## NAAC Methodology of Assessment & Accreditation

(Effective from 1st April 2007)



### NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission P.O. Box No. 1075, Nagarbhavi, Bangalore - 560 072. INDIA

#### Published by:

The Director,

**National Assessment and Accredited Council** 

P.O. Box No. 1075, Nagarbhavi, Bangalore - 560 072. INDIA

A part of this document was prepared by **Dr. Mariamma A. Varghese** and **Dr. Katre Shakuntala** and this revised version has been prepared by NAAC Staff with the help of Prof. K.P.S. Unny.

ISBN No: 978-81-89842-08-6

© NAAC (2011)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilised in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permissions of the publisher.

Log on to www.naac.gov.in to download this document

## Printed by:

National Printing Press,

Bangalore. Ph: +91 8025710658, 41103686 Fax: +91 8025714965

## CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION
2.	THE NAAC METHODOLOGY OF ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION 1
	2.1 Criteria, Key Aspects, Assessment Indicators and Weightages
	2.1.1 Criteria, Key Aspects and Assessment Indicators
	2.1.2 Weightages
	2.2 The Grading System 6
	2.3 Advantages of the CGPA System
	2.4 The Assessment Outcome
	2.4.1 Peer Team Report
	2.4.2 Institutional Grading
	2.5 Period of Validity of Accreditation
3.	ELIGIBILITY OF HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS (HEIs) TO BE ACCREDITED
4.	THE PROCESS OF INSTITUTIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION
	4.1 Letter of Intent (LoI)
	4.2 Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment (IEQA)
	4.2.1 Benefits of Obtaining IEQA Status14
	4.2.2 IEQA Instrument
	4.2.3 Procedure for seeking IEQA Status
	4.2.4 Follow up of Obtaining / not Obtaining IEQA Status
	4.3 Preparation and Submission of SSR/RAR
	4.4 Peer Team Visit

4.5 M	echanism for Appeals	16
	4.5.1 Grievance Redressal Committee	16
	4.5.2 Re-assessment	17
4.6 Re	e-accreditation	17
ANNEXUE	<u>RES</u>	
Annexure: 1	Format of Letter of Intention (LOI) for Affiliated/ Constituent Colleges	. 20
Annexure: 2	Format of Letter of Intention (LOI) for Autonomous Colleges	. 24
Annexure: 3	Format of Letter of Intention (LOI) for Universities	. 28
Annexure: 4	Format of the application for "Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment" (IEQA)	. 32
Annexure: 5	Format of the application for "Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment" (IEQA) for Teacher Education/Physical Education Colleges	. 43

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC), as an autonomous institution of the University Grants Commission (UGC), has been entrusted with the responsibility of Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) of Higher Educational Institutions (HEIs) in India.

To improve the quality of its A&A process, the NAAC has been engaged, in redesigning its on-going methodology of Assessment and Accreditation, based on its own field experience, its shared knowledge with other International Quality Assurance Agencies and the quality imperatives in the changing context of world-wide higher education scenario. After several consultative meetings with academia and educational experts, feedback responses from various stakeholders and due approval by the competent Authorities of the NAAC, it has prepared the present NAAC Methodology of Assessment and Accreditation of HEIs. The present Methodology has come into effect from 1st April 2007.

## 2. THE NAAC METHODOLOGY OF ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION

The NAAC *Methodology of Assessment and Accreditation* has been designed in order to overcome some of the limitations of its earlier methodology, and to enhance its rigour, reliability and validity. It has taken into consideration the wide variations in quality levels of different types of HEIs, viz., Universities, Autonomous Colleges, Colleges with Potential for Excellence (CPE) and Affiliated/Constituent Colleges. Besides envisaging significant reduction in inter-team variations, the present assessment instrument is fine-tuned for greater objectivity and designed to be user-friendly and is, therefore, expected to enable the NAAC to conduct the assessment of large numbers of institutions effectively and in a short time.

#### 2.1 Criteria, Key Aspects, Assessment Indicators and Weightages

#### 2.1.1 Criteria, Key Aspects and Assessment Indicators

Since the accreditation framework of the NAAC is expected to assess the institution's contributions towards the five core values identified by NAAC, viz. Contributing to National Development, Fostering Global Competencies among Students, Inculcating a Value System among Students, Promoting Use of Technology and Quest for Excellence, the NAAC has integrated these into the seven criteria used for Assessment and Accreditation, which are:

- 1. Curricular Aspects
- 2. Teaching-Learning and Evaluation
- 3. Research, Consultancy and Extension
- 4. Infrastructure and Learning Resources
- 5. Student Support and Progression
- 6. Governance and Leadership
- 7. Innovative Practices

Note: The present Methodology has some differences from its earlier version followed in the past. The modifications are in the nomenclature of the 6th and 7th Criteria.

Each of these seven criteria is further divided into certain number of 'Key Aspects'.

The seven Criteria and key aspects identified under them have been designed to bring rigour in the assessment of the institution. Each key aspect of a criterion has a number of Assessment Indicators, which may be used as guidelines/ probes by the Peer Team members to capture the micro-level quality parameters of the institution and to work out the Key Aspect-wise Grade Points (KA-GPs) and Criterion-wise Grade Point Averages (CR-GPAs) in order to arrive at an enhanced adjudication of the quality status of the institution. Details can be seen also on the NAAC website: www.naac.gov.in.

#### 2.1.2 Weightages

The NAAC has categorized the Higher Educational Institutions into three major types (University, Autonomous College, and Affiliated/Constituent College) and assigned different weightages to these criteria and the key aspects comprising them based on the functioning and organizational focus of the three types of HEIs.

The criterion-wise differential weightages for the three types of HEIs are detailed in the Table 1 given below:

Table 1

No	Criterion	University	Autonomous College	Affiliated/ Constituent College
I	Curricular Acrosto	150	100	50
1	Curricular Aspects	(15%)	(10%)	(5%)
II	Teaching-Learning and	250	350	450
11	Evaluation	(25%)	(35%)	(45%)
TTT	Research, Consultancy	200	150	100
III	and Extension	(20%)	(15%)	(10%)
IV	Infrastructure and	100	100	100
IV	Learning Resources	(10%)	(10%)	(10%)
V	Student Support and	100	100	100
V	Progression	(10%)	(10%)	(10%)
VI	Governance and	150	150	150
VI	Leadership	(15%)	(15%)	(15%)
3711	Innovetive pueties	50	50	50
VII	Innovative practices	(5%)	(5%)	(5%)
	Total Score	1000	1000	1000

The Key Aspects and the Key Aspect-wise differential Weightages under each Criterion are as specified in the following Table:

