
<b>F. ROAD SECTOR</b>		
<b>1</b>	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PAVED APPROACH ROAD 2001	237 – 238
<b>2</b>	LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MAJOR DISTRICT ROADS (MDRs) PER LAKH POPULATION (KM) 31 <sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005	239 – 240
<b>3</b>	LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MAJOR DISTRICT ROADS (MDRs) PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA (KM) 31 <sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005	241 – 242
<b>4</b>	LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER LAKH POPULATION (KM) 2004-05	243 – 244
<b>5</b>	LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA (KM) 2004 – 05	245 – 246
<b>G. BANKING SECTOR</b>		
<b>1</b>	NUMBER OF BRANCHES OF SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS 2008 – 09	247 – 248
<b>2</b>	CREDIT DEPOSIT RATIO 2008	249 – 250
<b>H. EDUCATION SECTOR</b>		
<b>1</b>	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PRIMARY SCHOOLS FACILITY 2001	251 – 252
<b>2</b>	ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN PRIMARY 2007 – 08	253 – 254
<b>3</b>	PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (PRIMARY SCHOOLS) 2007 – 08	255 – 256
<b>4</b>	ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN UPPER PRIMARY 2007 – 08	257 – 258
<b>5</b>	PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS) 2007 – 08	259 – 260
<b>6</b>	OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 – 14 YEARS) 2008	261 – 262
<b>I. HEALTH SECTOR</b>		
<b>1</b>	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING ANY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES 2001	263 – 264
<b>2</b>	NUMBER OF HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08	265 – 266
<b>3</b>	NUMBER OF BEDS IN HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08	267 – 268
<b>4</b>	PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN HAVING COMPLETE IMMUNIZATION 2007 – 08	269 - 270



5	PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN HAVING SAFE DELIVERY 2007 – 08	271 – 272
<b>J. ANIMAL HUSBANDRY</b>		
1	DENSITY OF LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM 2003	273 - 274
2	DENSITY OF POULTRY PER SQ KM 2003	275 – 276
3	AVERAGE LIVESTOCK POPULATION SERVED PER VETERINARY HOSPITAL/DISPENSARY 2003	277 – 278
<b>K. FISHERIES</b>		
1.	DISTRICT WISE FISH PRODUCTION 2007 – 08	279 – 280
<b>L. DAIRY</b>		
1.	SHARE OF DISTRICTS IN TOTAL MILK PRODUCTION 2007 – 08	281 – 282
<b>M. ELECTRICITY</b>		
1	PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES 2001	283 – 284
<b>N. DRINKING WATER</b>		
1	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING DRINKING WATER FACILITY 2001	285 – 286
<b>O. MISCELLANEOUS</b>		
1	NUMBER OF POST OFFICES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07	287 - 288
2	NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES PER LAKH POPULATION	289 – 290

# **PART – I**

## **INDIA AND STATES**

### **STATE WISE POSITION ON SELECTED INDICATORS**

## INDIA AND BIHAR AT A GLANCE

SL NO	INDICATORS	INDIA	BIHAR
1	POPULATION – 2001	1028737436	82998509
2	PROJECTED POPULATION 2009	1160813	95026
3	DENSITY OF POPULATION – 2001	325	881
4	POPULATION DENSITY - RURAL 2001	247	805
5	PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION – 2001	27.81	10.50
6	LITERACY RATE – 2001	65	47
7	FEMALE LITERACY RATE –2001	54	33
8	GENDER GAP IN LITERACY (MALE – FEMALE) 2001	21.60	26.60
9	HOUSEHOLD LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSE 2005 – 06	46	20.00
10	HOUSEHOLD WITH IMPROVED SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER 2005 – 06	87.90	96.10
11	HOUSEHOLD HAVING TOILET FACILITY 2005 – 06	44.60	25.20
12	PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE 2004	27.50	41.40
13	CRUDE BIRTH RATE 2006	23.50	29.90
14	DEATH RATE 2006	7.50	8.10
15	INFANT MORTALITY RATE 2006	57	60
16	MATERNAL MORTALITY RATE 2006	301	371
17	LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH 2003	63.20	61.42
18	LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH: MALE 2003	61.80	62.02
19	LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH: FEMALE 2003	63.50	60.12
20	PERCENTAGE OF COUPLE USING ANY METHOD OF FAMILY PLANNING 2005 – 06	56.30	34.10
21	PERCENTAGE OF FULLY IMMUNIZED CHILDREN (AGE 12-23 MONTHS) 2005-06	43.50	32.80
22	WORK PARTICIPATION RATE 2001	39.10	33.70
23	WORK PARTICIPATION RATE: FEMALE 2001	25.60	18.80
24	GROWTH RATE OF ESTABLISHMENTS 1998 – 2005	4.69	2.31
25	GROWTH RATE OF WORKERS 1998 – 2005	2.78	0.33
26	PER CAPITA NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 - 07	25716	9214
27	TOTAL EMPLOYMENT IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS PER LAKH POPULATION 2003 – 04	2570	605
28	PERCENTAGE OF NON AGRICULTURAL WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001	40.76	22.75
29	CROPPING INTENSITY 2003-04	134.70	138
30	AVERAGE YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (KG/HA) 2005 – 06	1727	1601
31	AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (NET) 2003 – 04	55105	3433
32	AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (GROSS) 2003 – 04	76820	4567

SL NO	INDICATORS	INDIA	BIHAR
33	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FOREST TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA – 2003	20.64	6.87
34	PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES – 2005	74.10	51.28
35	SHARE OF ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IN AGRICULTURE 2004 – 05	25.33	23.09
36	NUMBER OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION 2003 – 04	69	46
37	CHILDREN AGED 6 – 10 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS 2001	68.80	42.90
38	PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP OUT RATE (GRADE I – V) 2004 – 05	29.00	51.62
39	PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS 2004 – 05	46	104
40	GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE I – V) 2004 - 05	107.80	83.80
41	NUMBER OF UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION 2003 – 04	25	12
42	CHILDREN AGED 11 – 14 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS 2001	74.60	55.60
43	PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS 2004 – 05	35	75
44	GROSS ENROLMENT RATION (GRADE VI – VIII) 2004 – 05	69.90	32.40

**INDIA**  
**POPULATION – 2001**

**STATES HAVING HIGHER POPULATION**

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	UTTAR PRADESH	166197921
2	MAHARASHTRA	96878627
3	BIHAR	82998509
4	WEST BENGAL	80176197
5	ANDHRA PRADESH	76210007

**STATES HAVING LOWER POPULATION**



SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SIKKIM	540851
2	MIZORAM	888573
3	PUDUCHERRY	974345
4	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	1097968
5	GOA	1347668

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## POPULATION – 2001

**INDIA – 1028737436**



## INDIA

### PROJECTED POPULATION – 2009

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PROJECTED POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER IN THOUSANDS
1	UTTAR PRADESH	193763
2	MAHARASHTRA	109553
3	BIHAR	95026
4	WEST BENGAL	87839
5	ANDHRA PRADESH	83178

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PROJECTED POPULATION



SL NO	NAME	NUMBER IN THOUSANDS
1	SIKKIM	598
2	MIZORAM	981
3	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	1212
4	PUDUCHERRY	1267
5	GOA	1655

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## PROJECTED POPULATION – 2009

**INDIA – 1160813**





## INDIA

### POPULATION DENSITY– 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER POPULATION DENSITY

SL NO	NAME	PERSON PER SQ KM
1	DELHI	9340
2	PUDUCHERRY	2034
3	WEST BENGAL	903
4	BIHAR	881
5	KERALA	819

#### STATES HAVING LOWER POPULATION DENSITY


SL NO	NAME	PERSON PER SQ KM
1	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	13
2	MIZORAM	42
3	JAMMU & KASHMIR	46
4	SIKKIM	76
5	MANIPUR	103

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## POPULATION DENSITY- 2001

INDIA - 325



## INDIA

### POPULATION DENSITY (RURAL) – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER RURAL POPULATION DENSITY

SL NO	NAME	PERSON PER SQ KM
1	DELHI	1692
2	PUDUCHERRY	943
3	BIHAR	805
4	WEST BENGAL	676
5	KERALA	662

#### STATES HAVING LOWER RURAL POPULATION DENSITY


SL NO	NAME	PERSON PER SQ KM
1	MIZORAM	22
2	JAMMU & KASHMIR	76
3	MANIPUR	77
4	MEGHALAYA	84
5	HIMACHAL PRADESH	99

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## POPULATION DENSITY (RURAL) – 2001

INDIA – 247



## INDIA

### URBAN POPULATION – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DELHI	93.20
2	PUDUCHERRY	66.60
3	GOA	49.80
4	MIZORAM	49.60
5	TAMIL NADU	44.00

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	HIMACHAL PRADESH	9.80
2	BIHAR	10.50
3	SIKKIM	11.10
4	ASSAM	12.90
5	ORISSA	15.00

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## URBAN POPULATION – 2001

**INDIA – 27.81**



## INDIA

### LITERACY RATE – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER LITERACY RATE

SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	KERALA	91
2	MIZORAM	89
3	DELHI	82
4	GOA	82
5	PUDUCHERRY	82

#### STATES HAVING LOWER LITERACY RATE


SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	BIHAR	47
2	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	54
3	JHARKHAND	54
4	JAMMU & KASHMIR	56
5	UTTAR PRADESH	56

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## LITERACY RATE – 2001

INDIA – 65





## INDIA

### FEMALE LITERACY RATE – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER FEMALE LITERACY RATE

SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	KERALA	88
2	MIZORAM	87
3	DELHI	75
4	GOA	75
5	PUDUCHERRY	74

#### STATES HAVING LOWER FEMALE LITERACY RATE


SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	BIHAR	33
2	JHARKHAND	39
3	UTTAR PRADESH	42
4	JAMMU & KASHMIR	43
5	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	44

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## FEMALE LITERACY RATE – 2001

INDIA –54



## INDIA

### GENDER GAP IN LITERACY (MALE – FEMALE) – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF GENDER GAP IN LITERACY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	RAJASTHAN	31.80
2	JHARKHAND	28.40
3	UTTAR PRADESH	26.60
4	BIHAR	26.60
5	MADHYA PRADESH	25.80

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF GENDER GAP IN LITERACY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	Mizoram	4.00
2	Meghalaya	5.80
3	Kerala	6.50
4	Nagaland	9.70
5	Punjab	11.90

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# INDIA

## GENDER GAP IN LITERACY (MALE – FEMALE) – 2001

**INDIA – 21.60**



## INDIA

### HOUSEHOLDS (HH) LIVING IN A PUCCA HOUSE: 2005 – 06

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF HH LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DELHI	95.00
2	KERALA	85.00
3	GOA	74.00
4	TAMIL NADU	70.00
5	PUNJAB	69.00

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF HH LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSE


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MANIPUR	11.00
2	TRIPURA	12.00
3	ASSAM	20.00
4	BIHAR	20.00
5	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	21.00

**DATA SOURCE: NATIONAL FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY 2005 – 06, MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## HOUSEHOLDS (HH) LIVING IN A PUCCA HOUSE: 2005 – 06

INDIA – 46



## INDIA

### HOUSEHOLDS (HH) WITH IMPROVED SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER: 2005 – 06

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF HH WITH IMPROVED SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PUNJAB	99.50
2	BIHAR	96.10
3	HARYANA	95.60
4	ANDHRA PRADESH	94.00
5	UTTAR PRADESH	93.70

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF HH WITH IMPROVED SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MANIPUR	52.10
2	JHARKHAND	57.00
3	NAGALAND	62.80
4	MEGHALAYA	63.10
5	KERALA	69.10

**DATA SOURCE: NATIONAL HEALTH FAMILY SURVEY 2005 -06, MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## HOUSEHOLDS (HH) WITH IMPROVED SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER: 2005 – 06

**INDIA – 87.90**





## INDIA

### HOUSEHOLDS (HH) HAVING TOILET FACILITY: 2005 – 06

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF HH HAVING TOILET FACILITY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MIZORAM	98.00
2	TRIPURA	96.70
3	KERALA	96.10
4	MANIPUR	95.60
5	DELHI	92.40

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF HH HAVING TOILET FACILITY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	CHHATTISGARH	18.70
2	ORISSA	19.30
3	JHARKHAND	22.60
4	BIHAR	25.20
5	MADHYA PRADESH	27.00

**DATA SOURCE: NATIONAL FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY 2005 – 06, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## HOUSEHOLDS (HH) HAVING TOILET FACILITY: 2005 – 06

**INDIA – 44.60**



## INDIA

### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE – 2004

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ORISSA	46.40
2	BIHAR	41.40
3	CHHATTISGARH	40.90
4	JHARKHAND	40.30
5	UTTARANCHAL	39.60

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JAMMU & KASHMIR	5.40
2	PUNJAB	8.40
3	HIMACHAL PRADESH	10.00
4	MIZORAM	12.60
5	GOA	13.80

**DATA SOURCE: PLANNING COMMISSION – 2004. GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE – 2004

**INDIA – 27.5**



## INDIA

### CRUDE BIRTH RATE – 2006

#### STATES WITH HIGHER CRUDE BIRTH RATE

SL NO	NAME	PER THOUSAND POPULATION
1	UTTAR PRADESH	30.10
2	BIHAR	29.90
3	MADHYA PRADESH	29.10
4	RAJASTHAN	28.30
5	CHHATTISGARH	26.90

#### STATES WITH LOWER CRUDE BIRTH RATE


SL NO	NAME	PER THOUSAND POPULATION
1	MANIPUR	13.40
2	KERALA	14.90
3	GOA	15.10
4	PUDUCHERRY	15.70
5	TAMIL NADU	16.20

**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM – 2006, BULLETIN VOL. 42, NO. 1 OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## CRUDE BIRTH RATE – 2006

**INDIA –23.5**



## INDIA

### DEATH RATE – 2006

#### STATES WITH HIGHER DEATH RATE

SL NO	NAME	PER THOUSAND POPULATION
1	ORISSA	9.30
2	MADHYA PRADESH	8.90
3	ASSAM	8.70
4	UTTAR PRADESH	8.60
5	CHHATTISGARH	8.10

#### STATES WITH LOWER DEATH RATE


SL NO	NAME	PER THOUSAND POPULATION
1	MANIPUR	4.50
2	DELHI	4.70
3	NAGALAND	4.80
4	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	5.00
5	MIZORAM	5.50

**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM – 2006, BULLETIN VOL. 42, NO. 1 OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## DEATH RATE – 2006

INDIA – 7.5





## INDIA

### INFANT MORTALITY RATE –2006

#### STATES WITH HIGHER INFANT MORTALITY RATE

SL NO	NAME	DEATHS PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS
1	MADHYA PRADESH	74
2	ORISSA	73
3	UTTAR PRADESH	71
4	ASSAM	67
5	RAJASTHAN	67

#### STATES WITH LOWER INFANT MORTALITY RATE



SL NO	NAME	DEATHS PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS
1	MANIPUR	11
2	GOA	15
3	KERALA	15
4	NAGALAND	20
5	MIZORAM	25

**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM – 2006, BULLETIN VOL. 42, NO. 1 OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## INFANT MORTALITY RATE –2006

INDIA –57



## INDIA

### MATERNAL MORTALITY RATE: 2001 -03

#### STATES WITH HIGHER MATERNAL MORTALITY RATE

SL NO	NAME	DEATHS PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS
1	UTTAR PRADESH	517
2	ASSAM	490
3	RAJASTHAN	445
4	MADHYA PRADESH	379
5	BIHAR	371

#### STATES WITH LOWER MATERNAL MORTALITY RATE


SL NO	NAME	DEATHS PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS
1	KERALA	110
2	TAMIL NADU	134
3	MAHARASHTRA	149
4	HARYANA	162
5	GUJARAT	172

**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM, SPECIAL SURVEY OF DEATHS 2001-2003, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## MATERNAL MORTALITY RATE: 2001 - 03

