## INT RODUCTION

### 1.1 A BRIEF HIST ORY OF GENDER ST AT IST ICS

The UN observed 1975 as International Women's Year and held that same year the First World Conference on Women in Mexico City. This World Conference was the first international forum to recognize the importance of producing statistics on women. Women's machinery and women's advocates were the main forces behind the initial efforts in this area and focused prevalently on making better use of existing data. Over the years, the compilation of existing statistics and indicators necessary to address the demand of those concerned with women's issues revealed many data gaps and problems in data collection methods. Subsequently, two other UN conferences on Women were held: Copenhagen (1980) and Nairobi (1985). By the time of the Third World Conference in Nairobi in 1985, the international statistical system had initiated some work in this area, including training programmes to strengthen the cooperation between policy makers and data producers. After the conference in Nairobi, the general approach in development strategy moved from "women in development" to "gender and development". In statistics, the focus likewise moved from "statistics on women", to "statistics on women and men" and to the mainstreaming of gender issues into the overall statistical system.

Internationally, efforts to develop guidelines and recommendations for the production of statistics that take into consideration women and men's different roles in society were initiated by all relevant agencies. By the time of the Fourth World Conference in Beijing in 1995, many national statistical offices had already prepared user-friendly publications on statistics concerning
women and men and had shown considerable effort to improve data collection and adapt their concepts, definitions, and methods to international standards.

The Beijing Conference on women in 1995 marked an important step in the development of gender statistics. For the first time, an international instrument comprehensively addressed the question of producing and disseminating gender statistics. Governments agreed on a set of important actions to "generate and disseminate gender-disaggregated data and information for planning and evaluation" (Platform for Action and the Beijing Declaration, Strategic Objective H.3, United Nations 1996). International and national work on gender statistics gained new momentum with the Beijing Conference, and over the last few years, many countries' statistical offices have created gender statistics units and developed specific programmes for the mainstreaming of gender issues into the overall production of official data. Examples of work done in countries show that national statisticians have developed a good understanding of those gender issues that need to be addressed and have begun to produce adequate statistical outputs. The increased availability and dissemination of statistical outputs has in turn generated more demand by users for data and for more refined statistics and indicators to inform and monitor gender-related policies.

### 1.2 What are Gender Statistics?

The term gender statistics refers to two separate but related dimensions of statistical data: disaggregation by sex for all individual-level statistics to show the different roles and activities of women and men; and the specific collection of statistics that relate to important gender issues. Depending on individual country circumstances, these might include statistics on unpaid domestic work and childcare, gender-based violence on women, trafficking in
women, and/or migrant women workers. In the past, statistics offices and researchers have presented only aggregate labour force data, for example, for the entire population. However, labour force participation for women (defined as the proportion of the total population of women aged $15-60$ who are actually in the work force) is usually much lower than for men. Similarly, the distribution of the male and female labour force by sector is usually quite different. In many countries, a higher proportion of the female labour force is employed in the service sector, while a higher proportion of the male labour force is employed in industry, and particularly in heavy industries. There are also clear differences by occupation, with some "feminized" occupations such as teaching or nursing being dominated by women while others, such as engineering, tend to be dominated by men. A consequence of the failure to recognize and routinely distinguish the different patterns for women and men is that the situation of men tends to be regarded as the norm or standard, and the different situation of women is overlooked. Furthermore, policies and programmes may be based on stereotypes that are significantly different from the empirical reality. For example, agricultural programmes continue to assume that "farmers are men" even in countries such as Thailand and Vietnam where sex-disaggregated data show that a majority of farmers in many rural areas are actually women. For these reasons, the early work on gender statistics focused primarily on ensuring that individual-level data are collected, tabulated, presented and analyzed by sex.

While sex disaggregation is important, it is not sufficient because traditional statistical systems have collected data on the issues that government officials and development analysts - most of whom were men considered to be important. Issues that are important to women rather than men were overlooked. As a result, most developing countries do not collect
data on issues such as domestic violence or on unpaid household and domestic work and child care. Thus, later work on gender statistics has also encouraged statistical agencies to collect data on gender issues and has provided technical support for the collection of data on Violence Against Women and on Time Use, which shows how much time women and men spend on paid work, unpaid household work, child care, recreation, commuting etc. Gender statistics is a field of statistics that cuts across the traditional fields to identify, produce and disseminate statistics that reflect the realities of the lives of women and men and policy issues relating to gender. Gender statistics allow for a systematic study of gender differentials and gender issues.

A gender issue is any issue or concern determined by gender (The term gender refers to socially constructed differences between the sexes and to the social relationships between women and men. These differences between the sexes are shaped over the history of social relations and change over time and across cultures. Gender identity depends on the circumstances in which women and men live and include economic, cultural, historical, ideological, and religious factors. Gender relations also vary according to the economic and social conditions of the society and differ between social and ethnic groups) based and/or sex (The term sex refers to biological differences between women and men. Biological differences are fixed and (mostly) unchangeable and vary little across cultures and over time (the age of menarche for instance). Gender does not necessarily refer to differences or concerns linked to biological characteristics of women and men, although gender-based differences and sex-based differences are often interrelated. While sex-based differences are unchangeable, gender-based differences and gender relations are affected by policies, regulations, and legislations, and can be changed) based differences between women and men. Gender issues are all aspects and
concerns with how women and men interrelate, their differences in access to and use of resources, their activities and how they react to changes, interventions and policies.

Gender issues exist in all spheres of society and are therefore relevant to the production of statistics in all fields and intervene at every step of the production process. Gender statistics are not necessarily and not only statistics disaggregated by sex. Producing statistics that adequately reflect gender issues imply that all statistics are produced taking into consideration the different socio-economic realities women and men face in society. This means that all data - both those on individuals as well as those not directly related to individuals - are collected, compiled, and analysed, taking into consideration that gender-based factors influence women and men differently - this can be called the gender mainstreaming of statistics.

The impact on women and men needs to be considered in every step of statistical production and in all statistical fields. Concepts and methods used in data collection need to be adequately formulated to ensure that they reflect existing gender concerns and differentials. Additionally, social and cultural factors must be taken into consideration as they can result in gender-based biases in data collection, analysis, and presentation.

### 1.3 IMPORT ANCE OF GENDER ST AT IST ICS

As noted above, failure to disaggregate statistics by sex meant that the differences between women and men were largely overlooked in the design and implementation of development policies, plans and programmes. In particular,
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women's specific needs tended to be neglected. Thus, gender statistics were essential in order to assist policy makers and planners and development projects and programmes to identify and meet women's needs equally with those of men.
a) Statistics and indicators on the situation of women and men are needed
> to formulate and monitor policies and plans
> to monitor changes
> to inform the public
b) Statistical information on the situation of women and men in all spheres of society is an important tool in promoting equality and in monitoring progress towards full equality. It increases the awareness about the status of women in relation to men in the ECE region.
c) Gender statistics can help eliminate gender-based stereotypes by providing evidence on the actual situation of women and men.
d) Gender statistics provide an impartial and comparable basis for evaluating progress towards the internationally agreed goals to improve the situation of women.
e) Gender statistics are a valuable tool for gender advocates, policy makers, and others working on gender related issues. The importance of statistics was further strengthened at the Fourth World Conference on Women (Beijing 1995) in setting the generation and dissemination of genderdisaggregated data and information as strategic objectives for planning and evaluation.
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## IMPORT ANT CONST IT UT IONAL AND LEGAL PROVISIONS FOR WOMEN IN INDIA

The principle of gender equality is enshrined in the Indian Constitution in its Preamble, Fundamental Rights, Fundamental Duties and Directive Principles. The Constitution not only grants equality to women, but also empowers the State to adopt measures of positive discrimination in favour of women. Within the framework of a democratic polity, our laws, development policies, Plans and programmes have aimed at women's advancement in different spheres. India has also ratified various international conventions and human rights instruments committing to secure equal rights of women. Key among them is the ratification of the Convention on Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) in 1993.

### 2.1 CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

The Constitution of India not only grants equality to women but also empowers the State to adopt measures of positive discrimination in favour of women for neutralizing the cumulative socio economic, education and political disadvantages faced by them. Fundamental Rights, among others, ensure equality before the law and equal protection of law; prohibits discrimination against any citizen on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex or place of birth, and guarantee equality of opportunity to all citizens in matters relating to employment. Articles 14, 15, 15(3), 16, 39(a), 39(b), 39(c) and 42 of the Constitution are of specific importance in this regard.

### 2.1.1 Constitutional Privileges

(i) Equality before law for women (Article 14)
(ii) The State not to discriminate against any citizen on grounds only of religion, race, caste, sex, place of birth or any of them (Article $\mathbf{1 5}$ (i))
(iii) The State to make any special provision in favour of women and children (Article 15 (3))
(iv) Equality of opportunity for all citizens in matters relating to employment or appointment to any office under the State (Article 16)
(v) The State to direct its policy towards securing for men and women equally the right to an adequate means of livelihood (Article 39(a)); and equal pay for equal work for both men and women (Article 39(d))
(vi) To promote justice, on a basis of equal opportunity and to provide free legal aid by suitable legislation or scheme or in any other way to ensure that opportunities for securing justice are not denied to any citizen by reason of economic or other disabilities (Article 39 A)
(vii) The State to make provision for securing just and humane conditions of work and for maternity relief (Article 42)
(viii) The State to promote with special care the educational and economic interests of the weaker sections of the people and to protect them from social injustice and all forms of exploitation (Article 46)
(ix) The State to raise the level of nutrition and the standard of living of its people (Article 47)
(x) To promote harmony and the spirit of common brotherhood amongst all the people of India and to renounce practices derogatory to the dignity of women (Article 51(A) (e))
(xi) Not less than one-third (including the number of seats reserved for women belonging to the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes) of the total number of seats to be filled by direct election in every Panchayat to be reserved for women and such seats to be allotted by rotation to different constituencies in a Panchayat (Article 243 D(3))
(xii) Not less than one- third of the total number of offices of Chairpersons in the Panchayats at each level to be reserved for women (Article 243 D (4))
(ix) Not less than one-third (including the number of seats reserved for women belonging to the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes) of the total number of seats to be filled by direct election in every Municipality to be reserved for women and such seats to be allotted by rotation to different constituencies in a Municipality (Article 243 T (3))
(x) Reservation of offices of Chairpersons in Municipalities for the Scheduled Castes, the Scheduled Tribes and women in such manner as the legislature of a State may by law provide (Article 243 T (4))

### 2.2 LEGAL PROVISIONS

To uphold the Constitutional mandate, the State has enacted various legislative measures intended to ensure equal rights, to counter social discrimination and various forms of violence and atrocities and to provide support services especially to working women.

Although women may be victims of any of the crimes such as 'Murder', 'Robbery', 'Cheating' etc, the crimes, which are directed specifically against
women, are characterized as 'Crime against Women'. These are broadly classified under two categories.

### 2.2.1 The Crimes Identified Under the Indian Penal Code (IPC)

a) Rape (Sec. 376 IPC)
b) Kidnapping \& Abduction for different purposes ( Sec. 363-373)
c) Homicide for Dowry, Dowry Deaths or their attempts (Sec. 302/ 304-B IPC)
d) Torture, both mental and physical (Sec. 498-A IPC)
e) Molestation (Sec. 354 IPC)
f) Sexual Harassment (Sec. 509 IPC)
g) Importation of girls (up to 21 years of age)

### 2.2.2 The Crimes identified under the Special Laws (SLL)

Although all laws are not gender specific, the provisions of law affecting women significantly have been reviewed periodically and amendments carried out to keep pace with the emerging requirements. Some acts which have special provisions to safeguard women and their interests are:
a) The Employees State Insurance Act, 1948
b) The Plantation Labour Act, 1951
c) The Family Courts Act, 1954
d) The Special Marriage Act, 1954
e) The Hindu Marriage Act, 1955
f) The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 with amendment in 2005
g) Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956
h) The Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 (Amended in 1995)
i) Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961
j) The Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act, 1971
k) The Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1976
I) The Equal Remuneration Act, 1976
m) The Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006
n) The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 1983
o) The Factories (Amendment) Act, 1986
p) Indecent Representation of Women (Prohibition) Act, 1986
q) Commission of Sati (Prevention) Act, 1987
r) The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005

### 2.3 SPECIAL INITIATIVES FOR WOMEN

(i) National Commission for Women

In J anuary 1992, the Government set-up this statutory body with a specific mandate to study and monitor all matters relating to the constitutional and legal safeguards provided for women, review the existing legislation to suggest amendments wherever necessary, etc.
(ii) Reservation for Women in Local Self -Government

The $73^{\text {rd }}$ Constitutional Amendment Acts passed in 1992 by Parliament ensure one-third of the total seats for women in all elected offices in local bodies whether in rural areas or urban areas.
(iii) The National Plan of Action for the Girl Child (1991-2000)

The plan of Action is to ensure survival, protection and development of the girl child with the ultimate objective of building up a better future for the girl child.
(iv) National Policy for the Empowerment of Women, 2001

The Department of Women \& Child Development in the Ministry of Human Resource Development has prepared a "National Policy for the Empowerment of Women" in the year 2001. The goal of this policy is to bring about the advancement, development and empowerment of women.

## v) Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005

The Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act is to remove gender discriminatory provisions in the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 and gives the following rights to daughters under Section6:
a) The daughter of coparcener shall by birth become a coparcener in her own right in the same manner as the son.
b) The daughter has the same rights in the coparcenary property as she would have had if she had been a son;
c) The daughter shall be subject to the same liability in the said coparcenary property as that of son; and any reference to a Hindu Mitakshara coparceners shall be deemed to include a reference to a daughter of a coparcener;
d) The daughter is allotted the same share as is allotted to a son;
e) The share of the pre-deceased son or a pre-deceased daughter shall be allotted to the surviving child of such pre-deceased son or of such pre-deceased daughter;
f) The share of the pre-deceased child of a pre-deceased son or of a pre-deceased daughter shall be allotted to the child of such predeceased child of the pre-deceased son or a pre-deceased daughter.
vi) The Protection of women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005

This is an Act to provide for more effective protection of the rights of women guaranteed under the Constitution who are victims of violence of any kind occurring within the family and for matter connected therewith or incidental thereto.

# HIMACHAL PRADESH STATE GOVERNMENT INIT IATIVES FOR GENDER EMPOWERMENT 

Government of Himachal Pradesh also initiated programmes/ schemes for gender empowerment these programmes/ schemes appended below:

### 3.1 Mukhya Mantri Kanyadan Yojna

Under this scheme, marriage grant of Rs. 11001/- is given to the parents/ guardians of the girl or to the girl herself to solemnize her marriage provided their annual income does not exceed Rs. 15,000/-

### 3.2 Widow/Destitute/Single Woman Pension Scheme

Pension @ Rs. 330/ - per month is provided to the following:
(i) Widows;
(ii) Destitute women(legally separated from husband);
(iii) Single women above 45 years of age i.e. women (above 45 years of age) whose husbands are missing for the last 7 years or are living separately from their husbands or are living with their parents/ elsewhere or are unmarried; provided their own annual income does not exceed Rs. 9000/- or their family income does not exceed Rs. 15,000/-

### 3.3 Widow Re-Marriage Scheme

The State Government is implementing Widow Re-marriage Scheme for rehabilitation of young widows by encouraging them to enter into
wedlock. Under this scheme an amount of Rs. 25,000 - is provided as a grant for the couple.

### 3.4 Mother Teressa Asahay Matri Sambal Yojna

Annual grant of Rs. 2500/ - is provided to all BPL, widow, divorcee and deserted women for upbringing of their children (only for 2 children upto the age of 18 years) in addition to widow pension provided their annual income does not Exceed Rs. 18,000/-

### 3.5 Self Employment Scheme for women

Under this scheme, Rs. 2500/ - are provided to the women whose annual income is less than Rs. 7500/- for carrying income generating activities.

### 3.6 State Homes

For destitute women and wayward girls/ women State Home is being run at Mashobra (Shimla) by the department. The immates of this home are provided free education, clothes, boarding and lodging facilities. Besides, training in various vocation trades is also imparted to them. For rehabilitation of such women, after leaving State Home, financial Assistance of Rs. 10,000/ - per woman is also provided.

### 3.7 Awareness Campaign

To mobilize public opinion against social evils like dowry, child marriage, female foeticide, domestic violence against women etc. and to make the women aware about their legal rights and constitutional
safeguards, awareness camps are organized by the department at level of State, District, Block Panchayat and village.

### 3.8 State Level Council for Empowerment of Women:

For effective implementation and monitoring of National Policy for Empowerment of Women, a State Level Council for Empowerment of women has been constituted under the Chairpersonship of Hon'ble Chief Minister, Himachal Pradesh.

### 3.9 Women Development Corporation

Women Development Corporation has been set up in the State. This corporation helps needy women to avail loan from banks for setting up self employment ventures on low rate of interest.

### 3.10 State Commission for Women

State Women Commission has been set up in the State for redressal of grievances of women and to make them aware about their legal rights. This commission is providing counselling services and legal aid to women. The Commission also looks into the complaints of violence and atrocities against women.

### 3.11 Beti Hai Anmol

With a view to change negative family and community attitudes towards the girl child at birth and towards her mother and to improve enrolment and retention of girl children in school, Beti Hai Anmol scheme is being implemented in the state w.e.f. 05.07.2010 for girls (2 girls only) belonging to the BPL families. Under the scheme post
birth grant of Rs. 5100/- and scholarships ranging from Rs. 300 to Rs. 1500 is provided from class 1 to Class $10+2$.

### 3.12 Kishori Shakti Yojna

To improve the nutrition and health status of adolescent girls and to promote self awareness and enhance life/vocational skills, Kishori Shakti Yojna is being implemented in 8 Districts.

### 3.13 Rajiv Gandhi Scheme for Empowerment of Adolescent Girls

This scheme has been started in 4 Districts viz Solan, Kullu, Chamba, and Kangra in place of Kishori Shakti Yojna and also Nutritional Programme for Adolescent Girls (NPAG) in Kangra District. This scheme has been launched on 19.11.2010 for 2 years on pilot basis.
a. 11-14 years age- Out of school adolescent girls.
b. 15-18 years age- All AGS (school going plus out of school).

### 3.14 Indira Gandhi Matritava Sahyog Yojna

During the financial year 2010-11 Government of India has approved the implementation of $100 \%$ Centrally Sponsored Scheme "Indira Gandhi Matritava Sahyog Yojna" on pilot basis in Hamirpur District.

Main objective of the scheme is to improve the health and nutrition status of pregnant and lactating women and infants by promoting appropriate practices, care and service utilization during pregnancy, delivery and lactation period.

### 3.15 Self Help Groups

With a view to empower poor women, the state is forming Self Help Groups of women through Anganwari workers. These SHGs are doing income Generating activities. So far, 27,706 SHGs have been formed out of which 11,514 have been linked with banks.