Table 2

Criteria	Key Aspects	Univer- sity	Autonomous College	Affiliated College	
			Weightages		
	1. 1 Curricular design and	90	50	10	
its	development				
bec	1. 2 Academic flexibility	30	20	15	
As	1. 3 Feedback on curriculum	10	10	10	
 ılar	1. 4 Curriculum update	10	10	05	
rica	1. 5 Best Practices in Curricular	10	10	10	
1. Curricular Aspects	Aspects				
1.0	Total	150	100	50	
	2. 1 Admission Process and student	20	30	30	
_    -	profile				
ng gu	2. 2 Catering to diverse needs	20	35	45	
rni	profile  2. 2 Catering to diverse needs  2. 3 Teaching-Learning process  2. 4 Teacher Quality  2. 5 Evaluation process and Reforms  2. 6 Best Practices in Teaching,  Learning and Evaluation  Total		170	270	
Lea			65	65	
ng-			40	30	
chi: atic			10	10	
Tea alu	Learning and Evaluation				
2. ' Ev	Total	250	350	450	
	3. 1 Promotion of Research	40	30	15	
	3. 2 Research and publication output	90	50	25	
ਰ	3. 3 Consultancy	20	10	05	
an '	3. 4 Extension activities	30	40	40	
ch, ncy	3. 5 Collaborations	10	10	05	
ear ulta sion	3. 6 Best Practices in Research,	10	10	10	
3. Research, Consultancy and Extension	Consultancy & Extension				
3. EX	Total	200	150	100	

4. 1 Physical facilities for learning	20	20	20
4. 2 Maintenance of infrastructure	10	10	10
4. 3 Library as a learning resource	35	35	35
4. 4 ICT as Learning Resources	15	15	15
4. 5 Other facilities	10	10	10
4. 6 Best Practices in the development	10	10	10
of Infrastructure and Learning			
Resources			
Total	100	100	100
5. 1 Student progression	30	30	30
5. 2 Student support	30	30	30
5. 3 Student activities	30	30	30
5. 4 Best Practices in Student Support	10	10	10
and Progression			
Total	100	100	100
6. 1 Institutional vision and	15	15	15
leadership			
6. 2 Organizational arrangements	20	20	20
6. 3 Strategy development and	30	30	30
deployment			
6, 4 Human Resource Management	40	40	40
6. 5 Financial management and	35	35	35
resource mobilization			
6. 6 Best Practices in Governance and	10	10	10
Leadership			
Total	150	150	150
7. 1 Internal Quality Assurance	20	20	20
System			
7. 2 Inclusive practices	15	15	15
7. 3 Stakeholder relationships	15	15	15
System 7. 2 Inclusive practices 7. 3 Stakeholder relationships Total		50	50
TOTAL SCORE	1000	1000	1000
	4. 2 Maintenance of infrastructure 4. 3 Library as a learning resource 4. 4 ICT as Learning Resources 4. 5 Other facilities 4. 6 Best Practices in the development of Infrastructure and Learning Resources  Total 5. 1 Student progression 5. 2 Student support 5. 3 Student activities 5. 4 Best Practices in Student Support and Progression  Total 6. 1 Institutional vision and leadership 6. 2 Organizational arrangements 6. 3 Strategy development and deployment 6, 4 Human Resource Management 6, 5 Financial management and resource mobilization 6. 6 Best Practices in Governance and Leadership  Total 7. 1 Internal Quality Assurance System 7. 2 Inclusive practices 7. 3 Stakeholder relationships  Total	4. 2 Maintenance of infrastructure 4. 3 Library as a learning resource 35 4. 4 ICT as Learning Resources 15 4. 5 Other facilities 10 4. 6 Best Practices in the development of Infrastructure and Learning Resources  Total 100 5. 1 Student progression 30 5. 2 Student support 30 5. 3 Student activities 30 5. 4 Best Practices in Student Support and Progression  Total 100 6. 1 Institutional vision and leadership 6. 2 Organizational arrangements 20 6. 3 Strategy development and deployment 6, 4 Human Resource Management 6, 5 Financial management and resource mobilization 6. 6 Best Practices in Governance and Leadership  Total 7. 1 Internal Quality Assurance System 7. 2 Inclusive practices 15 7. 3 Stakeholder relationships 15 Total 50	4. 2 Maintenance of infrastructure       10       10         4. 3 Library as a learning resource       35       35         4. 4 ICT as Learning Resources       15       15         4. 5 Other facilities       10       10         4. 6 Best Practices in the development of Infrastructure and Learning Resources       10       10         Total       100       100       100         5. 1 Student progression       30       30       30         5. 2 Student support       30       30       30         5. 3 Student activities       30       30       30         5. 4 Best Practices in Student Support and Progression       10       10       10         6. 1 Institutional vision and leadership       15       15       15         6. 2 Organizational arrangements       20       20       20         6. 3 Strategy development and deployment       40       40       40       40       40         6. 5 Financial management and resource Management       40

#### 2.2 The Grading System

In this Methodology, the institutions are graded for each Key Aspect under four categories, viz. A, B, C and D, denoting *Very good, Good, Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory* levels respectively. The summated score for all the Key Aspects under a Criterion is then calculated with the appropriate weightage applied to it and the GPA is worked out for the Criterion. The Cumulative GPA (CGPA), which gives the final Assessment Outcome, is then calculated from the seven GPAs pertaining to the seven Criteria, after applying the prescribed weightage to each Criterion.

Note: This Grading System is on a 4-point scale and is thus different from the earlier Grading Pattern on a 9-point scale.

#### 2.3 Advantages of the CGPA System

- Letter grades converted to Numerical Grade Points (overall score in Cumulative Grade Point Average);
- Qualitative measurements converted to grade points
- Wider scope for normalizing the scores
- Extreme biases (if any) could be minimized
- A one point difference between two letter grades, with 50 or 100 points assigned between two successive letter grades results in appreciable fine-tuning of the process.
- Relative evaluation would be more exact, due to a reduction in variations and standard deviations
- Inter-Peer Team variations are substantially reduce
- With less scope for adjustment at any stage and therefore Judgment of the Peer Team would be more accurate.

Details of how the institutional CGPA is computed can be seen in the publication 'The New Grading System'.

#### 2.4 The Assessment Outcome

There are two outcomes of Assessment and Accreditation:

#### 2.4.1 Peer Team Report

The qualitative part of the outcome is called Peer Team Report (PTR) which is an objective report prepared by the Team highlighting its evaluative judgements ,mostly using precise keywords instead of long sentences. The PTR is thus an authentic document regarding the quality profile of the institution, comprising the criterion-wise quality assessment, resulting in the final CGPA. The PTR can be relied upon by the stakeholders and the public in general to get authentic information about the institution concerned, and also serve as a guide for making preferential selection among institutions by the students. It may be also used for wider dissemination of institution-specific policies and practices which may be worthy of emulation. The PTR also enables institutions to plan and implement necessary intervention strategies for total quality management and work towards achieving excellence in the educational services rendered by it.