**INDIA – 301**



## INDIA

### LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH – 2003

#### STATES WITH HIGHER LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH

SL NO	NAME	YEARS
1	KERALA	73.90
2	PUNJAB	69.20
3	MAHARASHTRA	66.90
4	HIMACHAL PRADESH	66.80
5	TAMIL NADU	66.00

#### STATES WITH LOWER LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH

SL NO	NAME	YEARS
1	MADHYA PRADESH	57.70
2	ASSAM	58.70
3	ORISSA	59.20
4	UTTAR PRADESH	59.80
5	BIHAR	61.40


**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM REPORT 2001-2005, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE OF BIHAR, MP AND UP INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF JHARKHAND, CHHATISGARH AND UTTARAKHAND RESPECTIVELY**

# INDIA

## LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH – 2003

**INDIA – 63.2**



## INDIA

### LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH (MALE) – 2003

#### STATES WITH HIGHER LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH – MALE

SL NO	NAME	YEARS
1	KERALA	71.30
2	PUNJAB	68.10
3	HIMACHAL PRADESH	66.30
4	MAHARASHTRA	65.80
5	HARYANA	65.60

#### STATES WITH LOWER LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH – MALE

SL NO	NAME	YEARS
1	MADHYA PRADESH	57.8
2	ASSAM	58.3
3	ORISSA	59.2
4	UTTAR PRADESH	60.1
5	RAJASTHAN	61.2


**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM REPORT 2001-2005, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE OF BIHAR, MP AND UP INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF JHARKHAND, CHHATISGARH AND UTTARAKHAND RESPECTIVELY.**

# INDIA

## LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH (MALE) – 2003

**INDIA – 62.3**





## INDIA

### LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH (FEMALE) – 2003

#### STATES WITH HIGHER LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH – FEMALE

SL NO	NAME	YEARS
1	KERALA	76.30
2	PUNJAB	70.10
3	MAHARASHTRA	68.10
4	HIMACHAL PRADESH	67.10
5	TAMIL NADU	67.10

#### STATES WITH LOWER LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH – FEMALE

SL NO	NAME	VALUE
1	MADHYA PRADESH	57.50
2	ASSAM	59.00
3	ORISSA	59.20
4	UTTAR PRADESH	59.30
5	BIHAR	60.10


**DATA SOURCE: SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM REPORT 2001-2005, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND CENSUS COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE OF BIHAR, MP AND UP INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF JHARKHAND, CHHATISGARH AND UTTARAKHAND RESPECTIVELY.**

# INDIA

## LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH (FEMALE) – 2003

**INDIA – 63.9**



## INDIA

### PERCENTAGE OF COUPLE USING ANY METHOD OF FAMILY PLANNING: 2005 – 06

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF COUPLE USING ANY METHOD OF FAMILY PLANNING

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	HIMACHAL PRADESH	72.60
2	WEST BENGAL	71.20
3	TRIPURA	68.70
4	KERALA	68.60
5	ANDHRA PRADESH	67.60

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF COUPLE USING ANY METHOD OF FAMILY PLANNING


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NAGALAND	20.70
2	MEGHALAYA	24.30
3	BIHAR	34.10
4	JHARKHAND	35.70
5	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	43.20

**DATA SOURCE: NATIONAL FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY, 2005 – 06, MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## PERCENTAGE OF COUPLE USING ANY METHOD OF FAMILY PLANNING: 2005 – 06

**INDIA – 56.3**



## INDIA

### PERCENTAGE OF FULLY IMMUNIZED CHILDREN (AGE 12 – 23 MONTHS): 2005-06

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF FULLY IMMUNIZED CHILDREN

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	TAMIL NADU	80.90
2	GOA	78.60
3	KERALA	75.30
4	HIMACHAL PRADESH	74.20
5	SIKKIM	69.60

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF FULLY IMMUNIZED CHILDREN


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NAGALAND	21.00
2	UTTAR PRADESH	23.00
3	RAJASTHAN	26.50
4	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	28.40
5	ASSAM	31.40

**DATA SOURCE: NATIONAL FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY 2005 – 06, MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## PERCENTAGE OF FULLY IMMUNIZED CHILDREN (AGE 12 – 23 MONTHS): 2005-06

**INDIA – 43.50**



## INDIA

### WORK PARTICIPATION RATE – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER WORK PARTICIPATION RATE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MIZORAM	52.60
2	HIMACHAL PRADESH	49.20
3	SIKKIM	48.60
4	CHHATTISGARH	46.50
5	ANDHRA PRADESH	45.80

#### STATES HAVING LOWER WORK PARTICIPATION RATE


SL NO	NAME	VALUE
1	KERALA	32.30
2	UTTAR PRADESH	32.50
3	DELHI	32.80
4	BIHAR	33.70
5	PUDUCHERRY	35.20

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA, 2001**

# INDIA

## WORK PARTICIPATION RATE – 2001

**INDIA –39.1**





## INDIA

### WORK PARTICIPATION RATE (FEMALE) – 2001

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER WORK PARTICIPATION RATE - FEMALE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MIZORAM	47.50
2	HIMACHAL PRADESH	43.70
3	CHHATTISGARH	40.00
4	MANIPUR	39.00
5	SIKKIM	38.60

#### STATES HAVING LOWER WORK PARTICIPATION RATE - FEMALE


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DELHI	9.40
2	KERALA	15.40
3	UTTAR PRADESH	16.50
4	PUDUCHERRY	17.20
5	WEST BENGAL	18.30

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA, 2001**

# INDIA

## WORK PARTICIPATION RATE (FEMALE) – 2001

**INDIA –25.6**



km 263 526 789 1052 1315

## INDIA

### GROWTH RATE OF ESTABLISHMENTS: 1998 – 2005

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER GROWTH OF ESTABLISHMENTS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MIZORAM	9.71
2	TRIPURA	8.88
3	KERALA	8.69
4	TAMIL NADU	8.44
5	ASSAM	7.49

#### STATES HAVING LOWER GROWTH OF ESTABLISHMENTS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	GOA	0.37
2	MADHYA PRADESH	1.23
3	DELHI	1.43
4	PUDUCHERRY	2.12
5	BIHAR	2.31

**DATA SOURCE: ECONOMIC CENSUS 2005, MINISTRY OF STATISTICS & IMPLEMENTATION,  
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## GROWTH RATE OF ESTABLISHMENTS: 1998 – 2005

**INDIA – 4.69**



## INDIA

### GROWTH RATE OF WORKERS: 1998 - 2005

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER GROWTH RATE OF WORKERS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JAMMU & KASHMIR	6.82
2	ANDHRA PRADESH	5.87
3	KERALA	5.86
4	HARYANA	5.35
5	SIKKIM	5.33

#### STATES HAVING LOWER GROWTH RATE OF WORKERS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JHARKHAND	-0.53
2	NAGALAND	0.04
3	ASSAM	0.09
4	MADHYA PRADESH	0.19
5	BIHAR	0.23

**DATA SOURCE: ECONOMIC CENSUS 2005, MINISTRY OF STATISTICS & IMPLEMENTATION,  
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## GROWTH RATE OF WORKERS: 1998 – 2005

**INDIA – 2.78**



## INDIA

### PER CAPITA NET STATE DOMESTIC PRODUCT (NSDP) AT CURRENT PRICES: 2006 - 07

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER VALUE ON PER CAPITA NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES

SL NO	NAME	RS
1	GOA	70112
2	DELHI	61676
3	PUDUCHERRY	52669
4	HARYANA	49038
5	PUNJAB	40566

#### STATES HAVING LOWER VALUE ON PER CAPITA NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES


SL NO	NAME	VALUE
1	HIMACHAL PRADESH	3678
2	BIHAR	9214
3	UTTAR PRADESH	14685
4	MADHYA PRADESH	16578
5	RAJASTHAN	19512

**DATA SOURCE: NATIONAL ACCOUNTS DIVISION, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION,  
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## PER CAPITA NET STATE DOMESTIC PRODUCT (NSDP) AT CURRENT PRICES: 2006 - 07

**INDIA – 25716**





## INDIA

### TOTAL EMPLOYMENT IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS PER LAKH POPULATION: 2003 - 04

#### STATES WITH HIGHER EMPLOYMENT IN PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTORS PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	DELHI	6057
2	PUDUCHERRY	5791
3	HIMACHAL PRADESH	4890
4	MIZORAM	4668
5	ASSAM	4116

#### STATES HAVING LOWER EMPLOYMENT IN PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTORS PER LAKH POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	BIHAR	635
2	UTTAR PRADESH	1278
3	CHHATTISGARH	1627
4	MADHYA PRADESH	1819
5	ORISSA	2036

**DATA SOURCE: STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF INDIA 2005 AND 2006 42<sup>ND</sup> EDITION, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION**

# INDIA

## TOTAL EMPLOYMENT IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS PER LAKH POPULATION: 2003 – 04

INDIA –2570



## INDIA

### PERCENTAGE OF NON-AGRICULTURE WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS – 2001

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF NON – AGRICULTURE WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DELHI	98.83
2	GOA	83.51
3	PUDUCHERRY	75.72
4	KERALA	65.12
5	PUNJAB	61.05

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF NON – AGRICULTURE WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BIHAR	22.75
2	CHHATTISGARH	23.53
3	MADHYA PRADESH	28.51
4	HIMACHAL PRADESH	31.53
5	NAGALAND	31.62

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA, 2001**

# INDIA

## PERCENTAGE OF NON-AGRICULTURE WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS – 2001

**INDIA – 40.76**



km 263 526 789 1052 1315

## INDIA

### CROPPING INTENSITY: 2003 – 04

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER CROPPING INTENSITY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PUNJAB	186.90
2	PUDUCHERRY	181.10
3	HARYANA	180.80
4	WEST BENGAL	175.80
5	HIMACHAL PRADESH	174.60

#### STATES HAVING LOWER CROPPING INTENSITY


SL NO	NAME	VALUE
1	MANIPUR	100.00
2	MIZORAM	100.00
3	SIKKIM	109.80
4	TAMIL NADU	113.40
5	KARNATAKA	116.30

**DATA SOURCE: MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, 2003 -04**

# INDIA

## CROPPING INTENSITY: 2003 – 04

**INDIA – 135.30**



## INDIA

### TOTAL YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (KGS PER HECTARE): 2003 – 04

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (TOTAL)

SL NO	NAME	KG/HECTARE
1	PUNJAB	3986
2	DELHI	3175
3	HARYANA	3045
4	GOA	2509
5	WEST BENGAL	2423

#### STATES HAVING LOWER YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (TOTAL)



SL NO	NAME	KG/HECTARE
1	RAJASTHAN	919
2	MAHARASHTRA	948
3	JHARKHAND	1077
4	CHHATTISGARH	1111
5	MADHYA PRADESH	1130

**DATA SOURCE: MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## TOTAL YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (KGS PER HECTARE): 2003 – 04

### INDIA -1727





## INDIA

### AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (NET) IN THOUSAND HECTARES: 2003 – 04

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (NET)

SL NO	NAME	THOUSAND HECTARES
1	UTTAR PRADESH	12391
2	MADHYA PRADESH	5631
3	RAJASTHAN	5239
4	PUNJAB	4046
5	ANDHRA PRADESH	3634

#### STATES HAVING LOWER AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (NET)

SL NO	NAME	THOUSAND HECTARES
1	SIKKIM	9
2	MIZORAM	16
3	PUDUCHERRY	17
4	GOA	24
5	DELHI	25


**DATA SOURCE: MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, 2003 -04**

**NOTE: FOR SIKKIM - FORECAST DATA HAS BEEN UTILIZED IN ESTIMATING GROSS CROPPED AREA.**

# INDIA

## AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (NET) IN THOUSAND HECTARES: 2003 – 04

INDIA – 55105



## INDIA

### AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (GROSS) IN THOUSAND HECTARES: 2003 – 04

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (GROSS)

SL NO	NAME	THOUSAND HECTARES
1	UTTAR PRADESH	17931
2	PUNJAB	7661
3	RAJASTHAN	6393
4	MADHYA PRADESH	5776
5	HARYANA	5343

#### STATES HAVING LOWER AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (GROSS)


SL NO	NAME	THOUSAND HECTARES
1	SIKKIM	15
2	MIZORAM	18
3	DELHI	31
4	PUDUCHERRY	31
5	GOA	40

**DATA SOURCE: MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, 2003 -04**

# INDIA

## AREA UNDER IRRIGATION (GROSS) IN THOUSAND HECTARES: 2003 – 04

**INDIA – 76820**



## INDIA

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FORESTS TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA – 2003

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF FOREST COVER

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MIZORAM	87.42
2	NAGALAND	82.09
3	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	81.22
4	TRIPURA	77.18
5	MANIPUR	77.11

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF FOREST COVER


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PUNJAB	3.14
2	HARYANA	3.43
3	RAJASTHAN	4.62
4	UTTAR PRADESH	5.86
5	BIHAR	5.90

**DATA SOURCE: STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF INDIA 2005 AND 2006 42<sup>ND</sup> EDITION, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION**

# INDIA

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FORESTS TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA – 2003

**INDIA –20.64**



## INDIA

### PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES – 2005

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DELHI	100
2	GOA	100
3	HARYANA	100
4	KERALA	100
5	PUDUCHERRY	100

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JHARKHAND	31.47
2	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	48.33
3	BIHAR	51.28
4	ORISSA	55.20
5	TRIPURA	57.23

**DATA SOURCE: STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF INDIA 2005 AND 2006 42<sup>ND</sup> EDITION, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION**

# INDIA

## PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES – 2005

**INDIA – 74.10**





## INDIA

### SHARE OF ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IN AGRICULTURE: 2004-05

#### STATES WITH HIGHER SHARE OF AGRICULTURE IN ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	GUJARAT	46.48
2	HARYANA	42.66
3	ANDHRA PRADESH	40.75
4	KARNATAKA	38.01
5	MADHYA PRADESH	31.59

#### STATES WITH LOWER SHARE OF AGRICULTURE IN ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MEGHALAYA	0.07
2	NAGALAND	0.08
3	MANIPUR	0.43
4	ASSAM	0.52
5	HIMACHAL PRADESH	0.77

**DATA SOURCE AGRICULTURE ABSTRACT AT A GLANCE 2006 – 07, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE & COOPERATION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## SHARE OF ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IN AGRICULTURE: 2004-05

**INDIA -25.33**



## INDIA

### NUMBER OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION: 2003 – 04

#### STATES WITH HIGHER NUMBER OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	MEGHALAYA	252
2	HIMACHAL PRADESH	181
3	MIZORAM	169
4	UTTARANCHAL	168
5	CHHATTISGARH	156

#### STATES WITH LOWER NUMBER OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	GUJARAT	14
2	DELHI	15
3	KERALA	21
4	PUDUCHERRY	34
5	MAHARASHTRA	43

**DATA SOURCE: STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF INDIA 2005 AND 2006 42<sup>ND</sup> EDITION, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION**

# INDIA

## NUMBER OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION: 2003 – 04

### INDIA – 69



## INDIA

### CHILDREN AGED 6-10 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOL – 2001

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN AGED 6 – 10 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PUDUCHERRY	93.50
2	KERALA	93.00
3	TAMIL NADU	89.40
4	HIMACHAL PRADESH	89.20
5	GOA	89.00

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN AGED 6 – 10 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BIHAR	42.90
2	MEGHALAYA	52.40
3	JHARKHAND	53.60
4	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	54.00
5	UTTAR PRADESH	60.10

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA, 2001**

# INDIA

## CHILDREN AGED 6-10 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOL – 2001

**INDIA – 68.80**



## INDIA

### PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP OUT RATE (GRADE I – V): 2004 – 05

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP OUT RATE (GRADE I – V)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	RAJASTHAN	56.60
2	BIHAR	51.60
3	ASSAM	50.10
4	MEGHALAYA	50.00
5	MIZORAM	49.80