### 3.16 Prevention of Sexual Harassment of Women at work place:

Mechanism has been created in the State by forming committees for Prevention of Sexual Harassment of Women at Work Places as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

## GENDER ST AT IST ICS OF HIMACHAL PRADESH

### 4.1 Current Population of India

India, with 1,210,193,422 (1.21 billion) people is the second most populous country in the world, while China is on the top with over 1,350,044,605 ( 1.35 billion) people. The figures show that India represents almost $17.31 \%$ of the world's population, which means one out of six people on this planet live in India. The female population constitutes 48.46 per cent of the total population in India as per 2011 census.

### 4.2 Sex Ratio of India

Sex Ratio is a term used to define number of females per 1000 males. It's a great source to find the equality of males and females in a society at a given period of time. In India Sex Ratio was okay till the time of Independence, thereafter it has declined regularly. According to Census of India 2011, Indian sex ratio has shown some improvement in the last 20 years. It has gone up from 927 in 1991 census to 933 in 2001 and 940 in 2011 census of India.

| Total Male Population in India 2011 | $\mathbf{6 2 , 3 7 , 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Female Population in India 2011 | $58,65,00,000$ |
| Total Population in India 2011 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 , 0 2 , 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Sex Ratio | $\mathbf{9 4 0}$ |

### 4.3 Current Population of Himachal Pradesh

The current population of Himachal Pradesh is 68, 56,509. The figures show that Himachal Pradesh represents almost $0.57 \%$ of the India's population,
and ranked $20^{\text {th }}$ in India. The female population constitutes 49.33 per cent of the total population in Himachal Pradesh as per 2011 census.

### 4.4 Sex Ratio of Himachal Pradesh

It is a widely known fact that women live longer than men. At the same time it is also a fact that there is less number of women than men. In the last about 100 years Himachal had shown an increasing trend in the number of women per thousand men barring for the decades 1911-1921 and 1931-1941, when sex ratio dropped from 904 to 902 and from 906 to 897 but the next decades witnessed the recovery and it reached to 976 in 1991. The census results of 2001 has shown decline in sex ratio by eight points i.e. from 976 to 968 which has quite serious socio economic implication if Data analyzed more closely. The Census results of $2011(P)$ has shown an increase in Sex ratio by 6 points i.e. 968 to 974 and as regards Sex ratio (0-6) years it has improved in Himachal Pradesh i.e. from 896 to 906 during 2001 to 2011 Census (P). The sex ratio is high in Himachal Pradesh as compared to neighbouring states.

| SEX RATIO IN HP \& NEIGHBOURING STATES (2011) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Punjab | 893 |
| Haryana | 877 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 883 |
| Uttarakhand | 963 |
| Himachal Pradesh | $\mathbf{9 7 4}$ |
| INDIA | $\mathbf{9 4 0}$ |

The changes in the mortality and fertility patterns affect the age distribution of population which in turn affects the family structures and the situation in the labour market. According to 2001 census in Himachal Pradesh
30.22 percent of women and 31.76 per cent men were in the age group of $0-14$ years. There were 48.24 per cent of women in the reproductive age group viz. $15-44$ years. The fact that more women live longer as compared to men is evident as percentage of women in the age- group 60 years and above has always been higher than that for men. According to 2001 census, 9.25 per cent of women in this age-group as compared to 8.77 per cent of men (Fig-1).

FIG-1


The growth of female population $(2.10 \%$ ) was slightly higher than that of the male population ( $2.06 \%$ ) during the decade 1981-1991. But over the last decade viz. 1991-2001, the female population growth rate was 1.71 percent slightly lower as compared to the 1.80 percent growth rate of the male population. During the decade viz 2001-2011 the female population growth rate is 1.13 which is slightly more as compared tol.12 percent growth rate of male population (Fig-2 \& Table-1.5).

Fig-2


An interesting comparison highlighting gender differences in the Himachal Pradesh can be observed by looking at the distribution of male and female population according to marital status. According to 2001 census, 48.12 percent females were married as compared to 43.84 percent of males and 43.92 percent of females were never married as compared to 53.99 percent of males. Moreover, 7.68 percent of females were widows as compared to 1.94 percent of males and 0.28 percent females are either divorced/separated as compared to only 0.23 percent males (Fig-3).

FIG-3


### 4.5 Vital statistics

With greater awareness about the family planning, increased availability of the family planning methods and more freedom in its use, the age-specific fertility rates have shown an increasing trend over years in all age-groups. In 2008, there were about 62.3 percent live births Per 1000 women in the age group 15-49 years (General Fertility Rate) as compared to about 61.7 live births per 1000 women in the same age group in 2007. The average number of children that a women is expected to give birth to (Total Fertility Rate), during the entire child bearing age-group, if she experiences the current fertility pattern throughout, has been decreasing over the years. The Total Fertility Rate for 2008 was 1.9 with the figure for rural areas being slightly higher $(2.0 \%$ than that in urban areas (Fig. 4 Table-1.17 \& 1.18).

Fig. 4


Age specific fertility rate in Himachal Pradesh have shown an increasing trend over years in all age-groups. In 2008, there were about 173.7 percent live births per 1000 women in the age group 20-24 years as compared to about 140.1 live births per 1000 women in the $25-29$ age groups (Fig. 5 Table-1.19).

Fig-5


### 4.6 Health Status

Women and men have somewhat different kind of health risks throughout their life span. Women were expected to a peculiar and major health risk due to child bearing. Good health and family planning services are important for the general well-being of the women, children and the entire family-giving women, in particular, an opportunity to decide when and how many children do they want. Reduced Infant Mortality would give women better chance to have the desired size of the family with few numbers of pregnancies. Discrimination against a female child is evident from the fact that girls experience higher rate of mortality in younger age-groups as compared to the boys. In 2009, the female infant mortality rate was observed to be 45 as compared to male infant mortality rate of 44 . Life expectancy at birth has increased continuously over years. For the period 2002-06, the male life expectancy at birth was 66.5 years as compared to 67.3 years for females (Fig6 and Table-1.32).

Fig-6


Health of women is an important factor in determining the overall health of the society. If pregnant women are not well nourished they are more likely to give births to weaker babies leading to a higher infant mortality rate (Fig-7 \& Table-1.29). It is also observed that wherever the infant and child mortality is higher, the birth rates are also higher. Women are exposed to a high risk of death due to pregnancy.

Fig-7


### 4.7 Planning the Family

The provision of contraceptives information is fundamental to the ability of women and men to make informed choices about reproductive health decisions. The use of contraceptives and family planning methods has been increasing uniformly over the years. This would not only give better chances to women to achieve the desired size of the family with the reduced number of pregnancies but would also help her to ensure desired spacing of the consecutive pregnancies. This would go a long way for the well being of the mother as well as the entire family.

Female sterilization is the widely known family planning method followed by male sterilization during 2009-10. It has been observed that three out of four users rely on sterilization in Himachal Pradesh. Sterilization accounts for roughly $99 \%$ of all modern contraceptive methods used. Although reported by a negligible minority, sterilization is the most common method used even among married women and men. The reported use of traditional contraceptive methods and male/ couple dependent methods is negligible. Table-1.33 provides a breakdown of the contraceptive methods used by married women \& men in Himachal Pradesh in last 20 years.

Out of the total accepters of family planning methods only 1.73 percent adopted vasectomy during the year 2009-10, 13.33 percent adopted tubectomy, 13.19 per cent adopted IUD Insertion, 55.70 per cent were CC users and 16.03 per cent adopted oral pills as family planning methods.

The contraceptive prevalence rate, one of the important proximate determinants of fertility. Contraceptive prevalence rate is highest (73 per cent)
(Fig-8) in Himachal Pradesh as compared to neighbouring states as well as rest of the states in India. More than two thirds of women use modern methods only in Himachal Pradesh (NFHS-3, India, 2005-06).

Fig-8


### 4.8 Educational Achievements

Literacy is very important indicator of development of a particular country or state. The literacy rate increases either due to the increase in number of literates or due to the decrease in population. Special attention is being laid to these aspects by the state Government. Literacy rates in the state have risen dramatically from only 4.8 per cent in 1951 (male 7.5 per cent and female 2 per cent) to 83.87 per cent in 2011 (male 90.83 per cent and female 76.6 per cent) Fig-9 \& Table-1.1.

Fig-9


### 4.9 Participation of Women and Men in the Economy

According to census, 2001 the total worker population In Himachal Pradesh was 29.92 lakh of which 13.05 lakh were women and 16.86 lakh were men out of total women workers, 21.09 per cent were main workers, 22.58 per cent were marginal workers and 56.33 percent were non-workers. Similarly out of total men workers, 43.18 per cent were main workers, 11.44 per cent were marginal workers and 45.38 percent non-workers respectively (Fig-10). This clearly indicates the wide difference in the participation of women and men in the economy.

Fig-10


The female workforce participation rate (WPR) on an average in 1991 was 38.75 per cent which has increased to 46.34 per cent in 2001 . There has been remarkable improvement in the work force participation rate of women as evident from various censuses. Similarly the male workforce participation rate (WPR) on an average in 1991 was 53.20 per cent which has increased to 56.95 per cent in 2001 (Fig-11).

Fig-11


In respect of women employment in the government services it reveals from the Census of Himachal Pradesh Government Employees-2009-10 that only 19.7 (Fig-12) percent of total government employees were women.

However, this share of women government employees during 2010 has moderately improved from 19.5 per cent in 2009. It also revealed that women employed in the class III and IV non-gezetted category of government services shared the major share ( 95.8 per cent) among the women in Himachal Government Services only 4.2 per cent were in gazetted category (Fig-13).

Fig-12


Fig-13


### 4.10 Women and Decision Making

Decision making is the foremost step to the ladder of empowerment. Women is under-represented in the governance and decision making positions. At present women occupy less than 12 per cent of parliament seats, less than 11 per cent of Raj ya seats and less than 4 per cent of seats in High courts and the supreme courts. As on May 2011, out of 34 Cabinet Ministers there are only 2 women cabinet ministers, out of 37 Ministers of States there are only 4 women ministers. There are only 18 women judges out of 548 in the country. Only one female judge is there in Supreme Court of India out of 29. In Himachal Pradesh high court on $1^{\text {st }}$ April, 2011 there is no woman judge. In Himachal Pradesh there is one woman minister out of 11 ministers.

Women's political participation is an important input to their empowerment. In the political participation and decision making position women are under-represented. At present in Himachal Pradesh the number of women members in the state legislative assembly is five, only seven per cent to the total elected representatives.

| ASSEMBLY | WOMEN |
| :---: | :---: |
| ELEVENTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(Current Assembly) | 5 |
| TENTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(2003-2007) | 4 |
| NINTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1998-2003) | 7 |
| EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1993-1998) | 4 |
| SEVENTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1990-1992) | 4 |
| SIXTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1985-1990) | 3 |
| FIFTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1982-1985) | 3 |
| FOURTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1977-1982) | 1 |
| THIRDLEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-(1977-1982) | 5 |

However, elected women representative in the Panchyati Raj Institutions and urban local bodies have significantly increased due to good number of women which have actively entered in politics in Himachal Pradesh. With the representation of seats a good number of women have been elected to Panchyati Raj institutions in Himachal Pradesh for the term 2011-2016. In Himachal Pradesh there are 3243 Gram Panchyats, out of which 1639 ( 50.54 per cent) seats have been occupied by women in 2011 Panchyat elections. Out of total seats occupied by women, 987 ( 60.21 per cent) occupied by general women, 421 ( 25.68 percent) scheduled caste women, 104 ( 6.34 per cent) scheduled tribes women and 127 ( 7.74 per cent) occupied by OBC women.

Similarly, out of total 77 Chairman Panchyat Simities seats, 42 seats ( 54.55 per cent) of the seats in this category have been occupied by women. Among total seats occupied by women in Chairman Panchyat Simities category, 20 ( 48 per cent) occupied by general women, 13 ( 31 per cent) by scheduled caste women, 4 ( 9 per cent) by scheduled tribes women and 5 ( 12 per cent) occupied by OBC women.

Out of the total 12 seats chairpersons of Zila Parisad seats, 6 ( 50 per cent) of the seats have been occupied by women in 2011 elections.

### 4.11 Social Obstacles in Women's Empowerment

Crime against women is a universal phenomenon prevalent in every society irrespective of the social or economic class to which the women belong. Crime and Violence committed against women in the society is the prime obstacle for women to go forward and achieve the goal of success in her life. Gender violence is the greatest manifestation of gender bias and gender
inequality. It is difficult to acquire accurate data on violence against women because of the social, cultural and legal barriers, lack of evidences and amount of secrecy and sensitivity involved.

In India there has been a continuous rise in the total incidence of crimes committed against women over the years. The crime against women in Himachal Pradesh is depicted in Fig-14. The incidence of crime against women during 2010 has increased by 5.7 per cent.
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Table: 1.1
Population and no of Literates and Literacy Rate 2011 Census (P)

| District | Total Population |  |  | No of Literates |  |  | Literacy Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | Female |
| Bilaspur | 382056 | 192827 | 189229 | 291647 | 157835 | 133812 | 85.67 | 92.39 | 78.90 |
| Chamba | 518844 | 260848 | 257996 | 328940 | 189641 | 139299 | 73.19 | 84.19 | 62.14 |
| Hamirpur | 454293 | 216742 | 237551 | 361909 | 182350 | 179559 | 89.01 | 95.28 | 83.44 |
| Kangra | 1507223 | 748559 | 758664 | 1164461 | 613281 | 551180 | 86.49 | 92.55 | 80.62 |
| Kinnaur | 84298 | 46364 | 37934 | 61639 | 37356 | 24283 | 80.77 | 88.37 | 71.34 |
| Kullu | 437474 | 224320 | 213154 | 310487 | 176552 | 133935 | 80.14 | 88.80 | 71.01 |
| L\&S | 31528 | 16455 | 15073 | 22040 | 13018 | 9022 | 77.24 | 86.97 | 66.50 |
| Mandi | 999518 | 496787 | 502731 | 736661 | 401990 | 334671 | 82.81 | 91.51 | 74.33 |
| Shimla | 813384 | 424486 | 388898 | 619427 | 347013 | 272414 | 84.55 | 90.73 | 77.80 |
| Sirmaur | 530164 | 276801 | 253363 | 369651 | 209608 | 160043 | 79.98 | 86.76 | 72.55 |
| Solan | 576670 | 306162 | 270508 | 433877 | 247323 | 186554 | 85.02 | 91.19 | 78.02 |
| Una | 521057 | 263541 | 257516 | 403767 | 215575 | 188192 | 87.23 | 92.75 | 81.67 |
| H.P. | 6856509 | 3473892 | 3382617 | 5104506 | 2791542 | 2312964 | 83.78 | 90.83 | 76.60 |

Source: Census of India, 2011(P)

Table: 1.2
Rural-Urban/Male-Female Population of H.P

| Year | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  | Combined |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Sex Ratio | Total | Male | Female | Sex <br> Ratio | Total | Male | Female | Sex <br> Ratio |
| 1951 | 2232154 | 1155384 | 1076770 | 932 | 153827 | 92442 | 61385 | 664 | 2385981 | 1247826 | 1138155 | 912 |
| 1961 | 2634188 | 1343271 | 1290917 | 961 | 178275 | 108063 | 70212 | 606 | 2812463 | 1451334 | 1361129 | 938 |
| 1971 | 3218544 | 1628623 | 1589921 | 976 | 241890 | 138334 | 103556 | 749 | 3460434 | 1766957 | 1693477 | 958 |
| 1981 | 3954847 | 1988331 | 1966516 | 989 | 325971 | 181600 | 144371 | 795 | 4280818 | 2169931 | 2110887 | 973 |
| 1991 | 4721681 | 2372193 | 2349488 | 990 | 449196 | 245274 | 203922 | 831 | 5170877 | 2617467 | 2553410 | 976 |
| 2001 | 5482319 | 2756073 | 2726246 | 989 | 595581 | 331867 | 263714 | 795 | 6077900 | 3087940 | 2989960 | 968 |
| Projections as on ${ }^{\text {st }}$ October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 5818034 | 2927700 | 2890334 | 987 | 680960 | 382976 | 297984 | 778 | 6498994 | 3310676 | 3188318 | 963 |
| 2011 | 6080638 | 3064037 | 3019601 | 985 | 761856 | 433152 | 328704 | 759 | 6845494 | 3497189 | 3348305 | 957 |
| 2016 | 6309855 | 3180175 | 3129680 | 984 | 844800 | 485376 | 359424 | 741 | 7154655 | 3665551 | 3489104 | 952 |

DESHP

Table: 1.3
District wise Male-Female Population (2001 Census)

| District | Total |  |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | Female |
| Bilaspur | 340885 | 171263 | 169622 | 318934 | 159488 | 159446 | 21951 | 11775 | 10176 |
| Chamba | 460887 | 235218 | 225669 | 426345 | 216704 | 209641 | 34542 | 18514 | 16028 |
| Hamirpur | 412700 | 196593 | 216107 | 382494 | 180366 | 202128 | 30206 | 16227 | 13979 |
| Kangra | 1339030 | 661254 | 677776 | 1266745 | 623259 | 643486 | 72285 | 37995 | 34290 |
| Kinnaur | 78334 | 42173 | 36161 | 78334 | 42173 | 36161 | -- | -- | -- |
| Kullu | 381571 | 198016 | 183555 | 351478 | 181131 | 170347 | 30093 | 16885 | 13208 |
| Lahaul <br> Spiti | 33224 | 18441 | 14783 | 33224 | 18441 | 14783 | -- | -- | -- |
| Mandi | 901344 | 447872 | 453472 | 840362 | 415676 | 424686 | 60982 | 32196 | 28786 |
| Shimla | 722502 | 380996 | 341506 | 555269 | 285305 | 269964 | 167233 | 95691 | 71546 |
| Sirmaur | 458593 | 241299 | 217294 | 410923 | 215656 | 195267 | 47670 | 25643 | 22027 |
| Solan | 500557 | 270291 | 230266 | 409362 | 214150 | 195212 | 91195 | 56141 | 35054 |
| Una | 448273 | 224524 | 223749 | 408849 | 203724 | 205125 | 39424 | 20800 | 18624 |
| H.P. | 6077900 | 3087940 | 2989960 | 5482319 | 2756073 | 2726246 | 595581 | 331867 | 263714 |

Source: Census of India, H.P. Final Population.