### 2.4.2 Institutional Grading

The quantitative part of the outcome comprises the criterion-wise quality assessment, resulting in the final Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA), a letter grade and a performance descriptor. The CGPA, letter grade and the performance descriptor constitute the certification by the NAAC on the institutional accreditation.

Thus, at the end of A&A process, each applicant institution will be awarded a *Letter Grade* to represent its quality level along with its *Performance Descriptor* and *Accreditation Status*, based on the CGPA earned by it through the assessment process, as per the following Table 3:

Table 3

Cumulative Grade Point Average (Range)	Letter Grade	Performance Descriptor	Interpretation of Descriptor
3.01 - 4.00	A	Very Good	High level of academic accomplishment as
		(Accredited)	expected of an institution
2.01 - 3.00	В	Good	Level of academic accomplishment
		(Accredited)	above the minimum level expected of an
			institution
1.51 - 2.00	С	Satisfactory	Minimum level of academic
		(Accredited)	accomplishment expected of an institution
< 1.50	D	Unsatisfactory	Level of academic accomplishment
		(Not	below the minimum level expected of an
		Accredited)	institution.

Institutions which secure a CGPA less than or equal to 1.50 will be intimated and notified by the NAAC as "assessed and found not qualified for accreditation".

### 2.5 Period of validity of Accreditation

The accreditation status is valid for five years from the date of approval by the Executive Committee of the NAAC.

# 3. ELIGIBILITY OF HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS (HEIs) TO BE ACCREDITED

Due to the diversity and plurality of the Indian higher education system, the HEIs in the country are regulated not only by the University Grants Commission (UGC), but some of them come under the provisions of other Statutory Regulatory bodies like MCI, DCI, AICTE, PCI, NCTE, etc. Taking this into account the eligibility of HEIs to apply for NAAC A&A is formulated as follows:

1) The following types of HEIs are eligible to apply for the process of A&A of NAAC, if they have a record of offering degree programmes and at

least two batches of students having graduated from them and fulfill the other conditions or are covered by the other provisions, if any, mentioned below:

#### a) <u>Universities</u> (Central/State, including Private)

Provided that in case of professional universities, their record of atleast two batches of students having graduated from them shall be in their core discipline(s).

Provided further that the duly established campuses abroad, if any, of the universities shall be treated as part of the universities for the A&A process.

Provided further that the Departments of Teacher Education/ Physical Education of the universities, if any, may opt for A&A separately also. In case they opt for A&A process separately from that of the university, such departments shall have a standing of at least three years and have to go for the A&A process individually.

### b) Institutions of National Importance

Provided that in case of professional Institutions of National Importance, their record of at least two batches of students having graduated from them shall be in their core discipline(s).

Provided further that the duly established campuses abroad, if any, of the Institutions of National Importance shall be treated as part of the Institutions of National Importance for the A&A process.

Provided further that the Departments of Teacher Education/ Physical Education of the Institutions of National Importance, if any, may opt for A&A separately also. In case they opt for A&A process separately from that of the Institutions of National Importance, such departments shall have a standing of at least three years and have to go for the A&A process individually.

c) **Colleges** (i.e., colleges/institutions affiliated to, or constituent of, or recognized by universities, including autonomous colleges)

Provided Teacher Education / Physical Education colleges shall have a standing of atleast three years.

Provided further that the Departments of Teacher Education/ Physical Education of the colleges, if any, may opt for A&A separately also. In case they opt for A&A process separately from that of the colleges, such departments shall have a standing of at least three years and have to go for the A&A process individually.

However, colleges/institutions offering programmes recognized by Statutory Professional Regulatory Councils concerned as equivalent to a degree programme of a university shall also be eligible for A&A even if such colleges/institutions are not affiliated to a university.

2) **Deemed to be Universities** declared under Section 3 of the UGC Act are eligible for the A&A process of NAAC, regardless of the number of years of establishment. A deemed university needs to opt for A&A of all its duly approved constituent units together. However, if the deemed university has any unit which is not approved, the deemed university itself shall not be eligible for A&A.

Provided that the Departments of Teacher Education / Physical Education of the deemed universities, if any, may opt for A&A separately also:

- if such departments are coming for A&A either along with the deemed university or after the deemed university has already been accredited; and
- if such departments shall have a standing of atleast three years and have a record of atleast two batches of students having graduated from them;
  - In case they opt for A&A process separately from that of the deemed university, such departments have to go for the A&A process individually.
- 3) Any other HEIs at the discretionary of NAAC.

Note: The NAAC accreditation does not cover distance education units of HEIs.

# 4. THE PROCESS OF INSTITUTIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION

The process of institutional A&A involves a number of steps as detailed below.

Step 1	On-line submission of the "Letter of Intent" (LoI) by the Higher		
otep 1	Educational Institution (HEI) desirous of getting accredited by NAAC,		
	in the prescribed format		
Step 2	In-house scrutiny of the LoI by NAAC to ascertain eligibility		
Step 3	Letter to the HEI coming for A&A for the first time (see Note 2 appended to		
	these steps) to submit the prescribed format for getting the "Institutional		
	Eligibility for Quality Assessment" (IEQA) status and others (see Note		
	3 appended to these steps) to submit the Self-Study Report (SSR) / Re-		
	Accreditation Report (RAR) and proceed from Step 7 onwards		
Step 4	Submission of IEQA and other necessary documents		
Step 5	In-house scrutiny of IEQA format		
Step 6	Placing the IEQA format before the IEQA Committee of NAAC for taking		
	decision on conferring the IEQA status		
Step 7 Letter to HEI informing it of its IEQA status and asking to submit			
	(if found eligible)		
Step 8	Preparation and submission of the SSR/RAR by the HEIs		
Step 9	Submission of 3 slot of datas for peer team visit		
Step 10	In-house analysis of the SSR/RAR		
Step 11 Briefing the Director of the findings of in-house analysis (only			
	universities)		
Step 12	Constituting a Peer Team to visit the HEI		
Step 13 Submission of SSR/RAR, the Peer Team Document, etc. to the			
	members and		
Step 9 Step 10 Step 11 Step 12	Preparation and submission of the SSR/RAR by the HEIs  Submission of 3 slot of datas for peer team visit  In-house analysis of the SSR/RAR  Briefing the Director of the findings of in-house analysis (only in case of universities)  Constituting a Peer Team to visit the HEI  Submission of SSR/RAR, the Peer Team Document, etc. to the Team		