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP OUT RATE (GRADE I – V)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DELHI	0.00
2	KERALA	0.00
3	PUDUCHERRY	0.00
4	TAMIL NADU	0.90
5	GOA	2.40


**DATA SOURCE: SELECTED EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, 2004-2005, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION STATISTICS DIVISION, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

**NOTE: BIHAR, MP AND UP INCLUDES THE FIGURES OF JHARKHAND, CHHATISGARH AND UTTARAKHAND RESPECTIVELY.**

# INDIA

## PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP OUT RATE (GRADE I – V): 2004 – 05

**INDIA – 29.00**





## INDIA

### PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN PRIMARY SCHOOL: 2004 – 05

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS

SL NO	NAME	RATIO
1	BIHAR	104
2	JHARKHAND	81
3	UTTAR PRADESH	58
4	TRIPURA	54
5	WEST BENGAL	54

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS


SL NO	NAME	RATIO
1	MIZORAM	17
2	NAGALAND	19
3	GOA	21
4	SIKKIM	22
5	HIMACHAL PRADESH	24

**DATA SOURCE: SELECTED EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, 2004-2005, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION STATISTICS DIVISION, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, NEW DELHI 2005**

# INDIA

## PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN PRIMARY SCHOOL: 2004 – 05

INDIA – 46



## INDIA

### GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE I – V): 2004 – 05

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE I – V)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MANIPUR	151.70
2	MEGHALAYA	147.60
3	SIKKIM	143.60
4	MADHYA PRADESH	132.20
5	CHHATTISGARH	131.80

#### STATES HAVING LOWER GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE I – V)


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PUNJAB	77.20
2	HARYANA	82.20
3	JAMMU & KASHMIR	83.70
4	BIHAR	83.80
5	NAGALAND	87.90

**DATA SOURCE: SELECTED EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, 2004-2005, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION STATISTICS DIVISION, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE I – V): 2004 – 05

**INDIA – 107.80**



## INDIA

### NUMBER OF UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION: 2003-04

#### STATES WITH HIGHER NUMBER OF UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	MIZORAM	102
2	MEGHALAYA	67
3	GUJARAT	60
4	MADHYA PRADESH	51
5	KARNATAKA	48

#### STATES WITH LOWER NUMBER OF UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	WEST BENGAL	2
2	DELHI	5
3	GOA	6
4	KERALA	9
5	HARYANA	10

**DATA SOURCE: STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF INDIA 2005 AND 2006 42<sup>ND</sup> EDITION, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION**

# INDIA

## NUMBER OF UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS PER LAKH POPULATION: 2003-04

### INDIA - 25



## INDIA

### CHILDREN AGED 11-14 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS – 2001

#### STATES WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN AGED 11 – 14 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KERALA	97.10
2	HIMACHAL PRADESH	94.40
3	PUDUCHERRY	92.60
4	GOA	91.80
5	MAHARASHTRA	87.80

#### STATES WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN AGED 11 – 14 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BIHAR	55.60
2	JHARKHAND	64.20
3	ASSAM	68.70
4	MEGHALAYA	69.00
5	UTTAR PRADESH	69.10

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA, 2001**

# INDIA

## CHILDREN AGED 11-14 YEARS ATTENDING SCHOOLS – 2001

**INDIA – 74.60**





## INDIA

### PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL: 2004 – 05

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS

SL NO	NAME	RATIO
1	BIHAR	75
2	JHARKHAND	61
3	CHHATTISGARH	46
4	ORISSA	44
5	WEST BENGAL	44

#### STATES HAVING LOWER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS


SL NO	NAME	RATIO
1	MIZORAM	8
2	TRIPURA	15
3	ASSAM	16
4	JAMMU & KASHMIR	16
5	MEGHALAYA	16

**DATA SOURCE: SELECTED EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, 2004-2005, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION STATISTICS DIVISION, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## PUPIL TEACHER RATIO IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL: 2004 – 05

### INDIA – 35



## INDIA

### GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE VI – VIII): 2004 – 05

#### STATES HAVING HIGHER GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE VI – VIII)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	HIMACHAL PRADESH	108.50
2	PUDUCHERRY	108.20
3	TAMIL NADU	107.00
4	GOA	100.60
5	KERALA	98.20

#### STATES HAVING LOWER GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE VI – VIII)


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BIHAR	32.40
2	JHARKHAND	43.40
3	UTTAR PRADESH	52.40
4	NAGALAND	55.60
5	JAMMU & KASHMIR	60.30

**DATA SOURCE: SELECTED EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, 2004-2005, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION STATISTICS DIVISION, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**

# INDIA

## GROSS ENROLMENT RATIO (GRADE VI – VIII): 2004 – 05

**INDIA – 69.90**




## **PART – II**

### **BIHAR AND DISTRICTS**

#### **DISTRICT WISE POSITION ON SELECTED INDICATORS**

## DIVISIONS OF BIHAR



## DISTRICT WISE DATA ON SELECTED INDICATORS

DISTRICT	POPULATION 2001	POPULATION DENSITY 2001	AREA 2001	DECADAL GROWTH RATE OF POPULATION 2001	PERCENTAGE OF RURAL POPULATION 2001
ARARIA	2158608	763	2830	33.90	93.87
AURANGABAD	2013055	609	3305	30.70	91.55
BANKA	1608773	533	3020	24.50	96.49
BEGUSARAI	2349366	1225	1918	29.50	95.42
BHAGALPUR	2423172	943	2569	26.90	81.33
BHOJPUR	2243144	937	2395	25.10	86.07
BUXAR	1402396	823	1703	28.90	90.80
DARBHANGA	3295789	1446	2279	31.30	91.89
GAYA	3473428	698	4976	30.30	86.30
GOPALGANJ	2152638	1059	2033	26.30	93.93
JAMUI	1398796	452	3098	33.00	92.62
JEHANABAD	1514315	965	1569	28.90	92.63
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	1289074	383	3362	31.10	96.76
KATIHAR	2392638	783	3057	31.10	90.88
KHAGARIA	1280354	862	1486	29.70	94.04
KISHANGANJ	1296348	688	1884	31.70	90.05
LAKHISARAI	802225	653	1228	24.10	85.32
MADHEPURA	1526646	854	1788	29.60	95.55
MADHUBANI	3575281	1021	3501	26.20	96.52
MUNGER	1137797	802	1419	20.60	72.06
MUZAFFARPUR	3746714	1181	3172	26.80	90.70
NALANDA	2370528	1007	2355	18.70	85.08
NAWADA	1809696	726	2494	33.10	92.35
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	3043466	582	5228	30.40	89.83
PATNA	4718592	1474	3202	30.40	58.53
PURBA CHAMPARAN	3939773	993	3968	29.50	93.63
PURNIA	2543942	788	3229	35.40	91.26
ROHTAS	2450748	636	3851	27.80	86.67
SAHARSA	1508182	894	1687	33.20	91.70
SAMASTIPUR	3394793	1169	2904	24.90	96.36
SARAN	3248701	1230	2641	26.30	90.81
SHEIKHPURA	525502	763	689	25.00	84.53
SHEOHAR	515961	1478	349	36.60	95.88
SITAMARHI	2682720	1169	2294	33.20	94.29
SIWAN	2714349	1223	2219	25.00	94.49
SUPAUL	1732578	714	2425	29.00	94.91
VAISHALI	2718421	1335	2036	26.70	93.13

DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION 2001	PROPORTION OF SC POPULATION 2001	SEX RATIO 2001	LITERACY RATE 2001	FEMALE LITERACY RATE 2001
ARARIA	6.13	13.60	914	35.01	22.37
AURANGABAD	8.45	23.50	934	57.03	41.90
BANKA	3.51	12.40	908	42.73	28.67
BEGUSARAI	4.58	14.50	912	47.98	35.64
BHAGALPUR	18.67	10.50	876	49.50	38.13
BHOJPUR	13.93	15.30	902	58.96	41.80
BUXAR	9.20	14.10	899	56.80	39.88
DARBHANGA	8.11	15.50	914	44.33	30.78
GAYA	13.70	29.60	938	50.45	36.66
GOPALGANJ	6.07	12.40	1001	47.48	32.16
JAMUI	7.38	17.40	918	42.43	26.32
JEHANABAD	7.37	18.90	929	55.26	39.37
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	3.24	22.20	902	55.12	38.83
KATIHAR	9.12	8.70	919	35.09	23.80
KHAGARIA	5.96	14.50	885	41.35	29.35
KISHANGANJ	9.95	6.60	936	31.09	18.63
LAKHISARAI	14.68	15.80	921	47.96	33.96
MADHEPURA	4.45	17.10	915	36.07	22.11
MADHUBANI	3.48	13.50	942	41.97	26.25
MUNGER	27.94	13.30	872	59.47	47.40
MUZAFFARPUR	9.30	15.90	920	47.95	35.81
NALANDA	14.92	20.00	914	53.19	38.58
NAWADA	7.65	24.10	946	46.83	32.17
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	10.17	14.30	901	38.93	25.23
PATNA	41.57	15.50	873	62.92	50.83
PURBA CHAMPARAN	6.37	13.00	897	37.54	24.27
PURNIA	8.74	12.30	915	35.10	23.42
ROHTAS	13.33	18.10	909	61.29	45.71
SAHARSA	8.30	16.10	911	39.08	25.27
SAMASTIPUR	3.64	18.50	928	45.13	31.67
SARAN	9.19	12.00	966	51.80	35.82
SHEIKHPURA	15.47	19.70	918	48.60	33.92
SHEOHAR	4.12	14.40	885	35.27	23.86
SITAMARHI	5.71	11.80	892	38.46	26.13
SIWAN	5.51	11.40	1031	51.65	36.88
SUPAUL	5.09	14.80	920	37.28	20.81
VAISHALI	6.87	20.70	920	50.49	36.59



DISTRICT	MALE LITERACY RATE 2001	GENDER GAP IN LITERACY 2001	TOTAL FERTILITY RATE 2001	CRUDE BIRTH RATE 2001	PERCENTAGE OF HH LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSE 2007 – 08
ARARIA	46.38	24.00	4.90	36.20	5.40
AURANGABAD	71.13	29.20	4.30	32.30	17.20
BANKA	55.29	26.60	4.80	33.80	10.00
BEGUSARAI	59.13	23.50	4.80	34.00	13.50
BHAGALPUR	59.22	21.10	4.50	31.90	24.70
BHOJPUR	74.29	32.50	4.20	30.10	27.60
BUXAR	71.92	32.00	4.40	31.70	24.90
DARBHANGA	56.72	25.90	4.50	33.10	12.50
GAYA	63.27	26.60	4.40	33.20	17.70
GOPALGANJ	62.96	30.80	4.40	31.90	27.60
JAMUI	57.06	30.70	4.50	32.80	9.60
JEHANABAD	70.07	30.70	4.10	32.00	13.10
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	69.67	30.80	4.80	34.40	15.40
KATIHAR	45.31	21.50	5.30	38.20	7.70
KHAGARIA	51.82	22.50	5.10	35.10	9.50
KISHANGANJ	42.71	24.10	5.30	39.00	6.60
LAKHISARAI	60.74	26.80	4.70	33.80	23.20
MADHEPURA	48.80	26.70	4.80	36.70	6.40
MADHUBANI	56.79	30.50	4.30	33.30	8.90
MUNGER	69.89	22.50	4.00	29.00	26.40
MUZAFFARPUR	59.10	23.30	4.60	32.70	18.00
NALANDA	66.44	27.90	4.20	31.20	29.80
NAWADA	60.58	28.40	4.30	33.30	24.40
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	51.08	25.90	5.00	35.70	9.00
PATNA	73.34	22.50	3.90	28.40	37.40
PURBA CHAMPARAN	49.31	25.00	4.90	34.80	10.70
PURNIA	45.63	22.20	5.00	37.60	8.70
ROHTAS	75.31	29.60	4.50	32.10	25.20
SAHARSA	51.66	26.40	4.60	35.50	10.80
SAMASTIPUR	57.59	25.90	4.90	34.80	7.60
SARAN	67.30	31.50	4.70	32.60	12.40
SHEIKHPURA	61.94	28.00	4.70	34.30	25.20
SHEOHAR	45.28	21.40	5.10	35.80	7.00
SITAMARHI	49.36	23.20	5.10	36.30	11.40
SIWAN	67.26	30.40	4.60	32.90	24.40
SUPAUL	52.42	31.60	4.70	36.20	4.50
VAISHALI	63.23	26.60	4.60	31.90	14.00

DISTRICT	NO OF HH BELOW POVERTY LINE	SHARE OF DISTRICTS IN NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 – 07	PER CAPITA NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 – 07	PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTOR {NDDP AT CONSTANT – 1999 – 2000) PRICES (2006 – 07)}	PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTOR (NDDP AT CURRENT PRICE – 2006 – 07)
ARARIA	304793	1.52	5625	26643	30372
AURANGABAD	226526	1.83	7351	32972	40089
BANKA	206944	1.30	6691	36420	41706
BEGUSARAI	319388	3.40	11759	22847	26266
BHAGALPUR	279170	3.25	11003	26590	30136
BHOJPUR	256221	2.24	8267	29144	35047
BUXAR	170332	1.30	7544	31835	38074
DARBHANGA	371134	2.79	6825	19906	22352
GAYA	360848	3.52	8203	25105	29828
GOPALGANJ	265491	1.88	7198	30979	36078
JAMUI	195234	1.02	5852	22571	26980
JEHANABAD	176532	0.62	6596	23880	28782
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	187599	1.16	7257	35844	43102
KATI HAR	295576	2.18	7335	28918	33572
KHAGARIA	233715	1.22	7705	33229	37225
KISHANGANJ	262574	1.12	6926	33057	38952
LAKHISARAI	76706	0.84	8847	29084	35652
MADHEPURA	235255	1.13	6007	32911	37664
MADHUBANI	662909	2.85	6573	31566	33091
MUNGER	136619	1.71	12691	26723	31755
MUZAFFARPUR	596244	4.27	9361	26979	30182
NALANDA	330943	2.15	7749	25719	30865
NAWADA	193116	1.40	6166	24036	28470
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	480386	3.21	8518	45601	50522
PATNA	403781	26.85	46027	18043	21810
PURBA CHAMPARAN	594443	3.08	6351	32226	34221
PURNIA	482114	2.18	6774	27802	32518
ROHTAS	282017	2.78	9274	36236	42705
SAHARSA	212465	1.44	7614	29509	34482
SAMASTIPUR	459922	3.28	8014	37712	39553
SARAN	405443	2.59	6570	19102	22898
SHEIKHPURA	59492	0.44	6648	24784	29720
SHEOHAR	80569	0.30	4628	24186	26815
SITAMARHI	444998	2.01	5981	24045	26533
SIWAN	315461	2.63	8026	40067	40670
SUPAUL	305815	1.35	6361	34241	38763
VAISHALI	470215	2.53	7664	29632	32856