Table: 1.4
District-wise Male-Female Percentage of Population (2001 Census)

| District | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Bilaspur | 50.24 | 49.76 | 50.01 | 49.99 | 53.64 | 46.36 |
| Chamba | 51.04 | 48.96 | 50.83 | 49.17 | 53.60 | 46.40 |
| Hamirpur | 47.64 | 52.36 | 47.16 | 52.84 | 53.72 | 46.28 |
| Kangra | 49.38 | 50.62 | 49.20 | 50.80 | 52.56 | 47.44 |
| Kinnaur | 53.84 | 46.16 | 53.84 | 46.16 | -- | -- |
| Kullu | 51.89 | 48.11 | 51.53 | 48.47 | 56.11 | 43.89 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 55.51 | 44.49 | 55.51 | 44.49 | -- | -- |
| Mandi | 49.69 | 50.31 | 49.46 | 50.54 | 52.80 | 47.20 |
| Shimla | 52.73 | 47.27 | 51.38 | 48.62 | 57.22 | 42.78 |
| Sirmaur | 52.62 | 47.38 | 52.48 | 47.52 | 53.79 | 46.21 |
| Solan | 54.00 | 46.00 | 52.31 | 47.69 | 61.56 | 38.44 |
| Una | 50.09 | 49.91 | 49.83 | 50.17 | 52.75 | 47.25 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 7 3}$ | 55.72 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 2 8}$ |

Source: Census of India, 2001, Series-3, H.P. Final Population.

Table: 1.5
Decadal Population Growth Rate

| Year | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 16.31 | 19.59 | 17.87 |
| 1971 | 21.75 | 24.42 | 23.04 |
| 1981 | 22.81 | 24.65 | 23.71 |
| 1991 | 20.62 | 20.96 | 20.79 |
| 2001 | 17.97 | 17.10 | 17.54 |
| $2011(P)$ | 12.50 | 13.13 | 12.81 |

Source: Census of India

Table: 1.6
Age-wise Distribution of Female Population (2001 Census)

| District | $\mathbf{0 - 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \&}$ <br> above | Age not <br> stated | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 20095 | 14073 | 14871 | 16170 | 15711 | 69997 | 18403 | 302 | 169622 |
| Chamba | 33985 | 23215 | 22736 | 23650 | 20405 | 84688 | 16173 | 817 | 225669 |
| Hamirpur | 23301 | 16340 | 18057 | 21119 | 20220 | 89849 | 26883 | 338 | 216107 |
| Kangra | 74931 | 53846 | 59162 | 70991 | 66489 | 281464 | 69436 | 1457 | 677776 |
| Kinnaur | 4603 | 3108 | 3374 | 3387 | 3154 | 14622 | 3855 | 58 | 36161 |
| Kullu | 25865 | 17695 | 18204 | 18577 | 17294 | 72020 | 13587 | 313 | 183555 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 1796 | 1192 | 1140 | 1476 | 1392 | 6287 | 1468 | 32 | 14783 |
| Mandi | 57414 | 38183 | 41044 | 45197 | 44874 | 183722 | 41874 | 1164 | 453472 |
| Shimla | 40984 | 29470 | 30765 | 35091 | 34046 | 143783 | 26726 | 641 | 341506 |
| Sirmaur | 33055 | 22179 | 21651 | 22638 | 19416 | 82565 | 15412 | 378 | 217294 |
| Solan | 31475 | 20064 | 20376 | 22347 | 23547 | 94470 | 17461 | 526 | 230266 |
| Una | 27207 | 18829 | 19235 | 21663 | 20778 | 90107 | 25440 | 490 | 223749 |
| H.P. | 374711 | $\mathbf{2 5 8 1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 0 6 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2 3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 3 5 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6 7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 9 9 6 0}$ |

Source: Census of India -2001, H.P.

Table: 1.7
District-wise Females per Thousand Males

| District | 1981Census | 1991 Census | 2001 Census | 2011 Census(P) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 1002 | 1002 | 990 | 981 |
| Chamba | 936 | 949 | 959 | 989 |
| Hamirpur | 1146 | 1105 | 1099 | 1096 |
| Kangra | 1016 | 1024 | 1025 | 1013 |
| Kinnaur | 885 | 856 | 857 | 818 |
| Kullu | 918 | 920 | 927 | 950 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 767 | 817 | 802 | 916 |
| Mandi | 999 | 1013 | 1013 | 1012 |
| Shimla | 878 | 894 | 896 | 916 |
| Sirmaur | 873 | 897 | 901 | 915 |
| Solan | 929 | 909 | 852 | 884 |
| Una | 1028 | 873 | 997 | 977 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 4}$ |

Source: Census of India .

## DESHP

Table: 1.8
District-wise Population of Females per Thousand Males in the Age Group of 0-6 Years

| District | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 | $2011(P)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 952 | 923 | 882 | 893 |
| Chamba | 979 | 965 | 955 | 950 |
| Hamirpur | 972 | 938 | 850 | 881 |
| Kangra | 978 | 939 | 836 | 873 |
| Kinnaur | 993 | 958 | 976 | 953 |
| Kullu | 970 | 966 | 960 | 962 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 965 | 951 | 961 | 1013 |
| Mandi | 986 | 968 | 918 | 913 |
| Shimla | 983 | 958 | 929 | 922 |
| Sirmaur | 975 | 973 | 934 | 931 |
| Solan | 944 | 951 | 900 | 899 |
| Una | 924 | 923 | 837 | 871 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 6}$ |

Source: Census of India

## Table: 1.9 <br> District-wise Population of Female Target Groups* (2001 Census)

| District | Early <br> Childhood <br> (0-6 years) | Girl Child <br> (0-19 years) | Adolescent <br> Girl <br> $(11-18$ years) | Reproductive <br> Age <br> $(15-44$ years) | Economically <br> Active <br> $(15-59$ years) | Old Age <br> (60 + years) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 20095 | 65209 | 28393 | 80784 | 101878 | 18403 |
| Chamba | 33985 | 103586 | 42750 | 104539 | 128743 | 16173 |
| Hamirpur | 23301 | 78817 | 35701 | 102110 | 131188 | 26883 |
| Kangra | 74931 | 258930 | 118443 | 330958 | 418944 | 69436 |
| Kinnaur | 4603 | 14472 | 6213 | 16905 | 21163 | 3855 |
| Kullu | 25865 | 80341 | 33738 | 87751 | 107891 | 13587 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 1796 | 5604 | 2380 | 7234 | 9155 | 1468 |
| Mandi | 57414 | 181838 | 78497 | 219035 | 273793 | 41874 |
| Shimla | 40984 | 136310 | 60197 | 172438 | 212920 | 26726 |
| Sirmaur | 33055 | 99523 | 40989 | 100831 | 124619 | 15412 |
| Solan | 31475 | 94262 | 39076 | 114986 | 140364 | 17461 |
| Una | 27207 | 86934 | 37606 | 104790 | 132548 | 25440 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{3 7 4 7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 5 8 2 6}$ | 523983 | $\mathbf{1 4 4 2 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 3 2 0 6}$ | 276718 |

Source: Census of India, 2001, H.P.
*Age groups referred to in the context of developmental planning

Table: 1.10
Sex-wise Scheduled Castes Population
(2001 Census)

| District | Male | Female | Total | Percentage of <br> females to total <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 44041 | 42540 | 86581 | 49.13 |
| Chamba | 46940 | 45419 | 92359 | 49.17 |
| Hamirpur | 48742 | 49797 | 98539 | 50.53 |
| Kangra | 139858 | 139682 | 279540 | 49.97 |
| Kinnaur | 3972 | 3653 | 7625 | 47.91 |
| Kullu | 55346 | 52551 | 107897 | 48.70 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 1386 | 1219 | 2605 | 46.79 |
| Mandi | 131083 | 130150 | 261233 | 49.82 |
| Shimla | 97178 | 91609 | 188787 | 48.53 |
| Sirmaur | 70314 | 65460 | 135774 | 48.21 |
| Solan | 73016 | 67626 | 140642 | 48.08 |
| Una | 51457 | 49131 | 100588 | 48.84 |
| H.P. | 763333 | 738837 | $\mathbf{1 5 0 2 1 7 0}$ | 49.18 |

Source: Census of India, 2001, Series-3, Final Population of H.P.
Table: 1.11
Sex-wise Scheduled Tribes Population (2001 Census)

| District | Male | Female | Total | Percentage of <br> females to total <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 4739 | 4441 | 9180 | 48.38 |
| Chamba | 58850 | 58719 | 117569 | 49.94 |
| Hamirpur | 92 | 63 | 155 | 40.65 |
| Kangra | 869 | 728 | 1597 | 45.59 |
| Kinnaur | 27583 | 28685 | 56268 | 50.98 |
| Kullu | 5664 | 5687 | 11351 | 50.10 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 11952 | 12286 | 24238 | 50.69 |
| Mandi | 5307 | 5257 | 10564 | 49.76 |
| Shimla | 2312 | 1800 | 4112 | 43.77 |
| Sirmaur | 3189 | 2771 | 5960 | 46.49 |
| Solan | 1952 | 1590 | 3542 | 44.89 |
| Una | 40 | 11 | 51 | 21.57 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{1 2 2 5 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 0 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9 0}$ |

Source: Census of India, 2001, Series-3, Final Population of H.P.

Table: 1.12
Marital Status by Age and Sex (2001 Census)

| Age Group | Never Married |  |  | Married |  |  | Widow |  |  | Divorced/ Separated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| < 10 | 1175391 | 619613 | 559738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 698661 | 359007 | 339654 | 5521 | 1993 | 3528 | 639 | 152 | 487 | 218 | 105 | 113 |
| 15-19 | 591844 | 317720 | 274124 | 33940 | 6349 | 27591 | 521 | 129 | 392 | 319 | 120 | 199 |
| 20-24 | 336870 | 232763 | 104107 | 243715 | 62312 | 181403 | 1372 | 300 | 1072 | 1072 | 328 | 744 |
| 25-29 | 104713 | 88234 | 16479 | 407754 | 166992 | 240762 | 3470 | 728 | 2742 | 1570 | 578 | 992 |
| 30-34 | 19838 | 15769 | 4069 | 405267 | 197774 | 207493 | 5853 | 1140 | 4713 | 1717 | 718 | 999 |
| 35-39 | 8686 | 6221 | 2465 | 390477 | 191238 | 199239 | 9896 | 1530 | 8366 | 2003 | 857 | 1146 |
| 40-44 | 5355 | 3900 | 1455 | 310719 | 160727 | 149992 | 12818 | 2046 | 10772 | 1777 | 732 | 1045 |
| 15-44 | 2945318 | 1643227 | 1302029 | 1797399 | 787385 | 1010008 | 34569 | 6025 | 28544 | 8676 | 3438 | 5238 |
| 45-49 | 4162 | 3143 | 1019 | 278154 | 144199 | 133955 | 18997 | 2951 | 16046 | 1569 | 730 | 839 |
| 50-54 | 3746 | 2925 | 821 | 208842 | 115280 | 93562 | 24312 | 4191 | 20121 | 1345 | 679 | 666 |
| 55-59 | 2688 | 2085 | 603 | 159516 | 87295 | 72221 | 25365 | 4814 | 20551 | 968 | 527 | 441 |
| 60-64 | 3238 | 2486 | 752 | 129231 | 75499 | 52732 | 40620 | 7405 | 33215 | 1041 | 560 | 481 |
| 65-69 | 2353 | 1779 | 574 | 88258 | 51958 | 36300 | 37639 | 7348 | 30291 | 656 | 371 | 285 |
| 70-74 | 3133 | 2298 | 835 | 62068 | 41928 | 20140 | 41023 | 8961 | 32062 | 483 | 299 | 184 |
| 75-79 | 1697 | 1240 | 457 | 32253 | 21679 | 10574 | 22669 | 5941 | 16728 | 246 | 161 | 85 |
| 80+ | 2331 | 1580 | 751 | 34161 | 25849 | 8312 | 44167 | 12317 | 31850 | 297 | 187 | 110 |
| Age not Stated | 11585 | 6433 | 5152 | 2769 | 1668 | 1101 | 342 | 86 | 256 | 20 | 13 | 7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | 2980251 | 1667196 | 1313055 | 2792645 | 1353740 | 1438905 | 289703 | 60039 | 229664 | 15301 | 6965 | 8336 |

Source: Census of India, 2001 H.P

## DESHP

Table: 1.13
Death by Age and Sex 2009

| Age Group | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| < 1Year | 243 | 266 | 509 | 296 | 219 | 515 | 539 | 485 | 1024 |
| 1-4Years | 180 | 199 | 379 | 35 | 38 | 73 | 215 | 237 | 452 |
| 5-14Years | 283 | 225 | 508 | 57 | 57 | 114 | 340 | 282 | 622 |
| 15-24Years | 605 | 473 | 1078 | 172 | 155 | 327 | 777 | 628 | 1405 |
| $25-34$ Years | 938 | 527 | 1465 | 259 | 148 | 407 | 1197 | 675 | 1872 |
| 35-44Years | 1440 | 676 | 2116 | 399 | 171 | 570 | 1839 | 847 | 2686 |
| 45-54Years | 2338 | 1206 | 3544 | 525 | 235 | 760 | 2863 | 1441 | 4304 |
| 55-64Years | 3466 | 1957 | 5423 | 660 | 329 | 989 | 4126 | 2286 | 6412 |
| 65-69Years | 2200 | 1312 | 3512 | 308 | 182 | 490 | 2508 | 1494 | 4002 |
| 70\&above | 9108 | 7496 | 16604 | 986 | 694 | 1680 | 10094 | 8190 | 18284 |
| Age not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.14
Mean Age at Marriage - H.P.
(1991 Census)

| District | No. of Currently Married Women |  |  | Mean Age at Marriage |  |  | Percentage of Currently Married Women with age at Marriage below 18 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | $\begin{gathered} \text { Urba } \\ n \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Bilaspur | 68390 | 3711 | 72101 | 16.94 | 18.51 | 17.02 | 65.96 | 44.35 | 64.84 |
| Chamba | 78917 | 6203 | 85120 | 18.28 | 19.78 | 19.39 | 44.45 | 28.14 | 43.26 |
| Hamirpur | 85851 | 4979 | 90830 | 17.38 | 18.97 | 17.47 | 57.00 | 36.14 | 55.83 |
| Kangra | 255114 | 12714 | 267828 | 18.46 | 19.70 | 18.51 | 41.29 | 27.55 | 40.64 |
| Kinnaur | 14598 | -- | 14598 | 19.66 | -- | 19.66 | 28.09 | -- | 28.09 |
| Kullu | 62486 | 4299 | 66785 | 18.74 | 19.79 | 18.81 | 37.01 | 27.11 | 36.37 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 5984 | -- | 5984 | 20.32 | -- | 20.32 | 20.46 | -- | 20.46 |
| Mandi | 172491 | 12125 | 184616 | 17.54 | 19.21 | 17.65 | 56.66 | 36.01 | 55.30 |
| Shimla | 108178 | 25453 | 133631 | 18.30 | 19.67 | 18.56 | 45.21 | 28.69 | 42.07 |
| Sirmaur | 74643 | 8118 | 82761 | 17.62 | 19.34 | 17.79 | 54.79 | 32.36 | 52.57 |
| Solan | 74854 | 10279 | 85133 | 17.12 | 19.54 | 17.42 | 60.63 | 31.03 | 56.98 |
| Una | 78867 | 7213 | 86080 | 18.59 | 19.38 | 18.65 | 40.07 | 31.25 | 39.33 |
| H.P. | 1080373 | 95094 | 1175467 | 18.01 | 19.48 | 18.13 | 48.78 | 31.13 | 47.35 |

Source: Census of India, 1991 H.P. District Profile 1991.

## Table: 1.15 <br> Mean Age at Effective Marriage of Females by Residence-HP (SRS)

| Year | Total |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<18$ | $18-20$ | $21+$ | All <br> ages | $<18$ | $18-20$ | $21+$ | All <br> ages | $<18$ | $18-20$ | $21+$ | All <br> ages |
| 2004 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 17.0 | 20.0 | 24.2 | 23.8 |
| 2005 | 16.1 | 19.3 | 23.5 | 22.0 | 15.9 | 19.3 | 23.4 | 21.9 | 16.6 | 19.1 | 24.3 | 22.6 |
| 2006 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 23.4 | 21.7 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 23.3 | 21.6 | 17.0 | 19.4 | 24.2 | 23.3 |
| 2007 | 17.0 | 19.4 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 17.0 | 19.4 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 24.0 | 22.7 |
| 2008 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 23.1 | 21.8 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 16.5 | 19.7 | 23.6 | 22.6 |

[^0]Table: 1.16
Proportion of Married Females in Selected Age Groups (2001 Census)

| District | Percentage of married females to total females in the age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $15-44$ | $60-69$ | $70-79$ | $80+$ | Age not <br> stated | All ages |
| Bilaspur | 0.9 | 9.6 | 69.3 | 72.8 | 61.7 | 39.8 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 50.5 |
| Chamba | 1.1 | 12.0 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 48.5 | 32.1 | 17.9 | 12.4 | 43.8 |
| Hamirpur | 1.0 | 7.5 | 66.2 | 71.3 | 58.3 | 35.3 | 16.0 | 14.2 | 50.5 |
| Kangra | 1.0 | 5.5 | 53.9 | 67.1 | 31.9 | 35.8 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 48.3 |
| Kinnaur | 0.5 | 14.2 | 59.9 | 65.1 | 58.2 | 43.1 | 26.0 | 22.4 | 44.7 |
| Kullu | 0.8 | 14.6 | 70.5 | 71.6 | 54.0 | 40.2 | 25.2 | 21.1 | 46.7 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 0.9 | 10.0 | 55.0 | 61.4 | 57.1 | 44.6 | 34.3 | 15.6 | 44.6 |
| Mandi | 1.0 | 12.4 | 74.0 | 73.1 | 59.1 | 40.3 | 21.8 | 16.2 | 50.0 |
| Shimla | 1.3 | 8.4 | 54.3 | 67.2 | 58.2 | 40.5 | 25.0 | 20.1 | 47.8 |
| Sirmaur | 0.9 | 10.3 | 66.9 | 69.9 | 59.7 | 40.7 | 28.1 | 17.5 | 45.3 |
| Solan | 1.0 | 10.4 | 69.6 | 72.9 | 56.3 | 35.9 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 49.1 |
| Una | 1.2 | 5.1 | 53.5 | 68.0 | 61.7 | 39.3 | 18.5 | 13.1 | 47.9 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9}$ | 20.3 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 1}$ |

Source: Census of India, 2001 H.P.