Step 14	On-site visit by the Peer Team, involving –		
	<ul> <li>Pre-visit tentative evaluation based on SSR/RAR and during visit discussions by the Peer Team members</li> <li>Interaction with the Head of the Institution</li> <li>Visit to departments and common facilities, and interaction with faculty members and other officials, and validation of information provided in the SSR/RAR</li> <li>Meetings with stakeholders (parents, alumni, students, teachers, management, representatives from Government/University)</li> <li>Verification of documentation/documents</li> <li>Preparation of draft Peer Team Report and sharing it (BUT NOT THE GRADE BEING RECOMMENDED) with the Head of the HEI</li> <li>Finalizing the Peer Team Report and the criterion-wise grade point averages and the final Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) being recommended for the HEI</li> <li>Exit meeting: Presentation of the Report (BUT NOT THE GRADE BEING RECOMMENDED) to the Head of the HEI duly signed by the Peer Team members as well as the Head of the HEI</li> </ul>		
Step 15	Submission of the Peer Team Report, the Grade being recommended and the Confidential Grade Sheet and work sheets in the prescribed format to the NAAC along with other relevant documents.		
Step 16	Feedback to the Director, NAAC, on the Peer Team Visit and its outcome by  the Head of the HEI  the Chairperson and members of the Peer Team  the NAAC Coordinator (including debriefing in case of universities)		
Step 17	Consideration of the Peer Team Report, including recommendations regarding the Grade (CGPA), Letter Grade and the Performance Descriptor, and other documents by the Executive Committee of NAAC and taking a decision		
Step 18	Hosting of Peer Team Report and the Grade and other details on NAAC Website after duly approved by the Executive Committee.		
Step 19	Communicating the decision to the HEI		

Step 20	Consideration of appeal from HEI, if any, within the time prescribed and		
-	Communicating the final decision in case of an appeal		
Step 21	Issuing a Provisional Certificate to the HEI regarding the result of assessment and accreditation, including the CGPA, Letter Grade and the Performance Descriptor		
Step 22	Accreditation Award Ceremony		
Notes:	1. All HEIs desirous of assessment and accreditation have to submit LoI on-line.		
	2. The following types of HEIs applying for A&A <b>for the first time</b> need to get acceptance of their LoI and earn IEQA status:		
	<ul> <li>Affiliated / Constituent Colleges, except colleges offering only professional courses approved by Statutory Professional Regulatory body concerned.</li> </ul>		
	Teacher Education / Physical Education Colleges		
	Teacher Education / Physical Education Departments of Colleges		
	<ul> <li>Colleges offering programmes recognized by Statutory Profession Regulatory bodies as well as other general programmes recognize by Universities.</li> </ul>		
	3. The following HEIs <b>need not go through the IEQA process</b> and can straight away submit their SSRs on being asked to do it based on acceptance of their LoIs:		
	all Universities		
	all Autonomous Colleges including Department of Education		
	all "Colleges with Potential for Excellence" (CPE) status awarded by UGC including Department of Education		
	all Professional Institutions (except teacher education/physical education colleges)		
	all HEIs applying for Reassessment		
	all HEIs applying for Reaccreditation		

#### 4.1 Letter of Intent (LoI)

An intending higher educational institution should first submit its Letter of Intent (LoI) online (See Annexures 1, 2, 3 or 4 for the appropriate format) to the NAAC.

#### 4.2 Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment (IEQA)

#### 4.2.1 Benefits of Obtaining IEQA Status:

- To decide whether the institution applying for A&A for the first time (See Note 2 above) is eligible for the further steps of the A&A process;
- To get the feedback from the NAAC about specific improvements the institution has to make for reaching the required quality level, in case it does not qualify to obtain the IEQA status;
- To receive assistance and suitable mentoring from the NAAC, in the latter case, for enabling it to qualify for the IEQA status in due course.

#### 4.2.2 IEQA Instrument:

This Instrument consists of a *Format* (See Annexure 4) to be filled and submitted online by the applicant institution giving its organizational profile and providing specific quantitative information about the institution relating to its performance requirements. The analysis of this *Format* will be used for determining its IEQA status or otherwise. Appropriate essential attributes are included in this *Format*, and the responses given therein are subjected to computer analysis based on a set of predetermined scoring guidelines, and the scores obtained by the applicant institution are presented to the appropriate Committee of the NAAC for deciding on its *IEQA* status and consequent eligibility for the subsequent steps, i.e., comprehensive Assessment and Accreditation. Only those institutions which satisfy the minimum requirements of quality as set by the NAAC through the minimum scores obtained at this stage are given the IEQA status for undergoing the subsequent steps for the A&A process, which are

more rigorous. It is expected that an applicant institution getting the IEQA status has to demonstrate basic compliance with the minimum requirements necessary for the teaching-learning processes, to achieve the educational outcome. This means that the institution should have adequate human, financial and physical resources put in place and the potential to attain its goals.

#### 4.2.3 Procedure for seeking IEQA Status:

- Fill-in and submit the application in the *Format* for seeking IEQA status, on line on getting a letter from the NAAC to do so after analyzing the institution's LoI;
- ◆ Remit a non-refundable Bank Draft of Rs. 2,000/- (Rupees Two thousand only) as application fee, payable to the NAAC at Bangalore, along with the Format;
- Await declaration/ intimation on the IEQA status or otherwise from the NAAC, which is normally done within two months of receipt of the application;
- Contact/communicate with the NAAC for any further clarifications.

### 4.2.4 Follow up of obtaining/not obtaining the IEQA Status:

- ♦ If the institution earns the IEQA status, it can prepare its Self-Study Report (SSR) as per the applicable NAAC A&A Manual and submit it within six months from the date of declaration of the IEQA status and follow the next steps required for A&A.
- ◆ If the institution does not earn the IEQA status, the NAAC intimates the institution about the suggestions for improvement. The institution can also approach the Regional Coordinator at the NAAC seeking guidance for improving its quality parameters. Such an institution shall normally be eligible to seek the IEQA status only after six months from the date of intimation about the improvements needed by submitting new LOI.

## 4.3 Preparation and Submission of Institutional Self-Study Report (SSR) or Re-Accreditation Report (RAR), as the case may be

The intending Institution (after earning the IEQA status if it is covered under Note 2, or without the IEQA if it is covered under Note 3 above) is required to prepare its institutional SSR or RAR by following the appropriate NAAC A&A Manuals and submit the same to the NAAC. The first part of the SSR/RAR deals with the institutional data, whereas the second part deals with the evaluation report with reference to the probes, under each Criterion-wise Key Aspect. Based on the completeness of the SSR/RAR submitted, the NAAC prepares the Peer Team Document and arranges the Peer Team visit to the institution concerned.

#### 4.4 Peer Team Visit

A properly constituted Peer Team is deputed by the NAAC for on-site visit to the institution, for interacting with all the stakeholders of the institution, for validating the claims made by the institution in its SSR/RAR through the various Peer Team strategies, collecting the documentary evidences and for identifying the institution's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and challenges.

### 4.5 Mechanism for Appeals

#### 4.5.1 Grievance Redressal Committee

As one of the good practices being followed by external Quality Assurance Agencies, the NAAC has also prescribed a mechanism for appeals. An institution which has any grievance in the A&A conducted and its result can make a written representation to the Director, NAAC, along with payment of a prescribed non-refundable fee within one month from the date of receipt of the communication from the NAAC about criterion- wise scores. An Appeals Committee constituted for the purpose will consider the appeal and make recommendations to the Executive Committee. The decision of the executive committee shall be binding on the institution.