DISTRICT	INVESTED CAPITAL PER INDUSTRIAL WORKER 2006 – 07	NO OF FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07	NO OF EMPLOYMENT IN FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07	TOTAL NO ESTABLISHMENTS 2005	DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ESTABLISHMENTS 2005
ARARIA	8289.68	5.80	12.20	28083	2.30
AURANGABAD	13162.24	10.20	20.80	31153	2.55
BANKA	9238.88	5.40	18.20	20378	1.67
BEGUSARAI	17528.56	10.50	23.10	31206	2.55
BHAGALPUR	17560.2	8.30	23.10	39233	3.20
BHOJPUR	9080.68	7.70	12.50	29672	2.42
BUXAR	8258.04	11.00	18.60	15963	1.30
DARBHANGA	15345.04	6.30	14.70	46937	3.83
GAYA	42044.28	16.40	65.00	48560	3.46
GOPALGANJ	6612.76	5.80	9.50	30943	2.52
JAMUI	6581.12	8.20	14.90	13977	1.15
JEHANABAD	5236.42	11.50	21.00	19919	1.62
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	14680.96	13.00	35.70	22488	1.84
KATIHAR	21894.88	10.20	28.80	32960	2.69
KHAGARIA	6802.6	10.30	16.50	17660	1.45
KISHANGANJ	4840.92	7.00	11.80	15231	1.25
LAKHISARAI	4682.72	10.20	18.50	12844	1.05
MADHEPURA	8004.92	9.60	16.86	15298	1.26
MADHUBANI	12086.48	5.70	10.60	42672	3.48
MUNGER	4524.52	6.00	12.40	21817	1.79
MUZAFFARPUR	24710.84	8.50	20.80	45612	3.72
NALANDA	13763.4	7.80	18.00	46965	3.67
NAWADA	8068.2	5.60	14.20	24639	2.01
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	13731.76	8.40	14.20	52195	4.26
PATNA	85678.42	13.80	36.60	101524	8.29
PURBA CHAMPARAN	15978.02	6.30	12.80	50542	4.12
PURNIA	10947.44	5.70	13.60	34698	2.84
ROHTAS	17022.32	5.90	21.50	46172	3.77
SAHARSA	10789.24	9.70	22.70	20548	1.68
SAMASTIPUR	16326.24	6.00	15.20	63303	5.16
SARAN	11801.72	8.70	11.50	49681	4.06
SHEIKHPURA	2721.04	8.10	15.60	9718	0.79
SHEOHAR	4144.84	15.00	26.20	6806	0.55
SITAMARHI	12719.28	5.80	14.80	26762	2.18
SIWAN	16579.36	9.70	19.40	42079	3.43
SUPAUL	5252.24	4.50	9.70	21849	1.78
VAISHALI	17813.32	12.30	20.8	46565	3.80

DISTRICT	DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURE ESTABLISHMENTS 2005	DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NON – AGRICULTURE ESTABLISHMENTS 2005	DISTRICT WISE NUMBER OF TOTAL WORKERS 2005	PERCENTAGE OF MAIN WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WORKERS TO TOTAL POPULATION 2001
ARARIA	1.58	2.32	48331	72.27	39.50
AURANGABAD	2.34	2.55	52489	71.00	33.30
BANKA	0.05	1.71	29687	63.71	39.60
BEGUSARAI	8.92	2.36	53433	76.61	31.80
BHAGALPUR	3.50	3.19	81354	67.89	35.30
BHOJPUR	4.40	2.36	55786	75.24	29.20
BUXAR	1.17	1.30	26891	74.82	29.10
DARBHANGA	5.38	3.78	83021	74.03	31.20
GAYA	1.90	4.02	77915	77.84	36.80
GOPALGANJ	2.58	2.52	54685	72.75	29.80
JAMUI	0.18	1.17	23969	65.79	42.70
JEHANABAD	0.91	1.65	38020	72.78	38.10
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	0.49	1.87	41378	70.64	34.40
KATIHAR	1.44	2.73	59380	73.94	37.60
KHAGARIA	3.00	1.39	35929	71.33	36.50
KISHANGANJ	1.93	1.25	28211	86.26	32.20
LAKHISARAI	0.49	1.06	26467	77.3	36.50
MADHEPURA	1.36	1.25	26668	72.86	44.80
MADHUBANI	3.35	3.40	77027	71.47	43.30
MUNGER	2.38	1.76	54095	70.5	29.10
MUZAFFARPUR	7.14	3.62	97550	78.59	30.40
NALANDA	2.71	3.70	80922	77.51	38.10
NAWADA	1.34	2.03	38385	75.32	37.30
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	1.45	4.35	95157	72.84	37.90
PATNA	10.58	8.22	246066	81.51	30.20
PURBA CHAMPARAN	4.54	4.12	89547	79.7	32.70
PURNIA	2.62	2.85	57182	81.44	37.80
ROHTAS	2.96	3.79	78553	73.2	30.40
SAHARSA	1.25	1.69	37487	69.82	39.00
SAMASTIPUR	7.95	5.08	120675	78.67	31.60
SARAN	0.49	4.16	78134	74.87	26.50
SHEIKHPURA	0.57	0.80	19238	77.94	37.00
SHEOHAR	0.22	0.57	10351	82.71	31.30
SITAMARHI	1.28	2.22	51947	83.51	31.90
SIWAN	1.30	3.50	71543	70.04	26.90
SUPAUL	0.44	1.83	33637	77.03	42.00
VAISHALI	5.90	3.74	88446	79.78	28.80

DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN HH INDUSTRY TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001	PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07	PERCENTAGE OF BARREN AND UNCULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF CURENT FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE WASTE LAND OTHER THAN FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06
ARARIA	1.64	70.87	9.46	17.79	3.43
AURANGABAD	4.03	69.79	4.54	16.03	0.46
BANKA	4.43	51.30	0.54	4.50	0.06
BEGUSARAI	6.55	66.26	2.20	6.29	0.00
BHAGALPUR	7.06	59.51	12.04	1.65	0.07
BHOJPUR	3.58	80.90	5.63	3.83	0.41
BUXAR	3.59	87.48	4.13	5.72	0.24
DARBHANGA	3.84	70.01	9.65	3.87	0.02
GAYA	3.72	59.81	5.60	28.53	0.67
GOPALGANJ	2.61	75.84	3.95	3.62	0.34
JAMUI	15.3	37.14	8.55	6.47	0.65
JEHANABAD	3.20	76.91	3.50	8.54	0.16
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	3.36	49.41	1.36	3.85	0.34
KATI HAR	2.26	66.28	8.89	3.89	0.92
KHAGARIA	2.51	62.79	3.96	10.64	0.37
KISHANGANJ	1.48	70.91	9.16	7.67	0.43
LAKHISARAI	2.65	67.54	1.87	3.91	0.21
MADHEPURA	1.72	76.42	5.53	20.09	0.56
MADHUBANI	3.31	67.31	0.66	4.74	0.14
MUNGER	4.29	46.55	6.61	4.69	0.29
MUZAFFARPUR	3.27	72.61	0.81	8.95	0.06
NALANDA	4.47	79.38	0.52	0.78	0.09
NAWADA	3.42	53.58	3.50	12.74	0.16
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	2.59	58.71	1.68	9.97	0.11
PATNA	3.71	71.06	2.90	4.14	0.26
PURBA CHAMPARAN	2.55	73.50	2.73	3.98	0.7
PURNIA	1.54	76.92	14.1	1.48	2.60
ROHTAS	3.59	65.32	4.34	0.21	0.29
SAHARSA	1.92	70.39	8.23	11.18	0.68
SAMASTIPUR	4.06	71.29	1.50	1.08	0.00
SARAN	3.90	75.82	4.98	8.97	0.58
SHEIKHPURA	2.97	78.99	6.02	2.93	0.65
SHEOHAR	2.05	65.49	0.62	0.66	0.27
SITAMARHI	2.80	63.95	1.90	4.68	0.07
SIWAN	3.40	77.34	6.82	3.45	0.06
SUPAUL	1.84	67.57	1.74	21.68	0.39
VAISHALI	3.79	64.69	0.95	5.02	0.06

DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF LAND UNDER MISCELLANEOUS TREE AND GROVE NOT INCLUDING IN THE NET AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF LAND PUT UNDER NON AGRICULTURAL USES TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF OTHER FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF PERMANENT PASTURE LAND AND OTHER GRAZING LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF WATER LOGGED AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006 – 07
ARARIA	0.66	12.89	5.54	0.56	4.14
AURANGABAD	0.24	14.08	1.10	0.37	1.03
BANKA	4.80	23.66	0.87	0.06	1.97
BEGUSARAI	3.89	16.86	0.60	0.03	6.22
BHAGALPUR	4.80	18.05	0.19	0.18	6.44
BHOJPUR	0.20	9.81	1.50	0.04	1.80
BUXAR	0.30	23.7	0.51	0.04	2.73
DARBHANGA	1.88	21.71	0.52	0.01	6.75
GAYA	0.77	14.61	3.31	0.44	2.15
GOPALGANJ	3.79	13.82	0.68	0.07	1.23
JAMUI	1.28	21.18	0.66	0.12	1.66
JEHANABAD	1.36	15.62	1.08	0.25	2.02
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	0.37	10.05	0.37	0.02	1.11
KATIHAR	2.58	26.55	1.50	0.25	5.56
KHAGARIA	2.78	14.27	1.52	0.14	8.01
KISHANGANJ	2.00	20.52	4.94	0.16	5.21
LAKHISARAI	6.96	18.63	1.10	0.05	4.68
MADHEPURA	0.20	10.69	5.55	0.05	2.74
MADHUBANI	6.43	24.19	0.96	0.41	4.45
MUNGER	2.60	17.03	2.37	0.71	8.06
MUZAFFARPUR	6.24	28.01	0.39	0.63	4.01
NALANDA	0.53	17.39	0.08	0.00	4.47
NAWADA	1.38	15.65	2.64	0.24	4.18
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	5.44	19.69	0.48	0.01	4.89
PATNA	0.82	13.99	1.09	0.03	4.05
PURBA CHAMPARAN	3.61	15.84	1.18	0.10	6.05
PURNIA	2.40	13.50	0.58	0.56	2.66
ROHTAS	0.73	12.02	0.21	0.03	2.38
SAHARSA	0.38	22.19	1.72	0.15	4.26
SAMASTIPUR	3.13	23.68	0.44	0.03	3.5
SARAN	0.18	16.42	2.20	0.17	2.43
SHEIKHPURA	2.63	17.83	1.64	0.12	4.84
SHEOHAR	1.32	19.36	0.62	0.24	7.32
SITAMARHI	6.24	17.46	0.70	0.11	8.28
SIWAN	3.23	12.54	1.45	0.09	1.59
SUPAUL	0.40	15.73	3.19	0.00	5.31

VAISHALI	4.46	28.54	0.60	0.00	3.94
DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FORESTS TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07	PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER COMMERCIAL CROPS TO GROSS CROPPED AREA 2006-07	PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA SOWN TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07	CROPPING INTENSITY 2006-07	PERCENTAGE OF GROSS IRRIGATED AREA TO GROSS AREA SOWN 2006-07
ARARIA	0.31	16.13	67.29	1.52	39.88
AURANGABAD	5.69	1.05	62.57	1.37	81.13
BANKA	15.15	1.94	50.55	1.07	70.8
BEGUSARAI	0.00	4.18	62.91	1.44	52.89
BHAGALPUR	0.03	2.74	56.65	1.17	46.17
BHOJPUR	0.00	2.26	78.74	1.24	81.00
BUXAR	0.00	2.57	85.3	1.29	83.17
DARBHANGA	0.00	1.75	63.77	1.11	59.69
GAYA	15.76	3.33	41.44	1.28	86.66
GOPALGANJ	0.00	15.82	71.51	1.51	47.19
JAMUI	30.42	2.38	21.24	1.13	33.92
JEHANABAD	0.68	2.28	68.62	1.35	83.59
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	33.01	0.56	47.00	1.39	84.96
KATIHAR	0.61	15.56	58.09	1.54	54.94
KHAGARIA	0.00	1.01	54.53	1.55	62.24
KISHANGANJ	0.19	19.97	66.43	1.36	25.28
LAKHISARAI	10.45	0.4	53.26	1.38	72.79
MADHEPURA	0.00	6.07	70.78	1.58	68.27
MADHUBANI	0.00	2.37	62.18	1.33	44.55
MUNGER	20.4	1.01	36.64	1.22	70.45
MUZAFFARPUR	0.00	1.83	63.05	1.52	40.34
NALANDA	1.99	2.94	78.30	1.21	86.16
NAWADA	25.64	2.44	41.30	1.36	86.72
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	18.94	17.04	57.77	1.41	48.51
PATNA	0.02	2.65	63.74	1.12	72.58
PURBA CHAMPARAN	0.03	3.97	69.49	1.25	45.89
PURNIA	0.04	14.76	66.53	1.35	61.01
ROHTAS	17.08	1.00	64.83	1.29	93.53
SAHARSA	0.00	1.00	65.55	1.76	50.57
SAMASTIPUR	0.00	11.46	70.09	1.29	47.53
SARAN	0.00	2.74	72.71	1.23	49.54
SHEIKHPURA	0.00	2.15	59.12	1.57	72.37
SHEOHAR	0.00	6.00	60.88	1.70	46.34
SITAMARHI	0.00	3.13	58.67	1.61	39.04
SIWAN	0.00	3.54	72.60	1.51	47.67
SUPAUL	0.00	9.19	60.58	1.64	58.85
VAISHALI	0.00	6.67	63.09	1.55	47.14

DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA IRRIGATED TO NET AREA SOWN 2006 - 07	CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZER IN KG/HECTARE OF GROSS AREA SOWN 2006-07	AVERAGE YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS 2006-07 (KG/HA)	PERCENTAGE OF BHADAI CROPS 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF AGAHANI CROPS 2005 – 06
ARARIA	36.60	0.17	1027	9.67	67.63
AURANGABAD	96.53	0.22	2170	2.55	47.06
BANKA	52.64	0.16	2017	17.30	30.91
BEGUSARAI	64.60	0.45	1881	15.07	31.97
BHAGALPUR	37.8	0.49	1530	16.77	29.33
BHOJPUR	88.17	0.48	2328	0.74	54.73
BUXAR	75.76	0.24	2121	6.63	37.00
DARBHANGA	51.11	0.26	1419	31.41	13.40
GAYA	83.99	0.33	1975	5.90	38.47
GOPALGANJ	66.76	0.14	1822	25.67	27.04
JAMUI	26.84	0.39	1528	18.36	34.81
JEHANABAD	86.58	0.42	1763	1.07	57.66
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	98.55	0.17	2000	3.65	48.68
KATIHAR	59.59	0.23	1655	17.91	31.63
KHAGARIA	86.26	0.64	2486	21.86	33.02
KISHANGANJ	23.49	0.11	1038	19.68	11.85
LAKHISARAI	79.61	0.18	2183	25.90	33.48
MADHEPURA	67.16	0.22	1586	12.21	41.26
MADHUBANI	35.98	0.1	1042	13.26	45.44
MUNGER	65.73	0.23	1779	12.65	33.20
MUZAFFARPUR	50.31	0.37	1147	16.15	31.27
NALANDA	78.12	0.40	1545	1.93	47.15
NAWADA	89.16	0.23	1895	1.83	58.12
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	39.61	0.31	1600	12.08	33.45
PATNA	53.49	0.49	1656	3.45	48.52
PURBA CHAMPARAN	42.33	0.28	1193	37.87	15.03
PURNIA	36.96	0.37	1449	6.72	64.78
ROHTAS	99.73	0.31	2694	0.46	55.43
SAHARSA	51.69	0.19	1586	14.45	43.04
SAMASTIPUR	53.1	0.37	2024	21.03	23.03
SARAN	54.94	0.23	1805	0.70	56.80
SHEIKHPURA	88.16	0.29	1649	22.17	48.43
SHEOHAR	63.69	0.12	954	31.62	26.70
SITAMARHI	49.54	0.21	1139	21.07	38.68
SIWAN	66.22	0.20	1487	13.82	34.42
SUPAUL	45.52	0.08	1513	4.82	40.95
VAISHALI	56.66	0.38	1636	13.30	40.46



DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF GARMA CROPS 2005 – 06	PERCENTAGE OF RABI CROPS 2005 – 06	NORMAL RAINFALL	ACTUAL RAINFALL 2008	NO. OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS 1995-96
ARARIA	0.71	21.99	1629.20	1657.70	340848
AURANGABAD	2.82	47.58	1176.70	1108.00	329057
BANKA	8.80	42.99	1156.30	896.70	258636
BEGUSARAI	21.39	31.57	1104.70	1138.40	285782
BHAGALPUR	18.15	35.74	1136.50	915.40	333778
BHOJPUR	0.23	44.30	1060.30	1321.50	312734
BUXAR	3.68	52.69	1021.50	1355.60	133254
DARBHANGA	5.71	49.48	1114.60	759.40	368440
GAYA	2.37	53.25	1086.00	1025.80	369936
GOPALGANJ	4.62	42.67	1170.90	1273.00	335660
JAMUI	17.33	29.5	1161.30	663.70	281903
JEHANABAD	0.59	40.68	1051.90	1263.00	185388
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	1.19	46.48	1055.40	902.60	191100
KATIHAR	9.27	41.19	1297.70	1133.90	340862
KHAGARIA	19.07	26.04	1170.20	991.50	182406
KISHANGANJ	9.21	59.26	2141.70	2193.80	175598
LAKHISARAI	12.41	28.20	1178.50	1261.30	-
MADHEPURA	0.02	46.52	1230.50	1094.30	217664
MADHUBANI	7.20	34.09	1285.80	845.40	518396
MUNGER	21.03	33.13	1178.50	1287.20	282051
MUZAFFARPUR	9.49	43.09	1135.20	1359.70	513243
NALANDA	1.34	49.59	977.90	1150.70	325949
NAWADA	1.14	38.91	1037.60	1201.30	267616
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	16.57	37.90	1510.40	1534.80	498041
PATNA	2.33	45.69	973.10	1284.50	447464
PURBA CHAMPARAN	2.87	44.22	1241.60	1330.00	584169
PURNIA	4.51	23.98	1411.60	1413.50	338926
ROHTAS	0.12	43.99	1096.80	1133.30	306134
SAHARSA	5.39	37.12	1259.80	1146.50	182278
SAMASTIPUR	11.40	44.54	1142.00	1123.40	420979
SARAN	0.08	42.42	1074.90	1448.00	410009
SHEIKHPURA	10.94	18.46	1087.90	998.70	-
SHEOHAR	5.94	35.74	1357.80	1224.00	-
SITAMARHI	4.76	35.49	1267.10	704.70	626814
SIWAN	6.32	45.45	1087.40	1251.70	349035
SUPAUL	0.24	53.99	1344.00	1040.90	227805
VAISHALI	4.34	41.90	1045.00	1475.30	440179

DISTRICT	AVERAGE SIZE OF OPERATIONAL HOLDING (IN HECTARE) 1995-96	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PAVED APPROACH ROAD 2001	LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MDRs PER LAKH POPULATION (KM) 31 <sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005	LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MDRs PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA (KM) 31 <sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005	LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER LAKH POPULATION (KM) 2004-05
ARARIA	0.7	43.92	21.50	164.01	29.90
AURANGABAD	0.8	23.92	18.75	114.18	34.09
BANKA	0.6	17.05	18.86	100.48	39.96
BEGUSARAI	0.4	36.80	14.36	175.89	24.45
BHAGALPUR	0.5	30.26	14.52	136.92	28.82
BHOJPUR	0.6	38.21	20.57	192.65	34.22
BUXAR	1.1	21.69	16.75	137.89	45.46
DARBHANGA	0.5	36.11	15.99	231.31	14.42
GAYA	0.9	24.63	17.36	121.19	28.88
GOPALGANJ	0.5	35.77	21.34	226.01	28.58
JAMUI	0.7	19.85	25.93	117.08	48.28
JEHANABAD	0.6	33.99	23.40	225.83	45.96
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	1.1	27.28	27.92	107.06	36.84
KATI HAR	0.7	20.48	21.87	171.23	26.32
KHAGARIA	0.6	41.86	21.63	186.31	30.61
KISHANGANJ	0.8	23.48	37.88	260.56	37.78
LAKHISARAI	-	26.69	16.94	110.62	31.32
MADHEPURA	0.7	37.05	19.91	170.07	37.90
MADHUBANI	0.5	49.23	15.01	153.28	26.38
MUNGER	0.7	39.14	10.44	83.70	38.90
MUZAFFARPUR	0.5	58.56	18.78	221.33	32.70
NALANDA	0.6	37.69	21.17	213.17	42.74
NAWADA	0.5	33.27	18.14	131.75	54.28
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	0.7	30.55	15.29	88.97	28.86
PATNA	0.5	36.54	19.39	285.70	22.24
PURBA CHAMPARAN	0.5	37.48	12.06	119.78	30.05
PURNIA	0.7	22.17	18.59	146.47	25.99
ROHTAS	0.9	35.79	30.59	194.69	45.99
SAHARSA	0.7	40.17	20.98	187.55	17.33
SAMASTIPUR	0.5	50.96	15.15	177.10	27.13
SARAN	0.5	34.62	15.55	190.13	24.17
SHEIKHPURA	-	54.21	28.93	220.83	56.21
SHEOHAR	-	33.50	13.30	196.68	21.13
SITAMARHI	0.4	41.32	13.11	153.28	36.15
SIWAN	0.5	36.60	14.28	174.81	31.99
SUPAUL	0.8	33.96	45.55	325.53	9.96
VAISHALI	0.3	39.96	13.99	186.81	29.54

DISTRICT	LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA (KM) 2004 – 05	NUMBER OF BRANCHES OF SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS 2008 – 09	CREDIT DEPOSIT RATIO 2008	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PRIMARY SCHOOLS FACILITY 2001	ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS 2007 – 08
ARARIA	228.08	80	38.03	80.58	502011
AURANGABAD	207.61	93	23.22	62.28	450234
BANKA	212.88	68	33.86	44.62	337613
BEGUSARAI	299.46	116	35.59	42.33	557178
BHAGALPUR	271.83	140	30.98	42.83	454134
BHOJPUR	320.54	130	20.74	73.29	557905
BUXAR	374.34	82	24.17	48.15	324249
DARBHANGA	208.51	162	22.99	66.25	588625
GAYA	204.60	185	28.67	58.47	838889
GOPALGANJ	302.63	112	25.68	57.59	716250
JAMUI	217.98	64	25.61	47.21	464661
JEHANABAD	443.59	67	25.07	74.18	300724
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	141.26	65	47.13	46.96	325078
KATIHAR	206.02	114	24.64	51.85	317663
KHAGARIA	263.74	59	32.37	73.09	565101
KISHANGANJ	259.93	59	49.10	63.29	284577
LAKHISARAI	204.62	42	43.98	65.68	322398
MADHEPURA	323.60	66	26.47	70.68	163689
MADHUBANI	269.35	168	25.55	82.72	337811
MUNGER	311.88	68	23.28	46.46	830458
MUZAFFARPUR	386.27	213	43.33	81.37	224364
NALANDA	430.23	130	24.59	85.23	835868
NAWADA	393.84	80	22.91	69.02	430642
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	168.02	132	47.31	69.99	355032
PATNA	327.75	424	25.11	85.03	683725
PURBA CHAMPARAN	298.37	177	36.87	82.02	562721
PURNIA	204.73	111	45.09	54.41	493333
ROHTAS	292.66	125	22.91	59.21	342869
SAHARSA	154.96	63	29.03	81.41	825230
SAMASTIPUR	317.11	158	37.09	71.79	652800
SARAN	297.27	146	23.85	69.80	96910
SHEIKHPURA	428.69	27	24.94	74.45	126429
SHEOHAR	312.32	22	32.23	79.31	612025
SITAMARHI	422.78	116	38.29	84.91	568143
SIWAN	391.26	151	18.78	73.92	381343
SUPAUL	71.16	77	28.16	76.42	633380
VAISHALI	394.43	128	28.45	61.57	600170

DISTRICT	PUPIL TEACHER	ENROLMENT OF	PUPIL TEACHER	OUT OF	PERCENTAGE
----------	---------------	--------------	---------------	--------	------------

	RATIO (PRIMARY SCHOOLS) 2007 – 08	STUDENTS IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS 2007 – 08	RATIO (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS) 2007 – 08	SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 – 14 YEARS) 2008	OF VILLAGES HAVING ANY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES 2001
ARARIA	60.53	13248	40.76	12.30	18.87
AURANGABAD	53.07	41597	54.59	1.90	14.34
BANKA	61.90	21548	41.20	7.40	6.92
BEGUSARAI	53.56	33264	46.52	5.80	13.78
BHAGALPUR	53.40	42842	40.49	5.60	15.07
BHOJPUR	64.87	46688	53.42	4.30	10.93
BUXAR	52.48	31255	48.46	0.30	8.38
DARBHANGA	48.56	37248	50.95	4.00	19.67
GAYA	57.09	44225	49.47	4.90	9.63
GOPALGANJ	57.95	61117	56.91	1.20	17.00
JAMUI	51.77	41657	65.91	3.60	8.23
JEHANABAD	56.76	16917	51.73	4.90	13.40
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	46.34	35392	55.30	1.80	13.14
KATI HAR	50.11	24192	56.92	2.50	16.07
KHAGARIA	53.65	21815	45.35	3.40	41.2
KISHANGANJ	52.26	19030	53.61	3.80	15.56
LAKHISARAI	48.15	7024	39.68	8.40	20.34
MADHEPURA	39.89	16105	50.97	-	32.05
MADHUBANI	44.60	18178	45.90	7.30	20.43
MUNGER	50.85	46422	38.08	3.50	17.31
MUZAFFARPUR	55.47	25480	38.14	6.50	29.34
NALANDA	52.22	57591	48.97	5.60	18.75
NAWADA	58.81	45408	44.87	4.80	14.30
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	57.81	30396	54.57	8.10	14.87
PATNA	54.62	82635	28.16	2.40	27.41
PURBA CHAMPARAN	66.05	21265	39.02	7.40	23.98
PURNIA	51.10	55393	70.56	10.00	13.90
ROHTAS	43.59	14938	33.34	2.30	7.42
SAHARSA	63.01	50560	52.94	6.50	13.46
SAMASTIPUR	49.38	67615	59.63	5.00	15.63
SARAN	54.51	8934	37.07	4.80	19.38
SHEIKHPURA	58.64	4234	45.04	6.50	22.43
SHEOHAR	66.45	25266	43.56	3.00	28.08
SITAMARHI	47.62	49025	54.59	11.70	25.87
SIWAN	46.52	17459	38.29	6.10	16.60
SUPAUL	52.32	55280	78.30	-	8.09
VAISHALI	52.39	23983	43.21	4.50	9.82

DISTRICT	NO OF	NO OF BEDS IN	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE	DENSITY OF
----------	-------	---------------	------------	------------	------------

	HOSPITALS/ DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08	HOSPITALS/ DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08	OF CHILDREN HAVING COMPLETE IMMUNIZATIO N 2007 – 08	OF WOMEN HAVING SAFE DELIVERY 2007 – 08	LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM 2003
ARARIA	1.60	10.26	35.80	19.70	195
AURANGABAD	3.49	12.12	56.50	35.60	178
BANKA	1.74	9.70	32.90	37.30	197
BEGUSARAI	2.05	10.37	40.30	31.40	198
BHAGALPUR	2.43	53.28	49.60	45.10	185
BHOJPUR	1.48	11.42	31.20	52.90	178
BUXAR	2.00	4.70	27.70	52.80	185
DARBHANGA	1.77	36.52	41.80	18.00	170
GAYA	1.79	32.99	31.80	27.30	185
GOPALGANJ	1.86	15.91	52.40	41.10	215
JAMUI	2.65	10.73	17.40	25.60	147
JEHANABAD	8.95	4.69	47.20	53.10	176
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	2.49	7.47	21.90	49.40	120
KATIHAR	1.80	10.88	32.60	16.30	154
KHAGARIA	1.88	11.44	45.80	32.00	173
KISHANGANJ	1.39	7.42	26.60	21.60	166
LAKHISARAI	2.12	8.99	36.00	58.80	129
MADHEPURA	1.77	18.89	39.70	21.00	207
MADHUBANI	2.18	20.72	42.10	20.00	202
MUNGER	1.94	20.87	36.30	59.10	139
MUZAFFARPUR	1.63	23.77	57.40	26.30	225
NALANDA	2.87	10.72	54.20	46.30	177
NAWADA	2.04	11.27	48.90	34.80	178
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	1.74	20.57	30.20	27.30	164
PATNA	2.08	75.57	43.80	64.00	181
PURBA CHAMPARAN	1.73	17.29	41.30	28.80	112
PURNIA	1.93	17.32	33.40	24.50	188
ROHTAS	2.25	16.33	42.30	69.30	150
SAHARSA	1.86	18.32	43.40	28.80	228
SAMASTIPUR	2.08	9.37	51.10	30.70	198
SARAN	1.97	19.01	65.10	28.50	151
SHEIKHPURA	4.19	36.94	38.30	46.60	174
SHEOHAR	2.14	22.56	28.30	15.30	129
SITAMARHI	1.99	6.37	39.10	21.10	176
SIWAN	1.55	9.30	52.40	41.70	176
SUPAUL	1.78	9.40	39.50	21.60	228
VAISHALI	1.73	9.59	53.30	37.80	160

DISTRICT	DENSITY OF POULTRY PER SQ KM 2003	AVERAGE LIVESTOCK POPULATION SERVED PER VETERINARY HOSPITAL/DISPENSARY PER THOUSAND KM 2003	DISTRICT WISE FISH PRODUCTION 2007 – 08	SHARE OF DISTRICTS IN TOTAL MILK PRODUCTION 2007 – 08
ARARIA	292	30633	3640	1.36
AURANGABAD	110	20023	2400	2.25
BANKA	119	31233	4000	3.78
BEGUSARAI	98	19027	12000	3.26
BHAGALPUR	166	17698	8000	4.25
BHOJPUR	96	17694	9100	5.01
BUXAR	78	23079	5000	2.96
DARBHANGA	183	13799	14940	2.07
GAYA	178	24165	3900	3.20
GOPALGANJ	106	13948	9000	1.48
JAMUI	86	41411	4120	2.91
JEHANABAD	75	27222	4010	1.93
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	64	26930	NIL	3.13
KATIHAR	445	20489	10450	1.31
KHAGARIA	88	16077	10000	1.19
KISHANGANJ	558	26060	4380	0.58
LAKHISARAI	44	23955	Nil	3.48
MADHEPURA	80	18521	9000	1.37
MADHUBANI	92	19636	15250	2.25
MUNGER	72	17908	10100	3.95
MUZAFFARPUR	137	20921	12600	3.11
NALANDA	167	17449	9500	2.69
NAWADA	126	18455	5760	1.64
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	192	20307	13250	1.90
PATNA	120	14168	8500	6.14
PURBA CHAMPARAN	99	16719	13700	1.81
PURNIA	277	24239	10000	1.43
ROHTAS	82	16959	6550	5.43
SAHARSA	107	20310	10000	3.31
SAMASTIPUR	79	17429	7150	4.13
SARAN	90	11071	12500	2.32
SHEIKHPURA	142	7515	Nil	3.09
SHEOHAR	170	14300	NIL	1.58
SITAMARHI	144	16800	10350	2.90
SIWAN	112	15022	5832	1.92
SUPAUL	114	28924	4750	3.00
VAISHALI	202	14825	10800	1.86

DISTRICT	PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES 2001	PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING DRINKING WATER FACILITY 2001	NUMBER OF POST OFFICES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07	NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08
ARARIA	27.40	95.46	6	143
AURANGABAD	28.46	93.56	19	30
BANKA	13.69	79.68	13	56
BEGUSARAI	37.71	60.15	9	186
BHAGALPUR	29.28	61.51	13	254
BHOJPUR	20.21	82.00	13	175
BUXAR	28.04	72.22	15	109
DARBHANGA	36.27	80.65	11	135
GAYA	18.67	92.83	7	173
GOPALGANJ	28.60	90.10	11	355
JAMUI	17.93	87.25	11	112
JEHANABAD	23.86	93.25	13	120
KAIMUR (BHABUA)	37.68	78.80	12	309
KATI HAR	17.95	83.60	7	57
KHAGARIA	37.87	80.07	14	141
KISHANGANJ	15.05	94.68	7	63
LAKHISARAI	24.58	75.64	10	99
MADHEPURA	38.18	87.27	8	182
MADHUBANI	42.39	93.07	12	63
MUNGER	37.28	60.98	7	118
MUZAFFARPUR	56.94	96.21	11	402
NALANDA	34.66	94.6	17	142
NAWADA	46.65	88.36	13	60
PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	23.15	91.32	9	213
PATNA	43.22	90.51	7	652
PURBA CHAMPARAN	40.21	97.04	11	53
PURNIA	24.43	86.10	8	111
ROHTAS	23.91	82.93	10	167
SAHARSA	27.14	94.23	15	150
SAMASTIPUR	54.01	89.90	12	122
SARAN	30.82	88.73	12	176
SHEIKHPURA	40.50	83.49	21	157
SHEOHAR	21.18	93.10	23	28
SITAMARHI	36.05	96.05	8	51
SIWAN	28.82	93.99	11	200
SUPAUL	17.39	96.09	12	56
VAISHALI	61.82	90.12	10	165