Table: 1.17
General Fertility Rates of H.P. and India (Since 1988 SRS)

| Year | Himachal Pradesh |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Combined | Rural | Urban | Combined |
| 1988 | 130.2 | 89.7 | 127.3 | 139.5 | 103.9 | 131.0 |
| 1989 | 113.0 | 80.8 | 110.7 | 135.7 | 97.6 | 126.5 |
| 1990 | N.A | N.A. | N.A. | 132.6 | 96.1 | 123.9 |
| 1991 | 114.0 | 70.8 | 110.9 | 127.2 | 93.3 | 119.2 |
| 1992 | 111.9 | 75.8 | 109.3 | 127.6 | 89.1 | 118.6 |
| 1993 | 105.8 | 74.9 | 102.8 | 125.2 | 93.5 | 116.6 |
| 1994 | 101.6 | 69.3 | 98.9 | 128.6 | 89.7 | 118.3 |
| 1995 | 95.0 | 65.4 | 92.7 | 126.7 | 87.3 | 117.0 |
| 1996 | 86.1 | 61.9 | 84.3 | 122.7 | 81.5 | 112.5 |
| 1997 | 82.9 | 61.4 | 81.3 | 119.5 | 80.7 | 109.9 |
| 1998 | 82.3 | 61.6 | 80.8 | 115.2 | 79.2 | 106.5 |
| 1999 | 86.7 | 59.8 | 84.7 | 112.8 | 77.6 | 103.2 |
| 2000 | 80.2 | 59.6 | 78.6 | 112.7 | 76.5 | 102.8 |
| 2001 | 76.6 | 60.7 | 75.4 | 108.6 | 74.4 | 99.5 |
| 2002 | 74.9 | 57.4 | 73.5 | 106.0 | 72.5 | 97.1 |
| 2003 | 74.2 | 56.4 | 72.9 | 103.5 | 71.9 | 95.3 |
| 2004 | 74.4 | 44.7 | 71.4 | 109.5 | 72.0 | 98.6 |
| 2005 | 75.5 | 45.8 | 72.5 | 106.2 | 70.9 | 95.8 |
| 2006 | 70.2 | 42.5 | 67.5 | 103.4 | 69.1 | 93.3 |
| 2007 | 63.8 | 43.0 | 61.7 | 98.6 | 67.3 | 89.5 |
| 2008 | 64.6 | 41.5 | 62.3 | 96.9 | 66.5 | 88.0 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P

Table: 1.18
Total Fertility Rates of H.P. and India (Since 1986 SRS).

| Year | Himachal Pradesh |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Combined | Rural | Urban | Combined |
| 1986 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| 1987 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.1 |
| 1988 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 4.0 |
| 1989 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| 1990 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| 1991 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 1992 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 1993 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| 1994 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| 1995 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| 1996 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 3.4 |
| 1997 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| 1998 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 1999 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 |
| 2000 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 |
| 2001 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| 2002 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| 2003 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| 2004 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| 2005 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| 2006 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| 2007 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| 2008 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.6 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.19
Estimated Age Specific Fertility Rate (SRS-2008)

| Age group | Himachal Pradesh |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Combined | Rural | Urban | Combined |
| $15-19$ | 15.5 | 6.2 | 14.8 | 47.8 | 24.5 | 41.6 |
| $20-24$ | 180.9 | 100.2 | 173.7 | 218.6 | 154.4 | 200.1 |
| $25-29$ | 143.0 | 110.9 | 140.1 | 169.7 | 132.3 | 158.3 |
| $30-34$ | 42.7 | 43.1 | 42.8 | 80.7 | 55.0 | 73.3 |
| $35-39$ | 13.8 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 39.2 | 17.8 | 32.7 |
| $40-44$ | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 12.3 |
| $45-49$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 4.9 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

## Table: 1.20

## Total Population, Female Population and its Share in Total Population and Sex Ratio (2011 Census)

| District | Total <br> Population | Female <br> Population | Percentage Share of <br> Female Population | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. |
| Bilaspur | 382056 | 189229 | 49.53 | 981 |
| Chamba | 518844 | 257996 | 48.73 | 989 |
| Hamirpur | 454293 | 237551 | 52.29 | 1096 |
| Kangra | 1507223 | 758664 | 50.34 | 1013 |
| Kinnaur | 84298 | 37934 | 45.00 | 818 |
| Kullu | 437474 | 213154 | 48.72 | 950 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 31528 | 15073 | 47.41 | 916 |
| Mandi | 999518 | 502731 | 50.29 | 1012 |
| Shimla | 813384 | 388898 | 47.81 | 916 |
| Sirmaur | 530164 | 253363 | 47.79 | 915 |
| Solan | 576670 | 270508 | 46.91 | 884 |
| Una | 521057 | 257516 | 49.42 | 977 |
| H.P. | 6856509 | 3382617 | 49.33 | 974 |

[^1]Table: 1.21
Sex Ratio at Birth (2009)

| Items | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Births | 50886 | 47050 | 97936 | 20581 | 18811 | 39392 | 71467 | 65861 | 137328 |
| Deaths | 21413 | 14843 | 36256 | 3817 | 2320 | 6137 | 25230 | 17163 | 42393 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

## Table: 1.22 <br> Sex Wise Registration of Births \& Deaths (2009)

| Year Wise <br> Registration | Births |  | Deaths |  | Sex Ratio at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Birth |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 39155 | 35175 | 9996 | 7667 | 898 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 43740 | 38411 | 11771 | 8178 | 876 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 51671 | 45664 | 13742 | 9354 | 883 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 58933 | 51808 | 16088 | 11395 | 879 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 62206 | 53417 | 17097 | 11574 | 858 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 62220 | 52848 | 17771 | 11944 | 849 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 64646 | 56010 | 18746 | 12838 | 784 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 67379 | 57771 | 19408 | 12805 | 857 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 71993 | 61607 | 20932 | 14048 | 856 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 70285 | 60872 | 21500 | 14269 | 866 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 70885 | 62177 | 22512 | 14941 | 877 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 73418 | 64055 | 21984 | 14402 | 872 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 72167 | 62497 | 22453 | 15206 | 866 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 74438 | 65891 | 24066 | 16271 | 885 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 69971 | 63186 | 23710 | 16205 | 903 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 71208 | 64367 | 24986 | 17400 | 904 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 71467 | 65861 | 25230 | 17163 | 922 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.23
Vital Statistics by Sex 2009 Rural

| District | Live Births |  |  | Deaths |  |  | Infant Deaths |  |  | Maternal Deaths | Still Births |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Bilaspur | 3128 | 2934 | 6062 | 1126 | 806 | 1932 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chamba | 4737 | 4609 | 9346 | 1639 | 1099 | 2738 | 45 | 40 | 85 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hamirpur | 3262 | 3019 | 6281 | 1742 | 1166 | 2908 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kangra | 13169 | 11668 | 24837 | 5667 | 3867 | 9534 | 22 | 28 | 50 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| Kinnaur | 529 | 487 | 1016 | 304 | 159 | 463 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kullu | 2744 | 2793 | 5537 | 1133 | 840 | 1973 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L\& Spiti | 145 | 161 | 306 | 125 | 75 | 200 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mandi | 7207 | 6665 | 13872 | 2915 | 2127 | 5042 | 40 | 49 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shimla | 3879 | 3701 | 7580 | 1861 | 1308 | 3169 | 29 | 31 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sirmaur | 3808 | 3708 | 7516 | 1509 | 982 | 2491 | 35 | 26 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solan | 3590 | 3211 | 6801 | 1400 | 993 | 2393 | 14 | 15 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Una | 4688 | 4094 | 8782 | 1992 | 1421 | 3413 | 27 | 29 | 56 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 50 |
| H.P. | 50886 | 47050 | 97936 | 21413 | 14843 | 36256 | 243 | 266 | 509 | 11 | 34 | 27 | 61 |

Table: 1.24
Vital Statistics by Sex 2009 Urban

| District | Live Births |  |  | Deaths |  |  | Infant Deaths |  |  | Maternal Deaths | Still Births |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Bilaspur | 1163 | 1141 | 2304 | 207 | 116 | 323 | 35 | 20 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chamba | 1027 | 944 | 1971 | 258 | 187 | 445 | 31 | 32 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hamirpur | 2451 | 2277 | 4728 | 311 | 175 | 486 | 37 | 15 | 52 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| Kangra | 2210 | 1928 | 4138 | 443 | 276 | 719 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Kinnaur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kullu | 1616 | 1465 | 3081 | 287 | 167 | 454 | 29 | 16 | 45 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| L\& Spiti | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mandi | 2985 | 2680 | 5665 | 492 | 298 | 790 | 29 | 37 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shimla | 4800 | 4373 | 9173 | 1091 | 658 | 1749 | 97 | 67 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sirmaur | 1494 | 1414 | 2908 | 253 | 128 | 381 | 17 | 13 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solan | 2344 | 2042 | 4386 | 315 | 190 | 505 | 15 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Una | 491 | 547 | 1038 | 160 | 125 | 285 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H.P. | 20581 | 18811 | 39392 | 3817 | 2320 | 6137 | 296 | 219 | 515 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 33 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.25
Population Sex Ratio in the Age Group (0-6 years), 1991 \& 2001 Census

| District |  | 1991 Census |  |  |  | 2001 Census |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Person | Male | Female | Sex Ratio | Person | Male | Female | Sex Ratio |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. |
| Bilaspur | Total | 42765 | 24577 | 22688 | 923 | 42890 | 22795 | 20095 | 882 |
|  | Rural | 45058 | 23401 | 21657 | 925 | 40403 | 21441 | 18962 | 884 |
|  | Urban | 2207 | 1176 | 1031 | 877 | 2487 | 1354 | 1133 | 837 |
| Chamba | Total | 73598 | 37453 | 36145 | 965 | 69579 | 35594 | 33985 | 955 |
|  | Rural | 69087 | 35063 | 34024 | 970 | 65902 | 33610 | 32292 | 961 |
|  | Urban | 4511 | 2390 | 2121 | 887 | 3677 | 1984 | 1693 | 853 |
| Hamirpur | Total | 56975 | 29400 | 27575 | 938 | 50699 | 27398 | 23301 | 850 |
|  | Rural | 53865 | 27769 | 26096 | 840 | 47466 | 25643 | 21823 | 851 |
|  | Urban | 3110 | 1631 | 1479 | 907 | 3233 | 1755 | 1478 | 842 |
| Kangra | Total | 179460 | 92547 | 86913 | 939 | 164566 | 89635 | 74931 | 836 |
|  | Rural | 170822 | 87964 | 82858 | 942 | 157047 | 85574 | 71473 | 835 |
|  | Urban | 8638 | 4583 | 4055 | 885 | 7519 | 4061 | 3458 | 852 |
| Kinnaur | Total | 11476 | 5862 | 5614 | 958 | 9304 | 4701 | 4603 | 979 |
|  | Rural | 11476 | 5862 | 5614 | 958 | 9304 | 4701 | 4603 | 979 |
|  | Urban | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Kullu | Total | 54541 | 27743 | 26798 | 966 | 52820 | 26955 | 25865 | 960 |
|  | Rural | 51787 | 26323 | 25464 | 967 | 49661 | 25252 | 24409 | 967 |
|  | Urban | 2754 | 1420 | 1334 | 939 | 3159 | 1703 | 1456 | 855 |
| Lahaul Spiti | Total | 4331 | 2220 | 2111 | 951 | 3664 | 1868 | 1796 | 961 |
|  | Rural | 4331 | 2220 | 2111 | 951 | 3664 | 1868 | 1796 | 961 |
|  | Urban | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Mandi | Total | 128086 | 65091 | 62995 | 968 | 119949 | 62535 | 57414 | 918 |
|  | Rural | 121443 | 61672 | 59771 | 969 | 113933 | 59266 | 54667 | 922 |
|  | Urban | 6643 | 3419 | 3224 | 943 | 6016 | 3269 | 2747 | 840 |
| Shimla | Total | 94936 | 48477 | 46459 | 958 | 85089 | 44105 | 40984 | 929 |
|  | Rural | 79378 | 40328 | 39050 | 968 | 69146 | 35404 | 33742 | 953 |
|  | Urban | 15558 | 8149 | 7409 | 909 | 15943 | 8701 | 7242 | 832 |
| Sirmaur | Total | 69926 | 35439 | 34487 | 973 | 68431 | 35376 | 33055 | 934 |
|  | Rural | 64475 | 32503 | 31972 | 984 | 62772 | 32290 | 30482 | 944 |
|  | Urban | 5451 | 2936 | 2515 | 857 | 5659 | 3086 | 2573 | 834 |
| Solan | Total | 61659 | 31606 | 30053 | 951 | 99434 | 34959 | 31475 | 900 |
|  | Rural | 54944 | 28143 | 26801 | 952 | 56506 | 29666 | 26840 | 905 |
|  | Urban | 6715 | 3463 | 3252 | 939 | 9928 | 5293 | 4635 | 876 |
| Una | Total | 58168 | 30251 | 27917 | 923 | 59712 | 32505 | 27207 | 837 |
|  | Rural | 53305 | 27671 | 25634 | 926 | 54824 | 29819 | 25005 | 839 |
|  | Urban | 4863 | 2580 | 2283 | 885 | 4888 | 2686 | 2202 | 820 |
| H.P. | Total | 840421 | 430666 | 409755 | 951 | 793137 | 418426 | 374711 | 896 |
|  | Rural | 779971 | 398919 | 381052 | 955 | 730628 | 384534 | 346094 | 900 |
|  | Urban | 60450 | 31747 | 28703 | 904 | 62509 | 33892 | 28617 | 844 |

Source: Census of India-1991 \& 2001, H.P

Table: 1.26
Population Sex Ratio in the Age Group (0-6 years) 2011 (P) Census

| District | Total Population |  |  | Sex <br> Ratio | Population in age group 0-6 |  |  | Sex Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons | Male | Female |  | Persons | Male | Female |  |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. |
| Bilaspur | 382056 | 192827 | 189229 | 981 | 41612 | 21983 | 19629 | 893 |
| Chamba | 518844 | 260848 | 257996 | 989 | 69409 | 35591 | 33818 | 950 |
| Hamirpur | 454293 | 216742 | 237551 | 1096 | 47708 | 25357 | 22351 | 881 |
| Kangra | 1507223 | 748559 | 758664 | 1013 | 160865 | 85888 | 74977 | 873 |
| Kinnaur | 84298 | 46364 | 37934 | 818 | 7987 | 4090 | 3897 | 953 |
| Kullu | 437474 | 224320 | 213154 | 950 | 50041 | 25504 | 24537 | 962 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 31528 | 16455 | 15073 | 916 | 2994 | 1487 | 1507 | 1013 |
| Mandi | 999518 | 496787 | 502731 | 1012 | 109963 | 57496 | 52467 | 913 |
| Shimla | 813384 | 424486 | 388898 | 916 | 80778 | 42018 | 38760 | 922 |
| Sirmaur | 530164 | 276801 | 253363 | 915 | 67958 | 35202 | 32756 | 931 |
| Solan | 576670 | 306162 | 270508 | 884 | 66349 | 34948 | 31401 | 899 |
| Una | 521057 | 263541 | 257516 | 977 | 58200 | 31117 | 27083 | 871 |
| H.P. | 6856509 | 3473892 | 3382617 | 974 | 763864 | 400681 | 363183 | 906 |

Source: Census of India- 2011, H.P

Table: 1.27
Age-wise Distribution of Population 1991 Census

| Age Group (Years) | Total |  |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. |
| 0-4 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 0-9 | 1203192 | 615015 | 588177 | 1114452 | 568393 | 546059 | 88740 | 46622 | 42118 |
| 10-14 | 634842 | 324783 | 310059 | 586040 | 298957 | 287083 | 48802 | 25826 | 22976 |
| 15-19 | 560275 | 285603 | 274672 | 513074 | 260149 | 252925 | 47201 | 25454 | 21747 |
| 20-24 | 468553 | 229356 | 239197 | 422765 | 205110 | 217655 | 45788 | 24246 | 21542 |
| 25-29 | 411936 | 200474 | 211462 | 368394 | 177783 | 190611 | 43542 | 22691 | 20851 |
| 30-34 | 331172 | 163791 | 167381 | 293553 | 143076 | 150477 | 37619 | 20715 | 16904 |
| 35-39 | 316272 | 156210 | 160062 | 282198 | 136960 | 145238 | 34074 | 19250 | 14824 |
| 40-44 | 244306 | 121512 | 122794 | 218599 | 106407 | 112192 | 25707 | 15105 | 10602 |
| 45-49 | 229040 | 116375 | 112665 | 207156 | 103162 | 103994 | 21884 | 13213 | 8671 |
| 50-54 | 188078 | 96765 | 91313 | 171026 | 86270 | 84756 | 17052 | 10495 | 6557 |
| 55-59 | 146165 | 75691 | 70474 | 134116 | 68452 | 65664 | 12049 | 7239 | 4810 |
| 60-64 | 150457 | 78076 | 72381 | 141089 | 73038 | 68060 | 9359 | 5038 | 4321 |
| 65-69 | 95481 | 51196 | 44285 | 89697 | 48043 | 41654 | 5784 | 3153 | 2631 |
| 70-74 | 79400 | 42723 | 36677 | 75153 | 40457 | 34696 | 4247 | 2266 | 1981 |
| 75-79 | 36722 | 20187 | 16535 | 34612 | 19070 | 15542 | 2110 | 1117 | 993 |
| 80+ | 57943 | 30060 | 27883 | 55037 | 28553 | 26484 | 2906 | 1507 | 1399 |
| Age Not Stated | 17043 | 9650 | 7393 | 14711 | 8313 | 6398 | 2332 | 1337 | 995 |
| All Ages | 5170877 | 2617467 | 2553410 | 4721681 | 2372193 | 2346488 | 449196 | 245274 | 203922 |

Source: Census of India-1991 H.P

Table: 1.28
Age-wise Distribution of Population 2001 Census

| Age Group (Years) | Total |  |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. |
| 0-4 | 560187 | 296555 | 263632 | 517539 | 273478 | 244061 | 42648 | 23077 | 19571 |
| 5-9 | 619164 | 323058 | 296106 | 566404 | 294317 | 272087 | 527760 | 28741 | 24019 |
| 10-14 | 705039 | 361257 | 343782 | 644995 | 329113 | 315882 | 60044 | 32144 | 27900 |
| 15-19 | 626624 | 324318 | 302306 | 564788 | 289354 | 275434 | 61836 | 34964 | 26872 |
| 20-24 | 583029 | 295703 | 287326 | 515945 | 256218 | 259727 | 67084 | 39485 | 27599 |
| 25-29 | 517507 | 256532 | 260975 | 458593 | 224315 | 234278 | 58914 | 32217 | 26697 |
| 30-34 | 432675 | 215401 | 217274 | 381339 | 187653 | 193686 | 51336 | 27748 | 23588 |
| 35-39 | 411062 | 199846 | 211216 | 362046 | 173247 | 188799 | 49016 | 26599 | 22417 |
| 40-44 | 330669 | 167405 | 163264 | 291109 | 144391 | 146718 | 39560 | 23014 | 16546 |
| 45-49 | 302882 | 151023 | 151859 | 270052 | 131634 | 138418 | 32830 | 19389 | 13441 |
| 50-54 | 238245 | 123075 | 115170 | 214098 | 108551 | 105547 | 24147 | 14524 | 9623 |
| 55-59 | 188537 | 94721 | 93816 | 171610 | 84952 | 86658 | 16927 | 9769 | 7158 |
| 60-64 | 174130 | 86950 | 87180 | 161344 | 80078 | 81266 | 12786 | 6872 | 5914 |
| 65-69 | 128906 | 61456 | 67450 | 119674 | 56746 | 62928 | 9232 | 4710 | 4522 |
| 70-74 | 106707 | 53486 | 53221 | 99721 | 49780 | 49941 | 6986 | 3706 | 3280 |
| 75-79 | 56865 | 29021 | 27844 | 53005 | 27014 | 25991 | 3860 | 2007 | 1853 |
| 80+ | 80956 | 39933 | 41023 | 76577 | 37801 | 38776 | 4379 | 2132 | 2247 |
| Age Not Stated | 14716 | 8200 | 6516 | 13480 | 7431 | 6049 | 1236 | 769 | 467 |
| All Ages | 6077900 | 3087940 | 2989960 | 5482319 | 2756073 | 2726246 | 595581 | 331867 | 263714 |

Source: Census of India-2001, H.P.