#### 4.5.2 Re-assessment

There is also an option available for the accredited institutions to seek re-assessment of accreditation. Institutions which would like to make an improvement in the accredited status in institutional grade may volunteer for re-assessment after completing at least **one** year of their 5 year accreditation period, but not after the completion of **three** years. The present Methodology of Assessment and Accreditation is also applicable to such cases.

#### 4.6 Re-accreditation

After the completion of a five-year period of accreditation, an institution may seek re-accreditation by the NAAC, if it has functional website and Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC). An accredited institution has to record its intent for the next accreditation by the end of the fourth year and initiate institutional preparations during the fifth year, following the appropriate steps of A&A process given above. Re-Accreditation Report (RAR) (instead of SSR in case of first accreditation) should be submitted to the NAAC by the first half of the fifth year, so that the process of assessment visits could be completed by the end of the fifth year. The NAAC will endeavor to complete the re-accreditation process within six months after receiving Re-Accreditation Report.

The re-accreditation takes into account the impact of the first assessment by making a holistic appraisal of all the inputs, processes and outputs of the institution towards achieving the objectives enshrined in the five core values mentioned earlier and assesses how the institution has progressed during the accredited period. In particular, the re-accreditation makes a shift in focus in assessing the developments with reference to three aspects – quality sustenance, quality enhancement and action taken on the earlier assessment report in order to assess its impact.

# **ANNEXURES**



## NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

## Annexure 1: LETTER OF INTENT (LoI): AFFILIATED / CONSTITUENT COLLEGES

1.	This LoI is for	Assessment     Re-Assessment
		Re-Accreditation
2.	Name of the College	
3.	Name of the Head of the	
	College	Designation:
4.	Contact Details	Address:
		City:
		City.
		Pin
		State/UT:
		Tel:
		Fax:
		Email:
		Website:
5.	Date of Establishment	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6a.	Date of Recognition by	(DD/MM/YYYY)
	UGC under section 2(f)	

6b.	Date of Recognition by UGC under section 12B	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6c.	Whether the College is granted the status of "College with Potential for Excellence" by UGC?  If yes, date of granting such status:	O Yes No.
7a.	Name of University: to which the College is Affiliated, or of which the College is constituent	
7b	Type of affiliation	☐ Temporary ☐ Permanent
7c	Date on which the affiliation granted	(DD/MM/YYYY)
8.	Whether the College is recognised by any other regulatory authority(please specify)	
9.	If the College is not affiliated to a University, does the College offer any Programme recognized by any Statutory Professional Regulatory (SPR) Council as equivalent to a degree programme of a University?	
	If yes, give details:	
	Name of the Programmes:	
	Name of SPR Council recognizing it:	
	Equivalent University degree:	

10	Nature of Funding	O Govt funded O Grant -in -aid								
		Self Financing								
11a	Faculties	☐ Arts ☐ Commerce ☐ Science								
		☐ Teacher Education								
		☐ Physical Education ☐ Medical Sc.								
		☐ Engineering and Tech ☐ Management								
		☐ Distance Edu								
		☐ Any other:								
11b	Whether the Teacher Education Department is opting for A&A process separately?	• Yes • No								
	If yes, number of years the Department has been existing as independent Department:									
11c	Whether the Physical Education Department is opting for A&A process separately?	• Yes • No								
	If yes, number of years the Department has been existing as independent Department:									
12	Total Number of :	Teaching Staff: Non-Teaching Staff:								
		Students:								

13	Number of Programmes offered :	UG:	PG:	
	onered .	Cert:	Dipl.	
		PG Dipl.	Research:	
		Others:		
14	Number of batches of students graduated from the College:			
15a	Date of first accreditation (applicable for	(DD/MM/YYYY)		
	Re-Assessment/ Re-Accreditation only)			
15b	Date of second Accreditation(applicable to third cycle of accreditation only)	(DD/MM/YYYY) [		
16	Date of establishment of IQAC	(DD/MM/YYYY) [		



## NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

## Annexure 2: LETTER OF INTENT (Loi): AUTONOMOUS COLLEGES

1.	This LoI is for	Assessment
		Re-Accreditation
2.	Name of the College	
3.	Name of the Head of the	
	College	Designation:
4.	Contact Details	Address:
		City:
		Pin
		State/UT:
		Tel:
		Fax:
		Email:
		Website:
5.	Date of Establishment	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6a.	Date of Recognition by UGC under section 2(f)	(DD/MM/YYYY)

6b.	Date of Recognition by UGC under section 12B	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6c.	Date of granting Autonomous status to the College:	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6d.	Whether the College is granted the status of "College with Potential for Excellence" by UGC?	O Yes O No.
	If yes, date of granting such status:	(DD/MM/YYYY)
7a.	Name of University: to which the College is Affiliated, or of which the College is constituent	
7b.	Type of affiliation	☐ Temporary ☐ Permanent
7c.	Date on which the affiliation granted	(DD/MM/YYYY)
8.	Whether the College is recognised by any other regulatory authority (please specify)	
9.	If the College is not affiliated to a University, does the College offer any Programme recognized by any Statutory Professional Regulatory (SPR) Council as equivalent to a degree programme of a University?  If yes, give details:  Name of the Programmes:	
	<ul><li>Name of SPR Council recognizing it:</li><li>Equivalent University degree:</li></ul>	

10.	Nature of Funding	Govt Funded Grant-in-Aid								
		Self Financing								
11a.	Faculties	☐ Arts ☐ Commerce ☐ Science								
		☐ Teacher Education ☐ Physical Education								
		☐ Medical Sc. ☐ Engineering and Tech								
		☐ Management ☐ Distance Edu								
		Any other:								
11b.	Whether the Teacher Education Department is opting for A&A process separately?	O Yes O No								
	If yes, number of years the Department has been existing as independent Department:									
11c.	Whether the Physical Education Department is opting for A&A process separately?	O Yes O No								
	If yes, number of years the Department has been existing as independent Department:									
12.	Total Number of :	Teaching Staff: Students:								
		Non-Teaching Staff:								
13.	Number of Programmes offered :	UG: PG: Cert:								
	onerea :	Dipl. PG Dipl. Research:								
		Others:								

14.	Number of batches of students graduated from the College:	
15a.	Date of first accreditation (applicable for Re-Assessment/ Re-Accreditation only)	(DD/MM/YYYY)
15b.	Date of Second Accreditation (applicable to third cycle of accreditation only)	(DD/MM/YYYY)
16.	Date of establishment of IQAC	(DD/MM/YYYY)



## NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

### **Annexure 3: LETTER OF INTENT: UNIVERSITIES**

1.	This LoI is for	Assessment							
		Re-Accreditation							
2.	Name of the University								
3.	Name of the Head of the								
	University	Designation:							
4.	Contact Details	Address:							
		City:							
		Pin							
		State/UT:							
		Tel:							
		Fax:							
		Email:							
		Website:							
5.	Date of Establishment	(DD/MM/YYYY)							
6a.	Date of inclusion by UGC	(DD/MM/YYYY)							
	under section 2(f)								

6b.	Date of Recognition by UGC under section 12B	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6c.	Whether the University has been conferred the status of "University with potential for Excellence" by the UGC?	O Yes O No.
	if yes, the date of confirment of the status:	(DD/MM/YYYY)
6d.	Recognised under any other (please specify)	
7.	Nature of Institution	<ul> <li>Central University</li> <li>Institution of National Importance</li> <li>Private University</li> <li>Deemed to be University established under section 3</li> <li>Any other:</li> </ul>
8a	Whether the University is Professional University/ Institution of National Importance?	O Yes O No.
8b	If yes, what is/are the core disciplines?	
8c	How many batches of students have graduated in their core disciplines?	
9	Whether the University/ Institution of National Importance has duly established campus(es) abroad?	Yes No.

10	Faculties	☐ Arts ☐ Commerce ☐ Science
		$\square$ Education* $\square$ Medical Sc. $\square$ Engineering
		and Tech 🔲 Management 🖂 Distance Edu
		Any other:
11a *	Has the University/ Institution of National Importance has Departments of Teacher Education/Physical Education?	O Yes O No.
11 b	If yes, are such departments opting for A & A separately?	O Yes O No.
11 c	If yes, how many year's standing to these departments have?	
12 a	If the University is a Deemed to be University, is it opting for accreditation of all its duly approved constituent together?	Yes No.
12 b	Does the Deemed to be University have any unit which is not approved yet?	Yes No.
12 c	Does the Deemed to be University has Teacher Education / Physical Education Departments?	Yes No.
12 d	Do the Department of Teacher Education / Physical Education applying for A&A separately from the University?	Yes No.

12 e	How many years of standing these department have?	
12 f	How many batch of students have graduated from such departments?	
13	Total Number of (Numbers	Teaching Staff: Students:
	only)	Non-Teaching Staff:
14	Programmes offered	UG: PG: Research:
	(Numbers only)	Others:
15.	Date of accreditation (applicable for Re-Assessment/ Re-Accreditation only)	(DD/MM/YYYY)
16.	Date of establishment of IQAC	(DD/MM/YYYY)

#### **Annexure 4:**

#### PROFORMA FOR INSTITUTIONAL ELIGIBILITY FOR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

(For First time A/A only for: Affiliated/Constituent Colleges which are NOT Autonomous Colleges or Colleges with Potential for Excellence; Colleges NOT Affiliated to any University, but offering professional programmes recognized by Statutory Professional Regulatory Councils as well as other general programmes recognized by Universities)

(All questions should be answered in the appropriate spaces provided and in capital letters)

## **Section 1: Profile of the College**

1.1	Name of the College															
1.2	Postal address															
	City															
	State															
	PIN CODE															
1.3	Name of the Head of the College															
	the Conege															
		0							$\overline{C}$							0
	Ten	nporary			Permanent							4	Additional			

	Designatio	on					
	Tel. Number wit STD cod						
	Mobi	le					
	Fax. Numbe	er					
	Email- I	Id					
	Website addres	ss					
1.4	Location of the Col	lege					
	Urban 🖸	Semi-Urban [	Rural (	Tribal	Hilly	area 🔼	)
1.5	Year of establishme	ent					
1.6	Does the college fu	nction from					
	I A	Area of the cam	pus in acres	Total bu	ilt up area i	in sq. m.	
Its	own campus						
Rer	nted buildings						
1 5							7
1.7	Name of the University To which the Colle affiliated, or of which College is a Constitution	ege is					
1.7	To which the Colle affiliated, or of whi	ege is Long ich the tuent:	versity:				
1./	To which the Colle affiliated, or of whi College is a Constit	ege is Long ich the tuent:	ĺ	tituent	<u> </u>		

1.8	If the College is NOT affiliated to any University, does the College offer any programme recognized by any Statutory Professional Regulatory Council's along with the general programmes recognized by Universities?						
	Yes No O						
	If Yes give details of:						
	Programmes being offered;						
	Statutory Professional Regulatory Council's which have recognized the programme	0					
1.9	Type of College						
	Co-education College	0					
	Time of functioning Day College						
1.10	Management of the College						
	Govt. Private Grant in aid Private-unaided						
	Private-minority Constituent College of the University						
	Name of the Management/ Trust						
1.11	Is the College registered under						
	Society's Registration Act of 1860 Yes No No						
	Relevant Act of the respective State Govt.  Yes  No						

1.12	Has the College received recognition	on under UGC Act
	2f 2f & 12 B	Not under 2f & 12B
1.13	Number of programmes offered by	the college
	UG (excluding self-financing ones)	
	PG (excluding self-financing ones)	
	UG & PG (Integrated Programmes)	
	M. Phil./ Ph.D.	
	Self finance degree courses	
(Cert	Other value added courses ificate, UG-Diploma, PG-Diploma)	
	Total	
1.14	Number of faculties {specify} (E.g. Arts, Comm. & Science etc.)	
1.15	Number of departments	
1.16	Education Department separately?	eacher Education Department/Physical

# 1.17a Total number of students (excluding those in self-financing programmes)

	UG	PG		M. Phil./ Ph.D.			ded courses e/ Diploma)	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Female	
General								
SC/ST								
OBC								
Total								
GRAND								
TOTAL								

	UG	PG	M. Phil,/ Ph.D.	Value added courses
				(Certificate/ Diploma)
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female
General				
SC/ST				
OBC				
Total				
GRAND				
TOTAL				

1.18	Total Number of Students in the College (A+B):	
------	--	--

## 1.19 Number of teaching, technical and administrative staff

	Permanent		Temporary		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Female
a) Total no. of teachers					
b) Teachers with Ph. D or equivalent					
as the highest qualification					
c) Teachers with PG or equivalent					
as the highest qualification					
d) Teachers with other specific					
eligible qualification (specify)					
e) Technical staff					
f) Administrative staff		·			
g) Support staff					

## 1.20 Library holdings

No. of titles of Books

No. of Journals

No. of E-resources

#### 1.21 Unit Cost of Education

(a)	Unit cost = total annual expenditure (actuals)	
	divided by number of students enrolled	
(b)	Unit cost calculated excluding salary component	

# 1.22 Mention five academic milestones of the College

(College is required to highlight, chronologically or in importance and e  $\mathbf{s}$ n

effectiveness in bullet form made by the College)	n, the 5 major achievem	ents of the college or Pr	ogres
nade by the conege,			
>			
>			