# **DISTRICT WISE MAPS**



## BIHAR

### POPULATION 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PATNA	4718592
2	PURBA CHAMPARAN	3939773
3	MUZAFFARPUR	3746714
4	MADHUBANI	3575281
5	GAYA	3473428

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	515961
2	SHEIKHPURA	525502
3	LAKHISARAI	802225
4	MUNGER	1137797
5	KHAGARIA	1280354

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## POPULATION 2001

**BIHAR – 82998509**



## BIHAR

### POPULATION DENSITY 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER POPULATION DENSITY

SL NO	NAME	PERSON PER SQ KM
1	SHEOHAR	1478
2	PATNA	1474
3	DARBHANGA	1446
4	VAISHALI	1335
5	SARAN	1230

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER POPULATION DENSITY


SL NO	NAME	PERSON PER SQ KM
1	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	383
2	JAMUI	452
3	BANKA	533
4	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	582
5	AURANGABAD	609

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## POPULATION DENSITY 2001

BIHAR – 881



## BIHAR

### AREA

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER VALUE ON AREA

SL NO	NAME	SQ KM
1	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	5228
2	GAYA	4976
3	PURBA CHAMPARAN	3968
4	ROHTAS	3851
5	MADHUBANI	3501

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER VALUE ON AREA


SL NO	NAME	SQ KM
1	SHEOHAR	349
2	SHEIKHPURA	689
3	LAKHISARAI	1228
4	MUNGER	1419
5	KHAGARIA	1486

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## AREA

BIHAR – 94163



**BIHAR:**

**DECADAL GROWTH RATE OF POPULATION 2001**

**DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER DECADAL GROWTH RATE OF POPULATION**

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SHEOHAR	36.60
2	PURNIA	35.40
3	ARARIA	33.90
4	SITAMARHI	33.20
5	SAHARSA	33.20

**DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER DECADAL GROWTH RATE OF POPULATION**


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NALANDA	18.70
2	MUNGER	20.60
3	LAKHISARAI	24.10
4	BANKA	24.50
5	SAMASTIPUR	24.90

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

**BIHAR:**

**DECADAL GROWTH RATE OF POPULATION 2001**

**BIHAR – 28.6**





**BIHAR:**

**PERCENTAGE OF RURAL POPULATION 2001**

**DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF RURAL POPULATION**

<b>S L NO</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>PERCENT</b>
<b>1</b>	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	96.76
<b>2</b>	MADHUBANI	96.52
<b>3</b>	BANKA	96.49
<b>4</b>	SAMASTIPUR	96.36
<b>5</b>	SHEOHAR	95.88

**DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF RURAL POPULATION**


<b>SL NO</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>PERCENT</b>
<b>1</b>	PATNA	58.53
<b>2</b>	MUNGER	72.06
<b>3</b>	BHAGALPUR	81.33
<b>4</b>	SHEIKHPURA	84.53
<b>5</b>	NALANDA	85.08

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR:

## PERCENTAGE OF RURAL POPULATION 2001

**BIHAR – 89.54**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	41.57
2	MUNGER	27.94
3	BHAGALPUR	18.67
4	SHEIKHPURA	15.47
5	NALANDA	14.92

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	3.24
2	MADHUBANI	3.48
3	BANKA	3.51
4	SAMASTIPUR	3.64
5	SHEOHAR	4.12

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF URBAN POPULATION 2001

**BIHAR –10.46**



## BIHAR

### PROPORTION OF SC POPULATION 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PROPORTION OF SC POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	GAYA	29.60
2	NAWADA	24.10
3	AURANGABAD	23.50
4	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	22.20
5	VAISHALI	20.70

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PROPORTION OF SC POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	6.60
2	KATI HAR	8.70
3	BHAGALPUR	10.50
4	SIWAN	11.40
5	SITAMARHI	11.80

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PROPORTION OF SC POPULATION 2001

**BIHAR – 15.7**



## BIHAR

### SEX RATIO 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER SEX RATIO

SL NO	NAME	FEMALES PER 1000 MALES
1	SIWAN	1031
2	GOPALGANJ	1001
3	SARAN	966
4	NAWADA	946
5	MADHUBANI	942

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER SEX RATIO


SL NO	NAME	FEMALES PER 1000 MALES
1	MUNGER	872
2	PATNA	873
3	BHAGALPUR	876
4	KHAGARIA	885
5	SHEOHAR	885

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## SEX RATIO 2001

BIHAR – 919





## BIHAR

### LITERACY RATE 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER LITERACY RATE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	62.92
2	ROHTAS	61.29
3	MUNGER	59.47
4	BHOJPUR	58.96
5	AURANGABAD	57.03

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER LITERACY RATE



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	31.09
2	ARARIA	35.01
3	KATI HAR	35.09
4	PURNIA	35.10
5	SHEOHAR	35.27

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## LITERACY RATE 2001

BIHAR -47



## BIHAR

### FEMALE LITERACY 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER FEMALE LITERACY RATE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	50.83
2	MUNGER	47.40
3	ROHTAS	45.71
4	AURANGABAD	41.90
5	BHOJPUR	41.80

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER FEMALE LITERACY RATE



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	18.63
2	SUPAUL	20.81
3	MADHEPURA	22.11
4	ARARIA	22.37
5	PURNIA	23.42

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## FEMALE LITERACY 2001

**BIHAR -33.12**



## BIHAR

### MALE LITERACY 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER MALE LITERACY RATE

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ROHTAS	75.31
2	BHOJPUR	74.29
3	PATNA	73.34
4	BUXAR	71.92
5	AURANGABAD	71.13

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER MALE LITERACY RATE



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	42.71
2	SHEOHAR	45.28
3	KATI HAR	45.31
4	PURNIA	45.63
5	ARARIA	46.38

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## MALE LITERACY 2001

**BIHAR -59.68**



## BIHAR

### GENDER GAP IN LITERACY 2001

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER GENDER GAP IN LITERACY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BHOJPUR	32.50
2	BUXAR	32.00
3	SUPAUL	31.60
4	SARAN	31.50
5	GOPALGANJ	30.80

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER GENDER GAP IN LITERACY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BHAGALPUR	21.10
2	SHEOHAR	21.40
3	KATI HAR	21.50
4	PURNIA	22.20
5	KHAGARIA	22.50

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## GENDER GAP IN LITERACY 2001

**BIHAR -26.6**





## BIHAR

### TOTAL FERTILITY RATE 2001

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER FERTILITY RATE (TOTAL)

SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	KATIHAR	5.30
2	KISHANGANJ	5.30
3	KHAGARIA	5.10
4	SHEOHAR	5.10
5	SITAMARHI	5.10

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER FERTILITY RATE (TOTAL)


SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	PATNA	3.90
2	MUNGER	4.00
3	JEHANABAD	4.10
4	BHOJPUR	4.20
5	NALANDA	4.20

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## TOTAL FERTILITY RATE 2001

**BIHAR -4.6**



## BIHAR

### CRUDE BIRTH RATE 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER CRUDE BIRTH RATE

SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	KISHANGANJ	39.00
2	KATIHAR	38.20
3	PURNIA	37.60
4	MADHEPURA	36.70
5	SITAMARHI	36.30

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER CRUDE BIRTH RATE


SL NO	NAME	RATE
1	BUXAR	31.70
2	NALANDA	31.20
3	BHOJPUR	30.10
4	MUNGER	29.00
5	PATNA	28.40

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## CRUDE BIRTH RATE 2001

**BIHAR -33.7**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSES 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	37.40
2	NALANDA	29.80
3	BHOJPUR	27.60
4	GOPALGANJ	27.60
5	MUNGER	26.40

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSES


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SUPAUL	4.50
2	ARARIA	5.40
3	MADHEPURA	6.40
4	KISHANGANJ	6.60
5	SHEOHAR	7.00

**DATA SOURCE: DLHS III 2007 - 08**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES LIVING IN PUCCA HOUSES 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –14.8**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS (HH) BELOW POVERTY LINE

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF HH BELOW POVERTY LINE

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	MADHUBANI	662909
2	MUZAFFARPUR	596244
3	PURBA CHAMPARAN	594443
4	PURNIA	482114
5	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	480386

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF HH BELOW POVERTY LINE


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEIKHPURA	59492
2	LAKHISARAI	76706
3	SHEOHAR	80569
4	MUNGER	136619
5	BUXAR	170332

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD (HH) BELOW POVERTY LINE

**BIHAR – 11340990**





## BIHAR

### SHARE OF DISTRICTS IN NET STATE DOMESTIC PRODUCT (NSDP) AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 -07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER SHARE IN NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	26.85
2	MUZAFFARPUR	4.27
3	GAYA	3.52
4	BEGUSARAI	3.40
5	SAMASTIPUR	3.28

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER SHARE IN NSDP AT CURRENT PRICES



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SHEOHAR	0.30
2	SHEIKHPURA	0.44
3	JEHANABAD	0.62
4	LAKHISARAI	0.84
5	JAMUI	1.02

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### SHARE OF DISTRICTS IN NET STATE DOMESTIC PRODUCT (NSDP) AT CURRENT PRICES 2006 -07

**BIHAR – 89,95,162 (Rs in Lakh)**



## BIHAR

### PER CAPITA NET DISTRICT DOMESTIC PRODUCT (NDDP) AT CURRENT PRICES: 2006 – 07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PER CAPITA NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES

SL NO	NAME	RS
1	PATNA	46027
2	MUNGER	12691
3	BEGUSARAI	11759
4	BHAGALPUR	11003
5	MUZAFFARPUR	9361

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PER CAPITA NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES


SL NO	NAME	RS
1	SHEOHAR	4628
2	ARARIA	5625
3	JAMUI	5852
4	SITAMARHI	5981
5	MADHEPURA	6007

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PER CAPITA NET DISTRICT DOMESTIC PRODUCT (NDDP) AT CURRENT PRICES: 2006 – 07

**BIHAR – 9817**



## BIHAR

### PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS {NDDP AT CONSTANT: 1999 – 2000) PRICES (2006 – 07)}

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS AT CONSTANT PRICES

SL NO	NAME	RS
1	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	45601
2	SIWAN	40067
3	SAMASTIPUR	37712
4	BANKA	36420
5	ROHTAS	36236

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS AT CONSTANT PRICES



SL NO	NAME	RS
1	PATNA	18043
2	SARAN	19102
3	DARBHANGA	19906
4	JAMUI	22571
5	BEGUSARAI	22847

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

**PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS {NDDP AT CONSTANT: 1999 – 2000) PRICES (2006 – 07)}**

**BIHAR – 28953**



## BIHAR

### PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTOR (NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES):

2006 – 07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS AT CURRENT PRICES

SL NO	NAME	RS
1	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	50522
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	43102
3	ROHTAS	42705
4	BANKA	41706
5	SIWAN	40670

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTORS AT CURRENT PRICES

SL NO	NAME	RS
1	PATNA	21810
2	DARBHANGA	22352
3	SARAN	22898
4	BEGUSARAI	26266
5	SITAMARHI	26533


**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### PER CAPITA NDDP FROM COMMODITY PRODUCING SECTOR (NDDP AT CURRENT PRICES):

2006–07

**BIHAR – 32944**





## BIHAR

### INVESTED CAPITAL PER INDUSTRIAL WORKER: 2006 – 07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER INVESTED CAPITAL PER INDUSTRIAL WORKER

SL NO	NAME	RS
1	PATNA	85678.42
2	GAYA	42044.28
3	MUZAFFARPUR	24710.84
4	KATIHAR	21894.88
5	VAISHALI	17813.32

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER INVESTED CAPITAL PER INDUSTRIAL WORKER



SL NO	NAME	RS
1	SHEIKHPURA	2721.04
2	SHEOHAR	4144.84
3	MUNGER	4524.52
4	LAKHISARAI	4682.72
5	KISHANGANJ	4840.92

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## INVESTED CAPITAL PER INDUSTRIAL WORKER: 2006 – 07

**BIHAR –13802.73**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006 – 07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	GAYA	16.40
2	SHEOHAR	15.00
3	PATNA	13.80
4	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	13.00
5	VAISHALI	12.30

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SUPAUL	4.50
2	BANKA	5.40
3	NAWADA	5.60
4	MADHUBANI	5.70
5	PURNIA	5.70

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006 – 07

**BIHAR – 8.75**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT IN FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006 – 07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT IN FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	GAYA	65.00
2	PATNA	36.60
3	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	35.70
4	KATIHAR	28.80
5	SHEOHAR	26.20

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT IN FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	GOPALGANJ	9.50
2	SUPAUL	9.70
3	MADHUBANI	10.60
4	SARAN	11.50
5	KISHANGANJ	11.80

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT IN FACTORIES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006 – 07

**BIHAR – 19.30**



km    45    90    135    180    225

## BIHAR

### TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PATNA	101524
2	SAMASTIPUR	63303
3	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	52195
4	PURBA CHAMPARAN	50542
5	SARAN	49681

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS



SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	6806
2	SHEIKHPURA	9718
3	LAKHISARAI	12844
4	JAMUI	13977
5	KISHANGANJ	15231

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER 5<sup>TH</sup> ECONOMIC CENSUS, 2004 – 05)**

# BIHAR

## TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

**BIHAR -1224652**





## BIHAR

### DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER SHARE OF ESTABLISHMENTS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	8.29
2	SAMASTIPUR	5.16
3	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	4.26
4	PURBA CHAMPARAN	4.12
5	SARAN	4.06

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER SHARE OF ESTABLISHMENTS



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SHEOHAR	0.55
2	SHEIKHPURA	0.79
3	LAKHISARAI	1.05
4	JAMUI	1.15
5	KISHANGANJ	1.25

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER 5<sup>TH</sup> ECONOMIC CENSUS, 2004 – 05)**

# BIHAR

## DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

BIHAR -1224652



## BIHAR

### DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURE ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER SHARE OF AGRICULTURAL ESTABLISHMENTS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	10.58
2	BEGUSARAI	8.92
3	SAMASTIPUR	7.95
4	MUZAFFARPUR	7.14
5	VAISHALI	5.90

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER SHARE OF AGRICULTURAL ESTABLISHMENTS



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BANKA	0.05
2	JAMUI	0.18
3	SHEOHAR	0.22
4	SUPAUL	0.44
5	SARAN	0.49

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER 5<sup>TH</sup> ECONOMIC CENSUS, 2004 – 05)**

# BIHAR

## DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURE ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

BIHAR -34973



## BIHAR

### DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NON-AGRICULTURE ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER SHARE OF NON – AGRICULTURAL ESTABLISHMENTS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	8.22
2	SAMASTIPUR	5.08
3	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	4.35
4	SARAN	4.16
5	PURBA CHAMPARAN	4.12

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER SHARE OF NON – AGRICULTURAL ESTABLISHMENTS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SHEOHAR	0.57
2	SHEIKHPURA	0.8
3	LAKHISARAI	1.06
4	JAMUI	1.17
5	KISHANGANJ	1.25

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER 5<sup>TH</sup> ECONOMIC CENSUS, 2004 – 05)**