Table: 1.29
Infant Mortality Rates by Sex and Residence of H.P.
1990-2009 (SRS Rates)

| Year | Total |  |  |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females |  |
|  | 61 | 68 | 56 | 63 | 70 | 57 | 39 | 37 | 43 |  |
| 1996 | 62 | 57 | 71 | 63 | 58 | 73 | 40 | 41 | 31 |  |
| 1997 | 63 | 64 | 61 | 64 | 65 | 63 | 38 | 46 | 28 |  |
| 1998 | 64 | 60 | 77 | 66 | 61 | 79 | 38 | 42 | 33 |  |
| 1999 | 62 | 57 | 51 | 43 | 58 | 52 | 38 | 39 | 35 |  |
| 2000 | 60 | 57 | 45 | 62 | 58 | 45 | 37 | 34 | 38 |  |
| 2001 | 54 | 48 | 36 | 56 | 49 | 38 | 32 | 25 | 14 |  |
| 2002 | 52 | 66 | 55 | 53 | 68 | 57 | 28 | 26 | 31 |  |
| 2003 | 49 | 46 | 38 | 51 | 47 | 39 | 26 | 26 | 9 |  |
| 2004 | 51 | 56 | 45 | 54 | 61 | 47 | 15 | 16 | 13 |  |
| 2005 | 49 | 47 | 51 | 53 | 48 | 53 | 20 | 27 | 14 |  |
| 2006 | 50 | 45 | 55 | 52 | 47 | 57 | 26 | 20 | 31 |  |
| 2007 | 47 | 45 | 49 | 49 | 47 | 51 | 25 | 22 | 28 |  |
| 2008 | 44 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 27 | 21 | 34 |  |
| 2009 | 45 | 44 | 45 | 46 | .. | .. | 28 | .. | . |  |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.30
Sex-wise Infant Mortality Rates (SRS)

| Year | Himachal Pradesh |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Combined | Males | Females | Combined |
| 1994 | 75 | 64 | 67 | 73 | 75 | 74 |
| 1995 | 68 | 56 | 61 | 73 | 75 | 74 |
| 1996 | 57 | 71 | 62 | 73 | 72 | 72 |
| 1997 | 64 | 61 | 63 | 70 | 72 | 71 |
| 1998 | 59 | 76 | 64 | 70 | 74 | 72 |
| 1999 | 57 | 51 | 62 | 70 | 71 | 70 |
| 2000 | 57 | 45 | 60 | 67 | 69 | 68 |
| 2001 | 48 | 36 | 54 | 64 | 68 | 66 |
| 2002 | 66 | 55 | 52 | 62 | 65 | 63 |
| 2003 | 46 | 38 | 49 | 57 | 64 | 60 |
| 2004 | 56 | 45 | 51 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 2005 | 47 | 51 | 49 | 56 | 61 | 58 |
| 2006 | 45 | 55 | 50 | 56 | 59 | 57 |
| 2007 | 45 | 49 | 47 | 55 | 56 | 55 |
| 2008 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 52 | 55 | 53 |
| 2009 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 49 | 52 | 50 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.31
Death Rates by Sex and Residence of H.P. 1990-2009 (SRS Rates)

| Year | Combined |  |  | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 4.2 |
| 1992 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 3.9 |
| 1993 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 4.5 |
| 1994 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| 1995 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 5.2 |
| 1996 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 4.9. |
| 1997 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 4.8 |
| 1998 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| 1999 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.8 |
| 2000 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 4.8 |
| 2001 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 4.1 |
| 2003 | 7.1 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 4.2 |
| 2004 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 |
| 2006 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 7.1 | .. | .. | 4.8 | .. | .. |
| 2007 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 6.1 | 7.3 | .. | .. | 5.0 | .. | .. |
| 7.4 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.2 |  |
| 2009 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table: 1.32
Expectation of Life at Birth (SRS)

| Year | Himachal Pradesh |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Combined | Males | Females | Combined |
| $1970-75$ | 54.8 | 50.9 | .. | 50.5 | 49.0 | 49.7 |
| $1976-80$ | 58.1 | 54.9 | .. | 52.5 | 52.1 | 52.3 |
| $1981-85$ | 58.5 | 62.9 | .. | 55.4 | 55.7 | 55.4 |
| $1986-90$ | 62.4 | 62.8 | 62.8 | 57.7 | 58.1 | 57.7 |
| $1993-97$ | 64.6 | 65.2 | 65.1 | 60.4 | 61.8 | 61.1 |
| $1995-99$ | 65.1 | 65.8 | 65.6 | 60.8 | 62.5 | 61.7 |
| $1997-01$ | 65.5 | 66.1 | 65.9 | 61.3 | 63.0 | 62.2 |
| $1998-02$ | 65.7 | 66.3 | 65.9 | 61.6 | 63.3 | 62.5 |
| $1999-03$ | 65.8 | 66.6 | 66.2 | 61.8 | 63.5 | 62.7 |
| $2000-04$ | 66.1 | 66.8 | 66.5 | 62.1 | 63.7 | 63.0 |
| $2001-05$ | 66.3 | 67.1 | .. | 62.3 | 63.9 | .. |
| $2002-06$ | 66.5 | 67.3 | .. | 62.6 | 64.2 | . |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P

Table: 1.33
Family Planning Acceptors by Methods

| Year | Sterilization |  | I.U.D <br> Insertion | CC <br> Users | Oral Pills Users | Total <br> Accepters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vasectomy | Tubectomy |  |  |  |  |
| 1980-81 | 6011 | 8961 | 6999 | 16422 | 165 | 38558 |
| 1981-82 | 8358 | 14241 | 7591 | 13288 | 174 | 43652 |
| 1982-83 | 7118 | 26349 | 9671 | 15112 | 524 | 58774 |
| 1983-84 | 7462 | 26498 | 12223 | 15030 | 1435 | 62648 |
| 1984-85 | 5359 | 22538 | 19847 | 24001 | 2899 | 74644 |
| 1985-86 | 6335 | 25956 | 25578 | 37680 | 6196 | 101745 |
| 1986-87 | 5481 | 27557 | 30761 | 40563 | 8936 | 113298 |
| 1987-88 | 5092 | 26484 | 31355 | 42211 | 7471 | 112613 |
| 1988-89 | 6271 | 28893 | 35287 | 58457 | 9000 | 137908 |
| 1989-90 | 4735 | 27856 | 37420 | 69482 | 9805 | 149298 |
| 1990-91 | 4375 | 28199 | 41796 | 74732 | 13002 | 162104 |
| 1991-92 | 4513 | 33630 | 47401 | 73901 | 14910 | 174355 |
| 1992-93 | 4697 | 35467 | 53886 | 81774 | 15616 | 191440 |
| 1993-94 | 4445 | 34051 | 46013 | 84076 | 19969 | 188554 |
| 1994-95 | 4807 | 36147 | 49750 | 90163 | 22007 | 202874 |
| 1995-96 | 3424 | 32432 | 47562 | 78769 | 23308 | 185495 |
| 1996-97 | 3483 | 28126 | 37797 | 67962 | 21944 | 159312 |
| 1997-98 | 2967 | 29507 | 36658 | 65886 | 23264 | 158282 |
| 1998-99 | 2894 | 27866 | 35897 | 64565 | 23494 | 154716 |
| 1999-00 | 2951 | 28832 | 34752 | 65917 | 24593 | 157045 |
| 2000-01 | 3187 | 31211 | 35088 | 69392 | 26505 | 165383 |
| 2001-02 | 3001 | 31507 | 32100 | 69441 | 22899 | 158948 |
| 2002-03 | 3054 | 30857 | 32920 | 71835 | 26136 | 164802 |
| 2003-04 | 3160 | 29177 | 32265 | 79744 | 24750 | 169096 |
| 2004-05 | 2956 | 30575 | 30941 | 88806 | 28036 | 181314 |
| 2005-06 | 2917 | 25466 | 30452 | 88080 | 26662 | 173577 |
| 2006-07 | 3144 | 23301 | 28963 | 92895 | 28368 | 176671 |
| 2007-08 | 5135 | 25345 | 27694 | 97163 | 28199 | 183536 |
| 2008-09 | 3940 | 26872 | 25663 | 99732 | 29803 | 186010 |
| 2009-10 | 3184 | 24432 | 24171 | 102058 | 29378 | 183223 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

## Table 1.34

Percentage of Couples Protected by Family Welfare Methods in H.P.

| Year | Sterilization | IUD | CC Users | OP Users | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1990-91$ | 37.50 | 8.70 | 4.40 | 1.50 | 52.10 |
| $1991-92$ | 38.50 | 9.50 | 4.30 | 1.70 | 54.00 |
| $1992-93$ | 38.60 | 10.50 | 4.60 | 1.70 | 54.00 |
| $1993-94$ | 39.90 | 10.30 | 4.60 | 2.20 | 57.00 |
| $1994-95$ | 40.73 | 10.41 | 4.86 | 2.37 | 58.37 |
| $1995-96$ | 40.86 | 10.25 | 4.16 | 2.46 | 57.73 |
| $1996-97$ | 40.52 | 9.32 | 3.54 | 2.29 | 55.67 |
| $1997-98$ | 39.76 | 8.55 | 3.33 | 2.37 | 54.00 |
| $1998-99$ | 39.14 | 7.98 | 3.22 | 2.34 | 52.69 |
| $1999-00$ | 38.13 | 7.81 | 3.23 | 2.41 | 51.57 |
| $2000-01$ | 38.79 | 7.39 | 3.43 | 2.62 | 52.24 |
| $2001-02$ | 38.10 | 6.91 | 3.37 | 2.22 | 50.60 |
| $2002-03$ | 37.48 | 6.64 | 3.43 | 2.49 | 50.04 |
| $2003-04$ | 36.62 | 6.37 | 3.73 | 2.32 | 49.04 |
| $2004-05$ | 35.92 | 6.09 | 4.08 | 2.58 | 48.67 |
| $2005-06$ | 34.77 | 5.84 | 3.98 | 2.41 | 47.01 |
| $2006-07$ | 33.49 | 5.55 | 4.13 | 2.52 | 45.69 |
| $2007-08$ | 32.95 | 5.46 | 4.07 | 2.48 | 44.97 |
| $2008-09$ | 31.80 | 4.93 | 4.30 | 2.57 | 43.59 |
| $2009-10$ | 30.76 | 4.59 | 4.33 | 2.49 | 42.17 |
| $2010-11$ | 31.53 | 4.47 | 3.65 | 2.35 | 42.00 |

Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

Table 1.35
Fertility Indicators by Level of education of Women 2008(SRS)

| Indicators | Age group | Educational Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Illiterate |  |  |  | Literate |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total literate | Without any Formal educatic n | Below primary | Primary | Middle | $\begin{gathered} \text { Class } \\ x \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Class } \\ \text { XII } \end{gathered}$ | Gradua and above |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific fertility rates | 15-19 | 55.1 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 27.3 | 13.7 | 10.5 | 14.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 20-24 | 219.0 | 176.9 | 67.9 | 282.7 | 231.3 | 215.9 | 190.6 | 120.0 | 113.1 |
|  | 25-29 | 168.6 | 139.3 | 173.4 | 74.6 | 128.6 | 130.0 | 145.6 | 161.4 | 137.7 |
|  | 30-34 | 59.2 | 40.2 | 12.2 | 27.5 | 9.7 | 32.0 | 41.2 | 71.8 | 87.2 |
|  | 35-39 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 32.5 | 28.3 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 24.8 | 22.9 |
|  | 40-44 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 17.4 |
|  | 45-49 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| General fertility rates |  | 34.0 | 68.8 | 27.1 | 48.1 | 53.2 | 56.4 | 73.7 | 98.2 | 91.8 |
| Total fertility rates |  | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |

## Rural

| Age specific fertility <br> rates | $15-19$ | 51.2 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 27.3 | 14.1 | 11.4 | 15.9 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $20-24$ | 221.8 | 184.4 | 0.0 | 287.6 | 233.6 | 216.2 | 193.3 | 128.1 | 132.4 |
|  | $25-29$ | 171.7 | 142.2 | 155.2 | 77.5 | 127.1 | 132.0 | 149.3 | 168.7 | 140.9 |
|  | $30-34$ | 59.7 | 39.8 | 7.1 | 28.7 | 9.6 | 32.1 | 42.3 | 73.8 | 106.5 |
|  | $35-39$ | 13.3 | 14.0 | 36.0 | 30.2 | 14.2 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 30.9 | 18.9 |
|  | $40-44$ | 1.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 37.0 |
|  | $45-49$ | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| General fertility <br> rates |  | 34.1 | 72.0 | 22.4 | 50.0 | 53.7 | 57.7 | 78.5 | 107.4 | 112.1 |
| Total fertility rates | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |  |

Urban

| Age specific fertility <br> rates | $15-19$ | 101.8 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $20-24$ | 172.7 | 100.5 | 505.5 | 134.9 | 161.2 | 209.3 | 148.3 | 63.5 | 62.1 |
|  | $25-29$ | 96.6 | 111.4 | 500.0 | 27.2 | 164.3 | 94.6 | 98.8 | 92.9 | 127.1 |
|  | $30-34$ | 49.1 | 42.7 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 62.8 | 50.8 |
|  | $35-39$ | 11.2 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 12.1 | 26.6 |
|  | $40-44$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
|  | $45-49$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| General fertility <br> rates |  | 30.5 | 42.5 | 94.8 | 11.7 | 42.2 | 36.7 | 34.2 | 46.7 | 54.1 |
| Total fertility rates |  | 2.2 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 |

## Table: 1.36

## Distribution of Total Workers by Sex (2001 Census)

| District | Total |  |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Bilaspur | 166708 | 89425 | 77283 | 158420 | 83379 | 75041 | 8288 | 6046 | 2242 |
| Chamba | 230452 | 126718 | 103734 | 218883 | 117617 | 101266 | 11569 | 9101 | 2468 |
| Hamirpur | 205405 | 100067 | 105338 | 195324 | 92307 | 103017 | 10081 | 7760 | 2321 |
| Kangra | 588994 | 335497 | 253547 | 565258 | 316896 | 248362 | 23736 | 18551 | 5185 |
| Kinnaur | 47811 | 28041 | 19770 | 47811 | 28041 | 19770 | - | - | - |
| Kullu | 216513 | 119395 | 97118 | 204659 | 109794 | 94865 | 11854 | 9601 | 2253 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 21088 | 12604 | 8484 | 21088 | 12604 | 8484 | - | - | - |
| Mandi | 454292 | 235754 | 218538 | 433628 | 219861 | 213767 | 20664 | 15893 | 4771 |
| Shimla | 370223 | 219133 | 151090 | 305882 | 166062 | 139820 | 64341 | 53071 | 11270 |
| Sirmaur | 225872 | 136209 | 89843 | 211374 | 123082 | 87572 | 14498 | 12227 | 2271 |
| Solan | 263445 | 165277 | 98168 | 221603 | 128196 | 93407 | 41842 | 37081 | 4761 |
| Una | 201658 | 118768 | 82890 | 188421 | 108152 | 80269 | 13237 | 10616 | 2621 |
| H.P. | 2992461 | 1686658 | 1305803 | 2772351 | 1506711 | 1265640 | 220110 | 179947 | 40163 |

Source: - Census of India, 2001.

## Table: 1.37

Percentage of Total Workers, Main Workers, Marginal Workers and Non-workers to Total Population by Residence and Sex

State and District: 2001

| District | Total Workers |  |  | Main Worker |  |  | Marginal Workers |  | Non-workers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Femal <br> e | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Bilaspur | 48.95 | 52.22 | 45.56 | 32.46 | 40.50 | 24.35 | 16.44 | 11.72 | 21.22 | 51.10 | 47.78 | 54.44 |
| Chamba | 50.00 | 53.87 | 45.97 | 27.87 | 40.27 | 14.95 | 22.13 | 13.60 | 31.02 | 50.00 | 46.13 | 54.03 |
| Hamirpur | 49.77 | 50.90 | 48.74 | 29.05 | 35.35 | 23.31 | 20.73 | 15.55 | 25.43 | 50.23 | 49.10 | 51.26 |
| Kangra | 43.99 | 50.73 | 37.41 | 25.14 | 36.63 | 13.93 | 18.85 | 14.10 | 23.48 | 56.01 | 49.27 | 62.59 |
| Kinnaur | 61.03 | 66.49 | 54.67 | 51.46 | 59.36 | 42.26 | 9.57 | 7.13 | 12.42 | 38.97 | 33.51 | 45.33 |
| Kullu | 56.74 | 60.30 | 52.91 | 43.69 | 50.57 | 36.27 | 13.05 | 9.72 | 16.64 | 43.26 | 39.70 | 47.09 |
| Lahaul <br> Spiti | 63.47 | 68.35 | 57.39 | 57.82 | 63.96 | 50.17 | 5.66 | 4.39 | 7.24 | 36.53 | 31.65 | 42.61 |
| Mandi | 50.40 | 52.64 | 48.19 | 29.85 | 39.43 | 20.39 | 20.55 | 13.20 | 27.80 | 49.60 | 47.36 | 51.81 |
| Shimla | 51.24 | 57.52 | 44.24 | 42.31 | 51.63 | 31.92 | 8.93 | 5.89 | 12.32 | 48.76 | 42.48 | 55.76 |
| Sirmaur | 49.25 | 56.37 | 41.35 | 38.36 | 48.84 | 26.73 | 10.90 | 7.54 | 14.62 | 50.75 | 43.63 | 58.65 |
| Solan | 52.63 | 61.15 | 42.63 | 34.42 | 50.76 | 15.22 | 18.21 | 10.38 | 27.40 | 47.37 | 38.85 | 57.37 |
| Una | 44.99 | 52.90 | 37.05 | 26.57 | 41.07 | 11.99 | 18.43 | 11.83 | 25.05 | 55.01 | 47.10 | 62.95 |
| H.P. | 49.24 | 54.62 | 43.67 | 32.31 | 43.18 | 21.09 | 16.92 | 11.44 | 22.58 | 50.76 | 45.38 | 56.33 |

Source: Census of India, 2001. H.P.