# Section 2: Institutional data

1.	The College has in place a structured internal quality assurance system for ensuring continuous quality monitoring/ improvement	Yes No
2.	Library has reading room facilities for students and faculty separately.	Yes No
3.	The College has a mechanism for seeking regular feedback from students regarding the teaching-learning processes	Yes No
4.	Basic computer literacy is ensured for all students	
5.	The College provides financial aid to at least 5% of the general students (excluding the scholarship to SC/ ST students)	Yes No
6.	The College has a mechanism for counseling students	Yes No
7.	An annual academic calendar is prepared and implemented by the College	Yes No
8.	The College has a mechanism for addressing grievances of students	Yes No
9.	The College has provision for promoting 'in house' research activities	Yes No
10.	Internet facility is available in the College	Yes No
11.	Percentage of teachers using audio visual aids including	
	computer-aided teaching	<10%
		10-20%
		>20%

12.	The average number of extension activities organized by the College (Average of last two years)		
	by the College (Average of last two years)	<2	
		2-5	
		>5	
13.	Percentage utilization of annual allocated funds		
	(Average of last two years)	<50 %	
		50- 75 %	
		>75 %	
14.	Maintenance expenditure on infrastructure as percentage of the total annual budget (Average of		
	the last two years)	<2%	
		2-4%	
		>4%	
15.	Average pass percentage of graduating students		
	(Average of the last two years)	<40%	
		40-50%	
		>50%	
16.	Computer: students ratio	>1: 60	
		1:30 -1:60	
		<1:30	

17.	Percentage of faculty benefited from the UGC and other staff development programmes (Average of		
	the last two years)	<5%	
		5-10%	
		>10%	
18.	Percentage of teachers with Ph.D. qualification	<5%	
		5-20%	
		>20%	
19.	Students: Teacher ratio	>50:1	
		30:1-50:1	
		<30:1	
20.	Percentage of faculty positions filled against		
	sanctioned posts	<60%	
		60-80%	
		>80%	
21.	Number of add-on courses conducted by the		
	College	Nil	
		1-2	
		>2	

22.	Number of awards received by students is and cultural activities (Average of last two y	•	
	At State/ Un	niversity level	
	At National,	/ International level	
23.	Number of research projects with the faculty (	Average	
	of last two years)	Nil	
		1-2	
		>2	
24.	Number of academic seminars/conferences	3	
	/ workshops that the College has organized. (Average of last two years)	Nil	
		1-2	
		>2	
25.	Academia linkagas/asllahamatians the Col	logo has	
23.	Academic linkages/collaborations the Col established (Average of last two years)	None	
		1-2	
		> 2	

# Certification by the Head of the College

I(Name & Destination), as the Head of the College,
certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge. I also
certify that my College has complied with all the norms stipulated from time to time
by the UGC/ State Govt. and affiliating University.
I am aware that the Peer team will validate the information provided in this IEQA
format during the peer team visit.
A Demand draft for (Comment: check this figure) Rs. 2000/- (Rupees two thosand
only) drawn in favor of Director, NAAC, No Dated
Bank Branch,
is sent separately.
Signature of the Head of the College:
Official Seal:
Place:
Date:

#### **Annexure 5:**

## PROFORMA FOR INSTITUTIONAL ELIGIBILITY FOR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

(For Affiliated/Constituent Teacher Education/Physical Education Colleges/ Departments of Education of Composite Colleges: First time Assessment and Accreditation (A/A) only)

(All questions should be answered in the appropriate spaces provided and in capital letters)

# **Section 1: Profile of the College**

1.1	Name of the College:	
1.2	Postal address:	
	City	
	State	
	PIN CODE	
	Website/URL	
	E-mail	
1.3	Date of establishment (dd/mm/yyyy)	
1.4	Location of the College:	
	Urban Semi-U	rban 🔘 Rural 🔘 Tribal 🔘 Hilly area 🔘

1.5 Head	d of the in	stitution:		
	a) <b>Name</b>	and Designation	n	
	b) Status	of Appointmen	t:	
		Temporary	Permanent	Additional Charge
	c) <b>Conta</b>	ct Details:		
		STD code:		
		Tel:		
		Mobile:		
		Fax:		
		Email:		
1.6 a. Th	ne college	functions from:		
		Area of the can	npus in acres	Total built up area in sq. m.
Its own c	ampus			
Rented b	uildings			
runr	ing teach	er education cou	es O	idependent building for

	(b) Status of affiliation:		
	Permanent	O	
	Temporary	O	
1.8	(a) Type of College:		
	Co-education College	O	
	Men's College	O	
	Women's College	O	
	(b) Time of functioning:		
	Day College	O	
	Evening College	O	
	(c) Status of NCTE recognition:		
	(Enclose latest recognition letter o	of NCTE)	
		•	
	(Enclose latest recognition letter o	al Yes No No	
	(Enclose latest recognition letter of (d) Is the recognition is conditional	al Yes No No	
	(Enclose latest recognition letter of (d) Is the recognition is conditional	al Yes No No	
	(Enclose latest recognition letter of (d) Is the recognition is conditional of the seconditions of the secondition of the seconditio	al Yes No No	
1.9	(Enclose latest recognition letter of (d) Is the recognition is conditional of the seconditions of the secondition of the seconditio	al Yes No No	
1.9	(Enclose latest recognition letter of (d) Is the recognition is conditional of the secondition of the second	al Yes No No	
1.9	(Enclose latest recognition letter of (d) Is the recognition is conditional of the recognition is conditional of the recognition is conditional of the recognition and compliance there of:  Recognition under UGC Act of 19	al Yes No No	

1.10	Status of	the Management:					
	Gov	rernment	O				
	Priv	rate Grant in aid	O				
	Priv	rate-minority	0				
	Priv	rate- unaided	0				
	Con	stituent College of the University	O				
1.11	(a) Is the	Institution registered under					
	i)	Trust	Yes	0	No [		
	ii)	Society's Registration Act	Yes	0	No [	O	
	iii)	Any other Relevant Act of the respective State Govt.	Yes	0	No [		
	iv)	Others (Specify)					
	(b) Name	of the Managing Society/Trust/Co	mmitte	ee/Boa	rd		
1.12	Campus 1	nformation					
	(a) mor	No of classrooms with floor area re than 500 sq. ft. each	of	Yes	s O	No O	
	(b) less	No of classrooms with floor area than 500 sq. ft. each		Yes	s O	No O	

(c)	Whether the institution has multipurpose hall of an area more than 2000 Sqft.	Yes	O No O
(d)	Library cum reading room	Yes	O No O
(e)	Principal's Office	Yes	O No O
(f)	Administrative office	Yes	O No O
(g)	Store room	Yes	O No O
(h)	Open space for lawn, gardening	Yes	O No O
(i)	Staff room	Yes	O No O
(j)	Parking	Yes	O No O
(k)	Visiting room	Yes	O No O
(1)	Girls' common room	Yes	O No O
(m)	Canteen	Yes	O No O
(n)	Separate toilet facility for boys & girls	Yes	O No O
(o)	ET/ICT Resource Centre	Yes	O No O
(p)	Psychology Resource Centre	Yes	O No O
(q)	Art and work experience Resource Centre	Yes	O No O
(r)	Health and Physical rooms	Yes	O No O