# BIHAR

## DISTRICT WISE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NON-AGRICULTURE ESTABLISHMENTS 2005

**BIHAR -1189679**



## BIHAR

### DISTRICT WISE TOTAL NUMBER OF WORKERS 2005

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF WORKERS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PATNA	246066
2	SAMASTIPUR	120675
3	MUZAFFARPUR	97550
4	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	95157
5	PURBA CHAMPARAN	89547

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF WORKERS


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	10351
2	SHEIKHPURA	19238
3	JAMUI	23969
4	LAKHISARAI	26467
5	MADHEPURA	26668

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER 5<sup>TH</sup> ECONOMIC CENSUS, 2004 – 05)**

# BIHAR

## DISTRICT WISE TOTAL NUMBER OF WORKERS 2005

**BIHAR –2269556**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF MAIN WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF MAIN WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	86.26
2	SITAMARHI	83.51
3	SHEOHAR	82.71
4	PATNA	81.51
5	PURNIA	81.44

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF MAIN WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BANKA	63.71
2	JAMUI	65.79
3	BHAGALPUR	67.89
4	SAHARSA	69.82
5	SIWAN	70.04

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF MAIN WORKERS TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001

BIHAR -75.25



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WORKERS TO TOTAL POPULATION 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WORKERS TO TOTAL POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MADHEPURA	44.80
2	MADHUBANI	43.34
3	JAMUI	42.65
4	SUPAUL	42.03
5	BANKA	39.57

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WORKERS TO TOTAL POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SARAN	26.50
2	SIWAN	26.91
3	VAISHALI	28.79
4	BUXAR	29.11
5	MUNGER	29.13

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WORKERS TO TOTAL POPULATION 2001

**BIHAR -33.70**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN HOUSEHOLD (HH) INDUSTRY TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN HH INDUSTRY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JAMUI	15.27
2	BHAGALPUR	7.06
3	BEGUSARAI	6.55
4	NALANDA	4.47
5	BANKA	4.43

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN HH INDUSTRY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	1.48
2	PURNIA	1.54
3	ARARIA	1.64
4	MADHEPURA	1.72
5	SUPAUL	1.84

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN HOUSEHOLD (HH) INDUSTRY TO TOTAL WORKERS 2001

**BIHAR -3.56**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BUXAR	87.48
2	BHOJPUR	80.90
3	NALANDA	79.38
4	SHEIKHPURA	78.99
5	SIWAN	77.34

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JAMUI	37.14
2	MUNGER	46.55
3	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	49.41
4	BANKA	51.30
5	NAWADA	53.58

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07

**BIHAR -66.58**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF BARREN AND UNCULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF BARREN AND UNCULTIVABLE LAND

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PURNIA	14.10
2	BHAGALPUR	12.04
3	DARBHANGA	9.65
4	ARARIA	9.46
5	KISHANGANJ	9.16

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF BARREN AND UNCULTIVABLE LAND



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NALANDA	0.52
2	BANKA	0.54
3	SHEOHAR	0.62
4	MADHUBANI	0.66
5	MUZAFFARPUR	0.81

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF BARREN AND UNCULTIVABLE LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR -4.66**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF CURRENT FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF CURRENT FALLOW LAND

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	GAYA	28.53
2	SUPAUL	21.68
3	MADHEPURA	20.09
4	ARARIA	17.79
5	AURANGABAD	16.03

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF CURRENT FALLOW LAND


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ROHTAS	0.21
2	SHEOHAR	0.66
3	NALANDA	0.78
4	SAMASTIPUR	1.08
5	PURNIA	1.48

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF CURRENT FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR –7.12**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE WASTE LAND OTHER THAN FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE WASTE LAND OTHER THAN FALLOW LAND

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ARARIA	3.43
2	PURNIA	2.60
3	KATIHAR	0.92
4	PURBA CHAMPARAN	0.70
5	SAHARSA	0.68

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE WASTE LAND OTHER THAN FALLOW LAND



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DARBHANGA	0.02
2	BANKA	0.06
3	MUZAFFARPUR	0.06
4	SIWAN	0.06
5	VAISHALI	0.06

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF CULTIVABLE WASTE LAND OTHER THAN FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR -0.49**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF LAND UNDER MISCELLANEOUS TREE AND GROVE NOT INCLUDING IN THE NET AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA : 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF LAND UNDER MISCELLANEOUS TREE AND GROVE NOT INCLUDING IN THE NET AREA

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	LAKHISARAI	6.96
2	MADHUBANI	6.43
3	MUZAFFARPUR	6.24
4	SITAMARHI	6.24
5	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	5.44

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF LAND UNDER MISCELLANEOUS TREE AND GROVE NOT INCLUDING IN THE NET AREA


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SARAN	0.18
2	BHOJPUR	0.20
3	MADHEPURA	0.20
4	AURANGABAD	0.24
5	BUXAR	0.30

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF LAND UNDER MISCELLANEOUS TREE AND GROVE NOT INCLUDING IN THE NET AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA : 2005 – 06

**BIHAR –2.57**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF LAND PUT UNDER NON AGRICULTURAL USES TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF LAND PUT UNDER NON AGRICULTURAL USES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	VAISHALI	28.54
2	MUZAFFARPUR	28.01
3	KATIHAR	26.55
4	MADHUBANI	24.19
5	BUXAR	23.70

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF LAND PUT UNDER NON AGRICULTURAL USES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BHOJPUR	9.81
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	10.05
3	MADHEPURA	10.69
4	ROHTAS	12.02
5	SIWAN	12.54



**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF LAND PUT UNDER NON AGRICULTURAL USES TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA:

2005 – 06

**BIHAR –17.59**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF OTHER FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF OTHER FALLOW LAND

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MADHEPURA	5.55
2	ARARIA	5.54
3	KISHANGANJ	4.94
4	GAYA	3.31
5	SUPAUL	3.19

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF OTHER FALLOW LAND


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NALANDA	0.08
2	BHAGALPUR	0.19
3	ROHTAS	0.21
4	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	0.37
5	MUZAFFARPUR	0.39

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF OTHER FALLOW LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR –1.38**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF PERMANENT PASTURE LAND AND OTHER GRAZING LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF PASTURE LAND AND OTHER GRAZING LAND

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MUNGER	0.71
2	MUZAFFARPUR	0.63
3	ARARIA	0.56
4	PURNIA	0.56
5	GAYA	0.44

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF PASTURE LAND AND OTHER GRAZING LAND


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	DARBHANGA	0.01
2	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	0.01
3	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	0.02
4	BEGUSARAI	0.03
5	PATNA	0.03

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF PERMANENT PASTURE LAND AND OTHER GRAZING LAND TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR -0.19**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF WATER LOGGED AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006 – 07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF WATER LOGGED AREA

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SITAMARHI	8.28
2	MUNGER	8.06
3	KHAGARIA	8.01
4	SHEOHAR	7.32
5	DARBHANGA	6.75

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF WATER LOGGED AREA


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	AURANGABAD	1.03
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	1.11
3	GOPALGANJ	1.23
4	SIWAN	1.59
5	JAMUI	1.66

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF WATER LOGGED AREA TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006 – 07

**BIHAR –3.86**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FORESTS TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF FOREST COVER

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	33.01
2	JAMUI	30.42
3	NAWADA	25.64
4	MUNGER	20.4
5	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	18.94

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF FOREST COVER


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	0.02
2	BHAGALPUR	0.03
3	PURBA CHAMPARAN	0.03
4	PURNIA	0.04
5	KISHANGANJ	0.19

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER FORESTS TO TOTAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07

**BIHAR – 6.64**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER COMMERCIAL CROPS TO GROSS CROPPED AREA 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER COMMERCIAL CROPS TO GROSS CROPPED AREA

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	19.97
2	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	17.04
3	ARARIA	16.13
4	GOPALGANJ	15.82
5	KATI HAR	15.56

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER COMMERCIAL CROPS TO GROSS CROPPED AREA


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	LAKHISARAI	0.40
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	0.56
3	ROHTAS	1.00
4	SAHARSA	1.00
5	MUNGER	1.01

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER COMMERCIAL CROPS TO GROSS CROPPED AREA 2006-07

**BIHAR -5.96**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA SOWN TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA SOWN TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREA

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BUXAR	85.30
2	BHOJPUR	78.74
3	NALANDA	78.30
4	SARAN	72.71
5	SIWAN	72.60

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA SOWN TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREA


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JAMUI	21.24
2	MUNGER	36.64
3	NAWADA	41.30
4	GAYA	41.44
5	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	47.00

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF NET AREA SOWN TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREA 2006-07

**BIHAR -60.53**



## BIHAR

### CROPPING INTENSITY 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER CROPPING INTENSITY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SAHARSA	1.76
2	SHEOHAR	1.70
3	SUPAUL	1.64
4	SITAMARHI	1.61
5	MADHEPURA	1.58

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER CROPPING INTENSITY



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BANKA	1.07
2	DARBHANGA	1.11
3	PATNA	1.12
4	JAMUI	1.13
5	BHAGALPUR	1.17

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## CROPPING INTENSITY 2006-07

**BIHAR -1.36**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF GROSS IRRIGATED AREA TO GROSS AREA SOWN 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF GROSS IRRIGATED AREA TO GROSS AREA SOWN

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ROHTAS	93.53
2	NAWADA	86.72
3	GAYA	86.66
4	NALANDA	86.16
5	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	84.96

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF GROSS IRRIGATED AREA TO GROSS AREA SOWN


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	25.28
2	JAMUI	33.92
3	SITAMARHI	39.04
4	ARARIA	39.88
5	MUZAFFARPUR	40.34

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF GROSS IRRIGATED AREA TO GROSS AREA SOWN 2006-07

**BIHAR -60.19**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF NET IRRIGATED AREA TO NET AREA SOWN 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF NET IRRIGATED AREA TO NET AREA SOWN

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ROHTAS	99.73
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	98.55
3	AURANGABAD	96.53
4	NAWADA	89.16
5	BHOJPUR	88.17

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF NET IRRIGATED AREA TO NET AREA SOWN


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	23.49
2	JAMUI	26.84
3	MADHUBANI	35.98
4	ARARIA	36.60
5	PURNIA	36.96

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF NET IRRIGATED AREA TO NET AREA SOWN 2006-07

**BIHAR -61.11**



## BIHAR

### CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZER IN KG/HECTARE 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZER

SL NO	NAME	KG/HECTARE
1	KHAGARIA	0.64
2	BHAGALPUR	0.49
3	PATNA	0.49
4	BHOJPUR	0.48
5	BEGUSARAI	0.45

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZER


SL NO	NAME	KG/HECTARE
1	SUPAUL	0.08
2	MADHUBANI	0.10
3	KISHANGANJ	0.11
4	SHEOHAR	0.12
5	GOPALGANJ	0.14

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZER IN KG/HECTARE 2006-07

BIHAR -0.27



## BIHAR

### AVERAGE YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (AVERAGE)

SL NO	NAME	KG/HECTARE
1	ROHTAS	2694
2	KHAGARIA	2486
3	BHOJPUR	2328
4	LAKHISARAI	2183
5	AURANGABAD	2170

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS (AVERAGE)


SL NO	NAME	KG/HECTARE
1	SHEOHAR	954
2	ARARIA	1027
3	KISHANGANJ	1038
4	MADHUBANI	1042
5	SITAMARHI	1139

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## AVERAGE YIELD OF FOOD GRAINS 2006-07

**BIHAR -1687**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER BHADAI CROPS: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER BHADAI CROPS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PURBA CHAMPARAN	37.87
2	SHEOHAR	31.62
3	DARBHANGA	31.41
4	LAKHISARAI	25.90
5	GOPALGANJ	25.67

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER BHADAI CROPS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ROHTAS	0.46
2	SARAN	0.70
3	BHOJPUR	0.74
4	JEHANABAD	1.07
5	NAWADA	1.83

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER BHADAI CROPS: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR –15.39**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER AGAHANI CROPS: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER AGAHANI CROPS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ARARIA	67.63
2	PURNIA	64.78
3	NAWADA	58.12
4	JEHANABAD	57.66
5	SARAN	56.80

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER AGAHANI CROPS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	11.85
2	DARBHANGA	13.40
3	PURBA CHAMPARAN	15.03
4	SAMASTIPUR	23.03
5	SHEOHAR	26.70

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER AGAHANI CROPS: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR –37.26**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER GARMA CROPS: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER GARMA CROPS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BEGUSARAI	21.39
2	MUNGER	21.03
3	KHAGARIA	19.07
4	BHAGALPUR	18.15
5	JAMUI	17.33

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER GARMA CROPS


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MADHEPURA	0.02
2	SARAN	0.08
3	ROHTAS	0.12
4	BHOJPUR	0.23
5	SUPAUL	0.24

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER GARMA CROPS: 2005 – 06

### BIHAR –8.30



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER RABI CROPS: 2005 – 06

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER RABI CROPS

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	59.26
2	SUPAUL	53.99
3	GAYA	53.25
4	BUXAR	52.69
5	NALANDA	49.59

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER RABI CROPS



SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SHEIKHPURA	18.46
2	ARARIA	21.99
3	PURNIA	23.98
4	KHAGARIA	26.04
5	LAKHISARAI	28.20

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF AREA UNDER RABI CROPS: 2005 – 06

**BIHAR –39.05**





## BIHAR

### NORMAL RAINFALL (IN MM)

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER RAINFALL (NORMAL)

SL NO	NAME	MM
1	KISHANGANJ	2141.70
2	ARARIA	1629.20
3	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	1510.40
4	PURNIA	1411.60
5	SHEOHAR	1357.80

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER RAINFALL (NORMAL)


SL NO	NAME	MM
1	PATNA	973.10
2	NALANDA	977.90
3	BUXAR	1021.50
4	NAWADA	1037.60
5	VAISHALI	1045.00

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## NORMAL RAINFALL

**BIHAR -1176.40**



## BIHAR

### ACTUAL RAINFALL (IN MM) 2008

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER RAINFALL (ACTUAL)

SL NO	NAME	MM
1	KISHANGANJ	2193.80
2	ARARIA	1657.70
3	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	1534.80
4	VAISHALI	1475.30
5	SARAN	1448.00

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER RAINFALL (ACTUAL)



SL NO	NAME	MM
1	JAMUI	663.70
2	SITAMARHI	704.70
3	DARBHANGA	759.40
4	MADHUBANI	845.40
5	BANKA	896.70

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## ACTUAL RAINFALL 2008

**BIHAR -1191.41**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS: 1995 – 96

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SITAMARHI	626814
2	PURBA CHAMPARAN	584169
3	MADHUBANI	518396
4	MUZAFFARPUR	513243
5	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	498041

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	BUXAR	133254
2	KISHANGANJ	175598
3	SAHARSA	182278
4	KHAGARIA	182406
5	JEHANABAD	185388


**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER AGRICULTURAL CENSUS 1995-96)**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE OF SITAMARHI INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF SHEOHAR DISTRICT. FIGURE OF MUNGER INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF LAKHISARAI & SHEIKHPURA DISTRICTS.**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS: 1995 – 96

**BIHAR – 11382134**



## BIHAR

### AVERAGE SIZE OF OPERATIONAL HOLDING (IN HECTARE): 1995 – 96

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER SIZE OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS (AVERAGE)

SL NO	NAME	HECTARE
1	BUXAR	1.10
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	1.10
3	GAYA	0.90
4	ROHTAS	0.90
5	AURANGABAD	0.80

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER SIZE OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS (AVERAGE)

SL NO	NAME	HECTARE
1	VAISHALI	0.30
2	BEGUSARAI	0.40
3	SITAMARHI	0.40
4	SARAN	0.50
5	SIWAN	0.50



**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR (AS PER AGRICULTURAL CENSUS 1995-96)**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE OF SITAMARHI INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF SHEOHAR. FIGURE OF MUNGER INCLUDES THE FIGURE OF LAKHISARAI & SHEIKHPURA.**