Table: 1.38
District-wise Female Work Participation Rate ( $1991 \& 2001$ Census)

| District | Female work participation rate |  | Rank in |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 2001 | 1991 | 2001 |
| Bilaspur | 40.82 | 45.56 | 7 | 7 |
| Chamba | 42.89 | 45.97 | 3 | 6 |
| Hamirpur | 39.81 | 48.74 | 8 | 4 |
| Kangra | 22.94 | 37.41 | 11 | 11 |
| Kinnaur | 43.48 | 54.67 | 2 | 2 |
| Kullu | 41.28 | 52.91 | 6 | 3 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 60.07 | 57.39 | 1 | 1 |
| Mandi | 42.38 | 48.19 | 4 | 5 |
| Shimla | 41.29 | 44.24 | 5 | 8 |
| Sirmaur | 36.50 | 41.35 | 9 | 10 |
| Solan | 35.06 | 42.63 | 10 | 9 |
| Una | 18.50 | 37.05 | 12 | 12 |

Source: Census of India, 1991 \& 2001.

Table: 1.39
District-wise Male Work Participation Rate (1991 \& 2001 Census)

| District | Male work participation rate |  | Rank in |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 2001 | 1991 | 2001 |
| Bilaspur | 48.39 | 52.22 | 10 | 10 |
| Chamba | 53.99 | 53.87 | 7 | 7 |
| Hamirpur | 44.15 | 50.90 | 12 | 11 |
| Kangra | 46.08 | 50.73 | 11 | 12 |
| Kinnaur | 60.08 | 66.49 | 2 | 2 |
| Kullu | 54.05 | 60.30 | 6 | 4 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 68.90 | 68.35 | 1 | 1 |
| Mandi | 49.11 | 52.64 | 8 | 9 |
| Shimla | 55.18 | 57.52 | 4 | 5 |
| Sirmaur | 55.63 | 56.37 | 3 | 6 |
| Solan | 54.14 | 61.15 | 5 | 3 |
| Una | 48.66 | 52.90 | 9 | 8 |

Source: Census of India, 1991 \& 2001.

## Distribution of Main Workers by Industrial Categories

| Industrial category | Percent of workers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  | 1991 |  |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| 1. Cultivators | 89.57 | 60.19 | 86.91 | 54.15 |
| 2. Agricultural Labourers | 1.71 | 3.10 | 1.98 | 3.81 |
| 3. Live stock, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting and Plantations, Orchards and allied activities. | 0.87 | 3.13 | 0.72 | 3.15 |
| 4. Mining and Quarrying | 0.02 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.35 |
| Primary | 92.17 | 66.77 | 89.63 | 61.46 |
| 5. (a) Manufacturing, Processing, Servicing and Repairs in Household Industries. | 0.73 | 2.24 | 0.72 | 1.70 |
| 5. (b) Manufacturing, Processing, Servicing and repairs in other than Household Industries. | 0.85 | 4.48 | 0.94 | 4.78 |
| 6. Construction | 1.24 | 6.88 | 0.79 | 6.41 |
| Secondary | 2.82 | 13.60 | 2.45 | 12.89 |
| 7. Trade and Commerce | 0.32 | 4.78 | 0.59 | 5.86 |
| 8. Transport, Storage and Communications | 0.14 | 2.46 | 0.15 | 2.61 |
| 9. Other Services | 4.55 | 12.39 | 7.18 | 17.18 |
| Tertiary | 5.01 | 19.63 | 7.92 | 25.65 |
| All Categories | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Source: Registrar General of India, Census of $1981 \& 1991$.

Table: 1.41
Employment in State Government

| Year as on 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ March | State Government Employees |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Total | Female (Percent) |
| 1987 | 15878 | 101395 | 15.7 |
| 1988 | 16591 | 103211 | 16.1 |
| 1989 | 18120 | 107669 | 16.8 |
| 1990 | 19220 | 111700 | 17.2 |
| 1991 | 19794 | 113851 | 17.4 |
| 1992 | 20387 | 114831 | 17.8 |
| 1993 | 20131 | 112717 | 17.9 |
| 1994 | 20373 | 113039 | 18.0 |
| 1995 | 21241 | 115493 | 18.4 |
| 1996 | 22150 | 117944 | 18.8 |
| 1997 | 23290 | 120703 | 19.3 |
| 1998 | 24905 | 123626 | 20.1 |
| 1999 | 27682 | 131919 | 21.0 |
| 2000 | 29218 | 136085 | 21.5 |
| 2001 | 30422 | 139882 | 21.7 |
| 2002 | 31193 | 144446 | 21.6 |
| 2003 | 31649 | 147039 | 21.5 |
| 2004 | 32001 | 146933 | 21.8 |
| 2005 | 31836 | 145556 | 21.9 |
| 2006 | 33087 | 161803 | 20.4 |
| 2007 | 34197 | 174388 | 19.6 |
| 2008 | 36026 | 182746 | 19.7 |
| 2009 | 36848 | 189065 | 19.5 |
| 2010 | 37555 | 190560 | 19.7 |

Source: Economics \& Statistics Department, H.P.

Table: 1.42

## Regular State Government Employees by Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Status as

 on 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ March| Year <br> (as on 31 <br> March) | Gazetted |  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 9063 | 1506 | 10569 | 97804 | 27712 | 125516 | 106867 | 29218 | 136085 |
| 2001 | 9182 | 1593 | 10775 | 100278 | 28829 | 129107 | 109460 | 30422 | 139882 |
| 2002 | 7404 | 1011 | 8415 | 105849 | 30182 | 136031 | 113253 | 31193 | 144446 |
| 2003 | 7785 | 1198 | 8983 | 107605 | 30451 | 138056 | 115390 | 31649 | 147039 |
| 2004 | 7920 | 1337 | 9257 | 107012 | 30664 | 137676 | 114932 | 32001 | 146933 |
| 2005 | 7890 | 1383 | 9273 | 105830 | 30453 | 136283 | 113720 | 31836 | 145556 |
| 2006 | 7463 | 1261 | 8724 | 121253 | 31826 | 153081 | 128716 | 33087 | 161803 |
| 2007 | 7573 | 1281 | 8854 | 132618 | 32916 | 165534 | 140191 | 34197 | 174388 |
| 2008 | 7752 | 1422 | 9174 | 138968 | 34604 | 173572 | 146720 | 36026 | 182746 |
| 2009 | 8476 | 1545 | 10021 | 143741 | 35303 | 179044 | 152217 | 36848 | 189065 |
| 2010 | 8233 | 1563 | 9796 | 144772 | 35992 | 180764 | 153005 | 37555 | 190560 |

Source: Economics \& Statistics Department

Table No.1.43
Employment by Activity and by type of Establishments

| SNo | Major Activity Group | Total Number of Persons usually working |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adult Male | Adult <br> Female | Child <br> Male | Child Female | Total |
| 1 | Farming of Animals | 9564 | 4277 | 90 | 58 | 13989 |
| 2 | Agricultural Services | 4412 | 277 | 2 | 0 | 4691 |
| 3 | Fishing etc. | 432 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 436 |
| Total Agricultural Activities |  | 14408 | 4558 | 92 | 58 | 19116 |
| 1 | Mining and Quarrying | 2838 | 133 | 3 | 0 | 2974 |
| 2 | Manufacturing | 107410 | 11358 | 14 | 8 | 118790 |
| 3 | Electricity Gas \& Water | 19394 | 1182 | 3 | 0 | 20579 |
| 4 | Construction | 6622 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 6940 |
| 5 | Sale, Maint \& Repairs m/v m/c | 12036 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 12212 |
| 6 | Wholesale trade | 3694 | 146 | 1 | 0 | 3841 |
| 7 | Retail Trade | 118912 | 6349 | 33 | 11 | 125305 |
| 8 | Restaurants and Hotels | 33698 | 1992 | 28 | 7 | 35725 |
| 9 | Transport and Storage | 29850 | 299 | 12 | 0 | 30161 |
| 10 | Posts and Telecommunications | 12019 | 1569 |  | 0 | 13589 |
| 11 | Financial Intermediation | 10256 | 1443 | 0 | 0 | 11699 |
| 12 | Real Estate, Banking and Service | 9293 | 620 | 2 | 0 | 9915 |
| 13 | Public, Administration, Defence, Social Security | 77865 | 11953 | 1 | 1 | 89820 |
| 14 | Education | 62855 | 49074 | 10 | 4 | 111943 |
| 15 | Health \& Social work | 17945 | 8615 | 0 | 4 | 26564 |
| 16 | Other community, personal Service | 16043 | 3837 | 3 | 0 | 19883 |
| 17 | Other activities | 298 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 423 |
| Total Non-Agricultural Activities |  | 541028 | 99189 | 111 | 35 | 640363 |
| Total Agricultural \& Non-Agricultural Activities |  | 555436 | 103747 | 203 | 93 | 659479 |

Source: Economic Census, 2005.

Table No. 1.44
Principal Characteristics of Establishments Agricultural
COMBINED

| Sr. No. | District | Without power | Total number of employment |  |  |  | Hired employment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All | Female | ChildMale | ChildFemale | All | Female | ChildMale | ChildFemale |
| 1 | 2 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 1 | Chamba | 75 | 284 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 253 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | Kangra | 184 | 828 | 46 | 1 | 1 | 620 | 34 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Lahaul \& Spiti | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Kullu | 10 | 95 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Mandi | 33 | 176 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Hamirpur | 25 | 75 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Una | 19 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Bilaspur | 32 | 213 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Solan | 21 | 148 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Sirmaur | 97 | 475 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Shimla | 187 | 1650 | 325 | 3 | 0 | 1556 | 300 | 3 | 0 |
| 12 | Kinnaur | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | HP | 689 | 4022 | 544 | 5 | 1 | 3445 | 443 | 5 | 1 |

Source: Economic Census, 2005.

Table No. 1.45
Principal Characteristics of Establishments Non-Agricultural
COMBINED

| Sr. <br> No. | District | Without power | Total number of employment |  |  |  | Hired employment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All | Female | ChildMale | ChildFemale | All | Female | ChildMale | ChildFemale |
| 1 | 2 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 1 | Chamba | 2805 | 24654 | 5219 | 6 | 0 | 23292 | 5162 | 6 | 0 |
| 2 | Kangra | 7443 | 75890 | 17192 | 7 | 1 | 70346 | 16981 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | Lahaul \& Spiti | 115 | 4481 | 947 | 0 | 0 | 4324 | 926 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Kullu | 2056 | 27273 | 4589 | 8 | 2 | 24512 | 4383 | 8 | 2 |
| 5 | Mandi | 2629 | 49689 | 11305 | 11 | 2 | 45607 | 11123 | 9 | 2 |
| 6 | Hamirpur | 2894 | 24308 | 5300 | 1 | 1 | 22111 | 5175 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Una | 2125 | 25320 | 5796 | 0 | 1 | 23062 | 5752 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Bilaspur | 2331 | 22959 | 4133 | 7 | 0 | 20567 | 4065 | 7 | 0 |
| 9 | Solan | 3587 | 74842 | 11064 | 1 | 1 | 70383 | 10941 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Sirmaur | 2742 | 35465 | 5553 | 21 | 12 | 33538 | 5498 | 19 | 11 |
| 11 | Shimla | 6625 | 79106 | 14341 | 10 | 2 | 74211 | 14085 | 9 | 2 |
| 12 | Kinnaur | 432 | 8311 | 1611 | 1 | 0 | 7918 | 1586 | 1 | 0 |
|  | HP | 35784 | 452298 | 87050 | 73 | 22 | 419871 | 85677 | 68 | 21 |

Source: Economic Census, 2005.

Table No. 1.46
Number of Employment in Selected Characteristics Of Establishments
COMBINED

| Sr. No. | Characteristics | No. of persons usually working |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agricultural |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Hired |  |
|  |  | All | Female | Child-M | Child-F | Total | Female |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1. | Non-Perennial | 413 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 28 |
| 2 | Without Premises | 706 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 484 | 22 |
|  | Social Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | i) $S C$ | 110 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 2 |
| 4 | ii) $S T$ | 225 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 11 |
| 5 | iii) OBC | 270 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 9 |
| 6 | No Power ownership | 2359 | 410 | 5 | 1 | 1959 | 340 |
| 7 | i)Govt. \& PSU | 1967 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 1967 | 152 |
| 8 | ii)Private Non-Profit inst. | 56 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 10 |
| 9 | iii) Private Unincorp Propri | 1911 | 371 | 4 | 0 | 1343 | 271 |
| 10 | iv) Private Unincorp Partner | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | iv) Private Corp non finance | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 12 | iv) Private Corp finance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | iv) Private Co-operative | 73 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 10 |

Source: Economic Census, 200

Table N: 1.47
Number of Employment in Selected Characteristics of Establishments COMBINED

| Sr. <br> No. | Characteristics | No. of persons usually working |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non-Agricultural |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Hired |  |
|  |  | All | Female | Child-M | Child-F | Total | Female |
| 1 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1. | Non-Perennial | 7061 | 1269 | 0 | 0 | 6371 | 1234 |
| 2 | Without Premises | 19829 | 780 | 8 | 0 | 15326 | 683 |
|  | Social Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | i) SC | 11285 | 795 | 2 | 0 | 8004 | 678 |
| 4 | ii) $S T$ | 4426 | 419 | 5 | 1 | 3479 | 347 |
| 5 | iii) OBC | 14436 | 1168 | 2 | 0 | 11382 | 1060 |
| 6 | No Power ownership | 146464 | 28414 | 22 | 7 | 131092 | 27666 |
| 7 | i)Govt. \& PSU | 264940 | 66787 | 16 | 7 | 264940 | 66787 |
| 8 | ii)Private Non-Profit inst. | 10267 | 3489 | 13 | 10 | 9602 | 3439 |
| 9 | iii) Private Unincorp Propri | 159848 | 13763 | 42 | 4 | 128833 | 12479 |
| 10 | iv) Private Unincorp Partner | 6884 | 878 | 0 | 0 | 6523 | 859 |
| 11 | iv) Private Corp non finance | 3978 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 3892 | 557 |
| 12 | iv) Private Corp finance | 841 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 767 | 77 |
| 13 | iv) Private Co-operative | 5540 | 1491 | 2 | 1 | 5314 | 1479 |

Source: Economic Census, 2005.

Table: 1.48
Percentage of Mandays Generated For Women in HP Under MGNREGA

|  | 2008-09 |  | 2009-10 |  | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Mandays | \% | Mandays | \% | Mandays | \% |
|  | 1.97 | 41 | 2.75 | 38 | 2.49 | 51 |
| Bilaspur | 15.71 | 53 | 24.19 | 54 | 9.81 | 33 |
| Chamba | 3.43 | 35 | 4.85 | 37 | 6.58 | 67 |
| Hamirpur | 29.87 | 53 | 40.6 | 54 | 27.09 | 51 |
| Kangra | 1.04 | 60 | 1.32 | 58 | 1.32 | 61 |
| Kinnaur | 3.12 | 46 | 5.36 | 39 | 5.5 | 42 |
| Kullu | 0.41 | 39 | 0.72 | 65 | 0.86 | 58 |
| L\&S | 27.72 | 50 | 40.68 | 62 | 34.56 | 66 |
| Mandi | 3.03 | 27 | 6.15 | 33 | 6.21 | 48 |
| Shimla | 0.58 | 4 | 2.53 | 13.79 | 2.13 | 13 |
| Sirmaur | 1 | 19 | 4.08 | 33 | 4.35 | 39 |
| Solan | 3.18 | 35 | 6.29 | 49 | 5.00 | 49 |
| Una |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table: 1.49
Progress of Literacy

| Year | Percent of literate to total population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1951 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 4.8 |
| 1961 | 32.3 | 9.5 | 21.3 |
| 1971 | 43.19 | 20.23 | 31.96 |
| 1981 | 53.19 | 31.46 | 42.48 |
| 1991 | 75.36 | 52.13 | 63.86 |
| 2001 | 85.35 | 67.42 | 76.48 |
| $2011(P)$ | 90.83 | 76.60 | 83.78 |

Source: Census of India-1951 to 2011 (P).

Table 1.50
District-wise Literacy Rates

| District | 1991 Census |  |  | 2001 Census |  |  | 2011(P) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Combined | Male | Female | Combined | Male | Female | Combined |
| Bilaspur | 77.97 | 56.55 | 67.17 | 86.0 | 69.5 | 77.8 | 92.39 | 78.90 | 85.67 |
| Chamba | 59.96 | 28.57 | 44.70 | 76.4 | 48.8 | 62.9 | 84.19 | 62.14 | 73.19 |
| Hamirpur | 85.11 | 65.90 | 74.88 | 90.2 | 75.7 | 82.5 | 95.28 | 83.44 | 89.01 |
| Kangra | 80.12 | 61.39 | 70.57 | 87.5 | 73.0 | 80.1 | 92.55 | 80.62 | 86.49 |
| Kinnaur | 72.04 | 42.04 | 58.36 | 84.3 | 64.4 | 75.2 | 88.37 | 71.34 | 80.77 |
| Kullu | 69.64 | 38.53 | 54.82 | 84.0 | 60.9 | 72.9 | 88.80 | 71.01 | 80.14 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 71.78 | 38.05 | 56.82 | 82.8 | 60.7 | 73.1 | 86.97 | 66.50 | 77.24 |
| Mandi | 76.65 | 49.12 | 62.74 | 85.9 | 64.8 | 75.2 | 91.51 | 74.33 | 82.81 |
| Shimla | 75.96 | 51.75 | 64.61 | 87.2 | 70.1 | 79.1 | 90.73 | 77.80 | 84.55 |
| Sirmaur | 63.20 | 38.45 | 51.62 | 79.4 | 60.4 | 70.4 | 86.76 | 72.55 | 79.98 |
| Solan | 74.67 | 50.69 | 63.30 | 84.8 | 66.9 | 76.6 | 91.19 | 78.02 | 85.02 |
| Una | 81.15 | 61.01 | 70.91 | 87.7 | 73.2 | 80.4 | 92.75 | 81.67 | 87.23 |
| H.P. | 75.36 | 52.13 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 7 8}$ |
| Soure: (i) Casury | In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: (i) Census of India, 2011(P)

Table: 1.51
Literacy Rates among the Scheduled Castes Population (2001 Census)

| District | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 81.4 | 64.3 | 73.0 |
| Chamba | 71.4 | 45.0 | 58.5 |
| Hamirpur | 86.4 | 72.2 | 79.1 |
| Kangra | 82.8 | 65.8 | 74.2 |
| Kinnaur | 81.7 | 61.5 | 72.1 |
| Kullu | 76.8 | 52.4 | 64.9 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 86.2 | 64.0 | 76.0 |
| Mandi | 80.0 | 57.1 | 68.6 |
| Shimla | 80.6 | 60.2 | 70.7 |
| Sirmaur | 72.4 | 54.0 | 63.6 |
| Solan | 80.4 | 60.8 | 71.0 |
| Una | 84.2 | 67.3 | 75.9 |
| H.P. | $\mathbf{8 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 3}$ |

Source: Census of India, 2001, H.P.