(s)	Library Resources ( for Different level of Courses)	Yes	0	No O	
(t)	Safety measures (Fire Extinguishers, etc)	Yes	0	No O	
(u)	Hostel for Boys	Yes	0	No O	
(v)	Hostel for Girls	Yes	0	No O	
(w)	Mess facility	Yes	0	No O	
(x)	Soft drinking water facilities	Yes	0	No O	

# 1.13 Number of programs offered by the college

	Teacher Education	Physical Education	NCTE Recognized	*Others
UG				
PG				
UG & PG (Integrated				
Programme)				
M. Phil. / Ph.D.				
Certificate, UG-Diploma				
PG-Diploma				
GRAND TOTAL				

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  Programmes other than those recognized by NCTE and affiliated to a University/ recognized by other state or Central bodies/agencies

## 1.14 Total number of students in

	UG	PG M. Phil./ Ph.D.		Value added courses (Certificate/ Diploma)
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female
General				
SC/ST				
OBC				
Total				
GRAND TOTAL				

# 1.15 Pass percentage in University examination (Last two Batches)

	Year of entry: (Batch- I)		Year of entry: (Batch-			
	UG	PG	Total	UG	PG	Total
Admitted to the programme						
Appeared for the final year examination						
Passed in the final exam						
Passed in first class						
Ranks, if any						

# 1.16 Number of teaching, technical and administrative staff

	Permanent		Tem	Temporary		Total	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
a) Total number of teachers							
b) Teachers with Ph. D or equivalent degree as the highest qualification							
c) Teachers with PG and M.Ed. or equivalent degree as the highest qualification							
d) Teachers with other specific eligible qualification (specify)							
e) Technical staff							
f) Administrative staff							
g) Support staff							
h) Number of Vacant Teaching positions							

# 1.17 Library holdings

No. of Books	
No. of Titles	
No. of Journals	
No. of Reference books	
No. of E-resources	

1.18	Unit Cost of Education						
	(a) Unit cost = total annual expenditure budget (actuals) divided by number of students enrolled						
	(b) Unit cost calculated excluding salary component						
1.19	Mention five academic milestones of the institution during last three years						
	utions are required to highlight, the achievements, innovations, research and publication activities institution and the progress made by the institution in chronological order and bullet point-wise)						
	>						
	>						
	>						
	>						

# Section 2: Institutional data

1.	The Institution has in place a functional Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) for ensuring continuous quality improvement and monitoring the quality of the academic and administrative activities.	Yes	No
2.	Library with reading room facilities for students and faculty with seating capacity of 25% of the students on rolls.	Yes	No
3.	Library has at least 1000 titles & 3000 Books.	Yes	No
4.	Institution provides financial concessions/assistance to at least 5% of the students excluding the government scholarship being received by SC/ST students.	Yes	No
5.	The Institution has a mechanism for counseling and guidance	Yes	No
6.	The institution has a mechanism for addressing grievances of the students and staff.	Yes	No
7.	An annual academic calendar is prepared and implemented by the institution.	Yes	No
8.	ICT skills component is a compulsory constituent/ Programme for all students.	Yes	No
9.	Access to internet facility is available to staff and students in the Institution.	Yes	No
10.	A minimum of three hours per week computer access is provided to Individual student in the institution.	Yes	No
11.	The institution has provision for promoting research.	Yes	No
12.	Institution has a mechanism for seeking regular feedback from students regarding teaching-learning process	Yes	No
13.	Students are placed for practice teaching in more than one type of school i.e. Govt./ Private/ Public/ Special/ Boys/ Girls/ Co-education schools	Yes	No
14.	There is a special provision for developing communication Skills among students	Yes	No

		< 2
15.	Number of Extension and outreach activities are organized by the institution every year	2-4
	organized by the institution every year	>4
	Number of Journals in Education subscribed (Only those related to Education)	1-4
16.		5-10
	those related to Education,	>10
		<10%
17.	Percentage of teachers using audio-visual aids (ICT) including computer aided teaching.	10-20%
	incruaning computer area teaching.	>20%
	Percentage of teachers including Principal with Doctoral or equivalent qualification	None
18.		<50 %
		>50 %
	Percentage of Faculty benefited from professional development programmes organized by UGC, NCERT/RIE, SCERT, NCTE and others.	None
19.		<50 %
		>50 %
	Number of practice teaching schools associated with the institution for practice teaching.	5-10
20.		11-20
		> 20
	Percentage of practice teaching schools with which the college has an enduring (continued) arrangement for more than three years.	<50 %
21.		50- 80 %
		> 80%
	Percentage utilization of projected budget. (Average of last two years)	<50
22.		50-75
		>75 %
	Percentage of maintenance expenditure to the total	< 3
23.	annual budget for infrastructure (Average of the last	3-4%
	two years).	> 4

24.		<11%
	Percentage of student teachers assigned to a practicing school (recognized) for ensuring optimum availability of school time.	between 12 –20%
		>20%
25.		< 40 lessons
	Number of lessons given by a student- teacher under practice teaching in two school subjects.	40 lessons
	practice teaching in two sensor subjects.	> 40 lessons
		< 6
26.	Number of teaching skills practiced	6-8
		>8
	Number of workshops organized on teaching aid	None
27.	preparation ICTs (audio, video, CD's VCD's etc) per	1-2
	school subject.	> 2

## Certification by the Head of the Institution

I as the Head of the institution certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge. I also certify that this institution has complied with all the norms stipulated from time to time, by the UGC/NCTE /State Govt., and affiliating University.



Authorized signature of the Head of the institution with seal

Place: Date:

# The Physical Education Institutions need to submit the following additional information to NAAC in addition to the IEQA format.

## A. Campus Information:

1.	Whether the Institution has minimum 8 acres of land?	Yes	No	
2.	Multipurpose play fields for outdoor sports	Yes	No	
3.	Athletic Track: 400 m. / 200 m in Metro cities	Yes	No	
4.	Gymnasium	Yes	No	
5.	Hall and Equipments for Indoor Games & Sports	Yes	No	

#### **B.** Athletics and Games:

	Equipments for:			
6	Human Performance Laboratory	Yes	No	
7	Athletic Care & Rehabilitation Laboratory	Yes	No	
8	Anatomy Laboratory	Yes	No	
9	Physiology Laboratory	Yes	No	
10.	Health Education Laboratory	Yes	No	

# Certification by the Head of the Institution

I as the Head of the institution certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge. I also certify that this institution has complied with all the norms stipulated from time to time, by the UGC/NCTE /State Govt., and Affiliating University.

Seal

Authorized signature of the Head of the institution with seal

Place: Date:

# **Notes:**