# BIHAR

## AVERAGE SIZE OF OPERATIONAL HOLDING (IN HECTARE): 1995 – 96

**BIHAR –0.60**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PAVED APPROACH ROAD 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES WITH PAVED APPROACH ROAD

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	MUZAFFARPUR	58.56
2	SHEIKHPURA	54.21
3	SAMASTIPUR	50.96
4	MADHUBANI	49.23
5	ARARIA	43.92

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES WITH PAVED APPROACH ROAD


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BANKA	17.05
2	JAMUI	19.85
3	KATIHAR	20.48
4	BUXAR	21.69
5	PURNIA	22.17

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PAVED APPROACH ROAD 2001

**BIHAR -33.29**



## BIHAR

### LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MAJOR DISTRICT ROADS (MDRs) PER LAKH POPULATION

31<sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MDRs PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	KISHANGANJ	37.88
2	SUPAUL	35.55
3	ROHTAS	30.59
4	SHEIKHPURA	28.93
5	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	27.92

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MDRs PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	MUNGER	10.44
2	PURBA CHAMPARAN	12.06
3	SITAMARHI	13.11
4	SHEOHAR	13.30
5	VAISHALI	13.99


**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF ROAD CONSTRUCTION, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MAJOR DISTRICT ROADS (MDRs) PER LAKH POPULATION

31<sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005

**BIHAR - 737.778**



## BIHAR

### LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MAJOR DISTRICT ROADS (MDRs) PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA 31<sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MDRs PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	SUPAUL	325.53
2	PATNA	285.70
3	KISHANGANJ	260.56
4	DARBHANGA	231.31
5	GOPALGANJ	226.01

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MDRs PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA



SL NO	NAME	KM
1	MUNGER	83.70
2	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	88.97
3	BANKA	100.48
4	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	107.06
5	LAKHISARAI	110.62

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF ROAD CONSTRUCTION, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### LENGTH OF HIGHWAYS AND MAJOR DISTRICT ROADS (MDRs) PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA 31<sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2005

**BIHAR – 6400.86**



## BIHAR

### LENGTH OF TOTAL RURAL ROADS PER LAKH POPULATION 2004 – 05

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	SHEIKHPURA	56.21
2	NAWADA	54.28
3	JAMUI	48.28
4	ROHTAS	45.99
5	JEHANABAD	45.96

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	SUPAUL	9.96
2	DARBHANGA	14.42
3	SAHARSA	17.33
4	SHEOHAR	21.13
5	PATNA	22.24


**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF RURAL WORKS, PATNA, BIHAR**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE RELATES TO ONLY THOSE ROADS WHICH ARE MAINTAINED BY RURAL WORKS DEPARTMENT.**

# BIHAR

## LENGTH OF TOTAL RURAL ROADS PER LAKH POPULATION 2004 – 05

**BIHAR –31.72**





## BIHAR

### LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA 2004 – 05

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	JEHANABAD	443.59
2	NALANDA	430.23
3	SHEIKHPURA	428.69
4	SITAMARHI	422.78
5	VAISHALI	394.43

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA

SL NO	NAME	KM
1	SUPAUL	71.16
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	141.26
3	SAHARSA	154.96
4	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	168.02
5	GAYA	204.60


**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF RURAL WORKS, PATNA, BIHAR**

**NOTE: THE FIGURE RELATES TO ONLY THOSE ROADS WHICH ARE MAINTAINED BY RURAL WORKS DEPARTMENT.**

## BIHAR

### LENGTH OF RURAL ROADS PER THOUSAND SQ KM IN AREA 2004 – 05

**BIHAR –277.93**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF BRANCHES OF SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS 2008 – 09

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF BRANCHES OF SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PATNA	424
2	MUZAFFARPUR	213
3	GAYA	185
4	PURBA CHAMPARAN	177
5	MADHUBANI	168

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF BRANCHES OF SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	22
2	SHEIKHPURA	27
3	LAKHISARAI	42
4	KHAGARIA	59
5	KISHANGANJ	59

**DATA SOURCE: INSTITUTIONAL FINANCE, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF BRANCHES OF SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS 2008 – 09

### BIHAR -111



## BIHAR

### CREDIT DEPOSIT RATIO 2008

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER CREDIT DEPOSIT RATIO

SL NO	NAME	RATIO
1	KISHANGANJ	49.10
2	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	47.31
3	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	47.13
4	PURNIA	45.09
5	LAKHISARAI	43.98

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER CREDIT DEPOSIT RATIO



SL NO	NAME	RATIO
1	SIWAN	18.78
2	BHOJPUR	20.74
3	ROHTAS	22.91
4	NAWADA	22.91
5	DARBHANGA	22.99

**DATA SOURCE: INSTITUTIONAL FINANCE, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## CREDIT DEPOSIT RATIO 2008

**BIHAR -29.89**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PRIMARY SCHOOL FACILITY 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PRIMARY SCHOOL FACILITY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NALANDA	85.23
2	PATNA	85.03
3	SITAMARHI	84.91
4	MADHUBANI	82.72
5	PURBA CHAMPARAN	82.02

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PRIMARY SCHOOL FACILITY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BEGUSARAI	42.33
2	BHAGALPUR	42.83
3	BANKA	44.62
4	MUNGER	46.46
5	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	46.96

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING PRIMARY SCHOOLS FACILITY 2001

**BIHAR –63.93**





## BIHAR

### ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS: 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PURBA CHAMPARAN	838889
2	MUZAFFARPUR	835868
3	MADHUBANI	830458
4	SAMASTIPUR	825230
5	AURANGABAD	716250

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEIKHPURA	96910
2	SHEOHAR	126429
3	LAKHISARAI	163689
4	MUNGER	224364
5	KHAGARIA	284577

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS: 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –17664232**



## BIHAR

### PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (PRIMARY SCHOOLS): 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (PRIMARY SCHOOLS)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SITAMARHI	66.45
2	PURNIA	66.05
3	PATNA	64.87
4	SAMASTIPUR	63.01
5	BANKA	61.90

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (PRIMARY SCHOOLS)


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	LAKHISARAI	39.89
2	SAHARSA	43.59
3	MADHEPURA	44.60
4	ROHTAS	46.34
5	SUPAUL	46.52

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (PRIMARY SCHOOLS): 2007 – 08

**BIHAR – 53.75**



## BIHAR

### ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS: 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	NALANDA	82635
2	SARAN	67615
3	AURANGABAD	61117
4	MUZAFFARPUR	57591
5	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	55393

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	4234
2	KISHANGANJ	7024
3	SHEIKHPURA	8934
4	ARARIA	13248
5	SAHARSA	14938

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## ENROLMENT OF STUDENTS IN UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS: 2007 – 08

**BIHAR -1255226**



## BIHAR

### PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS): 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	VAISHALI	78.30
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	70.56
3	GOPALGANJ	65.91
4	SARAN	59.63
5	BUXAR	56.92

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS)


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	NALANDA	28.16
2	SAHARSA	33.34
3	SHEIKHPURA	37.07
4	MADHUBANI	38.08
5	MUNGER	38.14

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOLS): 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –47.70**





## BIHAR

### OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 – 14 YEARS) 2008

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 – 14 YEARS)

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ARARIA	12.30
2	SITAMARHI	11.70
3	PURNIA	10.00
4	LAKHISARAI	8.40
5	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	8.10

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 – 14 YEARS)


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BUXAR	0.30
2	GOPALGANJ	1.20
3	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	1.80
4	AURANGABAD	1.90
5	ROHTAS	2.30

**DATA SOURCE: ANNUAL STATUS OF EDUCATION REPORT 2008**

# BIHAR

## OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN (6 – 14 YEARS) 2008

**BIHAR –5.70**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING ANY HEALTH FACILITIES 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING ANY HEALTH FACILITIES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KHAGARIA	41.20
2	MADHEPURA	32.05
3	MUZAFFARPUR	29.34
4	SHEOHAR	28.08
5	PATNA	27.41

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING ANY HEALTH FACILITIES


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BANKA	6.92
2	ROHTAS	7.42
3	SUPAUL	8.09
4	JAMUI	8.23
5	BUXAR	8.38

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING ANY HEALTH FACILITIES 2001

**BIHAR -15.74**



**BIHAR:**

**NUMBER OF HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION: 2007 – 08**

**DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION**

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	JEHANABAD	8.95
2	SHEIKHPURA	4.19
3	AURANGABAD	3.49
4	NALANDA	2.87
5	JAMUI	2.65

**DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION**


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	KISHANGANJ	1.39
2	BHOJPUR	1.48
3	SIWAN	1.55
4	ARARIA	1.60
5	MUZAFFARPUR	1.63

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION: 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –2.03**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF BEDS IN HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION: 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF BEDS IN HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PATNA	75.57
2	BHAGALPUR	53.28
3	SHEIKHPURA	36.94
4	DARBHANGA	36.52
5	GAYA	32.99

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF BEDS IN HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION


SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	JEHANABAD	4.69
2	BUXAR	4.70
3	SITAMARHI	6.37
4	KISHANGANJ	7.42
5	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	7.47

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, PATNA, BIHAR**

## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF BEDS IN HOSPITALS/DISPENSARIES PER LAKH POPULATION: 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –20.27**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN HAVING COMPLETE IMMUNIZATION: 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN HAVING COMPLETE IMMUNIZATION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SARAN	65.10
2	MUZAFFARPUR	57.40
3	AURANGABAD	56.50
4	NALANDA	54.20
5	VAISHALI	53.30

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN HAVING COMPLETE IMMUNIZATION


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	JAMUI	17.40
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	21.90
3	KISHANGANJ	26.60
4	BUXAR	27.70
5	SHEOHAR	28.30

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (DLHS III: 2007 – 08), PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN HAVING COMPLETE IMMUNIZATION: 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –41.4**



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN HAVING SAFE DELIVERY: 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS WITH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN HAVING SAFE DELIVERY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	ROHTAS	69.30
2	PATNA	64.00
3	MUNGER	59.10
4	LAKHISARAI	58.80
5	JEHANABAD	53.10

#### DISTRICTS WITH LOWER PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN HAVING SAFE DELIVERY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	SHEOHAR	15.30
2	KATI HAR	16.30
3	DARBHANGA	18.00
4	ARARIA	19.70
5	MADHUBANI	20.00

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (DLHS III: 2007 – 08), PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN HAVING SAFE DELIVERY: 2007 – 08

**BIHAR –31.9**



## BIHAR

### DENSITY OF LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM 2003

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER DENSITY OF LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM

SL NO	NAME	LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM
1	SAHARSA	228
2	SUPAUL	228
3	MUZAFFARPUR	225
4	GOPALGANJ	215
5	MADHEPURA	207

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER DENSITY OF LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM


SL NO	NAME	LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM
1	PURBA CHAMPARAN	112
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	120
3	LAKHISARAI	129
4	SHEOHAR	129
5	MUNGER	139

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## DENSITY OF LIVESTOCK PER SQ KM 2003

BIHAR – 172



## BIHAR

### DENSITY OF POULTRY PER SQ KM 2003

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER DENSITY OF POULTRY PER SQ KM

SL NO	NAME	POULTRY PER SQ KM
1	KISHANGANJ	558
2	KATIHAR	445
3	ARARIA	292
4	PURNIA	277
5	VAISHALI	202

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER DENSITY OF POULTRY PER SQ KM


SL NO	NAME	POULTRY PER SQ KM
1	LAKHISARAI	44
2	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	64
3	MUNGER	72
4	JEHANABAD	75
5	BUXAR	78

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## DENSITY OF POULTRY PER SQ KM 2003

BIHAR – 148





## BIHAR

### AVERAGE LIVESTOCK POPULATION SERVED PER VETERINARY HOSPITAL/DISPENSARY 2003

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER POPULATION OF LIVESTOCK SERVED PER VETERINARY HOSPITAL/DISPENSARY

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	JAMUI	41411
2	BANKA	31233
3	ARARIA	30633
4	SUPAUL	28924
5	JEHANABAD	27222

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER POPULATION OF LIVESTOCK SERVED PER VETERINARY HOSPITAL/DISPENSARY



SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEIKHPURA	7515
2	SARAN	11071
3	DARBHANGA	13799
4	GOPALGANJ	13948
5	PATNA	14168

**DATA SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## AVERAGE LIVESTOCK POPULATION SERVED PER VETERINARY HOSPITAL/DISPENSARY 2003

### BIHAR – 19079



## BIHAR

### DISTRICT WISE FISH PRODUCTION 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER FISH PRODUCTION

SL NO	NAME	MT
1	MADHUBANI	15250
2	DARBHANGA	14940
3	PURBA CHAMPARAN	13700
4	PASHCHIM CHAMPARAN	13250
5	MUZAFFARPUR	12600

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER FISH PRODUCTION


SL NO	NAME	MT
1	AURANGABAD	2400
2	ARARIA	3640
3	GAYA	3900
4	BANKA	4000
5	JEHANABAD	4010

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## DISTRICT WISE FISH PRODUCTION 2007 – 08

**BIHAR – 280532**



## BIHAR

### SHARE OF DISTRICT IN TOTAL MILK PRODUCTION 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER SHARE IN MILK PRODUCTION

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PATNA	6.14
2	ROHTAS	5.43
3	BHOJPUR	5.01
4	BHAGALPUR	4.25
5	SAMASTIPUR	4.13

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER SHARE IN MILK PRODUCTION


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	KISHANGANJ	0.58
2	KHAGARIA	1.19
3	KATIHAR	1.31
4	ARARIA	1.36
5	MADHEPURA	1.37

**DATA SOURCE: DAIRY, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## SHARE OF DISTRICT IN TOTAL MILK PRODUCTION 2007 – 08

BIHAR -7416327804



## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	VAISHALI	61.80
2	MUZAFFARPUR	56.90
3	SAMASTIPUR	54.00
4	NAWADA	46.70
5	PATNA	43.20

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BANKA	13.69
2	KISHANGANJ	15.05
3	SUPAUL	17.39
4	JAMUI	17.93
5	JAMUI	17.93

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRIFIED VILLAGES 2001

**BIHAR -31.34**





## BIHAR

### PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING DRINKING WATER FACILITY 2001

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING DRINKING WATER FACILITY

SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	PURBA CHAMPARAN	97.04
2	MUZAFFARPUR	96.21
3	SUPAUL	96.09
4	SITAMARHI	96.05
5	ARARIA	95.46

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING DRINKING WATER FACILITY


SL NO	NAME	PERCENT
1	BEGUSARAI	60.15
2	MUNGER	60.98
3	BHAGALPUR	61.51
4	BUXAR	72.22
5	LAKHISARAI	75.64

**DATA SOURCE: CENSUS OF INDIA 2001**

# BIHAR

## PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES HAVING DRINKING WATER FACILITY 2001

**BIHAR -86.37**



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF POST OFFICES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF POST OFFICES PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	23
2	SHEIKHPURA	21
3	AURANGABAD	19
4	NALANDA	17
5	BUXAR	15

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF POST OFFICES PER LAKH POPULATION



SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	ARARIA	6
2	KISHANGANJ	7
3	MUNGER	7
4	PATNA	7
5	KATIHAR	7

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF POST OFFICES PER LAKH POPULATION 2006-07

### BIHAR -11



## BIHAR

### NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08

#### DISTRICTS HAVING HIGHER NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	PATNA	652
2	MUZAFFARPUR	402
3	GOPALGANJ	355
4	KAIMUR (BHABUA)	309
5	BHAGALPUR	254

#### DISTRICTS HAVING LOWER NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES PER LAKH POPULATION

SL NO	NAME	NUMBER
1	SHEOHAR	28
2	AURANGABAD	30
3	SITAMARHI	51
4	PURBA CHAMPARAN	53
5	SUPAUL	56

**DATA SOURCE: DIRECTORATE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, PATNA, BIHAR**

# BIHAR

## NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES PER LAKH POPULATION 2007 – 08

### BIHAR -179