Table: 1.52
Literacy Rates among the Scheduled Tribes Population (2001 Census)

| District | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilaspur | 78.1 | 55.8 | 67.3 |
| Chamba | 71.6 | 42.7 | 57.1 |
| Hamirpur | 69.2 | 46.9 | 60.6 |
| Kangra | 69.3 | 57.7 | 64.0 |
| Kinnaur | 85.0 | 64.7 | 74.6 |
| Kullu | 87.8 | 70.6 | 79.2 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 85.6 | 61.7 | 73.5 |
| Mandi | 78.5 | 57.6 | 68.0 |
| Shimla | 91.2 | 81.7 | 87.0 |
| Sirmaur | 63.7 | 40.7 | 53.0 |
| Solan | 79.9 | 58.2 | 70.2 |
| Una | 45.9 | 50.0 | 46.7 |
| H.P. | 77.7 | 53.3 | 65.5 |
| Solis |  |  |  |

Source: Census of India, 2001, H.P

Table: 1.53
Enrolment in Various Schools in H.P.

| Year/District | Primary Schools* |  |  | Middle School (VI-VIII) |  |  | High/ Sr. Sec. Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boy | Girls | Total | Boy | Girls | Total | Boy | Girls | Total |
| 2005-06 | 276.05 | 267.76 | 543.81 | 181.36 | 172.24 | 353.60 | 169.97 | 150.74 | 320. 71 |
| 2006-07 | 265. 35 | 258.26 | 523.61 | 171.71 | 163.76 | 335.47 | 204.90 | 168.65 | 373.55 |
| 2007-08 | 254.0 | 249.9 | 506.0 | 135.6 | 132.8 | 269.8 | 207.7 | 188.9 | 398. 3 |
| 2008-09 | 212.7 | 207.5 | 422.3 | 149.4 | 142.5 | 293.2 | 215.3 | 191.3 | 408.2 |
| 2009-10 | 221.5 | 219.5 | 441.0 | 166.0 | 160.9 | 326.9 | 198.8 | 195.8 | 394.6 |
| Bilaspur | 10.5 | 10.4 | 20.9 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 17.3 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 24.1 |
| Chamba | 25.7 | 25.1 | 50.8 | 17.4 | 15.1 | 32.6 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 19.7 |
| Hamirpur | 10.6 | 10.6 | 21.2 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 37.5 |
| Kangra | 38.0 | 37.0 | 75.0 | 33.0 | 32.2 | 65.2 | 37.8 | 38.8 | 76.6 |
| Kinnaur | 2.8 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| Kullu | 16.7 | 17.4 | 34.1 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 23.9 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 30.0 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Mandi | 31.8 | 32.4 | 64.2 | 24.4 | 24.5 | 48.9 | 29.2 | 28.3 | 57.5 |
| Shimla | 26.1 | 26.5 | 52.6 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 35.3 | 27.4 | 26.3 | 53.7 |
| Sirmaur | 22.5 | 22.5 | 44.9 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 30.8 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 39.4 |
| Solan | 18.8 | 18.2 | 37.0 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 26.3 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 23.5 |
| Una | 17.0 | 15.3 | 32.3 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 23.3 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 27.2 |

Source: Primary and Secondary Education Department Himachal Pradesh.

* Govt. Institutions only.

Table: 1.54
Number of Teachers in Schools in Himachal Pradesh

| Year/District | Primary School* |  |  |  | Middle School |  |  |  | High/ Sr. Sec. School |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2006-07$ | 15335 | 11249 | 26584 | 14522 | 5514 | 20036 | .. | .. | .. |  |  |
| $2007-08$ | 13489 | 11126 | 25135 | 7685 | 4888 | 12763 | 17644 | 11476 | 29569 |  |  |
| $2008-09$ | 13828 | 11491 | 25839 | 12495 | 7627 | 20312 | 16995 | 10568 | 27563 |  |  |
| $2009-10$ | 15992 | 11189 | 27181 | 15508 | 6481 | 21989 | 17086 | 10314 | 27400 |  |  |
| Bilaspur | 770 | 633 | 1403 | 826 | 246 | 1072 | 1171 | 890 | 2061 |  |  |
| Chamba | 2268 | 823 | 3091 | 1789 | 596 | 2385 | 1257 | 636 | 1894 |  |  |
| Hamirpur | 565 | 653 | 1218 | 932 | 305 | 1237 | 1601 | 767 | 2368 |  |  |
| Kangra | 2650 | 2302 | 4952 | 2855 | 1455 | 4310 | 3537 | 2278 | 5815 |  |  |
| Kinnaur | 254 | 210 | 464 | 271 | 106 | 377 | 262 | 177 | 439 |  |  |
| Kullu | 1137 | 673 | 2010 | 765 | 262 | 1027 | 608 | 445 | 1053 |  |  |
| Lahaul Spiti | 295 | 148 | 443 | 236 | 57 | 293 | 166 | 53 | 219 |  |  |
| Mandi | 2348 | 1800 | 4148 | 2522 | 872 | 3394 | 2749 | 1256 | 4005 |  |  |
| Shimla | 2172 | 1373 | 3545 | 2456 | 1006 | 3462 | 3002 | 1924 | 4926 |  |  |
| Sirmaur | 1707 | 844 | 2551 | 1100 | 409 | 1509 | 974 | 507 | 1481 |  |  |
| Solan | 1058 | 919 | 1977 | 1017 | 706 | 1723 | 1260 | 1042 | 2302 |  |  |
| Una | 568 | 811 | 1379 | 739 | 461 | 1200 | 499 | 339 | 838 |  |  |

Source: Primary and Secondary Education Department Himachal Pradesh* Govt. Institutions only.

## Table : 1.55

Number of Seats Reserved for Women Representatives in Panchayats,
Pradhan Gram Panchayat (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | Total <br> Posts | Posts Reserved <br> For Scheduled Castes |  | Posts Reserved <br> For Scheduled Tribes |  | Posts Reserved For OBC |  | Reservation <br> For general Women | Reservation <br> For Women |  | Reservation Percentage(\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General | Women | General | Women | General | Women |  | Total | \% | SC | ST | OBC |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. |
| Bilaspur | 151 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 51 | 76 | 50.33 | 25.83 | 0.00 | 5.96 |
| Chamba | 283 | 25 | 27 | 43 | 46 | 2 | 3 | 67 | 143 | 50.53 | 18.37 | 31.45 | 1.77 |
| Hamirpur | 229 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 75 | 115 | 50.22 | 24.02 | 0.00 | 9.61 |
| Kangra | 760 | 78 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 58 | 241 | 384 | 50.53 | 21.45 | 0.00 | 14.21 |
| Kinnaur | 65 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 50.77 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Kullu | 204 | 30 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 102 | 50.00 | 29.90 | 1.47 | 0.00 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 41 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 51.22 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Mandi | 473 | 68 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 157 | 240 | 50.74 | 29.60 | 0.00 | 4.23 |
| Shimla | 363 | 51 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 124 | 184 | 50.69 | 29.20 | 0.00 | 2.75 |
| Sirmaur | 228 | 35 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 63 | 115 | 50.44 | 32.46 | 1.32 | 8.77 |
| Solan | 211 | 32 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 67 | 107 | 50.71 | 31.75 | 0.00 | 4.27 |
| Una | 235 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 73 | 119 | 50.64 | 22.98 | 0.00 | 14.04 |
| H.P. | 3243 | 390 | 421 | 97 | 104 | 109 | 127 | 987 | 1639 | 50.54 | 25.01 | 6.20 | 7.28 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Department. , Himachal Pradesh

Table: 1.56
Number of Seats Reserved for Women Representatives in Panchayats, Member Gram Panchayat (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | Total <br> Posts | Posts Reserved For Scheduled Castes |  | Posts ReservedFor Scheduled Tribes |  | Posts Reserved For $O B C$ |  | Reservation <br> For general <br> Women | Reservation <br> For Women |  | Reservation <br> Percentage(\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General | Women | General | Women | General | Women |  | Total | \% | SC | ST | OBC |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. |
| Bilaspur | 1011 | 90 | 171 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 581 | 57.47 | 25.82 | 2.67 | 0.00 |
| Chamba | 1585 | 77 | 228 | 176 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 934 | 58.93 | 19.24 | 28.64 | 0.00 |
| Hamirpur | 1341 | 94 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 | 785 | 58.54 | 25.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Kangra | 4554 | 271 | 761 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1893 | 2654 | 58.28 | 22.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Kinnaur | 359 | 8 | 34 | 133 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 211 | 58.77 | 11.70 | 83.01 | 0.00 |
| Kullu | 12.28 | 128 | 226 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 462 | 714 | 58.14 | 28.83 | 2.28 | 0.00 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 209 | 0 | 22 | 72 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 124 | 59.33 | 10.53 | 75.12 | 0.00 |
| Mandi | 28.77 | 289 | 570 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1072 | 1676 | 58.26 | 29.86 | 1.22 | 0.00 |
| Shimla | 2077 | 205 | 401 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 813 | 1217 | 58.59 | 29.18 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| Sirmaur | 1390 | 169 | 277 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 523 | 809 | 58.20 | 32.09 | 1.01 | 0.00 |
| Solan | 1345 | 162 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 775 | 5762 | 31.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Una | 1437 | 94 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 619 | 837 | 58.25 | 21.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| H.P. | 19413 | 1587 | 3412 | 394 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 7283 | 11317 | 58.30 | 25.75 | 5.23 | 0.00 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Department. Himachal Pradesh

Table : 1.57
Number of Seats Reserved for Women Representatives in Panchayats, Chairman Panchayat Samiti (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | Total <br> Posts | Posts Reserved <br> For Scheduled Castes |  | Posts Reserved For Scheduled Tribes |  | Posts Reserved <br> For $O B C$ |  | Reservation <br> For general Women | Reservation <br> For Women |  | Reservation Percentage(\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General | Women | General | Women | General | Women |  | Total | \% | SC | ST | OBC |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. |
| Bilaspur | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Chamba | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 57.14 | 14.29 | 28.57 | 0.00 |
| Hamirpur | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 50.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 16.67 |
| Kangra | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 53.33 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 13.33 |
| Kinnaur | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Kullu | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 60.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Mandi | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 50.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Shimla | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 50.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sirmaur | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 50.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 16.67 |
| Solan | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 60.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Una | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 60.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| H.P. | 77 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 42 | 54.55 | 23.38 | 9.09 | 7.79 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Department. Himachal Pradesh

Table: 1.58
Number of Seats Reserved for Women Representatives in Panchayats, Member Panchayat Samiti (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | Total <br> Posts | Posts Reserved <br> For Scheduled Castes |  | Posts Reserved For Scheduled Tribes |  | Posts Reserved <br> For $O B C$ |  | Reservation <br> For general Women | Reservation <br> For Women |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservation } \\ \text { Percentage(\%) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General | Women | General | Women | General | Women |  | Total | \% | SC | ST | OBC |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. |
| Bilaspur | 93 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 31 | 47 | 50.54 | 24.73 | 0.00 | 7.53 |
| Chamba | 136 | 11 | 14 | 20 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 70 | 51.47 | 18.38 | 33.09 | 1.47 |
| Hamirpur | 125 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 41 | 65 | 52.00 | 24.80 | 0.00 | 9.60 |
| Kangra | 374 | 36 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 115 | 185 | 49.47 | 21.12 | 0.00 | 12.57 |
| Kinnaur | 45 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 53.33 | 8.89 | 71.11 | 0.00 |
| Kullu | 103 | 14 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 54 | 52.43 | 30.10 | 1.94 | 0.00 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 30 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 56.67 | 6.67 | 76.67 | 0.00 |
| Mandi | 244 | 34 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 83 | 126 | 51.64 | 29.10 | 0.00 | 4.10 |
| Shimla | 179 | 24 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 63 | 93 | 51.96 | 28.49 | 0.00 | 2.79 |
| Sirmaur | 120 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 35 | 62 | 51.67 | 31.67 | 0.83 | 10.00 |
| Solan | 118 | 17 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 38 | 61 | 51.69 | 31.36 | 0.00 | 4.24 |
| Una | 115 | 11 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 35 | 59 | 51.30 | 22.61 | 0.00 | 13.04 |
| H.P. | 1682 | 192 | 226 | 47 | 56 | 48 | 67 | 514 | 863 | 51.31 | 24.85 | 6.12 | 6.84 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Department. Himachal Pradesh

DESHP

Table : 1.59
Number of Seats Reserved for Women Representatives in Panchayats, Chairman Zila Parishad (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | Total Posts | Posts Reserved For Scheduled Castes |  | Posts Reserved For Scheduled Tribes |  | Posts Reserved For OBC |  | Reservation <br> For general Women | Reservation <br> For Women |  | Reservation <br> Percentage(\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General | Women | General | Women | General | Women |  | Total | \% | SC | ST | OBC |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. |
| Bilaspur | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Chamba | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hamirpur | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Kangra | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| Kinnaur | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Kullu | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Mandi | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shimla | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sirmaur | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Solan | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Una | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| H.P. | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 50.00 | 25.00 | 16.67 | 8.33 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Department

DESHP

Table : 1.60
Number of Seats Reserved for Women Representatives in Panchayats, Member Zila Parishad (Term 2011 to 2016

| District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Posts } \end{aligned}$ | Posts Reserved <br> For Scheduled Castes |  | Posts Reserved <br> For Scheduled Tribes |  | Posts Reserved <br> For OBC |  | Reservation <br> For general Women | Reservation <br> For Women |  | Reservation <br> Percentage (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General | Women | General | Women | General | Women |  | Total | \% | SC | ST | OBC |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. |
| Bilaspur | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 50.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 7.14 |
| Chamba | 18 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 50.00 | 22.22 | 27.78 | 0.00 |
| Hamirpur | 18 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 50.00 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| Kangra | 56 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 28 | 50.00 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 14.29 |
| Kinnaur | 10 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 70.00 | 0.00 |
| Kullu | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 50.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 10 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 60.00 | 10.00 | 70.00 | 0.00 |
| Mandi | 36 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 18 | 50.00 | 30.56 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| Shimla | 24 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 50.00 | 29.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sirmaur | 17 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 52.94 | 29.41 | 0.00 | 11.76 |
| Solan | 17 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 52.94 | 29.41 | 0.00 | 5.88 |
| Una | 17 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 52.94 | 23.53 | 0.00 | 11.76 |
| H.P. | 251 | 28 | 34 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 73 | 128 | 51.00 | 24.70 | 7.57 | 7.17 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Departmen

DESHP

Table : 1.61
Number of Women Elected on Un-Reserved Seats in Gram Panchayats, (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | No of Women Elected on Un-Reserved seats |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pradhan | Up-Pradhan | Members |
| Bilaspur | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Chamba | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Hamirpur | 2 | 0 | 34 |
| Kangra | 13 | 5 | 186 |
| Kinnaur | 1 | 2 | 17 |
| Kullu | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Mandi | 2 | 1 | 43 |
| Shimla | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| Sirmaur | 3 | 2 | 13 |
| Solan | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Una | 32 | 20 | 352 |
| H.P. | 2 |  |  |

Source: Panchyati Raj Departmen

Table: 1.62
Number of Women Elected on Un-Reserved Seats in Panchayats Samities, (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | No of Women Elected on Un-Reserved seats |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chairman | Vice-Chairman | Members |
| Bilaspur | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Chamba | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hamirpur | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kangra | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| Kinnaur | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Kullu | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Mandi | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Shimla | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sirmaur | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Solan | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Una | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1 |
| H.P. | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Departmen

Table : 1.63
Number of Women Elected on Un-Reserved Seats in Zila Parishad (Term 2011 to 2016)

| District | No of Women Elected on Un-Reserved seats |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chairman | Vice-Chairman | Members |
| Bilaspur | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chamba | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Hamirpur | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kangra | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kinnaur | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kullu | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mandi | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shimla | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sirmaur | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Solan | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Una | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 4 |
| H.P. | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: Panchyati Raj Department

Table: 1.64
Number of Electors and Percentage Voting in Various General Election of the State

| General <br> Election | Year | Total number of electors |  |  | Percentage of electors participating <br> in the election |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male | Total |
| First | 1952 | .. | .. | 531018 | .. | .. | $33.86^{*}$ |
| Second | 1957 | .. | .. | 676659 | .. | .. | $59.94^{*}$ |
| Third | 1962 | .. | .. | 710624 | .. | .. | $34.52^{*}$ |
| Forth | 1967 | .. | .. | 1582103 | .. | .. | 51.22 |
| Fifth | 1972 | .. | .. | 1805448 | .. | .. | 49.95 |
| Sixth | 1977 | 969744 | 1027661 | 1997405 | 45.39 | 54.61 | 58.57 |
| Seventh | 1982 | 1109961 | 1101563 | 2211524 | 48.63 | 51.37 | 71.06 |
| Eighth | 1985 | 1183713 | 1173219 | 2356932 | 49.13 | 50.87 | 70.36 |
| Ninth | 1990 | 1511087 | 1547307 | 3058394 | 48.11 | 51.89 | 67.74 |
| Tenth | 1993 | 1624429 | 1643296 | 3267725 | 49.36 | 50.64 | 71.71 |
| Eleventh | 1998 | 1801571 | 1827293 | 3628864 | 50.35 | 49.65 | 71.23 |
| Twelth | 2003 | 2019973 | 2081120 | 4101093 | 49.25 | 50.75 | 74.51 |
| Thirteenth | 2007 | 2267604 | 2336839 | 4604443 | 74.06 | 69.23 | 71.61 |

* Calculated on the basis of valid votes polled.

Source: Election Department, H.P.

Table: 1.65
Year-wise Number of Different Crimes against Women in H.P.

| Year | Head of Crimes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dowry Death | Rape | Murder |
| 1998 | 7 | 128 | 40 |
| 1999 | 5 | 109 | 36 |
| 2000 | 3 | 129 | 34 |
| 2001 | 10 | 124 | 36 |
| 2002 | 6 | 137 | 28 |
| 2003 | 6 | 126 | 31 |
| 2004 | 8 | 153 | 29 |
| 2005 | 2 | 141 | 24 |
| 2006 | 3 | 113 | 24 |
| 2007 | 7 | 159 | 30 |
| 2008 | 3 | 157 | 36 |
| 2009 | 1 | 182 | 37 |
| 2010 | 2 | 160 | 27 |

Source: Director General of Police, Himachal Pradesh.

Table: 1.66
District-wise No. of Total Crimes against Women in H.P.

| District | Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Bilaspur | 83 | 71 | 87 | 97 | 82 | 99 |
| Chamba | 55 | 40 | 59 | 72 | 85 | 77 |
| Hamirpur | 48 | 71 | 104 | 91 | 90 | 107 |
| Kangra | 154 | 142 | 186 | 180 | 192 | 202 |
| Kinnaur | 20 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 |
| Kullu | 81 | 63 | 85 | 99 | 84 | 59 |
| Lahaul Spiti | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Mandi | 118 | 121 | 203 | 188 | 138 | 181 |
| Shimla | 130 | 145 | 163 | 113 | 134 | 114 |
| Sirmaur | 68 | 65 | 72 | 79 | 98 | 93 |
| Solan | 77 | 82 | 87 | 69 | 73 | 87 |
| Una | 35 | 56 | 67 | 98 | 88 | 107 |
| H.P. | 873 | 872 | 1132 | 1104 | 1083 | 1145 |
| Sore: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Director General of Police, Himachal Pradesh.
Table: 1.67
Year-wise Incidence of Cognizable Crime by Male and Female in H.P.

| Head of <br> Crime | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Total | Female |
| Murder | 191 | 24 | 193 | 24 |
| Dacoity | 28 | - | 1 | - |
| Robbery | 39 | - | 18 | - |
| Burglary | 513 | 50 | 450 | 33 |
| Theft | 605 | 06 | 680 | 12 |
| Riots | 3002 | 543 | 3359 | 636 |
| Other <br> Crimes | 19999 | 2023 | 18847 | 2131 |
| Total | 24377 | 2646 | 23548 | 2836 |

Source: Director General of Police Himachal Pradesh.

Table: 1.68
District-wise/ Year-wise Disposal of Total Cognizable Crimes by Courts under IPC in H.P.

| District | Year | Total Cases for trial during the year including cases carried over from previous year |  | No. of cases compounded and withdrawn |  | Cases in which trial were completed |  |  |  |  |  | Cases pending for trial at the end of the year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Cases Convicted |  | Cases Acquitted |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Female |  |  | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female |
| Bilaspur | 2003 | 9357 | 1636 | 119 | 28 | 480 | 52 | 102 | -- | 378 | 52 | 8758 | 1556 |
|  | 2004 | 10831 | 982 | 323 | 18 | 427 | 19 | 71 | 6 | 356 | 13 | 10081 | 945 |
|  | 2005 | 11738 | 1040 | 464 | 17 | 995 | 21 | 157 | 9 | 838 | 12 | 10279 | 1002 |
| Chamba | 2003 | 6658 | 834 | 113 | 11 | 751 | 121 | 104 | 8 | 647 | 113 | 5794 | 102 |
|  | 2004 | 5146 | 566 | 180 | 9 | 535 | 40 | 34 | 1 | 501 | 39 | 4431 | 517 |
|  | 2005 | 5241 | 632 | 189 | 9 | 524 | 53 | 49 | 7 | 475 | 46 | 4534 | 570 |
|  | 2006 | 5478 | 676 | 195 | 34 | 413 | 41 | 62 | 5 | 351 | 36 | 4870 | 601 |
| Hamirpur | 2003 | 6172 | 602 | 157 | 15 | 533 | 29 | 49 | 5 | 484 | 24 | 5482 | 558 |
|  | 2004 | 6789 | 321 | 176 | 11 | 632 | 50 | 108 | 14 | 524 | 36 | 5981 | 260 |
|  | 2005 | 7335 | 230 | 139 | 13 | 582 | 44 | 105 | 6 | 477 | 38 | 6614 | 173 |
|  | 2006 | 8363 | 302 | 192 | 7 | 849 | 31 | 125 | 3 | 724 | 28 | 7322 | 264 |
| Kangra | 2003 | 16207 | 1672 | 76 | 12 | 3025 | 342 | 630 | 8 | 2395 | 334 | 13106 | 1318 |
|  | 2004 | 16920 | 1585 | 60 | - | 2450 | 158 | 268 | 21 | 2182 | 137 | 14410 | 1427 |
|  | 2005 | 17778 | 1808 | 11 | - | 3158 | 201 | 378 | 13 | 2780 | 188 | 14609 | 1607 |
|  | 2006 | 17319 | 1905 | - | - | 2636 | 193 | 634 | 19 | 2002 | 174 | 14683 | 1712 |
| Kullu | 2003 | 4712 | 137 | 129 | 19 | 511 | 51 | 157 | 3 | 354 | 48 | 4072 | 67 |
|  | 2004 | 5533 | 375 | 195 | 40 | 514 | 105 | 149 | 2 | 365 | 103 | 4824 | 130 |
|  | 2005 | 5968 | 230 | 238 | 37 | 857 | 83 | 184 | 10 | 673 | 73 | 4873 | 110 |
|  | 2006 | 6277 | 268 | 268 | 48 | 626 | 145 | 139 | 8 | 487 | 137 | 5383 | 75 |
| Kinnaur | 2003 | 288 | 31 | 20 | 7 | 268 | 24 | 11 | 7 | 11 | -- | 246 | 17 |
|  | 2004 | 782 | 25 | 19 | 5 | 84 | 11 | 18 | - | 66 | 11 | 679 | 9 |
|  | 2005 | 892 | 24 | 37 | 4 | 116 | 4 | 19 | - | 97 | 4 | 739 | 16 |
|  | 2006 | 910 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 94 | 10 | 35 | - | 59 | 10 | 806 | 26 |

Table: 1.69
District-wise/ Year-wise Disposal of Total Cognizable Crimes by Courts under IPC in H.P.

| District | Year | Total Cases for trial during the year including cases carried over from previous year |  | No. of cases compounded and withdrawn |  | Cases in which trial were completed |  |  |  |  |  | Cases pending for trial at the end of the year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Cases Convicted |  | Cases Acquitted |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Female |  |  | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female |
| L\&S | 2003 | 336 | 55 | -- | -- | 24 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 12 | -- | 312 | 53 |
|  | 2004 | 357 | 9 | 12 | - | 39 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 306 | 6 |
|  | 2005 | 360 | 11 | 4 | - | 33 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 19 | - | 323 | 7 |
|  | 2006 | 380 | 10 | 15 | - | 89 | 1 | 48 | - | 48 | 1 | 276 | 9 |
| Mandi | 2003 | 14090 | 3051 | 275 | 77 | 779 | 130 | 140 | 15 | 509 | 115 | 13036 | 2844 |
|  | 2004 | 15772 | 3354 | 1227 | 180 | 1528 | 220 | 191 | - | 1337 | 220 | 13017 | 2954 |
|  | 2005 | 15751 | 3322 | 395 | 45 | 1887 | 278 | 260 | - | 1627 | 278 | 13469 | 2999 |
|  | 2006 | 16409 | 3495 | 556 | 85 | 1607 | 252 | 312 | - | 1295 | 252 | 14246 | 3158 |
| Shimla | 2003 | 20837 | 1020 | 97 | 5 | 3079 | 185 | 843 | 42 | 2236 | 143 | 17661 | 830 |
|  | 2004 | 16330 | 1403 | 870 | 49 | 5197 | 605 | 522 | 84 | 4675 | 521 | 10263 | 749 |
|  | 2005 | 12784 | 1057 | 952 | 64 | 2791 | 392 | 623 | 73 | 2168 | 319 | 9041 | 601 |
|  | 2006 | 11754 | 706 | 186 | 21 | 1456 | 277 | 349 | 48 | 1107 | 229 | 10112 | 408 |
| Sirmaur | 2003 | 6374 | 644 | 359 | 45 | 1266 | 113 | 144 | 3 | 1122 | 110 | 4749 | 486 |
|  | 2004 | 5848 | 560 | 265 | 32 | 776 | 29 | 139 | - | 637 | 29 | 4807 | 499 |
|  | 2005 | 5996 | 639 | 312 | 35 | 824 | 46 | 57 | 1 | 767 | 45 | 4860 | 558 |
|  | 2006 | 6221 | 686 | 157 | 15 | 845 | 33 | 74 | 1 | 771 | 32 | 5290 | 638 |
| Solan | 2003 | 12652 | 1145 | 209 | 43 | 936 | 134 | 186 | -- | 750 | 134 | 11507 | 968 |
|  | 2004 | 11396 | 696 | 247 | 59 | 1247 | 105 | 184 | 14 | 1063 | 91 | 9902 | 532 |
|  | 2005 | 11831 | 779 | 338 | 31 | 1295 | 82 | 182 | 9 | 1113 | 73 | 10198 | 666 |
|  | 2006 | 12344 | 785 | 307 | 36 | 1325 | 101 | 340 | 19 | 985 | 82 | 10712 | 648 |
| Una | 2003 | 9821 | 352 | 148 | 18 | 1252 | 58 | 137 | -- | 1115 | 58 | 8421 | 276 |
|  | 2004 | 6800 | 486 | 223 | 27 | 423 | 53 | 116 | 19 | 307 | 34 | 6154 | 406 |
|  | 2005 | 7285 | 513 | 187 | 23 | 761 | 73 | 239 | 31 | 523 | 42 | 6337 | 417 |
|  | 2006 | 7623 | 612 | 238 | 29 | 600 | 61 | 168 | 17 | 432 | 49 | 6785 | 522 n |

Source: Police Department, Himachal Pradesh.

Table: 1.70
Strength of Armed Police Force in H.P., 2010

| Establishment | DG/Addl. DG/ <br> IG/ DIG |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SP/Addl. SP/ } \\ \text { DSP/ASP } \end{gathered}$ |  | Inspectors/ <br> SIs/ASIs |  | Officers/Officials below ASI |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ HPAP Bn. Junga | - | - | 3 | - | 44 | 2 | 348 | 36 | 392 | 38 |
| Ist IRB Bangarh | - | - | 7 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 449 | 28 | 497 | 29 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ HPAP Bn. Dharamshala | - | - | 6 | 1 | 48 | 3 | 449 | 46 | 497 | 49 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ HPAP Bn. Pandoh | - | - | 7 | - | 49 | 2 | 841 | 2 | 890 | 4 |
| 4th IRBn Jangalberi | - | - | 7 | - | 33 | - | 750 | - | 783 | - |
| 5th IRBn Bassi | - | - | 2 | - | 45 | 1 | 506 | 440 | 551 | 441 |
| 6th IRBn Kolar | - | - | 3 | - | 15 | - | 32 | - | 47 | - |
| Total | - | - | 35 | 2 | 282 | 9 | 3375 | 552 | 3657 | 561 |

Source: Police Department, Himachal Pradesh.

Table: 1.71
Strength of Un-armed Police Forces in H.P., 2010

| District/Establishment | DG/Addl. DG/ IG/ DIG |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SP/Addl. SP/ } \\ D S P / A S P \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Inspectors/ SIs/ASIs |  | Officers/Officials below ASI |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female |
| Bilaspur | - | - | 5 | - | 67 | 1 | 431 | 25 | 503 | 26 |
| Chamba | - | -- | 5 | - | 85 | - | 578 | 44 | 668 | 44 |
| Hami rpur | - | - | 4 | - | 53 | - | 313 | 26 | 370 | 26 |
| Kangra | - | - | 10 | - | 147 | 1 | 1095 | 62 | 1252 | 63 |
| Kinnaur | - | - | 2 | - | 32 | - | 309 | 11 | 343 | 11 |
| Kullu | - | - | 5 | - | 68 | - | 379 | 37 | 452 | 37 |
| Lahaul Spiti | - | - | 3 | - | 24 | - | 197 | 6 | 224 | 6 |
| Mandi | - | - | 5 | - | 115 | 5 | 729 | 42 | 849 | 47 |
| Shimla | - | - | 11 | 1 | 160 | 4 | 1459 | 95 | 1630 | 100 |
| Sirmaur | - | - | 5 | 1 | 64 | 2 | 540 | 35 | 609 | 38 |
| Solan | - | - | 4 | - | 97 | 2 | 744 | 78 | 845 | 80 |
| Una | - | - | 4 | 1 | 59 | 4 | 405 | 25 | 468 | 30 |
| Total District Police | - | - | 63 | 3 | 971 | 19 | 7179 | 486 | 8213 | 508 |
| Other Establishments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Hqrs. | 5 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 9 | - | 17 | - |
| AP\&T | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 7 | - |
| DIG/ SR | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 5 | - |
| DIG/ NR | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 5 | - |
| DIG/ CR | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - |
| State C.I.D. | 4 | - | 10 | 1 | 153 | 7 | 349 | 27 | 516 | 34 |
| F.S.L. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 8 | - |
| Wireless | - | - | 3 | - | 219 | 1 | 117 | 9 | 339 | 10 |
| Railways \& Traffic | 1 | - | 2 | - | 18 | 2 | 61 | 17 | 82 | 19 |
| PTC | 1 | - | 4 | - | 26 | - | 64 | 4 | 95 | 4 |
| SV \& ACB | 2 | - | 18 | 1 | 96 | 4 | 169 | 20 | 285 | 24 |
| HP Institute of Police Studies- | - | - | - | - | 18 | 3 | 24 | 4 | 42 | 7 |
| Total | 18 | - | 39 | 2 | 532 | 17 | 816 | 81 | 1405 | 98 |
| Grand Total | 18 | - | 102 | 5 | 1503 | 36 | 7995 | 567 | 9618 | 608 |

Table: 1.72
Statement showing the cases Received by the HP State Women Commission

| Year | Cases of <br> Harassment <br> by Husband/ <br> Father-in- <br> Law and <br> Mother-in- <br> Law | Cases of <br> Harassme <br> nt at <br> Work <br> Place | Cases of <br> Harassme <br> nt by <br> Neighbors | Cases <br> of <br> Murder <br> /Death | Cases <br> of <br> Dowry | Cases of <br> Harassment <br> By Wives | Rape <br> Cases | Cases <br> Related <br> to <br> Depart <br> ment | Other <br> Cases | Total <br> Cases |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| $1999-2000$ | 44 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 53 | 129 |
| $2000-01$ | 54 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | 4 | 21 | 29 | 122 |
| $2001-02$ | 185 | 4 | 5 | - | - | 8 | 3 | 34 | 146 | 385 |
| $2002-03$ | 179 | 7 | 27 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 31 | 158 | 430 |
| $2003-04$ | 320 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 20 | 203 | 586 |
| $2004-05$ | 346 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 20 | 186 | 608 |
| $2005-06$ | 365 | 5 | 19 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 20 | 167 | 604 |
| $2006-07$ | 429 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 39 | 323 | 841 |
| $2007-08$ | 278 | 11 | 17 | 5 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 32 | 97 | 469 |
| $2008-09$ | 141 | 18 | 62 | 22 | 25 | 42 | 20 | 75 | 90 | 495 |
| $2009-10$ | 277 | 19 | 88 | 7 | 6 | 43 | 8 | 35 | 116 | 599 |
| Total Cases | 2618 | 90 | 283 | 68 | 85 | 155 | 66 | 335 | 1568 | 5268 |

Source: HP State Women Commission.

Table: 1.73
Representation of Women in the Rajya Sabha

| Representation of Women in the Rajya Sabha |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number of all Members | Number of Women Members |
|  |  | 24 |
| 1990 | 245 | 20 |
| 1994 | 245 | 18 |
| 1996 | 245 | 18 |
| 1997 | 245 | 19 |
| 1998 | 245 | 19 |
| 1999 | 245 | 22 |
| 2000 | 245 | 22 |
| 2001 | 245 | 25 |
| 2003 | 245 | 28 |
| 2004 | 245 | 26 |
| 2011 | 241 |  |

Source: - Rajya Sabha Secretariat.
Table: 1.74
Representation of Women in the Central Council of Ministers
Representation of Women in the Central Council of Ministers

| Year | Number of Ministers |  |  | Number of Women Ministers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cabinet <br> Minister | Minister of State | Deputy Minister | Cabinet <br> Minister | Minister of State | Deputy Minister |
| 1985a | 15 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 1990b | 17 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1995c | 12 | 37 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 1996d | 18 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1997e | 20 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 1998f | 21 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 2002g | 32 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 2004h | 29 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 2011 i | 34 | 37 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table: 1.75
Number of Judges by Sex in Supreme Court/High Courts, $1^{\text {st }}$ April -2011

| Name of the <br> Court | Number of Judges |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total |
| High Court | 1 | 28 | 29 |
| Allahabad | 3 |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1 | 62 | 65 |
| Mumbai | 5 | 28 | 29 |
| Calcutta | 2 | 34 | 39 |
| Chattishgarh | 0 | 6 | 42 |
| Delhi | 5 | 19 | 6 |
| Gauhati | 1 | 15 | 24 |
| Gujrat | 2 | 30 | 16 |
| Himachal <br> Pradesh | 0 | 8 | 32 |
| Jammu $\&$ <br> Kashmir | 0 | 9 | 8 |
| Jharkhand | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| Karnataka | 2 | 30 | 9 |
| Kerala | 2 | 25 | 10 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 3 | 24 | 27 |
| Chennai | 3 | 39 | 27 |
| Orissa | 2 | 11 | 42 |
| Patna | 5 | 18 | 13 |
|  <br> Haryana | 2 | 35 | 23 |
| Rajasthan | 0 | 18 | 37 |
| Sikkam | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| Uttranchal | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| Total | 18 | 515 | 6 |
| Saral |  |  | 548 |

Source: Department of Justice, Ministry of Law and Justice.

Table: 1.76
Females Employees in Central Govt. in India.

| Year | No. of employees |  | Percentage of females employee out of Total employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Total(In Lakh) |  |
| 1971 | 67869 | 26.99 | 2.51 |
| 1972 | 70874 | 27.51 | 2.58 |
| 1973 | 72879 | 28.58 | 2.55 |
| 1974 | 80371 | 29.12 | 2.76 |
| 1975 | 84051 | 29.70 | 2.83 |
| 1976 | 99272 | 30.45 | 3.26 |
| 1978 | 102321 | 31.01 | 3.30 |
| 1979 | 107172 | 31.71 | 3.38 |
| 1980 | 117139 | 33.21 | 3.53 |
| 1982 | 132010 | 34.78 | 3.80 |
| 1984 | 142011 | 36.14 | 3.93 |
| 1988 | 238984 | 36.99 | 6.46 |
| 1989 | 247158 | 37.48 | 6.60 |
| 1990 | 283380 | 37.74 | 7.51 |
| 1991 | 288999 | 38.13 | 7.58 |
| 1995 | 295721 | 39.82 | 7.43 |
| 2001 | 291800 | 38.76 | 7.53 |
| 2004 | 306298 | 31.64 | 9.68 |
| 2006 | 320335 | 31.16 | 10.28 |

Source: Census of Central Govt. Employees, Directorate of Employment \& Training, Ministry of Labour.


[^0]:    Source: Health and Family Welfare Department H.P.

[^1]:    Source: Census of India- 2011, H.P

